GMO LABELING IN FOOD PRODUCTS IN MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY

Arleo M.^{1,3}, Benavente P.², Cardozo V.², Katz A.², Vázquez S.², Da Silva Tavares A.²,
Legnani M.², Martínez Debat C.^{*1,3}

¹ *Laboratorio de Trazabilidad Molecular Alimentaria, Sección Bioquímica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República.*

² *Servicio de Regulación Alimentaria-Laboratorio de Bromatología, Intendencia de Montevideo.*

³ *Núcleo Interdisciplinario Colectivo TÁ, Espacio Interdisciplinario, Universidad de la República.*

Abstract

Montevideo establishes the mandatory labeling of foods containing genetically modified material through the Departmental Decree No. 36.554, positioning Uruguay within the 65 countries that have incorporated this type of regulation.

The Food Regulation Service, in its role of sanitary police, and through its Laboratory of Bromatology, in agreement with the Food Molecular Traceability Laboratory (Faculty of Sciences, University of the Republic), carried out the analysis of 206 products made with ingredients derived from corn and/or soybean, during the 2015-2017 period, within the framework of compliance with the aforementioned Decree.

The strategy used consisted of the application of molecular techniques (Real Time PCR), for the detection of common sequences present in the transgenic events of soybean and corn, and the subsequent quantification of the content of GM material, in relation to an established labeling threshold of 1%.

As a result of this study, it was found that 36.9% of the analyzed foods presented sequences derived from genetically modified plant organisms (GMOs); and in 95% of the cases, its content exceeded the threshold established for its labeling.

This study, constitutes the first approach to the knowledge of different transgenic elements distribution in food commercialized in Montevideo.

These results provide valuable information to both the consumer, for decision making about the food to be consumed, and also for the official control organizations, which must enforce the regulations.

This type of work has demonstrated, once again, the importance of the interrelation between academy and state agencies, in the generation of knowledge and in the implementation of new analytical methodologies, as well as in the training of qualified human resources and in the compliance with current regulations.

Keywords: GMOs, labeling, food legislation, consumer information, molecular techniques

1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), “genetic modified food” are those derived from organisms whose genetic material (DNA) has been modified in a way that does not occur naturally, for example, through the introduction of a gene from a different organism (WHO, 2015).

In order to preserve the right to choose the consumption of genetically modified foods, , more than 60 countries have enacted legal measures to regulate their labeling; however, the specific characteristics of these regulations differ considerably from one country to another (Abad R. et al, 2004; Hilbeck et al., 2015; Dizon et al., 2016). Certain countries require mandatory labeling of foods that contain, are, or are derived from Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) (European Commission, 2003a, European Commission 2003b) while others have chosen to promote voluntary labeling (Acosta et al., 2015; Bovay, et. al, 2016; Just Label It Campaign, 2017). Despite the differences in these provisions, there is a broad consensus that the general objective of labeling is to inform consumers (Kamle et al., 2013). Also, it is assumed that such labeling is not a substitute for risk assessment of genetically modified food safety, but it serves as an additional and complementary control, in the regulatory process (J. Žel et al., 2012).

Uruguay is the tenth country with the highest production of transgenic crops worldwide and the fourth in South America after Brazil, Argentina and Paraguay (ISAAA, 2017). Since its introduction in 2003, ten transgenic varieties of corn, and five of soy, with agronomic characteristics of insects resistance and/or herbicide tolerance have been authorized for cultivation and processing. Also, thirteen additional soy and/or maize stacked events, which show combined agronomic characteristics for insect (and/or abiotic stress) resistance and/or insecticide tolerance, are in evaluation stages (Table 1)(GNBio,2017).

Table 1. Transgenic varieties approved for cultivation, consumption and processing in Uruguay (2003-2017) (Taken from GNbio, 2017; Biosafety Clearing-House, 2017)

Species / Event	Agronomic characteristics	Status in Uruguay	Molecular Marker			
			35S	t-NOS	bar	FMV
<i>Glycine max</i> GTS 40-3-2	Glyphosate tolerance	Approved 2/10/1996	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> A2704-12 (LL)	Ammonium glufosinate tolerance	Approved 19/9/2012	YES	NO	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> A5547-127 (LL)	Ammonium glufosinate tolerance	Approved 19/9/2012	YES	NO	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> M0N89788XM0N87701 (RR2YBt)	Glyphosate tolerance / Resistance to lepidóptera	Approved 19/9/2012	NO	NO	NO	YES
<i>G. max</i> BPS-CV127-9	Ammonium glufosinate tolerance	Approved 29/10/2014	NO	NO	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> DAS44406-6	2,4D tolerance	In evaluation	NO	NO	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> MON89788XM0N87708	Glyphosate tolerance	In evaluation	NO	NO	NO	YES
<i>G. max</i> FG72	Glyphosate and HPPD inhibitor herbicides tolerance	In evaluation	NO	YES	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> FG72XA5547-127	Glyphosate, ammonium glufosinate and HPPD inhibitor herbicides tolerance	In evaluation	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> HB4-(PAT)	Abiotic stress (drought) tolerance	In evaluation	NO	YES	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> DAS44406-6XDAS81419-2	2,4D, glyphosate and ammonium glufosinate tolerance / Resistance to lepidoptera	In evaluation	NO	NO	NO	NO
<i>G. max</i> MON89788XM0N87701XM0N87708XM0N87751	Dicamba and glyphosate tolerance / Resistance to lepidóptera	In evaluation	NO	NO	NO	YES
<i>Zea mays</i> MON810	Resistance to lepidóptera	Approved 20/06/2003, 21/06/2011	YES	NO	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> BT11	Resistance to lepidoptera / Ammonium glufosinate tolerance	Approved 05/05/2004, 21/06/2011	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> GA21	Glyphosate tolerance	Approved 21/6/2011	NO	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> GA21XBT11	Glyphosate and ammonium glufosinate tolerance /Resistance to lepidoptera	Approved 21/6/2011	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> TC1507	Resistance to lepidoptera / Ammonium glufosinate tolerance	Approved 21/06/11, 20/10/11	YES	NO	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> NK603	Glyphosate tolerance	Approved 21/6/2011	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> M0N810XNK603	Glyphosate tolerance / Resistance to lepidóptera	Approved 21/6/2011	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> TC1507XNK603	Resistance to lepidoptera / Glyphosate and ammonium glufosinate tolerance	Approved 19/9/2012	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> BT11XMIR162XGA21 (*)	Resistance to lepidoptera / Glyphosate and ammonium glufosinate tolerance	Approved 21/9/2012	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> M0N89034XTC1507XNK603 (*)	Glyphosate and ammonium glufosinate tolerance / Resistance to lepidoptera	Approved 21/9/2012	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> M0N89034XM0N88017	Glyphosate tolerance /Resistance to lepidoptera and coleóptera	In evaluation	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> BT11XMIR162XMIR604XGA21	Glyphosate and ammonium glufosinate tolerance / Resistance to lepidoptera and coleóptera	In evaluation	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> MON89034XNK603XTC1507XDAS40278-9	2,4D, glyphosate and ammonium glufosinate tolerance / Resistance to lepidoptera	In evaluation	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> MON810XTC1507XNK603	Resistance to lepidoptera / Glyphosate and ammonium glufosinate tolerance	In evaluation	YES	YES	NO	NO
<i>Z. mays</i> T25	Ammonium glufosinate tolerance	In evaluation	YES	NO	NO	NO

