1 Original Manuscript

2

3 TITLE

4 **A View of Waste Might Decrease Relaxation: The Effects of Viewing an Open**
 5 **Dump in a Forest Environment on the Psychological Response of Healthy Young**
 6 **Adults**

7 SHORT TITLE

8 **Dumps Damage the Forest Recreational Experience**

9 Bielinis Ernest^{1*}, Janeczko Emilia², Takayama Norimasa³, Słupska Alicja ¹, Korcz
 10 Natalia⁴, Zawadzka Anna¹, Bielinis Lidia⁵

11

12 ¹Department of Forestry and Forest Ecology, Faculty of Environmental Management
 13 and Agriculture, University of Warmia and Mazury, Pl. Łódzki 2, 10-727 Olsztyn,
 14 Poland; e-mail: E.B ernest.bielinis@uwm.edu.pl, A.S. alicja.slupska@uwm.edu.pl,
 15 A.Z., anna.zawadzka@uwm.edu.pl

16

17 ²Department of Forest Utilization, Institute of Forest Sciences, University of Life
 18 Sciences in Warsaw, Nowoursynowska 159, Warsaw, Poland; e-mail:
 19 emilka.janeczko@wl.sggw.pl

20

21 ³Environmental Planning Laboratory, Department of Forest Management, Forestry
 22 and Forest Products Research Institute in Japan, 1 Matsunosato, Tsukuba, Ibaraki,
 23 Japan; e-mail: hanri@ffpri.affrc.go.jp

24 ⁴Department of Natural Foundations of Forestry, Institute of Soil Science and
 25 Environment Management, University of Life Sciences in Lublin, Akademicka 13,
 26 Lublin, Poland; e-mail: natalia.korcz@up.lublin.pl

27 ⁵Department of Social Pedagogy and Methodology of Educational Research, Faculty
 28 of Social Science, ul. Żołnierska 14, 10-561 Olsztyn, Poland; e-mail:
 29 lidia.bielinis@uwm.edu.pl

30 *Corresponding author: e-mail: ernest.bielinis@uwm.edu.pl

Abstract

Forest recreation can be successfully used for the psychological relaxation of respondents and can be used as a remedy for common problems with stress. The special form of forest recreation intended for restoration is forest bathing. These activities might be distracted by some factors, such as viewing buildings in the forest or using a computer in nature, which interrupt psychological relaxation. One factor that might interrupt psychological relaxation is the occurrence of an open dump in the forest during an outdoor experience. To test the hypothesis that an open dump might decrease psychological relaxation, a case study was planned that used a randomized, controlled crossover design. For this purpose, two groups of healthy young adults viewed a control forest or a forest with an open dump in reverse order and filled in psychological questionnaires after each stimulus. A pretest was used. Participants wore oblique eye patches to stop their visual stimulation before the experimental stimulation, and the physical environment was monitored. The results were analyzed using the two-way repeated measures ANOVA. The measured negative psychological indicators significantly increased after viewing the forest with waste, and the five indicators of the Profile of Mood States increased: Tension-Anxiety, Depression-Dejection, Anger-Hostility, Fatigue, and Confusion. In addition, the negative aspect of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule increased in comparison to the control and pretest. The measured positive indicators significantly decreased after viewing the forest with waste, the positive aspect of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule decreased, and the Restorative Outcome Scale and Subjective Vitality scores decreased (in comparison to the control and pretest). The occurrence of an open dump in the forest might interrupt a normal restorative experience in the forest by reducing

psychological relaxation. Nevertheless, the mechanism of these relevancies is not known, and thus, it will be further investigated. In addition, in a future study, the size of the impact of these open dumps on normal everyday experiences should be investigated. It is proposed that different mechanisms might be responsible for these reactions; however, the aim of this manuscript is to only measure this reaction. The identified psychological reasons for these mechanisms can be assessed in further studies.

Introduction

Forest recreation is an activity engaged in for pleasure, and it is done outside in a natural forest environment [1,2]. Recreation in a forest environment is a common activity of many people living in forested areas around the world. A good example is Poland, where the half of the surveyed adults declare that they participated in some form of forest recreation [3]. Forest recreation has an evidence-based, positive effect on the psychological and physiological relaxation of participants, who may suffer from anxiety, stress and other problems caused by living in a modern, urbanized environment [4]. This outdoor activity in a forest environment is often conceptualized as ‘forest bathing’ (if the aim of the activity is restoration) [5,6] or ‘forest therapy’ (if the aim of the activity is usage of the forest for healing) [7]. These activities unquestionably induce psychological relaxation [8–15] and have a positive influence on mental health [7,16–20]. Induced psychological relaxation might be measured using psychometric techniques. The most popular measured indices are the following: mood states, positive and negative affect, restorativeness and vitality [1,14,21–24].

During forest recreation, an undisturbed forest environment is important to produce the most valuable, most restorative experience, which is valued by the

respondents involved in this recreation [25–27]. Some research suggests that some ‘disturbing factors’ that occur during nature recreation, like using a computer during this activity or seeing an urban building in the background of the forest landscape, might damage the recreational experience of respondents. This might be interpreted as a reduction of the restoration and psychological relaxation in comparison to an undisturbed control [28,29].

