Global identification of RsmA/N binding sites in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by *in vivo* UV CLIP-seq

Kotaro Chihara^{a,b*}, Lars Barquist^{c,d}, Kenichi Takasugi^a, Naohiro Noda^{a,b#}, Satoshi Tsuneda^{a#}

^aDepartment of Life Science and Medical Bioscience, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan

^bBiomedical Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Ibaraki, Japan

^cHelmholtz Institute for RNA-based Infection Research (HIRI), Helmholtz Center for Infection Research (HZI), Würzburg, Germany

^dFaculty of Medicine, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

Running Head: RsmA/N CLIP-seq in *P. aeruginosa*

#Address correspondence to Naohiro Noda, noda-naohiro@aist.go.jp or Satoshi Tsuneda, stsuneda@waseda.jp.

* Present address: Helmholtz Institute for RNA-based Infection Research (HIRI), Helmholtz Center for Infection Research (HZI), Würzburg, Germany

Word count; 193 words (Abstract), 124 words (Importance), 4,849 words (Text exclusive of Material and Methods, References, or Figure legends)

21 ABSTRACT

22 Posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression in bacteria is performed by a complex and hierarchical
 23 signaling cascade. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* harbors two redundant RNA-binding proteins RsmA/RsmN
 24 (RsmA/N), which play a critical role in balancing acute and chronic infections. However, *in vivo* binding
 25 sites on target transcripts and the overall impact on the physiology remains unclear. In this study, we
 26 applied *in vivo* UV crosslinking immunoprecipitation followed by RNA-sequencing (UV CLIP-seq) to
 27 detect RsmA/N binding sites at single-nucleotide resolution and mapped more than 500 peaks to
 28 approximately 400 genes directly bound by RsmA/N in *P. aeruginosa*. This also demonstrated the
 29 ANGGA sequence in apical loops skewed towards 5'UTRs as a consensus motif for RsmA/N binding.
 30 Genetic analysis combined with CLIP-seq results identified previously unrecognized RsmA/N targets
 31 involved in LPS modification. Moreover, the small non-coding RNAs RsmY/RsmZ, which sequester
 32 RsmA/N away from target mRNAs, are positively regulated by the RsmA/N-mediated translational
 33 repression of *hptB*, encoding a histidine phosphotransfer protein, and *cafA*, encoding a cytoplasmic axial
 34 filament protein, thus providing a possible mechanistic explanation for homeostasis of the Rsm system.
 35 Our findings present the global RsmA/N-RNA interaction network that exerts pleiotropic effects on gene
 36 expression in *P. aeruginosa*.

37

38 IMPORTANCE

39 The ubiquitous bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is notorious as an opportunistic pathogen causing
 40 life-threatening acute and chronic infections in immunocompromised patients. *P. aeruginosa* infection
 41 processes are governed by two major gene regulatory systems, namely, the GacA/GacS (GacAS) two-
 42 component system and the RNA-binding proteins RsmA/RsmN (RsmA/N). RsmA/N basically function
 43 as a translational repressor or activator directly by competing with the ribosome. In this study, we

identified hundreds of RsmA/N regulatory target RNAs and the consensus motifs for RsmA/N bindings by UV crosslinking *in vivo*. Moreover, our CLIP-seq revealed that RsmA/N posttranscriptionally regulate cell wall organization and exert feedback control on GacAS-RsmA/N systems. Many genes including small regulatory RNAs identified in this study are attractive targets for further elucidating the regulatory mechanisms of RsmA/N in *P. aeruginosa*.

INTRODUCTION

The gram-negative bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an opportunistic pathogen infecting humans that thrives in diverse environments. It can cause serious biofilm-associated infections in burn wounds, indwelling devices, and the lungs of immunocompromised cystic fibrosis patients (1). The infection processes are governed via complex posttranscriptional regulatory mechanisms stimulated by certain environmental stresses such as nutrient starvation and antibiotic exposure (2). Such posttranscriptional control networks are typically composed of globally acting RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) together with small non-coding RNAs (sRNAs). Considering the presence of a large number of putative sRNAs and widely conserved RBPs in *P. aeruginosa*, a number of them might be incorporated into the regulatory networks for the processes.

Host factor protein for the replication of phage ϕ (Hfq) is one of the most well-known RBPs that acts as an RNA chaperone and helps sRNAs basepair with target mRNAs for repression or activation of the translations (3). Similar to *Escherichia coli* or *Salmonella*, Hfq contributes to varied phenotypes such as quorum sensing, virulence, and antibiotic resistance in *P. aeruginosa* (4-6). Likewise, the RNA chaperone CsrA family, originally discovered as a carbon storage regulator in *E. coli*, also plays a global role in posttranscription in many bacteria (7). Unlike Hfq, the CsrA family functions by repressing translation directly by competing with the ribosome rather than acting as a

67 matchmaker between sRNA and mRNA in Gram-negative bacteria. CsrA family proteins bind to target
68 RNAs via a stem-loop structure comprising an ANGGA motif. Conversely, translational suppression is
69 inhibited by at least three sRNAs, namely, CsrB, CsrC, and McaS, each containing multiple GGA motifs
70 in *E. coli* (8, 9).

