#### Trophic niche of the invasive gregarious species Crepidula 1 fornicata, in relation to ontogenic changes 2

3 4

5

6

Thibault Androuin<sup>1,2</sup>, Stanislas F. Dubois<sup>2</sup>, Cédric Hubas<sup>3</sup>, Gwendoline Lefebvre<sup>4</sup>, Fabienne Le Grand<sup>4</sup>, Gauthier Schaal<sup>4</sup>, Antoine Carlier<sup>2</sup>.

7 <sup>1</sup> ISMER, UQAR, Rimouski, Canada

8 <sup>2</sup> IFREMER, DYNECO-LEBCO, Technopole Brest-Iroise, CS10070, Plouzané, France

9 <sup>3</sup> UMR BOREA, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Concarneau, France

10 <sup>4</sup> UMR CNRS 6539, LEMAR-IUEM-UBO, Plouzané, France

## 11

12 Abstract 13

14 Crepidula fornicata is a common and widespread invasive gregarious species along the European coast. 15 Among its life-history traits, well documented ontogenic changes in behavior (i.e., motile male to sessile female) 16 suggest a potential shift in feeding strategy across its life stages. Considering the ecological significance of this 17 species in colonized areas, understanding how conspecifics share the trophic resource is crucial. Using fatty acids 18 (FA) and stable isotopes (SI) as complementary trophic markers, we conducted a field survey between late winter 19 and spring to investigate the trophic niche of three ontogenic stages of C. fornicata that bear different sexual 20 (male/female) and motility (motile/sessile) traits. Potential trophic sources were characterized by their pigment, 21 FA and SI compositions and showed well discriminated compositions over the studied period. We showed that the 22 biofilm covering C. fornicata shells harbored a higher biomass of primary producers (i.e., chlorophytes and 23 diatoms) than the surrounding sediment. Over the studied period, we observed a covariation between the three 24 ontogenic stages for both FA and SI compositions which suggest that the trophic niche of C. fornicata does not 25 change significantly across its benthic life. During periods of low food availability, slipper limpets displayed 26 an opportunistic suspension-feeding behaviour, relying on both fresh and detrital organic matter, likely 27 coming from superficial sedimentary organic matter. However, during high food availability (i.e., spring 28 phytoplankton bloom), all ontogenic stages largely benefited from this fresh supply of organic matter (pelagic 29 diatoms in this case). The three ontogenic stages showed consistent differences in FA composition, and to a 30 lesser extent in SI composition. These differences persist over time, as they originate from ontogenic 31 physiological changes (differential growth rates, metabolic rate or gametogenesis) rather than diet 32 discrepancies. This study revealed that multiple trophic markers allow high complementary to characterize 33 organic matter as well as food partitioning between conspecific organisms.

34

- 35 Key words: Crepidula fornicata, trophic niche, ontogenic shift, fatty acids, stable isotopes, pigments, Bay of Brest
- 36

37 Corresponding authors: thibault.androuin01@gmail.com 38

#### 40 1. Introduction

41

42 The slipper limpet Crepidula fornicata is a non-indigenous and invasive gastropod originating from 43 the East coast of the US (Blanchard, 1997). This species extensively colonized shallow soft bottom habitats 44 of European coasts, from Norway to the Mediterranean Sea (Blanchard, 1997), Because of its introduction 45 in many parts of the world and its potential cascading effect on food web functioning (Arbach Leloup et al., 46 2008; Chauvaud et al., 2000; Cugier et al., 2010), several studies have closely investigated its diet and 47 inferred potential trophic overlap with co-occurring benthic species (Blanchard et al., 2008; P Decottignies 48 et al., 2007; Priscilla Decottignies et al., 2007; Lefebvre et al., 2009; Riera, 2007; Riera et al., 2002). C. 49 fornicata is overall considered has an opportunistic suspension-feeder, able to feed on a large array of trophic 50 sources (e.g., phytoplankton, microphytobenthos, macroalgae, bacteria), depending on their availability. 51 Based on stable isotope ratios, it has been hypothesised a potentially large contribution of microphytobenthos, 52 and more specifically benthic diatoms, in the diet of C. fornicata (P Decottignies et al., 2007; Guérin, 2004; 53 Lefebvre et al., 2009; Riera, 2007). However, the unexpected presence of inorganic carbonates in C. fornicata 54 soft tissues have led to overestimate  $\delta^{13}C$  ratios in the consumer and then to overestimate the trophic role of 55 microphytobenthos (Androuin et al., 2019).

56 C. fornicata is a hermaphroditic gregarious species, which begins its benthic life as a low-motile 57 male and become a sessile female. Sessile adults form stacks of several non-moving individuals, while 58 juveniles and small males (~10 mm) are motile (Coe, 1936). Adult females are suspension-feeders, but 59 contrary to bivalves, they lack labial palp and showed no anatomical or functional potential for qualitative 60 selection (Beninger et al., 2007). They form a food cord in a groove at the distal end of their gill filaments 61 and ultimately catch this cord with their radula before ingesting it (Shumway et al., 2014). For the related 62 species Crepidula fecunda, which exhibits ontogenic behavior changes comparable to those of C. fornicata, 63 it has been demonstrated that newly settled individuals first adopt a grazing feeding mechanism and gradually 64 shift to a suspension-feeding behaviour once their gill are fully developed (Montiel et al., 2005). Young 65 individuals are able to use both feeding mechanisms (i.e., grazing and suspension-feeding) during the motile 66 phase of their life cycle (size < 28 mm), whereas females are exclusive suspension-feeders (Chaparro et al., 2002; Navarro and Chaparro, 2002). Such observations have also been suggested for C. fornicata but without 67 68 further behavioral evidence nor quantitative measurements (Breton and Huriez, 2010; Yee and Padilla, 2015). 69 Since C. fornicata often occurs in large densities (up to 2000 ind.  $m^{-2}$ ) on the seafloor with all ontogenic 70 stages grouped in stacks (Guérin, 2004; Martin et al., 2006), one can expect strong intraspecific interactions 71 for food. These interactions could be either facilitative or competitive depending on ontogenic feeding 72 ecology. While purely suspension-feeding slipper limpets should compete for food among ontogenic stages, 73 recent works suggested that younger individuals may be facilitated by adults, both via a higher substrate 74 availability (de Montaudouin and Accolla, 2018) and through the grazing of microphytobenthic microalgae 75 colonizing adult shells (Androuin et al., 2018). Given that C. fornicata often proliferates on muddy and turbid 76 habitats with high suspended inorganic load, grazing behavior of motile males could also prevent the 77 overloading of their digestive tract with inert matter of low nutritional quality (Navarro and Chaparro, 2002).

78 Different trophic markers have long been used to investigate the trophic niche of marine benthic 79 invertebrates (e.g., Blanchet-Aurigny et al., 2015; Cresson et al., 2016; Dubois and Colombo, 2014) and to 80 describe the origin of assimilated particulate organic matter (hereafter OM) (Ke et al., 2017; Lavaud et al., 81 2018; Liénart et al., 2017). As mentioned earlier, carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes (SI) are broadly used 82 used to infer trophic niche of consumers (Fry and Sherr, 1984; Layman et al., 2012). Classically, nitrogen 83 isotope ratio informs about the trophic position of a species and carbon isotope ratio reflects the origin of 84 assimilated food sources (e.g., continental vs. oceanic). In coastal ecosystems, the diet of most of benthic 85 primary consumers is composed of a mixture of OM from various origins (phytoplankton, macroalgae, 86 continental detritus, zooplankton, etc) which are often difficult to disentangle with isotopes of only two 87 elements, namely carbon and nitrogen. This diversity of food sources implies that complementary trophic 88 markers are relevant to complement SI intel (Majdi et al., 2018). For instance, pigment analyses have been 89 widely used to study community composition of microscopic primary producers in the water column or in 90 the sediment, since some pigments are specific of clades of algae (Brotas and Plante-Cuny, 2003; Roy et al., 91 2011). To a lesser extent, fatty acid compositions can be also specific of group of organisms, such as diatoms, 92 bacteria, copepods or vascular plants, (Dalsgaard et al., 2003; Kelly and Scheibling, 2012). Recently, the 93 combined use of SI, FA and pigments improved our understanding of trophic pathways from the sources of

particulate OM to benthic primary consumers (Lavaud et al., 2018; Majdi et al., 2018).


95 In this study, we investigated the trophic niche of *C. fornicata* and quantified intra-specific diet shift 96 associated with ontogenic behavior changes (i.e., motile male to sessile female). For this purpose, we 97 conducted a field survey and characterized potential OM sources by their SI, FA and pigments compositions 98 and inferred their assimilation in *C. fornicata* tissues using both SI and FA trophic markers. Based on 99 previous experimental study in stimulated microphytobenthic biofilm in *C. fornicata* beds (Androuin et al., 100 2018), we expect ontogenic trophic shift to happen within stacks, with a higher contribution of biofilm to motile males than to sessile males and females.

- 103 2. Materials and methods
- 104

102

# 105 2.1. Sampling strategy106

107The bay of Brest (Brittany, France) is a 180 km² semi-enclosed marine ecosystem. The sampling108site is located near the Elorn estuary (48°23'N, 4°23', average depth: 10 m) in a dense *C. fornicata* beds109(~2000 ind. m<sup>-2</sup>) (Guérin, 2004). Potential OM sources and *C. fornicata* individuals were collected by110SCUBA divers at five sampling dates (S1 = 26<sup>th</sup> February, S2 = 21<sup>th</sup> March, S3 = 28<sup>th</sup> March, S4 = 12<sup>th</sup> April111and S5 = 14<sup>th</sup> June) around mid and flood tide to ensure homogeneous mixing between estuarine and oceanic112water. The late winter - spring period was chosen to encompass a period with potentially contrasted OM113sources availability (e.g., spring blooms) (Figure 1).



115

Figure 1: Sampling dates (S1 to S5) at the study site superimposed with weekly chlorophyll *a* concentration at the entrance of the bay of Brest in 2018 (data from the French Coastal Monitoring Network SOMLIT; http://somlit.epoc.u-bordeaux1.fr/fr/).

119 Suspended particulate organic matter (SPOM) was sampled using two 8-litersNiskin bottles at 50 120 cm above the sediment-water interface, immediately filtered on board onto a 200 µm nylon mesh to remove 121 large zooplankton and particles. In the laboratory, between 1 and 1.5 L was filtered on pre-combusted (450°C 122 for 5 hours) GF/F filters (0.7 μm). Three replicates for each of the three analyses (SI, FA and pigment) were 123 obtained. Superficial sedimentary organic matter (SSOM) was sampled from three cores of 15 cm diameter 124 and 15 cm depth. In the laboratory, the sediment-water interface was re-suspended by flushing seawater with 125 a 30 ml syringe following a standardized process: 60 ml of SSOM was pre-filtered on a 200 µm nylon mesh 126 to being consistent with SPOM samples and filtered on pre-combusted (450°C during 5 hours) GF/F filters 127 (0.7 µm). Three replicates for each of the three analyses were obtained. Biofilm from one C. fornicata stacks 128 was scrapped off using a toothbrush and suspended in 600 ml of filtered seawater (0.7 µm). 200 ml of the 129 suspended solution was filtered on pre-combusted (450°C for 5 hours) on GF/F filters (0.7 µm). Three 130 replicates for each of the three analyses were obtained. Filters for FA analysis were put in glass tubes 131 containing 6 ml of chloroform-methanol (2:1, v:v) solution and stored at -80°C before analysis, whereas 132 filters for pigment and SI analysis were immediately stored at -80°C.

Females of *C. fornicata* were sampled at the bottom of the stacks (mean shell length  $33 \pm 6$  mm), attached to a dead *C. fornicata* shell. Sessile and motile males were sampled if they had a penis and a mean shell length of  $20 \pm 8$  mm and  $10 \pm 1$  mm, respectively. We used the digestive gland as a relevant trophic integrator tissue because it has a higher turnover rate than muscle tissue and is an energy storage organ enriched in lipids (McCutchan et al., 2003; Vander Zanden et al., 2015). However, since digestive gland and gonad are fused in a single organ in *C. fornicata*, we analysed both tissues together for sessile males and females. Because gonads are comparatively small in motile males, the whole body was used to ensure sufficient lipid concentration. At each date and for each ontogenic stage, both stable isotope (SI) and fatty acid (FA) analyses of *C. fornicata* were performed on subsamples originating from the same tissue sample.

142

# 143 2.2. Pigment analysis144

145 The photosynthetic communities of SSOM, biofilm and SPOM have been analyzed by the 146 quantification of pigments by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) according to Brotas and 147 Plante-Cuny (2003). Filters were crushed and extracted in 3 ml of 95 % cold buffered methanol (2 % 148 ammonium acetate) for 20 min at -20°C in the dark. Samples were centrifugated for 3 minutes at 3000 g after 149 the extraction period. Extracts were then filtered with Whatman membrane filters (0.2 mm) immediately 150 before HPLC analysis. Pigment extracts were analysed using an Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC composed of a 151 quaternary pump (VL 400 bar), a UV–VIS photodiode array detector (DAD 1260 VL, 190–950 nm), and a 152 100 µl sample manual injection loop (overfilled with 250 µl). Chromatographic separation was carried out 153 using a C18 column for reverse phase chromatography (Supelcosil, 25 cm long, 4.6 mm inner diameter). The 154 solvents used were A: 0.5 M ammonium acetate in methanol and water (85:15, v:v), B: acetonitrile and water 155 (90:10, v:v), and C: 100 % ethyl acetate. The solvent gradient followed the Brotas and Plante-Cuny method 156 (2003), with a flow rate of  $0.5 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$ . Identification and calibration of the HPLC peaks were performed 157 with chlorophyll  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta\beta$ -carotene, chlorophyll c2, diatoxanthin, diadinoxanthin and fucoxanthin standards. All 158 peaks detected were identified by their absorption spectra and relative retention times using the Open Lab 159 CDS software (ChemStation Edition for LC/MS Systems, Agilent Technologies). Ouantification was 160 performed by repeated injections of standards over a range of dilutions to establish a standard curve of 161 concentrations. Pigment percentages were expressed relatively to the surface/volume sampled ( $\mu g.cm^{-2}$  for 162 biofilm and SSOM, and  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for SPOM). We measured the mean surface of three stacks of C. fornicata to 163 standardize surfaces. 164

#### 165 2.3. Stable isotope analysis

166

#### 167 $\delta^{15}$ N and $\delta^{13}$ C analyses were carried out independently for both OM sources and C. fornicata tissues. 168 For OM sources, filters were freeze-dried and split in two equal parts. Half of the filter was scrapped off and 169 weighed in tin capsules for $\delta^{15}N$ analysis. The second half was decarbonated using acid-flume (10 N hydrochloric acid solution) for 7 hours (Lorrain et al., 2003), dried at 40 °C for 12 h, scrapped off and weighed 170 171 in tin capsules for $\delta^{13}$ C analysis. C. fornicata samples were freeze-dried and ground into homogenous powder 172 using a mortar and pestle. Approximately 400 $\mu$ g of powder was weighed in tin capsules for $\delta^{15}$ N analysis. 173 Because both lipids content and inorganic carbonates can influence $\delta^{13}C$ (Androuin et al., 2019; McCutchan 174 et al., 2003), approximately 400 µg of powder was added to 1 ml of cyclohexane in Eppendorf tubes. Tubes 175 were vortexed and centrifuged at 3000 g during 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the tubes dried at 176 40°C during 12 h. If the supernatant remained coloured, the sample was re-processed. Lipid-free tissues were 177 then weighed in silver capsules and in-cup decarbonated using 1N HCl. Each capsule was visually checked, 178 dried at 40°C during 1 h, and sealed. Samples were analysed for $\delta^{15}$ N and $\delta^{13}$ C by continuous flow on a 179 Thermo Scientific Flash EA 2000 elemental analyser coupled to a Delta V Plus mass spectrometer at the Pôle 180 de Spectrométrie Océan (PSO, Plouzané, France). Results are expressed in standard $\delta$ notation based on 181 international standards (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite for $\delta^{13}$ C and atmospheric nitrogen for $\delta^{15}$ N) following 182 the equation:

- 183
- 184 185

 $\delta = [(Rsample/Rstandard) - 1] \times 10^3$  (in ‰), where R is  ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$  or  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$ .