A common problem in some parts of the world, and also in Poland, is the illegal dumping of waste in a forest environment [30–32]. A dump occurring in a forest is a kind of ‘open dump’ [33] and they usually occur near urbanized areas where forest recreation is highly important [34]. That situation might influence the quality of the natural forest experience during recreation in urban and semi-urban forests because respondents might view dumps in the forest and that can influence their level of psychological relaxation. Thus, wastes are ‘disturbing factors’ in the forest environment. Besides, there are some examples of ‘disturbing factors’ that occur during recreational experiences described in literature; however, there is nothing known about the effect of an illegal dump in a forest environment on the mood, affect, restorativeness and vitality of respondents experiencing and viewing this disturbance.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to clarify whether the view of an open dump in a forest environment (in comparison to an undisturbed, control forest) might influence the psychological relaxation of respondents, and to clarify whether this view has a negative or neutral effect on respondents in a randomized, controlled crossover experiment.

Materials and Methods

Ethical statement

This study was ethically reviewed and approved by the Ethical Review Board of the University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn. The number of this ethic statement is the following: 07/2019. All procedures performed in this study were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the Polish Committee of Ethics in Science and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments.

Participants

Twenty-four healthy participants were involved in this study (mean age 21.63 years $\pm$ 1.18 SD, 18 males and 6 females). The participants were young adults studying at the University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn who had graduated high school and had no regular working activity. Only healthy participants of Polish nationality without any history of mental or physical illness were involved. None were taking any medication that could influence the psychological or physiological indices. The participants received a short description of the research before the experiment with information on the details of the experiment and without informing them about the expected results. All participants' consent to participate in the experiment and their involvement was voluntary.

The participants were randomly divided into two groups, group A and group B (twelve persons in each). Group A first participated in the stimulation of an undisturbed forest and after 15 minutes of rest participated in the stimulation with the disturbed forest. Group B participated in this activity in the reverse order according to the crossover design (Table 1).

Experimental stimuli

During the experiment, each participant was involved in two kinds of stimulating activities (posttests) or non-stimulating activities (pretests) in a standing position. During these activities, talking and using electronic devices was not allowed. Also, the participants stood in a line and did not touch each other. While standing, small movements were allowed. Natural standing was appreciated and also sitting on the litter was allowed to simulate a more natural forest recreational experience. The participants were required to look exactly at the forest litter from one meter away or look at the open dump during the stimulation. While completing the questionnaires, participants were required to look only at their own paper questionnaire and looking around was not allowed. To measure the states of participants without stimulation in the experiment (stimulation was induced by a disturbed or undisturbed forest environment), black opaque eye patches were administered. The participants spend 15 minutes in each forest setting using the eye patches before the measurement. During, this time the participants did not view each forest environment, and so visual stimulation was not induced. The participants standing in the pretest were a few meters from the open dump to avoid being stimulated by the smell. After that time, the participants took off the patches, stepped closer to the environmental settings and viewed the undisturbed or disturbed forest environment for another 15 minutes to induce visual stimulation. The undisturbed (control) forest environment was a broad-leaved suburban forest in the vegetation stage located near the city of Olsztyn in northeast Poland. The composition of the matured tress (medium age: 90 years) was the following: white oak 80%, white lime 10%, and black alder 10% (Figure 1A). The disturbed forest environment (experimental variant) was located 50 meters from the control forest with a similar composition of tree species and ages. The disturbance in

the forest was an open dump with plastic bottles, glass bottles, cans and others small waste (Figure 1B) on the ground. In both forest environments, there was the presence of hornbeam seedlings in the undergrowth and old leaves on the litter. The season of the experiment was summer.

Procedure

Each participant was involved in the measurements four times: i) before viewing the undisturbed forest environment, ii) after viewing the undisturbed forest environment, iii) before viewing the disturbed forest environment, and iv) after viewing the forest environment. The order depended on whether they belonged to group A or group B (Table 1). The psychometric questionnaires were administered for participants after each time, and each time the participants filled in the following: Profile of Mood States (POMS), Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS), Restorative Outcome Scale (ROS), and Subjective Vitality Scale (SVS).

Table 1. Procedure followed during the experiment

Date	Group A (n = 12)		Group B (n = 12)	
2019/06/14	Time	Activity	Time	Activity
	10:00	Meeting at the gathering point	13:00	Meeting at the gathering point
	10:15	15 minute walk into the forest stand	13:15	15 minute walk into the forest stand
	10:30	15 minutes with eye-patches	13:30	15-minutes with eye-patches
	10:45	Filling in the questionnaires (pretest)	13:45	Filling in the questionnaires (pretest)
	11:00	Viewing the control forest	14:00	Viewing the forest with open waste
	11:15	Filling in the questionnaires (posttest)	14:15	Filling in the questionnaires (posttest)
	11:30	15 minutes with eye-patches	14:30	15 minutes with eye-patches
	11:45	Filling in the questionnaires (pretest)	14:45	Filling in the questionnaires (pretest)
	12:00	Viewing the forest with open waste	15:00	Viewing control forest
	12:15	Filling in the questionnaires (posttest)	15:15	Filling in the questionnaires (posttest)
	12:30	End of the experiment	15:30	End of the experiment

Measurements

In this research, four different psychological questionnaires were used. The Profile of Mood States is a commonly used questionnaire for measuring six different mood states: tension-anxiety, depression-dejection, anger-hostility, fatigue, confusion and vigor. The questionnaire is valid and commonly used [35]. In this research, the Polish version with 65 items using a 4-point Likert scale was applied. The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) is also a commonly used questionnaire that measures two kinds of emotional affect: positive and negative. This questionnaire, containing 20 items, is valid and reliable [36], and also the Polish version using a 5-point Likert scale was applied. The Restorative Outcome Scale measured the restorative effect of each environment. It contained six items, and the scale has been found to be valid and reliable [37]. The Subjective Vitality Scale contained four items that measured vitality, and the scale has been found to be valid and reliable [38]. For both these scales, the Polish version using a 7-point Likert scale was applied.

