Reduction of Short-Chain Fatty Acid-Producing Gut Microbiota Leads to Transition from Rapid-Eye-Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder to Parkinson's Disease

Hiroshi Nishiwaki,^a Tomonari Hamaguchi,^a Mikako Ito,^a Tomohiro Ishida,^b Tetsuya Maeda,^c Kenichi Kashihara,^d Yoshio Tsuboi,^e Jun Ueyama,^b Teppei Shimamura,^f Hiroshi Mori,^g Ken Kurokawa,^g Masahisa Katsuno,^h Masaaki Hirayama,^b Kinji Ohno^a

^aDivision of Neurogenetics, Center for Neurological Diseases and Cancer, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

^bDepartment of Pathophysiological Laboratory Sciences, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

^cDivision of Neurology and Gerontology, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Iwate Medical University, Iwate, Japan

^dDepartment of Neurology, Okayama Kyokuto Hospital, Okayama, Japan

^eDepartment of Neurology, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan

^fDivision of Systems Biology, Center for Neurological Diseases and Cancer, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

^gGenome Evolution Laboratory, Department of Informatics, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan

^hDepartment of Neurology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan

Corresponding authors: Kinji Ohno, MD, PhD. Division of Neurogenetics, Center for Neurological Diseases and Cancer, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan (ohnok@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Masaaki Hirayama, MD, PhD. Department of Pathophysiological Laboratory Sciences, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-1-20 Daiko-Minami, Higashi-ku, Nagoya 461-8673, Japan (hirasan@met.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Keywords: rapid-eye-movement behavior disorder; gut microbiota; meta-analysis; Parkinson's disease; topic model

Abstract

Gut dysbiosis has been reported repeatedly in Parkinson's disease (PD), but once in rapid-eye-movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD) from Germany. Abnormal aggregation of α -synuclein fibrils causing PD possibly starts from the intestine. RBD patients frequently develop PD. Early-stage gut dysbiosis that is causally associated with PD is thus expected to be observed in RBD. We analyzed gut microbiota in 26 RBD patients and 137 controls by 16S rRNA-seq. Our RBD dataset was meta-analyzed with the German RBD dataset, and was compared with gut microbiota in 223 PD patients. Unsupervised clustering of gut microbiota by LIGER, a topic model-based tool for single-cell RNA-seq analysis, revealed four enterotypes in controls, RBD, and PD. Short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)-producing bacteria were conserved in an enterotype observed in controls and RBD, whereas they were less in enterotypes observed in PD. Genus *Akkermansia* and family *Akkermansiaceae* were consistently increased in both RBD in two countries and PD in five countries. No short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)-producing bacteria were significantly changed in RBD in two counties. In contrast, we previously reported that recognized and putative SCFA-producing genera *Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia*, and *Lachnospiraceae* ND3007 group were consistently decreased in PD in five countries. Increased mucin-layer-degrading genus *Akkermansia* possibly accounts for the development of RBD, and an additional decrease of SCFA-producing genera is likely to be associated with the transition from RBD to PD.

Importance Nineteen studies have been reported on gut microbiota in PD, whereas only one study has been reported in RBD from Germany. RBD has the highest likelihood ratio to develop PD. Our meta-analysis of RBD in Japan and Germany revealed increased mucin-layer-degrading genus *Akkermansia* in RBD. Genus *Akkermansia* may increase the intestinal permeability, as we previously observed in PD patients, and make the intestinal neural plexus exposed to oxidative

stress, which can lead to abnormal aggregation of prion-like α -synuclein fibrils in the intestine. In contrast to PD, SCFA-producing bacteria were not decreased in RBD. As SCFA induces Treg cells, a decrease of SCFA-producing bacteria may be a prerequisite for the development of PD. We propose that prebiotic and/or probiotic therapeutic strategies to increase the intestinal mucin layer and to increase intestinal SCFA potentially retard the development of RBD and PD.

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease exhibiting four major motor deficits of tremor, slowness of movement, rigidity, and postural instability (1). PD also exhibits non-motor symptoms that are characterized by dysautonomia (constipation, vomiting, orthostatic hypotension, excessive sweating, and dysuria) and mental disorders (depression, anxiety disorder, visual hallucination, and dementia) (1). Turning our eyes to the pathophysiology of PD, PD is caused by loss of the dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra, which is induced by abnormally aggregated α -synuclein fibrils (Lewy bodies) in the neuronal cells. Abnormal aggregation of α -synuclein fibrils behaves like prions, and is propagated to other neuronal cells probably via synapses (2). Lewy bodies are also observed in the cerebral cortex, the lower brainstem (3), the olfactory bulb (4), the autonomic nervous system (5), the salivary glands (6), the skin (7), and the intestine (6, 8, 9). Abnormal aggregation of α -synuclein fibrils possibly initiates in the intestinal neural plexus and ascends to the substantia nigra (4). Constipation, rapid-eye-movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD), and depression are frequently predisposed to the development of motor symptoms in PD in this order, which is in accordance with the ascending α -synucleinopathy (1). A total of 19 studies had been reported by us (10, 11) and others (12-28) for gut microbiota in PD. Our recent report included the largest cohort of PD patients, and the development of a novel nonparametric meta-analysis method that was applied to analyze gut microbiota in PD in five countries (11). Our meta-analysis revealed that increased genus *Akkermansia* and decreased genera *Roseburia* and *Faecalibacterium* were shared in PD across countries. In addition, these taxonomic changes were independent of the confounding effects of constipation, body mass index (BMI), sex, age and catechol-O-methyl transferase (COMT) inhibitor intake.

RBD is characterized by dream-enactment behaviors during the rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, when normal people lose muscle tone, called a state of atonia (29). The prevalence of RBD is estimated to be 0.5 to 2 percent (30, 31). RBD frequently predisposes to α -synucleinopathy including PD, dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), and multiple system atrophy (MSA) (29). RBD patients sometimes have subtle sensory, motor, and cognitive deficits, as well as constipation, before the onset of PD and other α -synucleinopathies (29). PD has been classified into three group according as the disease progresses: preclinical PD (no overt symptoms even in the presence of neurodegeneration), prodromal PD (overt symptoms but lacking the criteria of PD), and clinical PD (overt symptoms satisfying the criteria of PD) (32). RBD is the most dependable hallmark of prodromal PD (32). Similarly, the likelihood ratio of RBD to develop PD is as high as 130 (33). Thus, therapeutic intervention to prevent transition from RBD to PD has a potential to become a causative treatment for PD (34).

In contrast to as many as 19 studies reported on gut microbiota in PD as stated above, only one study has been reported on 21 RBD patients along with 76 PD patients from Germany (22). They reported that gut microbiota in RBD was similar to that in PD. We recently reported increased genus *Akkermansia*, and decreased short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)-producing taxa in PD in five countries including the German dataset (11, 22). We here performed 16S rRNA-seq analysis of 26 RBD patients and 137 controls. We also meta-analyzed our dataset with the German dataset using a nonparametric meta-analysis method that we developed previously to identify shared taxonomic changes between the two countries, and compared RBD-associated taxonomic changes in two countries with PD-associated changes in five countries.

Results

PCoA plot to analyze the overall composition of gut microbiota in controls, RBD, and PDs

We performed 16S rRNA-seq analysis of gut microbiota in 26 patients with idiopathic RBD and 137 healthy controls. All RBD patients were diagnosed by International Classification of Sleep Disorders Criteria-Third Edition (35). We conducted PCoA analysis of gut microbiota in controls and RBD in our dataset, as well as gut microbiota in our previously reported PD subjects (Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5) (11). The centers of gravity moved from the upper left to the lower right with disease progression from controls, RBD, to Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 (Fig. 1A). RBD was positioned close to the mildest form of PD with Hoehn & Yahr scale 1.

LIGER analysis to reveal unsupervised enterotypes in a combined dataset of controls, RBD, and PD

We applied LIGER that was developed for topic model-based single-cell RNA-seq analysis (36) to make unsupervised clustering of gut microbiota in controls, RBD, and PD. Each cluster should represent an enterotype. LIGER revealed four enterotypes of A, B, C, and D (Fig. 1B). Examination of the proportion of controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 in each enterotype revealed that the proportion of controls was decreased in the order of enterotypes A to D, while the proportions of Hoehn & Yahr scales 3-5 were increased in the same order (Fig. 1C). The proportion of RBD was the highest in enterotype A. In factorization by LIGER, the first factor contributes most to differentiate enterotypes A to D, and genera with high loadings in the first factor are major determinants of enterotypes. Color coding of the first factor in each subject showed a gradual decrease of the first factor from enterotypes A to D (Fig. 1D). The top ten genera with the highest loadings in the first factor are indicated in Table S1 in the supplemental material. It was interesting to note that, among the ten genera, nine produce SCFA and one

putatively produces SCFA (*Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group*). Scatter plots of the ten genera in each enterotype showed that the abundances of these genera were also decreased in the order of enterotypes A to D (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Among the ten genera, *Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia*, and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* were exactly the three genera that were decreased in PD in our previous meta-analysis of five countries (11). To summarize, unsupervised clustering of enterotypes revealed that enterotypes were shifted from A to D with transition from control, RBD, to Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5, and that SCFA-producing genera were decreased from enterotypes A to D.

Analysis of each taxon between controls and RBD in our dataset

We examined taxonomic differences between controls and RBD in our dataset using Analysis of Composition of Microbiomes (ANCOM) (37) and Wilcoxon rank sum test (Table S2a for the genus level analysis, and S2b for the family level analysis in the supplemental material). ANCOM was developed to reduce false discoveries by exploiting microbial compositional constraints (37, 38). The analyses revealed that seven genera were increased in RBD (*Ruminococcus 2*, *Alistipes*, *Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-005*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-004*, *[Eubacterium] coprostanoligenes group*, and *Family XIII AD3011 group*); two families were increased in RBD (*Rikenellaceae* and *Akkermansiaceae*); and no genera or families were decreased in RBD. The top ten increased or decreased genera in RBD by ANCOM were compared to those in PD in our previous report (11). Seven out of the top ten increased genera were shared between RBD and PD, whereas only one of the top ten decreased genera was shared between RBD and PD (Fig. 2).

