Structure of an atypical homodimeric actin capping protein from the malaria parasite

Ábris Ádám Bendes^a, Petri Kursula^{a,b}, and Inari Kursula^{a,b*}

^a Biocenter Oulu and Faculty of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, University of Oulu, P.O. Box 5400, 90014, Oulu, Finland

^b Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Jonas Lies vei 91, 5009, Bergen, Norway

* Corresponding author, e-mail address: inari.kursula@uib.no

Keywords: actin capping protein, homodimer, glideosome, malaria, *Plasmodium*

Abstract

Actin capping proteins (CPs) are essential regulators of actin dynamics in all eukaryotes. Their structure and function have been extensively characterized in higher eukaryotes but their role and mechanism of action in apicomplexan parasites remain enigmatic. Here, we present a crystal structure of a unique homodimeric CP from the rodent malaria parasite *Plasmodium berghei*. In addition, we compare homo- and heterodimeric arrangements of *P. berghei* CPs (*PbCPs*) in solution. We complement our findings by describing the regulatory effects of *PbCPs* on heterologous skeletal muscle α -actin as well as parasite actin. Comprehensive kinetic and steady-state measurements show atypical regulation of actin dynamics; *PbCPs* facilitate rapid turnover of parasite actin I without affecting the apparent critical concentration. Possibly to rescue actin filament capping in life cycle stages where the CP β -subunit is downregulated, homo- and heterodimeric *PbCPs* show redundant effects *in vitro*. However, our data suggest that homodimers may in addition influence actin kinetics by recruiting lateral actin dimers. This unusual function could arise from the absence of a β -subunit, as the asymmetric *PbCP* homodimer lacks the structural elements essential for canonical barbed end interactions, suggesting a novel CP binding mode. These findings facilitate further studies aimed at elucidating the precise actin filament capping mechanism in *Plasmodium* and the eligibility of *PbCPs* as drug targets against malaria.

Significance statement

Malaria parasites of the genus *Plasmodium* display a unique form of gliding motility, which depends on an unconventional actomyosin motor. Actin capping proteins (CPs) play a major role in regulating parasite motility. Here, we describe a unique *Plasmodium berghei* CP (*PbCP*) system, behaving contradictory to canonical heterodimeric CPs, more suited to regulate the fast dynamics of the parasite actin system. We present the crystal structure of a distinctive homodimeric form of *PbCP* and extensive biochemical data, describing the atypical behavior of each *PbCP* form. The *PbCP* homodimer displays capping even in the absence of canonical conserved structural elements, suggesting a novel actin-CP interaction mode. These distinct structural properties could provide opportunities for drug design against malaria.

Author contributions

I.K. and P.K. conceived the study. Á.Á.B. performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. Á.Á.B., I.K. and P.K. solved and refined the crystal structure. Á.Á.B. and I.K. wrote the final manuscript and all authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Introduction

Actin is a major element of the eukaryotic cytoskeleton and essential for a spectrum of cellular functions, including cell motility, intracellular transport, endocytosis, and cytokinesis. The versatile behavior of actin-based systems requires tight spatial and temporal control that is conveyed by a vast number of regulatory proteins (1). Actin capping proteins (CPs) are heterodimeric proteins, ubiquitously present in eukaryotes (2). CPs play a crucial role in actin dynamics by binding with high affinity to the fast-growing barbed end of filamentous actin (F-actin) in a Ca^{2+} independent manner (3), limiting protomer exchange to the pointed end. CPs are present in various cytoskeletal structures, such as lamellipodial protrusions (4), dynactin (5), and in the sarcomere, linking microfilaments to the Z-disks (6). Most vertebrates encode multiple isoforms of CP subunits with diverse functions (2). CPs are essential for human and zebrafish morphogenesis (7) and they belong to the core set of proteins needed to reconstitute actin-based motility *in vitro* (8). The average cytosolic concentration of CP in eukaryotes is in the range of 0.5-1.5 μM (9, 10), which, considering the high affinity and 1:1 stoichiometry of CP towards actin filaments, leads to a high number of constantly capped barbed ends *in vivo* (10). However, formation of free barbed ends is essential for rapid actin network assembly (11, 12), and control of CP expression levels is required for optimal actin-based cellular functions (8, 9). Thus, the mechanism of capping/uncapping is modulated by various external factors. Steric and allosteric regulators of CPs include polyphosphoinositides (PIPs), V-1/myotrophin, and CARMIL proteins, while indirect barbed end competitors include formins and ENA/VASP proteins (2).

CPs are comprised of two subunits, $\text{CP}\alpha$ and $\text{CP}\beta$, each with an approximate molecular weight of 32-36 kDa (2). In most eukaryotes, the individual subunits are conserved, although the sequence identity between the subunits is typically low (2). Despite the sequence divergence, the subunits share a strikingly similar fold, resulting in a unique quaternary structure where the two subunits take up a compact arrangement with a pseudo two-fold symmetry (13). All currently available structures of heterodimeric CPs resemble the characteristic shape of a stipitate mushroom (5, 13, 14). Three N-terminal antiparallel helices form the “stalk” domain flanked by a characteristic β -stranded “globule” domain of each monomer. The “cap” is comprised of a well-ordered arrangement of two

5-stranded antiparallel β -sheets, crested by a backbone of four helices formed conjunctly by the subunits. Emerging from the cap structure are the C-terminal “tentacles” of each subunit (termed α - and β -tentacle, respectively) containing a longer flexible loop region and an amphipathic helix (13). According to the current view, CPs bind the terminal two actin protomers of a filament in a sequential mode that involves a coordinated interplay of a positively charged patch on the cap and both tentacle domains of the subunits (15, 16).

