Single neurons throughout human memory regions phase-lock to hippocampal theta

Daniel R. Schonhaut^{1,*}, Ashwin G. Ramayya², Ethan A. Solomon³,
Nora A. Herweg⁴, Itzhak Fried^{5,6}, and Michael J. Kahana^{4,*}

¹Department of Neuroscience, Perelman School of Medicine,
University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

²Department of Neurosurgery, Hospital of the University of
Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

³Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

⁴Department of Psychology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia,
PA 19104, USA

⁵Department of Neurosurgery, David Geffen School of Medicine and
Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, University of
California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

⁶Tel-Aviv Medical Center and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv
University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel

*Correspondence: daniel.schonhaut@gmail.com (D.R.S.);
kahana@psych.upenn.edu (M.J.K.)

Abstract

Functional interactions between the hippocampus and cortex are critical for episodic memory. Neural oscillations are believed to coordinate these interactions, and in rodents, prefrontal neurons phase-lock to hippocampal theta oscillations during memory-guided behavior. We assessed inter-regional phase-locking to hippocampal oscillations in humans by recording 1,233 cortical and amygdala neurons and simultaneous hippocampal local field potentials in 18 neurosurgical patients. We identified 362 neurons (29.4%) from multiple regions that phase-locked to rhythmic hippocampal activity, predominantly at theta (2-8Hz) frequencies. Compared to baseline spiking, strong theta phase-locking coincided with regionally-specific increases in hippocampal theta power, local and hippocampal high frequency activity, and cross-frequency power correlations between the hippocampus and a phase-locked neuron's local region. These results reveal that spike-time synchrony with hippocampal theta is a defining feature of cortico-hippocampal functional connections in humans. We propose that theta phase-locking could mediate flexible inter-regional communication to shape the content and quality of episodic memories.

20 Introduction

21 The hippocampus is the operational hub of the episodic memory system, a
 22 spatially distributed brain network that enables us to remember past experi-
 23 ences in rich detail, together with the space and time in which they occurred
 24 (Eichenbaum, 2000; Moscovitch et al., 2016). To serve in this capacity, the
 25 hippocampus must maintain precise but flexible functional connections with
 26 the rest of the memory system. Understanding the mechanisms that gov-
 27 ern these connections is of fundamental interest to systems neuroscience,
 28 and progress in this area could accelerate efforts to develop treatments for
 29 memory disorders and age-related memory decline.

30 A leading hypothesis is that hippocampal theta (2-8Hz) oscillations fa-
 31 cilitate its interactions with other brain regions (Buzsáki, 2010; Fell and
 32 Axmacher, 2011; Moscovitch et al., 2016). Hippocampal neurons are more
 33 receptive to synaptic excitation at specific theta phases (Kamondi et al.,
 34 1998), so well-timed inputs can more effectively drive activity than inputs
 35 at random phases (Fries, 2005). Long-term potentiation and long-term de-
 36 pression in the rodent hippocampus are also theta phase-dependent (Hyman
 37 et al., 2003), providing a putative link between the phase at which inputs ar-
 38 rive and how strongly they are encoded into memory. Experimental evidence
 39 for this hypothesis comes largely from studies in the rat medial prefrontal
 40 cortex (mPFC), a downstream target of hippocampal area CA1. mPFC
 41 neurons phase-lock to hippocampal theta during short-term memory tasks
 42 (Siapas et al., 2005; Hyman et al., 2005; Sirota et al., 2008), and stronger
 43 phase-locking predicts better performance (Jones and Wilson, 2005; Hy-
 44 man et al., 2010; Benchenane et al., 2010; Fujisawa and Buzsáki, 2011) and
 45 greater information transfer between mPFC and hippocampal neurons (Ito
 46 et al., 2018; Padilla-Coreano et al., 2019). Phase-locking to hippocampal
 47 theta is also prevalent among cells in many other regions, including the en-
 48 torhinal cortex (EC), amygdala, parietal cortex, thalamic nucleus reuniens,
 49 and some subcortical and brainstem nuclei (Kocsis and Vertes, 1992; Sirota
 50 et al., 2008; Fujisawa and Buzsáki, 2011; Bienvenu et al., 2012; Fernández-
 51 Ruiz et al., 2017; Ito et al., 2018). Theta phase-synchronization could thus
 52 be a general mechanism for relaying information between the hippocampus
 53 and a broad network of memory-related regions.

54 In humans, macroelectrode local field potential (LFP) recordings in
 55 epilepsy patients have revealed sporadically-occurring theta oscillations in
 56 the hippocampus and cortex during virtual navigation and episodic memory
 57 engagement (Fell et al., 2011; Watrous et al., 2013; M. Aghajani et al., 2017;
 58 Bohbot et al., 2017). Studies relating theta power to memory have pro-

duced equivocal results (Herweg et al., 2020), but macroscale theta phase-synchronization within the medial temporal lobe (MTL) and PFC has consistently correlated with better memory performance (Babiloni et al., 2009; Watrous et al., 2013; Solomon et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2019; Kunz et al., 2019). Considerably less is known about how oscillations relate to single-neuron activity in humans than in rodents. An early study in epilepsy patients found that a large percentage of MTL and neocortical neurons phase-locked to theta (among other frequency) oscillations in their local vicinity as subjects navigated through a virtual environment (Jacobs et al., 2007), and another study discovered MTL neurons phase-locked more strongly to locally-recorded theta oscillations while subjects viewed images that they later recognized than those that they forgot (Rutishauser et al., 2010). These findings indicate that neural activity within the human episodic memory system is organized in part by a theta phase code. However, single-neuron phase-locking to remotely-recorded oscillations has not been identified in humans, and the hypothesis that hippocampal theta oscillations facilitate communication with other brain regions therefore lacks an important element of empirical validation.

Here, we leveraged the rare opportunity to record single neurons simultaneously with hippocampal LFPs in subjects with pharmacologically intractable epilepsy. We identified a substantial fraction of neurons that fired phase-synchronously with hippocampal theta oscillations. Phase-locking rates were greatest in regions structurally connected to the hippocampus, but significant neocortical and contralateral phase-locking suggests that neurons can synchronize with hippocampal theta oscillations across polysynaptic distances. Lastly, we observed pronounced changes in LFP power when neurons fired near their preferred hippocampal theta phase (“strong phase-locking”), relative to baseline spiking. Strong phase-locking coincided with increased hippocampal theta power, greater local and hippocampal high frequency activity (HFA), and more correlated neural activity patterns (LFP power across frequencies) between the hippocampus and a phase-locked neuron’s local region. These effects were specific to the hippocampus and the phase-locking region, supporting an ability for hippocampal theta oscillations to coordinate regionally-precise patterns of cortico-hippocampal connectivity in the human brain.

94 Results

95 We recorded hippocampal micro-LFPs and extracellular spikes from 1,233
 96 neurons in the EC, amygdala, parahippocampal gyrus, orbitofrontal cortex,
 97 anterior cingulate, and more sparsely sampled neocortical regions as sub-
 98 jects (18 neurosurgical patients, 1-4 sessions per subject) played a virtual
 99 navigation game on a laptop computer (Table 1) (Ekstrom et al., 2003). We
 100 did not compare physiology to behavioral measures in this study (see Discus-
 101 sion). Neural firing rates were log-normally distributed (median = 2.3Hz),
 102 and we recorded between 11 and 149 (mean=68.5) neurons per subject.

Neuron region	Subjects	Sessions	Neurons
Amygdala	14	30	327
Anterior cingulate	5	12	101
Entorhinal cortex	12	30	221
Orbitofrontal cortex	8	17	155
Parahippocampal gyrus	9	21	152
Other neocortical regions	10	23	277
Total	18	43	1,233

Table 1: Neuron locations. Rows show how many subjects, testing sessions, and neurons were recorded from each brain region.

103 We first sought to determine if these cells fired phase-synchronously with
 104 neural oscillations in the ipsilateral hippocampus at rates reliably above
 105 chance. For each neuron outside the hippocampus, we used Morlet wavelet
 106 convolution to compute spike-coincident hippocampal LFP phases over a
 107 broad frequency range (16 log-spaced frequencies from 0.5 to 90.5Hz) across
 108 the recording session (25.4 ± 6.9 min, $M \pm SD$). We then calculated the mean
 109 resultant length (MRL) of the spike-phase distribution at each frequency
 110 and Z-scored these values (within-frequency) against null distributions of
 111 MRLs from circularly-shifted spike trains. This procedure corrected for
 112 spurious contributions to apparent phase-locking, including auto-correlated
 113 spiking and nonuniform phase distributions in the hippocampal LFP (Sia-
 114 pas et al., 2005). We refer to the Z-scored MRL as a neuron’s phase-locking
 115 strength, which measures the degree to which it fired at a preferential hip-
 116 pocampal LFP phase at a given frequency. Finally, we derived a single
 117 phase-locking p -value for each neuron by comparing its maximum phase-
 118 locking strength across frequencies to the null distribution of maximum
 119 phase-locking strengths.