71 *P. aeruginosa* has two CsrA homologs RsmA and RsmN (RsmA/N) and four redundant sRNAs
72 RsmV, RsmW, RsmY, and RsmZ that function as antagonists of RsmA/N (10-12). RsmA and RsmN are
73 structurally distinct with respect to the position of an α helix constituent; RsmA is composed of five
74 consecutive β sheets and a C-terminal α helix whereas RsmN consists of an uniquely inserted α helix
75 between the β 2 and β 3 sheets (13). RsmA/N-titrating sRNAs, RsmY/RsmZ (RsmY/Z), are regulated by
76 GacA/GacS (GacAS) two-component system. When the transmembrane histidine protein kinase (HPK)
77 GacS is activated by environmental signals involved in the transition to the stationary phase, it
78 phosphorylates its cognate response regulator GacA (14). Upon phosphorylation, GacA promotes the
79 transcription of RsmY/Z (15). While RsmW is activated in the stationary phase or by high temperature
80 (11), the level of RsmV expression is relatively low during the whole course of the growth, independent
81 of GacAS activity (12). Both RsmA/N bind to some, but not all, common regulatory targets via a
82 conserved arginine residue. Most of the known RsmA/N targets such as genes encoding for type VI
83 secretion systems (T6SS) and exopolysaccharide biosynthesis are subject to direct translational
84 repression (16, 17). In contrast, the genes encoding motility and type III secretion systems (T3SS) are
85 positively regulated by RsmA/N (14, 18), thus modulating the transition between acute and chronic
86 infections in *P. aeruginosa*.

87 These relationships between RsmA/N and target genes have been extensively investigated by
88 phenotypic assays combined with genetic analysis *in vitro*. However, the complexity of *in vivo* RNA-
89 based regulations makes attaining a comprehensive understanding of the mode of actions of RsmA/N

difficult. To address this, recent studies have identified target transcripts, that are bound by members of the CsrA/Rsm family via co-immunoprecipitation approaches (19, 20). Such methods can identify major RNA ligands. However, they do not provide sufficient information with respect to the RBPs binding sites within each transcript. Herein, we performed UV crosslinking immunoprecipitation followed by RNA-seq (UV CLIP-seq) with RsmA/N to identify their regulatory targets at a single-nucleotide resolution *in vivo*. Our approach found more than 500 potential binding sites genome-wide, which enabled us to gain new insights into the biological functions and the similarities and differences between the two redundant Rsm RBPs in *P. aeruginosa*.

RESULTS

Transcriptome-wide mapping of RsmA/N binding sites

As a preliminary investigation, western blotting analysis was performed using *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 chromosomal FLAG tagged strains: *rsmA*::3×FLAG, *rsmN*::3×FLAG, and *rsmN*::3×FLAGΔ*rsmA*. Here, we constructed a third strain as RsmA negatively regulates the translation of *rsmN* (21). When compared with RsmA::3×FLAG protein, RsmN::3×FLAG was not detected despite the deletion of translational repressor *rsmA* (Fig. S1A). Therefore, we expressed the *rsmN*::3×FLAG cassette from a multicopy plasmid in the PAO1 wild type strain. RsmN was successfully detected by western blot when the medium was supplemented with the arabinose inducer at 0.1% concentration (Fig. S1B). In the following procedure, chromosomally tagged *rsmA*::3×FLAG strain and plasmid-inducible *rsmN*::3×FLAG strain were incubated during the early stationary phase (OD₆₀₀ = 2.0) and UV irradiated to induce covalent bonds between RNAs and the corresponding proteins *in vivo*. Autoradiography and western blot analyses indicated that UV crosslinking and co-immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibodies along with stringent washing enriched RsmA-RNA and RsmN-RNA complexes (Fig. 1A). It

should be noted that we omitted RNA radiolabeling steps and cut membranes from the regions consisting of RsmA/N bands up to the region 50 kDa above for RNA purification (Fig. 1B).

Purified RNAs from crosslinked and non-crosslinked samples in triplicates were reverse transcribed into cDNA and subjected to Illumina sequencing. By performing a comparative analysis of called peaks from RsmA/N-binding regions between crosslinked and non-crosslinked libraries using DESeq2, we detected hundreds of binding sites with significant enrichment (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 and minimum expression = 1.0) throughout the *P. aeruginosa* transcriptome (Fig. 1C). We identified 75 overlapping peaks between RsmA and RsmN, with overlapping peaks defined as the peaks that exhibited both the start and stop positions within 40 nt of each other, thus constituting 69 overlapping genes (Fig. 1D). Since this CLIP-seq was carried out in conditions similar to the previously performed Hfq CLIP-seq (22), the genes that overlapped between RsmA/N and Hfq were also investigated. A total of 25 genes including the genes encoding outer membrane proteins were common among all three RNA chaperones (Fig. S2A). Gebhardt et al. recently performed chromatin immunoprecipitation with cells grown in the presence or absence of rifampicin followed by high-throughput DNA sequencing (ChIPPAR-seq) for *P. aeruginosa* RsmA to capture a subset of nascent transcripts (20). When compared with the list of transcripts that are regulated co-transcriptionally by RsmA, approximately 25% of RsmA-binding genes were also detected in our CLIP-seq (Fig. S2B). In addition, Romero et al. mapped more than 500 transcripts directly bound by RsmN using RNA immunoprecipitation and sequencing (RIP-seq) (19). We observed that a limited number of RsmN-binding genes were detected in both our CLIP-seq and the RIP-seq (Fig. S2C).

Finally, significant peaks were classified on the basis of RNA classes using previously generated UTR annotation list (22-24) and manually curated *P. aeruginosa* sRNA lists (25-27). Most of the peaks were mapped within mRNAs, in which more than 40% of the binding sites in CDSes overlapped with

the first quarter of the CDSes (Fig. 1E and Fig. S3A). Interestingly, approximately half of ncRNAs bound by RsmA were annotated as *cis*-antisense RNAs (asRNAs) (Fig. S3B), suggesting that certain posttranscriptional regulatory mechanisms attributed to RsmA and asRNAs are still unrecognized. Taken together, RsmA/N CLIP-seq analysis identified hundreds of binding sites associated with each RNA chaperone.