Alongside other members of the vast phylum of *Apicomplexa*, malaria parasites (*Plasmodium* spp.) use a special actomyosin motor for motility and host cell invasion (17). These parasites have two non-canonical actin isoforms and a modest set of 10-15 actin-binding proteins, lacking identifiable orthologues of the Arp2/3 complex, the majority of capping/severing/cross-linking proteins, or regulators like ENA/VASP proteins (17, 18). Contrary to the majority of eukaryotes, *Plasmodium* spp. encode only one isoform of each CP subunit (19). Metazoan CPs characterized to date nucleate polymerization, decrease elongation rate in preseeded systems, block dilution-induced depolymerization from barbed ends, and increase critical concentration to the level of the pointed end (2). Even though individual CP subunits and isoforms are expressed at different levels during different stages and cell types (20), CP subunits are largely insoluble and non-functional when expressed alone *in vitro*, indicating that they only exist as heterodimers (21). In *Plasmodium*, however, in addition to a heterodimeric CP (19, 22), a unique homodimer form of the α -subunit exists and displays capping activity of homo- and heterologous actin filaments *in vitro* (23, 24). Here, we present the first crystal structure of a homodimeric CP from the rodent malaria parasite *Plasmodium berghei* (*PbCP $\alpha\alpha$*). The structure demonstrates critical differences compared to canonical heterodimeric CPs. We complement our structural findings with extensive biochemical characterization of *PbCP $\alpha\alpha$* and the *P. berghei* CP heterodimer (*PbCP $\alpha\beta$*), showing that the homo- and heterodimers have distinct functions that differ from the canonical CP heterodimer (CapZ $\alpha\beta$) and are specific to the parasite actin filaments.

Results and discussion

Plasmodium CPs form similar-shaped homo- and heterodimers in solution

PbCPαα without the α -tentacle (*PbCPαα*^{ΔC20}) forms dimers with the canonical mushroom shape of CPs (24), but it was not clear whether the full-length *PbCPαα* also could homodimerize in a similar manner. Here, we set out to investigate the quaternary arrangements of the full-length homo- and heterodimeric *PbCPs* as well. Using a combination of small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and homology modeling based on related crystal structures, we show that, indeed, all three parasite CP versions (the truncated and full-length *PbCPαα* and *PbCPαβ*) form similar pseudo-symmetric dimers in solution (**Fig. 1**). The SAXS data show folded, globular proteins with flexible parts, *PbCPαβ* being somewhat more compact than the homodimers (**Fig. 1A and B**). Interparticle distances are similar for all *PbCPs*, with the heterodimer showing slight bimodality (**Fig. 1C**). Modeling into the SAXS data suggests that *PbCPαβ* forms a tight structure, whereas the homodimers adopt a looser structure, which may arise from steric hindrances at the dimer interface (**Fig. 1D**). The orientation of a *Plasmodium*-specific insert of *PbCPα* (24) and the tentacle domains of both subunits cannot be reliably deduced from the SAXS data, indicating that they are disordered in solution, which is characteristic of the tentacles in canonical CPs as well (13, 14).

*Crystal structure of the *PbCPαα* homodimer*

To gain further structural insight into the unusual homodimerization of the parasite CP, we crystallized and determined the structure of the truncated *PbCPαα*^{ΔC20} (**Fig. 2A**), as the full-length protein as well as the heterodimer have so far resisted crystallization. Extensive attempts to solve the structure using various molecular replacement approaches failed, pointing towards significant subunit- and domain-wise structural rearrangements in *PbCPαα*^{ΔC20} compared to canonical CP heterodimers. We finally succeeded in solving the structure to 2.2 Å resolution using single-wavelength anomalous diffraction of bromide derivatives (**Table I**). As expected from SAXS analysis from before (24) and in this work, the two *PbCPα*^{ΔC20} subunits form a less compact structure than the canonical heterodimer (**Fig. 2A**), still closely resembling the established mushroom shape of metazoan CPs (13, 14), despite the low sequence conservation (23). Albeit being a homodimer, the structure is not completely symmetric. As predicted by homology modeling

and SAXS data, significant structural rearrangements are required at the dimer interface to accommodate two identical subunits in the dimer (**Fig. 2B**). This results in a new type of non-canonical dimeric CP structure and a new example of rare asymmetric homodimers among proteins in general (25). Because of the asymmetry, we will here refer to chain A of the crystal structure as $PbCP\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$ and chain B as $PbCP\alpha_2^{\Delta C20}$. While in canonical heterodimers the stalk domains of the subunits pivot relative to the core structure to accommodate denser packing, in $PbCP\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$, the orientation is the same in both subunits, disrupting the compact structure (**Fig. 2C**). Further major structural deviations include longer helices of the stalk domains, a *Plasmodium*-specific insert between the globule and cap β -sheets (Ser135-Ala159), and a unique β -hairpin-like C-terminus (Leu268-Leu286) of the $PbCP\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$ H5 helix (**Fig. 2**).