Differences in demographic and clinical features between controls and RBD in our dataset

To search for possible confounding factors, we compared six features (age, sex, BMI, constipation, proton pump inhibitor (PPI), and H₂ blocker) between RBD and controls in our dataset (Table 1). Compared to controls, RBD patients had higher ages and higher BMI, and included more males. Similarly, the ratios of constipation and PPI intake were higher in RBD patients.

PERMANOVA to evaluate the differences in the overall composition of gut microbiota in controls and RBD, as well as in RBD and Hoehn & Yahr 1 scale

We next performed PERMANOVA to evaluate the difference in the overall composition of gut microbiota in controls and RBD (Table 2). The overall composition of gut microbiota between controls and RBD was statistically different by all three distance metrics, and the difference was not accounted for by age, sex, BMI, constipation, or PPI. Similarly, the overall composition of gut microbiota between RBD and Hoehn & Yahr scale 1 was also statistically different by Chao and weighted UniFrac but not by unweighted UniFrac. Again, the difference was not accounted for by any covariates.

Possible confounding factors in our dataset for nine taxa that were significantly changed in RBD in our dataset

We next asked whether any of the nine taxonomic changes in RBD were due to confounding factor(s). We thus performed GLMM analysis with constipation, BMI, sex, age, and PPI. We found that five genera (*Ruminococcus* 2, *Alistipes*, *Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae* UCG-004, and *Family XIII AD3011 group*) and two families (*Rikenellaceae* and

Akkermansiaceae) were changed in RBD after adjusting for constipation, BMI, sex, age, and PPI (Fig. 3 and bold letters in Table 3). In contrast, two genera (*Ruminococcaceae* UCG-005 and *Family XIII AD3011 group*) were increased by age (Fig. 3 and underlines in Table 3). Three genera (*Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae* UCG-004 and *Family XIII AD3011 group*) and one family (*Akkermansiaceae*) were decreased by BMI (Fig. 3 and underlines in Table 3). Two genera (*Ruminococcaceae* UCG-004 and *Family XIII AD3011 group*) were increased by constipation (Fig. 3 and underlines in Table 3).

Meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets

Meta-analysis of gut microbiota was performed using the Japanese and German datasets (22). The effect size and relative abundance of 132 genera and 39 families are collated in Tables S3a and S3b, respectively, in the supplemental material. Our putative criteria ($I^2 < 25\%$ and p -values of both FEM and REM after Bonferroni correction < 0.05) showed that four genera (*Ruminococcaceae* UCG-004, *Alistipes*, *Family XIII AD3011 group*, and *Akkermansia*) and two families (*Rikenellaceae* and *Akkermansiaceae*) were increased in RBD (Fig. 4, Table 4). These six taxa were a subset of the seven taxa that were significantly changed in RBD after adjusting for confounding factors in our dataset (Fig. 3 and bold letters in Table 3). Among the seven taxa, genus *Ruminococcus* 2 was increased in Japan but not in Germany, and was excluded from forest plots (Fig. 4A). Forest plots of the six taxa in RBD in two countries along with in PD in five countries showed that all taxa tended to be increased in PD, and the most homogenous and significant increases were observed in genus *Akkermansia* and family *Akkermansiaceae* (Fig. 4A).

We previously reported that two SCFA-producing genera (*Faecalibacterium* and *Roseburia*) and one putative SCFA-producing genus (*Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group*) were decreased in PD across countries (11). We assumed that genus *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* is a putative SCFA producer, because most genera in family *Lachnospiraceae* produce SCFA. None of the three recognized or putative SCFA-producing genera were decreased in RBD in our meta-analysis. However, forest plots of the three genera showed that genus *Faecalibacterium* tended to be decreased in RBD, but genera *Roseburia* and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* were not (Fig. 4B).

Relative abundances of four genera in progression of α -synucleinopathy

Plots of relative abundances of genera *Akkermansia*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia* and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* in controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 showed that genus *Akkermansia* gradually increased, and genera *Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia*, and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* gradually decreased with progression of α -synucleinopathy (Fig. 5). Comparison of controls and RBD showed that genus *Akkermansia* was significantly increased in RBD. In contrast, genus *Faecalibacterium*, but not *Roseburia* or *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group*, tended to be decreased in RBD (Fig. 5).

Discussion

We analyzed gut microbiota in RBD in our dataset, and meta-analyzed the Japanese and Germany datasets (22). We first observed by PCoA analysis that the overall compositions of gut microbiota were gradually changing in controls, RBD, Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 in this order (Fig. 1A). We next applied LIGER (36) to 16S rRNA-seq for the first time. LIGER, which was

developed for single-cell RNA-seq analysis, enables integrative non-negative matrix factorization by exploiting a topic model. Topic modeling that has been developed for text mining may be able to be applied to the analysis of gut microbiota (39, 40). LIGER revealed four enterotypes in controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 in an unsupervised manner (Fig. 1B). Enterotypes were shifted with transition from control, RBD, to Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 (Fig. 1C). SCFA-producing genera were similarly decreased with the shift in enterotypes (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). We showed that genus *Akkermansia* was increased in RBD in this communication and in PD in our previous report (11). Genus *Akkermansia*, however, was not detected in factorization by LIGER. Genus *Akkermansia* was likely to be underestimated by LIGER, because multiple SCFA-producing genera were coordinately decreased in PD, whereas genus *Akkermansia* was increased alone without any accompanying genera, which reduced a chance of detecting genus *Akkermansia* by topic modeling by LIGER. Both PCoA and LIGER indicate that gut dysbiosis advances with progression of α -synucleinopathy. Alternatively, patients with α -synucleinopathy with marked gut dysbiosis may progress faster than those with mild gut dysbiosis.

We additionally analyzed the overall compositions of gut microbiota in controls and RBD by PERMANOVA. Evaluation of the effects of covariates by PERMANOVA showed that the overall compositions of gut microbiota were statistically different between controls and RBD by all three distance metrics, and the difference was not due to covariates (Table 2). PERMANOVA similarly showed that the overall compositions of gut microbiota were statistically different between RBD and Hoehn & Yahr scale 1 by Chao and weighted UniFrac, but not by unweighted UniFrac (Table 2). Again, the difference was not due to covariates. Weighted UniFrac takes read counts into consideration to calculate the distance so that the effects

of low-abundance taxa become small, whereas low-abundance taxa have more effects on unweighted UniFrac (41). Thus, RBD and Hoehn & Yahr scale 1 may have large differences in major taxa but not in minor taxa.

Analysis of individual taxa by ANCOM and the Wilcoxon rank sum test revealed that seven genera (see Tables S2a in the supplemental material) and two families (see Tables S2b in the supplemental material) were increased in RBD. Adjustment for possible confounding factors for the seven genera and two families by GLMM showed that increases of five genera (*Ruminococcus 2*, *Alistipes*, *Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-004* and *Family XIII AD3011 group*) and two families (*Rikenellaceae* and *Akkermansiaceae*) were indeed accounted for by RBD (yellow arrows in Fig. 3), although age, BMI, and constipation had additional confounding effects on three genera (*Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-004*, *Family XIII AD3011 group*) and one family (*Akkermansiaceae*). Among the five genera and two families, only genus *Akkermansia* and family *Akkermansiaceae* were also changed in PD in our meta-analysis of five countries (11).

Meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets revealed that four genera (*Ruminococcaceae UCG-004*, *Alistipes*, *Family XIII AD3011 group* and *Akkermansia*) and two families (*Rikenellaceae* and *Akkermansiaceae*) were increased in RBD (Fig. 4A, Table 4). Among these six taxa, we previously reported that genus *Akkermansia* and family *Akkermansiaceae* were consistently increased in PD across countries (11). We found that relative abundances of genus *Akkermansia* gradually increased from RBD to Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 (Fig. 5). *Akkermansia muciniphila* degrades the mucus layer of the gut (42), and erodes the mucus layer in the lack of dietary fibers (43). Indeed, intestinal permeability is increased in PD (44), and the serum lipopolysaccharide-binding protein levels are decreased in PD (10, 44).

Reduced expression of a tight junction protein, occludin, in colonic biopsies in PD is similarly in accordance with the reduced mucus layer (45). Increased intestinal permeability may expose the intestinal neural plexus to oxidative stress and pesticide/herbicide (46). which subsequently allows the formation of abnormal α -synuclein aggregates in the intestine. Moreover, in the presence of other gut microbiota, *Akkermansia muciniphila* in mouse intestine enhances differentiation of follicular T cells, which mediate humoral immunity by B cells (47, 48). A high prevalence (20%) of autoimmune diseases in female patients with RBD compared to 5% in general population is in accordance with the *Akkermansia*-mediated increased humoral immunity (49). Similarly, RBD is sometimes associated with neuronal autoimmune diseases including narcolepsy, anti-IgLON5 disease, Kleine–Levin syndrome, multiple sclerosis, Guillain–Barré syndrome, anti-Ma2 encephalitis, LGI1 limbic encephalitis, Morvan’s syndrome, paraneoplastic cerebellar degeneration, and anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis (50).

Meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets also showed that no SCFA-producing genera were decreased in RBD (Fig. 4B, Table 4). We previously reported that three recognized and putative SCFA-producing genera (*Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia*, and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group*) were consistently decreased in PD across countries (11). Although genus *Faecalibacterium* tended to be decreased in RBD, no significance was observed (Fig. 5). In contrast, genera *Roseburia* and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* were not decreased in RBD (Fig. 5). Preservation of most of SCFA-producing bacteria in RBD was also implicated in the LIGER analysis, which showed that both controls and RBD were enriched in enterotype A (Fig. 1C), in which SCFA-producing bacteria were high (Fig. 1D). Major constituents of gut SCFAs, butyrate and propionate, induce anti-inflammatory Treg cells by inhibiting histone deacetylase (51, 52), and by binding to G protein-coupled receptors of GPR41, GPR43, and

GPR109A (53, 54). Indeed, in mouse models of PD, SCFAs may (55-57) or may not (58) have beneficial effects on PD symptoms. In addition, in another German cohort, fecal SCFA concentrations were decreased in PD (14). Our analysis suggests that reduced fecal SCFA concentrations may be a prerequisite for the development of PD but not of RBD. Reduction of SCFA-producing bacteria culminating in the development of PD may start from genus *Faecalibacterium*. A decrease of genus *Faecalibacterium* may thus be a hallmark to predict transition from RBD to PD. We expect that administration of SCFA and probiotics/prebiotics to increase the intestinal SCFA possibly retard the progression of α -synucleinopathy at the stage of RBD.

Materials and Methods

Patients in our dataset

All studies were approved by the Ethical Review Committees of the Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine (approval #2016-0151), Iwate Medical College Hospital (H28-123), Okayama Kyokuto Hospital (approval #kyoIR-2016002), and Fukuoka University, School of Medicine (approval #2016M027). We obtained written informed consent from all patients and controls.

We recruited 26 patients with idiopathic RBD and their 137 healthy controls from four hospitals to participate in this study from September 2015 to February 2018. Among the 137 healthy controls, 8 were healthy spouses of RBD patients. All RBD patients were diagnosed by International Classification of Sleep Disorders Criteria-Third Edition (35). Subjects with diabetes mellitus, heart failure, liver cirrhosis, any malignancy, hematological diseases, or autoimmune

diseases were excluded from our study. Subjects who have taken any antibiotics in the past one month were similarly excluded.

DNA isolation and 16S rRNA V3-V4 sequencing in our dataset

The detailed transportation procedures of a fecal sample from participant's home to the Nagoya University, freeze-drying of the fecal sample (26), and DNA isolation were described previously (11). The V3–V4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified by primer 341F, 5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3' and primer 805R, 5'-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'. Paired-end sequencing of 300-nucleotide fragments was performed using the MiSeq reagent kit V3 on a MiSeq System (Illumina). Taxonomic analysis was performed with QIIME2 (59). Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were generated using DADA2 and the SILVA taxonomy database release 132 (60) was used for taxonomic identification.

Analysis of the overall gut microbiota between controls, RBD, and PD in our dataset

For the overall analysis of gut microbiota, PD samples in our previous report (11) were included. We first performed principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of each subject, and the centers of gravity and standard errors in seven categories of controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 were plotted.

We next employed the Linked Inference of Genomic Experimental Relationships (LIGER) (36), which uses integrative non-negative matrix factorization (iNMF) for single-cell RNA-seq analysis, for unsupervised clustering of gut microbiota of controls, RBD, and Hoehn &

Yahr scales 1-5. LIGER enabled us to identify four enterotypes, each of which was comprised of a set of bacteria that were synchronously changed in each subject.

Analysis of each taxon between controls and RBD in our dataset

Taxa were filtered at the genus and family levels using the following conditions. For each taxon, we counted the number of samples in which the relative abundance of the taxon of interest was greater than $1E-4$. The number of such samples should be 17 or more (more than ~10% of all samples). We thereby chose 50 families and 168 genera.

The difference in the abundance of each taxon between RBD and controls was analyzed by Analysis of Composition of Microbiomes (ANCOM) (37), as well as by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. ANCOM was performed on R (<https://github.com/antagomir/scripts/tree/master/R/ancom>). The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was performed with the mannwhitneyu functionality of scipy.stat on Python 3.6.5. The threshold of W calculated in ANCOM was set to more than $0.6 \times N$, where N is the number of taxa. The difference in the abundance of each taxon between RBD and controls was also analyzed by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test followed by calculation of the false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. The FDR threshold was set to 0.05. Bacterial taxa filtered for both W and FDR were assumed to be significant.

Possible confounding factors in our dataset for nine taxa that were significantly changed in our dataset

Six demographic and clinical features [age, sex, body mass index (BMI), constipation, proton pump inhibitor intake, and H_2 blocker intake] were compared between RBD and controls

in our dataset using either Student's *t*-test or Fisher's exact test. Subjects with the stool frequency twice a week or less were defined to be constipated (61). Constipation and BMI were statistically different between RBD and controls.

We examined lack of multicollinearity between RBD, constipation, BMI, sex, and age by calculating the variance inflation factor (VIF) using the R package HH version 3.1-40. We verified that the VIFs were all less than 2, indicating that there was no multicollinearity between RBD, constipation, BMI, sex, and age.

Next, we analyzed the effects on the overall composition of gut microbiota of (i) RBD or controls, and (ii) RBD or controls, age, sex, BMI, constipation, and PPI in our dataset comprised of controls and RBD using PERMANOVA (62). We similarly analyzed the effects on the overall composition of gut microbiota of (iii) RBD or Hoehn & Yahr 1 scale, and (iv) RBD or Hoehn & Yahr 1 scale, age, sex, BMI, constipation, and PPI in a combined dataset comprised of our current RBD subjects and PD subjects with Hoehn & Yahr 1 scale in our previous report (11) using PERMANOVA (62). All genera were included in this analysis. The effects were evaluated by three distance metrics of Chao (63), unweighted-UniFrac (64), and weighted-UniFrac (64). Chao and unweighted/weighted-UniFrac distances were calculated using the R package vegan and QIIME2, respectively.

Seven genera and two families that were identified in our dataset were subjected to GLMM (Generalized Linear Mixed Model) analysis using the function "glmer.nb" of the R package lme4 by setting an option to accept taxonomic variations from subject to subject.

Meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets

Our Japanese dataset was comprised of 26 RBD patients and 137 healthy controls, whereas the German dataset was comprised of 20 RBD patients and 38 healthy controls (22). We first collated the experimental methods and demographic features (see Table S4 in the supplemental material), as well as statistical measures of sequencing depths (see Table S5 in the supplemental material) of the two datasets. The read count of each sample was all more than 10,000 in the two datasets, and no sample was excluded from our meta-analysis. For each taxon, we counted the number of samples in which the relative abundance of the taxon was more than $1E-4$. We then filtered 39 families and 132 genera, in which the number of such samples was more than 10% (17/163 and 6/58) in both datasets.

In the meta-analysis, we applied two criteria that we used in our previous report (11) to identify homogeneously and significantly changed taxa in the Japanese and German datasets. The two criteria were that I^2 , representing heterogeneity in meta-analysis, was below 25% (65) and that the p -values after Bonferroni correction for FEM and REM were both less than 0.05.

Data availability

FASTQ files of our RBD dataset are available at the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) under the accession number DRA009322 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/?term=DRA009322>). FASTQ files of our PD dataset was previously deposited at DDBJ under the accession number DRA009229 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/?term=DRA009229>).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JP17K07094, JP19K16516, and JP20H03561); the Ministry of Health,

Labour and Welfare of Japan (20FC1036); the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (20gm1010002, 20ek0109281, and 20bm0804005), the National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry (2-5), the Smoking Research Foundation, and the Hori Sciences and Arts Foundation.

Acknowledgements: We thank Keiichi Takimoto, Anzu Suzuki, Rino Asai, Yukina Matsuzaki, Sayaka Inagaki, Yuka Mishima, Yurika Muramatsu, and Tomomi Yamada at the Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine for preparing DNA from fecal samples.

References

1. Kalia LV, Lang AE. 2015. Parkinson's disease. *The Lancet* 386:896-912.
2. Burre J, Sharma M, Sudhof TC. 2018. Cell Biology and Pathophysiology of alpha-Synuclein. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 8.
3. Braak H, Del Tredici K, Rub U, de Vos RA, Jansen Steur EN, Braak E. 2003. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 24:197-211.
4. Chiang HL, Lin CH. 2019. Altered Gut Microbiome and Intestinal Pathology in Parkinson's Disease. *J Mov Disord* 12:67-83.
5. Bloch A, Probst A, Bissig H, Adams H, Tolnay M. 2006. Alpha-synuclein pathology of the spinal and peripheral autonomic nervous system in neurologically unimpaired elderly subjects. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 32:284-95.
6. Cersosimo MG. 2015. Gastrointestinal Biopsies for the Diagnosis of Alpha-Synuclein Pathology in Parkinson's Disease. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2015:476041.