Similarities and differences in sequence and structural motifs bound by RsmA/N

RsmA/N bind to conserved GGA sequences within or close to Shine-Dalgarno sequences of target mRNAs (18). More precisely, parallel systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX) studies for RsmA/N have identified a common consensus motif CANGGAYG positioned in a hexaloop region of the stem-loop structure (28). To understand the consensus sequence bound by RsmA/N and its position in the target transcripts *in vivo*, the peak density of RsmA/N peaks across all detected mRNAs was determined via meta-gene analysis using start or stop codons as reference points. Strong peak densities were observed around start codons but not around stop codons, showing that *P. aeruginosa* RsmA/N preferentially bind to the 5' UTRs of mRNAs (Fig. 2A). Next, sequence motifs for RsmA/N bindings were determined using all detected RsmA/N binding sequences by MEME motif analysis. Top-ranked motifs of both RsmA/N contained the ANGGA sequence (Fig. 2B). All RsmA binding sites exhibited the motif. In fact, more than 80% of detected RsmA/N peaks demonstrated at least one minimal GGA motif and the second nucleotide of ANGGA sequence for RsmA binding showed a preference for uracil (Fig. 2C). Unlike RsmA, the second nucleotide position of the ANGGA sequence for RsmN binding is more tolerant, accepting any nucleotides except for guanine (Fig. 2B and C). In order to understand whether the detected motifs were likely to be present in the apical loop, all detected RsmA/N binding sequences were subjected to CMfinder structural motif analysis. The top-

ranked structural motifs from CMfinder were predicted as highly conserved stem-loop with the GGA sequence in the loop regions (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, the top-ranked structural motif for RsmN binding demonstrated two stem-loops with GGA sequences adjacent to each other, consistent with the previous report showing that RsmN requires two binding sites of the known target *tssA1* (28). Therefore, the number of GGA sequences per peak was searched to evaluate whether RsmN requires two adjacent GGA sequences for high-affinity binding. Although we expected that RsmN might tend to bind peaks corresponding to more than two GGA motifs, no significant difference was observed between RsmA and RsmN (Fig. 2E). Altogether, RsmA/N CLIP-seq demonstrates ANGGA in loop regions as a general recognition sequence/structural motif, which is in clear accordance with previously published works.

RsmA/N CLIP-seq expands global binding targets in *P. aeruginosa*

When the small non-coding RNAs RsmY/Z are highly expressed, RsmA/N are titrated away from target RNAs playing an important role in the regulation of virulence factors associated with acute and chronic infections. The results of RsmA/N CLIP-seq conducted in this study demonstrate that the read coverage of RsmY/Z was highest among all other genes (Fig. 3A and Table S1). RsmY/Z exhibit multiple GGA motif sites for RsmA/N binding and RsmA/N peak sites corresponded to their GGA motifs (Fig. 3B), whereas Hfq predominantly associated with their Rho-independent terminators (29). Our data also shows that RsmA/N indeed bind to well-known target mRNAs. For examples, high CLIP-seq coverages were found at the 5'UTR of the gene *tssA1* encoding structural component of the Hcp secretion island-I-encoded T6SS and 5'UTR of the gene *mucA* encoding anti-sigma factor (Fig. 3C). The RsmA/N-binding sites detected on these mRNAs fold into hairpins with GGA motifs (Fig. 3D). Collectively, the data suggests that RsmA/N CLIP-seq was able to capture the *bona fide* RsmA/N binding sites.

To determine the biological processes in which RsmA/N-binding RNAs were enriched, DAVID enrichment analysis was performed for mRNAs containing peaks, with a modified Fisher's exact p -value threshold < 0.1 . Genes associated with cell wall organization, including those involved in the polysaccharide biosynthetic process, O-antigen biosynthetic process, and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) biosynthetic process were enriched (Fig. 4A). Among the cell wall organization processes, high peak density was detected within the *wbp* gene cluster involved in O5 O-antigen biosynthesis (Fig. 4B). Since the category represents an unknown role for RsmA/N, we decided to explore them in more detail.

The *wbp* gene cluster consists of three genes responsible for the assembly of the O-antigen (*wzx*, *wzy*, and *wzz*), twelve genes involved in the biosynthesis assembly of the nucleotide sugars of the O unit (*wbpABCDEFGHIJKLM*), three insertion genes encoding putative transposase and integrase (PA3142–PA3144) between *wbpL* and *wbpM*, and two non-LPS-related genes (*hisF2* and *hisH2*) between *wbpG* and *wzx* (30). Significant peaks indicating RsmA binding were observed in *wzz*, *wbpA*, *wbpB*, *hisH2*, *hisF2*, *wbpG*, *wbpH*, *wbpL*, and *wbpM* genes whereas those indicating RsmN binding were observed in *wbpA*, *wbpE*, *wbpI*, and *wbpM* genes (Fig. 4C). We verified the function with respect to RsmA/N-mediated gene regulation against *wzz*, *wbpA*, *wbpE*, *wbpG*, *wbpH* and *wbpM* using super-folder GFP (sfGFP) translational fusion assay. When compared with the wild type, $\Delta rsmA/N$ strain expressed significantly high GFP fluorescence in *wzz*, *wbpA*, *wbpE*, and *wbpG* translational fusions, suggesting that RsmA/N posttranscriptionally repress the translation of each gene (Fig. 4D). Altogether, our RsmA/N CLIP-seq results reveal new RsmA/N regulatory targets associated with LPS modification.

Homeostasis of RsmY/Z expressions by an RsmA/N-controlled feedback loop

The expression of RsmA/N-titrating sRNAs RsmY/Z is activated by GacAS two-component system (15). The GacAS system is controlled by three additional HPKs: RetS, PA1611, and LadS. In addition to

these complex regulatory pathways, RsmY and RsmZ are independently regulated by the histidine phosphotransfer protein HptB and cytoplasmic axial filament protein CafA, respectively. Expression of *hptB* is regulated by additional membrane-binding proteins SagS and ErcS (31), whereas the *cafA* expression is under the control of another two-component system BfiR/BfiS (32). Among those proteins involved in the homeostasis of RsmY/Z expression, we observed RsmA/N binding peaks in the *sagS*, *gacS*, *ladS*, *hptB*, and *cafA* genes (Fig. 5A). Since HptB is the decision hub for RsmY activation via the phosphorylation of additional regulatory components HsbA/HsbD and flagellar gene expression via FlgM sequestration (33), we focused on RsmA/N-mediated *hptB* gene regulation. We also investigated whether RsmA/N directly regulated *cafA* gene expression since CafA specifically represses RsmZ expression with its endoribonucleolytic activity.