Due to the reduced number of intersubunit and crystal contacts, $PbCP\alpha_2^{\Delta C20}$ is more disordered, with a higher average B-factor than $PbCP\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$ (**Suppl. Fig. S1 and Table I**), similar to what has been described for CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (14). Consequently, the *Plasmodium*-specific insert (residues 134-155) is also not visible in $PbCP\alpha_2^{\Delta C20}$. In $PbCP\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$, this loop protrudes from the structure, making space for the long helix H5 (residues 243-283) of $PbCP\alpha_2^{\Delta C20}$, which would precede the α -tentacle in the full-length protein. In $PbCP\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$, H5 is broken at residue 267 and turns back towards the same subunit in a β -hairpin-like structure, instead of extending into $PbCP\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$. The base of the *Plasmodium*-specific loop seems to be stabilized by a partial disulfide bond between Cys158 and Cys179 in the $PbCP\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$ subunit but not in $PbCP\alpha_2^{\Delta C20}$. The loose nature of the homodimer and the substantial structural differences in the C-terminal region could result in a non-canonical binding mode to the highly dynamic *Plasmodium* actins (26, 27) and a distinct role for the homodimers as compared to the canonical or the parasite CP heterodimers (19, 23, 24).

In comparison to the sarcomeric [PDB ID: 1IZN (13)], cytoplasmic [PDB ID: 4AKR (14)], and dynactin bound [PDB ID: 6F1T (28)] CP isoforms, $PbCP\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ has an increased surface area but significantly decreased dimer interface area (**Suppl. Table SI**), as is typical for asymmetric homodimers (25). Root-mean-square deviations (r.m.s.d.) calculated between these structures reveal further insights on a dimer, subunit, and domain level (**Suppl. Table SII**). Canonical heterodimers are much more similar to each other than to the *Plasmodium* homodimer (**Suppl. Table SIII**), owing to their subunit arrangement. CP-related CATH domains (1.20.1290.20 and 2.40.160.80) are

present in $PbCP\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$, manifested by a lower r.m.s.d. when individual subunits are compared. Both $PbCP\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ subunits are more similar to canonical $CP\alpha$, with $PbCP\alpha_2^{\Delta C20}$ being slightly closer to $CP\beta$ (**Suppl. Table SIIB**). Given sequence limitations, this subunit could facilitate a more canonical heterodimer arrangement of the *Plasmodium* homodimer. Individual domains of $PbCP\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ fit well to canonical CP subunits suggesting the importance of individual structural elements in the capping function (**Suppl. Table SIIC**), despite sequence differences.

PbCPs control actin polymerization in a non-canonic manner

CPs typically block the barbed end, increasing its apparent critical concentration (C_{capp}) to that of the pointed end's (2). *PbCPs* increase the supernatant fraction of *PfActI* in pelleting assays (24), which could either be explained by filament shortening or by limited depolymerization due to the increase of C_{capp} . In dilution series of fluorescently labeled *PfActI* filaments, none of the *PbCPs* affect C_{capp} , even at high concentrations (**Fig. 3A**). Furthermore, gelsolin, a major barbed end capper (1) absent from *Plasmodium* spp. (18), does not affect the C_{capp} of *PfActI* filaments (**Fig. 3B**) or *PfActI* depolymerization dynamics (27). None of the *PbCPs* increase the C_{capp} of heterologous skeletal α -actin either (**Fig. 3C**), despite them reportedly being able to modulate α -actin (22) or non-muscle β -actin polymerization (19, 23). We hypothesize that *PbCPs* have a lower affinity to actin than canonical CPs, allowing actin subunit exchange, making them wobbly or leaky cappers (2), similar to formins (1).

The inability of *PbCPs* to increase C_{capp} raises a question about the presence of other actin regulatory properties. In higher eukaryotes, CPs nucleate filaments, abolishing the lag phase, but block subunit exchange at the barbed end (2), reducing the initial elongation velocity and steady-state filament mass. In a homologous system, contrary to expected, we found that *PbCPs* increase elongation velocity and considerably raise the steady-state level of F-actin mass (**Fig. 4A**). Their effect on nucleation is ambiguous due to the nature of *PfActI* polymerization curves (27). In preseeded assays, the filament mass is less affected (**Fig. 4B**). $CapZ\alpha\beta$ does not seem to modulate *PfActI* polymerization notably. On the contrary, both *PbCP* homodimers and the heterodimer behave similarly to typical CPs with α -actin (**Fig. 4C and D**). These results suggest that *PbCP* homo- and heterodimers have similar, redundant functions, which would secure actin capping

functions in the blood stages of the parasite where *PbCP* β is phenotypically absent (19).

PbCP $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ displays a diminished, yet comparable capping effect, despite the absence of the essential tentacle domains (15, 16). The reduced importance of the tentacle domain in *PbCP*s has been suggested before (24). However, *in vivo*, the *Plasmodium* α -tentacle seems to be indispensable (23). The major difference between homo- and heterodimers is seen in the initial filament mass in non-seeded systems (**Fig. 4A and C**). It seems that while *PbCP*s are able to cap F-actin, in the absence of preformed filaments, the homodimers may also be able to stabilize or sequester short, non-nucleating structures, perhaps lateral dimers (27). This behavior of the homodimers extends to α -actin and seems to be independent of the presence of the α -tentacle.