A previous study showed that all these questionnaires have moderate (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.794$) to high (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.921$) reliability in case of young Polish adults and might be used with adequate precision in this study [1].

During the experiment, the values describing the physical environment were measured. In the control forest environment and in the forest with the open dump, these values were recorded ten times for each environment using commercially available meteorological tools (temperature and humidity) and smartphone applications (illuminance and sound pressure).

Data and statistical analysis

The raw data from the questionnaires were used for statistical analysis. The data were expressed as the mean values $\pm$ standard deviation. Two-way repeated measures

ANOVAs were conducted for the analysis; and the effects of ‘time’, ‘conditon’ and the interaction ‘time × condition’ were analysed using the results for the POMS, PANAS, ROS and SVS scales. SigmaPlot 12.0 for Windows (Systat Software, Erkrath, Germany) was used for the ANOVA calculations. The SPSS 25 Software for Mac (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the t-test calculations.

The statistical power analysis was conducted using the free G*Power 3.1.9.4 software for Mac (Heinrich Hein University, Düsseldorf, Germany) [39]. The actual power ($1-\beta$ error probability) was calculated as 0.816. The ‘ANOVA: repeated measure, within factors’ statistical test was used, and a power analysis type of ‘Post hoc: Compute achieved power’ was applied with a sample size of 0.25 and an α probability error of 0.05. Usually, the power of proper prepared experiments is 0.8 or higher, and so the statistical power in this experiment is acceptable.

Results

Physical environment

Table 2 shows the results of the physical environment measurements for the control (forest without waste) and experimental (forest with waste) conditions. Only the relative humidity was significantly higher in the forest with waste than in the control forest. Other physical measurements (temperature, illuminance, and sound pressure) did not differ significantly considering these two conditions.

Table 2. Results of the t-test comparison between the control and experimental settings (physical environment).

Item	Control		Waste		<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>R</i>
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
Temperature (°C)	20,71	1,36	21,22	1,11	-0,737	0,47	0,907
Relative humidity (%)	73,6	2,22	77,5	3,14	-3,209	0,005	** 0,957
Illuminance (lux)	3367,30	296,39	3073,80	623,74	1,344	0,196	0,04
Sound pressure (dB)	35,84	3,09	37,05	1,682	-1,085	0,292	0,671

n = 10, ** p < 0.01

Profile of Mood States

A two-way repeated measures ANOVA of the POMS values was conducted, with condition and time as the two factors (Table 3). The results showed that interactions occurred in the case of the five subscales of the POMS: Tension-Anxiety, Depression-Dejection, Anger-Hostility, Fatigue, and Confusion. In the case of the Vigor subscale, there was no statistically significant interaction. Main effects were statistically significant for the five subscales of POMS except Vigor. The results of multiple comparisons tests showed that (Table 4) there were no differences between the control before and after viewing the forest stand (Pre vs. Post) for all six subscales of the POMS. There were also no differences between the measures before viewing the control forest and the forest with waste (Pre: Control vs. Waste) for all six subscales of POMS. Furthermore, a comparison of the results of the post-hoc tests for 'Waste: Pre vs. Post' showed that after viewing the forest with waste, the participants had significantly higher values of the five negative mood indicators with the exception being Vigor, indicator of a positive mood, which was non-significant. There were also differences after viewing the control forest and the forest with waste after the experimental stimuli (Post: Control vs. Waste). The five negative mood indicators had

234 significantly higher values after the stimuli with the forest with waste than that with
235 the forest except for the positive indicator ‘Vigor’ (non-significant).

236 **Table 3.** Results of two-way repeated measures ANOVA for the Profile of Mood

237 States (mood).

POMS	Main effect								Interaction			
	Condition		Control	vs. Waste	Time Pre vs. Post				Condition x time			
	F	P				η^2	F	p	η^2	F	P	η^2
Tension-Anxiety	62,13	<0,001	***	0,738	20,23	<0,001	***	0,479	18,39	<0,001	***	0,455
Depression-Dejection	36,40	<0,001	***	0,623	34,79	<0,001	***	0,613	25,94	<0,001	***	0,541
Anger-Hostility	12,62	0,002	**	0,365	31,38	<0,001	***	0,588	14,63	0,001	**	0,399
Vigor	3,84	0,063	-	0,148	3,19	0,088	-	0,127	4,29	0,050	-	0,163
Fatigue	12,30	0,002	**	0,359	17,61	<0,001	***	0,445	9,57	0,005	**	0,303
Confusion	68,18	<0,001	***	0,756	27,33	<0,001	***	0,554	46,07	<0,001	***	0,677