120 This analysis revealed that 362/1,233 neurons (29.4%) phase-locked to
 121 ipsilateral hippocampal LFPs at statistically reliable rates (FDR-corrected
 122 across all comparisons with $\alpha = 0.05$). All 18 subjects had at least one
 123 significantly phase-locked neuron, and phase-locking rates were $31.5\% \pm$
 124 17.3% ($M \pm SD$) at the subject level. We identified neurons both within
 125 and outside the MTL that phase-locked to hippocampal LFPs at varying
 126 frequencies and in a highly time-resolved manner (Figure 1B-G). For many
 127 neurons, neural oscillations could be seen in the raw hippocampal LFPs
 128 (Figure 1A) and in spike-triggered average LFPs that persisted for several
 129 cycles surrounding spike onset. This is notable because although hippocam-
 130 pal oscillations in humans occur in short bouts relative to the sustained
 131 rodent theta rhythm (Watrous et al., 2013; M. Aghajan et al., 2017), our
 132 data suggest that many neurons outside the hippocampus can nonetheless
 133 time their firing to coincide with these oscillatory intervals.

134 One potential explanation for these findings is that phase-locking to hip-
 135 pocampal LFPs occurred as a byproduct of phase-locking to oscillations in a
 136 cell's local vicinity that were themselves phase-synchronous with oscillations
 137 in the hippocampus. However, several observations argue that this indirect
 138 association cannot solely explain our results. First, if neurons phase-locked
 139 to the hippocampus as a consequence of being directly entrained by local
 140 oscillations, then they should phase-lock to these local oscillations at least
 141 as strongly as they phase-locked to the hippocampus. This is difficult to
 142 reconcile with our results, in which 248/362 neurons (68.5%) phase-locked
 143 more strongly to hippocampal LFPs than to locally-recorded LFPs at their
 144 preferred hippocampal phase-locking frequency ($Z = 4.6 \pm 3.5$, $M \pm SD$
 145 difference in phase-locking strength). Moreover, 79/362 neurons (21.8%)
 146 phase-locked preferentially to the hippocampus at a frequency at which
 147 phase-locking to the local LFP was statistically insignificant. This num-
 148 ber on its own greatly exceeds the expected number of false positives (18.1
 149 neurons) under FDR correction. Finally, to directly test whether phase-
 150 locking to hippocampal oscillations depended on phase-locking to local os-
 151 cillations, we employed a spike subsampling technique that removed the
 152 influence of local phase-locking on hippocampal phase-locking by enforc-
 153 ing a uniform phase distribution on locally-recorded LFPs (see Methods).
 154 This procedure decreased phase-locking strengths to the hippocampus by
 155 a significant amount ($Z = -1.5 \pm 2.1$, $M \pm SD$ change in phase-locking
 156 strength post-correction; $\chi^2(1) = 139.6$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test,
 157 linear mixed-effects model with nested subject and neuron random effects),
 158 yet 334/362 (92.3%) neurons nonetheless remained significantly phase-locked
 159 (FDR-corrected at $\alpha = 0.05$). We conclude that our data captured inter-

Figure 1: Single-neuron phase-locking examples. (A) A 4s example trace shows spikes from an EC neuron (top) that fired preferentially near the theta peaks of a simultaneously-recorded hippocampal LFP (bottom). The raw hippocampal LFP is shown in light gray, and the same signal, bandpass-filtered from 2-8Hz, is overlaid in dark gray to highlight theta rhythmicity. Panel (B) shows the statistical phase-locking results for this neuron, across the entire recording session. (B-G) Hippocampal phase-locking results are shown for six example neurons from six different subjects. For each neuron, the left graph shows the spike-triggered average LFPs from eight, adjacent micro-electrodes in the hippocampus (these plots are approximately flat in the absence of phase-locking), and the right graph shows the neuron's permutation test-derived phase-locking strength (Z-score), averaged across these electrodes, as a function of LFP frequency and spike-LFP lag. Asterisks indicate maximum phase-locking strengths. AMY = amygdala; EC = entorhinal cortex; HPC = hippocampus; L = left; LFP = local field potential; OFC = orbitofrontal cortex; PHG = parahippocampal gyrus; R = right.

160 regional interactions between spikes and hippocampal LFPs that occurred
 161 largely independently of phase-locking to local oscillations. This suggests
 162 that these measures can also differ meaningfully in their relations to mem-
 163 ory processes and behavior.

Figure 2: Hippocampal phase-locking rates by region. Each bar shows the percentage of neurons in a given region (pooled across subjects) that phase-locked significantly to ipsilateral hippocampal LFPs at any of the examined frequencies (0.5-90.5Hz). AMY = amygdala; AC = anterior cingulate; EC = entorhinal cortex; HPC = hippocampus; NCTX (other) = sparsely sampled neocortical regions (< 100 neurons or < 5 subjects); OFC = orbitofrontal cortex; PHG = parahippocampal gyrus.

164 Phase-locking to the hippocampus by region and frequency

165 Our observation of widespread synchrony between neural firing outside the
 166 hippocampus and rhythmic activity within it offers a means to investigate
 167 how the hippocampus is functionally connected to other brain regions at
 168 single-neuron resolution. We hypothesized that these functional connec-
 169 tions would be especially prevalent in regions that are structurally con-
 170 nected to the hippocampus, and indeed, nearly half of the neurons in the EC

(106/221 neurons, 48.0%) and amygdala (161/327, 49.2%) phase-locked to hippocampal LFPs (Figure 2). We also found moderate phase-locking rates in memory-related regions within the extended hippocampal system (Eichenbaum, 2000; Moscovitch et al., 2016), including the parahippocampal gyrus (20/152, 13.2%), orbitofrontal cortex (16/155, 10.3%), and sparsely sampled neocortical regions that included the temporal pole, mid-to-posterior cingulate cortex, and superior temporal cortex (54/277, 19.5%). To assess whether phase-locking rates differed significantly between regions, we fit a logistic mixed-effects model to estimate the probability that a neuron phase-locked to the hippocampus as a function of its region (Table 1) and a random effect of subject. This model confirmed that phase-locking to the hippocampus did not occur uniformly throughout the brain ($\chi^2(5) = 195.1$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test), as EC and amygdala neurons phase-locked at significantly higher rates than cells in the parahippocampal gyrus, orbitofrontal cortex, anterior cingulate, and remaining neocortical regions ($p < 0.001$, post-hoc pairwise Z-tests, Bonferroni-Holm adjusted). Phase-locking rates did not differ between the EC and amygdala, and we did not test post-hoc comparisons between remaining region pairs.

We next sought to determine the frequencies at which phase-locking to the hippocampus occurred. In rodents, neurons outside the hippocampus primarily phase-lock to hippocampal theta oscillations (Kocsis and Vertes, 1992; Siapas et al., 2005; Sirota et al., 2008; Fujisawa and Buzsáki, 2011; Bienvenu et al., 2012; Fernández-Ruiz et al., 2017; Ito et al., 2018), whereas neurons within the hippocampus can phase-lock to both theta and gamma (25-100Hz) rhythms in their local vicinity (Colgin, 2016). In the human hippocampus, neurons have similarly been found to synchronize with both theta and gamma rhythms (Jacobs et al., 2007), while inter-regional phase-locking characteristics are unknown. To address this question, we first plotted the phase-locking strengths at each frequency for all 362 significantly phase-locked neurons. This graph revealed a strong preference for phase-locking to hippocampal LFPs at theta frequencies, with 258/362 (71.3%) neurons being maximally phase-locked from 2-8Hz (Figure 3A). Higher frequency phase-locking was rare, although a small fraction of EC and amygdala neurons phase-locked to beta (16-30Hz) or gamma (>30Hz) frequencies, typically in conjunction with theta entrainment (Figs. 1d and 4a show two examples, from different subjects).