We observed RsmA/N binding peaks in both coding DNA sequences although only RsmN binding was statistically significant (Fig. 5B). Therefore, we performed the sfGFP translational fusion assay to understand the RsmA/N associated gene regulation of *hptB* and *cafA*. When compared with the wild type, $\Delta rsmA/N$ strain expressed significantly high GFP fluorescence in both translational fusions (Fig. 5C). In order to investigate whether both RsmA and RsmN repress the *hptB* and *cafA* translations, RsmA and RsmN were exogenously expressed from multicopy plasmid pJN105 in $\Delta rsmA/N$ background and GFP fluorescence was measured. When compared with pJN105 vector control, both RsmA/N were capable of repressing GFP fluorescence (Fig. 5D). If *hptB* expression is negatively regulated by RsmA/N, downstream HptB-dependent secretion and biofilm anti anti-sigma factor HsbA should be phosphorylated and diguanylate cyclase HsbD should activate RsmY expression. Additionally, if *cafA* expression is negatively regulated by RsmA/N, degradation of RsmZ by CafA should be alleviated. To confirm these, RsmY/Z abundance between the wild type and $\Delta rsmA/N$ strains was quantified by qRT-

PCR. As expected, RsmY/Z were significantly reduced in the $\Delta rsmAN$ strain (Fig. 5E), suggesting that RsmY/Z expressions were balanced by RsmA/N-controlled feedback loop.

RsmA/N bind to multiple sRNAs other than RsmY/Z in *P. aeruginosa*

Besides RsmY/Z, we observed high read coverage for RsmA/N bindings and significant enrichment of crosslinked samples in several sRNAs. For example, SPA0035 sRNA, a transcript from the minus strand of the intergenic region between *ada* and PA2119, was significantly bound to RsmA/N, but not to Hfq (Fig. 6A, left). As another example, the PAO1-specific asRNA SPA0066 transcribed from the opposite strand of PA3993 was also significantly bound to RsmA/N, but not to Hfq (Fig. 6A, middle). It should be noted that SPA0066 overlapped in the antisense orientation of the 5' UTR of a gene encoding a putative transposase of the IS116/IS110/IS902 family. This transposase family exists in six genomic positions in PAO1, all of which demonstrate identical asRNAs (SPA0004–8 and SPA0066). The SPA0079 sRNA, a transcript from the minus strand of the intergenic region comprising 1,011 nt between PA2763 and PA2764, was significantly bound to RsmA/N, as well as to Hfq (Fig. 6A, right and Fig. S4A). The majority of these sRNAs carry GGA motifs and many corresponding peaks fold into hairpins (Fig. 6B).

Among the RsmA/N-binding sRNAs detected in our CLIP-seq, SPA0079 exhibited significant binding to both Hfq and RsmA/N and demonstrated high coverage next to RsmY/Z (see y-axis in Fig. 6A and Table S1). Subsequently, we investigated its characteristics as an RsmA/N-binding sRNA in next section.

SPA0079 sRNA does not sequester RsmA/N away from target mRNAs under our conditions

We first determined the SPA0079 boundaries by 5'/3' RACE analysis. RNA pyrophosphohydrolase RppH treatment followed by PCR amplification selectively enriched 5' terminus with triphosphate ends of SPA0079 (the band indicated by a red arrow in Fig. S4B). The 3' terminus of SPA0079 corresponded to the canonical U repeats of the rho-independent terminator (Fig. S4A). The SPA0079 secondary structure predicted by Mfold (34) exhibited only a single stem-loop, having a strong resemblance to a rho-independent transcription terminator (Fig. S4C). The expression of SPA0079 in the wild type and the deletion mutant were measured throughout the course of growth (Fig. S4D). The maximal level of the SPA0079 expression was reached in the late stationary phase at the OD₆₀₀ of 6.0 in the wild type (Fig. S4D, lanes 1–5). In contrast, SPA0079 sRNA level was undetectable in the Δ SPA0079 mutant strain (Fig. S4D, lane 6).

A previous study has suggested that two quorum sensing (QS) pathways LasI/LasR and RhII/RhlR might be related to the expression of SPA0079 (35). Furthermore, the DNA sequence of the upstream of -35 promoter motif was similar to the consensus motif of LasR/RhlR binding (Fig. S4A). To investigate QS-dependent SPA0079 expression, northern blotting analysis was performed with Δ *lasI* Δ *rhII* double mutant strain with or without the exogenous supplementation of two acyl homoserine lactones (AHLs) 3OC₁₂-HSL and C₄-HSL. SPA0079 displayed strict dependence on QS as it accumulated within 1 h after AHLs were added (Fig. S5A). Additionally, SPA0079 was not detectable in a Δ *lasI* strain, whereas it was detected moderately in a Δ *rhII* strain (Fig. S5B). Taken together with the published report (35), these results suggest that the expression of SPA0079 is controlled by the QS systems. Another previous report showed that Hfq stabilizes RsmY by blocking the cleavage by RNase E (29). We investigated whether Hfq might affect SPA0079. Northern blotting showed no difference in the SPA0079 levels in a Δ *hfq* mutant compared to its levels in wild type and Δ *rsmA/N* mutant (Fig. S5C).