***p < 0.001 and **p < 0.01; two-way repeated measures ANOVA

238

239 **Table 4.** Results of the multiple comparison test between the control and

240 experimental (setting) and pre-post (exposure to viewing) for the Profile of Mood

241 States (mood).

POMS	Control						Waste					
	Pre		Post		Pre		Post					
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	P	
Tension-anxiety	0,95	0,59	0,96	0,51	1,000	-	0,95	0,51	1,86	0,78	<,0001	***
Depression-Dejection	0,82	0,53	0,92	0,45	0,673	-	0,85	0,56	1,59	0,78	<,0001	***
Anger-Hostility	1,08	0,51	1,16	0,47	1,000	-	1,05	0,35	1,73	0,71	0,000	***
Vigor	2,61	0,61	2,58	0,60	0,982	-	2,64	0,69	2,28	0,58	0,160	-
Fatigue	1,18	0,70	1,17	0,63	1,000	-	1,20	0,65	1,63	0,81	<,0001	***
Confusion	1,09	0,51	1,11	0,70	0,998	-	1,09	0,51	1,91	0,77	<,0001	***
	Pre						Post					
	Control		Waste				Control		Waste			
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	P	
Tension-anxiety	0,95	0,59	0,95	0,51	1,000	-	0,96	0,51	1,86	0,78	<,0001	***
Depression-Dejection	0,82	0,53	0,85	0,56	0,993	-	0,92	0,45	1,59	0,78	<,0001	***
Anger-Hostility	1,08	0,51	1,05	0,35	0,896	-	1,16	0,47	1,73	0,71	0,0016*	**
Vigor	2,61	0,61	2,64	0,69	0,998	-	2,58	0,60	2,28	0,58	0,302	-
Fatigue	1,18	0,70	1,20	0,65	0,996	-	1,17	0,63	1,63	0,81	<,0001	***
Confusion	1,09	0,51	1,09	0,51	1,000	-	1,11	0,70	1,91	0,77	<,0001	***

242 ***p < 0.001 and **p < 0.01; ANOVA-Tukey's HSD

Positive and Negative Affect Schedule

The two-way repeated measures ANOVA was conducted to compare the differences in the PANAS Negative and PANAS Positive scores and to analyze the interaction between the factors and main effects (Table 5). Significant interactions were observed for both the 'PANAS Positive' and 'PANAS Negative' scores, and also all main effects were significant. The results of Tukey's HSD comparisons showed (Table 6) that both PANAS indicators did not differ significantly before and after viewing the control forest environment (Control: Pre vs. Post) and before the experimental stimuli of the control forest or the forest with waste (Pre: Control vs. Waste). Also, this was true after viewing the forest environment with waste (Waste: Pre vs. Post). The positive aspect of PANAS decreased significantly, and the negative aspects of PANAS increased significantly. Furthermore, there were significant differences between the stimulation by the control forest and the forest with waste (Post: Control vs. Waste). The scores of the Positive aspect of PANAS were significantly lower after viewing the forest with waste, and the scores of the negative aspects of PANAS were significantly higher after the viewing forest with waste (both in comparison to the control).

Table 5. Results of two-way repeated measures ANOVA for Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (emotion).

PANAS	Main effect							Interaction				
	Condition		Control vs. Waste		Time Pre vs. Post			Condition x time				
	F	p			η^2	F	p	η^2	F	p	η^2	
Positive	10,90	0,003	**	0,331	10,22	0,004	**	0,317	15,86	0,001	**	0,419
Negative	53,45	<0,001	***	0,708	30,39	<0,001	***	0,580	45,83	<0,001	***	0,676

***p < 0.001 and **p < 0.01; two-way repeated measures ANOVA

Table 6. Results of a multiple comparison test between the control and experimental (setting) and pre-post (exposure to viewing forest) for the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (emotion).

PANAS	Control					Waste					
	Pre		Post		p	Pre		Post		p	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.		
Positive	3,41	0,32	3,42	0,42	0,999	3,43	0,34	2,98	0,47	<,0001	***
Negative	1,79	0,56	1,77	0,78	0,985	1,75	0,63	2,76	0,88	<,0001	***

	Pre					Post					
	Control		Waste		p	Control		Waste		p	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.		
Positive	3,41	0,32	3,43	0,34	0,999	3,42	0,42	2,98	0,47	0,002	**
Negative	1,79	0,56	1,75	0,63	0,985	1,77	0,78	2,76	0,88	<,0001	***

***p < 0.001 and **p < 0.01; ANOVA-Tukey's HSD

Restorative Outcome Scale

Two factors 'Conditon' and 'Time' were analyzed using a two-way repeated measures ANOVA to compare the changes in the ROS scores and to analyze the interaction between factors (Table 7). Both main effects and also the interaction were significant. The results of Tukey's HSD comparisons (Table 8) showed that ROS scores were not significantly different in both times in the control environment (Control: Pre vs. Post) and not significantly different before and after viewing the forest with waste (Waste: Pre vs. Post). In contrast, the ROS significantly decreased after viewing the forest with waste in comparison to the pre-test (Waste: Pre vs. Post) and significantly decreased after viewing the forest with waste in comparison to the control (Post: Control vs. Waste).

Table 7. Results of two-way repeated measures ANOVA for the Restorative Outcome Scale (subjective restorativeness).