7. Gibbons CH, Garcia J, Wang N, Shih LC, Freeman R. 2016. The diagnostic discrimination of cutaneous alpha-synuclein deposition in Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 87:505-12.
8. Braak H, de Vos RA, Bohl J, Del Tredici K. 2006. Gastric alpha-synuclein immunoreactive inclusions in Meissner's and Auerbach's plexuses in cases staged for Parkinson's disease-related brain pathology. *Neurosci Lett* 396:67-72.
9. Shannon KM, Keshavarzian A, Dodiya HB, Jakate S, Kordower JH. 2012. Is alpha-synuclein in the colon a biomarker for premotor Parkinson's disease? Evidence from 3 cases. *Mov Disord* 27:716-9.
10. Hasegawa S, Goto S, Tsuji H, Okuno T, Asahara T, Nomoto K, Shibata A, Fujisawa Y, Minato T, Okamoto A, Ohno K, Hirayama M. 2015. Intestinal Dysbiosis and Lowered Serum Lipopolysaccharide-Binding Protein in Parkinson's Disease. *PLoS One* 10:e0142164.
11. Nishiwaki H, Ito M, Ishida T, Hamaguchi T, Maeda T, Kashihara K, Tsuboi Y, Ueyama J, Shimamura T, Mori H, Kurokawa K, Katsuno M, Hirayama M, Ohno K. 2020. Meta-Analysis of Gut Dysbiosis in Parkinson's Disease. *Mov Disord* doi:10.1002/mds.28119.
12. Scheperjans F, Aho V, Pereira PA, Koskinen K, Paulin L, Pekkonen E, Haapaniemi E, Kaakkola S, Eerola-Rautio J, Pohja M, Kinnunen E, Murros K, Auvinen P. 2015. Gut microbiota are related to Parkinson's disease and clinical phenotype. *Mov Disord* 30:350-8.
13. Keshavarzian A, Green SJ, Engen PA, Voigt RM, Naqib A, Forsyth CB, Mutlu E, Shannon KM. 2015. Colonic bacterial composition in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 30:1351-60.
14. Unger MM, Spiegel J, Dillmann KU, Grundmann D, Philippeit H, Burmann J, Fassbender K, Schwiertz A, Schafer KH. 2016. Short chain fatty acids and gut microbiota differ

- between patients with Parkinson's disease and age-matched controls. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 32:66-72.
15. Hill-Burns EM, Debelius JW, Morton JT, Wissemann WT, Lewis MR, Wallen ZD, Peddada SD, Factor SA, Molho E, Zabetian CP, Knight R, Payami H. 2017. Parkinson's disease and Parkinson's disease medications have distinct signatures of the gut microbiome. *Mov Disord* 32:739-749.
16. Petrov VA, Saltykova IV, Zhukova IA, Alifirova VM, Zhukova NG, Dorofeeva YB, Tyakht AV, Kovarsky BA, Alekseev DG, Kostyukova ES, Mironova YS, Izboldina OP, Nikitina MA, Perevozchikova TV, Fait EA, Babenko VV, Vakhitova MT, Govorun VM, Sazonov AE. 2017. Analysis of Gut Microbiota in Patients with Parkinson's Disease. *Bull Exp Biol Med* 162:734-737.
17. Bedarf JR, Hildebrand F, Coelho LP, Sunagawa S, Bahram M, Goeser F, Bork P, Wullner U. 2017. Functional implications of microbial and viral gut metagenome changes in early stage L-DOPA-naïve Parkinson's disease patients. *Genome Med* 9:39.
18. Hopfner F, Kunstner A, Muller SH, Kunzel S, Zeuner KE, Margraf NG, Deuschl G, Baines JF, Kuhlenbaumer G. 2017. Gut microbiota in Parkinson disease in a northern German cohort. *Brain Res* 1667:41-45.
19. Li W, Wu X, Hu X, Wang T, Liang S, Duan Y, Jin F, Qin B. 2017. Structural changes of gut microbiota in Parkinson's disease and its correlation with clinical features. *Sci China Life Sci* 60:1223-1233.
20. Qian Y, Yang X, Xu S, Wu C, Song Y, Qin N, Chen SD, Xiao Q. 2018. Alteration of the fecal microbiota in Chinese patients with Parkinson's disease. *Brain Behav Immun* 70:194-202.

21. Lin A, Zheng W, He Y, Tang W, Wei X, He R, Huang W, Su Y, Huang Y, Zhou H, Xie H. 2018. Gut microbiota in patients with Parkinson's disease in southern China. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 53:82-88.
22. Heintz-Buschart A, Pandey U, Wicke T, Sixel-Doring F, Janzen A, Sittig-Wiegand E, Trenkwalder C, Oertel WH, Mollenhauer B, Wilmes P. 2018. The nasal and gut microbiome in Parkinson's disease and idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *Mov Disord* 33:88-98.
23. Tan AH, Chong CW, Teh CSJ, Yap IKS, Loke MF, Bowman J, Song SL, Tan JY, Ang BH, Tan YQ, Yong HS, Lang AE, Mahadeva S, Lim SY. 2018. Unveiling the function of altered gut microbiota composition in Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders* 33:S783-S784.
24. Barichella M, Severgnini M, Cilia R, Cassani E, Bolliri C, Caronni S, Ferri V, Canello R, Ceccarani C, Faierman S, Pinelli G, De Bellis G, Zecca L, Cereda E, Consolandi C, Pezzoli G. 2019. Unraveling gut microbiota in Parkinson's disease and atypical parkinsonism. *Mov Disord* 34:396-405.
25. Schirinzi T, Sancesario GM, Di Lazzaro G, Biticchi B, Colona VL, Mercuri NB, Bernardini S, Pisani A. 2019. CSF alpha-synuclein inversely correlates with non-motor symptoms in a cohort of PD patients. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 61:203-206.
26. Ueyama J, Oda M, Hirayama M, Sugitate K, Sakui N, Hamada R, Ito M, Saito I, Ohno K. 2020. Freeze-drying enables homogeneous and stable sample preparation for determination of fecal short-chain fatty acids. *Anal Biochem* 589:113508.
27. Pietrucci D, Cerroni R, Unida V, Farcomeni A, Pierantozzi M, Mercuri NB, Biocca S, Stefani A, Desideri A. 2019. Dysbiosis of gut microbiota in a selected population of Parkinson's patients. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2019.06.003.

28. Cirstea MS, Yu AC, Golz E, Sundvick K, Kliger D, Radisavljevic N, Foulger LH, Mackenzie M, Huan T, Finlay BB, Appel-Cresswell S. 2020. Microbiota Composition and Metabolism Are Associated With Gut Function in Parkinson's Disease. *Mov Disord* 35:1208-1217.
29. Boeve BF. 2010. REM sleep behavior disorder: Updated review of the core features, the REM sleep behavior disorder-neurodegenerative disease association, evolving concepts, controversies, and future directions. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1184:15-54.
30. Kang SH, Yoon IY, Lee SD, Han JW, Kim TH, Kim KW. 2013. REM sleep behavior disorder in the Korean elderly population: prevalence and clinical characteristics. *Sleep* 36:1147-52.
31. Haba-Rubio J, Frauscher B, Marques-Vidal P, Toriel J, Tobback N, Andries D, Preisig M, Vollenweider P, Postuma R, Heinzer R. 2018. Prevalence and determinants of rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder in the general population. *Sleep* 41.
32. Berg D, Postuma RB, Adler CH, Bloem BR, Chan P, Dubois B, Gasser T, Goetz CG, Halliday G, Joseph L, Lang AE, Liepelt-Scarfone I, Litvan I, Marek K, Obeso J, Oertel W, Olanow CW, Poewe W, Stern M, Deuschl G. 2015. MDS research criteria for prodromal Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 30:1600-11.
33. Postuma RB, Berg D. 2016. Advances in markers of prodromal Parkinson disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 12:622-634.
34. Postuma RB, Gagnon JF, Montplaisir JY. 2013. REM Sleep Behavior Disorder and Prodromal Neurodegeneration - Where Are We Headed? *Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (N Y)* 3.

35. Sateia MJ. 2014. International classification of sleep disorders-third edition: highlights and modifications. *Chest* 146:1387-1394.
36. Welch JD, Kozareva V, Ferreira A, Vanderburg C, Martin C, Macosko EZ. 2019. Single-Cell Multi-omic Integration Compares and Contrasts Features of Brain Cell Identity. *Cell* 177:1873-1887 e17.
37. Mandal S, Van Treuren W, White RA, Eggesbo M, Knight R, Peddada SD. 2015. Analysis of composition of microbiomes: a novel method for studying microbial composition. *Microb Ecol Health Dis* 26:27663.
38. Weiss S, Xu ZZ, Peddada S, Amir A, Bittinger K, Gonzalez A, Lozupone C, Zaneveld JR, Vazquez-Baeza Y, Birmingham A, Hyde ER, Knight R. 2017. Normalization and microbial differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. *Microbiome* 5:27.
39. Almugbel R, Hung LH, Hu J, Almutairy A, Ortogero N, Tamta Y, Yeung KY. 2018. Reproducible Bioconductor workflows using browser-based interactive notebooks and containers. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 25:4-12.
40. Anderson L, Close GL, Morgans R, Hambly C, Speakman JR, Drust B, Morton JP. 2019. Assessment of Energy Expenditure of a Professional Goalkeeper From the English Premier League Using the Doubly Labeled Water Method. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 14:681-684.
41. Chen LL, Madhavan R, Rapoport BI, Anderson WS. 2011. A method for real-time cortical oscillation detection and phase-locked stimulation. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2011:3087-90.
42. Derrien M, Vaughan EE, Plugge CM, de Vos WM. 2004. *Akkermansia muciniphila* gen. nov., sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 54:1469-76.

43. Desai MS, Seekatz AM, Koropatkin NM, Kamada N, Hickey CA, Wolter M, Pudlo NA, Kitamoto S, Terrapon N, Muller A, Young VB, Henrissat B, Wilmes P, Stappenbeck TS, Nunez G, Martens EC. 2016. A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier and Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility. *Cell* 167:1339-1353 e21.
44. Forsyth CB, Shannon KM, Kordower JH, Voigt RM, Shaikh M, Jaglin JA, Estes JD, Dodiya HB, Keshavarzian A. 2011. Increased intestinal permeability correlates with sigmoid mucosa alpha-synuclein staining and endotoxin exposure markers in early Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One* 6:e28032.
45. Clairembault T, Leclair-Visonneau L, Coron E, Bourreille A, Le Dily S, Vavasseur F, Heymann MF, Neunlist M, Derkinderen P. 2015. Structural alterations of the intestinal epithelial barrier in Parkinson's disease. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 3:12.
46. Ascherio A, Chen H, Weisskopf MG, O'Reilly E, McCullough ML, Calle EE, Schwarzschild MA, Thun MJ. 2006. Pesticide exposure and risk for Parkinson's disease. *Ann Neurol* 60:197-203.
47. Ansaldo E, Slayden LC, Ching KL, Koch MA, Wolf NK, Plichta DR, Brown EM, Graham DB, Xavier RJ, Moon JJ, Barton GM. 2019. *Akkermansia muciniphila* induces intestinal adaptive immune responses during homeostasis. *Science* 364:1179-1184.
48. Cekanaviciute E, Yoo BB, Runia TF, Debelius JW, Singh S, Nelson CA, Kanner R, Bencosme Y, Lee YK, Hauser SL, Crabtree-Hartman E, Sand IK, Gacias M, Zhu Y, Casaccia P, Cree BAC, Knight R, Mazmanian SK, Baranzini SE. 2017. Gut bacteria from multiple sclerosis patients modulate human T cells and exacerbate symptoms in mouse models. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114:10713-10718.