186 International isotopic standards of known  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  values were used: IAEA-600 Caffeine, IAEA-CH-6 Sucrose, IAEA-N-1 and IAEA-N-2 Ammonium Sulphate. The analytical precision was estimated using the 188 standard deviation of an internal standard (Thermo Acetanilide, n = 8), as  $\pm 0.11$  ‰ and  $\pm 0.07$  ‰ for  $\delta^{13}C$ 189 and  $\delta^{15}N$  values, respectively.

#### 191 **2.4.** Fatty acids analysis

193 Freeze-dried powder of C. fornicata was subsampled for FA analyses: between 2 and 20 mg -194 depending on ontogenic stages - were immediately put in glass tubes (previously heated for 6 h at 450°C) 195 containing 6 mL of a chloroform/methanol mixture (2:1, v:v), and extracted with a Dounce homogenizer. 196 OM sources and *C. fornicata* samples were sonicated during 10 min and kept at -20°C until further analysis. 197 The total lipid fractions were analyzed in OM sources, whereas only the neutral lipids were analyzed in C. 198 fornicata samples. The detailed analysis method for separation and methylation is detailed in Le Grand et al. 199 (2014). Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were analyzed in a Varian CP 8400 gas chromatograph (GC) 200 equipped with a split/splitless injector and a flame-ionization detector (FID). FAMEs were identified using 201 two different capillary columns (ZBWAX 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm i.d., 0.25  $\mu$ m thickness, Phenomenex®; and ZB-202 5HT 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm i.d., 0.25  $\mu$ m thickness, Phenomenex®) by means of a standard 37 component FAME 203 mix (Sigma Aldrich®) and other known standard mixtures. FAs were expressed as the molar percentage of 204 the total FA content.

205 206

207

192

#### 2.5. Statistical analyses

208 Pigment and FA compositions of OM sources, and FA compositions of ontogenic stages of C. 209 fornicata were represented using a non-metric multidimensional Scaling (n-MDS). Homogeneity of the data 210 was tested using permutational analyses of multivariate dispersion (PERMDISP) (Anderson, 2001). 211 Statistical analyses on OM sources (pigments and FA) and C. fornicata (FA) were conducted using a nonparametric distanced-based permutation multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) based on a Bray-212 213 Curtis distance. Analyses were performed using two variables: OM sources or ontogenic stages (3 levels' 214 factors) and sampling dates (5 levels' factor). Each date was considered independent due to the relative high 215 turnover rate of both microorganisms found in the OM sources as well as the cells in the sampled tissues in 216 C. fornicata. Following significant PERMANOVA results, post hoc tests were carried out using multiple 217 pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni correction to identify differences among factors (Martinez Arbizu, 218 2017). However, the number of samples at each sampling date (3 < n < 5) was not sufficient to allow 219 significant differences among the two factor levels in interaction, because of lack of statistical power when 220 using Bonferroni correction in too many multiple comparisons. Therefore, post hoc comparisons of 221 interaction term were not investigated. Finally, a SIMPER analysis was used to identify the FA explaining 222 most of the dissimilarities between sampling dates and OM sources/ontogenic stages of C. fornicata.

Temporal variations and differences in SI ratios and pigment ratios/FA markers between OM sources/ontogenic stages of *C. fornicata* and sampling dates were assessed using two-way factorial analyses of variance (ANOVA). When significant, *post hoc* multiple comparisons were carried out using Tukey HSD. Normality and homogeneity of residuals were graphically assessed. Because concentrations in SPOM were not comparable with biofilm and SSOM (surface *vs.* volume), only SSOM and biofilm concentrations were compared together using the same procedure. Statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.3.0 (R Core Team, 2016) using packages 'vegan', 'plyr', 'FactoMiner', and 'ggplot'.

#### 231 **3. Results**

#### 233 3.1. Organic matter sources

- 235 3.1.1. Pigments and fatty acids compositions
- 236

232

234


237 Overall, OM sources were well discriminated by their pigment compositions (Figure 2a), and by238 their FA compositions (Figure 2b).

239 Pigment compositions significantly differed between OM sources and sampling dates (p < 0.001 in both 240 cases) and the interaction between the two factors was significant (p < 0.001). SIMPER analysis revealed 241 that 80 % of the variability was explained by 7 pigments (Table S1). The biofilm was characterized by higher 242 percentages of chlorophyll b and neoxanthin, together with one unknown pigment. SSOM was characterized 243 by pheophytin a, pheophorbide a, and to a lesser extent lutein, whereas fucoxanthin and alloxanthin mainly 244 discriminated SPOM. Temporal variations were mainly driven by a constant increase in both pheophorbide 245 a and pheophytin a percentages for all OM sources. Fucoxanthin also showed an increase over time, except 246 for SPOM and SSOM at S5 (Table S1).

Similarly, FA compositions also significantly differed between OM sources and sampling dates (p < 0.001in both cases), and the two factors showed a significant interaction (p < 0.001). According to the SIMPER analysis, SSOM was characterized by higher percentages of 22:0, 16:1n-7, 18:1n-7 and a lower percentage of 16:0 (Table S2). The FA 18:0 and 20:4n-6 mostly discriminated biofilm whereas SPOM had higher percentages of 14:0 and 22:2n-6, and a lower percentage of 20:5n-3. In terms of temporal variations, both biofilm and SPOM showed similar decrease in saturated FA (i.e., 16:0 and 18:0) and increase in 16:1n-7 and 20:5n-3, especially between S4 and S5 (Table S2). SSOM exhibited less variable FA composition over time.




260




<sup>-1.0</sup>
<sup>-0.5</sup>
<sup>-0.6</sup>
<sup>-0.6</sup>
<sup>-0.6</sup>
<sup>-0.6</sup>
<sup>-0.6</sup>
<sup>-0.4</sup>
<sup>0.0</sup>
<sup>0.6</sup>
<sup>-0.4</sup>
<sup>0.0</sup>
<sup>0.6</sup>
<sup>0.6</sup>
<sup>-0.6</sup>
<sup>-0.6</sup>
<sup>0.0</sup>
<sup>0.6</sup>
<sup></sup>

261 Total FA concentration did not show significant temporal variations for any OM sources (Figure 262 3a), with the biofilm always exhibiting higher concentration of total FA than SSOM (p < 0.05 at each 263 sampling date). Chlorophyll (chl) a concentration increased over time in PPOM up to sampling date S4 (1.8  $\pm 0.2 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$  (p < 0.05) as well as in biofilm (reaching  $3.9 \pm 1 \ mg \ m^{-2}$  at S5) even if differences were not 264 265 significant due to high between-samples variability (Figure 3b). Chl a concentration in SSOM remained 266 constant and was lower than in biofilm for each date (p < 0.05 in all cases). Fucoxanthin concentration 267 increased over time for both SPOM and SSOM (p < 0.05 and p < 0.05, respectively), followed by a decrease 268 in S5 (Figure 3c). Fucoxanthin concentration is the highest in biofilm, which showed a similar increasing 269 trend that is not statistically supported because of high variability between samples. The chl b: chl a ratio 270 was 3 to 7-fold higher for biofilm than for SSOM (p < 0.001) and SPOM (p < 0.001) (Figure 3d). 271 Fucoxanthin: chl a (Figure 3e) and chl c: chl a (Figure 3f) ratios did not show clear temporal patterns for any 272 OM sources and. However, they were 5 to 50-fold higher in SSOM than in SPOM (p < 0.001) and biofilm 273 (p < 0.001) over the studied period, respectively.



3.1.2. Stable isotopes composition

282 283 The three OM sources were well discriminated by their  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values over the studied period. 284 Their  $\delta^{13}$ C signal varied significantly according to both OM sources and sampling dates, and the interaction 285 between the two factors was significant (p < 0.001, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001, respectively). PPOM was always depleted in <sup>13</sup>C compared to RPOM (p < 0.001 at each date) and biofilm (p < 0.01 at each date) (Figure 4a, 286 287 Table S3). Significant temporal  $\delta^{13}$ C variations were only observed in biofilm, with higher values at S5 than 288 at S2 (p < 0.001) or S4 (p < 0.001). Biofilm was significantly enriched in <sup>15</sup>N compared to both PPOM (p < 0.001) 289 0.001) and RPOM (p < 0.001) (Figure 4b, Table S3). There was no interaction between OM sources and 290 sampling dates (p = 0.26).



291  $\delta^{13}C$  (‰) 292 Figure 4:  $\delta^{15}N$  (a) and  $\delta^{13}C$  (b) isotopic compositions (mean ± SD, n = 3), and overall isotopic biplot (c) 293 obtained of organic matter sources (Biofilm, suspended particulate organic matter (SPOM), superficial 294 sedimentary organic matter (SSOM)). S1 to S5 correspond to the sampling dates (S1 = 26<sup>th</sup> February, 295 S2 = 21<sup>st</sup> March, S3 = 28<sup>th</sup> March, S4 = 12<sup>th</sup> April and S5 = 14<sup>th</sup> June). 296


#### 297 3.2. Crepidula fornicata

298

300

#### 299 3.2.1. Fatty acids composition

301 FA composition of *C. fornicata* significantly differed between ontogenic stages and sampling dates 302 (p < 0.001) in both cases) and the interaction between the two factors was significant (p < 0.001). The analysis 303 of multivariate dispersion was also significant (p < 0.05), indicating that multivariate dispersion was not 304 homogeneous. This was clearly illustrated by the n-MDS (when comparing the convex hull areas) where 305 motile males showed much higher variation than sessile females and sessile males exhibited an intermediate 306 level of variation (Figure 5). Pairwise SIMPER analyses between ontogenic stages revealed that sessile males 307 were mainly characterized by saturated FA 16:0 and 18:0, especially at the two first sampling dates (Table 308 S4). Sessile females differed from both motile and sessile males by higher percentages of  $C_{20}$  FA such as 309 20:5n-3, 22:6n-3 and 20:1n-11, but also higher percentages of odd branched FA as iso17:0 (Table S4). Sessile 310 males showed an overall comparable FA composition than sessile females but exhibited higher variability 311 between sampling dates, as shown by the n-MDS. The SIMPER analyses performed between dates revealed 312 that FA that most contributed to the observed temporal changes were the FA 16:0, 18:0 and 22:6n-3 313 decreasing over time, and the FA 20:5n-3 and 16:1n-7 increasing over time, all accounting for approximately 314 45 % of the dissimilarity over the 5 sampling dates (Table S4).



315


316 317

- 318
- 319

(motile males, sessile males, sessile females). S1 to S5 correspond to the sampling dates (S1 =  $26^{th}$  February, S2 =  $21^{st}$  March, S3 =  $28^{th}$  March, S4 =  $12^{th}$  April and S5 =  $14^{th}$  June).

All FA percentages and ratios changed significantly between sampling dates (p < 0.001 in all cases) and between ontogenic stages (p < 0.05 in all cases, except for 16:1n-7 and 18:4n-3). The interaction terms were always significant ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Overall, temporal variations in FA composition were the highest in motile males, the lowest for females and intermediate for sessile males.

324 PUFA/SFA ratio increased over time for both motile and sessile males but remained constant in females 325 (Figure 6a). There was no significant difference between ontogenic stages at sampling date S5. The relative 326 abundance of branched FA was quite variable but significantly higher in sessile females than in motile males 327 (p > 0.05) (Figure 6b). The highest values in branched FA was recorded in sessile females at S1  $(11.2 \pm 4.3)$ 328 and the lowest in sessile males at S5 ( $3.8 \pm 1$ ). The ratio between 20:5n-3 and 22:6n-3 exhibited temporal 329 variations for all ontogenic stages (Figure 6c), with a strong increase in S5 (p < 0.001 in all cases) where 330 values ranged from  $2.5 \pm 0.5$  in sessile females to  $4 \pm 0.6$  in motile males. The FA 16:1n-7 followed the same 331 trend as the 20:5n-3 / 22:6n-3 ratio with a more gradual increase over time for all ontogenic stages (Figure 332 6d). The highest values (from  $3.6 \pm 0.9$  in sessile females to  $6.4 \pm 1.9$  in motile males) were also recorded at 333 S5. The FA 18:4n-3 also showed strong temporal variations in all ontogenic stages (Figure 6e), with an 334 increase up to S4 followed by a decrease at S5. Finally, the n-3/n-6 ratio showed no temporal variation for 335 sessile females but increased significantly over time up to S4 in both sessile (p < 0.01 in all cases) and motile 336 males (p < 0.05 in all cases) (Figure 6f).




337 338 Figure 6: Relative abundance of fatty acids (FA) in the three ontogenic stages of Crepidula fornicata 339 (motile males, sessile males, sessile females) (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 5): (a) Polyunsaturated FA / Saturated 340 FA ratio, (b) Branched FA, (c) 20:5n-3 / 22:6n-3 ratio, (d) 16:1n-7, (e) 18:4n-3 and (f) n-3/n-6 ratio. S1 341 to S5 correspond to the sampling dates (S1 =  $26^{\text{th}}$  February, S2 =  $21^{\text{st}}$  March, S3 =  $28^{\text{th}}$  March, S4 = 342  $12^{\text{th}}$  April and  $S5 = 14^{\text{th}}$  June).

344 3.2.2. Stable isotopes composition

345

346 Overall, the three ontogenic stages exhibited similar isotopic patterns over time (Figures 7a and 7b, 347 respectively). No interactions were found between ontogenic stage and sampling date, both for carbon (p =348 0.6) and nitrogen (p = 0.27). Motile males were significantly depleted in <sup>13</sup>C compared to sessile females (p < 0.05) and significantly depleted in <sup>15</sup>N compared to both sessile females (p < 0.001) and males (p < 0.05). 349 350 Significant temporal variations were observed for  $\delta^{13}C$  (p < 0.001) with a marked <sup>13</sup>C enrichment at S5 351 compared to all other sampling dates (p < 0.05 in all cases), up to 2 % when compared to S4. A significant 352 temporal decrease in  $\delta^{15}$ N was found (p < 0.001) for all ontogenic stages.



The state  $\delta^{15}C$  (%0) 354 Figure 7:  $\delta^{15}N$  (a) and  $\delta^{13}C$  (b) isotopic compositions (mean ± SD, n = 5), and corresponding isotopic 355 biplot (c) for three ontogenic stages of *Crepidula fornicata* (motile males, sessile males, sessile females). 356 S1 to S5 correspond to the sampling dates (S1 = 26<sup>th</sup> February, S2 = 21<sup>st</sup> March, S3 = 28<sup>th</sup> March, S4 = 357 12<sup>th</sup> April and S5 = 14<sup>th</sup> June). 358

#### 359 4. Discussion

360

367

*C. fornicata* is a widespread invasive gregarious gastropod. The aim of this study was to investigate
 the trophic niche of this species and quantified intra-specific diet shift associated with ontogenic behavior
 changes (i.e., motile male to sessile female). A multi trophic markers assessment was conducted over spring
 to characterized potential OM sources and inferred their assimilation in *C. fornicata* tissues.

#### 366 4.1. Composition and availability of potential food sources

368 Suspended particulate (SPOM) and superficial sedimentary (SSOM) organic matter as well as 369 biofilm associated with C. fornicata shells were well discriminated by their pigment, fatty acid and stable 370 isotope compositions, for each sampling date. When compared to marine POM (-22.3  $\pm$  1.2 ‰), as measured 371 over the same period close to the mouth of the bay of Brest (data from the French Coastal Monitoring 372 Network SOMLIT; http://somlit.epoc.u-bordeaux1.fr/fr/) and terrestrial POM (-27.5  $\pm$  1.2 ‰), as measured 373 in terrestrial inputs nearby our study site by Mortillaro et al. (2014), the  $\delta^{13}$ C obtained for SPOM (-25.4 ± 0.7 374 ‰) suggests a significant terrestrial influence at our study site, as mentioned by Marchais et al. (2013). SPOM 375 over our studied period showed comparable chlorophyll a biomass (0.4 - 1.8  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) than currently 376 encountered in other areas of the bay of Brest (ca. 0.3 - 5 µg L<sup>-1</sup>, Chatterjee et al. 2013). High percentage of 377 fucoxanthin and alloxanthin suggested the presence of Bacillaryophyta (i.e., diatoms) and Cryptophyta in the 378 water column, respectively (Brotas and Plante-Cuny, 2003; Roy et al., 2011).