Dilution-induced disassembly of filaments is enhanced in the presence of PbCPs

PfActI filaments are highly dynamic (26, 27) with high disassociation rates at both ends (29). Upon dilution below their C_{capp} , filaments decompose rapidly, faster than α -actin (27). We measured the barbed end blocking efficiency of *PbCP*s, by following the disassembly of fluorescently labeled *PfActI* and α -actin filaments. *PbCP*s facilitate depolymerization of both *PfActI* (**Fig. 5A**) and α -actin filaments (**Fig. 5B**). While CapZ $\alpha\beta$ behaved as expected with α -actin, reducing the rate of depolymerization (2), it also increased the rate of *PfActI* depolymerization, suggesting that the effect results from an interplay between *Plasmodium* actins and CPs, not strictly from the latter. While the suggested propensity of *PbCP*s to sequester actin dimers agrees well with the data, we cannot exclude a moonlighting function of these proteins as filament severing proteins (1). Such behavior of CPs has been described in the presence of VASP proteins (30). *PbCP*s, however, in the absence of ENA/VASP homologs (18), might have evolved to have inherent severing capability. This would raise the question of redundancy among the limited *Plasmodium* actin regulators, as multiple severing proteins have been described (17, 27).

Implications of an atypical CP

In vivo, many actin binding proteins (ABPs) exist to fine-tune barbed end capping through well-characterized mechanisms (2). While the majority of these are absent from *Plasmodium* (17, 18), we cannot exclude the possibility of other, so far uncharacterized, regulators. Our search for V-

1/myotrophin homologs and the CP-binding and uncapping motif among known *Plasmodium* transcripts or for the S100B interaction motif in *Plasmodium* CPs resulted in no clear hits. To date, in *P. knowlesi*, PIP₂ is the only described direct CP regulator, with HSC70 mentioned as a binding partner, uninvolved in capping activity (22). Residues involved in canonical interaction with proteinaceous regulators are generally not conserved in *Plasmodium* (**Suppl. Fig. S2 and S3**), with an exception of several basic residues (K278 and K282 in *PbCP* α , R233 in *PbCP* β) involved in canonical F-actin interaction and PIP₂ binding (15, 16, 31). Based on our kinetic data, *PbCP*s seem to have at least an order of magnitude lower affinity towards *PfActI* filaments compared to CapZ $\alpha\beta$ and canonical actin. Left unregulated, CPs would constantly cap actin filaments, completely arresting cell motility (10). We cannot rule out that this innate down-regulation of *PbCP*s could allow for the existence of free barbed ends in the absence of other regulators, allowing the parasite to function with only a minimal set of ABPs.

Given the discussed substantial differences of *PbCP*s compared to canonical CPs, in particular the absence of a β -subunit in certain stages, we hypothesize that the F-actin binding mode of homodimeric *PbCP*s may also be different. Canonical CPs bind the barbed end through an electrostatic interaction mediated largely by the basic triad on CapZ $\alpha\beta$ and an acidic patch on the last two actin protomers (15). To understand whether this binding mode can exist in *Plasmodium*, we used molecular docking to generate a model of a *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ capped *PfActI* filament (**Fig. 6A**). Presence of Arp1 in the *P. berghei* genome (32) could implicate the existence of *PbCP* capped Arp1 filaments in *Plasmodium*, thus allowing the cryo-EM structure of CapZ $\alpha\beta$ capped Arp1 filament in *Sus scrofa* dynactin (28) to be used as basis for further modeling studies. Electrostatic potential surfaces of the barbed end (**Fig. 6B**) and CPs (**Fig. 6C**) reveal the absence of canonical charged interaction points only for *Plasmodium* (**Suppl. Fig. S4**), even though residues involved in forming these interaction surfaces are conserved (**Suppl. Fig. S2, S3, and S5**). In *PfActI*, flanking residues mask the apparent charge of the patch (**Fig. 6B**). Differences in electrostatic potential surfaces (33) could also play a large role in the divergent nucleation and polymerization properties of *PfActI* (27). Residues of the basic triad in *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ are more buried in the structure, not in close proximity to each other and the slight asymmetry of the homodimer does not compensate significantly for the loss of contributing residues of *PbCP* β . Possibly due to the absence of CARMIL proteins in

Plasmodium, a positively charged canonical binding cavity is not present in *PbCPαα*^{ΔC20} (**Fig. 6C**). In the CapZαβ heterodimer, the β-tentacle locks the complex by binding the hydrophobic pocket of the terminal actin subunit (15, 16). In our model, the *Plasmodium*-specific insert of *PbCPα₁*^{ΔC20} is close to the expected position of the β-tentacle. This insert shares many possible, hydrophobic or electrostatic, interaction points with the β-tentacle (**Fig. 6D**), residues mainly conserved in *Plasmodium* (**Suppl. Fig. S2**), suggesting a role for the insert in barbed end binding in the absence of canonical interaction motifs. Mapping sequence conservation of *Plasmodium* CPα sequences on the structure of *PbCPαα*^{ΔC20} reveals that core residues, especially the ones involved in the intersubunit surface are highly conserved, suggesting that other CPαα homodimers could exist in *Plasmodium* spp. Canonical binding partner interaction points present in CapZαβ lack localized sequence conservation in *PbCPαα*^{ΔC20} (**Fig. 6E and Suppl. Fig. S2**). The truncated *PbCPαα*^{ΔC20} binds actin in the absence of the essential structural elements (24), suggesting that canonical functions of the tentacle domains are compensated by other means. Possibilities are that both interaction sites undergo significant conformational changes upon binding, or a completely novel mechanism is involved. Follow-up mutagenesis studies aimed at the *Plasmodium* insert and the surface residues of the capping structure could shed light to this unique interaction.