To investigate whether SPA0079 indeed titrates RsmA/N away from the mRNA target, the activity of sfGFP fused with 5' UTR and CDS of *cafA* was assayed with or without SPA0079 overexpression. Although we speculated that a *cafA*::sfGFP fusion would be repressed by the induction of SPA0079, no clear difference was observed in GFP activity in the SPA0079 overexpression state compared to that in the $\Delta rsmA/N$ mutant strain (Fig. S5D). Considering that the level of SPA0079 expression reaches maximum in the late stationary phase (Fig. S4D), and that RsmY/Z predominantly bind to RsmA/N under the conditions in which CLIP-seq was performed, it may be that SPA0079 sRNA competes or complements RsmY/Z under specific conditions not captured in our assay. Future studies are required to determine whether or not SPA0079 is a functional RsmA/N antagonist.

DISCUSSION

Two conserved and redundant RBPs RsmA/N play a critical role in balancing acute and chronic infections in *P. aeruginosa*. So far, the interactions of RsmA/N with target RNAs have been extensively explored *in vitro*. However, it is prudent to understand the mode of actions in the complex transcriptional networks *in vivo*. Many studies using advanced technologies based on high-throughput sequencing have now provided the global regulatory functions of RBPs depending on the physiological states of a cell (36-38). In this study, we performed the RsmA/N CLIP-seq analysis and demonstrated more than 500 genome-wide RsmA/N binding sites. Many genes identified in this study could be attractive targets for further elucidating the regulatory mechanisms of RsmA/N in *P. aeruginosa*.

As the prerequisite for this study, we first checked RsmA and RsmN abundance at an OD₆₀₀ = 2.0. It is well known that the transcript encoding RsmN is posttranscriptionally repressed by RsmA and the protein abundance is relatively low compared to RsmA during the growth period (21). Therefore, we speculated that RsmN-binding RNAs are likely captured in the $\Delta rsmA$ background. However, the

abundance of RsmN is still low even in the $\Delta rsmA$ background and interacting RNAs cannot be captured by co-immunoprecipitation (Fig. S1). The low RsmN abundance may be attributed to its original low transcription rate in the condition where our CLIP-seq was conducted. A previous study has shown that the level of *rsmN* expression reaches maximum in the late stationary phase (39). Although we relied on the exogenous expression of RsmN from multicopy plasmid and performed RsmA/N CLIP-seq at an $OD_{600} = 2.0$ in order to compare previously performed Hfq CLIP-seq result (22), it would be possible to detect RsmN-binding positions using the chromosomally tagged strain at the later growth phase, which should be addressed in further experiments.

In the widespread application of the current CLIP-seq procedure, radioactive labeling of crosslinked RNAs and visualization of the autoradiograph are common. As with several non-radioactive CLIP methods (40-43), herein, we omitted labor-intensive use of radioactive substances and successfully captured specifically interacting RNAs (Fig. 1B to E). When compared with previously published data, RsmA/N-binding genes demonstrated in this study were relatively low in number (Fig. S2). This variation may be attributed to the differences in the experimental setup. RsmA ChIPPAR-seq captures nascent transcript at mid-log phase and significant binding positions are defined as enrichment in the minus rifampicin library compared with the plus rifampicin library (20). RsmN RIP-seq is performed during the late stationary phase and significant binding genes are defined using enrichment index generated by comparison of co-immunoprecipitation library with total RNA library (19). In addition, the small overlap of detected genes with previous reports perhaps derives from the limitations of bioinformatics analysis. Our CLIP-seq approach relies on the comparison between crosslinking and non-crosslinking libraries. Hence, this approach identifies the binding sites with significant enrichment in crosslinking samples. The analysis perhaps missed the *bona fide* RsmA/N binding sites with high-affinity in non-crosslinking libraries. Especially in our RsmA CLIP-seq, the background likely has much

higher counts than that specific to the crosslinking libraries, which might be the artifact of one or two highly expressed transcripts such as RsmY and RsmZ (Fig. 3A and Fig. S6). Nevertheless, numerous known targets of RsmA/N were observed from our CLIP-seq (Fig. 1). As examples, we showed high CLIP-seq coverages observed at the 5'UTRs of the mRNAs *tssA1* and *mucA* and sRNAs RsmY/Z, leading to the identification of their RsmA/N-binding sites *in vivo* at a single-nucleotide resolution (Fig. 3).

Interestingly, approximately half of RsmA-binding ncRNAs were annotated as asRNAs (Fig. S3B). Although no report exists demonstrating the promotion of the complex formation between regulatory RNAs and their target mRNAs in Gram-negative bacteria by the CsrA family, *Bacillus subtilis* CsrA helps SR1 sRNA basepair with *ahrC* mRNA, affecting the expression of its downstream genes (44). Rather than the CsrA family, ProQ/FinO-domain proteins and Hfq are generally thought to be responsible for the interaction between mRNAs and asRNAs in Gram-negative bacteria (45). The *E. coli* F plasmid-encoded FinO promotes the association of F plasmid-encoded mRNA *traJ* with asRNAs FinP (46). The chromosomally encoded ProQ from *Salmonella* also promotes the association of mRNA with asRNAs including Type I Toxin-Antitoxin system (47, 48), although ProQ can also play a similar role in Hfq acting as a matchmaker between *trans*-encoded sRNAs and mRNAs (49). Hfq primarily promotes the basepairing between *trans*-encoded sRNAs and mRNAs. However, it also facilitates antisense pairing such as in IS10 system, where asRNA RNA-OUT interacts with RNA-IN encoding transposase to occlude the ribosome binding site (50). Since we found that asRNAs SPA0004–0008 and SPA0066 transcribed from the opposite strands of genes encoding putative transposases associate with RsmA/N (Fig. 6A, middle), it should be interesting to investigate whether these asRNAs may regulate the opposite genes via RsmA/N binding similar to Hfq, RNA-IN, and RNA-OUT in *E. coli*.