Next, we assessed inter-regional differences in the “preferred” frequencies at which neurons phase-locked to the hippocampus (defined by their maximum phase-locking strengths), contrasting cells in the EC ($n = 106$; geometric mean preferred frequency = 4.0Hz), amygdala ($n = 161$; 2.6Hz),

Figure 3: Phase-locking to hippocampal LFPs across frequencies. (A) Normalized phase-locking strengths are shown at 16 frequencies (0.5-90.5Hz) for all 362 neurons that phase-locked significantly to ipsilateral hippocampal LFPs (each row = one neuron). For visual clarity, we divided each neuron's phase-locking strengths by their maximum value and sorted neurons according to their preferred phase-locking frequency. The distribution of preferred frequencies is shown in the histogram at top. (B) Distributions of preferred hippocampal phase-locking frequencies are shown for all significantly phase-locked neurons in the entorhinal cortex ($n = 106$; solid, black line), amygdala ($n = 161$; dashed, dark gray line), and neocortex ($n = 95$; dotted, light gray line). Y-axis values are normalized such that the area under each curve sums to 100.

and neocortex ($n = 95$; 2.7Hz; aggregating neocortical regions from Table 1 due to the low number of significantly phase-locked cells per region). Preferred phase-locking frequencies differed significantly between these regions ($\chi^2(2) = 12.5$, $p = 0.002$, likelihood ratio test, linear mixed-effects model), with EC neurons phase-locking to the hippocampus at higher frequencies than neurons in the amygdala ($Z = 3.0$, $p = 0.007$) and neocortex ($Z = 3.0$, $p = 0.007$), while phase-locking frequencies between the amygdala and neocortex did not differ ($Z = 0.3$, $p = 0.758$) (post-hoc pairwise Z-tests, Bonferroni-Holm adjusted) (Figure 3B). These inter-regional differences were unchanged in significance when restricting analyses to the 258 theta-phase-locked (2-8Hz) neurons. In summary, neurons outside the hippocampus predominantly phase-locked to hippocampal theta oscillations, and EC neurons phase-locked to a faster theta rhythm than did cells in other regions. To our knowledge, inter-regional differences in hippocampal theta phase-locking frequency have not been previously reported in rodents or other animals.

Synchronous firing with the contralateral hippocampus

Our finding that many neurons outside the MTL phase-locked to hippocampal LFPs suggests that neurons can synchronize with oscillations across polysynaptic distances. However, tracing studies in monkeys have identified monosynaptic connections between the hippocampus and polymodal association cortices that bypass canonical entorhinal and thalamic relays (Amaral, 1987), so it is possible that the phase-locking effects we observed in neocortical neurons stemmed from such connections. In contrast, neocortical and amygdala neurons have minimal direct connections with the contralateral hippocampus in monkeys (Amaral et al., 1984; Pikkarainen et al., 1999). To gain clearer perspective on the constraints that structural anatomy imposes on inter-regional spike-LFP interactions, we therefore assessed phase-locking to the contralateral hippocampus in 1,057 neurons from 15 subjects with bilateral hippocampal electrodes, employing identical methods as were used to assess ipsilateral phase-locking.

This analysis revealed that 110/1,057 neurons (10.4%) from 13/15 subjects phase-locked significantly to contralateral hippocampal LFPs (FDR-corrected across all comparisons with $\alpha = 0.05$). These cells were broadly distributed throughout the regions that we recorded, although phase-locking to the contralateral hippocampus occurred at considerably lower rates than to the ipsilateral hippocampus ($\chi^2(1) = 171.9$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test, logistic mixed-effects model with nested subject and neuron random

Figure 4: Bilateral phase-locking. (A, B) Spike-triggered LFPs and lag-frequency plots are shown for two example neurons, from different subjects, that phase-locked to both ipsilateral (top) and contralateral (bottom) hippocampal LFPs. (C, D) Gaussian kernel density plots show the paired-sample distributions of maximum phase-locking strengths (C) and preferred phase-locking frequencies (D) to ipsilateral and contralateral hippocampal LFPs, respectively, among 84 bilaterally phase-locked neurons. (E) Differences in the maximum phase-locking strength to ipsilateral versus contralateral hippocampal LFPs are plotted against differences in preferred phase-locking frequencies among 84 bilaterally phase-locked neurons.

effects). Contralateral phase-locking was essentially restricted to low frequencies, as all but two neurons phase-locked maximally at ≤ 8 Hz.

Spike-LFP relations did not emerge independently in each hemisphere; instead, a substantially larger-than-chance fraction of contralaterally phase-locked neurons (84/110, 76.4%) phase-locked significantly to the ipsilateral hippocampus as well ($\chi^2(1) = 105.4$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test, logistic mixed-effects model). Figure 4A,B shows two representative neurons that exhibited concurrent phase-locking to ipsilateral (top) and contralateral (bottom) hippocampal LFPs. These examples illustrate two differences between ipsilateral and contralateral phase-locking that we observed across the population of 84 bilaterally phase-locked cells. First, ipsilateral phase-locking was stronger than contralateral phase-locking ($\chi^2(1) = 22.4$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test, linear mixed-effects model with nested subject and neuron random effects) (Figure 4C); and second, ipsilateral phase-locking occurred at higher preferred frequencies ($\chi^2(1) = 27.4$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test, linear mixed-effects model with nested subject and neuron random effects) (Figure 4D). These variables were significantly correlated, such that neurons with greater decreases in phase-locking strength from ipsilateral to contralateral hippocampus had correspondingly greater decreases in phase-locking frequency ($\chi^2(1) = 10.4$, $p = 0.001$, likelihood ratio test, linear mixed-effects model with nested subject and neuron random effects) (Figure 4E). These results indicate that rhythmic hippocampal activity can propagate between hemispheres and likely at polysynaptic distances, with joint decreases in phase-locking strength and frequency as neurons become farther removed from the hippocampus.

Phase-locking strength fluctuates with changes in hippocampal power

Our findings suggest that phase-locking to hippocampal theta is central to the functional interactions between the hippocampus and multiple other brain regions. One prediction that extends from this interpretation is that when neurons phase-lock strongly to hippocampal theta, there are likely to be co-occurring changes in other aspects of neural activity, beyond theta phase alone. We evaluated this hypothesis by asking whether strong theta phase-locking coincided with distinguishable LFP power patterns in the hippocampus or in a phase-locked neuron's local region, compared to power during baseline spiking activity (i.e. spikes selected without regard to hippocampal theta phase). Specifically, for the 258 neurons that phase-locked preferentially to ipsilateral hippocampal LFPs at theta frequencies (2-8Hz),

we defined the 20% of spikes that were most closely aligned to each neuron’s mean firing phase at its preferred frequency as being “strongly phase-locked” (Figure 5A). We calculated the mean power in the local region and ipsilateral hippocampus, respectively, at 16 frequencies (0.5-90.5Hz) during these strongly phase-locked spikes, and then Z-scored these values against null distributions of mean powers during randomly-drawn spike subsets. Finally, to determine if power changes occurred specifically in these regions or reflected whole-brain dynamics, we performed the same analysis on power values that were averaged over electrodes in all remaining regions.

Across neurons, and reliably across subjects, strong phase-locking to hippocampal theta coincided with substantially increased hippocampal theta power ($Z = 4.6 \pm 2.7$, $M \pm SD$ for 2-8Hz power across subjects) and a comparatively moderate increase in hippocampal HFA ($Z = 1.9 \pm 2.4$, $M \pm SD$ for 32-90.5Hz power across subjects), relative to baseline spiking (Figure 5C, cyan). HFA was also increased in the local region during strong phase-locking ($Z = 1.3 \pm 1.7$, $M \pm SD$ across subjects), but in the absence of a similar theta power peak (Figure 5C, orange). In contrast, remaining regions showed minimal to no power changes at any frequency during strong phase-locking (Figure 5C, gray). We confirmed these results by fitting linear mixed-effects models with a single fixed effect (Z-theta power or Z-HFA) and nested subject and neuron random effects. Z-theta power differed significantly between the hippocampus, local region, and remaining regions ($\chi^2(2) = 362.2$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test), with post-hoc tests revealing greater Z-theta power in the hippocampus than the local region ($Z = 15.9$, $p < 0.001$) or remaining regions ($Z = 22.2$, $p < 0.001$), and greater Z-theta power in the local region than remaining regions ($Z = 6.3$, $p < 0.001$) (pairwise Z-tests, Bonferroni-Holm adjusted). Z-HFA also differed significantly between regions ($\chi^2(2) = 76.8$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test), with post-hoc tests showing greater Z-HFA in the hippocampus ($Z = 8.0$, $p < 0.001$) and local region ($Z = 7.8$, $p < 0.001$) than in remaining regions, and no differences between the hippocampus and local region ($Z = 0.2$, $p = 0.848$) (pairwise Z-tests, Bonferroni-Holm adjusted).

Lastly, the theory that neural oscillations guide inter-regional communication holds that strong phase-locking to hippocampal theta acts to increase the gain on signaling between the hippocampus and the phase-locked region (Fries, 2005). If this model is correct, we reasoned that strong phase-locking would likely coincide with greater similarity between local and hippocampal neural activity patterns as a consequence of their increased interactions. To test this hypothesis, we examined neural similarity patterns in the spectral power domain, consistent with prior intracranial EEG studies in humans

Figure 5: Theta phase-locking relations to hippocampal power and power correlation. (A) Illustrated method for identifying strong phase-locking to hippocampal theta. We selected as “strongly phase-locked” the 20% of spikes across the recording session that were closest to the mean phase at each neuron’s preferred theta frequency. Three such spikes are highlighted in cyan (note the alignment with theta peaks). (B) Illustrated method for calculating power correlations between regions. For each neuron, at each spike time, we calculated the Pearson correlation between LFP powers at 16 frequencies (0.5-90.5Hz; Z-scored within-frequency) in the hippocampus (bottom trace) and in a neuron’s local region (top trace). (C, D) Differences between LFP powers (C) and power correlations (D) are shown during strong phase-locking versus randomly-drawn spike distributions (Z-scores). Values were aggregated across neurons within each subject and then graphed as mean $\pm$ SEM across subjects. Each circle in (D) indicates the mean for one subject, with circle area proportional to the number of theta-phase-locked neurons for that subject.