Concluding remarks

CPs are essential regulators of the cytoskeleton and conserved among metazoans as heterodimeric proteins, which are important *e.g.* for lamellipodial protrusions at the leading edge of crawling cells (4). Apicomplexan parasites display fast gliding motility in the absence of changes in cell shape (34). It seems that due to different needs during different lifecycle stages, these parasites have evolved an additional unique homodimeric form of CP (24) as part of their limited repertoire of ABPs (17). In contrast to canonical CPs (2), *PbCPs* facilitate the rapid turnover of the dynamic *Plasmodium* actin filaments. Due to the atypical structural and biochemical properties, and a unique mode of binding to the barbed ends as well as their essential nature, *Plasmodium* CPs could prove as promising drug targets against malaria.

Materials and methods

Protein expression and purification

PbCPαβ, *PbCPαα*, *PbCPαα*^{ΔC20}, CapZαβ, α-actin, and *PfActI* were prepared as described previously (24, 27). Human full-length gelsolin was purchased from Cytoskeleton (US).

Model refinement using small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)

SEC-SAXS data of *PbCPαα* and *PbCPαβ*, at a respective concentration of 12 and 5.8 mg/ml, were collected on the B21 beamline at Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK). Data processing, *ab initio* DAMMIN (35) modeling, and visualization were carried out as previously described (24). Initial models of the homodimers were prepared by extending the crystal structure of *PbCPαα*^{ΔC20} using SWISS-MODEL (36). The tentacle domain of *PbCPα₁* was modeled using EOM (37). A model of *PbCPαβ* was assembled from the modeled *PbCPα₂* subunit and I-TASSER (38) modelled *PbCPβ* monomer using the structure of dynactin-bound *S. scrofa* CapZαβ [PDB ID: 6F1T (28)] as a template. The models were corrected against major steric clashes using Coot (39) and energy minimized using UCSF Chimera (40). The *PbCP* models were split into domains and refined with normal mode analysis using SREFLEX (41).

Crystallization and structure solution

PbCPαα^{ΔC20} was crystallized at 4°C using the vapor diffusion method. Crystals were grown from a 1:1 drop ratio of 10-15 mg/ml protein and precipitant [100 mM MES pH 6.5, 100-150 mM tri-ammonium citrate, 10-12% (w/v) PEG 20000, and 0.5 M NDSB-195 (Hampton, US)] and subsequently improved by iterative micro-seeding. The crystals were soaked for 1-2 min in 1 M NaBr before flash freezing in 15% (v/v) glycerol. Diffraction datasets were collected on the I04-1 beamline at Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK) using a Pilatus 6M detector and 0.916 Å beam wavelength. Datasets from multiple crystals were integrated, scaled, and merged using DIALS (42) in the xia2 pipeline (43). Experimental phases and an initial main-chain trace were obtained from SHELX (44). The structure was refined using the CCP4 package (45) and Phenix (46), with iterative rebuilding in Coot.

Structural bioinformatics

Details of the surface area and r.m.s.d. calculations are found in **Supplementary Information**.

Database searches against homologs and motifs were carried out using the PlasmoDB database (47), *ScanProsite* (48), *MyHits* (49), and *PATTINPROT* (50). Model of *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ capped *PfActI* filament was prepared by aligning 5 protomers of *PfActI* filament [PDB ID: 5OGW (51)] and *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ to the CapZ $\alpha\beta$ capped barbed end of the Arp1 filament in dynactin [PDB ID: 6F1T (28)] using *TM-align* (52). Gaps in the structure of *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ were modeled in using *SWISS-MODEL*. Relative orientation of *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ was refined with *RosettaDock* (53), while residues involved in the interface were energy minimized with *UCSF Chimera*. Electrostatic potential surfaces were calculated using *APBS* (54). *EMBOSS Matcher* (55) was used for local sequence alignments and *ESPrpt* (56) for their visualization. 21 non-redundant *Plasmodium* CP α sequences from PlasmoDB were analyzed, with conservation score subsequently mapped on the structure of *PbCP* $\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ using *ConSurf* (57). CapZ $\alpha\beta$ was prepared similarly, using automatically retrieved homolog sequences.

Actin-CP interaction assays

Fluorescence-based polymerization assays were carried out in triplicate and analyzed as previously described (27) with minor modifications. C_{app} was determined using a dilution series prepared from 10 μ M actin polymerized together with either 10 μ M CP or 0.4 μ M gelsolin for *PfActI*, and 0.4 μ M CP for α -actin, respectively. EGTA was omitted from the buffers for the samples with gelsolin. In the polymerization assays, the total actin concentration was kept at 4 μ M. 2 μ M α -actin, or 0.5 μ M *PfActI* filaments (2 μ M in the CapZ $\alpha\beta$ assay) were used as nuclei in preseeded polymerization assays. In the depolymerization assays, CPs were incubated with 2-5 μ M actin filaments for 5 min at 20°C prior to 100-fold dilution. The polymerization data were despiked before averaging. No pointed end cappers were used in the assays to limit subunit exchange to the barbed end.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Herwig Schöler for providing the parasite CP cDNA constructs and Dr. Shuichi Takeda for the CapZ $\alpha\beta$ plasmid. The use of the facilities and expertise of the Biocenter Oulu Structural Biology core facility is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank the excellent beamline

support at Diamond Light Source (B21 and I04-1) and the crystallographic community for their helpful comments on the structure of *PbCPaa*^{ΔC20}. This work was supported by the Academy of Finland, the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, and the Norwegian Research Council. The atomic coordinates and structure factors of *PbCPaa*^{ΔC20} have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/> (PDB ID: 7A0H).