Using the SOMLIT weekly monitoring of chlorophyll *a* and marine POM  $\delta^{13}$ C, a typical <sup>13</sup>C enrichment (~3 %) was noticeable during a phytoplankton bloom that occurred between May 25<sup>th</sup> and June 1<sup>st</sup> in the bay. This bloom was mainly composed by three diatoms: *Cerataulina pelagica* (1.1 10<sup>6</sup> cells L<sup>-1</sup>), *Leptocylindricus danicus* (4.7 10<sup>4</sup> cells L<sup>-1</sup>) and *Rhizosolenia imbricata* (1.2 10<sup>4</sup> cells L<sup>-1</sup>) (data extracted from the REPHY network, IFREMER). While this bloom was not sampled in the PPOM sampling set, the <sup>13</sup>C enrichment was evidenced in the individuals of *C. fornicata* collected at S5 (14<sup>th</sup> June).

Among the three sources of organic matter, SSOM showed the most homogeneous isotopic composition over time, which is unexpected as SSOM is often considered as a mixture of pelagic and benthic OM sources and consequently highly variable according to OM sources proportions and isotopic compositions SSOM appeared here as a complex mixture of low and high quality OM (Lefebvre et al., 2009; Rigolet et al., 2014). On one hand, it was characterized by i) pheophorbide *a* and pheophytin *a*, which are degradation products of chlorophyllide *a* and chlorophyll *a*, respectively (Brotas and Plante-Cuny, 1998; Cartaxana et al., 2003), ii) odd branched FA (such as ant15:0) and the 18:1n-7 indicating the presence of 392 bacteria (Hubas et al., 2017; Jaschinski et al., 2011; Meziane et al., 1997) and iii) the long chain saturated 393 fatty acids 22:0 which confirms the presence of a refractory terrestrial contribution in this environment 394 (Canuel, 2001). On the other hand, SSOM exhibited the highest PUFA/SFA ratio most of the time (Table 395 S1), suggesting higher quality/lability compared to SPOM and biofilm (Connelly et al., 2015, 2016; Parrish 396 et al., 2005). This was confirmed by high Fucoxanthin / Chl a and Chl c / Chl a ratios which indicated a 397 higher contribution of diatoms in SSOM than in SPOM and biofilm (Brotas and Plante-Cuny, 2003). 398 Interestingly, fucoxanthin concentrations measured both in SSOM and biofilm were comparable to those 399 observed on intertidal mud flats (Barranguet et al., 1997; Cartaxana et al., 2006). This blue-light absorbing 400 pigment, and the very low light irradiance of our study site (0.01 %, Figure S1) strongly suggested that low 401 light acclimated diatoms contributed to the SSOM at our study site (McGee et al., 2008).

402 Biofilm scrapped on shells of C. fornicata showed higher chlorophyll a concentration than the 403 surrounding sediment, suggesting higher biomass of primary producers on shells (Androuin et al., 2018). The 404 high percentages of chl b and neoxanthin, as well as the Chl b / Chl a ratio in the biofilm also suggest that 405 chlorophytes were abundant on shells (Brotas and Plante-Cuny, 2003). While these results were not supported 406 by FA (e.g., 18:3n-3 or 18:4n-3 characterizing chlorophytes, Fleurence et al. 1994), mollusk shells are 407 currently inhabited by microchlorophytes or macrochlorophytes propagules (Barillé et al., 2017; Mineur et 408 al., 2007). FA characterizing the biofilm were 18:0 and 20:4n-6. While 18:0 is an ubiquitous FA in marine 409 environment (Kelly and Scheibling, 2012), the 20:4n-6 can be found in large proportion in red algae but also 410 in brown ones (Fleurence et al., 1994; Kelly and Scheibling, 2012). It is worth noting that C. fornicata shells 411 were partly covered with crustose red algae in our study site (pers. obs.). The high concentration of 412 fucoxanthin also suggests the presence of high biomass of diatoms on these shells, as already mentioned by 413 Ní Longphuirt et al. (2007). For instance, the biomass in biofilm was ~10 times higher than in the surrounding 414 SSOM. The fact that biofilm was  $^{15}$ N-enriched by ~2.5 ‰ compared to SSOM may indicate that primary 415 producers that compose this biofilm could use dissolved nitrogen derived from C. fornicata's excretion products, which is a well-known process in benthic coastal ecosystems (Arzul, 2001; Prins et al., 1998; 416 417 Ragueneau et al., 2002). While the excretion product (i.e., ammonium) is expected to be <sup>15</sup>N-depleted relative 418 to C. fornicata tissues (DeNiro and Epstein, 1981), it could still be <sup>15</sup>N-enriched compared to the available 419 dissolved nitrogen in the environment (Cifuentes et al., 1989; Raimonet et al., 2013; Wainright and Fry, 420 1994). This could indicate that nutrients are not a limiting factor for primary producers inhabiting C. fornicata 421 shells, making them available for benthic consumers throughout the year provided that sufficient light reach 422 the sea floor. The fact that chlorophyll a concentration decreased in SPOM after the spring bloom, but not in 423 the biofilm, strongly support this hypothesis.

# 424

425 426

### 4.2. Trophic niche of *C. fornicata*, in relation to ontogenic changes

Trophic markers suggested an overall similar trophic niche of *Crepidula fornicata* across ontogenic stages, as shown by the covariation in both SI and FA compositions over the studied period. The slipper limpet is an opportunistic suspension-feeder that exploits both pelagic and benthic particulate OM in varying proportions according to the season and sources availability. However, differences in FA composition, and to a lesser extent in SI composition, were noticeable between ontogenic stages. These differences at each sampling date likely reflect ontogenic physiological changes link to growth rate and energetic demand rather than profound changes in diet.

434

435 Overall, SI ratios showed that all ontogenic stages had similar isotopic niches, although the niche of 436 sessile females does not fully overlap with those of motile males. According to the respective SI ratios of 437 potential food sources (Biofilm, SPOM, SSOM and marine POM) and those of C. fornicata tissues, and 438 considering classical diet to consumer trophic enrichment factor (~ 0.75 - 1 ‰ for carbon and ~2.5 - 2.74 ‰ 439 for nitrogen, (Caut et al., 2009; McCutchan et al., 2003), it is likely that C. fornicata relies either on SSOM 440 or marine POM depending of the season and food availability in the water column. During lower food 441 availability period (i.e., end of winter and early spring), it is difficult to disentangle SSOM from marine POM 442 since their SI signals do not differ. However marine POM was sampled close to the Bay entrance with higher 443 oceanic influence, whereas SPOM was sampled just above the C. fornicata beds around mid and flood tide 444 to ensure mixing with oceanic water. Therefore, SPOM was readily more available than marine POM for the 445 slipper limpet. It is then reasonable to assume that SI composition of C. fornicata refers to SSOM rather than 446 marine POM, which can be exploited through regular resuspension events linked to tidal currents (Beudin, 447 2014). After the spring phytoplankton bloom that occurred in the bay of Brest at the end of May, producing 448 a <sup>13</sup>C enrichment in the water column (~3 ‰), a similar <sup>13</sup>C enrichment was found for all stages of C. fornicata 449  $(\sim 2 \ \%)$ . These results clearly showed that adults as well as young individuals of C. fornicata benefited from 450 the spring bloom. However, minor but consistent isotopic differences were also found between ontogenic 451 stages at each sampling date, which cannot necessarily be attributed to an ontogenic diet shift. Indeed, 452 inferring diet shift using SI ratios may be hampered by the effects of physiological changes occurring during 453 ontogeny such as gonadal maturation, metabolic rate or differential tissue growth between youngs and adults. 454 Such physiological processes can modify the isotope signal of tissues even without significant change of diet, 455 as evidenced in several species (Blanchet-Aurigny et al., 2012; Hentschel, 1998; Rossi et al., 2004). The 456 isotopic dynamic also depends on tissue turnover rate (McCutchan et al., 2003; Vander Zanden et al., 2015). 457 Lefebvre and Dubois (2016) analysed trophic enrichment factor and turnover rate in several marine benthic 458 invertebrates, including C. fornicata. They showed a clear negative relationship between growth and 459 enrichment factor values: when the body mass is increasing rapidly and the individual growing fast (high 460 turnover), enrichment factors are expected to be low, and conversely. Young motile males of *Crepidula* 461 fornicata are growing more rapidly than larger sessile males or even larger females (Hoagland, 1978; Walne, 462 1956), and consequently have a higher growth rate and a higher metabolic rate (Bayne and Newell, 1983). 463 Enrichment factors are then expected to be much smaller for motile males, than sessile males or females, for 464 which energy allocation is mainly directed to gamete production rather than other tissue growth. So, a similar 465 diet in all ontogenic stages could very likely lead to differences in SI ratios such as those presented in Figure 466 7c. Even if motile males were analysed *in toto* (including muscle tissue with longer turnover rate), we believe 467 that this does not biased interpretations of between-stages differences over the studied period. Analysing only 468 tissues with fast turnover rate (as we did for sessile individuals) would have increased these between-stages 469 differences. 470

471 Neutral lipids represent essential energy reserves for sustaining early life stages of marine molluscs 472 and play a key role in their settlement, habitat selectivity and recruitment (Barbier et al., 2017; Pernet and 473 Tremblay, 2004; Tremblay et al., 2007). Contrary to polar lipids, mostly involved in membrane regulation, 474 FA incorporated in the neutral fraction are largely unaltered and reflect the diet in a more straightforward 475 manner than polar lipids (Dalsgaard et al., 2003). Therefore, extracting FA from this specific class of lipids 476 from a tissue with a rapid turnover (i.e., digestive gland) should allow assessing rapid changes in the diet 477 (McCutchan et al., 2003). As suggested above by SI data, FA profiles showed a clear temporal variation in 478 food sources utilization for the three ontogenic stages. This temporal pattern resulted from three distinct 479 groups of sampling dates (Figure 5). The two first ones (S1 and S2) likely corresponded to a period that 480 integrated the trophic signal of winter season's food sources, whereas the last one (S5) clearly corresponded 481 to the assimilation of the spring phytoplankton bloom. The two-intermediate sampling dates (S3 and S4) 482 corresponded to the transition with an increase infood availability. As shown earlier with SI, all ontogenic 483 stages of *C. fornicata* may have probably exploited SSOM before the spring bloom when food in the water 484 column is less available. In this pool of OM, FA revealed that slipper limpets likely fed on benthic diatoms 485 (as suggested by 16:1n-7 and 20:5n-3; Dunstan et al. 1992; Napolitano et al. 1997; Passarelli et al. 2012), 486 dinoflagellates (22:6n-3; Zhukova and Aizdacher 1995; Lavaud et al. 2018) and bacteria (Branched FA and 487 18:1n-7; Perry et al. 1979; Zhukova et al. 1992; Haack et al. 1994), which is in agreement with previous 488 interpretations done in other comparable coastal bays (Dubois et al., 2014; Leroy et al., 2013). In sediment, 489 bacteria are often associated with detritus and are therefore not considered as a high-quality food source 490 (Dalsgaard et al., 2003). This is confirmed by the PUFA/SFA ratio, a biomarker of fresh vs. detritic OM 491 (Connelly et al., 2015, 2016; Parrish et al., 2005), which was lower in the slipper limpet (~ 1.5, our study) 492 than in other suspension-feeding species of the bay of Brest, such as *Pecten maximus* (~ 2.8, Lavaud et al. 493 2018) or Ophiotrix fragilis (~ 2, Blanchet-Aurigny et al. 2015). The fact that C. fornicata lacks pre-ingestive 494 mechanisms for particle selection likely explains their opportunistic trophic behaviour based on both fresh 495 and detritic organic matter (Beninger et al., 2007). After spring bloom, the percentages of diatom's markers 496 16:1n-7 and 20:5n-3 drastically increased in C. fornicata's tissue, as well as the 20:5n-3/22:6n-3 ratio, 497 confirming that all ontogenic stages of C. fornicata benefit from this food supply from the water column 498 (Budge and Parrish, 1998; Lavaud et al., 2018).

Besides, the FA 18:4n-3 showed an increasing contribution over time for ontogenic stages.
According to the literature, this FA may originate from different primary producers such as dinoflagellates
(Budge and Parrish, 1998) or green macroalgae (Fleurence et al., 1994; Kelly and Scheibling, 2012).
Considering the absence or low temporal variation observed for others dinoflagellate biomarkers (peridinin
pigment and 22:6n-3 FA) in the OM sources and the frequent seasonal accumulation of green macroalgae

504 near our study site (Study Centre for Algal Promotion, http://www.ceva.fr; Ragueneau et al. 2018), we can 505 expect a seasonal trophic role of these green macroalgae for C. fornicata at our study site, probably in the 506 form of detrital particles. The FA 20:1n-11 was found in relative high abundance in C. fornicata (4-6 %). 507 Although it is known to be a biomarker of copepod in tropical estuaries (Bachok et al., 2003), the <sup>15</sup>N-508 enrichment of C. fornicata compared to SPOM was not high enough to suggest a significant contribution of 509 zooplankton in its diet (Kopp et al., 2015). Comparable percentages of 20:1n-11 were reported for Ophiotrix 510 fragilis in the bay of Brest (Blanchet-Aurigny et al., 2015), evidencing that this FA was not a good biomarker 511 of zooplankton for the species considered.

512

513 Contrary to SI, FA compositions of the three ontogenic stages of C. fornicata showed low overlap, 514 especially between motile and sessile limpets. Considering that lipids and fatty acids profiles are age- and 515 sex-specific (Correia et al., 2003; Pernet et al., 2012), some changes in FA compositions are then likely to 516 originate from physiological changes between ontogenic stages. During winter period, motile males were characterized by higher proportions of SFA such as 16:0 (25-34 %) and 18:0 (27-36 %). These FA are very 517 518 common in marine organisms and do not necessarily reflect a specific diet (Dalsgaard et al., 2003; Kelly and 519 Scheibling, 2012). Moreover, despite the dietary interest of short-chain SFA, where energy is more efficiently 520 released via beta-oxidation than for PUFA (Langdon and Waldock, 1981), temperature may also influence 521 the process of their utilization (Pernet et al., 2007). For example, it has been experimentally demonstrated 522 that cold-acclimated oysters  $(5-7^{\circ}C)$  have a clear preference for PUFA (n-3) over SFA (16:0) as fuel for 523 energy compared to 'temperate' oysters (Chu and Greaves, 1991). The lower utilization of SFA in cold-524 acclimated oysters has been attributed to the fact that SFA are not in the liquid phase under cold temperature, 525 thus making them less accessible for catabolic processes. In Crepidula fornicata, young individuals have 526 proportionally less energy reserves than adults (Guérin, 2004) and are more subjected to low temperature 527 effects due to a higher surface-to-volume ratio (Diederich et al., 2015). Hence, the lower utilization of SFA 528 could explain their higher SFA percentages during winter period in the bay of Brest, where temperature fall 529 down to 7°C (Figure S2). Moreover, the weight-specific metabolic rate, which is higher in smaller organisms 530 (Bayne and Newell, 1983; Bougrier et al., 1995), could be exacerbated in C. fornicata because motile males 531 are more active than adults through their motility (Coe, 1936; Hoagland, 1978; Walne, 1956). Together, these 532 results explain the higher variability in their FA compositions, among individuals but also between sampling 533 dates. They also suggest that young motile individuals of C. fornicata, having less energetic storage in winter 534 while having more energetic needs, are probably in poor energetic condition during this period.

As we measured neutral FA in digestive gland and gonad simultaneously (because the digestive gland cannot be isolated from the gonad), the level of lipid storage and FA composition may also depend on their sexual development stage. Indeed, females allocate more energy than males in the reproduction due to maternal gametogenesis (Deslous-Paoli and Héral, 1986; Leroy et al., 2013). As an illustration of FA composition changes, the n-3/n-6 ratio increased over time for both sessile and motile males whereas it remains unchanged in females. This may be linked to a preferential allocation of n-3 to early embryos, which showed an increase over the reproductive period of the slipper limpet (Leroy et al., 2013).