ROS	Main effect							Interaction				
	Condition				Time			Condition x time				
	Control vs. Waste				Pre vs. Post							
	F	p	η^2		F	p	η^2		F	p	η^2	
	43,20	<0,001	***	0,663	9,68	0,005	**	0,306	38,49	<0,001	***	0,636

***p < 0.001 and **p < 0.01; two-way repeated measures ANOVA

Table 8. Results of the multiple comparison test between the control and experimental (setting) and pre-post (viewing forest without or with waste) for the Restorative Outcome Scale (subjective restorativeness).

Control						Waste					
Pre			Post			Pre			Post		
Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p	
5,22	1,39	5,49	1,24	0,581	-	5,08	1,32	3,53	0,69	<,0001	***
Pre						Post					
Control			Waste			Control			Waste		
Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p	
5,22	1,39	5,08	1,32	0,965	-	5,49	1,24	3,53	0,69	<,0001	***

***p < 0.001; ANOVA-Tukey's HSD

In the case of the SVS, a two-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to investigate subjective vitality treating 'Condition' and 'Time' as factors, and the interaction between these two factors was also assessed. The effects and interaction were significant (Table 9). The results of the multiple comparison Tukey's HSD test (Table 10) showed that in the control forest, there was a significant increase in SVS scores after viewing the forest environment (Control: Pre vs. Post). There was no difference between the control forest and the forest with waste in the pretest (Pre: Control vs. Waste). A significant decrease of the SVS scores was observed after comparing the

situation before viewing the forest with waste with that after viewing the forest with waste (Waste: Pre vs. Post); and when comparing the posttests in the control forest and in the forest with waste, the latter achieved significantly lower values (Post: Control vs. Waste).

Table 9. Results of the two-way repeated measures ANOVA for the Subjective Vitality Scale (subjective vitality).

SVS	Main effect							Interaction				
	Condition Control vs. Waste				Time Pre vs. Post			Condition x time				
	F	p		η^2	F	p		η^2	F	p		η^2
	30,23	<0,001	***	0,579	11,17	0,003	**	0,337	46,11	<0,001	***	0,677

***p < 0.001 and **p < 0.01; two-way repeated measures ANOVA

Table 10. Results of the multiple comparison test between the control and experimental (setting) and pre-post (exposure to viewing forest) for the Subjective Vitality Scale (subjective restorativeness).

Control					Waste				
Pre		Post			Pre		Post		
Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p
4,91	1,03	5,40	1,13	0,031 *	4,88	1,21	3,50	0,72	<,0001 ***
Pre					Post				
Control		Waste			Control		Waste		
Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	p
4,91	1,03	4,88	1,21	0,999 -	5,40	1,13	3,50	0,72	<,0001 ***

***p < 0.001 and * p < 0.05; ANOVA-Tukey's HSD

Discussion

Forest bathing is an experience that unquestionably positively influences the psychological relaxation of people [1,4–6,8,10,11,13,13,14,21,23,40–44,44,45]. Consistent with previous studies [28,29], this study confirmed that some factors might

interrupt this experience that is frequently called a restorative experience. Regarding all four scales used in the current research (POMS, PANAS, ROS, and SVS) and the ten total indicators of different psychological constructs, it might be concluded that each of them significantly reacts to the interruptive effect of an illegal open forest dump occurring in the forest environment. Negative indicators that are commonly described as signs of bad mental health, like Tension-Anxiety, Depression-Dejection, Anger-Hostility, Fatigue, Confusion, and the PANAS Negative, significantly increased after viewing the forest with waste compared to the pretest and control. In contrast, positive indicators describing good mental health, like Vigor, the PANAS Positive, the ROS, and the SVS, significantly decreased after exposure to the open dump. This is the evidence from the one case study in one forest stand and in one day; however, the study was conducted with a rigorous experimental procedure using a randomized, controlled crossover design. Based on this knowledge, it might be hypothesized that open dumps in a forest can lower the psychological relaxation of viewers and, therefore, this might influence their restorative experience during walks throughout the forest environment.

The rigorous usage of a crossover experiment and pretest without visual stimulation allowed us to deduce that viewing waste in the forest is enough to decrease the psychological relaxation of young adult visitors. The mechanism of these observations is hard to explain, and it is only known at this stage that some negative effects occurred and were observed in the results. There are two premises that might explain the occurrence of these effects. The first is the theory of identity with place or aspect, known as place identity [46]. According to that theory, participants might not definitively identify with open dumps, which lowers their restoration and increases the negative indicators. In a group of two hundred students, the authors prove [46]

that a local environment induced more psychological relaxation than a non-local one. The second theory is associated with the biophilia hypothesis. According to this hypothesis, humans are biophilic; thus, they have an essential predisposition to stay in nature since it makes them feel good and appropriate [47]. An open dump in the forest is the opposite of these environments, and so a decrease in psychological relaxation is observed in this environment. However, the occurrence of waste in the forest is an interrupting factor that might influence the restorative experience of forest visitors. The explanation of this phenomenon needs further rigorous research.