References

1. T. D. Pollard, Actin and Actin-Binding Proteins. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **8** (2016).
2. J. A. Cooper, D. Sept, New Insights into Mechanism and Regulation of Actin Capping Protein. *Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.* **267**, 183–206 (2008).
3. M. A. Wear, A. Yamashita, K. Kim, Y. Maéda, J. A. Cooper, How capping protein binds the barbed end of the actin filament. *Curr. Biol.* **13**, 1531–1537 (2003).
4. M. R. Mejillano, *et al.*, Lamellipodial versus filopodial mode of the actin nanomachinery: Pivotal role of the filament barbed end. *Cell* **118**, 363–373 (2004).
5. L. Urnavicius, *et al.*, The structure of the dynactin complex and its interaction with dynein. *Science* (80-.). **347**, 1441–1446 (2015).
6. D. A. Schafer, J. A. Waddle, J. A. Cooper, Localization of CapZ during myofibrillogenesis in cultured chicken muscle. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton* **25**, 317–335 (1993).
7. K. Mukherjee, *et al.*, Actin capping protein CAPZB regulates cell morphology, differentiation, and neural crest migration in craniofacial morphogenesis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **25**, 1255–1270 (2016).
8. T. P. Loisel, R. Boujemaa, D. Pantaloni, M. F. Cartier, Reconstitution of actin-based motility of *Listeria* and *Shigella* using pure proteins. *Nature* **401**, 613–616 (1999).
9. C. Hug, *et al.*, Capping protein levels influence actin assembly and cell motility in dictyostelium. *Cell* **81**, 591–600 (1995).
10. M. J. DiNubile, L. Cassimeris, M. Joyce, S. H. Zigmond, Actin filament barbed-end capping activity in neutrophil lysates: The role of capping protein-β2. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **6**, 1659–1671 (1995).
11. D. Pantaloni, C. Le Clainche, M. F. Carlier, Mechanism of actin-based motility. *Science* (80-.). **292**, 1502–1506 (2001).
12. S. H. Zigmond, Beginning and Ending an Actin Filament: Control at the Barbed End. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **63**, 145–188 (2004).
13. A. Yamashita, K. Maeda, Y. Maéda, Crystal structure of CapZ: Structural basis for actin filament barbed end capping. *EMBO J.* **22**, 1529–1538 (2003).
14. C. Eckert, A. Goretzki, M. Faberova, M. Kollmar, Conservation and divergence between cytoplasmic and muscle-specific actin capping proteins: Insights from the crystal structure of cytoplasmic Cap32/34 from *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *BMC Struct. Biol.* **12** (2012).
15. A. Narita, S. Takeda, A. Yamashita, Y. Maéda, Structural basis of actin filament capping at the barbed-end: A cryo-electron microscopy study. *EMBO J.* **25**, 5626–5633 (2006).
16. T. Kim, J. A. Cooper, D. Sept, The Interaction of Capping Protein with the Barbed End of the Actin Filament. *J. Mol. Biol.* **404**, 794–802 (2010).
17. E. P. Kumpula, I. Kursula, Towards a molecular understanding of the apicomplexan actin motor: On a road to novel targets for malaria remedies? *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F Structural Biol. Commun.* **71**, 500–513 (2015).
18. H. Schüler, K. Matuschewski, Regulation of apicomplexan microfilament dynamics by a minimal set of actin-binding proteins. *Traffic* **7**, 1433–1439 (2006).
19. M. Ganter, H. Schüler, K. Matuschewski, Vital role for the Plasmodium actin capping protein (CP) beta-subunit in motility of malaria sporozoites. *Mol. Microbiol.* **74**, 1356–1367 (2009).
20. M. C. Hart, Y. O. Korshunova, J. A. Cooper, Vertebrates have conserved capping protein α isoforms with specific expression patterns. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton* **38**, 120–132 (1997).
21. U. Haus, H. Hartmann, P. Trommler, A. A. Noegel, M. Schleicher, F-Actin capping by cap32