542 Finally, we found a surprisingly high amount of non-methylene interrupted (NMI) FA in neutral 543 lipids (5-10 % on average in sessile stages) while these FA are preferentially incorporated in polar lipids 544 (e.g., 8 % of NMI FA reported in polar lipids of C. fornicata by Dagorn et al. 2014). NMI FA are specific 545 PUFA de novo synthesized by marine molluscs (Barnathan, 2009; Zhukova, 1991). Although their biological 546 role and function are not well understood, the NMI FA have an unusual unsaturation pattern that confers to 547 cell membranes a higher resistance to oxidative processes and microbial lipases than for the common PUFA. 548 The content of NMI FA may thus represent a biochemical adapting feature of benthic organisms to their 549 specific habitat (Barnathan, 2009). Because C. fornicata showed ontogenic histological changes in its foot 550 (the largest part of the body) when becoming completely sessile (Androuin et al., 2019: Chaparro et al., 551 1998), high amount of such FA may act as a protection against potential negative effect of the sessility.

552 553

554

#### 5. Acknowledgements

We thank the PSO and LIPIDOCEAN analytical facilities for stable isotope and fatty acid facilities,
respectively (Oanez Lebeau, Antoine Bideau and Rudolph Corvaisier). We are grateful to Aline BlanchetAurigny for commenting upon preliminary versions of this manuscript. We also thank the LEBCO diving
team (Amélia Curd, Xavier Caisey and Aurélien Tancray) for providing biological samples. TA was funded

by an IFREMER, LabexMER and Region Bretagne PhD grant. This work was funded by the TOTALfoundation for biodiversity.

- 561562 6. References
- 563
- Anderson, M.J., 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral Ecology 26, 32–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x
- Androuin, T., Dubois, S.F., Decottignies, P., Pelleter, E., Carlier, A., 2019. The dark side of soft tissues:
   Unexpected inorganic carbonate in the invasive slipper limpet *Crepidula fornicata* and its implications for stable isotope interpretations. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 33, 107–115. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8322
- 570 Androuin, T., Polerecky, L., Decottignies, P., Dubois, S.F., Dupuy, C., Hubas, C., Jesus, B., Le Gall, E., 571 Marzloff, M.P., Carlier, A., 2018. Subtidal microphytobenthos: a secret garden stimulated by the 572 engineer species Crevidula fornicata. Front. Mar. Sci. 5. 475. 573 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00475
- Arbach Leloup, F., Desroy, N., Le Mao, P., Pauly, D., Le Pape, O., 2008. Interactions between a natural food
  web, shellfish farming and exotic species: The case of the Bay of Mont Saint Michel (France).
  Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 76, 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.06.011
- Arzul, G., 2001. Effect of marine animal excretions on differential growth of phytoplankton species. ICES
   Journal of Marine Science 58, 386–390. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.1038
- 579 Bachok, Z., Mfilinge, P.L., Tsuchiya, M., 2003. The diet of the mud clam *Geloina coaxans* (Mollusca, Bivalvia) as indicated by fatty acid markers in a subtropical mangrove forest of Okinawa, Japan. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 292, 187–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(03)00160-6
- Barbier, P., Meziane, T., Forêt, M., Tremblay, R., Robert, R., Olivier, F., 2017. Nursery function of coastal temperate benthic habitats: New insight from the bivalve recruitment perspective. Journal of Sea Research 121, 11–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2016.12.007
- Barillé, L., Le Bris, A., Méléder, V., Launeau, P., Robin, M., Louvrou, I., Ribeiro, L., 2017. Photosynthetic
  epibionts and endobionts of Pacific oyster shells from oyster reefs in rocky versus mudflat shores.
  PLoS ONE 12, e0185187. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185187
- Barnathan, G., 2009. Non-methylene-interrupted fatty acids from marine invertebrates: Occurrence,
   characterization and biological properties. Biochimie 91, 671–678.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2009.03.020
- Barranguet, C., Herman, P.M.J., Sinke, J.J., 1997. Microphytobenthos biomass and community composition
   studied by pigment biomarkers: importance and fate in the carbon cycle of a tidal flat. Journal of
   Sea Research 38, 59–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-1101(97)00032-4
- Bayne, B.L., Newell, R.C., 1983. Physiological energetics of marine molluscs, in: The Mollusca. Elsevier,
   pp. 407–515. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-751404-8.50017-7
- 597 Beninger, P., Decottignies, P., Guiheneuf, F., Barillé, L., Rincé, Y., 2007. Comparison of particle processing
   598 by two introduced suspension feeders: selection in *Crepidula fornicata* and *Crassostrea gigas*. Mar.
   599 Ecol. Prog. Ser. 334, 165–177. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps334165
- Beudin, A., 2014. Dynamique et échanges sédimentaires en rade de Brest impactés par l'invasion de la crépidule. Université de Bretagne Occidentale.
- Blanchard, M., 1997. Spread of the slipper limpet *Crepidula fornicata* (L. 1758) in Europe. Current state and consequences. Scientia Marina 61, 10.
- Blanchard, M., Pechenik, J.A., Giudicelli, E., Connan, J.-P., Robert, R., 2008. Competition for food in the
   larvae of two marine molluscs, *Crepidula fornicata* and *Crassostrea gigas*. Aquat. Living Resour.
   21, 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1051/alr:2008025
- Blanchet-Aurigny, A., Dubois, S., Quéré, C., Guillou, M., Pernet, F., 2015. Trophic niche of two co-occurring
   ophiuroid species in impacted coastal systems, derived from fatty acid and stable isotope analyses.
   Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 525, 127–141. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11169
- Blanchet-Aurigny, A., Guillou, M., Pernet, F., Gaffet, J.-D., Dubois, S.F., 2012. Tissue-diet discrimination
   factors of isotopic ratios (Δδ<sup>13</sup>C and Δδ<sup>15</sup>N) in two brittle star species: Effect of reproductive state,
   diet and tissue composition. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 426–427, 68–77.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2012.05.013

- 614 Bougrier, S., Geairon, P., Deslous-Paoli, J.M., Bacher, C., Jonquières, G., 1995. Allometric relationships and
  615 effects of temperature on clearance and oxygen consumption rates of *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg).
  616 Aquaculture 134, 143–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(95)00036-2
- Breton, G., Huriez, L., 2010. Les crépidules *Crepidula fornicata* (Linnaeus 1758) peuvent temporairement
   redevenir brouteuses à l'âge adulte. Bulletin de la Société Linnéenne de Normandie 120, 98–104.
- Brotas, V., Plante-Cuny, M., 1998. Spatial and temporal patterns of microphytobenthic taxa of estuarine tidal
  flats in the Tagus Estuary (Portugal) using pigment analysis by HPLC. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 171,
  43–57. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps171043
- Brotas, V., Plante-Cuny, M.-R., 2003. The use of HPLC pigment analysis to study microphytobenthos
   communities. Acta Oecologica 24, S109–S115. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1146-609X(03)00013-4
- Budge, S.M., Parrish, C.C., 1998. Lipid biogeochemistry of plankton, settling matter and sediments in Trinity
  Bay, Newfoundland. II. Fatty acids. Organic Geochemistry 29, 1547–1559. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(98)00177-6
- Canuel, E.A., 2001. Relations between river flow, primary production and fatty acid composition of
   particulate organic matter in San Francisco and Chesapeake Bays: a multivariate approach. Organic
   Geochemistry 32, 563–583.
- 630 Cartaxana, P., Jesus, B., Brotas, V., 2003. Pheophorbide and pheophytin *a*-like pigments as useful markers
   631 for intertidal microphytobenthos grazing by *Hydrobia ulvae*. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science
   632 58, 293–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(03)00081-7
- Cartaxana, P., Mendes, C.R., van Leeuwe, M.A., Brotas, V., 2006. Comparative study on microphytobenthic
   pigments of muddy and sandy intertidal sediments of the Tagus estuary. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
   Science 66, 225–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2005.08.011
- Caut, S., Angulo, E., Courchamp, F., 2009. Variation in discrimination factors (Δ<sup>15</sup>N and Δ<sup>13</sup>C): the effect of diet isotopic values and applications for diet reconstruction. Journal of Applied Ecology 46, 443–453. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2009.01620.x
- Chaparro, O., Thompson, R., Pereda, S., 2002. Feeding mechanisms in the gastropod *Crepidula fecunda*.
   Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 234, 171–181. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps234171
- 641 Chaparro, O.R., Bahamondes-Rojas, I., Vergara, A.M., Rivera, A.A., 1998. Histological characteristics of
  642 the foot and locomotory activity of *Crepidula dilatata* Lamarck (Gastropoda: Calyptraeidae) in
  643 relation to sex changes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 223, 77–91.
  644 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(97)00151-2
- 645 Chatterjee, A., Klein, C., Naegelen, A., Claquin, P., Masson, A., Legoff, M., Amice, E., L'Helguen, S., 646 Chauvaud, L., Leynaert, A., 2013. Comparative dynamics of pelagic and benthic micro-algae in a 647 ecosystem. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 133, 67-77. coastal 648 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.08.015
- 649 Chauvaud, L., Jean, F., Ragueneau, O., Thouzeau, G., 2000. Long-term variation of the Bay of Brest
  650 ecosystem: benthic-pelagic coupling revisited. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 200, 35–48.
  651 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps200035
- Chu, F.-L.E., Greaves, J., 1991. Metabolism of palmitic, linoleic, and linolenic acids in adult oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*. Mar. Biol. 110, 229–236. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01313708
- Cifuentes, L.A., Fogel, M.L., Pennock, J.R., Sharp, J.H., 1989. Biogeochemical factors that influence the
   stable nitrogen isotope ratio of dissolved ammonium in the Delaware Estuary. Geochimica et
   Cosmochimica Acta 53, 2713–2721. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(89)90142-7
- 657 Coe, W.R., 1936. Sexual phases in Crepidula. J. Exp. Zool. 72, 455–477.
   658 https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1400720306
- Connelly, T., McClelland, J., Crump, B., Kellogg, C., Dunton, K., 2015. Seasonal changes in quantity and composition of suspended particulate organic matter in lagoons of the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 527, 31–45. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11207
- 662 Connelly, T.L., Businski, T.N., Deibel, D., Parrish, C.C., Trela, P., 2016. Annual cycle and spatial trends in
  663 fatty acid composition of suspended particulate organic matter across the Beaufort Sea shelf.
  664 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 181, 170–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2016.08.016
- 665 Correia, A.D., Costa, M.H., Luis, O.J., Livingstone, D.R., 2003. Age-related changes in antioxidant enzyme
  666 activities, fatty acid composition and lipid peroxidation in whole body *Gammarus locusta*667 (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 289, 83–101.
  668 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(03)00040-6

- 669 Cresson, P., Ruitton, S., Harmelin-Vivien, M., 2016. Feeding strategies of co-occurring suspension feeders
   670 in an oligotrophic environment. Food Webs 6, 19–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fooweb.2015.12.002
- Cugier, P., Struski, C., Blanchard, M., Mazurié, J., Pouvreau, S., Olivier, F., Trigui, J.R., Thiébaut, E., 2010. 671 672 Assessing the role of benthic filter feeders on phytoplankton production in a shellfish farming site: 673 Saint Michel Bav. France. Journal of Marine Systems Mont 82. 21 - 34. 674 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.02.013
- Dagorn, F., Buzin, F., Couzinet-Mossion, A., Decottignies, P., Viau, M., Rabesaotra, V., Barnathan, G.,
  Wielgosz-Collin, G., 2014. Multiple beneficial lipids including lecithin detected in the edible
  invasive mollusk *Crepidula fornicata* from the French Northeastern Atlantic coast. Marine Drugs
  12, 6254–6268. https://doi.org/10.3390/md12126254
- balsgaard, J., St. John, M., Kattner, G., Müller-Navarra, D., Hagen, W., 2003. Fatty acid trophic markers in
  the pelagic marine environment. Advances in Marine Biology 46, 225–340.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2881(03)46005-7
- de Montaudouin, X., Accolla, C., 2018. Intraspecific trade-offs between facilitation and competition in the
   invasive mollusc *Crepidula fornicata*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 604, 163–171.
   https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12726
- 685 Decottignies, P, Beninger, P., Rincé, Y., Robins, R., Riera, P., 2007. Exploitation of natural food sources by
   686 two sympatric, invasive suspension-feeders: *Crassostrea gigas* and *Crepidula fornicata*. Mar. Ecol.
   687 Prog. Ser. 334, 179–192. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps334179
- Decottignies, Priscilla, Beninger, P.G., Rincé, Y., Riera, P., 2007. Trophic interactions between two introduced suspension-feeders, *Crepidula fornicata* and *Crassostrea gigas*, are influenced by seasonal effects and qualitative selection capacity. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 342, 231–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2006.10.005
- 692 DeNiro, M., Epstein, S., 1981. Influence of diet on the distribution of nitrogen isotopes in animals.
   693 Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 45, 341–351.
- 694 Deslous-Paoli, J., Héral, M., 1986. *Crepidula fornicata* L. (Gastéropode, Calyptraeidae) dans le bassin de
   695 Marennes-Oléron : composition et valeur énergétique des individus et des pontes. Oceanologica acta
   696 9, 305–311.
- 697 Diederich, C., Bashevkin, S., Chaparro, O., Pechenik, J., 2015. Desiccation tolerance and lifting behavior in
   698 *Crepidula fornicata* (Gastropoda). Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 528, 235–243.
   699 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11284
- Dubois, S., Blanchet, H., Garcia, A., Massé, M., Galois, R., Grémare, A., Charlier, K., Guillou, G., Richard,
   P., Savoye, N., 2014. Trophic resource use by macrozoobenthic primary consumers within a semienclosed coastal ecosystem: Stable isotope and fatty acid assessment. Journal of Sea Research 88, 87–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2014.01.004
- Dubois, S.F., Colombo, F., 2014. How picky can you be? Temporal variations in trophic niches of co occurring suspension-feeding species. Food Webs 1, 1–9.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fooweb.2014.07.001
- Dunstan, G.A., Volkman, J.K., Jeffrey, S.W., Barrett, S.M., 1992. Biochemical composition of microalgae
   from the green algal classes Chlorophyceae and Prasinophyceae. 2. Lipid classes and fatty acids.
   Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 161, 115–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022 0981(92)90193-E
- Fleurence, J., Gutbier, G., Mabeau, S., Leray, C., 1994. Fatty acids from 11 marine macroalgae of the French
   Brittany coast. J Appl Phycol 6, 527–532. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02182406
- Fry, B., Sherr, E.B., 1984.  $\delta^{13}$ C measurements as indicators of carbon flow in marine and freshwater ecosystems. Contributions in Marine Science 27, 13–47.
- Guérin, L., 2004. La crépidule en rade de Brest : un modèle biologique d'espèce introduite proliférante en réponse aux fluctuations de l'environnement. Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest.
- Haack, S.K., Garchow, H., Odelson, D.A., Forney, L.J., Klug, M.J., 1994. Accuracy, reproducibility, and interpretation of fatty acid methyl ester profiles of kodel bacterial communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 60, 2483–2493.
- 720Hentschel, B.T., 1998. Intraspecific variation in  $\delta^{13}$ C indicate ontogenic diet changes in deposit-feeding721polychaetes. Ecology 79, 1357–1370. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-7229658(1998)079[1357:IVICIO]2.0.CO;2
- Hoagland, K.E., 1978. Protandry and the evolution of environmentally mediated sex change: study of the
   Mollusca. Malacogia 17, 365–391.