Uruguay regulates the use of genetically modified (GM) plants and their parts through a National Decree enacted in 2008. It promotes actions aimed at the implementation of the voluntary labeling "GM" or "non-GM", applicable to those foods in which the presence of DNA or genetically modified proteins can be verified through final product analysis (Decree 353/2008, 2008). More recently, in 2015, Montevideo (the country's capital where more than 50% of the Uruguayan population lives) decreed mandatory labeling of all foods containing genetically modified organisms in a percentage higher than a threshold of 1%. (Decreets N° 34.901, 2013; N° 35.099, 2014; and N°36.554, 2018). While this requirement applies only in Montevideo, it has prompted other three (out of 19) Uruguayan Departments to approve similar Decreets, and also a Decree of national scope is under discussion in the Uruguayan Parliament (Anzalone P., 2016) Given that in Uruguay most of soybean and corn crops are transgenic (ISAAA, 2017) it is possible that food made from raw materials derived from these species, contain genetically modified material, and that their presence is found in percentages that exceed the 1% threshold set in the regulation. In this context of GMOs regulation in Uruguay, it is essential to have analytical tools that guarantee compliance with the current Decreets.

Numerous methods have been described to detect GMO-derived material in food, feed and seeds (Fernandez, S., et al, 2005; Žel, J. et al., 2008; Querci, M. et al., 2010; Van den Eede et al., 2011; European Network of GMO laboratories (ENGL) 2011; Bonfini et al, 2012; Fraiture, M. A. et al., 2015), however, the most used methodology for this purpose is based on the detection of transgenic DNA using the Real Time PCR technique. (Van den Bulcke, M. et al., 2010; Barbau-Piednoir E et al., 2010; Cottenet, G. et al., 2013; Wu, Y., et al 2014; Huber, I. et al., 2013; Barbau-Piednoir E. et al., 2014; Bhoge, R. K. et al., 2016). Most studies in the available literature focuses on the design and development of these methods, but only a few study the GMO content in food found in the market (Cardarelli et.al, 2005; Viljoen, C. D., 2006; Prins, T. W., et al, 2016; Elsanhoty et al., 2013).

The strategy for detecting and quantifying GMOs in food consists of several steps, as described in international standards ISO 24276: 2006, ISO 21569: 2005, ISO 21570: 2005, ISO 21571. First, DNA present in food is extracted; secondly, common DNA sequences shared by most genetically modified plants are screened; in the case of a positive result, quantification of GMO content is performed.

The present study describes the use of molecular methods, based on Real Time PCR, for the detection, identification and quantification of genetically modified DNA sequences in foods manufactured from corn and soybean derived ingredients, sampled in Montevideo Department, between 2015 and 2017. This study was carried out within

the framework of a collaboration and technological transfer Legal Agreement between the Official Service of Food Regulation of the Montevideo Municipality (Laboratory of Bromatology) and the Academy (Food Molecular Traceability Laboratory, Faculty of Sciences, University of the Republic).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sampling

Between 2015 and 2017, the regulatory agency (Laboratory of Bromatology of the Municipality of Montevideo) conducted the sampling of different foods made with ingredients derived from corn or soybean (according to information declared by the manufacturer), from different commercial stores in the capital. These were chosen taking into account that in Uruguay only some transgenic lines of maize and soya species are authorized for consumption and processing (GNBio, 2017), so that only these two probably GM species should be present in commercialized foods.

Products containing both species were not included in this study, due to technical reasons. Products from different provenances, national and imported, were sampled, including those marketed by small producers and by multinational companies, provided that they did not present the label required by Decree 36.554.

Product sampling was done according to the strategy established in the international standard ISO 21568 for the detection of GMOs in foodstuff (ISO 21568, 2005).

2.2 DNA Purification

Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 milligrams of homogenized material obtained from the processing of five units of each sample. Commercial kits, validated for use in complex food matrices, and following the manufacturer's specifications, were used. Foods with soy ingredients were processed using the *SureFood® PREP Advanced* kit (R-BIOPHARM), while foods made with corn were processed using the *foodproof GMO extraction* kit (BIOTECON Diagnostics). The obtained DNA was quantified by spectrophotometry using the NanoDrop 1000 micro-volume spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA).