(Manning et al., 2011; Staresina et al., 2016). Specifically, for each of the 258 theta-phase-locked neurons, we calculated the Pearson correlation at each spike time between LFP powers across 16 frequencies (0.5-90.5Hz; Z-scored within frequency) in the local region and powers at the corresponding frequencies in the ipsilateral hippocampus (Figure 5B). For each neuron, we then computed the mean power correlation during strongly phase-locked spikes and Z-scored this value against a null distribution of mean power correlations during randomly-drawn spike subsets. To determine if power correlation changes occurred specifically between the local region and hippocampus, we repeated this analysis for three additional categories of inter-regional pairs: (1) connections between the ipsilateral hippocampus and all regions except the local region; (2) connections between the local region and all regions except the ipsilateral hippocampus; and (3) connections between all remaining regions.

Consistent with our hypothesis, power correlations between the local region and hippocampus were reliably increased during strong phase-locking compared to baseline spiking ($Z = 0.9 \pm 1.4$, $M \pm SD$ across subjects) (Figure 5D, cyan). In contrast, power correlations differed minimally in the remaining three categories of inter-regional pairs (Figure 5D, orange, yellow, and gray). We confirmed these results by fitting a linear mixed-effects model with a fixed effect of Z-scored power correlation and nested subject and neuron random effects. Z-power correlations differed significantly between connection categories ($\chi^2(3) = 80.9$, $p < 0.001$, likelihood ratio test), with local-to-hippocampal connections having higher Z-power correlations than each of the three remaining categories (all $p < 0.001$, post-hoc pairwise Z-tests, Bonferroni-Holm adjusted), and no significant differences between the remaining category pairs.

Discussion

We asked whether single neurons outside the hippocampus fired phase-synchronously with hippocampal neural rhythms in the human brain while subjects (18 neurosurgical patients) played a virtual navigation game. Confirming evidence from many studies in rodents (Siapas et al., 2005; Kocsis and Vertes, 1992; Sirota et al., 2008; Fujisawa and Buzsáki, 2011; Bienvenu et al., 2012; Fernández-Ruiz et al., 2017; Ito et al., 2018), we found that a substantial fraction of extrahippocampal neurons (nearly 30% of 1,233 recorded cells) exhibited significant phase-locking to hippocampal LFPs, principally within the theta frequency range.

Prior studies have assessed functional connections between memory-related regions using implanted macroelectrodes, which integrate activity over many thousands of neurons (Babiloni et al., 2009; Watrous et al., 2013; Solomon et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2019; Kunz et al., 2019). Our results extend these findings to demonstrate that cortico-hippocampal functional connections also emerge at the single-neuron level (Figure 1), and despite orders of magnitude separating micro- and macro-recording scales, both approaches highlight a privileged role for theta synchronization during memory-dependent tasks (Figure 3). Phase-locking to the hippocampus was most common among neurons in structurally-connected regions (the EC and amygdala) but also occurred in more remote, neocortical regions (Figure 2), as well as to the contralateral hippocampus (Figure 4). These findings parallel results from noninvasive neuroimaging analyses in healthy adults, in which functional MRI connectivity patterns have been shown to overlap and exceed the limits of white matter tractography (Honey et al., 2009). Finally, we observed regionally-specific LFP power changes when neurons fired near their preferred hippocampal theta phase (“strong phase-locking”), relative to baseline spiking. Strong theta phase-locking coincided with increased hippocampal theta power (Figure 5C, cyan), greater local and hippocampal HFA (Figure 5C, cyan and orange), and increased cross-frequency power correlations between the hippocampus and a phase-locked neuron’s local region (Figure 5D, cyan). These results confirm the hypothesis that hippocampal theta rhythms coordinate the timing of single-neuron activity throughout the human episodic memory system. Such coordination could facilitate precise interactions between the hippocampus and other brain regions to determine the content and quality of episodic memories.

Our results support several predictions of the “Communication through Coherence” (CTC) hypothesis, a leading model of oscillatory involvement in inter-regional communication (Fries, 2005). According to this hypothesis, for oscillations to enhance the effective coupling between two regions, neurons in both regions must phase-lock to the same rhythm (Fries, 2005). While previous studies identified neurons within the human hippocampus that phase-locked to local theta oscillations (Jacobs et al., 2007; Rutishauser et al., 2010; Watrous et al., 2018; Kamiński et al., 2020), here we established that neurons in many additional regions also phase-lock to this rhythm (Figure 2). Notably, although hippocampal theta in humans usually occurs in short, ~ 1 -2s “bouts” of elevated power (Watrous et al., 2013; M. Aghajani et al., 2017), both the extrahippocampal neurons in our study and hippocampal neurons in the study by Jacobs et al. (2007) exhibited strongest phase-locking during high theta power intervals (Figure 5C). These results

404 suggest that high hippocampal theta power reflects increased engagement
405 between the hippocampus and other memory-related regions, despite that
406 hippocampal theta power has shown inconsistent correlations with memory
407 performance (Herweg et al., 2020).

408 Next, the CTC hypothesis states that oscillations can increase the ef-
409 fective coupling between regions by synchronizing spike times in one region
410 with the phases at which postsynaptic neurons in another are most ex-
411 citable, producing selective input gain (Fries, 2005). This process induces
412 transiently greater activity in both regions if these postsynaptic neurons are
413 successfully discharged (Fell and Axmacher, 2011). Our finding that strong
414 phase-locking to hippocampal theta coincided with greater HFA both locally
415 and in the hippocampus suggests that phase-locking can have such an effect
416 (Figure 5C), as HFA is closely correlated with aggregated, local neural firing
417 levels (Manning et al., 2009; Nir et al., 2007).

418 Finally, the consequence of optimal phase-locking, according to CTC, is
419 a transient increase in the information shared between two regions (Fries,
420 2005). Our results offer preliminary support for this hypothesis in showing
421 that strong phase-locking to hippocampal theta coincided with increased
422 power correlations between the local region and hippocampus (Figure 5D).
423 Importantly, this effect occurred selectively between these regions, as power
424 correlations were not globally increased between the hippocampus and other
425 regions all at the same time. Stronger support for this hypothesis would
426 come from comparing hippocampal theta phase-locking to regional activity
427 correlations with behavioral stimuli, an analysis that might also help to
428 delineate the direction of information transfer between the hippocampus
429 and other regions under varying task conditions.

430 A fundamental question concerns the frequency range over which human
431 hippocampal theta occurs – which, due to the absence of continuous theta
432 oscillations in humans and the diversity of analytical approaches that have
433 been used to study them, has produced disagreement in the field (Herweg
434 et al., 2020; Jacobs, 2014). Most studies have approached this question from
435 a behavioral angle and have reported a wide mixture of findings; for example,
436 some studies identified hippocampal theta effects in the ~ 4 -10Hz (“rodent
437 theta”) range that correlated with navigation and memory performance (Fell
438 et al., 2011; M. Aghajan et al., 2017; Bohbot et al., 2017), others found effects
439 on similar tasks but at slower, ~ 1 -4Hz frequencies (Watrous et al., 2013;
440 Jacobs, 2014; Lega et al., 2016; Kunz et al., 2019), and still others found
441 effects within both of these frequency ranges (Lega et al., 2012; Bush et al.,
442 2017; Zheng et al., 2019), suggesting that hippocampal theta in humans
443 either spans a very broad range or else refers to two, poorly-differentiated

444 rhythms that might serve different functions.

445 In this study, by focusing on neurophysiological and network-based anal-
 446 yses, we offer a complementary perspective on how hippocampal theta in
 447 humans can be viewed. We interpret our results together with three princi-
 448 ple claims. First, hippocampal theta appears to be slower in humans than in
 449 rodents (also see Jacobs, 2014). Although a small number of neurons in our
 450 dataset phase-locked to frequencies typical of rodent theta (Figure 1B,C),
 451 a much larger fraction of cells fired synchronously with 2-4Hz hippocam-
 452 pal rhythms (Figure 3A), and this effect was consistent across subjects and
 453 brain regions (Figure 3B).