- Hubas, C., Boeuf, D., Jesus, B., Thiney, N., Bozec, Y., Jeanthon, C., 2017. A nanoscale study of carbon and nitrogen fluxes in mats of purple sulfur bacteria: Implications for carbon cycling at the surface of coastal sediments. Front. Microbiol. 8, 1995. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01995
- Jaschinski, S., Brepohl, D., Sommer, U., 2011. Seasonal variation in carbon sources of -mesograzers and
   small predators in an eelgrass community: stable isotope and fatty acid analyses. Mar. Ecol. Prog.
   Ser. 431, 69–82. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09143
- 731 Ke, Z., Tan, Y., Huang, L., Zhao, C., Jiang, X., 2017. Spatial distributions of δ<sup>13</sup>C, δ<sup>15</sup>N and C/N ratios in suspended particulate organic matter of a bay under serious anthropogenic influences: Daya Bay, China. Marine Pollution Bulletin 114, 183–191.
- Kelly, J.R., Scheibling, R.E., 2012. Fatty acids as dietary tracers in benthic food webs. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
   446, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09559
- Kopp, D., Lefebvre, S., Cachera, M., Villanueva, M.C., Ernande, B., 2015. Reorganization of a marine trophic network along an inshore–offshore gradient due to stronger pelagic–benthic coupling in coastal areas. Progress in Oceanography 130, 157–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.11.001
- Langdon, C.J., Waldock, M.J., 1981. The effect of algal and artificial diets on the growth and fatty acid
   composition of *Crassostrea gigas* Spat. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. 61, 431–448.
   https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315400047056
- Lavaud, R., Artigaud, S., Le Grand, F., Donval, A., Soudant, P., Flye-Sainte-Marie, J., Strohmeier, T., Strand,
  Ø., Leynaert, A., Beker, B., Chatterjee, A., Jean, F., 2018. New insights into the seasonal feeding
  ecology of *Pecten maximus* using pigments, fatty acids and sterols analyses. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
  590, 109–129. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12476
- Layman, C.A., Araujo, M.S., Boucek, R., Hammerschlag-Peyer, C.M., Harrison, E., Jud, Z.R., Matich, P.,
  Rosenblatt, A.E., Vaudo, J.J., Yeager, L.A., Post, D.M., Bearhop, S., 2012. Applying stable isotopes
  to examine food-web structure: an overview of analytical tools. Biological Reviews 87, 545–562.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00208.x
- Le Grand, F., Soudant, P., Siah, A., Tremblay, R., Marty, Y., Kraffe, E., 2014. Disseminated neoplasia in the soft-shell clam *Mya arenaria*: membrane lipid composition and functional parameters of circulating cells. Lipids 49, 807–818. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-014-3917-4
- Lefebvre, S., Dubois, S.F., 2016. The stony road to understand isotopic enrichment and turnover rates: insight
   into the metabolic part. Vie et Milieu 66, 306–315.
- Lefebvre, S., Marín Leal, J.C., Dubois, S., Orvain, F., Blin, J.-L., Bataillé, M.-P., Ourry, A., Galois, R., 2009.
  Seasonal dynamics of trophic relationships among co-occurring suspension-feeders in two shellfish
  culture dominated ecosystems. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 82, 415–425.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.02.002
- Leroy, F., Meziane, T., Riera, P., Comtet, T., 2013. Seasonal variations in maternal provisioning of *Crepidula fornicata* (Gastropoda): fatty acid composition of females, embryos and larvae. PLoS ONE 8, e75316. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075316
- 763 Liénart, C., Savoye, N., Bozec, Y., Breton, E., Conan, P., David, V., Feunteun, E., Grangeré, K., Kerhervé, 764 P., Lebreton, B., Lefebvre, S., L'Helguen, S., Mousseau, L., Raimbault, P., Richard, P., Riera, P., 765 Sauriau, P.-G., Schaal, G., Aubert, F., Aubin, S., Bichon, S., Boinet, C., Bourasseau, L., Bréret, M., 766 Caparros, J., Cariou, T., Charlier, K., Claquin, P., Cornille, V., Corre, A.-M., Costes, L., Crispi, O., 767 Crouvoisier, M., Czamanski, M., Del Amo, Y., Derriennic, H., Dindinaud, F., Durozier, M., 768 Hanquiez, V., Nowaczyk, A., Devesa, J., Ferreira, S., Fornier, M., Garcia, F., Garcia, N., Geslin, S., 769 Grossteffan, E., Gueux, A., Guillaudeau, J., Guillou, G., Joly, O., Lachaussée, N., Lafont, M., 770 Lamoureux, J., Lecuyer, E., Lehodey, J.-P., Lemeille, D., Leroux, C., Macé, E., Maria, E., Pineau, 771 P., Petit, F., Pujo-Pay, M., Rimelin-Maury, P., Sultan, E., 2017. Dynamics of particulate organic 772 matter composition in coastal systems: A spatio-temporal study at multi-systems scale. Progress in 773 Oceanography 156, 221-239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.03.001
- Lorrain, A., Savoye, N., Chauvaud, L., Paulet, Y.-M., Naulet, N., 2003. Decarbonation and preservation method for the analysis of organic C and N contents and stable isotope ratios of low-carbonated suspended particulate material. Analytica Chimica Acta 491, 125–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(03)00815-8
- Majdi, N., Hette-Tronquart, N., Auclair, E., Bec, A., Chouvelon, T., Cognie, B., Danger, M., Decottignies,
  P., Dessier, A., Desvilettes, C., Dubois, S., Dupuy, C., Fritsch, C., Gaucherel, C., Hedde, M., Jabot,
  F., Lefebvre, S., Marzloff, M.P., Pey, B., Peyrard, N., Powolny, T., Sabbadin, R., Thébault, E.,

781	Perga, ME., 2018. There's no harm in having too much: A comprehensive toolbox of methods in
782	trophic ecology. Food Webs 17, e00100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fooweb.2018.e00100
783	Marchais, V., Schaal, G., Grall, J., Lorrain, A., Nerot, C., Richard, P., Chauvaud, L., 2013. Spatial variability
784	of stable isotope ratios in oysters (Crassostrea gigas) and primary producers along an estuarine
785	gradient (Bay of Brest, France). Estuaries and Coasts 36, 808-819. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-
786	012-9584-x
787	Martin, S., Thouzeau, G., Chauvaud, L., Jean, F., Guérin, L., Clavier, J., 2006. Respiration, calcification, and
788	excretion of the invasive slipper limpet, Crepidula fornicata L.: Implications for carbon, carbonate,
789	and nitrogen fluxes in affected areas. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 1996–2007.
790	https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.5.1996
791	Martinez Arbizu, P., 2017. pairwiseAdonis: Pairwise multilevel comparison using adonis. R package version
792	0.3.
793	McCutchan, J.H.J., Lewis, W.M.J., Kendall, C., McGrath, C.C., 2003. Variation in trophic shift for stable
794	isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur. Oikos 102, 378–390.
795	McGee, D., Laws, R., Cahoon, L., 2008. Live benthic diatoms from the upper continental slope: extending
796	the limits of marine primary production. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 356, 103–112.
797	https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07280
798	Meziane, T., Bodineau, L., Retiere, C., Thoumelin, G., 1997. The use of lipid markers to define sources of organic matter in sediment and food web of the intertidal salt-marsh-flat ecosystem of Mont-Saint-
799 800	
800 801	Michel Bay, France. Journal of Sea Research 38, 47–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-1101(97)00035-X
801	Mineur, F., Belsher, T., Johnson, M.P., Maggs, C.A., Verlaque, M., 2007. Experimental assessment of oyster
802	transfers as a vector for macroalgal introductions. Biological Conservation 137, 237–247.
804	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2007.02.001
805	Montiel, Y.A., Chaparro, O.R., Segura, C.J., 2005. Changes in feeding mechanisms during early ontogeny
806	in juveniles of <i>Crepidula fecunda</i> (Gastropoda, Calyptraeidae). Marine Biology 147, 1333–1342.
807	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-005-0050-1
808	Mortillaro, J.M., Schaal, G., Grall, J., Nerot, C., Brind'Amour, A., Marchais, V., Perdriau, M., Le Bris, H.,
809	2014. Comparative study of isotopic trends in two coastal ecosystems of North Biscay: A
810	multitrophic spatial gradient approach. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 136, 149-156.
811	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.11.025
812	Napolitano, G.E., Pollero, R.J., Gayoso, A.M., Macdonald, B.A., Thompson, R.J., 1997. Fatty acids as
813	trophic markers of phytoplankton blooms in the Bahía Blanca estuary (Buenos Aires, Argentina)
814	and in Trinity Bay (Newfoundland, Canada). Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 25, 739-755.
815	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-1978(97)00053-7
816	Navarro, J.M., Chaparro, O.R., 2002. Grazing-filtration as feeding mechanisms in motile specimens of
817	Crepidula fecunda (Gastropoda: Calyptraeidae). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and
818	Ecology 270, 111-122. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(02)00013-8
819	Ní Longphuirt, S., Clavier, J., Grall, J., Chauvaud, L., Le Loc'h, F., Le Berre, I., Flye-Sainte-Marie, J.,
820	Richard, J., Leynaert, A., 2007. Primary production and spatial distribution of subtidal
821	microphytobenthos in a temperate coastal system, the Bay of Brest, France. Estuarine, Coastal and
822	Shelf Science 74, 367–380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.04.025
823	Parrish, C., Thompson, R., Deibel, D., 2005. Lipid classes and fatty acids in plankton and settling matter
824 825	during the spring bloom in a cold ocean coastal environment. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 286, 57–68.
825	https://doi.org/10.3354/meps286057
826	Passarelli, C., Hubas, C., Nicolas Segui, A., Grange, J., Meziane, T., 2012. Surface adhesion of
827	microphytobenthic biofilms is enhanced under <i>Hediste diversicolor</i> (O.F. Müller) trophic pressure.
828 829	Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 438, 52–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2012.10.005
830	Pernet, F., Malet, N., Pastoureaud, A., Vaquer, A., Quéré, C., Dubroca, L., 2012. Marine diatoms sustain
830 831	growth of bivalves in a Mediterranean lagoon. Journal of Sea Research 68, 20–32.
832	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2011.11.004
833	Pernet, F., Tremblay, R., 2004. Effect of varying levels of dietary essential fatty acid during early ontogeny
834	of the sea scallop <i>Placopecten magellanicus</i> . Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
835	310, 73–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2004.04.001
	515, 75 00. https://doi.org/10.1010/j.jeffi0e.2004.04.001

- Pernet, F., Tremblay, R., Comeau, L., Guderley, H., 2007. Temperature adaptation in two bivalve species
   from different thermal habitats: energetics and remodelling of membrane lipids. Journal of
   Experimental Biology 210, 2999–3014. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.006007
- Perry, G.J., Volkman, J.K., Johns, R.B., Bavor, H.J., 1979. Fatty acids of bacterial origin in contemporary
  marine sediments. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 43, 1715–1725.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(79)90020-6
- Prins, T.C., Smaal, A.C., Dame, R.F., 1998. A review of the feedbacks between bivalve grazing and ecosystem processes. Aquatic Ecology 31, 349–359.
- 844 R Core Team, 2012. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Ragueneau, O., Chauvaud, L., Leynaert, A., Thouzeau, G., Paulet, Y.-M., Bonnet, S., Lorrain, A., Grall, J.,
   Corvaisier, R., Hir, M.L., Jean, F., Clavier, J., 2002. Direct evidence of a biologically active Coastal
   Silicate pump: ecological implications. Limnology and Oceanography 47, 1849–1854.
- Ragueneau, O., Raimonet, M., Mazé, C., Coston-Guarini, J., Chauvaud, L., Danto, A., Grall, J., Jean, F.,
  Paulet, Y.-M., Thouzeau, G., 2018. The impossible sustainability of the Bay of Brest? Fifty years
  of ecosystem changes, Interdisciplinary knowledge construction and key questions at the sciencepolicy-community interface. Front. Mar. Sci. 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00124
- Raimonet, M., Andrieux-Loyer, F., Ragueneau, O., Michaud, E., Kerouel, R., Philippon, X., Nonent, M.,
  Mémery, L., 2013. Strong gradient of benthic biogeochemical processes along a macrotidal
  temperate estuary: focus on P and Si cycles. Biogeochemistry 115, 399–417.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-013-9843-3
- Riera, P., 2007. Trophic subsidies of *Crassostrea gigas*, *Mytilus edulis* and *Crepidula fornicata* in the Bay of Mont Saint Michel (France): A δ<sup>13</sup>C and δ<sup>15</sup>N investigation. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 72, 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.10.002
- Riera, P., Stal, L., Nieuwenhuize, J., 2002. δ<sup>13</sup>C versus δ<sup>15</sup>N of co-occurring molluscs within a community dominated by *Crassostrea gigas* and *Crepidula fornicata* (Oosterschelde, The Netherlands). Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 240, 291–295. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps240291
- Rigolet, C., Thiébaut, E., Dubois, S., 2014. Food web structures of subtidal benthic muddy habitats: evidence
   of microphytobenthos contribution supported by an engineer species. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 500, 25–
   41. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10685
- Rossi, F., Herman, P.M.J., Middelburg, J.J., 2004. Interspecific and intraspecific variation of δ<sup>13</sup>C and δ<sup>15</sup>N in deposit- and suspension-feeding bivalves (*Macoma balthica* and *Cerastoderma edule*): Evidence of ontogenetic changes in feeding mode of *Macoma balthica*. Limnol. Oceanogr. 49, 408–414. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2004.49.2.0408
- Roy, S., Llewellyn, C.A., Egeland, E.S., Johnsen, G., 2011. Phytoplankton Pigments, Characterization,
   Chemotaxonomy, and Applications in Oceanography, Cambridge University Press. ed. Cambridge,
   UK.
- Shumway, S.E., Ward, J.E., Heupel, E., Holohan, B.A., Heupel, J., Heupel, T., Padilla, D.K., 2014.
  Observations of feeding in the common Atlantic slippersnail *Crepidula fornicata* L., with special reference to the "mucus net." Journal of Shellfish Research 33, 279–291.
  https://doi.org/10.2983/035.033.0127
- 876 Tremblay, R., Olivier, F., Bourget, E., Rittschof, D., 2007. Physiological condition of *Balanus amphitrite*877 cyprid larvae determines habitat selection success. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 340, 1–8.
  878 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps340001
- Vander Zanden, M.J., Clayton, M.K., Moody, E.K., Solomon, C.T., Weidel, B.C., 2015. Stable isotope
  turnover and half-life in animal tissues: a literature synthesis. PLoS ONE 10, e0116182.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116182
- Wainright, S.C., Fry, B., 1994. Seasonal variation of the stable isotopic compositions of coastal marine
  plankton from Woods Hole, Massachusetts and Georges Bank. Estuaries 17, 552.
  https://doi.org/10.2307/1352403
- Walne, P.R., 1956. The biology and distribution of the slipper limpet *Crepidula fornicata* in Essex rivers.
   Fishery Investigations Series II 20, 1–50.
- Yee, A.K., Padilla, D.K., 2015. Allometric scaling of the radula in the Atlantic slippersnail *Crepidula fornicata*. Journal of Shellfish Research 34, 903–907. https://doi.org/10.2983/035.034.0320
- Zhukova, N., Aizdacher, N., 1995. Fatty acid composition of 15 species of marine microalgae.
   Phytochemistry 39, 351–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(94)00913-E

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.30.229021; this version posted July 31, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- Zhukova, N.V., 1991. The pathway of the biosynthesis of non-methylene-interrupted dienoic fatty acids in molluscs. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Comparative Biochemistry 100, 801– 804. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-0491(91)90293-M
- Zhukova, N.V., Kharlamenko, V.I., Svetashev, V.I., Rodionov, I.A., 1992. Fatty acids as markers of bacterial
   symbionts of marine bivalve molluscs. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 162,
   253–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(92)90205-O
- 897

### 898 **7. Supplementary materials**

899

# 900 Table S1: Pigment (%, mean $\pm$ SD, n $\geq$ 2) composition of organic matter sources (Biofilm, suspended particulate organic matter (SPOM), superficial

901 sedimentary organic matter (SSOM)) over the sampling survey. UK: Unknown pigments.