2.3 Detection of transgenic DNA sequences

Real Time PCR technique was used to detect both the presence of plant DNA and four transgenic DNA markers: the 35S promoter sequence from Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV); the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* terminator *nos* from nopaline-synthase

enzyme (*t-NOS*); the *Scrophularia* mosaic virus promoter (*FMV*); and the bar gene that codes phosphinothricin acetyltransferase enzyme (*pat*), from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus*. These markers are found in a wide variety of genetically modified corn and soybean lines released worldwide (ISAAA, 2017, Biosafety Clearing-House 2017), as well as in those authorized for consumption and processing in Uruguay. (GNbio, 2017) (Table 1).

DNA amplification was carried out using commercial kits: *foodproof® GMO Screening Kit* (35S, *t-NOS*, *bar*, *FMV*) (BIOTECON Diagnostics) and *SureFood® GMO SCREEN 4plex 35S/NOS/FMV/IAC* (R-Biopharm), following manufacturers' specifications. All reactions were performed using the ABI 7500 PCR System thermocycler from Applied Biosystems.

2.4 Quantification of corn and soybean GM DNA.

For the quantification of DNA from GM maize, the commercial kit *foodproof® GMO 35S Maize Quantification Kit* (BIOTECON Diagnostics) was used, while for the quantification of GM soybean, the commercial kit *SureFood GMO Quant RR Soya* (R-BIOPHARM) was used. All amplifications were performed using the ABI 7500 PCR System thermocycler from Applied Biosystems.

2.5 Statistical analysis

To compare the results of the tests, Fisher's exact test was used, under the null hypothesis that there are no significant differences between the variables (Fisher, R.A, 1954). In all cases, a probability level of $p=0.05$ was used to accept or reject the hypothesis. All statistical analysis were performed using online GraphPad program (<http://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/contingency1/>)

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Sampling of food coming from corn or soybean.

A total of 206 food products ($n = 206$) made with ingredients derived from either corn ($n = 128$) or soybean ($n = 78$), were sampled for this study.

Sampled products were classified into two categories according to the degree of food processing: natural or minimally processed foods (processed, $n = 72$), and ultra-processed products (ultra-processed, $n = 134$), the latter group being understood as consisting of those foods that have gone through multiple processes of

industrialization, and containing high amounts of additives, preservatives, stabilizers, and flavorings (Ministry of Public Health, 2016). (Table 2)

Tabla 2. Food analyzed in the 2015-2017 period. They were categorized based on product type (processed and ultra-processed foods), and according to the content of the vegetable species: corn or soybean, as stated in the market labeling.

Food categories	Made with corn	Made with soybean	Total
Processed	n=62	n=10	n= 72
Natural or canned grains	39	6	45
Flours	17	-	17
Starches	6	-	6
Vegetal proteins	-	4	4
Ultra-processed	n=66	n=68	n= 134
Cookies	12	29	41
CopSnacks	17	10	27
Deli Meats	-	23	23
Cereals	22	-	22
Sauces and juices	7	6	13
Dry pasta	8	-	8

3.2 GM sequences detection

The results of this study reveal that various foods made with ingredients derived from soybeans and corn, have DNA sequences from plant genetically modified organisms. These sequences were found in 36.9% of the foods analyzed in this study (76/206), being found in 29.7% of foods prepared with corn (38/128), and in 48.7% of products made with soybean (38/78), with a statistically significant difference between the two matrices ($p=0.0074$) (Table 3).

On the other hand, the frequencies of presence of GM sequences in the processed and ultra-processed products were similar, without significant differences ($p=0.3637$) (Table 3), unlike that reported in other related study. Gonzales and collaborators, found a lower GM maize presence in Mexican Artisan products, in comparison to Industrial Tortillas. This difference between the studies could be due to a difference in the sample universe, and/or also in the type and number of samples taken in both cases (Gonzales et al, 2017).

Among processed products derived from corn, starch showed a presence of 100% transgenes (6/6), vegetable protein 80% (8/10), and corn flour 76.5% (13/17). The natural grains showed a presence of GM sequences of 7.7% (3/39). Among the ultra processed products, the deli meat products (*chacinados*) showed a frequency of transgene presence 60.9% (14/23), whereas snacks 48.1% (13/27). Dry pasta showed no presence of GM sequences (0/8).

Table 3. Results of the analysis of transgenic sequences detection in foods made with ingredients derived from corn or soybeans. "GM": positive samples for the presence of transgenic sequences. "Non-GM": negative samples for the presence of transgenic sequences. "> 1%": content of GM material greater than 1%. "<1%": content of GM material less than 1%.

Food categories	n	GM		non-GM		>1%		<1%	
Corn derived	128	38	(29,7%)	90	(70,3%)	32	(84,2%)	6	(15,8%)
Soybean derived	78	38	(48,7%)	40	(51,3%)	34	(89,5%)	4	(10,5%)
Processed	72	30	(41,7%)	42	(58,3%)	26	(86,7%)	4	(13,3%)
Grains	39	3	(7,7%)	36	(92,3%)	2	(66,7%)	1	(33,3%)
Flours	17	13	(76,5%)	4	(23,5%)	12	(92,3%)	1	(7,7%)
Starches	6	6	(100%)	0	(0,0%)	5	(83,3%)	1	(16,7%)
Vegetal proteins	10	8	(80,0%)	2	(20,0%)	7	(87,5%)	1	(12,5%)
Ultra-processed	134	46	(34,3%)	88	(65,7%)	40	(86,9%)	6	(13,0%)
Cookies	41	13	(31,7%)	28	(68,3%)	13	(100%)	0	(0,0%)
Snacks	27	13	(48,1%)	14	(51,9%)	10	(76,9%)	3	(23,1%)
Deli Meat (<i>chacinados</i>)	23	14	(60,9%)	9	(39,1%)	12	(85,7%)	2	(14,3%)
Cereals	22	4	(18,2%)	18	(81,8%)	3	(75,0%)	1	(25,0%)
Sauces and juices	13	2	(15,4%)	11	(84,6%)	2	(100%)	0	(0,0%)
Dry pasta	8	0	(0,0%)	8	(100%)	0	(0,0%)	0	(0,0%)
TOTAL	206	76	(36,9%)	130	(63,1%)	66	(86,8%)	10	(13,1%)