339 The advantage of CLIP-seq is to detect protein binding sites on the transcript at a single-
340 nucleotide resolution. This approach has demonstrated sequence/structural binding motifs of the CsrA
341 family in *E. coli* and *Salmonella* (37, 38). Herein, we investigated the similarities and differences
342 between *P. aeruginosa* RsmA and RsmN on the basis of sequence/structural motifs and a typical
343 distribution of the detected peaks (Fig. 2). The results of the peak distribution among mRNAs were
344 common between the two RBPs, indicating that RsmA/N peaks are highly enriched at only 5' UTRs,
345 which is consistent with the previous reports (19, 51) (Fig. 2A to D). In addition, sequence and structural
346 motif analyses demonstrated that the stem-loop structure with the ANGGA sequence in its loop region is
347 primary of RsmA/N bindings. Interestingly, although all of the RsmA peaks detected in this study
348 include the ANGGA motif, RsmN peaks seem to be under looser definition of its binding motif than
349 RsmA (see the number of detected peaks in Fig. 2B). From the perspective of molecular basis, RsmA
350 homolog RsmE in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* CHA0 needs the looped out nucleotide base N in ANGGA
351 motif and basepairing in the stem for rigid binding through Leu55 to Ala57 in the C-terminal α helix as
352 well as the correct stacking (52). In contrast, α helix in RsmN is located within the internalized β 2 and
353 β 3 sheets, constituting the hydrophobic core that acts as a potential new RNA binding site (13). Thus,
354 RsmN may bind with a more flexible loop motif independent of C-terminal α helix even without obvious
355 ANGGA sequence. Interestingly, the structural motif of RsmN peaks consisted of two tandem stem-loop
356 structures with GGA motifs (Fig. 2D). Consistent with this, *in vitro* SELEX study and mutational
357 analysis have demonstrated long RsmA/N targets with two consensus GGA motifs, and both of the two
358 tandem GGA sites are required only for RsmN binding (28). Overall, our CLIP-seq analysis combined
359 with previous reports suggested a unique two-sidedness of RsmN binding; tandem stem-loop structures
360 with two GGAs or flexible sequences without obvious ANGGA sequence.

Further genetic analysis has elucidated new regulatory targets of RsmA/N involved in O5 O-antigen biosynthesis (Fig. 4). The three genes *wzx*, *wzy*, and *wzz* are responsible for the assembly of the O-antigen and other genes *wbpABCDEFGHIJKLM* are involved in the biosynthesis assembly of the nucleotide sugars of the O unit (30). Since we observed RsmA bindings to both *wzz* and *wzz2* encoding O-antigen chain length regulators and validated the RsmA/N-mediated translational repression of *wzz* (Fig. 4D and Table S1), active posttranscriptional regulation through RsmA/N would lead to LPS-rough phenotype, which is often observed in *P. aeruginosa* isolates from chronic pulmonary infections (53, 54). In a recent study, the low levels of *wzz2* expression led to the conversion to mucoid phenotype with alginate production, and a transcriptional factor AmrZ negatively regulated the expression (55). Under the same AlgU regulon with AmrZ, AlgR activates alginate production and in turn, directly stimulates RsmA expression (56). This might accelerate the modulation of O-antigen length and eventually the biofilm formation (57). The translational repression of genes involved in O-antigen biosynthesis through RsmA/N is also consistent with the findings demonstrating that the absence of B-band O-antigen is correlated with the increase of T3SS-mediated cytotoxicity that is activated by RsmA/N directly (58). Taking into account the previous ChIP-seq analysis showing that T3SS master regulator ExsA binds to promoter sequences of *wbpA* and *wbpH* (59), the *wbp* gene cluster is likely to be under the both transcriptional and translational regulation mediated by RsmA/N.

Free RsmA/N and the level of the titrating sRNAs are controlled through homeostatic regulation. Although it was previously shown that RsmA exerts a positive effect on RsmY and RsmZ transcription in *P. aeruginosa* and *Pseudomonas protegens* (60, 61), the mechanisms underlying the positive feedback loop remain unclear. In this study, *hptB* encoding the histidine phosphotransfer protein and *cafA* encoding the cytoplasmic axial filament protein were identified as novel RsmA/N regulatory targets (Fig. 5). When RsmA/N repress the translation of *hptB*, RsmY expression would be stimulated via the

phosphorylation of HsbA and the subsequent activation of HsbD (31). In addition to RsmY, when the *cafA* is repressed in the RsmA/N-dependent manner, RsmZ would escape from degradation owing to the endoribonucleolytic activity (32), thus constituting three repressors feedback loop. In a simple and synthetic gene circuit, however, the three repressors loop periodically induces the synthesis of output (62). Since RsmY/Z expression increases throughout the growth period (15), other factors might be involved in the homeostatic regulations of RsmY/Z. For example, polynucleotide phosphorylase PNPase regulates the stabilities of RsmY/Z (63). Our CLIP-seq data has identified RsmA binding to the gene encoding PNPase (Table S1), which infers that RsmA affects RsmY/Z through the availability of PNPase.

Finally, we discovered 41 RsmA/N-binding sRNAs besides known RsmA/N-titrating sRNAs (Fig. 6 and Table S1). Among them, SPA0079 was highly enriched in both Hfq and RsmA/N CLIP-seq, motivating us to further investigate its properties. The level of SPA0079 expression reached maximum in late stationary phase and was undetectable in $\Delta lasI \Delta rhII$ mutant, whereas exogenous supplementation of two AHLs complimented the expression (Fig. S4 and S5), strongly supporting the fact that SPA0079 is regulated by two QS pathways (35). Although we expected that SPA0079 could alleviate RsmA/N-mediated translational repression, no clear activation of translational fusion activity was observed with the SPA0079 sRNA overexpression (Fig. S5D). Given that RsmY/Z predominantly bind to RsmA/N under the conditions in which CLIP-seq was performed and the effect of SPA0079 is negligible, future studies should be performed using $\Delta rsmY/Z$ mutant to determine whether or not the SPA0079 sRNA are indeed RsmA/N antagonist. A recent paper shows that sRNA179 (also annotated as SPA0034) expression stimulates RsmY transcription (64). Additionally, the expression of sRNA179 is activated by QS similar to SPA0079 (35). Considering these observations, QS might suppress the RsmA/N

regulatory system through two different posttranscriptional pathways, highlighting the complexity of Rsm regulatory systems.