49. Ju YE, Larson-Prior L, Duntley S. 2011. Changing demographics in REM sleep behavior disorder: possible effect of autoimmunity and antidepressants. *Sleep Med* 12:278-83.
50. Iranzo A. 2020. Sleep and neurological autoimmune diseases. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 45:129-140.
51. Canani RB, Costanzo MD, Leone L, Pedata M, Meli R, Calignano A. 2011. Potential beneficial effects of butyrate in intestinal and extraintestinal diseases. *World J Gastroenterol* 17:1519-28.
52. Louis P, Hold GL, Flint HJ. 2014. The gut microbiota, bacterial metabolites and colorectal cancer. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 12:661-72.
53. Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Backhed F. 2016. From Dietary Fiber to Host Physiology: Short-Chain Fatty Acids as Key Bacterial Metabolites. *Cell* 165:1332-1345.
54. Nakajima A, Nakatani A, Hasegawa S, Irie J, Ozawa K, Tsujimoto G, Suganami T, Itoh H, Kimura I. 2017. The short chain fatty acid receptor GPR43 regulates inflammatory signals in adipose tissue M2-type macrophages. *PLoS One* 12:e0179696.
55. Kidd SK, Schneider JS. 2010. Protection of dopaminergic cells from MPP⁺-mediated toxicity by histone deacetylase inhibition. *Brain Res* 1354:172-8.
56. St Laurent R, O'Brien LM, Ahmad ST. 2013. Sodium butyrate improves locomotor impairment and early mortality in a rotenone-induced *Drosophila* model of Parkinson's disease. *Neuroscience* 246:382-90.
57. Sharma S, Taliyan R, Singh S. 2015. Beneficial effects of sodium butyrate in 6-OHDA induced neurotoxicity and behavioral abnormalities: Modulation of histone deacetylase activity. *Behav Brain Res* 291:306-314.

58. Sampson TR, Debelius JW, Thron T, Janssen S, Shastri GG, Ilhan ZE, Challis C, Schretter CE, Rocha S, Gradinaru V, Chesselet MF, Keshavarzian A, Shannon KM, Krajmalnik-Brown R, Wittung-Stafshede P, Knight R, Mazmanian SK. 2016. Gut Microbiota Regulate Motor Deficits and Neuroinflammation in a Model of Parkinson's Disease. *Cell* 167:1469-1480 e12.
59. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H, Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ, Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodriguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R, Diener C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J, Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK, Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, et al. 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat Biotechnol* 37:852-857.
60. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glockner FO. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41:D590-6.
61. Jamshed N, Lee ZE, Olden KW. 2011. Diagnostic approach to chronic constipation in adults. *Am Fam Physician* 84:299-306.
62. Anderson MJ. 2001. A new method for non - parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecology* 26:32-46.

63. Chao A, Chazdon RL, Colwell RK, Shen TJ. 2005. A new statistical approach for assessing similarity of species composition with incidence and abundance data. *Ecology Letters* 8:148-159.
64. Lozupone C, Knight R. 2005. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71:8228-35.
65. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. 2003. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 327:557-60.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical features of RBD and controls in our dataset

	RBD patients (<i>n</i> = 26)	Controls (<i>n</i> = 137)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years) ^a	74.5 ± 6.4	68.3 ± 9.8	*2.2E-3
Sex (males/females) ^b	20/6 (76.9% males)	62/71 (45.3% males)	*5.2E-3
Body mass index (BMI) ^a	24.4 ± 2.4	22.9 ± 3.1	*0.018
# Constipation (less than or equal to twice a week) ^b	9 (34.6%)	6 (4.4%)	*5.7E-5
# Proton pump inhibitor ^b	7 (26.9%)	12 (8.8%)	*0.018
# H ₂ blocker ^b	1 (3.8%)	8 (5.8%)	1.000

^aMean and SD are indicated, and Student's *t*-test was applied. ^bFisher's exact test was applied. **p*-value < 0.05.

Table 2. PERMANOVA to examine the effect of each factor on the overall bacterial composition in our dataset

	# RBD patients	# Controls	<i>p</i> -value (Chao)	<i>p</i> -value (weighted UniFrac)	<i>p</i> -value (unweighted UniFrac)
(A)	26	137			
RBD vs controls			*4.3E-03	*0.010	*3.2E-03
(B)	26	133 ^a			
RBD vs controls			*3.6E-03	*0.011	*5.7E-03
Age			*0.012	0.45	0.14
Sex			0.14	0.8	0.44
BMI			0.49	0.53	0.48
Constipation			0.12	0.1	0.62
PPI			0.092	0.26	0.26
	# RBD patients	# Hoehn Yahr 1 scale	<i>p</i> -value (Chao)	<i>p</i> -value (weighted UniFrac)	<i>p</i> -value (unweighted UniFrac)
(C)	26	30			
RBD vs Hoehn Yahr 1 scale			*0.011	*4.6E-03	0.44
(D)	26	30			
RBD vs Hoehn Yahr 1 scale			*0.046	*7.4E-03	0.48
Age			0.46	0.45	0.43
Sex			0.46	0.42	0.63
BMI			0.90	0.79	0.24
Constipation			0.52	0.46	0.85
PPI			0.80	0.75	0.39

P-values of three distance metrics (Chao, unweighted-UniFrac, and weighted-UniFrac) by PERMANOVA are indicated. PERMANOVA to examine the effect of “RBD vs Controls” (A), and “RBD vs Hoehn and Yahr 1 scale” (C), on the overall microbial composition without

624 considering covariates. The effects of “RBD vs Controls” (**B**), and “RBD vs Hoehn and Yahr 1
625 scale” (**D**) were evaluated in the presence of the effects of sex, age, BMI, constipation, and PPI
626 by PERMANOVA. In (**B**) and (**D**), the six features were equally evaluated as covariates affecting
627 the overall microbial composition. ^aFour controls lacking demographic features were excluded
628 from the analysis. **P*-value < 0.05.

629 **Table 3. Generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis of our dataset for nine bacterial taxa that were significantly**
630 **changed in RBD in our dataset**

631

	W (ANCO M)	P-value (Wilcoxon rank sum test)	P-value of RBD	P-value of age	P-value of sex	P-value of BMI	P-value of constipati on	P-value of PPI
Increased at the genus level								
<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcus 2</i>	157	*7.7E-4	*0.031	0.23	0.90	0.37	0.054	0.2
<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__Alistipes^a</i>	139	*2.7E-5	*2.9E-3	0.93	0.35	0.12	0.34	0.28
<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae;D_5__Akkermansia^a</i>	130	*6.0E-4	*0.025	0.32	0.31	<u>*0.035</u>	0.15	0.43
<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-005</i>	117	*2.2E-3	0.13	<u>*2.2E-3</u>	0.76	0.23	0.18	0.58
<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-004^a</i>	112	*7.6E-5	*0.028	0.18	0.36	<u>*0.012</u>	<u>*0.023</u>	0.23
<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] coprostanoligenes group</i>	109	*1.5E-3	0.051	0.45	0.43	0.65	0.25	0.17
<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__Family XIII AD3011 group^a</i>	104	*7.5E-4	*0.034	<u>*0.036</u>	0.62	<u>*0.024</u>	<u>*0.036</u>	0.21
Decreased at the genus level								
(no bacteria)								
Increased at the family level								

<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae</i> ^a	38	*5.2E-5	* 3.3E-3	0.78	0.19	0.12	0.42	0.34
<i>D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__<u>Akkermansiaceae</u></i> ^a	36	*6.0E-4	* 0.025	0.32	0.31	* <u>0.035</u>	0.15	0.43

Decreased at the family level

(no bacteria)

^aSix taxa were also significantly changed in RBD in the meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets. *P*-values were obtained by GLMM analysis for each confounding factor. *P*-values are plotted in Fig. 3. Taxa and their *p*-values that were changed in RBD after adjusting for the effects of age, sex, BMI, constipation and PPI are indicated in bold (also indicated by orange arrows in Fig. 3). Taxa and their *p*-values that were changed by age, BMI, and constipation are underlined (also indicated by red, green, and black arrows, respectively, in Fig. 3). **p* < 0.05.

Table 4. Statistical measures of meta-analysis of bacterial taxa in RBD in two datasets (Japan and Germany) and in PD in five datasets (Japan, USA, Finland, Russia, and Germany).

	RBD				PD			
	<i>p</i> -value (FEM)	<i>p</i> -value (REM)	<i>I</i> ² (%)	Relative abundance (%)	<i>p</i> -value (FEM)	<i>p</i> -value (REM)	<i>I</i> ² (%)	Relative abundance (%)
A. Significantly increased in RBD at the genus level (plotted in Fig. 4A)								
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-004	1.2E-06	1.2E-06	0	0.27	2.9E-07	0.029	74	0.13
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__Alistipes	1.4E-05	1.4E-05	0	2.6	4.8E-08	1.2E-04	45	2.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__Family XIII AD3011 group	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	0	0.27	6.3E-06	0.026	67	0.13
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae;D_5__Akkermansia	3.5E-04	3.5E-04	0	1.0	1.9E-08	1.9E-08	0	2.7
B. Significantly decreased in RBD at the genus level								
No bacteria								
C. Significantly increased in RBD at the family level (plotted in Fig. 4A)								
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae	2.5E-05	2.5E-05	0	2.7	2.0E-08	3.8E-05	41	2.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae	3.5E-04	3.5E-04	0	1.0	1.0E-08	1.9E-08	0	2.7
D. Significantly decreased in RBD at the family level								
No bacteria								
E. Significantly decreased in PD at the genus level (plotted in Fig. 4B)								

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Faecalibacterium	0.052	0.052	0	6.9	4.4E-11	7.2E-10	0	4.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Roseburia	0.64	0.64	0	1.4	1.0E-11	1.0E-11	12	0.57
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group	0.41	0.41	0	0.76	2.0E-10	6.5E-10	4.5	0.20

(A-D) Four genera and two families were significantly increased in RBD (Bonferroni-corrected p -value < 0.05 and the homogeneity index I^2 < 25%) in the Japanese and German datasets. Statistical measures of these taxa in PD in five datasets in our previous report (11) are indicated. Forest plots of these taxa are indicated in Fig. 4A.