- 34 requires heterodimeric conformation and can be inhibited with PIP2. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **181**, 833–839 (1991).
22. I. Tardieux, I. Baines, M. Mossakowska, G. E. Ward, Actin-binding proteins of invasive malaria parasites and the regulation of actin polymerization by a complex of 32/34-kDa proteins associated with heat shock protein 70kDa. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **93**, 295–308 (1998).
23. M. Ganter, Z. Rizopoulos, H. Schüler, K. Matuschewski, Pivotal and distinct role for Plasmodium actin capping protein alpha during blood infection of the malaria parasite. *Mol. Microbiol.* **96**, 84–94 (2015).
24. Á. Á. Bendes, M. Chatterjee, B. Götte, P. Kursula, I. Kursula, Functional homo- and heterodimeric actin capping proteins from the malaria parasite. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.02.119>.
25. L. S. Swapna, K. Srikeerthana, N. Srinivasan, Extent of structural asymmetry in homodimeric proteins: Prevalence and relevance. *PLoS One* **7** (2012).
26. J. Vahokoski, *et al.*, Structural Differences Explain Diverse Functions of Plasmodium Actins. *PLoS Pathog.* **10** (2014).
27. E. P. Kumpula, *et al.*, Apicomplexan actin polymerization depends on nucleation. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1–10 (2017).
28. L. Urnavicius, *et al.*, Cryo-EM shows how dynactin recruits two dyneins for faster movement. *Nature* **554**, 202–206 (2018).
29. H. Lu, P. M. Fagnant, K. M. Trybus, Unusual dynamics of the divergent malaria parasite PfAct1 actin filament. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116**, 20418–20427 (2019).
30. M. Barzik, *et al.*, Ena/VASP proteins enhance actin polymerization in the presence of barbed end capping proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 28653–28662 (2005).
31. K. Kim, *et al.*, Structure/function analysis of the interaction of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate with actin-capping protein: Implications for how capping protein binds the actin filament. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 5871–5879 (2007).
32. I. Siden-Kiamos, H. Schüler, D. Liakopoulos, C. Louis, Arp1, an actin-related protein, in Plasmodium berghei. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **173**, 88–96 (2010).
33. A. H. Crevenna, *et al.*, Electrostatics control actin filament nucleation and elongation kinetics. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 12102–12113 (2013).
34. M. B. Heintzelman, Cellular and Molecular Mechanics of Gliding Locomotion in Eukaryotes. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **251**, 79–129 (2006).
35. D. I. Svergun, Restoring low resolution structure of biological macromolecules from solution scattering using simulated annealing. *Biophys. J.* **76**, 2879–2886 (1999).
36. A. Waterhouse, *et al.*, SWISS-MODEL: Homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W296–W303 (2018).
37. G. Tria, H. D. T. Mertens, M. Kachala, D. I. Svergun, Advanced ensemble modelling of flexible macromolecules using X-ray solution scattering. *IUCrJ* **2**, 207–217 (2015).
38. J. Yang, Y. Zhang, I-TASSER server: New development for protein structure and function predictions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, W174–W181 (2015).
39. P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
40. E. F. Pettersen, *et al.*, UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).
41. A. Panjkovich, D. I. Svergun, Deciphering conformational transitions of proteins by small angle X-ray scattering and normal mode analysis. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **18**, 5707–5719 (2016).
42. G. Winter, *et al.*, DIALS: Implementation and evaluation of a new integration package. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol.* **74**, 85–97 (2018).
43. G. Winter, xia2: an expert system for macromolecular crystallography data reduction. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **43**, 186–190 (2010).
44. G. M. Sheldrick, Experimental phasing with SHELXC/D/E: Combining chain tracing with density modification. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 479–485 (2010).
45. M. D. Winn, *et al.*, Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* (2011) <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910045749>.
46. P. D. Adams, *et al.*, PHENIX: A comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 213–221 (2010).

47. C. Aurrecochea, *et al.*, PlasmoDB: A functional genomic database for malaria parasites. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37** (2009).
48. E. de Castro, *et al.*, ScanProsite: Detection of PROSITE signature matches and ProRule-associated functional and structural residues in proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2006) <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl124>.
49. M. Pagni, *et al.*, MyHits: Improvements to an interactive resource for analyzing protein sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm352>.
50. C. Combet, C. Blanchet, C. Geourjon, G. Deléage, NPS@: Network protein sequence analysis. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* (2000) [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004\(99\)01540-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(99)01540-6).
51. S. Pospich, *et al.*, Near-atomic structure of jasplakinolide-stabilized malaria parasite F-actin reveals the structural basis of filament instability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, 10636–10641 (2017).
52. Y. Zhang, J. Skolnick, TM-align: A protein structure alignment algorithm based on the TM-score. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, 2302–2309 (2005).
53. R. Moretti, S. Lyskov, R. Das, J. Meiler, J. J. Gray, Web-accessible molecular modeling with Rosetta: The Rosetta Online Server that Includes Everyone (ROSIE). *Protein Sci.* (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3313>.
54. E. Jurrus, *et al.*, Improvements to the APBS biomolecular solvation software suite. *Protein Sci.* **27**, 112–128 (2018).
55. F. Madeira, *et al.*, The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz268>.
56. X. Robert, P. Gouet, Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42** (2014).
57. H. Ashkenazy, *et al.*, ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2016) <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw408>.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. *PbCP* homo- and heterodimers have a canonical shape in solution. (A) Experimental scattering curves of *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$, *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$, and *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ (red, green, and blue open circles, respectively) and the respective fits of *DAMMIN* (white dashed lines) and *SREFLEX* (black line) models to the data. The χ^2 values of the different models to the data are indicated. Weighted residuals of the *SREFLEX* model fits are denoted in the lower graph (lines colored respectively), where $\Delta/\square = [\square_{\text{SREFLEX}}(\square) - \square_{\text{DAMMIN}}(\square)]/\square(\square)$. (B) Dimensionless Kratky plot (colored similarly to panel A). (C) Real-space distance distribution plot (colored similarly to panel A). (D) Cartoon models of *PbCP*s (colored as in panel A, with chain A in lighter color) and the corresponding SAXS envelopes as surface representations. The tentacle domains of each structure are colored black. Experimental data for *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ for comparison were used from a previous publication (24).

Fig. 2. Crystal structure and domain arrangement of *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$. (A) Crystal structure of *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$. (B) Superposition of the *PbCP* $\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$ and *PbCP* $\alpha_2^{\Delta C20}$ subunits. The rainbow color scale represents the r.m.s.d. between the subunits. Residues excluded from the alignment are colored black. (C) Superposition of *PbCP* $\alpha_1^{\Delta C20}$ to CapZ α (left) and *PbCP* $\alpha_2^{\Delta C20}$ to CapZ β (right). The domains of *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ are colored as in panel A. CapZ $\alpha\beta$ [PDB ID: 1IZN (13)] is shown in light gray.