	S1 = 26th February	S2 = 21th March	S3 = 28th March	S4 = 12th April	S5 = 14th June
Pigments	Biofilm SPOM SSOM	Biofilm SPOM SSOM	Biofilm SPOM SSOM	Biofilm SPOM SSOM	Biofilm SPOM SSOM
Alloxanthin	$4 \pm 2.2$ 11.7 $\pm 1.3$ 3.9 $\pm 0.1$	$1.2 \pm 0.8$ $7.1 \pm 1.2$ $3 \pm 0.8$	$1.7 \pm 1  9.3 \pm 0.3  3.3 \pm 0.3$	$2 \pm 0.2$ 5.1 $\pm 0.8$ 4.1 $\pm 0.3$	$1.7 \pm 0.6 \ 15.1 \pm 1.3 \ 2.1 \pm 0.4$
$\beta$ caroten	$1.8 \pm 0.6 \qquad 0 \pm 0 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.2$	$0.2 \pm 0.1 \ \ 0.3 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0.1  0.6 \pm 0.1 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$1.5\pm 0.9\  \  3.2\pm 3.4\  \  0.1\pm 0.1$	$1.5\pm 0.5\ \ 2.8\pm 0.5\ \ 1.9\pm 1.6$
Chlorophyll a	$4.7 \pm 0.6 \ \ 7.7 \pm 1.4 \ \ 0.8 \pm 0.2$	$4.1 \pm 0.4 \ \ 6.1 \pm 0.7 \ \ 0.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.1\pm 0.4\  \  7.2\pm 0.1\  \  0.4\pm 0.1$	$4.7 \pm 0.6  6.3 \pm 0.6  0.3 \pm 0.1$	$5\pm 0.4  \  \  4.7\pm 0.1  0.7\pm 0.1$
Chlorophyll b	$19.8 \pm 1.7 \ 7.8 \pm 0.7 \ 0.6 \pm 0.1$	$25.8 \pm 2.8 \ 4.8 \pm 0.5  0.3 \pm 0.1$	$21.7\pm 0.1\ 7.1\pm 0.1\ 0.3\pm 0.1$	$19.6 \pm 2.9 \ 4.3 \pm 0.6 \ 0.2 \pm 0.1$	$16 \pm 0.6  5.1 \pm 0  0.3 \pm 0.2$
Chlorophyllide	$0.3 \pm 0.1$ $0 \pm 0$ $0.1 \pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0.3 \ 0.1 \pm 0.1 \ 0.1 \pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0.1  0.2 \pm 0 \qquad 0.1 \pm 0$	$0.5 \pm 0.4  0.3 \pm 0.1  0.1 \pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0.1  0.2 \pm 0 \qquad 0 \pm 0$
Diadinoxanthin	$0.8\pm 0.1\ \ 2.7\pm 0.4\ \ 1.1\pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.1 \ \ 3.4 \pm 0.2 \qquad 1 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1  3.4 \pm 0  0.9 \pm 0.3$	$0.8\pm 0.1\ \ 2.1\pm 0.1\ \ 1.2\pm 0$	$1 \pm 0$ $1.5 \pm 0$ $1 \pm 0.2$
Xanthophyll	$1.1\pm 0  2.5\pm 0.1  3.5\pm 0.2$	$0\pm 0 ~~1.8\pm 1.6~~2.9\pm 0.7$	$0.6 \pm 0.1  2 \pm 0.1  3.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.7\pm 0.2\ \ 2.1\pm 0.2\ \ 3.1\pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.1  3 \pm 0.2  2.1 \pm 0.5$
Fucoxanthin-like	$\pm 1.1 \pm 0.1$ 2.7 $\pm 0.2$ 4.2 $\pm 0.4$	$1.2\pm 0.1\  \  3.5\pm 0.1\  \  5.6\pm 0.8$	$1.4 \pm 0.4  4 \pm 0.3  5.1 \pm 0.2$	$2.8 \pm 1.9  3 \pm 0.1  5.7 \pm 0.6$	$1.7\pm 0.2\  \  3.1\pm 0.1\  \  4.5\pm 0.5$
Fucoxanthin	$12.5 \pm 2.822.5 \pm 1.8\ 5.8 \pm 0.9$	$10.8 \pm 2.421.5 \pm 1.4  5 \pm 1.2$	$12.2\pm3.4\ 19.2\pm0.1\ 6.1\pm1.3$	$12.3 \pm 1.3\ 22.1 \pm 0.9\ 6.8 \pm 0.3$	$16.1 \pm 2.3 \ 11.3 \pm 0.1 \ \ 4.3 \pm 1.1$
Pheophorbide	$14.8 \pm 1.2 \ 20 \pm 2.7 \ 32.8 \pm 1.7$	$6.7 \pm 2.4 \ \ 23.7 \pm 3 \ \ 25.3 \pm 1.6$	$8.8 \pm 1.2 \ 15.8 \pm 0.5 \ 26.9 \pm 1.4$	$13.2\pm3.422.3\pm1.724.3\pm0.6$	$12 \pm 1.1 \ 18.8 \pm 2.8 \ 20.8 \pm 1.1$
Lutein	$3 \pm 0.1$ $8.5 \pm 0.8$ $13.9 \pm 1.6$	$1.5\pm 0.5\ 7.5\pm 0.7\ 11.8\pm 2.3$	$2.2\pm 0.3\ \ 2.9\pm 0.4\ \ 10.6\pm 1$	$1.2\pm 0.3\  \  4.3\pm 0.6\  \  8.3\pm 1.6$	$1 \pm 0.1$ $2 \pm 0$ $3.8 \pm 1.5$
Neoxanthin	$7.7 \pm 1.2  1.7 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$14.8 \pm 0.7 \ 2.7 \pm 0.4 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$12.9 \pm 0.5 \ 5.1 \pm 0.2 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$6.1 \pm 4.3  2.1 \pm 0.1  2.9 \pm 0.1$	$7.2 \pm 0.9  2 \pm 0.2  0.7 \pm 1.2$
Pheophytin	$4.1 \pm 3.3$ $0 \pm 0$ $23.2 \pm 1.1$	$1\pm 0.7  0.8\pm 1.4 \ \ 32.9\pm 2.4$	$2.9 \pm 0.6 \ 1.3 \pm 0.1 \ 31.1 \pm 1.8$	$7.9 \pm 1.4 \ \ 9.5 \pm 5.8 \ \ 30.1 \pm 1.9$	$15 \pm 1.5 \ 17.9 \pm 1.8 \ 51.4 \pm 2.6$
Chlorophyll c	$1.5\pm 0.5\  \  4.8\pm 0.5\  \  3.7\pm 0.2$	$0\pm 0  12.5\pm 1.5 \ 6.4\pm 0.2$	$1.3\pm 0.2\ 15.2\pm 0.1\ 7.2\pm 0.7$	$1.3 \pm 0.3$ $6.6 \pm 1$ $8 \pm 1.4$	$1.9\pm 0.1\  \  4.1\pm 0.3\  \  3.9\pm 0.4$
UK 3	$1.1 \pm 0.3  0.9 \pm 0  0.8 \pm 0.1$	$1.6 \pm 0.2 \ 0.9 \pm 0.4 \ 0.7 \pm 0.1$	$1.1\pm 0  1.6\pm 0.1  0.6\pm 0$	$1.1 \pm 0.3  0.7 \pm 0.4  1 \pm 0.2$	$0.7\pm 0  0.7\pm 0.2  0.4\pm 0.1$
UK 6	$17.3 \pm 1.3  0 \pm 0 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$26.7 \pm 4.5  0 \pm 0 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$23\pm1.3\qquad 0\pm0\qquad 0\pm0$	$20.4 \pm 3.8$ $0 \pm 0$ $0 \pm 0$	$14.5 \pm 0.9 \qquad 0 \pm 0 \qquad 0 \pm 0$
UK 7	$1.2\pm0.1\qquad 0\pm0\qquad 0\pm0$	$1.7 \pm 0.1$ $0 \pm 0$ $0 \pm 0$	$1.1 \pm 0.3$ $0 \pm 0$ $0 \pm 0$	$1.6 \pm 0.1$ $0 \pm 0$ $0 \pm 0$	$0.9\pm0\qquad 0\pm0\qquad 0\pm0$
UK 8	$0.6\pm0.1 \qquad 0\pm0 \qquad 0\pm0$	$1.1 \pm 0.6$ $0 \pm 0$ $0 \pm 0$	$1\pm 0.8 \qquad 0\pm 0 \qquad 0\pm 0$	$0.7 \pm 0.6$ $0 \pm 0$ $0 \pm 0$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$ $0 \pm 0$ $0 \pm 0$
UK 9	$0\pm 0$ $0\pm 0$ $0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$ $0\pm 0$ $0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$ $0\pm 0$ $0\pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$ $0.8 \pm 0.3$ $0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$ $0.8 \pm 0$ $0.2 \pm 0.2$
Violaxanthin	$0.6 \pm 0.2  1.3 \pm 0.3 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0  0.7 \pm 0.7  0 \pm 0$	$0.9 \pm 0.1 \ \ 3.3 \pm 0.4 \ \ 0 \pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0.5  1.8 \pm 1.7 \qquad 0 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0.4 \ \ 4.5 \pm 0.1 \ \ 0 \pm 0$
Zeaxanthin	$2 \pm 0.1$ 5.2 $\pm 0.2$ 5 $\pm 0.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.4 \ 2.5 \pm 0.4 \ 4.8 \pm 1.1$	$1.6\pm 0  1.7\pm 0.1  4.2\pm 0.3$	$1.1\pm 0  3.2\pm 0.3  3.7\pm 0.6$	$0.9\pm 0.1\ \ 2.3\pm 0.1\ \ 1.9\pm 0.6$
Chl $c$ / Chl $a$ ratio	$0.3 \pm 0.1  0.6 \pm 0.2  4.5 \pm 0.7$	$0 \pm 0$ 2.1 $\pm 0.5$ 27.3 $\pm 17.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1  2.1 \pm 0  17.1 \pm 1.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.1  1.1 \pm 0.1  28 \pm 14.9$	$0.4 \pm 0.1  0.9 \pm 0  5.8 \pm 1.1$
Chl $b$ / Chl $a$ ratio	$0.4.2 \pm 0.2$ $1 \pm 0.1$ $0.7 \pm 0.1$	$6.3 \pm 0.7  0.8 \pm 0  1.2 \pm 0.5$	$5.3 \pm 0.5 \qquad 1 \pm 0 \qquad 0.7 \pm 0.2$	$4.2 \pm 0.6  0.7 \pm 0 \qquad 0.6 \pm 0$	$3.2\pm 0.4  1.1\pm 0  0.5\pm 0.3$
Fuco / Chl a ratio	$0 \ 2.6 \pm 0.3 \ 3 \pm 0.8 \ 7 \pm 1.7$	$2.6 \pm 0.6 \ \ 3.6 \pm 0.6 \ \ 19.3 \pm 6.7$	$2.9 \pm 0.6  2.7 \pm 0  14.5 \pm 3.2$	$2.7 \pm 0.5 \ \ 3.5 \pm 0.4 \ \ 23 \pm 9.8$	$3.2\pm 0.2\ \ 2.4\pm 0.1\ \ 6.3\pm 1.4$
Chlorophyll <i>c</i> UK 3 UK 6 UK 7 UK 8 UK 9 Violaxanthin Zeaxanthin Chl <i>c</i> / Chl <i>a</i> ratio	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 \pm 0 & 12.5 \pm 1.5 & 6.4 \pm 0.2 \\ 1.6 \pm 0.2 & 0.9 \pm 0.4 & 0.7 \pm 0.1 \\ 26.7 \pm 4.5 & 0 \pm 0 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 1.7 \pm 0.1 & 0 \pm 0 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 1.1 \pm 0.6 & 0 \pm 0 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 0 \pm 0 & 0 \pm 0 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 0 \pm 0 & 0.7 \pm 0.7 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.4 & 2.5 \pm 0.4 & 4.8 \pm 1.1 \\ 0 \pm 0 & 2.1 \pm 0.5 & 27.3 \pm 17.1 \\ 6.3 \pm 0.7 & 0.8 \pm 0 & 1.2 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1.3 \pm 0.3 & 6.6 \pm 1 & 8 \pm 1.4 \\ 1.1 \pm 0.3 & 0.7 \pm 0.4 & 1 \pm 0.2 \\ 20.4 \pm 3.8 & 0 \pm 0 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 1.6 \pm 0.1 & 0 \pm 0 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 0.7 \pm 0.6 & 0 \pm 0 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 0 \pm 0 & 0.8 \pm 0.3 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 0.3 \pm 0.5 & 1.8 \pm 1.7 & 0 \pm 0 \\ 1.1 \pm 0 & 3.2 \pm 0.3 & 3.7 \pm 0.6 \\ 0.3 \pm 0.1 & 1.1 \pm 0.1 & 28 \pm 14.9 \\ 4.2 \pm 0.6 & 0.7 \pm 0 & 0.6 \pm 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table S2: Fatty acid (FA) (%, mean ± SD, n = 3) composition of organic matter sources (Biofilm, suspended particulate organic matter (SPOM), superficial
 sedimentary organic matter (SSOM)) over the sampling survey. Only FA accounting for more than 0.5 % of total FA in at least one sample was shown.
 BFA: Branched FA; SFA: saturated FA; MUFA: monounsaturated FA; PUFA: polyunsaturated FA; UK FA: Unknown FA; EPA: 20:5n-3; DHA: 22:6n 3.

	<b>S</b> 1 =	26 <sup>th</sup> Feb	ruary	S2 =	$= 21^{\text{th}} \text{Ma}$	arch	<b>S</b> 3	$= 28^{\text{th}} \text{ Ma}$	arch	<b>S</b> 4	$= 12^{\text{th}} \text{Ag}$	oril	S5	$= 14^{th} Ju$	ne
Fatty acids	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM
TMTD	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.6\pm0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
15:0iso	$1.8\pm0.7$	$1\pm0.2$	$2.7\pm0.4$	$0.6\pm0.5$	$1\pm0.1$	$1.9\pm0.8$	$1.3\pm0.9$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$2\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.4$	$1.3\pm0.2$	$2.5\pm0.2$	$1.4\pm0.3$	$1\pm0.2$	$2\pm0.2$
15:0ant	$0.6\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$3.7\pm0.7$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$2.9\pm0.6$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$1\pm0.1$	$3 \pm 0.4$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$3.2\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$2.3\pm0.8$
16:0iso	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$2.3\pm0.4$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.5\pm0$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.4$
17:0iso	$0.9\pm0.3$	$1.7\pm0.2$	$1.4\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$2.8\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.5$	$2.7\pm0.2$	$1.6\pm0.1$	$1.8\pm0.6$	$0.8\pm0.5$	$0.7\pm0.6$
17:0ant	$2.5\pm2.4$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.6$	$1.2\pm0$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$2.6\pm0.8$	$0\pm 0$	$0.6 \pm 0$	$1.6\pm0.5$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.8$
18:0iso	$0.1\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.9$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$0.9\pm0.3$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$1.7\pm0.4$	$1.2\pm0$	$1.7\pm0.4$
$\sum BFA$	$6.8\pm2.4$	$6.3\pm0.3$	$12.1\pm1.4$	$2.2\pm0.2$	$4.4\pm0.1$	$8.5\pm1.6$	$6.8\pm0.7$	$6.2\pm0.4$	$8\pm0.7$	$5\pm1.3$	$6.6\pm0.9$	$9\pm0.4$	$7\pm0.6$	$4.2\pm0.3$	$8.1\pm1.5$
14:0	$3.1\pm0.3$	$7.3\pm1.3$	$4.9\pm0.8$	$1.5\pm0.4$	$5.2\pm0.5$	$3.5\pm0$	$4.2\pm0.6$	$12\pm0.8$	$4.1\pm0.2$	$6.1\pm2.1$	$8.6\pm1$	$4.1\pm0.3$	$4.9\pm0.2$	$5.4 \pm 1.1$	$5.3\pm0.6$
15:0	$0.9\pm0.3$	$2.6\pm0.4$	$1.5\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$1.9\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1.7\pm0.1$	$1\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$1.4\pm0.2$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0.6$
16:0	$21.5\pm3.4$	$33\pm4.9$	$22.4\pm3.1$	$38.6\pm0.7$	$26.3 \pm 2.3$	$17.6\pm1.6$	$29.5\pm4.3$	$32.7\pm1.9$	$14.8 \pm 1.4$	$30.5\pm4.4$	$34.1\pm2.3$	$18.2\pm0.2$	$19.9\pm4.6$	$25\pm4.7$	$19.3\pm4.3$
17:0	$1.6\pm0.7$	$1.6\pm0.3$	$1.3\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.8$	$0.7\pm0$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$1\pm0.1$	$1.6\pm0.4$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$1.8\pm0.5$
18:0	$16.5\pm1.3$	$11.8 \pm 1.7$	$9.6 \pm 1.3$	$42.3\pm2.6$	$13.3 \pm 1.4$	$10.9\pm2.5$	$21.5\pm4.8$	$9.2\pm0.7$	$6.8\pm1$	$21.9\pm3.8$	$14.9\pm5.3$	$7.6\pm0.3$	$6.7\pm2.5$	$6.5\pm1.3$	$8\pm2.1$
20:0	$0.6\pm0.1$	$1.7\pm0.1$	$1.9\pm1.7$	$0.6\pm0$	$1.3\pm0$	$2.8\pm0.3$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0$	$2.6\pm0.3$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.7$	$3\pm0.2$	$1.1\pm0.7$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$2.2\pm0.3$
22:0	$1\pm0.1$	$1.4\pm1.2$	$3.2\pm3$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$1.6\pm0$	$4.4\pm0.7$	$1.1\pm0.3$	$0.7\pm0.6$	$4.5\pm0.5$	$1.4\pm0.4$	$1.4\pm0.3$	$5.4\pm0.3$	$1.2\pm0.9$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$3.5\pm0.8$
24:0	$0.1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.3$	$0.7\pm0.3$	$4.4\pm2$	$6.1\pm5.2$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$1.9\pm1.5$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$4.9\pm1.2$
$\sum$ SFA	$45.3\pm4.7$	59.4 ± 7.6	$45.4\pm3.3$	$84.2\pm2.1$	50.3 ± 3.8	$41.4\pm3.2$	59.6 ± 9.9	$62.4 \pm 1.1$	$40.7\pm7.3$	$62.3\pm7.4$	$61.8 \pm 5.9$	$40.7\pm0.5$	38.1 ± 10	$40.5 \pm 7.4$	$45.7\pm8.3$
14:1n-5	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$
16:1n-11	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
16:1n-9	$0.9\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.9$	$0.3\pm0.5$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$2\pm0.2$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$0.6\pm0.3$	$0.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.4$
16:1n-7	$3.8\pm0.1$	$1.9\pm1.5$	$5.6\pm3$	$0.8\pm0.4$	$4.5\pm0.5$	$8.8\pm0.1$	$2.7\pm1.8$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$7.3\pm2.4$	$2.5\pm1.1$	$1.6\pm0.7$	$0\pm 0$	$7.9\pm0.6$	$3.4\pm0.8$	$9\pm1.9$