These relevancies are new since the available literature includes no information about experiments testing the influence of illegal open waste in a forest environment on the psychological relaxation of participants. Therefore, until this research, there is nothing known about this effect, but there are some examples in the literature of other experiments testing some interventions in the forest on participants. One example is testing the effect of the slight thinning of a managed coniferous forest on landscape appreciation and psychological restoration [45]. In this experiment, the results were ambiguous. ‘Fatigue’ significantly increased after viewing the forest after thinning ($p = 0.017$) but ‘Confusion’ decreased significantly ($p = 0.000$); the other results were not significant when comparing the two conditions. In other research, the age of a stand was a disturbing factor, and greater restorativeness occurred from a pristine forest stand with old age than a young forest [48]. In another study, researchers evaluated the usage of a computer in a natural environment as a disturbing factor, and concluded that the usage of this equipment reduced psychological relaxation [28]. Also, viewing a forest with a disturbing factor such as a building decreased psychological relaxation [29].

Another example is the assessment of the impact of viewing vs. not viewing a real forest on psychological responses [49]. In this research, the authors investigated the impact of tents with enclosed/open sheets as a moderator when viewing a forest stand. Viewing a forest significantly decreases Tension-Anxiety, Depression, Fatigue and Confusion ($p =$ from 0.031 to 0.001). In the current study, for participants viewing a forest after wearing opaque eye patches fifteen minutes before doing so, only one psychological indicator – the subjective vitality – was significantly increased. In another research conducted during the spring, other negative indicators decreased significantly. Also, most values of the negative psychological indicators did not decrease significantly in comparison to the control, but subjective vitality significantly increased after exposure to the forest environment [23]. The possible explanation of these results might be the disturbing factors of leaves and insects that occurred in the forest during the spring. Thus, significant changes in the case of only one from ten measured psychological indicators during forest bathing in the spring in North-East Poland are not disappointing because the results are almost consistent with previous studies.

It is also worth considering that factors that occur in a physical environment might influence the psychological relaxation of participants. Some environmental conditions were measured in this research, but only one – relative humidity – was significantly different in the forest with waste than in the control forest. The humidity is significantly, negatively correlated with mood disturbances ($r = -0.30$) [13], but in the current research, the humidity did not have a significant effect. Furthermore, it was not a deciding factor in lowering the effects of negative psychological indicators because the values of the relative humidity were higher in the case of the forest with

waste and increased negative values of the psychological response were still observable.

It was possible to stimulate participants' olfactory or odor senses, which were not controlled in this experiment, but visual stimulation is probably the most important. Nevertheless, the participants were standing (during pretest) at some distance from the waste, and thus the olfactory effect was eliminated. During the experiment, none of the participants reported experiencing the olfactory effect of open waste. It is also not known what the real effect of an open dump in the forest is during normal everyday experiences; thus, it needs further investigations to test this effect during walking in the forest.

Besides, it is important to recognize that people experienced some kind of negative mood while walking and recreating in the forest with open dumps, even if it in an experimental situation and not a real forest. Also, the influence on people that clean these areas with waste is interesting. Nevertheless, these questions are left for further investigations.

Conclusions

This study clarifies that the view of an open dump in a forest environment (in comparison to an undisturbed, control forest) might influence the psychological relaxation of respondents. What emerges is increased negative indicators of psychological relaxation and decreased positive indicators. In this study, it is also clarified that viewing the open dump in the forest environment has a negative effect on respondents, which is proved in a randomized, controlled crossover experiment. The explanation of this effect needs further investigations. Also, the effect of relaxation in a forest during spring was relatively small in these experiments. Only

subjective vitality was stimulated by the control forest environment. In contrast, the olfactory stimulation and humidity in the experiment did not influence the expected negative effect of open dumps.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Robert Rydzewski for great technical assistance in organizing the experiment.

References

1. Bielinis E, Lukowski A, Omelan A, Boiko S, Takayama N, Grebner DL. The effect of recreation in a snow-covered forest environment on the psychological wellbeing of young adults: Randomized controlled study. *Forests*. 2019;10: 1–17. doi:10.3390/f10100827
2. Korpela KM, Ylén M, Tyrväinen L, Silvennoinen H. Favorite green, waterside and urban environments, restorative experiences and perceived health in Finland. *Health Promot Int*. 2010;25: 200–209. doi:10.1093/heapro/daq007
3. Gołos P, Kaliszewski A. Ekonomiczne znaczenie wybranych nieдрzewnych pożytków leśnych w Polsce Economic importance of selected non – wood forest products in Poland. *Sylvan*. 2016;160: 336–343.
4. Hansen MM, Jones R, Tocchini K. Shinrin-yoku (Forest bathing) and nature therapy: A state-of-the-art review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14. doi:10.3390/ijerph14080851
5. Tsunetsugu Y, Park BJ, Miyazaki Y. Trends in research related to “shinrin-yoku” (taking in the forest atmosphere or forest bathing) in Japan. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2010;15: 27–37. doi:10.1007/s12199-009-0091-z