Fig. 3. *PbCP*s do not affect the critical concentration of actin polymerization. (A) *PfActI* filaments (open black squares) capped by *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$, *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$, *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (open red circles, green diamonds, blue triangles, and purple stars, respectively). The gray shading indicates the range of determined C_{app} from two-line fits (respectively colored lines). (B) C_c plot of *PfActI* filaments (open black squares) with gelsolin (open gray pentagon) under Ca^{2+} conditions. (C) α -actin filaments (open black squares) capped by *PbCP* $\alpha\beta$, *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha$, *PbCP* $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (as in panel A). Errors represent SD ($n = 3$). RFU = relative fluorescent unit, normalized to the lowest concentration.

Fig. 4. Atypical regulation of polymerization kinetics by *Pb*CPs. (A) Polymerization curves of *Pf*ActI in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of *Pb*CP $\alpha\beta$, *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha$, *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$. (B) Polymerization of *Pf*ActI on capped, preformed homologous filaments in the absence and presence of increasing concentrations of *Pb*CP $\alpha\beta$, *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha$, *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$. (C and D) Non-seeded and seeded polymerization curves of α -actin with the different CPs, as in panels A and B. RFU = relative fluorescent unit.

Fig. 5. *Pb*CPs facilitate filament depolymerization. (A) Dilution-induced depolymerization of *Pf*ActI filaments (black line) with various concentrations of *Pb*CP $\alpha\beta$, *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha$, *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$. (B) Depolymerization of *Pb*CP $\alpha\beta$, *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha$, *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$, and CapZ $\alpha\beta$ capped α -actin filaments (black line). RFU = relative fluorescent unit.

Fig. 6. Atypical structural properties of *Pf*ActI and *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ suggest new barbed end binding modes. (A) Model of *Pf*ActI filament [green to beige colored surfaces, PDB ID: 5OGW (51)] capped by *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ (blue cartoon) in a canonical arrangement. (B) Electrostatic potential surface of the last (b) and penultimate (b₋₁) protomer of *Pf*ActI (left) and Arp1 [right, PDB ID: 6F1T (28)] filament barbed ends. (C) Electrostatic potential surface of *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ (left) and CapZ $\alpha\beta$ [right, PDB ID: 6F1T (28)]. (D) The hydrophobic pocket of the last subunit of *Pf*ActI (left) and Arp1 (right) filament, represented as green surface. The *Plasmodium*-specific insert, cap sheets, and cap helices of *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ (left) are colored purple, red, and teal, respectively. The CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (right) α - and β -subunits are shown in light and dark gray, respectively. Sequence alignment (bottom) of the *Plasmodium* insert (PbCP α _ins) with the β -tentacles of *P. berghei* (PbCP β _C: A0A509AQN8) and *S. scrofa* CP (SsCP β _C: A9XFX6), grouped and colored respective to a Risler matrix, using the *ESPrpt* convention. (E) Structure of *Pb*CP $\alpha\alpha^{\Delta C20}$ (left) and CapZ $\alpha\beta$ (right) with the surface colored by *ConSurf* residue conservation scores from low (cyan) to high (magenta).

Table I. Data collection and refinement statistics

<i>Data collection</i>	<i>PbCPaa^{ΔC20}</i>
Wavelength (Å)	0.916
Resolution range (Å)	79.65-2.22 (2.30-2.22)*
Space group	C 1 2 1
Cell dimensions	
a, b, c (Å)	164.49, 35.17, 115.44
α, β, γ (°)	90.00, 104.44, 90.00
No. of reflections	2516659 (51165)
Unique[†]	61855 (6109)
Multiplicity	40.7 (8.4)
Completeness (%)	99.24 (95.57)
⟨I/σ(I)⟩	10.62 (0.45)
Wilson B-factor (Å²)	49.65
R_{merge}	0.260 (4.37)
R_{pim}	0.0395 (1.57)
CC_{1/2}	0.999 (0.29)
Refinement	
Resolution range (Å)	74.20-2.22 (2.25-2.22)
No. of reflections	61419 (2419)
R_{work}	0.207 (0.420)
R_{free}[§]	0.257 (0.428)
No. of atoms	
Protein	4432
Ligand/ion	61
Solvent	149
r.m.s.d.	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.016
Bond angles (°)	1.47
B-factors (Å²)	
Protein	107.4
Ligand/ion	93.0
Solvent	64.6
Ramachandran plot (%)	
Favored	96.42
Outliers	0.00
Rotamer outliers (%)	0.59

* Data in parentheses represent the last resolution shell

[†] Calculated from unmerged Friedel pairs

[§] R_{free} was calculated from a 5% test set

D

A **B** **C**

A **B** **C**

A

B

A **D**

	140	150	160																											
PbCPa_ins	K	KWSD	HQT	GNYP	TVN	...I	GSKH	C	L	N	V	K	C	A	S															
PbCPb_C	K	NY	...	H	K	I	K	N	L	E	T	I	S	D	D	K	F	S	K	C	N	T	I	O	N	E	L	K	...	S

	140	150																											
PbCPa_ins	O	T	G	N	Y	P	T	V	N	...	I	G	S	K	H	C	G	L	N	V	K	...	C						
SsCPb_C	O	T	...	F	A	...	D	K	...	S	K	Q	E	A	L	K	N	D	...	V	E	A	L	K	R	K	Q	Q	C

B **C** **E**