It was also observed that the 35S promoter sequence was present in all the samples that were positive for the detection test of GM material (76/76), while the t-NOS marker was found in 94.7% of them (72/76) (Table 4). Furthermore, the FMV promoter sequence was found in 51.3% of GM positive samples (39/76), showing a higher frequency in the products made with soybean when compared to those made with corn (65.8% versus 36.8% in corn products). The sequence of the bar gene was not present in any of the analyzed products (Table 4).

Table 4. Transgenic sequences in processed and ultra-processed foods made with ingredients derived from corn or soybeans.

Food categories	GM	p35S	t-NOS	FMV	bar
Corn	38	38 (100%)	37 (97.4%)	14 (36.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Soybean	38	38 (100%)	35 (92.1%)	25 (65.8%)	NA NA
Processed	30	30 (100%)	29 (96.6%)	11 (36.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Ultra- processed	46	46 (100%)	43 (93.5%)	28 (60.9%)	0 (0.0%)
TOTAL	76	76 (100%)	72 (94.7%)	39 (51.3%)	0 (0.0%)

Of all products tested, only two (2/76) showed a single transgenic element, this being the p35S. In no case was the unique presence of *t-NOS* or *FMV* sequences observed. At least two or three of these elements were present in 94.7% (72/76) of the samples. The sequences *p35S* and *t-NOS* were both present in 46.0% (35/76) of the GM positive samples, and the combination *p35S*, *t-NOS* and *FMV* was found in 48.7% (37/76) of them (not shown).

The high frequency of appearance of 35S promoter and NOS terminator sequences in the analyzed samples, is in agreement with those described in the available bibliography, where it is described that both sequences are present in more than 80% of the transgenic events released and authorized for consumption, worldwide (ISAAA, 2017; Biosafety Clearing-House 2017). It should be noted that in Uruguay (and also in Southamerica), the transgenic varieties that are grown to a greater extent, (Bt11 and NK603 corn events, and GTS-40-3-2 soybean event) contain these two sequences in their genic construction (INASE, 2017). It was also observed that two of the analyzed products (a ground maize and a certain variety of sweet cookies) presented the 35S promoter as the only transgenic sequence, which could be explained by the presence of some GM variety that does not contain the NOS terminator, such as the Mon810 corn event, also grown in our country and in the region (GNBio, 2017). Furthermore, the *FMV* promoter sequence was found in 51.3% of cases, always with *p35S* and *t-NOS* sequences. This genetic element is usually found in a few soybean events, for example in the MON89788 variety (RR2Y, authorized in Uruguay for production of export seeds), in the variety MON89788XMIN87701 (RR2YBt, authorized for consumption), and also in the MON87705 variety, not yet authorized in our country. Also, this element is found in a single variety of corn, MON89034, which in Uruguay is authorized for consumption in the form of the MON89034XTC1507XNK603 stacked event. It should be noted that the sequence of the *bar* gene was not present in any of the analyzed products. This result is consistent with the fact that to date, in the world, only 7 corn and 2 soybean events have been authorized that contain this transgene, and they are grown in the United States, Japan and the Philippines. Only one of these events, the Bt176 maize variety is commercially authorized in Argentina (Biosafety Clearing-House 2017). The low frequency of the *bar* gene presence, and that of Bt176 event in mass consumption foods made from corn has already been reported in similar studies (Dinon et al, 2010; Gonzales Ortega et al, 2017).

3.4 GMO Quantification

The quantification analysis of genetically modified material revealed that 86.8% (66/76) of the foods that were positive for detection of transgene sequences presented percentages greater than 1%, 84.2% of foods made from corn, and 89.5% of foods made from soy were found in this situation. Only 13.1% of the products that presented transgenic sequences (10/76), showed a content of GM material below this threshold. Among these, 4 were processed products and 6 were ultra-processed (Table 3).

The presence of GM material below the labeling threshold could be explained either by the use of different non-GM and GM ingredients that result in a final blend with low levels of GM material, or by unintentional contamination in the production chain. The latter could be the consequence of transgene flow in crop production, contamination of the seeds during transportation, or during ingredients processing in the manufacturing plant (Aung et al, 2014).

It is important to note that although the legislation on the labeling of foods containing or derived from GMOs is in force in Montevideo since 2013, only a small fraction of foods made from corn and soybeans were found labeled, and these were not included in this study.

On the other hand, several products, national and imported, were observed with the legend "Non-transgenic certificate", "GMO free" or "Non GMO". This type of labeling is regulated in some countries such as the United States, where voluntary labeling is allowed for the presence and absence of GMOs (Albert, J. 2010; Just Label It, 2017). However, in Uruguay, unlike its capital Montevideo, there is still no regulation that contemplates or requires this distinction in the products. Among the foods labeled as "Non GMO", there is a soy sauce, two cereal mixes, a deli meats and a vegetable protein. Analysis of these products revealed the presence of transgenes in two of these samples.

4. Conclusions

This study evidenced the presence of sequences derived from genetically modified plant organisms in 36.9% of the foods analyzed, marketed in Montevideo. In addition, 95% of these foods showed a content exceeding the threshold percentage set for labeling GM material

These results allow to affirm that there is a greater presence of GM material in products that contain soybean compared to those that contain corn. Likewise, it was found that

the contents of GM material between the processed and ultra-processed products were similar.