454 Second, slower theta frequencies appear to support weak interactions
 455 with regions farther removed from the hippocampus. The presence of neo-
 456 cortical and contralateral phase-locking suggests that theta oscillations can
 457 propagate polysynaptically, consistent with their acting as traveling waves
 458 across the hippocampus and neocortex (Zhang et al., 2018). However, the
 459 temporal precision between spikes and hippocampal theta phase would likely
 460 decrease at greater synaptic path lengths, favoring weaker phase-locking to
 461 slower frequencies that can better accommodate spike-time variability. This
 462 reasoning is supported by our finding: (1) that compared to EC neurons,
 463 neocortical cells were both substantially less likely to phase-lock to the hip-
 464 pocampus (Figure 2) and preferred slower theta frequencies (Figure 3B); (2)
 465 that among bilaterally phase-locked neurons, phase-locking to the contralat-
 466 eral hippocampus was weaker (Figure 4C) and slower (Figure 4D) than to
 467 the ipsilateral hippocampus; and (3) changes in phase-locking strength and
 468 frequency from ipsilateral to contralateral hippocampus were significantly
 469 correlated across neurons (Figure 4E). Theta frequency variability could
 470 thus reflect a trade-off between how precisely the hippocampus can coordi-
 471 nate its activity with other regions (preferring faster theta) and the spatial
 472 extent over which this coordination occurs (preferring slower theta). This
 473 might further explain why the neurons we recorded outside the hippocampus
 474 rarely phase-locked to the hippocampal beta or gamma oscillations (Figure
 475 3A), despite an earlier study finding that neurons within the human hip-
 476 pocampus commonly phase-locked to these fast oscillations (Jacobs et al.,
 477 2007).

478 Third, theta frequency relations likely vary by location along the hip-
 479 pocampal longitudinal axis, with faster theta supporting functional interac-
 480 tions with the posterior hippocampal system. This claim is supported by our
 481 finding that amygdala neurons, which project primarily to the anterior hip-
 482 pocampus, phase-locked to slower hippocampal theta frequencies than did
 483 EC neurons, which project more evenly throughout the longitudinal extent

of the hippocampus (Figure 3B) (Strange et al., 2014). Faster theta oscillations were also found in the posterior than anterior hippocampus by a study that directly compared electrodes between these regions (Goyal et al., 2020). Taken together, our results suggest that hippocampal theta in humans is not a single oscillation but instead encompasses a range of low frequency activity, centered around $\sim 4\text{Hz}$, that is best understood in context with the functional interactions between the hippocampus and its extended network. We hypothesize that the “dominant” theta frequency (i.e. the frequency with the most power) changes over time as these interactions are reorganized to aid communication with different combinations of regions. Viewed from this perspective, the frequency instability of hippocampal theta in humans compared to rodents could result from the expanded neocortex and increased complexity of cortico-hippocampal interactions in higher-order mammals.

This study has several important limitations. First, all subjects had pharmaco-resistant epilepsy, and we cannot rule out that some results might stem from pathological activity. We are encouraged by the general agreement between our results and those reported in rodents (Siapas et al., 2005; Kocsis and Vertes, 1992; Sirota et al., 2008; Fujisawa and Buzsáki, 2011; Bienvenu et al., 2012; Fernández-Ruiz et al., 2017; Ito et al., 2018), but clearer evidence that phase-locking to hippocampal theta reflects normal physiology in humans will come from comparing this measure to memory performance. Our study features a large sample size relative to most single-neuron studies in humans, but the virtual navigation task that was used had minimal memory requirements (Ekstrom et al., 2003), and we decided against analyzing other behavioral contrasts for which null results would have been difficult to interpret. A second limitation concerns the quality of single-neuron isolation in this study. We recorded spikes from single-microwires with limited ability to resolve distinct spike waveforms, and so it is likely that some “single-neurons” in our study combine spikes from a small number of neighboring cells. However, to the extent that this issue affected our analyses, it would presumably interfere with the ability to detect significant phase-locking to the hippocampus, yielding an underestimate of phase-locking rates rather than an excess of false positive results.

Still little is known about the relations between theta phase-locking and human episodic memory, but early results suggest an exciting future for this field. Prior studies have focused on the behavioral correlates of phase-locking to local theta rhythms within the MTL; for example, successful image encoding was found to depend on theta phase-locking strength among hippocampal and amygdala neurons (Rutishauser et al., 2010), while another study found that MTL neurons can represent contextual information

in their theta firing phase (Watrous et al., 2018). Here we showed that hippocampal theta oscillations also inform the timing of widespread cortical activity, and these inter-regional relations existed largely independently of phase-locking to local theta rhythms. From a physiological vantage, theta oscillations thus appear to be positioned at the interplay between local and inter-regional neural computations in the human memory system. Going forward, it will be especially interesting to consider how local and inter-regional phase-locking effects can be teased apart behaviorally, and whether these measures offer independent or overlapping predictions of memory performance. Such analyses could be well positioned to unite findings from animal and human studies and advance a more mechanistic account of episodic memory organization across multiple levels of scale, from single neurons to macroscopic fields.

Methods

Participants.

Subjects were 18 patients with pharmacoresistant epilepsy who were implanted with depth electrodes to monitor seizure activity. Clinical teams determined the location and number of implanted electrodes in each patient. We performed bedside cognitive testing on a laptop computer in consenting patients. The experiment was approved by institutional review boards at the University of California, Los Angeles and the University of Pennsylvania.

Spatial navigation task.

We analyzed data from 43 testing sessions (1-4 sessions per subject, median = 2.5) that were each 25.4 ± 6.9 min ($M \pm SD$) in length. During each session, subjects played a first-person, spatial navigation game called *Yellow Cab*, in which they drove freely around a virtual town while alternating between searching for passengers who appeared at random locations and dropping them off at their requested locations. The specifics of this task along with subjects' performance have been described previously in detail (Ekstrom et al., 2003).

555 **Recording equipment.**

556 Each subject was implanted with six to 12 Behnke-Fried depth electrodes
 557 that feature macroelectrode contacts for clinical monitoring and 40 μ m di-
 558 ameter, platinum-iridium microwires for measuring microscale local field po-
 559 tentials (LFPs) and extracellular action potentials (Fried et al., 1999). Elec-
 560 trode localizations were confirmed by the clinical team using post-operative
 561 structural MRIs or post-operative CT scans that were co-registered to pre-
 562 operative structural MRIs. Microwires were packaged in bundles of eight
 563 high-impedance recording wires and one low-impedance wire that served
 564 as the recording reference. Each microwire bundle was threaded through
 565 the center of a depth probe and extended 5mm from the implanted end.
 566 Microwire LFPs were amplified and sampled at 28-32kHz on a Neuralynx
 567 Cheetah (Neuralynx, Tucson, AZ) or Blackrock NeuroPort (Blackrock Mi-
 568 crosystems, Salt Lake City, UT) recording system.

569 **Spike-sorting.**

570 We performed semi-automatic spike sorting and quality inspection on each
 571 extrahippocampal microwire channel using the WaveClus software package
 572 in Matlab (Quiroga et al., 2004), as previously described (Ekstrom et al.,
 573 2003). We isolated 0-4 single-units from each microwire electrode ($0.6 \pm$
 574 0.8 , $M \pm SD$). Repeated testing sessions occurred on different days, and we
 575 spike-sorted and analyzed these data separately.

576 **Spectral feature extraction.**

577 Hippocampal microwire LFPs were manually checked for recording quality,
 578 and we removed 2/35 hippocampal microwire bundles from the analysis
 579 due to excessive signal contamination. For the remaining electrodes, we
 580 downsampled microwire LFPs to 2kHz, notch-filtered the signal at 60Hz
 581 and harmonic frequencies to remove electrical line noise, and then convolved
 582 LFP traces with five-cycle Morlet wavelets to extract spectral power and
 583 phase at 16, log-spaced frequencies from 0.5 to 90.5Hz ($f = 2^{(x/2)-1}$ Hz for
 584 $x \in 0, 1, \dots, 15$). Power values were log-transformed and then Z-scored,
 585 within-frequency, across all timepoints in the session, separately for each
 586 microwire channel.

587 Hippocampal phase-locking strength and significance.

588 To determine if a neuron phase-locked to LFPs from a given hippocampal
589 microwire bundle, we computed the mean resultant length (MRL) of spec-
590 tral phase values at each spike time during the recording session, for each
591 microwire channel and frequency. The MRL is calculated by dividing the
592 sum across phase-angle unit vectors by the total number of spikes, yielding a
593 measure from zero to one that indicates the extent to which the spike-phase
594 distribution is clustered around a unimodal peak. An $MRL = 1$ means that
595 all spike times occurred at identical hippocampal LFP phases, while chance
596 association between spikes and LFPs is expected to produce a distribution
597 that approaches uniformity ($MRL = 0$) at increasing numbers of spikes.