16:1n-5	$0.5 \pm 0.1$ (	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$1.2\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.7$
17:1n-7	$0.1 \pm 0.2$ (	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.8\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.6\pm0.3$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0.3$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.3$
18:1n-11	$0.5\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$							
18:1n-9	$1.6 \pm 0.7$ (	$0.3 \pm 0.5$	$1.3\pm2.3$	$1\pm0.7$	$2.5\pm0.7$	$2.9\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.8$	$0\pm 0$	$1.9 \pm 1$	$2.2\pm0.9$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$3.1 \pm 1.1$	$1.8\pm0.3$	$5.8 \pm 1.4$	$2.3\pm0.2$
18:1n-7	$2\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$1.1\pm0.3$	$2.7\pm0.5$	$5.8\pm0.3$	$2.1\pm1.3$	$0.5\pm0.5$	$4.2\pm1.5$	$2\pm0.8$	$0.6\pm0.7$	$6.9\pm1.4$	$4.3\pm0.6$	$3.7 \pm 1$	$4.6\pm1.1$
18:1n-5	$0.6 \pm 0.1$ (	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.6\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
20:1n-11	$1.2 \pm 0.4$ 1	$1.1 \pm 1.5$	$0.3\pm0.5$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$3.6\pm0.6$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$1.2 \pm 1$	$0.6\pm0.6$	$0.6\pm0.3$	$0.4\pm0.7$	$0.8 \pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$3.6\pm0.8$	$0.1\pm0.3$
20:1n-9	$0.3 \pm 0$ (	$0.3 \pm 0.5$	$0.3\pm0.4$	$0.1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.8$	$0.3 \pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
20:1n-7	$0.6\pm0.5$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
22:1n-9	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.6$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$								
$\sum$ MUFA	12.7 ± 1.3 6	$6.6 \pm 4.2$	$9.2\pm 6.4$	$3.9\pm0.5$	$16.5\pm2.7$	$20.7\pm0.6$	$8.7\pm4.8$	$4.3\pm2.4$	$17\pm 6.6$	$10.4\pm2.5$	$5.2\pm1.9$	$15.1 \pm 3.8$	$17.3\pm3.4$	$18.6\pm4.3$	$17.5\pm4.7$
160 4		0 0	0.0.04		0.1 0.1	0 7 0 1	21 05	0 0	0.4.05	0.0 1.1				15 00	0.0.07
16:2n-4	$0.2 \pm 0.2$		$0.2 \pm 0.4$		$0.1 \pm 0.1$		$2.1 \pm 0.7$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.9 \pm 1.1$				$1.5 \pm 0.3$	
16:3n-4	$0.6 \pm 0.1$ (				$1.2 \pm 0.1$		$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$1 \pm 0.9$		$0.3 \pm 0.3$	
16:3n-6	$0.4 \pm 0.2$ (	$0.2 \pm 0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
16:3n-3	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$1\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.3$	$0.6\pm0.5$	$0\pm 0$	$1.2\pm0.9$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.3$
16:4n-3	$0.3 \pm 0.1$ 1	$1.3 \pm 1.1$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$					
18:2n-6	$4.2 \pm 2.1$ (	$0.9 \pm 0.8$	$0.7 \pm 1$	$1.9\pm0.4$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$3\pm1.8$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.3$	$2.3\pm0.9$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1.4\pm0.3$	$3.1\pm0.6$	$2.5\pm0.5$	$1.3\pm0.4$
18:2n-4	$0.2 \pm 0.1$ (	$0.2 \pm 0.4$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$					
18:3n-6	$0.2 \pm 0$ (	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$0.4\pm0.7$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.3\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$
18:3n-4	$0.6 \pm 0.1$ 1	$1.4 \pm 0.5$	$5.9 \pm 1.7$	$0.1\pm0$	$1.2\pm0.4$	$1.6\pm0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.8\pm0.3$	$2.5\pm1.7$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.7\pm0.3$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$3.2\pm0.4$
18:3n-3	$0.7 \pm 0.3$ (	$0.6 \pm 0.7$	$0.3\pm0.5$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$1.3\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0.4\pm0.4$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.4$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$2.8\pm0.8$	$0.3\pm0.5$
18:4n-3	$1.1 \pm 0.9$ 2	$2.7 \pm 0.3$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$3.8\pm0.5$	$1.6\pm0.2$	$1\pm0.4$	$2.5\pm0.5$	$2.2\pm0.6$	$0.8\pm0.3$	$1.9\pm0.6$	$2.5\pm0.5$	$1.1\pm0.4$	$4.3\pm1.2$	$0.9\pm0.9$
20:2n-6	$0.6 \pm 0.2$ 1	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0.3 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.7$	$0.4\pm0.4$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$1.6\pm0.5$	$1.3\pm0.6$
20:3n-6	$0.2\pm0$	$0.5\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.5\pm0$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.6$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
20:3n-3	$0.2 \pm 0$ (	$0.5 \pm 0.9$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$1.7\pm1.5$	$0.4\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.3$
20:4n-6	$6.3\pm0.9$	$0\pm 0$	$0.7\pm0.6$	$1.6\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$1.5\pm0.1$	$2.8 \pm 1.7$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$1.2\pm0.4$	$2\pm0.7$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$2.2\pm0.4$	$5.9 \pm 1.6$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$1.8\pm0.7$
20:4n-3	$0.4 \pm 0.2$ 1	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.7\pm0$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	$2.3\pm0.5$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$1.3\pm0.5$	$2.2\pm0.9$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$1.7 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$
20:5n-3	$8.2 \pm 2.1$ 2	$2.2 \pm 1.2$	$4.6 \pm 1$	$1.4 \pm 0.7$	$4.5\pm0.6$	$6.3 \pm 1.2$	$3.4 \pm 2.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	$3 \pm 3$	$2.2\pm0.6$	$1.7 \pm 1$	$9.7 \pm 2.3$	$11.2 \pm 4.4$	$6.7 \pm 1.8$	$8.5 \pm 3.1$
21:5n-3	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$3\pm5.2$	$2.3 \pm 3.4$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$

22:2n-6	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0$	$0.9\pm0$	$1.3\pm0.2$	$2.1\pm1.5$	$7.3\pm1$	$2.8\pm2$	$1.5\pm0.8$	$9.1\pm3.2$	$3\pm2.5$	$3\pm2.2$	$2.7\pm1.2$	$2.9 \pm 1.6$
22:4n-6	$0.6\pm0.5$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
22:5n-6	$0.7\pm0.1$	$2.1\pm1.3$	$1.7\pm0.5$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.4\pm0$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$1.9\pm0.2$	$4.4\pm0.5$	$2.5\pm0.9$	$1.6\pm0.6$	$2.3\pm0.8$	$0.9\pm0.4$	$1\pm 1$	$1.7\pm0.7$	$0.2\pm0.3$
22:5n-3	$0.7\pm0.8$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$2.1\pm0.6$	$2.1 \pm 1.7$	$0.6\pm0.5$	$2.2\pm0.5$	$2.3\pm0.7$	$0.5\pm0.3$	$1.3 \pm 1.2$	$0.6\pm0.6$
22:6n-3	$4.3\pm2.8$	$0.9 \pm 1.1$	$0.7\pm0.7$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$2.9\pm0.4$	$1.9\pm0.2$	$1.7\pm0.3$	$4\pm0.5$	$4.5\pm0.8$	$1.5\pm2.1$	$0\pm 0$	$3.6 \pm 1.7$	$3.7\pm1.7$	$6\pm1.8$	$2.9 \pm 1.1$
$\sum PUFA$	$31.2\pm6.5$	$17.6\pm4.7$	$21.5\pm3.2$	$7.8 \pm 1.6$	$22\pm1.8$	$22.9 \pm 1.8$	$22.3\pm6.1$	$25.6 \pm 1.6$	$28.7\pm2.3$	$19.8\pm4.7$	$23.9\pm4.9$	$33.3\pm3.1$	$34.5\pm7$	33.9 ± 4.9	$25.6\pm5.6$
А	$0.5 \pm 0.4$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$1.2 \pm 1.4$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
М	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0$	$0.2\pm0.4$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
Ν	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0$	$1.4 \pm 1.8$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0$	$0.7\pm0.6$	$0.4\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
Ο	$0.8\pm0$	$5.2\pm2.1$	$5.5\pm3.9$	$0.8\pm0.6$	$3.1\pm0.6$	$0.5\pm0.5$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$1\pm0.6$	$2.2\pm1.5$	$2.7\pm2.1$
Р	$1.4 \pm 0$	$4\pm0.6$	$4.9 \pm 1.1$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$1.9\pm0.1$	$3.9\pm0.5$	$1.1\pm0.5$	$0.9\pm0.8$	$4.8 \pm 1.3$	$1.4\pm1.5$	$1.2\pm0.3$	$0.6\pm0.6$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.5$
W	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.6\pm0$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0$	$0.5\pm0$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.7\pm0.6$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$
$\sum$ UK FA	$4\pm0.7$	$10.1\pm1.5$	$11.9\pm5.2$	$1.7\pm1.1$	$6.5\pm0.6$	$5.8 \pm 1.1$	$2.4\pm0.6$	$1.5\pm0.8$	$5.4\pm1.3$	$2.6\pm1.4$	$2.6\pm1.3$	$1.6\pm0.6$	$3.2\pm1.2$	$2.7\pm1.5$	$3.2\pm1.9$
PUFA/SFA	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$1 \pm 0.4$	$0.9\pm0.3$	$0.6\pm0.2$
EPA/DHA	$2.3 \pm 1$	NA	NA	$2.8\pm0.5$	$1.6\pm0$	$3.4\pm0.4$	$1.9\pm1.3$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.8$	NA	NA	$3.1\pm1.6$	$3.1\pm0.6$	$1.1\pm0$	$2.9\pm0.1$
$\sum n-3/\sum n-6$	$51.2\pm0.6$	$1.7\pm0.4$	$1.8\pm0.5$	$0.7\pm0.3$	$4.4\pm0$	$2.5\pm0.4$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$2.1\pm1.1$	$1.2\pm0.4$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$1.9\pm0.4$	$1.3\pm0.3$	$2.7\pm0.7$	$2\pm1.1$

Table S3: Stable isotope (mean ± SD, n = 3) composition of organic matter sources (Biofilm, suspended particulate organic matter (SPOM), superficial
 sedimentary organic matter (SSOM)) over the sampling survey.

	S1 =	26 <sup>th</sup> Febru	iary	S2	= 21 <sup>th</sup> Ma	rch	S3	$= 28^{\text{th}} \text{ Ma}$	rch	S-	$4 = 12^{\text{th}} \text{ A}$	pril	$S5 = 14^{th} June$		
	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM	Biofilm	SPOM	SSOM
$\delta^{13}C$	$-22.6\pm0.1$	$-25.2 \pm 0.2$	$-23\pm0.2$	$-23.4\pm0.5$	$-25.3 \pm 0.1$	$-22.7\pm0.3$	$-22.6\pm0.4$	$-25.3 \pm 0.1$	$-22.6\pm0.1$	$-23.4\pm1$	$-24.6 \pm 0.4$	$-22.5\pm0.1$	$-21.8\pm0.2$	$-25.1 \pm 0.3$	$-22.5 \pm 0.1$
$\delta^{15}N$	$9.4\pm0.6$	$7.3\pm0$	$7 \pm 0.7$	$10.3\pm0.5$	$6.7\pm0.3$	$7.1\pm0.1$	$9.2\pm0.7$	$6.6\pm0.1$	$7\pm0.1$	$9.2\pm0.7$	$6.9\pm0.9$	$7.1\pm0.1$	$9.4\pm0.7$	$6.4\pm0.5$	$6.9\pm0.2$
C/N	$3.9\pm0.3$	$6.6\pm1.1$	$5.1\pm1.3$	$5.8\pm3$	$5.9\pm0.6$	$5.3\pm0.6$	$5.3\pm0.4$	$6\pm0.5$	$5.5\pm0.6$	$5\pm0.5$	$5.6\pm0.9$	$5.4\pm0.5$	$3.6\pm0.6$	$3.9\pm0.4$	$5.9\pm0.8$

911 Table S4: Fatty acid (FA) (%, mean ± SD, n = 5) composition of the three ontogenic stages of *Crepidula fornicata* (motile males, sessile males, sessile

912 females) over the sampling survey. Only FA accounting for more than 0.5 % of total FA in at least one sample was shown. BFA: Branched FA; SFA:

913 saturated FA; MUFA: monounsaturated FA; PUFA: polyunsaturated FA; NMI FA: non-methyl-interrupted FA; DMA: Dimethyl acetals FA; EPA:

914 20:5n-3; DHA: 22:6n-3.