To date this study, constitutes the first approach to the knowledge of the distribution of different transgenic elements in certain products that are commercialized in Montevideo. This type of study highlights the need for policies regarding the labeling of foods derived from GMOs, in order to guarantee the consumer's right to know. Similarly, it provides objective information on the composition of foods, and enhances the importance of creating an effective regulatory system for monitoring and controlling this type of foods.

It would be interesting in the future to search for not yet authorized corn and soybean events in Uruguay, as well as analyzing new transgenic varieties of other plant species likely to be present in food. Although several uruguayan Departments have already chosen to incorporate the labeling of GM material in food, it would be possible to consider the formulation of a law with national scope.

5. References

- Abad R., Concepción A., Franco A., Sánchez R., & Rosales J. (2004). Organismos Modificados Genéticamente: Trazabilidad y Etiquetado. *ULLED Biotecnología*
- Acosta, L., and Law Library of Congress. (2015). "Legal Report on the Restrictions on Genetically Modified Organisms: United States." Washington, DC: The Law Library of Congress; 2015. Available online at <http://www.loc.gov/law/help/restrictions-on-gmos/usa.php>. Accessed 25/04/2018
- Albert, J. (2010). New technologies and food labelling: the controversy over labelling of foods derived from genetically modified crops. *Innovations in food labelling*, 153-167.
- ISAAA. (2016). Global Status of Commercialized Biotech/GM Crops: 2016. ISAAA Brief No. 52. ISAAA: Ithaca, NY.
- Anzalone P. (2016). Etiquetado de alimentos transgénicos: un derecho del consumidor. *Revista Carne y Alimentos*. Available online at <https://cuadernosdeltaller.com/2015/08/06/etiquetado-de-alimentos-transgenicos-un-derecho-del-consumidor/>. Accessed 25/04/2018
- Aung, M.M., & Chang, Y.S., (2014). Traceability in a food supply chain: Safety and quality perspectives. *Food control*, 39, 172-184.
- Barbau-Piednoir, E., Lievens, A., Mbongolo-Mbella, G., Roosens, N., Sneyers, M., Leunda-Casi A., (2010). SYBR®Green qPCR screening methods for the presence of "35S promoter" and "NOS terminator" elements in food and feed products. *Eur Food Res Technol* 230(3): 383–393
- Barbau-Piednoir, E., Stragier, P., Roosens, N., Mazzara, M., Savini, C., Van den Eede, G., & Van den Bulcke, M. (2014). Inter-laboratory testing of GMO detection by combinatory SYBR® green PCR screening (CoSYPS). *Food Analytical Methods*, 7(8), 1719-1728.

Bhoge, R. K., Chhabra, R., Singh, M., Sathiyabama, M., & Randhawa, G. (2016). Multiplex real-time PCR-based detection and quantification of genetically modified maize events employing SYBR® Green I and TaqMan® chemistries. *Current Science* (00113891), 110(8).

Biosafety Clearing-House (2017). Available online at <https://bch.cbd.int/>. Accessed 25/04/2018

Bonfini, L., Van den Bulcke, M. H., Mazzara, M., Ben, E., & Patak, A., (2012). GMOMETHODS: The European Union database of reference methods for GMO analysis. *Journal of AOAC International*, 95(6), 1713-1719. Available online at <http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/gmomethods/> Accessed 25/04/2018

Bovay, J., & Alston, J. M., (2016). GM Labeling Regulation by Plebiscite: Analysis of Voting on Proposition 37 in California. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 41(2), 161-188.

Cardarelli, P., Branquinho, M. R., Ferreira, R. T., da Cruz, F.P., & Gemal, A.L. (2005). Detection of GMO in food products in Brazil: the INCQS experience. *Food control*, 16(10), 859-866.

Cottenet, G., Blancpain, C., Sonnard, V., & Chuah, P. F. (2013). Development and validation of a multiplex real-time PCR method to simultaneously detect 47 targets for the identification of genetically modified organisms. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 405(21), 6831-6844.

Decreto 353/008 (2008). "Normas relativas a Bioseguridad de vegetales y sus partes genéticamente modificadas". *Diario Oficial* N° 27.534 - Julio 28 de 2008.

Decreto Municipal N°36.554 (2018) Junta Departamental de Montevideo. Resolución N° 5825/13. Intendencia de Montevideo, Uruguay. Resolución N°722/18 Available online at <http://www.montevideo.gub.uy/aplicacion/resolucion?parametro=722-18&alto=8100>. Accessed 2/5/2018

Decreto Municipal N° 34.901 (2013). Alimentos que contienen organismos genéticamente modificados. Junta Departamental de Montevideo. Resolución N° 5825/13. Intendencia de Montevideo, Uruguay. Available online at <http://www.juntamvd.gub.uy/es.php/archivos.php/decretos/8992-34901.htm>. Accessed 25/04/2018

Decreto Municipal N° 35.099 (2014). Alimentos que contienen organismos genéticamente modificados. Junta Departamental de Montevideo. Resolución N° 2416/14 Intendencia de Montevideo, Uruguay. Available online at <http://imnube.montevideo.gub.uy/share/s/flXSUmSQQ2iJv49Hpah5nA>. Accessed 25/04/2018

Dinon, A. Z., Bosco, K. T., & Arisi, A. C. M. (2010). Monitoring of Bt11 and Bt176 genetically modified maize in food sold commercially in Brazil from 2005 to 2007. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 90(9), 1566-1569.

Dizon, F., Costa, S., Rock, C., Harris, A., Husk, C., & Mei, J. (2016). Genetically modified (GM) foods and ethical eating. *Journal of food Science*, 81(2).

Elsanhoty, R. M., Al-Turki, A. I., & Ramadan, M. F. (2013). Prevalence of genetically modified rice, maize, and soy in Saudi food products. *Applied biochemistry and biotechnology*, 171(4), 883-899.