598 Several factors other than direct spike-LFP interactions can artificially
599 inflate the MRL – for example, if the underlying LFP phase distribution
600 is nonuniform, or if a neuron’s spike train is highly auto-correlated (Siapas
601 et al., 2005)). We used a permutation-based procedure to estimate a neu-
602 ron’s true phase-locking strength while controlling for these spurious effects.
603 This procedure entailed circularly shifting each neuron’s spike train 1,000
604 times by random, independent intervals up to the length of the recording
605 session, generating a null distribution of 1,000 MRLs for each microwire
606 channel and frequency. Phase-locking strengths were calculated by averag-
607 ing MRLs across channels and then Z-scoring a neuron’s actual MRL at each
608 frequency by corresponding values from the null distribution.

609 To determine which neurons phase-locked significantly to the hippocam-
610 pus, we obtained a phase-locking p -value for each neuron by comparing its
611 maximum phase-locking strength (across frequencies) to the null distribu-
612 tion of 1,000 maximum phase-locking strengths from circularly-shifted spike
613 trains ($p = \frac{r+1}{n+1}$, where r is the number of replicate values $\geq$ the actual value,
614 and n is the number of replicates (North et al., 2002)). Lastly, we false dis-
615 covery rate (FDR)-corrected these phase-locking p -values at the study level
616 ($n = 1,233$ neurons), setting $\alpha = 0.05$, using the adaptive linear step-up
617 procedure, which controls the expected proportion of true null hypothe-
618 ses among rejected nulls for both independent and positively-dependent
619 test statistics, and has greater statistical power than the commonly used
620 Benjamini-Hochberg procedure ((Benjamini et al., 2006)). This correction
621 was applied separately for ipsilateral and contralateral phase-locking com-
622 parisons.

623 Spike subsampling procedure to remove local phase-locking 624 effects.

625 We sought to determine if inter-regional phase-locking to hippocampal LFPs
626 could be parsimoniously explained by the presence of spike-LFP phase-
627 locking to locally-recorded signals that exhibited LFP-LFP phase synchrony
628 with the hippocampus. To resolve this question, we subsampled spikes from
629 each neuron in a manner that explicitly removed local phase-locking effects
630 while retaining the largest possible number of spikes for reanalysis. We then
631 reassessed phase-locking significance to the hippocampus in the subsampled
632 spike distributions.

633 The details of this method were as follows: (1) For each neuron that
634 phase-locked significantly to the hippocampus (see “Hippocampal phase-
635 locking strength and significance”), we identified the frequency with the
636 maximum phase-locking strength as the neuron’s “preferred” phase-locking
637 frequency. (2) We calculated spike-coincident LFP phases at the preferred
638 frequency for each of seven neighboring electrodes in the neuron’s microwire
639 bundle. Data from the neuron’s own recording wire were excluded due to
640 spike artifacts in the LFP that can contaminate phase-locking analyses (Ja-
641 cobs et al., 2007). (3) For each of the resulting spike-phase distributions,
642 we categorized spikes according to which of six, evenly-spaced phase bins
643 (0 to $\frac{\pi}{3}$, $\frac{\pi}{3}$ to $\frac{2\pi}{3}$, etc.) they corresponded to. We identified the phase bin
644 with the smallest number of categorized spikes, n_{min} , and randomly selected
645 n_{min} spikes from each of the six phase bins. This process enforced an ap-
646 proximately uniform phase distribution onto the local LFP, and it yielded
647 seven distinct spike subsamples (one for each local electrode). (4) We ran-
648 domly assigned these spike subsamples to seven (out of eight) hippocampal
649 LFPs. Then, following the method described in our original phase-locking
650 analysis, we quantified the phase-locking strength at the preferred frequency
651 by calculating the mean MRL across spike sub-samples and Z-scoring this
652 value against a null distribution of MRLs from 1,000 circularly-shifted spike
653 trains. A phase-locking p -value was calculated as described previously. (5)
654 Finally, p -values were FDR-corrected at the study level using the stringent
655 Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini et al., 2006).

656 LFP power during strongly phase-locked spikes.

657 We examined whether strong phase-locking to hippocampal theta was as-
658 sociated with significant changes in LFP power, a widely studied measure
659 of neural activity. This analysis was performed in the 258 neurons that

phase-locked significantly to ipsilateral hippocampal LFPs at a preferred frequency between 2-8Hz, according to the following procedure. First, we defined “strong phase-locking” as the 20% of spikes that were closest in alignment (absolute value of the phase difference) to each neuron’s mean firing phase at its preferred hippocampal theta phase-locking frequency. We then calculated the mean LFP power at each of 16 frequencies from 0.5 to 90.5Hz (see “Spectral feature extraction”) across these strongly phase-locked spikes times, separately for each microwire recording electrode in the brain. For each neuron, this step yielded a frequency $\times$ electrode matrix of LFP powers during strong phase-locking. We then calculated the mean power at each frequency across electrodes: (1) in the ipsilateral hippocampus; (2) in a neuron’s local region (electrodes from the same microwire bundle, excluding the neuron’s own recording wire); and (3) in all remaining regions. For each neuron, this step yielded a vector of mean LFP powers during strongly phase-locked firing in each of the three regions-of-interest (hippocampus, local region, and remaining regions).

We next sought to determine if the LFP powers during strong phase-locking differed from those during average spiking activity (i.e. spikes selected without regard to hippocampal theta phase). To estimate LFP power during average spiking, we repeatedly selected 20% of spikes from each neuron at random (1,000 permutations) and calculated the mean power in the hippocampus, local region, and remaining regions following the procedure described above. We then Z-scored the LFP powers during strong phase-locking against those from the randomly drawn spike distributions, separately for each frequency and region-of-interest. Finally, to assess whether there were any reliable differences in LFP power during strong phase-locking compared to average spiking across subjects, we calculated the mean Z-scored power for each frequency and region-of-interest, first across all neurons within each subject, and then across all subjects. The means and standard errors of the resulting values are shown in Figure 5C.

Cross-frequency power correlations during strongly phase-locked spikes.

For each of the 258 theta-phase-locked neurons (see “LFP power during strongly phase-locked spikes”), we calculated cross-frequency power correlations between all pairs of regions, at each spike time, according to the following method. First, LFP powers at each of 16 frequencies (0.5-90.5Hz) were averaged across microwire electrodes in each region (i.e. electrodes within each microwire bundle; for the local region, we excluded the neu-

ron’s own recording electrode), yielding a region $\times$ frequency $\times$ timepoint array of power values. Next, for each pair of regions, we calculated the cross-frequency power correlation at each spike time as the Pearson correlation between LFP powers across frequencies in one region and powers at the corresponding frequencies in the second region. It is important to note that these power values were log-transformed and Z-scored across session time prior to performing this analysis (see “Spectral feature extraction”), so there was no 1/f decay that would cause lower frequencies to exert an outsized influence on the cross-frequency power correlation. This measure can instead be interpreted as reflecting the instantaneous similarity between activity in two regions in the spectral power domain. This method is similar to representational similarity analyses that have been used in prior intracranial EEG studies that compared LFP powers at two timepoints within the same region, e.g. during the encoding and recall of word pairs (Manning et al., 2011; Yaffe et al., 2014; Staresina et al., 2016). Here we asked whether the similarity between two regions at the same timepoint was influenced by hippocampal theta phase-locking, independent of a behavioral contrast.

To test the hypothesis that strong phase-locking to hippocampal theta co-occurs with increased cross-frequency power correlations, we first calculated the mean cross-frequency power correlation between each pair of regions across strongly phase-locked spikes (see “LFP power during strongly phase-locked spikes”), yielding a vector of power correlations by region-pair. We then further averaged the power correlations across region-pairs within each of four categories: (1) the local region to ipsilateral hippocampus (a single region-pair); (2) the ipsilateral hippocampus to all remaining regions; (3) the local region to all remaining regions; and (4) all region pairs that did not include the local region or ipsilateral hippocampus.

To determine if cross-frequency power correlations differed during strong phase-locking relative to average spiking activity, we employed the same approach as we used to evaluate differences in LFP powers. Specifically, we Z-scored cross-frequency power correlations during strong phase-locking against randomly drawn spike distributions (1,000 permutations, each with 20% of spikes). This procedure resulted in a Z-scored value for each neuron that reflected the degree to which the cross-frequency power correlation differed during strong phase-locking relative to randomly-drawn spike subsets. Finally, to assess whether these Z-scored power correlations differed reliably across subjects, we calculated the mean Z-scored power correlation within each category of region pairs (local-hippocampus, hippocampus-other, local-other, other-other), first across all neurons within each subject, and then across all subjects. Figure 5D shows the means and standard errors of the

738 resulting values (bar plots), along with the means for each subject scaled by
739 the number of neurons (filled circles).