	<b>S</b> 1 =	26 <sup>th</sup> Febr	ruary	S2 =	= 21 <sup>th</sup> Mar	ch	S3 :	= 28 <sup>th</sup> Mar	ch	<b>S</b> 4	$= 12^{\text{th}} \text{Ap}$	ril	S5	= 14 <sup>th</sup> Jun	ie
Fatty acids	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male
TMTD	$1.3\pm0.3$	$2.1\pm1.1$	$0.8\pm0.6$	$1.3\pm0.2$	$0\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$1.3\pm0.7$	$2.5\pm0.4$	$0.9 \pm 1.2$	$2.1 \pm 1$	$2.9\pm0.8$	$2.5\pm1$	$2.1\pm1.7$	$2\pm0.7$	$1\pm0.6$
15:0iso	$0.2\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.5\pm0.3$	$1\pm0.2$
15:0ant	$0.1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.5$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$0.7\pm0.1$
16:0iso	$1.3\pm0.5$	$1.1\pm0.3$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$1\pm0.1$	$0.6 \pm 1$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.4$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0$	$0.4\pm0.2$
17:0iso	$5.4 \pm 1.8$	$4.1 \pm 1$	$3.4\pm0.5$	$3.1\pm1.8$	$1.7\pm2.4$	$1.1 \pm 1$	$3.5\pm2$	$2.8 \pm 1.4$	$2.5\pm1.1$	$3.8 \pm 1$	$2.4\pm0.1$	$3\pm0.3$	$3 \pm 1$	$1.3\pm0.2$	$1.4\pm0.3$
17:0ant	$3.6 \pm 1.6$	$3.2\pm0.7$	$2.4\pm0.6$	$2.1\pm0.5$	$3.5\pm1$	$1.5\pm0.3$	$2.8\pm0.7$	$3.1\pm0.4$	$3\pm 1$	$2.4\pm0.7$	$1.8\pm0.2$	$2.5\pm0.3$	$2.4\pm0.9$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$1.5\pm0.3$
18:0iso	$0.7\pm0.4$	$0.6\pm0.4$	$0.3\pm0.4$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.5$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.4$	$0.4\pm0.6$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$2.1\pm2.9$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$
$\sum BFA$	$11.2\pm4.3$	$8.9\pm2.1$	$7.1\pm1.3$	$6.3\pm2.3$	$6.4\pm2.6$	$2.8\pm1$	$7.8\pm2.6$	$7.8 \pm 1.8$	$6.3\pm2.2$	$7.5\pm2.2$	$5.6\pm0.3$	$8.9\pm4$	$6.6\pm2.6$	$3.8\pm1$	$5\pm0.9$
14:0	$1.5\pm0.3$	$1.3\pm0.7$	$1.4\pm0.4$	$1.3\pm0.2$	$3\pm0.9$	$1.6\pm0.1$	$1.3\pm0.4$	$1.4\pm0.3$	$0.8\pm0.8$	$2\pm0.5$	$2.3\pm0.4$	$1.9\pm0.7$	$2.4\pm0.6$	$2.7\pm0.6$	$2.2\pm0.2$
15:0	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.4$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0$	$0.1\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0$	$0.4\pm0$	$0.7\pm0.4$	$1.5\pm0.3$
16:0	$11.9\pm0.8$	$16.7\pm3.1$	$25.2\pm3.5$	$11.7\pm1$	$11.6\pm3.3$	$33.7\pm1.7$	$10.9\pm1$	$13\pm0.9$	$12.3\pm2.3$	$12\pm0.4$	$12.6\pm3.1$	$14.2\pm2.1$	$11.2\pm0.9$	$9.7\pm1.4$	$7.6\pm0.9$
17:0	$1.5\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.3$	$1\pm0.1$	$1.4\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.4$	$1\pm0.5$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.5$	$0.9\pm0.5$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$1\pm0.1$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$
18:0	$6.3\pm0.9$	$17.7\pm7.3$	$27.5\pm4.2$	$6.8\pm0.7$	$9.2\pm3.9$	$35.6\pm0.9$	$6.6\pm0.9$	$10.4\pm1.3$	$14.8\pm4.5$	$6.9\pm0.4$	$9.1\pm5.6$	$14.3\pm3.1$	$6.9 \pm 1.2$	$5.6 \pm 1.1$	$7.5\pm1.1$
20:0	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.6\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0$
22:0	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
24:0	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.4$	$0.6\pm0.4$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$1.6\pm1.8$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.5$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$
$\sum$ SFA	$23.2\pm1.5$	$39.2\pm9.6$	$57\pm8.2$	$22.9 \pm 1.5$	$26\pm7.1$	$73.5\pm2.4$	$21.4\pm1.1$	$26.4\pm1.7$	$30.1\pm5.9$	$23.3\pm0.9$	$25.8\pm8.6$	$33.3\pm4.6$	$23.1\pm1.7$	$19.9 \pm 1.2$	$19.7\pm1.6$
14:1n-5	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.5$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
16:1n-11	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.4$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$1.1 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$
16:1n-9	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.3$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.5$	$0.4 \pm 1$	$0.5\pm0$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.3$	$0.3\pm0$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$4.1\pm2.9$
16:1n-7	$1.4\pm0.6$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.3$	$1.7\pm0.2$	$1.3\pm0.4$	$0.3\pm0.4$	$1.5\pm0.3$	$1.2\pm0.4$	$2.6\pm1.3$	$2.3\pm0.6$	$2.9\pm0.8$	$2.1\pm0.3$	$3.6\pm0.9$	$5.8 \pm 1.9$	$6.4\pm1.9$
16:1n-5	$0.4\pm0.4$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.4$	$1.4\pm1.4$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.2$	$1.8 \pm 1.2$	$0.9\pm0.4$	$3.4\pm2.1$	$2.2\pm4.3$

17:1n-7	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	$0 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.3$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$
18:1n-11	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$1.6 \pm 1$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.4 \pm 1$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	2.1 ± 1.4	6 ± 1
18:1n-9	$0 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$	5.1 ± 2.3	$2.1 \pm 0.3$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	$4 \pm 0.8$	$2 \pm 0.2$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.6 \pm 1$	$2 \pm 0.2$	$2 \pm 0.1$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$
18:1n-7		$1.8 \pm 0.3$		$2.8 \pm 0.3$	$2.1 \pm 0.3$	$1 \pm 0.1$	$2.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.7 \pm 0.3$	$0.7 \pm 1.1$	$2.3 \pm 0.3$	$2.3 \pm 0.5$	$2 \pm 0.9$	$3.3 \pm 0.4$	$4.4 \pm 1$	$2.6 \pm 0.8$
18:1n-5	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$		$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	$0.3 \pm 0$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$		$0.6 \pm 0.1$
20:1n-11		$5.3 \pm 0.7$		$6.1 \pm 0.8$	$6.4 \pm 0.9$	$1.9 \pm 0.5$	$5.6 \pm 0.6$	$4 \pm 0.9$	$2.3 \pm 1.5$	$5.2 \pm 0.9$	4.1 ± 1	$2.3 \pm 0.6$	$5.9 \pm 0.6$	$4.3 \pm 0.8$	
20:1n-9	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$1 \pm 0.2$	$0.5 \pm 0.4$	$0.8 \pm 0$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$1 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	
20:1n-7		$3.9 \pm 0.6$		$4.6 \pm 0.2$	$5.2 \pm 0.9$		$4.6 \pm 0.4$	$3.5 \pm 0.8$		$4.2 \pm 0.3$	$4.2 \pm 1.1$	$4 \pm 1$	$5.5 \pm 0.9$	$5.5 \pm 0.7$	$5 \pm 0.8$
$\Sigma$ MUFA				$20.4 \pm 0.6$									22.9 ± 1.1	$28.7 \pm 1.4$	
-															
16:2n-7	$0\pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.7 \pm 1.5$	$2.6\pm2.5$	$0.7 \pm 1$	$0.8 \pm 1.7$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
16:2n-4	$0.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.2\pm0.5$	$0.7 \pm 0.3$	$1\pm0.9$	$0.2\pm0.4$	$0.4\pm0.2$	$0.7 \pm 0.3$	$0.1\pm0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	$0.6\pm0.2$
16:3n-4	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$
16:3n-6	$0.4\pm0.3$	$0.6\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
16:3n-3	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.7$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$1.8\pm2.7$	$1.6\pm0.9$	$0.6\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.5$
16:4n-3	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.5$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.1$
18:2n-6	$1.3\pm0.2$	$1.4\pm0.3$	$1.6\pm0.3$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0.4$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$1.5\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.6$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$1.4\pm0.2$	$1.6\pm0.5$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$3\pm1.3$	$6.3 \pm 1.4$
18:2n-4	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.3$
18:3n-6	$0.1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0$	$0\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.3$
18:3n-4	$1\pm0.5$	$2.7\pm1.2$	$3.1 \pm 1.7$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$0.4\pm0.5$	$0\pm 0$	$1.1\pm0.3$	$3.5\pm1.4$	$1.3\pm1.3$	$1 \pm 0.4$	$0.6\pm0.3$	$2.4\pm0.8$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
18:3n-3	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1\pm0.8$	$1.7 \pm 1$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.3$	$2.1\pm3.3$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$1.6\pm0.4$	$0.7\pm0.4$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.2$
18:4n-3	$0.7\pm0.6$	$0\pm 0$	$0.6\pm0.6$	$1.8\pm0.4$	$1.4\pm0.5$	$2.3\pm0.2$	$1.7\pm0.3$	$3\pm0.6$	$2.9\pm0.7$	$3.1 \pm 1$	$4.4\pm0.8$	$3.2\pm0.8$	$2.7\pm0.5$	$2\pm0.3$	$1.5\pm0.2$
18:4n-1	$0.1 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$
20:2n-6	$1.2\pm0.1$	$2.2\pm0.5$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$2.1\pm0.6$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.5$	$1\pm0.2$	$1\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.4$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0$
20:3n-6	$0.1 \pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.6$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$
20:3n-3	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.1\pm0.1$
20:4n-6	$2.7\pm0.3$	$3.5\pm0.5$	$2.3\pm0.6$	$2.7\pm0.4$	$3.5\pm0.3$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$2.6\pm0.6$	$2.6\pm0.5$	$0.8 \pm 1.2$	$2.5\pm0.4$	$1.7\pm0.4$	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	$1.7\pm0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.7\pm0.1$
20:4n-3	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.5\pm0.8$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$
20:5n-3	$10.5 \pm 2.6$	5.7 ± 1.2	$3.3 \pm 0.7$	12.1 ± 1.3	8.3 ± 1.3	$2.5\pm0.5$	$11.1 \pm 1.8$	$6.9\pm0.9$	$3.4 \pm 1.7$	$11.4 \pm 1.8$	11.1 ± 2.6	$5.8 \pm 1.9$	$14.6 \pm 2.4$	16.3 ± 3.4	$9.4 \pm 2.1$
21:5n-3			$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$		$0\pm 0$	$1.5 \pm 0.6$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$			$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	

	22:2n-6	$0.2\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.2$
	22:4n-6	$0.6\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.3$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.6\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.4$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0$	$0\pm0.1$
	22:5n-6	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.4$	$0.5\pm1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0$	$0\pm0.1$
	22:5n-3	$2\pm0.5$	$1.2\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.5$	$2.4\pm0.3$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.9$	$2.2\pm1.3$	$1.4\pm0.4$	$2.1\pm2.2$	$2.2\pm0.5$	$2.8\pm2.2$	$2.8 \pm 1.8$	$1.6\pm0.3$	$1.4\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.1$
	22:6n-3	$9.7\pm2.1$	$4.9\pm0.9$	$2.6\pm0.5$	$11.1\pm1.4$	$6\pm0.6$	$1.9\pm0.5$	$10.3\pm1.6$	$7\pm0.7$	$4.5\pm2.4$	$9.4\pm2$	$7.8 \pm 1.5$	$5.4\pm1.5$	$6.1\pm1.4$	$4.3\pm0.7$	$2.3\pm0.3$
	$\sum$ PUFA	$34.7\pm5.1$	$24.5\pm4.5$	$15.7\pm2.5$	$38.8\pm4.6$	$31.1\pm2.5$	$13\pm1.8$	$38.4\pm3.5$	$32.4\pm2.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.1 \pm \\ 10.3 \end{array}$	$37.9\pm3.4$	$37.3\pm3.7$	$29\pm4.2$	$36.5\pm2.9$	$38\pm2.3$	$33.8\pm2.6$
	20:2i	$1.1\pm0.3$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0.5$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1.6\pm0.6$	$0\pm 0$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.3$	$1.4\pm2.8$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1\pm0.3$	$0.1\pm0.3$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$1.3\pm0.5$	$0.8\pm0.2$
	20:2j	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0.5$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.1$
	22:2i	$1.9\pm0.3$	$2.6\pm0.5$	$1.3\pm0.7$	$1.6\pm0.5$	$3.8 \pm 1.5$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$1.7\pm0.4$	$1.9\pm0.6$	$0.3\pm0.6$	$1.5\pm0.3$	$1.7\pm0.8$	$0.8\pm0.3$	$1\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.2$
	22:2j	$5.4\pm0.8$	$6\pm0.6$	$3.2\pm2$	$5.1\pm1.1$	$8.7\pm3.2$	$1.4\pm0.3$	$4.2\pm0.7$	$4.4\pm1.4$	$1.8\pm1.7$	$4.6\pm0.8$	$4.5\pm1.9$	$2\pm0.7$	$5.3\pm1$	$3.6\pm0.6$	$1.7\pm0.9$
	22:3i	$0.5\pm0.2$	$1.1\pm0.3$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$1.4\pm0.6$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
	$\sum$ NMI FA	$9.4 \pm 1.4$	$11.3\pm1.2$	$5.4\pm3.3$	$8.4 \pm 1.8$	$16\pm5.6$	$1.5\pm0.3$	$7.8\pm1.3$	$7.3\pm2.4$	$3.7\pm3.4$	$7.8 \pm 1.4$	$8.2\pm3.1$	$3\pm1.1$	$7.9\pm1.2$	$6\pm0.5$	$3\pm1$
	16:0DMA		0.3 ± 0.2	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$			$0.5 \pm 0.2$				3.2 ± 2.3	
	18:0DMA	$1 \pm 0.5$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$1.7 \pm 1$	$4 \pm 1.9$	$13.5 \pm 5.8$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$0.8 \pm 0.6$	$2.7 \pm 2$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.5 \pm 0.6$	$4.9 \pm 1.1$
	20:1n-7DMA	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$1.2\pm0.5$	$1.8 \pm 1$	$7.1 \pm 3$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$1.5\pm0.8$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.2\pm0.2$
	$\sum$ DMA FA	$1.7\pm0.7$	$0.3\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$1.3\pm0.5$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$3.1\pm1.4$	$6.2\pm3$	$20.9\pm8.9$	$1.5\pm0.7$	$1.4 \pm 1$	$6\pm1.8$	$1.1\pm0.5$	$4.9\pm2.9$	$13.7\pm3$
	А	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.3\pm0.4$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
	В	$0.2\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.4$	$0.1\pm0$	$0\pm 0$	$0\pm 0$
	W	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.3$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.4\pm0.2$	$0\pm 0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.2\pm0$	$0.1\pm0.1$
	$\sum$ UK FA	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.4$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$1.1\pm0.3$	$0\pm 0$	$0.5\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0.6$	$0.2\pm0.3$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.4$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$
	PUFA/SFA	$1.5\pm0.3$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$0.3\pm0.1$	$1.7\pm0.3$	$1.3\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0$	$1.8\pm0.2$	$1.2\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.5$	$1.6\pm0.2$	$1.6\pm0.6$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1.6\pm0.2$	$1.9\pm0.2$	$1.7\pm0.2$
	EPA/DHA	$1.1\pm0.1$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$1.4\pm0.2$	$1.3\pm0.1$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$1\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.3$	$1.2\pm0.2$	$1.4\pm0.1$	$1.1\pm0.2$	$2.5\pm0.5$	$3.8\pm0.3$	$4\pm0.6$
	$\sum n-3/\sum n-6$	3.7 ± 1	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	$4.5 \pm 0.6$	$2.6\pm0.5$	$2.8\pm0.7$	$4.5\pm0.5$	$3.6\pm0.3$	$Inf \pm NA$	$4.6 \pm 0.9$	$5.9\pm0.4$	$5.5 \pm 2.8$	$5.6 \pm 1.1$	$5.3 \pm 1.9$	$2.2\pm0.5$
5																

Table S5: Stable isotope (mean ± SD, n = 5) composition of the three ontogenic stages of *Crepidula fornicata* (motile males, sessile males, sessile females)
 over the sampling survey.

	S1 =	26 <sup>th</sup> Febr	uary	S2	$= 21^{\text{th}} \text{Ma}$	rch	S3	$= 28^{\text{th}} \text{ Ma}$	= 28 <sup>th</sup> March		$S4 = 12^{th} April$			$S5 = 14^{th}$ June		
	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	Sessile female	Sessile male	Motile male	
$\delta^{13}C$	$-19.5 \pm 0.3$	$-19.4 \pm 0.4$	$-19.4 \pm 0.5$	$\textbf{-19.8} \pm 0.3$	$-19.7 \pm 0.3$	$-20.1 \pm 0.5$	$-19.2\pm0.6$	$-19.6 \pm 0.4$	$-19.9 \pm 0.3$	$-20.1 \pm 0.4$	$-20.3 \pm 0.8$	$-20.6 \pm 0.5$	$-18.1 \pm 0.3$	$-18.5 \pm 0.1$	$-18.5 \pm 0.3$	
$\delta^{15}N$	$9.3\pm0.4$	$8.9\pm0.8$	$8.9\pm0.3$	$9.6\pm0.5$	$8.9\pm0.5$	$8.4 \pm 1.1$	$9.7\pm0.3$	$9.4\pm0.6$	$8.5\pm0.4$	$8.4\pm0.7$	$8.4\pm0.6$	$8.3\pm0.5$	$8.6\pm0.6$	$8.3\pm0.5$	$7.5\pm0.3$	
C/N	$4\pm0.3$	$3.8\pm 0.8$	$4\pm0.2$	$3.2\pm0.8$	$3.8\pm0.6$	$3.5\pm0.7$	$3.6\pm0.4$	$3.3\pm0.4$	$3.8\pm0.2$	$3.6\pm0.4$	$4.3\pm0.2$	$3.8\pm0.2$	$3.5\pm0.6$	$4.1\pm1.2$	$3.4\pm0.3$	



Date Figure S1: Photosynthetic available radiations (PAR) at the surface and at the bottom of our study site.

- 925
- 926