European Commission (2003). No 1830/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 concerning the traceability and labelling of genetically modified organisms and the traceability of food and feed products produced from genetically modified organisms and amending Directive 2001/18/EC. Official Journal, 50, 268.

European Commission (2005b) Definition of minimum performance requirements for analytical methods of GMO testing. Guidance documents on the website of the Community Reference Laboratory. 2005. 29-10-2007. Available online at the CRLGMFF website <http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/>. Accessed 25/04/2018

European Network of GMO laboratories (ENGL) (2011). Verification of analytical methods for GMO testing when implementing interlaboratory validated methods. *EUR – Scientific and Technical Research series – ISSN 1831-9424*, 23 pp.

Fernandez, S., Charles-Delobel, C., Geldreich, A., Berthier, G., Boyer, F., Collonnier, C., & Romaniuk, M. (2005). Quantification of the 35S promoter in DNA extracts from genetically modified organisms using real-time polymerase chain reaction and specificity assessment on various genetically modified organisms, part I: operating procedure. *Journal of AOAC International*, 88(2), 547-557.

Fisher, R.A. (1954). *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*. Oliver and Boyd. ISBN 0-05-002170-2.

Fraiture, M. A., Herman, P., Taverniers, I., De Loose, M., Deforce, D., & Roosens, N. H. (2015). Current and new approaches in GMO detection: challenges and solutions. *BioMed research international*, 2015.

Gabinete Nacional de Bioseguridad (GNBio), Ministrerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca. Available online at <http://www.mgap.gub.uy/unidad-organizativa/direccion-general-de-control-de-la-inocuidad-alimentario/bioseguirad/GNBio>. Accessed 25/04/2018

González-Ortega, E., Piñeyro-Nelson, A., Gómez-Hernández, E., Monterrubio-Vázquez, E., Arleo, M., Dávila-Velderrain, J., & Álvarez-Buylla, R. E. (2017). Pervasive presence of transgenes and glyphosate in maize-derived food in Mexico. *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, (just-accepted).

Hilbeck, A., Binimelis, R., Defarge, N., Steinbrecher, R., Székács, A., Wickson, F. & Novotny, E. (2015). No scientific consensus on GMO safety. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 27(1), 4.

Huber, I., Block, A., Sebah, D., Debode, F., Morisset, D., Grohmann, L., & Busch, U. (2013). Development and validation of duplex, triplex, and pentaplex real-time PCR screening assays for the detection of genetically modified organisms in food and feed. *Journal of Agricultural and food chemistry*, 61(43), 10293-10301.

Instituto Nacional de Semillas (INASE) (2017) Available online at the <http://www.inase.org.uy/>. Accessed 25/04/2018

ISO 21568 (2005). Foodstuffs — Methods of analysis for the detection of genetically modified organisms and derived products — Sampling.

ISO 21569 (2005). Foodstuffs — Methods of analysis for the detection of genetically modified organisms and derived products — Qualitative nucleic acid based methods

ISO 21570 (2005). Foodstuffs — Methods of analysis for the detection of genetically modified organisms and derived products — Quantitative nucleic acid based methods

ISO 24276 (2006). Foodstuffs — Methods for the detection of genetically modified organisms and derived products — General requirements and definitions

Just Label It Campaign (2017). Labeling around the World. Available online at <http://www.justlabelit.org/right-to-know-center/labeling-around-the-world/>. Accessed 25/04/2018

Kamle, S., & Ali, S. (2013). Genetically modified crops: detection strategies and biosafety issues. *Gene*, 522(2), 123-132.

Ministry of Public Health. (2016). Healthy Eating Guide. Available online at http://www.msp.gub.uy/sites/default/files/archivos_adjuntos/MS_guia_web.pdf. Accessed 25/04/2018

Prins, T. W., Scholtens, I. M., Bak, A. W., Van Dijk, J. P., Voorhuijzen, M. M., Laurensse, E. J., & Kok, E. J. (2016). A case study to determine the geographical origin of unknown GM papaya in routine food sample analysis, followed by identification of papaya events 16-0-1 and 18-2-4. *Food chemistry*, 213, 536-544.

Querci, M., Van den Bulcke, M., Žel, J., Van den Eede, G., & Broll, H. (2010). New approaches in GMO detection. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 396(6), 1991-2002.

Viljoen, C. D., Dajee, B. K., & Botha, G. M. (2006). Detection of GMO in food products in South Africa: Implications of GMO labelling. *African journal of biotechnology*, 5(2), 73-82.

Van den Bulcke, M., Lievens, A., Barbau-Piednoir, E., MbongoloMbella, G., Roosens, N., Sneyers, M., & Casi, A. L. (2010). A theoretical introduction to “Combinatory SYBR® Green qPCR Screening”, a matrix-based approach for the detection of materials derived from genetically modified plants. *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, 396(6), 2113-2123.

Van den Eede, G., Bonfini, L., Cengia, L., Iannini, C., Kluga, L., & Mazzara, M. (2011). Compendium of reference methods for GMO analyses. *Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, Luxembourg*. Available online at <http://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/111111111/15068>. Accessed 25/04/2018

World Health Organization. (2015). Frequently asked questions on genetically modified foods. Available online at http://www.who.int/foodsafety/areas_work/food-technology/faq-genetically-modified-food/en/. Accessed 25/04/2018

Wu, Y., Wang, Y., Li, J., Li, W., Zhang, L., Li, Y., & Wu, G. (2014). Development of a general method for detection and quantification of the P35S promoter based on assessment of existing methods. *Scientific reports*, 4, 7358.

Žel, J., Mazzara, M., Savini, C., Cordeil, S., Camloh, M., Štebih, D., & Van den Eede, G. (2008). Method validation and quality management in the flexible scope of accreditation: an example of laboratories testing for genetically modified organisms. *Food Analytical Methods*, 1(2), 61-72.