740 **Statistics.**

741 Linear and logistic mixed-effects models with fixed slopes and random in-
742 tercepts were performed using the lme4 package in R (Baayen et al., 2008).
743 Likelihood ratio tests between full and reduced model fits were used to obtain
744 p -values for interpreting significance. All models included a single random
745 effect of subject or, for data in which multiple observations were sampled
746 from each neuron (noted in the Results), nested random effects of subject
747 and neuron identity. In addition, each model included a single fixed effect
748 that was dropped to generate the reduced model. We adopted this approach
749 to control for subject-level differences in our data that would be overlooked
750 when using conventional methods, like linear regression, that interpret each
751 neuron as being independent of all other neurons. This analytical approach
752 was particularly important for comparing effects between regions, because
753 each subject had electrodes placed in only a subset of the regions that we
754 analyzed. For models in which the independent variable was a categorical
755 measure with three or more levels, if the likelihood ratio test revealed a
756 significant effect ($p < 0.05$), we performed post-hoc, pairwise Z-tests on the
757 fitted model terms with Bonferroni-Holm correction for multiple compar-
758 isons where noted in the Results.

759 **Software.**

760 Mixed-effects effects models were fit using the lme4 package in R (Baayen
761 et al., 2008). Spike-sorting was performed using the WaveClus software pack-
762 age in Matlab (Quiroga et al., 2004). All additional analyses were performed
763 using code that was developed in-house in Python 3, utilizing standard li-
764 braries and the following, publicly-available packages: astropy, mne, numpy,
765 pandas, pycircstat, seaborn, scipy, and statsmodels.

766 **Data availability.**

767 The data used in this study is publicly available for download from the Cog-
768 nitive Electrophysiology Data Portal ([http://memory.psych.upenn.edu/](http://memory.psych.upenn.edu/Electrophysiological_Data)
769 [Electrophysiological_Data](http://memory.psych.upenn.edu/Electrophysiological_Data)). This dataset includes de-identified, raw
770 EEG data; spike-sorted single-unit data; and pre-processed phase-locking
771 data. The download also contains code to reproduce our analyses, including

a Jupyter notebook that will generate the main table and figure plots, and
an R script to run statistical tests.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the patients for their participation and thank hospital staff and researchers who were involved in data acquisition. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation GRFP grant (D.R.S.), NIH U01 (NS113198 to M.J.K.) and NINDS (NS033221 and NS084017 to I.F.), and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Grant HE 8302/1-1 (N.A.H.).

Author Contributions

D.R.S. and M.J.K. designed the experiment. IF performed surgical procedures and supervised recordings and data collection. D.R.S. analyzed the data. D.R.S. wrote the manuscript with feedback from all authors.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Amaral, D. G. (1987). Memory: anatomical organization of candidate brain regions. In *Handbook of physiology: The nervous system*, (Brookhart, J. M. and Mountcastle, V. B., eds), vol. 5, chapter 7, pp. 211–294. American Physiological Society.
- Amaral, D. G., Insausti, R. and Cowan, W. M. (1984). The commissural connections of the monkey hippocampal formation. *J. Comp. Neurol.* *224*, 307–336.
- Baayen, R. H., Davidson, D. J. and Bates, D. M. (2008). Mixed-effects modeling with crossed random effects for subjects and items. *J. Mem. Lang.* *59*, 390–412.
- Babiloni, C., Vecchio, F., Mirabella, G., Buttiglione, M., Sebastiano, F., Picardi, A., Di Gennaro, G., Quarato, P. P., Grammaldo, L. G., Buffo, P., Esposito, V., Manfredi, M., Cantore, G. and Eusebi, F. (2009). Hippocampal, amygdala, and neocortical synchronization of theta rhythms is

801 related to an immediate recall during Rey auditory verbal learning test.
802 *Hum. Brain Mapp.* *30*, 2077–2089.

803 Benchenane, K., Peyrache, A., Khamassi, M., Tierney, P. L., Gioanni, Y.,
804 Battaglia, F. P. and Wiener, S. I. (2010). Coherent theta oscillations
805 and reorganization of spike timing in the hippocampal-prefrontal network
806 upon learning. *Neuron* *66*, 921–936.

807 Benjamini, Y., Krieger, A. M. and Yekutieli, D. (2006). Adaptive linear
808 step-up procedures that control the false discovery rate. *Biometrika* *93*,
809 491–507.

810 Bienvenu, T. C., Busti, D., Magill, P. J., Ferraguti, F. and Capogna, M.
811 (2012). Cell-type-specific recruitment of amygdala interneurons to hip-
812 pocampal theta rhythm and noxious stimuli in vivo. *Neuron* *74*, 1059–
813 1074.

814 Bohbot, V. D., Copara, M. S., Gotman, J. and Ekstrom, A. D. (2017). Low-
815 frequency theta oscillations in the human hippocampus during real-world
816 and virtual navigation. *Nat. Commun.* *8*, 14415.

817 Bush, D., Bisby, J. A., Bird, C. M., Gollwitzer, S., Rodionov, R., Diehl,
818 B., McEvoy, A. W., Walker, M. C. and Burgess, N. (2017). Human hip-
819 pocampal theta power indicates movement onset and distance travelled.
820 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* *114*, 12297–12302.

821 Buzsáki, G. (2010). Neural syntax: cell assemblies, synapsembles, and read-
822 ers. *Neuron* *68*, 362–385.

823 Colgin, L. L. (2016). Rhythms of the hippocampal network. *Nat. Rev.*
824 *Neurosci.* *17*, 239–249.

825 Eichenbaum, H. (2000). A cortical-hippocampal system for declarative
826 memory. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* *1*, 41–50.

827 Ekstrom, A. D., Kahana, M. J., Caplan, J. B., Fields, T. A., Isham, E. A.,
828 Newman, E. L. and Fried, I. (2003). Cellular networks underlying human
829 spatial navigation. *Nature* *425*, 184–188.

830 Fell, J. and Axmacher, N. (2011). The role of phase synchronization in
831 memory processes. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* *12*, 105–118.

832 Fell, J., Ludowig, E., Staresina, B. P., Wagner, T., Kranz, T., Elger, C. E.
833 and Axmacher, N. (2011). Medial temporal theta/alpha power enhance-
834 ment precedes successful memory encoding: evidence based on intracra-
835 nial EEG. *J. Neurosci.* *31*, 5392–5397.

836 Fernández-Ruiz, A., Oliva, A., Nagy, G. A., Maurer, A. P., Berényi, A.
837 and Buzsáki, G. (2017). Entorhinal-CA3 Dual-Input Control of Spike
838 Timing in the Hippocampus by Theta-Gamma Coupling. *Neuron* *93*,
839 1213–1226.e5.

840 Fried, I., Wilson, C. L., Maidment, N. T., Engel, J., Behnke, E., Fields,
841 T. A., Macdonald, K. A., Morrow, J. W. and Ackerson, L. (1999). Cerebral
842 microdialysis combined with single-neuron and electroencephalographic
843 recording in neurosurgical patients. *J. Neurosurg.* *91*, 697–705.

844 Fries, P. (2005). A mechanism for cognitive dynamics: neuronal communi-
845 cation through neuronal coherence. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* *9*, 474–480.

846 Fujisawa, S. and Buzsáki, G. (2011). A 4 Hz oscillation adaptively synchro-
847 nizes prefrontal, VTA, and hippocampal activities. *Neuron* *72*, 153–165.

848 Goyal, A., Miller, J., Qasim, S. E., Watrous, A. J., Zhang, H., Stein, J. M.,
849 Inman, C. S., Gross, R. E., Willie, J. T., Lega, B. and et al. (2020).
850 Functionally distinct high and low theta oscillations in the human hip-
851 pocampus. *Nature Communications* *11*, 2469.

852 Herweg, N. A., Solomon, E. A. and Kahana, M. J. (2020). Theta oscillations
853 in human memory. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* *24*, 208–227.

854 Honey, C. J., Sporns, O., Cammoun, L., Gigandet, X., Thiran, J. P., Meuli,
855 R. and Hagmann, P. (2009). Predicting human resting-state functional
856 connectivity from structural connectivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
857 *106*, 2035–40.

858 Hyman, J. M., Wyble, B. P., Goyal, V., Rossi, C. A. and Hasselmo, M. E.
859 (2003). Stimulation in hippocampal region CA1 in behaving rats yields
860 long-term potentiation when delivered to the peak of theta and long-term
861 depression when delivered to the trough. *J. Neurosci.* *23*, 11725–31.

862 Hyman, J. M., Zilli, E. A., Paley, A. M. and Hasselmo, M. E. (2005). Medial
863 prefrontal cortex cells show dynamic modulation with the hippocampal
864 theta rhythm dependent on behavior. *Hippocampus* *15*, 739–749.

Hyman, J. M., Zilli, E. A., Paley, A. M. and Hasselmo, M. E. (2010). Working memory performance correlates with prefrontal-hippocampal theta interactions but not with prefrontal neuron firing rates. *Front. Integr. Neurosci.* *4*, 3662–3669.