- 437 6. Hansen MM, Jones R, Tocchini K. Shinrin-Yoku (Forest Bathing) and Nature
438 Therapy: A State-of-the-Art Review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14:
439 851. doi:10.3390/ijerph14080851
- 440 7. Lee I, Choi H, Bang KS, Kim S, Song MK, Lee B. Effects of forest therapy on
441 depressive symptoms among adults: A systematic review. *Int J Environ Res*
442 *Public Health*. 2017;14. doi:10.3390/ijerph14030321
- 443 8. Lee J, Park BJ, Tsunetsugu Y, Ohira T, Kagawa T, Miyazaki Y. Effect of forest
444 bathing on physiological and psychological responses in young Japanese male
445 subjects. *Public Health*. 2011;125: 93–100. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2010.09.005
- 446 9. Tsunetsugu Y, Lee J, Park BJ, Tyrväinen L, Kagawa T, Miyazaki Y.
447 Physiological and psychological effects of viewing urban forest landscapes
448 assessed by multiple measurements. *Landsc Urban Plan*. 2013;113: 90–93.
449 doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2013.01.014
- 450 10. Morita E, Fukuda S, Nagano J, Hamajima N, Yamamoto H, Iwai Y, et al.
451 Psychological effects of forest environments on healthy adults: Shinrin-yoku
452 (forest-air bathing, walking) as a possible method of stress reduction. *Public*
453 *Health*. 2007;121: 54–63. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2006.05.024
- 454 11. Ochiai H, Ikei H, Song C, Kobayashi M, Takamatsu A, Miura T, et al.
455 Physiological and psychological effects of forest therapy on middle-aged males
456 with high-normal blood pressure. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015;12:
457 2532–2542. doi:10.3390/ijerph120302532
- 458 12. Ochiai H, Ikei H, Song C, Kobayashi M, Miura T, Kagawa T, et al.
459 Physiological and psychological effects of a forest therapy program on middle-
460 aged females. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015;12: 15222–15232.
461 doi:10.3390/ijerph121214984

- 462 13. Park BJ, Furuya K, Kasetani T, Takayama N, Kagawa T, Miyazaki Y.
463 Relationship between psychological responses and physical environments in
464 forest settings. *Landsc Urban Plan.* 2011;102: 24–32.
465 doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2011.03.005
- 466 14. Bielinis E, Takayama N, Boiko S, Omelan A, Bielinis L. The effect of winter
467 forest bathing on psychological relaxation of young Polish adults. *Urban For*
468 *Urban Green.* 2018;29. doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2017.12.006
- 469 15. Song C, Ikei H, Igarashi M, Takagaki M, Miyazaki Y. Physiological and
470 psychological effects of a walk in Urban parks in fall. *Int J Environ Res Public*
471 *Health.* 2015;12: 14216–14228. doi:10.3390/ijerph121114216
- 472 16. Shin WS, Shin CS, Yeoun PS. The influence of forest therapy camp on
473 depression in alcoholics. *Environ Health Prev Med.* 2012;17: 73–76.
474 doi:10.1007/s12199-011-0215-0
- 475 17. Chun MH, Chang MC, Lee SJ. The effects of forest therapy on depression and
476 anxiety in patients with chronic stroke. *Int J Neurosci.* 2017;127: 199–203.
477 doi:10.3109/00207454.2016.1170015
- 478 18. Poulsen DV, Stigsdotter UK, Djernis D, Sidenius U. ‘Everything just seems
479 much more right in nature’: How veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder
480 experience nature-based activities in a forest therapy garden. *Heal Psychol*
481 *Open.* 2016;3. doi:10.1177/2055102916637090
- 482 19. Kim M, Shim B, Wi A, Yoon B. 산림체험 프로그램이 정신과 입원환자의
483 심리 · 생리 상태에 미치는 영향 The Influence of Forest Experience Program
484 on Physiological and Psychological States in Psychiatric Inpatients. 2015;104.
- 485 20. Bielinis E, Jaroszewska A, Łukowski A. The Effects of a Forest Therapy
486 Programme on Mental Hospital Patients with Affective and Psychotic

- 487 Disorders. : 1–10.
- 488 21. Takayama N, Korpela K, Lee J, Morikawa T, Tsunetsugu Y, Park BJ, et al.
489 Emotional, restorative and vitalizing effects of forest and urban environments
490 at four sites in Japan. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2014;11: 7207–7230.
491 doi:10.3390/ijerph110707207
- 492 22. Takayama N, Morikawa T, Bielinis E. Relation between psychological
493 restorativeness and lifestyle, quality of life, resilience, and stress-coping in
494 forest settings. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16.
495 doi:10.3390/ijerph16081456
- 496 23. Bielinis E, Omelan A, Boiko S, Bielinis L. The restorative effect of staying in a
497 broad-leaved forest on healthy young adults in winter and spring. *Balt For*.
498 2018;24: 218–227.
- 499 24. Elsadek M, Liu B, Lian Z, Xie J. The influence of urban roadside trees and
500 their physical environment on stress relief measures: A field experiment in
501 Shanghai. *Urban For Urban Green*. 2019;42: 51–60.
502 doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2019.05.007
- 503 25. Agimass F, Lundhede T, Panduro TE, Jacobsen JB. The choice of forest site
504 for recreation: A revealed preference analysis using spatial data. *Ecosyst Serv*.
505 2018;31: 445–454. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2017.11.016
- 506 26. Stoltz J, Lundell Y, Skärbäck E, van den Bosch MA, Grahn P, Nordström EM,
507 et al. Planning for restorative forests: describing stress-reducing qualities of
508 forest stands using available forest stand data. *Eur J For Res*. 2016;135: 803–
509 813. doi:10.1007/s10342-016-0974-7
- 510 27. Skłodowski J, Golos P. Preferowany typ drzewostanu i czynniki decydujące o
511 atrakcyjności turystycznej drzewostanu w opinii społecznej. *Sylvan*. 2015;159.