Žel, J., Milavec, M., Morisset, D., Plan, D., Van den Eede, G., & Gruden, K. (2012). How to reliably test for GMOs. In *How to Reliably Test for GMOs* (pp. 1-95). Springer US.

Supplementary Material

Table I. Food made from soybeans or corn, domestic and imported. Specific brands are omitted, and local typical names are shown in italics. Results of detection of: *p35*: CaMV 35S promoter; *t-NOS*: Nos terminator; *FMV*: *Scrophularia* mosaic virus promoter; *bar*: gene that codes phosphinothricin acetyltransferase enzyme from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus*. NA: Not assayed. NL: No GMO labeling

Muestra	Product type (in label)	Category	Species	Country of origin	GM Screening	<i>p35s</i>	<i>t-NOS</i>	<i>FMV</i>	<i>bar</i>	Quantification	Labeling
1	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	-	-	-	> 1%	NL
2	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
3	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
4	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
5	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
6	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
7	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
8	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
9	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
10	Polenta corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
11	Instant biological polenta	Processed	Corn	ITALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
12	Precooked corn flour for polenta	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	<1%	NL
13	Corn flour to prepare polenta	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
14	Corn flour to prepare polenta	Processed	Corn	ITALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
15	Precooked corn flour with cheese	Processed	Corn	ITALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
16	Corn flour	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
17	Corn flour to prepare polenta	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
18	Cornstarch	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
19	Cornstarch	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
20	Cornstarch	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
21	Gluten-free Cornstarch	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
22	Cornstarch	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
23	Wheat semolina	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	<1%	NL
24	Yellow shelled corn	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
25	Natural grain corn	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
26	Sweet corn grain without added salt	Processed	Corn	EEUU	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
27	Whole yellow corn kernels	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
28	Whole yellow corn kernels	Processed	Corn	TAILANDIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL

29	Whole yellow corn kernels	Processed	Corn	FRANCIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
30	Corn kernels natural	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
31	Corn kernels natural	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
32	Whole grain corn	Processed	Corn	CHINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
33	Sweet corn in vacuum packed grains	Processed	Corn	FRANCIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
34	Creamy yellow corn	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
35	Steamed sweet corn	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
36	Shelled sweet corn	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
37	Natural sweet corn	Processed	Corn	FRANCIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
38	Corn, carrots and peas	Processed	Corn	CHINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
39	Mixed vegetables	Processed	Corn	EEUU	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
40	Jardiniere of vegetables and legumes	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
41	Corn and peas	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
42	Canned peas and corn	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
43	Peas and corn	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
44	Corn cream	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
45	Corn cream	Processed	Corn	TAILANDIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
46	Corn kernels natural	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
47	Corn kernels natural	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
48	Corn kernels natural	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
49	Rehydrated peas and corn grain	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
50	Whole grain corn	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
51	Corn kernels natural	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
52	Jardiniere of vegetables and legumes	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	-	-	<1%	NL
53	<i>Pisingallo</i> corn	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
54	Trod corn to make porridge	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
55	Corn pisingallo to make popcorn	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
56	Caramelized Popcorn	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
57	Sweet popcorn	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
58	<i>Pisingallo</i> corn	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
59	<i>Pisingallo</i> corn	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
60	Scarlet <i>mazamorra</i>	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
61	Mixture to prepare popcorn	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
62	Popcorn Corn	Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
63	Corn gluten-free pasta	Ultra-Processed	Corn	RUMANIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
64	Corn gluten-free pasta	Ultra-Processed	Corn	RUMANIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
65	Corn gluten-free pasta	Ultra-Processed	Corn	AUSTRALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
66	Corn gluten-free pasta	Ultra-Processed	Corn	RUMANIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL

67	White and yellow corn paste, and rice	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ITALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
68	Dry pasta Italian spaghetti type	Ultra-Processed	Corn	AUSTRALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
69	Dry pasta with garlic and parsley flavor	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ITALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
70	Dry pasta with vegetables	Ultra-Processed	Corn	AUSTRALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
71	Nutricereal with honey and oats	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
72	Granola assortment of seeds with honey	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
73	Cereals	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
74	Cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
75	Cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
76	Cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
77	Alimento estrusado	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
78	Alimento a base de cereales	Ultra-Processed	Corn	BRASIL	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
79	Sweetened cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
80	Sweetened cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	EEUU	-	-	-	-	-	NA	"Non GMO"
81	Cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	EEUU	-	-	-	-	-	NA	"Non GMO"
82	Cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
83	Natural cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
84	Dietetic cereals	Ultra-Processed	Corn	CHILE	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
85	Granola with nuts	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
86	Food based on corn flour	Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	<1%	NL
87	Sweetened cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
88	Dietetic food with added vitamins	Ultra-Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
89	Cereals and dehydrated fruits mix	Processed	Corn	ALEMANIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
90	Cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
91	Cornflakes	Ultra-Processed	Corn	FRANCIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
92	Food based on cereals, honey, nuts	Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	"No transgénico"
93	Tortillas wraps	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ESPAÑA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
94	Corn-based snack	Ultra-Processed	Corn	MEXICO	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
95	Corn-based snack with cheese flavor	Ultra-Processed	Corn	EEUU	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
96	Corn-based snack with cheese flavor	Ultra-Processed	Corn	BÉLGICA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
97	Tortillas	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ALEMANIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
98	Baked corn toast	Ultra-Processed	Corn	MEXICO	+	+	+	-	-	<1%	NL
99	Salted Corn Fried Snack Product	Ultra-Processed	Corn	BÉLGICA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
100	Gluten free corn toast	Ultra-Processed	Corn	AUSTRALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
101	Sticks with ham flavor	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
102	Corn flour sticks with cheese flavor	Ultra-Processed	Corn	EEUU	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
103	Corn Product corn based	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
104	Tacos dough	Ultra-Processed	Corn	EEUU	+	+	+	-	-	<1%	NL