Ito, H. T., Moser, E. I. and Moser, M. B. (2018). Supramammillary nucleus modulates spike-time coordination in the prefrontal-thalamo-hippocampal circuit during navigation. *Neuron* *99*, 576–587.e5.

Jacobs, J. (2014). Hippocampal theta oscillations are slower in humans than in rodents: implications for models of spatial navigation and memory. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* *369*, 20130304.

Jacobs, J., Kahana, M. J., Ekstrom, A. D. and Fried, I. (2007). Brain oscillations control timing of single-neuron activity in humans. *J. Neurosci.* *27*, 3839–3844.

Jones, M. W. and Wilson, M. A. (2005). Theta rhythms coordinate hippocampal-prefrontal interactions in a spatial memory task. *PLoS Biol.* *3*, e402.

Kamiński, J., Brzezicka, A., Mamelak, A. N. and Rutishauser, U. (2020). Combined phase-rate coding by persistently active neurons as a mechanism for maintaining multiple items in working memory in humans. *Neuron* *106*, 256–264.e3.

Kamondi, A., Acsády, L., Wang, X. J. and Buzsáki, G. (1998). Theta oscillations in somata and dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal cells in vivo: activity-dependent phase-precession of action potentials. *Hippocampus* *8*, 244–261.

Kocsis, B. and Vertes, R. P. (1992). Dorsal raphe neurons: synchronous discharge with the theta rhythm of the hippocampus in the freely behaving rat. *J. Neurophysiol.* *68*, 1463–1467.

Kunz, L., Wang, L., Lachner-Piza, D., Zhang, H., Brandt, A., Dümpelmann, M., Reinacher, P. C., Coenen, V. A., Chen, D., Wang, W. X., Zhou, W., Liang, S., Grewe, P., Bien, C. G., Bierbrauer, A., Schröder, T. N., Schulze-Bonhage, A. and Axmacher, N. (2019). Hippocampal theta phases organize the reactivation of large-scale electrophysiological representations during goal-directed navigation. *Sci. Adv.* *5*, eaav8192.

898 Lega, B., Burke, J., Jacobs, J. and Kahana, M. J. (2016). Slow-theta-to-
899 gamma phase-amplitude coupling in human hippocampus supports the
900 formation of new episodic memories. *Cereb. Cortex* *26*, 268–278.

901 Lega, B. C., Jacobs, J. and Kahana, M. (2012). Human hippocampal theta
902 oscillations and the formation of episodic memories. *Hippocampus* *22*,
903 748–761.

904 M. Aghajan, Z., Schuette, P., Fields, T. A., Tran, M. E., Siddiqui, S. M.,
905 Hasulak, N. R., Tcheng, T. K., Eliashiv, D., Mankin, E. A., Stern, J.,
906 Fried, I. and Suthana, N. (2017). Theta oscillations in the human medial
907 temporal lobe during real-world ambulatory movement. *Curr. Biol.* *27*,
908 3743–3751.e3.

909 Manning, J. R., Jacobs, J., Fried, I. and Kahana, M. J. (2009). Broadband
910 shifts in local field potential power spectra are correlated with single-
911 neuron spiking in humans. *J. Neurosci.* *29*, 13613–13620.

912 Manning, J. R., Polyn, S. M., Baltuch, G. H., Litt, B. and Kahana, M. J.
913 (2011). Oscillatory patterns in temporal lobe reveal context reinstatement
914 during memory search. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* *108*, 12893–12897.

915 Moscovitch, M., Cabeza, R., Winocur, G. and Nadel, L. (2016). Episodic
916 memory and beyond: the hippocampus and neocortex in transformation.
917 *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* *67*, 105–134.

918 Nir, Y., Fisch, L., Mukamel, R., Gelbard-Sagiv, H., Arieli, A., Fried, I.
919 and Malach, R. (2007). Coupling between Neuronal Firing Rate, Gamma
920 LFP, and BOLD fMRI Is Related to Interneuronal Correlations. *Current*
921 *Biology* *17*, 1275–1285.

922 North, B., Curtis, D. and Sham, P. (2002). A note on the calculation of
923 empirical P values from Monte Carlo procedures. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*
924 *71*, 439–41.

925 Padilla-Coreano, N., Canetta, S., Mikofsky, R. M., Alway, E., Passecker, J.,
926 Myroshnychenko, M. V., Garcia-Garcia, A. L., Warren, R., Teboul, E.,
927 Blackman, D. R., Morton, M. P., Hupalo, S., Tye, K. M., Kellendonk, C.,
928 Kupferschmidt, D. A. and Gordon, J. A. (2019). Hippocampal-Prefrontal
929 Theta Transmission Regulates Avoidance Behavior. *Neuron* *104*, 601–
930 610.e4.

- 931 Pikkarainen, M., Rönkkö, S., Savander, V., Insausti, R. and Pitkänen, A.
932 (1999). Projections from the lateral, basal and accessory basal nuclei of
933 the amygdala to the perirhinal and postrhinal cortices in rat. *J. Comp.*
934 *Neurol.* *403*, 229–60.
- 935 Quiroga, R. Q., Nadasdy, Z. and Ben-Shaul, Y. (2004). Unsupervised spike
936 detection and sorting with wavelets and superparamagnetic clustering.
937 *Neural Comput.* *16*, 1661–1687.
- 938 Rutishauser, U., Ross, I. B., Mamelak, A. N. and Schuman, E. M. (2010).
939 Human memory strength is predicted by theta-frequency phase-locking of
940 single neurons. *Nature* *464*, 903–907.
- 941 Siapas, A. G., Lubenov, E. V. and Wilson, M. A. (2005). Prefrontal phase
942 locking to hippocampal theta oscillations. *Neuron* *46*, 141–151.
- 943 Sirota, A., Montgomery, S., Fujisawa, S., Isomura, Y., Zugaro, M. and
944 Buzsáki, G. (2008). Entrainment of neocortical neurons and gamma os-
945 cillations by the hippocampal theta rhythm. *Neuron* *60*, 683–697.
- 946 Solomon, E. A., Kragel, J. E., Sperling, M. R., Sharan, A., Worrell, G.,
947 Kucewicz, M., Inman, C. S., Lega, B., Davis, K. A., Stein, J. M., Jobst,
948 B. C., Zaghoul, K. A., Sheth, S. A., Rizzuto, D. S. and Kahana, M. J.
949 (2017). Widespread theta synchrony and high-frequency desynchroniza-
950 tion underlies enhanced cognition. *Nat. Commun.* *8*, 1704.
- 951 Staresina, B. P., Michelmann, S., Bonnefond, M., Jensen, O., Axmacher, N.
952 and Fell, J. (2016). Hippocampal pattern completion is linked to gamma
953 power increases and alpha power decreases during recollection. *Elife* *5*,
954 e17397.
- 955 Strange, B. A., Witter, M. P., Lein, E. S. and Moser, E. I. (2014). Functional
956 organization of the hippocampal longitudinal axis. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.*
957 *15*, 655–669.
- 958 Watrous, A. J., Lee, D. J., Izadi, A., Gurkoff, G. G., Shahlaie, K. and Ek-
959 strom, A. D. (2013). A comparative study of human and rat hippocampal
960 low-frequency oscillations during spatial navigation. *Hippocampus* *23*,
961 656–661.
- 962 Watrous, A. J., Miller, J., Qasim, S. E., Fried, I. and Jacobs, J. (2018).
963 Phase-tuned neuronal firing encodes human contextual representations
964 for navigational goals. *Elife* *7*, e32554.

- 965 Watrous, A. J., Tandon, N., Conner, C. R., Pieters, T. and Ekstrom, A. D.
 966 (2013). Frequency-specific network connectivity increases underlie accu-
 967 rate spatiotemporal memory retrieval. *Nat. Neurosci.* *16*, 349–356.
- 968 Yaffe, R. B., Kerr, M. S. D., Damera, S., Sarma, S. V., Inati, S. K. and
 969 Zaghloul, K. A. (2014). Reinstatement of distributed cortical oscillations
 970 occurs with precise spatiotemporal dynamics during successful memory
 971 retrieval. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* *111*, 18727–18732.
- 972 Zhang, H., Watrous, A. J., Patel, A. and Jacobs, J. (2018). Theta and
 973 alpha oscillations are traveling waves in the human neocortex. *Neuron*
 974 *98*, 1269–1281.e4.
- 975 Zheng, J., Stevenson, R. F., Mander, B. A., Mnatsakanyan, L., Hsu, F. P. K.,
 976 Vadera, S., Knight, R. T., Yassa, M. A. and Lin, J. J. (2019). Multiplexing
 977 of theta and alpha rhythms in the amygdala-hippocampal circuit supports
 978 pattern separation of emotional information. *Neuron* *102*, 887–898.e5.