(E) Two recognized SCFA-producing genera (*Faecalibacterium* and *Roseburia*) and one putative SCFA-producing genus (*Lachnospiraceae* ND3007 group) were significantly decreased in PD in five datasets in our previous report (11). Statistical measures of these taxa in RBD in two datasets in this communication are indicated. Forest plots of these taxa are indicated in Fig. 4B.

FEM, the fixed-effects model. REM, the random-effects model.

Figure 1. Overall compositions of gut microbiota in controls, RBD, and PD in our dataset. (A) PCoA plot showing the center of gravity of the overall compositions of gut microbiota in seven morbidity categories. The number of subjects in controls, RBD, Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 were 137, 26, 30, 99, 73, 16, and 5, respectively. Chao is used as a distance metric. Standard errors are indicated. (B) Unsupervised clustering of overall compositions of gut microbiota in controls, RBD, and PD by LIGER yielded four enterotypes. tSNE was adopted to visualize four clusters representing enterotypes A-D. (C) Fractional ratios of controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr (H&Y) scales 1-5 in each enterotype. (D) Bacterial abundances in a total of 386 subjects were factorized into multiple factors. The first factor is color-coded in each subject on a tSNE plot indicated in (B). As SCFA-producing bacteria have high loadings in the first factor (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), individuals colored in blue carry a high proportion of SCFA-producing bacteria.

Figure 2. The 10 most increased and the 10 most decreased genera by ANCOM in RBD and PD both in our datasets. Identical genera are connected by a red line.

Figure 3. Generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis to evaluate confounding factors of seven genera and two families that were significantly changed between RBD and controls in our dataset. The effects of RBD, age, sex, body mass index (BMI), age, sex, body mass index (BMI), constipation, and PPI were individually analyzed by mutually adjusting for covariates by GLMM. Arrows indicate taxa that were significantly changed by RBD (orange arrows), age (red arrows), BMI (green arrows) and constipation (black arrows) after adjusting for the other confounding factors. Upward and downward arrows indicate increased and decreased taxa, respectively. Exact p -values are indicated in Table 3.

Figure 5. Reads counts of genera *Akkermansia*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia*, and *Lachnospiraceae* ND3007 group normalized for 1×10^4 reads in controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales 1 to 5. Bar indicates an average in each category. *P*-values of Jonckheere-Terpstra trend test are shown on the right to indicate whether the genus increases or decreases monotonically. *P*-values of Wilcoxon rank sum test between controls and RBD (Table S2a in the supplemental material) are indicated on the left. Reads counts of genera *Akkermansia*, *Faecalibacterium*, and *Roseburia* in Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 were previously reported (11).

Supplementary Table S1. The top 10 genera with the highest loadings in the first factor by LIGER analysis

Genus	Factor loading
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Fusicatenibacter	33.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-004	29.6
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Faecalibacterium	27.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Butyricicoccus	26.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Anaerostipes	26.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group	24.9
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae;D_5__Bacteroides	24.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Roseburia	24.6
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Blautia	24.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospira	23.3

Supplementary Table S2a. Genera changed in RBD in our dataset

Genus	W	p-value	q-value	Relative abundance in RBD (%)	Increased (+) or decreased (-)
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__ Ruminococcus 2	157	7.7E-04	0.014	5.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__ Alistipes	139	2.7E-05	4.6E-03	2.6	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaaceae;D_5__ Akkermansia	130	6.0E-04	0.014	1.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__ Ruminococcaceae UCG-005	117	2.2E-03	0.030	0.68	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__ Ruminococcaceae UCG-004	112	7.6E-05	6.4E-03	0.27	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__ [Eubacterium] coprostanoligenes group	109	1.5E-03	0.023	1.7	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__ Family XIII AD3011 group	104	7.5E-04	0.014	0.27	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Bacillaceae;D_5__Bacillus	100	3.8E-03	0.046	0.011	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__	96	7.3E-04	0.014	1.2	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Oscillibacter	95	9.5E-04	0.016	0.42	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Turicibacter	91	9.2E-03	0.091	0.020	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-010	79	7.0E-04	0.014	0.092	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Bifidobacteriales;D_4__Bifidobacteriaceae;D_5__Bifidobacterium	70	0.018	0.14	3.1	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae;D_5__Christensenellaceae R-7 group	65	7.4E-03	0.077	0.69	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Eggerthella	60	0.032	0.17	0.083	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Veillonella	56	0.021	0.15	0.093	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Eubacteriaceae;D_5__Eubacterium	40	7.7E-04	0.014	0.073	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Pasteurellales;D_4__Pasteurellaceae;D_5__Aggregatibacter	40	0.026	0.16	0.020	-

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__uncultured	39	2.5E-03	0.032	0.23	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Allisonella	38	0.027	0.16	6.0E-3	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptococcaceae;D_5__uncultured	22	5.7E-04	0.014	0.015	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-004	20	0.077	0.28	0.048	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotella 9	17	0.17	0.47	1.3	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Cyanobacteria;D_2__Oxyphotobacteria;D_3__Chloroplast;D_4__Solanum melongena (eggplant);D_5__Solanum melongena (eggplant)	16	0.23	0.58	0.015	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Patescibacteria;D_2__Saccharimonadia;D_3__Saccharimonadales;D_4__Saccharimonadaceae;D_5__TM7 phylum sp. canine oral taxon 250	16	0.20	0.52	2.1E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Coprococcus 2	15	0.41	0.76	0.11	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Alloprevotella	14	0.51	0.79	0.041	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Enterococcaceae;D_5__Enterococcus	14	0.066	0.26	0.024	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Butyrivibrio	14	0.062	0.26	0.33	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__;	13	0.44	0.77	4.5E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Family XI;D_5__Gemella	12	0.25	0.62	5.2E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Merdibacter	12	0.64	0.89	7.3E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Alphaproteobacteria;D_3__Rickettsiales;D_4__Mitochondria;D_5__	11	0.59	0.86	3.2E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Atopobiaceae;D_5__Olsenella	10	0.96	0.98	0.023	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Ambiguous_taxa	10	1.0	1.0	4.7E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__uncultured	10	0.72	0.94	0.020	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella 3	10	0.44	0.77	0.014	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Candidatus Soleaferrea	10	0.72	0.94	4.4E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-009	10	0.36	0.72	0.011	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__;	10	0.53	0.81	5.4E-03	-

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__G ordonibacter	9	0.89	0.98	0.013	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospirac eae UCG-010	9	0.37	0.72	0.025	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__GCA- 900066225	9	0.84	0.98	0.019	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__ __Coprobacillus	9	0.82	0.97	0.011	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lactonifactor	8	0.69	0.94	0.016	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Eubacteriaceae;D_5__Anaerofustis	7	0.80	0.96	6.0E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__Family XIII UCG-001	7	0.85	0.98	0.011	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Hungatella	7	0.74	0.94	9.8E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Caproicopro ducens	7	0.51	0.79	0.016	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Phoceae	7	0.98	0.99	4.3E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococ caceae UCG-003	6	0.64	0.89	0.067	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__ __[Clostridium] innocuum group	6	0.48	0.79	0.050	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Defluviitaleaceae;D_5__Defluviitalea ceae UCG-011	5	4.5E-03	0.050	1.3E-02	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Negativibac illus	5	0.015	0.13	0.12	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacter ia;D_3__Micrococcales;D_4__Micrococcaceae;D_5__Ro thia	3	0.72	0.94	8.7E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__E nterorhabdus	3	0.63	0.89	0.012	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__La ctobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae;D_5__Lactococcus	3	0.62	0.88	0.20	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae;__	3	0.043	0.21	0.019	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Oscillospira	3	0.92	0.98	0.020	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;__;__;__;__	3	0.93	0.98	0.017	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteob acteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionac eae;D_5__Bilophila	3	0.023	0.15	0.14	+

D_0__Archaea;D_1__Euryarchaeota;D_2__Methanobacteria;D_3__Methanobacteriales;D_4__Methanobacteriaceae;D_5__Methanobrevibacter	2	0.016	0.13	0.30	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae;D_5__uncultured	2	0.038	0.19	0.016	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__[Eubacterium] nodatum group	2	0.10	0.33	0.014	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Fusicatenibacter	2	0.059	0.26	1.2	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__UC5-1-2E3	2	0.057	0.25	0.011	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptococcaceae;D_5__Peptococcus	2	0.038	0.19	0.10	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Intestinimonas	2	0.022	0.15	0.094	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 6	2	0.017	0.13	0.34	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Holdemania	2	0.031	0.17	0.040	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__uncultured	2	0.020	0.15	0.040	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group	1	0.57	0.85	0.079	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__[Eubacterium] brachy group	1	0.071	0.27	0.060	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Blautia	1	0.65	0.89	6.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-008	1	0.94	0.98	0.020	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__DTU089	1	0.066	0.26	0.033	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae NK4A214 group	1	0.030	0.17	0.25	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__UBA1819	1	0.034	0.18	0.14	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-003	1	0.47	0.78	0.64	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__	1	0.59	0.86	0.017	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Dialister	1	0.15	0.44	0.26	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Fusobacteria;D_2__Fusobacteriia;D_3__Fusobacteriales;D_4__Fusobacteriaceae;D_5__Fusobacterium	1	0.31	0.66	0.36	-