105	Roast beef flavor snack	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
106	Vegatable mix flavor snack	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ITALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
107	Cheese flavor corn butty	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	<1%	NL
108	Quinoa based snack product	Ultra-Processed	Corn	REINO UNIDO	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
109	Corn <i>tortilla</i>	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
110	Cornmeal and cocoa cookies with chocolate	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ESPAÑA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
111	Powder to prepare vanilla flavor muffins	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
112	Powder to prepare sponge cake	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
113	Sweet cookies with bran	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
114	Lemon flavor pudding	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
115	Chocolate and vanilla flavor pudding	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
116	Vanilla flavor dessert powder	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
117	Vanilla flavor dessert powder	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
118	Sweet cookie <i>María</i> type without gluten	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ITALIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
119	Vanilla flavor dessert powder	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ESPAÑA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
120	Corn cookies	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
121	Corn cookies	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
122	White sauce	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
123	Mayonnaise light	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
124	Tartar sauce	Ultra-Processed	Corn	REINO UNIDO	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
125	Corn and butter instant cream soup	Ultra-Processed	Corn	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
126	Ketchup sauce reduced in caloric value	Ultra-Processed	Corn	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
127	Ketchup sauce	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
128	Mustard-based seasoning	Ultra-Processed	Corn	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
129	Cooked peeled chorizo, <i>choripan</i>	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	"Soybean certificada no transgénica"
130	Milanese of chard, spinach and soy	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
131	Hotdogs light	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
132	<i>Chorizo</i> flavored burger	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
133	Beef burger	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
134	Extra <i>chorizo</i>	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
135	Chicken nuggets with cheese	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
136	Frankfurter type sausage	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
137	Frankfurter type sausage	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
138	<i>Longaniza</i>	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
139	Beef burger	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
140	Pasteurized <i>chorizo</i>	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL

141	Frankfurter type sausage	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
142	Frankfurter type sausage	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
143	Frozen meat burger	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	<1%	NL
144	Frankfurter type sausage	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
145	Extra <i>chorizo</i> with cheese and olives	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
146	Low sodium super frozen burgers	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
147	Frozen burgers	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	<1%	NL
148	Seasoned and breaded ground chicken meat	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
149	Liver pate	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
150	Meat pate	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
151	Pork paste	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	FRANCIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
152	Soy milanese	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
153	Filet of soybean milanese type	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
154	Mixture to prepare soybean steaks	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	<1%	NL
155	Filet of soybean milanese type	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	NA	"No transgénico"
156	Soybean protein textured and granulated	Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
157	Soybean protein granulated	Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
158	Soy beans	Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
159	Soy beans	Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
160	Soybean protein	Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
161	Assortment of seeds with soy protein	Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
162	Soy sauce	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	EEUU	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
163	Soy sauce	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	CHINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
164	Original soy sauce	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	EEUU	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
165	Vanilla flavor dessert powder	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
166	Sweet bread filled w/ sweet milk (<i>dulce de leche</i>)	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
167	Vanilla flavor budin and chocolate chips	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
168	Premix for preparing rice bread	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
169	Sweet piles stuffed with quince jam	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
170	Sweet cookies with oats, apples, and cinnamon	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
171	Sweet cookies	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	-	-	-	> 1%	NL
172	Waffles filled with hazelnut paste	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	GRECIA	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
173	Sweet cake	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
174	Artisan wafers	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
175	Sweet cookies decorated with chips	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
176	Vanilla sponge cake with chocolate filling	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	POLONIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
177	Sugary biscuits	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
178	Sweet cookies filled with lemon flavor	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL

179	Cookies with Milk	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
180	Wafers with vanilla flavor filling	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
181	Waffles with lemon flavor filling	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	GRECIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
182	Galletitas dulces medialunitas	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
183	Mini <i>magdalena</i> cupcakes	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	FRANCIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
184	Sweet cookies with chocolate chips	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ALEMANIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
185	Cookies with almonds and chocolate chips	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	FRANCIA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
186	Sweet cookies decorated with chips	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
187	Sweet cookies with chocolate chips	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
188	Sweet cookies with vanilla and chocolate flavor	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
189	Lemon flavor waffle	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
190	American-style pancakes with <i>dulce de leche</i>	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
191	Sweet cookies with vanilla and chocolate flavor	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
192	Oatmeal sweet cookies with vanilla flavor	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
193	Assorted cookies	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
194	Liquid soy food with fruit juice	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
195	Soy dietary food strawberry flavor	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
196	Liquid soy food sabor with vanilla flavor	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ITALIA	+	+	-	+	-	>1%	NL
197	Whole-grain toast	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
198	Multigrain toasts	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	+	+	-	+	-	> 1%	NL
199	<i>Crockers</i> cookies with bran	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL
200	Biscuit stick artificial flavor milk	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	+	+	+	-	-	<1%	NL
201	Salty rice cakes	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
202	Onion and parsley flavor cereal snacks	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	BRASIL	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
203	Instant soup with meat flavor	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	EEUU	+	+	+	+	-	> 1%	NL
204	Salty biscuit with bran	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	PARAGUAY	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
205	<i>Cracker</i> cookies	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	ARGENTINA	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NL
206	Rice crackers flavored with herbs	Ultra-Processed	Soybean	URUGUAY	+	+	+	-	-	> 1%	NL

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to acknowledge C.S.I.C. (Comisión Sectorial de Investigación Científica-UdelaR), Espacio Interdisciplinario-UdelaR, PEDECIBA, Biotechnology Master Program-UdelaR, and Intendencia de Montevideo for financial support of this work.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.