- 512 28. Jiang B, Schmillen R, Sullivan WC. How to Waste a Break: Using Portable
513 Electronic Devices Substantially Counteracts Attention Enhancement Effects
514 of Green Spaces. *Environ Behav.* 2019;51: 1133–1160.
515 doi:10.1177/0013916518788603
- 516 29. Hauru K, Lehvavirta S, Korpela K, Kotze DJ. Closure of view to the urban
517 matrix has positive effects on perceived restorativeness in urban forests in
518 Helsinki, Finland. *Landsc Urban Plan.* 2012;107: 361–369.
519 doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.07.002
- 520 30. Lamasanu A, Mihai FC. The Illegal Dumping of Waste in Forest Areas -
521 Evidence from Rural Territory. *Proc Int Conf Integr Manag Environ Resour.*
522 2012; 46–50.
- 523 31. Tasaki T, Kawahata T, Osako M, Matsui Y, Takagishi S, Morita A, et al. A
524 GIS-based zoning of illegal dumping potential for efficient surveillance. *Waste*
525 *Manag.* 2007;27: 256–267. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2006.01.018
- 526 32. Jakiel M, Bernatek-Jakiel A, Gajda A, Filiks M, Pufelska M. Spatial and
527 temporal distribution of illegal dumping sites in the nature protected area: the
528 Ojców National Park, Poland. *J Environ Plan Manag.* 2019;62: 286–305.
529 doi:10.1080/09640568.2017.1412941
- 530 33. Nas SS, Bayram A. Municipal solid waste characteristics and management in
531 Gümüşhane, Turkey. *Waste Manag.* 2008;28: 2435–2442.
532 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2007.09.039
- 533 34. Konijnendijk CC. Adapting forestry to urban demands - Role of
534 communication in urban forestry in europe. *Landsc Urban Plan.* 2000;52: 89–
535 100. doi:10.1016/S0169-2046(00)00125-0
- 536 35. McNair DM, Lorr M, Droppleman LF. Profile of mood states: manual. EdITS;

- 1992.
36. Crawford JR, Henry JD. The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS): Construct validity, measurement properties and normative data in a large non-clinical sample. *Br J Clin Psychol.* 2004;43: 245–265.
37. Kalevi K, Matti Y, Liisa T, Silvennoinen H. Determinants of restorative experiences in everyday favorite places. *Heal Place.* 2008;14: 636–652.
38. Ryan RM, Frederick C. On Energy, Personality, and Health: Subjective Vitality as a Dynamic Reflection of Well-Being. *J Pers.* 1997;65: 529–565. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.1997.tb00326.x
39. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods.* 2007;39: 175–191. doi:10.3758/BF03193146
40. Bielinis E, Takayama N, Boiko S, Omelan A, Bielinis L. The effect of winter forest bathing on psychological relaxation of young Polish adults. *Urban For Urban Green.* 2018;29: 276–283. doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2017.12.006
41. Mao G, Cao Y, Wang B, Wang S, Chen Z, Wang J, et al. The salutary influence of forest bathing on elderly patients with chronic heart failure. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2017;14. doi:10.3390/ijerph14040368
42. Bielinis E, Takayama N, Boiko S, Omelan A, Bielinis L. The effect of winter forest bathing on psychological relaxation of young Polish adults. *Urban For Urban Green.* 2018;29: 276–283. doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2017.12.006
43. Lee J, Park BJ, Tsunetsugu Y, Ohira T, Kagawa T, Miyazaki Y. Effect of forest bathing on physiological and psychological responses in young Japanese male subjects. *Public Health.* 2011;125: 93–100. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2010.09.005
44. Saito H, Horiuchi M, Takayama N, Fujiwara A. Effects of managed forest

versus unmanaged forest on physiological restoration from a stress stimulus,
and the relationship with individual traits. *J For Res.* 2019;24: 77–85.
doi:10.1080/13416979.2019.1586300

45. Takayama N, Saito H, Fujiwara A, Horiuchi M. The effect of slight thinning of
managed coniferous forest on landscape appreciation and psychological
restoration. *Prog Earth Planet Sci.* 2017;4. doi:10.1186/s40645-017-0129-6

46. Menatti L, Subiza-Pérez M, Villalpando-Flores A, Vozmediano L, San Juan C.
Place attachment and identification as predictors of expected landscape
restorativeness. *J Environ Psychol.* 2019;63: 36–43.
doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2019.03.005

47. Kellert SR. The biophilia hypothesis. Island Press; 1995.

48. Simkin J, Ojala A, Tyrväinen L. Restorative effects of mature and young
commercial forests, pristine old-growth forest and urban recreation forest - A
field experiment. *Urban For Urban Green.* 2020;48: 126567.
doi:10.1016/j.ufug.2019.126567

49. Horiuchi M, Endo J, Takayama N, Murase K, Nishiyama N, Saito H, et al.
Impact of viewing vs. Not viewing a real forest on physiological and
psychological responses in the same setting. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.*
2014;11: 10883–10901. doi:10.3390/ijerph111010883

582 **Figure legend**

583 **Figure 1.** Photos showing the control forest (A) and the forest with open dump (B).

584

A

B

Figure