METHODS

Strains, plasmids, and growth conditions

Strains, plasmids and oligonucleotides used herein are enlisted in Table S2. All experiments were performed using *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 or its derived strains. Each strain was cultured at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium. Samples were collected at the OD₆₀₀ values indicated in the figures. Where indicated, the appropriate AHLs were added at the following final concentrations: C₄-HSL (Cayman Chemicals) at 10 µM and 3OC₁₂-HSL (RTI International) at 2 µM. Antibiotics and arabinose were used as needed at concentrations listed as follows. For *Pseudomonas*, gentamicin, tetracycline, and arabinose at concentrations 50 µg/ml, 80 µg/ml, and 0.1%, respectively, were used. Similarly, for *E. coli*, gentamicin and tetracycline at concentrations 10 µg/ml and 20 µg/ml, respectively, were used.

Strain construction

P. aeruginosa PAO1 $\Delta rsmA$, $\Delta rsmN$, $\Delta rsmA/N$ double mutant, $\Delta lasI$, $\Delta rhII$, $\Delta lasI\Delta rhII$ double mutant, and $\Delta SPA0079$ strains were constructed based on the conjugative transfer of appropriate plasmids and homologous recombination between chromosome and plasmids as described previously (65). The plasmids for the homologous recombination of $\Delta rsmA$, $\Delta rsmN$, and $\Delta rsmA/N$ double mutant were constructed by HindIII/XbaI cloning of PCR products 500 bp upstream and 500 bp downstream of CDS of each gene from PAO1 chromosome into pG19II backbone (66). The plasmids for the homologous recombination of $\Delta lasI$, $\Delta rhII$, $\Delta lasI\Delta rhII$ double mutant, and $\Delta SPA0079$ were constructed by HindIII/BamHI cloning of PCR products 500 bp upstream and 500 bp downstream of CDS of each gene

from PAO1 chromosome into pG19II backbone (66). pG19*rsmA*::3×FLAG and pG19*rsmN*::3×FLAG were constructed by cloning PCR products at HindIII/XbaI sites 500 bp upstream of the *rsmA/N* stop codon in the PAO1 chromosome, 3×FLAG tag, 500 bp downstream of the *rsmA/N* stop codon in the PAO1 chromosome into the pG19II backbone.

UV crosslinking, immunoprecipitation, and RNA purification

Bacterial cultures with volume 200 ml of three replicates were maintained up to an OD₆₀₀ of 2.0 in LB medium. For RsmN expression from the multicopy plasmid, 0.1% arabinose was added at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.8. UV crosslinking and immunoprecipitation were performed in accordance with the previously published protocol with minor modifications (22). Briefly, half of the culture at an OD₆₀₀ of 2.0 from each condition was irradiated at 800 mJ of UV light at 254 nm. After UV crosslinking, the sample was centrifuged at 3,600 rpm for 30 min at 4°C along with the non-crosslinked control samples.

Cell pellets were lysed in FastPrep 24 (MP-Biomedicals) at 6 m/s for 1 min with 1 ml of 0.1-mm glass beads and 800 µl NP-T buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween20, pH 8.0). NP-T supplemented with 8 M urea was added to each supernatant at an equal volume and incubated for 5 min at 65°C with shaking at 900 rpm. Anti-FLAG magnetic beads were washed thrice with 500 µl NP-T buffer, resuspended in 125 µl NP-T buffer, and treated with a 120-µl suspension of urea-treated samples. After 1 h of incubation at 4°C, samples were washed twice with 500 µl high-salt buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1M NaCl, 0.05% Tween20, pH 8.0), followed by two washes with 500 µl NP-T buffer. Beads were resuspended in benzonase mix [500 units benzonase nuclease (E1014, Sigma-Aldrich) in NP-T buffer with 1 mM MgCl₂] and incubated for 10 min at 37°C with shaking at 900 rpm. After one wash with high-salt buffer and two washes with CIP buffer (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mM

MgCl₂), beads were resuspended in 200 µl CIP mix (20 units of calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (M0290, NEB) in CIP buffer) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C with shaking at 800 rpm.

Here, radioactive isotope (RI) labeling was performed only in the preliminary investigation to check whether UV crosslinking did enrich RNA-protein complex (Fig. 1A). After one wash with high-salt buffer and two washes with PNK buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM spermidine), beads were resuspended in 200 µl PNK buffer and separated into 20 µl and 180 µl volumes to be used subsequently for western blotting and RI labeling, respectively. For RI labeling, beads were magnetized and resuspended in 100 µl PNK mix [10 units of T4 polynucleotide kinase (EK0032, ThermoFisher Scientific), 10 µCi γ-³²P-ATP in PNK buffer]) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C, following the addition of 1 µl 10 mM non-radioactive ATP and incubation for 5 min at 37°C. After two washes with NP-T buffer, beads were resuspended in 25 µl 2xProtein loading buffer and incubated for 5 min at 95°C. Beads were magnetized and supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes. The elution was repeated twice. For western blotting, 20 µl separated beads were washed twice with 100 µl NP-T buffer and resuspended in 10 µl 2xProtein loading buffer and incubated for 5 min at 95°C. Beads were magnetized and supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes. The elution was repeated twice.