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacter ia;D_3__Actinomycetales;D_4__Actinomycetaceae;D_5__ Actinomyces	0	0.83	0.98	0.049	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriaceae;D_5__ Collinsella	0	0.30	0.65	1.4	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriales Incertae Sedis;D_5__uncultured	0	0.46	0.77	0.045	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__S enegalimassilia	0	0.96	0.98	0.054	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Sl ackia	0	0.29	0.65	0.065	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;__	0	0.27	0.62	0.024	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae;D_5__Bacteroi des	0	0.41	0.76	20.9	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__Barnesie lla	0	0.30	0.65	0.63	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__Coproba cter	0	0.55	0.82	0.12	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__uncultur ed	0	0.20	0.52	0.041	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;D_5__Butyrici monas	0	0.51	0.79	0.062	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;D_5__Odoribact er	0	0.085	0.30	0.28	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;__	0	0.19	0.51	0.028	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Paraprev otella	0	0.071	0.27	0.37	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotell a 2	0	0.095	0.31	0.58	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__unculture d	0	0.37	0.72	0.046	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;__	0	0.47	0.78	0.16	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__unculture d Rikenella sp.	0	0.069	0.27	0.044	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Tannerellaceae;D_5__Parabact eroides	0	0.25	0.62	2.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;__	0	0.77	0.94	0.078	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__La ctobacillales;D_4__Carnobacteriaceae;D_5__Granulicate lla	0	0.96	0.98	9.0E-03	-

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Lactobacillaceae;D_5__Lactobacillus	0	0.71	0.94	1.1	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Leuconostocaceae;D_5__Weissella	0	0.24	0.60	0.17	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae;D_5__Streptococcus	0	0.41	0.76	3.6	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiaceae 1;D_5__Clostridium sensu stricto 1	0	0.86	0.98	0.59	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Agathobacter	0	0.88	0.98	1.4	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Anaerostipes	0	0.85	0.98	1.6	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__CAG-56	0	0.49	0.79	0.086	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Coprococcus 1	0	0.77	0.94	0.22	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Dorea	0	0.82	0.97	0.75	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Eisenbergiella	0	0.095	0.31	0.032	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__GCA-900066575	0	0.29	0.65	0.055	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnoclostridium	0	0.16	0.46	0.56	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospira	0	0.93	0.98	0.73	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae FCS020 group	0	0.75	0.94	0.054	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae NC2004 group	0	0.013	0.12	0.031	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group	0	0.76	0.94	0.67	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group	0	0.75	0.94	0.27	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Marvinbryantia	0	0.31	0.66	0.068	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Moryella	0	0.45	0.77	0.022	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Roseburia	0	0.95	0.98	1.3	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Sellimonas	0	0.61	0.88	0.23	+

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella	0	0.61	0.88	0.083	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella 4	0	0.96	0.98	0.32	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] eligens group	0	0.11	0.35	0.55	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] fissicatena group	0	0.13	0.39	0.013	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] hallii group	0	0.97	0.98	1.2	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] ruminantium group	0	0.18	0.49	0.19	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] ventriosum group	0	0.76	0.94	0.23	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] xylanophilum group	0	0.057	0.25	0.037	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] gauvreauii group	0	0.44	0.77	0.41	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] gnavus group	0	0.52	0.80	0.50	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] torques group	0	0.16	0.46	1.3	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__uncultured	0	0.79	0.96	0.24	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;__	0	0.26	0.62	2.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__Rombo utsia	0	0.35	0.72	0.030	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__Terrisporobacter	0	0.70	0.94	0.082	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;__	0	0.93	0.98	1.3	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Anaerotruncus	0	0.16	0.46	0.010	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Faecalibacterium	0	0.14	0.43	4.8	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Flavonifractor	0	0.51	0.79	0.16	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Fournierella	0	0.95	0.98	0.031	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 5	0	0.27	0.62	0.50	+

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcus 9	0	0.90	0.98	0.079	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-002	0	0.046	0.21	1.1	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-013	0	0.77	0.94	0.34	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-014	0	0.41	0.76	0.59	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcus 1	0	0.45	0.77	1.5	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Subdoligranulum	0	0.95	0.98	2.3	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Subdoligranulum	0	0.092	0.31	0.015	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Catenibacterium	0	0.72	0.94	0.26	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Erysipelatoclostridium	0	0.45	0.77	0.52	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Faecalitalea	0	0.29	0.65	0.26	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Holdemanella	0	0.41	0.76	0.87	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae;D_5__Acidaminococcus	0	0.41	0.76	0.057	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae;D_5__Phascolarctobacterium	0	0.35	0.72	0.89	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Megamonas	0	0.88	0.98	0.42	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Megamonas	0	0.71	0.94	0.19	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteobacteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionaceae;D_5__Desulfovibrio	0	0.20	0.52	0.051	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae;D_5__Parasutterella	0	0.92	0.98	0.13	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae;D_5__Sutterella	0	0.85	0.98	0.32	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae;D_5__Sutterella	0	0.082	0.29	0.15	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae;D_5__Escherichia-Shigella	0	0.46	0.77	2.2	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae;D_5__Klebsiella	0	0.37	0.72	0.46	-

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae;__	0	0.52	0.79	0.051	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Synergistetes;D_2__Synergistia;D_3__Synergistales;D_4__Synergistaceae;D_5__Cloacibacillus	0	0.30	0.65	0.033	+

W indicates a statistical measure generated by ANCOM. *P*-value was calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test. *Q*-value was calculated by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. We set the significance thresholds of $W > 0.6 \times N$ (*N* is the number of taxa tested) and *q*-value < 0.05. Significant taxa are indicated in bold.

Supplementary Table S2b. Families changed in RBD in our dataset

Family	W	p-value	q-value	Relative abundance in RBD (%)	Increased or decreased
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__ Rikenellaceae	38	5.2E-05	2.6E-03	2.7	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__ Akkermansiaceae	36	6.0E-04	0.010	1.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae	28	6.2E-03	0.031	0.74	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteobacteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionaceae	28	8.5E-04	0.011	0.22	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptococcaceae	26	1.3E-04	3.1E-03	0.12	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII	23	1.1E-03	0.011	0.36	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Pasteurellales;D_4__Pasteurellaceae	20	0.010	0.045	0.020	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Bacillaceae	18	3.8E-03	0.024	0.011	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Eubacteriaceae	18	3.0E-03	0.022	0.079	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Bifidobacteriales;D_4__Bifidobacteriaceae	16	0.018	0.077	3.1	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae	15	1.7E-03	0.014	23	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Enterococcaceae	10	0.066	0.21	0.024	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Atopobiaceae	9	0.26	0.58	0.026	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Cyanobacteria;D_2__Oxyphotobacteria;D_3__Chloroplast;D_4__Solanum melongena (eggplant)	9	0.23	0.58	0.015	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae	9	0.037	0.13	1.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Family XI	8	0.25	0.58	5.2E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__	8	0.44	0.70	4.5E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Alphaproteobacteria;D_3__Rickettsiales;D_4__Mitochondria	8	0.59	0.79	3.2E-03	+

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Defluviitaleaceae	7	4.5E-03	0.025	0.013	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae	7	0.44	0.70	23	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Patescibacteria;D_2__Saccharimonadia;D_3__Saccharimonadales;D_4__Saccharimonadaceae	7	0.62	0.80	3.7E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae	6	0.46	0.70	0.29	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae	6	0.58	0.79	2.8	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Micrococcales;D_4__Micrococcaceae	5	0.72	0.88	8.7E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Fusobacteria;D_2__Fusobacteriia;D_3__Fusobacteriales;D_4__Fusobacteriaceae	5	0.27	0.58	0.36	+
D_0__Bacteria;__;__;__;	5	0.53	0.76	5.4E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Carnobacteriaceae	3	0.96	0.98	9.0E-3	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Synergistetes;D_2__Synergistia;D_3__Synergistales;D_4__Synergistaceae	3	0.38	0.70	0.058	+
D_0__Archaea;D_1__Euryarchaeota;D_2__Methanobacteria;D_3__Methanobacteriales;D_4__Methanobacteriaceae	2	0.030	0.11	0.31	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;__	2	0.77	0.90	0.080	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;__;__;__	2	0.93	0.96	0.017	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae	1	0.41	0.70	21	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae	1	0.05	0.15	0.38	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Actinomycetales;D_4__Actinomycetaceae	0	0.87	0.95	0.049	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriaceae	0	0.30	0.62	1.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriales Incertae Sedis	0	0.74	0.88	0.046	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae	0	0.35	0.68	0.79	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae	0	0.48	0.70	2.9	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Tannerellaceae	0	0.25	0.58	2.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Lactobacillaceae	0	0.72	0.88	1.1	+

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Leuconostocaceae	0	0.34	0.68	0.19	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae	0	0.42	0.70	3.8	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiaceae 1	0	0.87	0.95	0.59	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiales vadinBB60 group	0	0.09	0.25	0.044	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae	0	0.89	0.95	1.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__	0	0.092	0.25	0.015	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae	0	0.84	0.95	0.95	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Lentisphaerae;D_2__Lentisphaeria;D_3__Victivallales;D_4__Victivallaceae	0	0.60	0.79	0.032	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae	0	0.99	0.99	0.6	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae	0	0.46	0.70	2.7	+

W indicates a statistical measure generated by ANCOM. *P*-value was calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test. *Q*-value was calculated by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. We set the significance thresholds of $W > 0.6 \times N$ (*N* is the number of taxa tested) and *q*-value < 0.05 . Significant taxa are indicated in bold.

Supplementary Table S3a. Effect sizes and relative abundances of all filtered genera in RBD in the meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets

Genus	Effect size	Relative abundance in RBD (%)
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-004	0.33	0.18
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__Alistipes	0.29	2.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__Family XIII AD3011 group	0.25	0.24
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae;D_5__Akkermansia	0.24	2.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__UBA1819	0.24	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] coprostanoligenes group	0.23	1.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__uncultured	0.21	0.26
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Oscillibacter	0.21	0.39
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Bacillaceae;D_5__Bacillus	-0.20	8.6E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcus 2	0.20	3.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Eisenbergiella	0.20	0.028
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae NK4A214 group	0.19	0.37
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Anaerotruncus	0.19	0.022
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__	0.19	2.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-010	0.19	0.12

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Negativibacillus	0.19	0.18
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Holdemania	0.18	0.035
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae;D_5__Christensenellaceae R-7 group	0.18	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae NC2004 group	0.18	0.070
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Turicibacter	-0.18	0.025
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__UC5-1-2E3	0.17	0.011
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotella 9	-0.17	1.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-005	0.17	0.55
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 6	0.17	0.57
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__DTU089	0.16	0.027
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] torques group	0.16	1.3
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] xylanophilum group	0.16	0.093
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Bifidobacteriales;D_4__Bifidobacteriaceae;D_5__Bifidobacterium	-0.16	2.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__[Eubacterium] nodatum group	0.14	0.010
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Faecalibacterium	-0.13	6.9
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-002	0.13	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Dialister	-0.1	0.17

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnoclostridium	0.13	0.61
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-004	-0.13	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;__	0.13	0.054
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 5	0.13	0.35
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae;__	0.12	0.62
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;__	0.12	4.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Tannerellaceae;D_5__Parabacteroides	0.11	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Coproccoccus 2	-0.11	0.24
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;__;__	0.11	0.27
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Marvinbryantia	0.11	0.10
D_0__Archaea;D_1__Euryarchaeota;D_2__Methanobacteria;D_3__Methanobacteriales;D_4__Methanobacteriaceae;D_5__Methanobrevibacter	0.11	0.21
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;D_5__Butyricimonas	0.11	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Butyricicoccus	-0.10	0.29
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__Barnesiella	0.10	0.68
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Erysipelatoclostridium	0.10	0.33
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Flavonifractor	0.10	0.16
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Intestinimonas	0.091	0.079
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;D_5__Odoribacter	0.090	0.31

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__ _uncultured	0.089	0.027
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;__	0.089	0.037
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Sl ackia	0.085	0.053
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococc aceae UCG-014	0.081	0.48
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;__	0.079	0.067
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Sellimonas	0.078	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotella 2	0.077	0.37
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__unculture d	0.077	0.083
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Patescibacteria;D_2__Saccharimon adia;D_3__Saccharimonadales;D_4__Saccharimonadacea e;D_5__TM7 phylum sp. canine oral taxon 250	-0.073	2.5E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] elogens group	0.072	0.53
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__Rombou tsia	0.072	0.018
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Coproccus 1	0.072	0.23
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Paraprevo tella	0.071	0.27
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_ 3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Megas phaera	-0.071	0.11
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospirac eae UCG-008	-0.066	0.042
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Blautia	0.066	4.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__un cultured	-0.066	0.043
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Candidatus Soleaferrea	-0.064	4.6E-03

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__ _Catenibacterium	-0.064	0.28
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__ _Merdibacter	-0.063	6.7E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococc aceae UCG-003	-0.062	0.12
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiaceae 1;D_5__Clostridium sensu stricto 1	-0.062	0.40
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lac tobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae;D_5__Streptococcus	0.059	2.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__Coproba cter	0.059	0.087
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospira	-0.058	0.66
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Synergistetes;D_2__Synergistia;D_ 3__Synergistales;D_4__Synergistaceae;D_5__Cloacibacil lus	0.056	0.034
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Fusicatenibact er	-0.056	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospirac eae ND3007 group	0.055	0.76
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__GCA- 900066225	0.054	0.013
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriaceae;D_5__ Collinsella	-0.051	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella	0.048	0.061
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospirac eae UCG-010	-0.048	0.039
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__ _Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-003	-0.048	0.48
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Eg gerthella	-0.048	0.091
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriales Incertae Sedis;D_5__uncultured	0.047	0.040

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] gnavus group	0.047	0.35
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__[Clostridium] innocuum group	0.047	0.049
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] ruminantium group	0.046	0.38
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__[Faecalitalea]	0.046	0.17
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Dorea	-0.043	0.63
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Phoceia	0.043	5.0E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae;D_5__Klebsiella	-0.043	0.28
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] gauvreauii group	0.043	0.38
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__	-0.043	0.75
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteobacteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionaceae;D_5__Desulfovibrio	0.040	0.29
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-009	0.039	1.1E-02
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae;D_5__P. hascolarctobacterium	0.032	0.86
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-013	0.032	0.24
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Agathobacter	-0.032	1.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Roseburia	0.032	1.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] hallii group	0.031	1.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__[Coprobacillus]	0.030	0.019
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__	-0.028	0.27

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae;D_5__Lactococcus	0.027	0.12
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Fusobacteria;D_2__Fusobacteriia;D_3__Fusobacteriales;D_4__Fusobacteriaceae;D_5__Fusobacterium	-0.027	0.20
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] ventriosum group	0.026	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 9	-0.024	0.11
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Alloprevotella	-0.024	0.12
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteriia;D_3__Actinomycetales;D_4__Actinomycetaceae;D_5__Actinomyces	0.023	0.033
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Gordonibacter	0.023	0.012
D_0__Bacteria;__;__;__;__	-0.023	0.076
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__uncultured	0.023	0.073
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Lactobacillaceae;D_5__Lactobacillus	0.021	0.91
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Moryella	0.021	0.019
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella 3	-0.019	0.040
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;__;__	0.018	0.42
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__Terrisporobacter	-0.017	0.062
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcus 1	-0.016	1.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae FCS020 group	0.012	0.077
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;__;__;__;__	0.012	0.26
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Selenigalimassilia	-7.7E-03	0.05
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__CAG-56	7.0E-03	0.11

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__ _Holdemanella	6.8E-03	0.75
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospirac eae NK4A136 group	-6.3E-03	0.47
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Oscillospira	5.5E-03	0.045
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Anaerostipes	-4.7E-03	1.3
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Atopobiaceae;D_5__Olse nella	-4.6E-03	0.023
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae;D_5__Bacteroid es	4.2E-03	19
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__uncultured	-4.1E-03	0.17
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteo bacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriacea e;__	7.2E-04	0.03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Subdoligran ulum	7.1E-04	2.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__GCA- 900066575	9.5E-05	0.059

Supplementary Table S3b. Effect sizes and relative abundances of all filtered families in RBD in the meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets

Family	Effect size	Relative abundance (%)
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae	0.28	2.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae	0.24	2.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII	0.21	0.31
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Bacillaceae	-0.20	8.6E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae	-0.19	0.63
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae	0.18	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Pasteurellales;D_4__Pasteurellaceae	-0.18	0.020
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae	0.17	0.52
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae	0.17	24
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteobacteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionaceae	0.16	0.40
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Bifidobacteriales;D_4__Bifidobacteriaceae	-0.16	2.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiales vadinBB60 group	0.12	0.080
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__	0.11	0.27
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Tannerellaceae	0.10	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae	0.087	0.86
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Atopobiaceae	-0.087	0.034
D_0__Archaea;D_1__Euryarchaeota;D_2__Methanobacteria;D_3__Methanobacteriales;D_4__Methanobacteriaceae	0.083	0.21
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae	-0.075	0.34

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae	-0.069	1.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiaceae 1	-0.062	0.40
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae	0.061	2.3
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae	-0.056	3.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriaceae	-0.051	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Synergistetes;D_2__Synergistia;D_3__Synergistales;D_4__Synergistaceae	0.050	0.053
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Fusobacteria;D_2__Fusobacteriia;D_3__Fusobacteriales;D_4__Fusobacteriaceae	-0.032	0.20
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Lentisphaerae;D_2__Lentisphaeria;D_3__Victivallales;D_4__Victivallaceae	0.029	0.050
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae	-0.027	1.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriales Incertae Sedis	0.026	0.043
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae	0.025	24
D_0__Bacteria;__;__;__;	-0.023	0.076
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Patescibacteria;D_2__Saccharimonadia;D_3__Saccharimonadales;D_4__Saccharimonadaceae	-0.021	3.6E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Lactobacillaceae	0.019	0.91
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;__	0.018	0.42
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Actinomycetales;D_4__Actinomycetaceae	0.016	0.033
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;__;__;__	0.012	0.26
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae	-6.7E-03	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae	-6.0E-03	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae	4.4E-03	2.3
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae	4.2E-03	19

Supplementary Table S4. Experimental methods and disease durations of the Japanese and German datasets

Country	Transportation temperature	Storage method	Stool DNA stabilizer	Sequencing	Primers	Disease duration (years)^a
Japan	0°C	Freeze dry	no	16S rRNA V3-4	341F/805R	6.4 ± 4.8 (Max 20, Min 0.1)
Germany	On dry ice	−80°C	no	16S rRNA V4	515F/805R	n.a.

^aMean and SD. n.a., not available.

Supplementary Table S5. The numbers of read counts in the Japanese and

German datasets

(x 1000 read counts)					
Country (sample size)	Average	SD	Median	Max	Min
Japan (163)	53.5	18.7	50.8	98.0	13.8
Germany (58)	155.7	39.5	164.8	261.4	63.7

Supplementary Figure S1. Relative abundances of ten genera with the highest loadings in the first factor (Supplementary Table S3) are plotted against enterotypes A to D generated by LIGER. Bar indicates the median value. *P*-values of Jonckheere-Terpstra trend test are indicated to show whether the genus increases or decreases monotonically.