In the CLIP experiments for RNA purification and cDNA preparation, RI labeling was not used (Fig. 1B). After CIP reaction, beads were resuspended in 100 µl PNK mix [10 units of T4 polynucleotide kinase (EK0032, ThermoFisher Scientific), 1 µl 10 mM non-radioactive ATP in PNK buffer] and incubated for 30 min at 37°C, followed by addition of 1 µl 10 mM non-radioactive ATP and incubation for 5 min at 37°C. After two washes with NP-T buffer, beads were resuspended in 30 µl 2xProtein loading buffer and incubated for 5 min at 95°C. Beads were magnetized and supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes. The elution was repeated twice.

473 Aliquots of 55 μ l were loaded and separated via SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12%
474 resolving gel) at 30 mA while moving through the stacking gel, which was then increased to 40 mA.
475 After RNA electrophoresis, the RNA was transferred from the gel to the Protran membrane (#10600016,
476 GE Healthcare). The membrane was placed on a clean glass surface and cut from the prestained protein
477 markers to 50 kDa above them. Each membrane piece was cut into smaller pieces and placed in 2 ml
478 fresh tubes with 400 μ l PK solution [1.3 mg/ml Proteinase K (EO0491, ThermoFisher Scientific), and 10
479 units of RNase inhibitor (#10777019, Invitrogen) in 2xPK buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9, 10 mM
480 EDTA, 1% SDS)], followed by incubation for 1 h at 37°C with shaking at 1,000 rpm. The samples were
481 subsequently incubated with 100 μ l PK buffer containing 9 M urea for 1 h at 37°C with shaking at 1,000
482 rpm. Thereafter, 450 μ l of supernatants from proteinase K-treated membranes were mixed with an equal
483 volume of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol in phase-lock gel tubes and incubated for 5 min at 30°C
484 with shaking at 1,000 rpm. Each mixture was centrifuged for 12 min at 13,000 rpm at 4°C, and 400 μ l of
485 the aqueous phase was precipitated with 3 volumes of ice-cold ethanol, 1/30 volume of 3 M NaOAc (pH
486 5.2), and 1 μ l of GlycoBlue (AM9515, Invitrogen) for 2 h at -20°C. The precipitated pellet was washed
487 with 80% ethanol, briefly dried for 5 min at 20°C, and resuspended in 11 μ l of sterilized water.

488

489 **cDNA library preparation and sequencing**

490 A cDNA library of the CLIP samples was prepared using the NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA Library
491 Prep Set for Illumina (#E7300, NEB) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. RT primer and
492 both 3'/5' SR adapters were diluted 10-fold with nuclease-free water before use. cDNA was converted
493 from 2.5 μ l of each purified RNA. Reverse-transcribed cDNAs were amplified by 20 cycles PCR. PCR
494 products were concentrated to 10 μ l using the MinElute PCR Purification kit and separated by 6%
495 polyacrylamide gel with 7 M urea. Bands between 130 bp and 250 bp were cut and purified from the gel

in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Purified cDNAs of 5 µl volume were amplified by 6 cycles PCR and concentrated to 10 µl using the MinElute PCR Purification kit. Final cDNA libraries were quantified using the Qubit dsDNA assay (Q32854, Invitrogen) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer DNA HS (Agilent). Twelve cDNA libraries from CLIP were pooled on an Illumina HiSeq2500 and sequenced in paired-end mode (2×50 cycles).

Sequence processing, mapping, normalization, and peak calling

To assure high sequence quality, read 1 (R1) and read 2 (R2) files containing the Illumina paired-end reads in FASTQ format were quality and adapter-trimmed by Cutadapt (67) version 1.15/1.16 using a cut-off Phred score of 20. Reads without any remaining bases were discarded (command line parameters: -q 20 -m 1 -a AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAC -A GATCGTCGGACTGTAGAACTCTGAACGTGTAGATCTCGGTGGTCGCCGTATCATT). To eliminate putative PCR duplicates, paired-end reads were collapsed using FastUniq (68) After trimming, we applied the pipeline READemption (69) version 0.4.5 to align all reads longer than 11 nt to the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 chromosome (NCBI accession no. NC_002516.2) reference genome using segemehl (70) version 0.2.0 with accuracy cut-off of 80%.

Read counts per position were analyzed using reads that mapped uniquely to single genomic position. The core of positions present in crosslinked and non-crosslinked library pairs were isolated, as described previously (71). Positions with low read counts were filtered from both crosslinked and non-crosslinked libraries using 10 standard deviations from 0 as a threshold. After plotting the difference in read counts between the two libraries as shown in Fig. S6, the size factor was calculated using the DESeq normalization procedure from the high-count positions in both libraries across all replicates (72).

We applied PEAKachu v0.1.0 (<https://github.com/tbischler/PEAKachu>) for the peak calling similar to the previously described protocol (71). First, BAM files for the respective pairs of crosslinked and non-crosslinked libraries were used to run in paired-end (-P) and paired-replicates (-r) mode. The maximum fragment size (-M) was set to 50 and annotations generated as GFF format were used to map overlapping features to the called peaks. Normalization was performed in the “manual” mode using previously determined size factors (see above). Other parameters were set to default. Second, the boundary of initial peaks was set through block definition computed by the blockbuster algorithm (73) based on pooled read alignments from all crosslinked libraries using default parameters. Third, the PEAKachu tool ran the DESeq2 package (74) to analyze the significance of peak enrichment in the crosslinked libraries relative to the non-crosslinked libraries with parameter values as follows: mad-multiplier (-m) 1.0, fold change (-f) 1.0, and adjusted *p*-value (-Q) 0.05. Finally, PEAKachu was used for each replicon and strand to generate normalized coverage plots to facilitate data visualization.

Analysis of sequence and structural motifs

The sequences of peaks were used to perform MEME sequence motif analysis (75). Minimum and maximum motif widths were set at 6 and 50, respectively, while other parameters were set to default. Structural motifs of the sequences of peak regions extended by an additional 10 nt upstream and downstream were analyzed using CMfinder 0.2.1 (76). The minimum length of single stem-loop candidates was set to 20, while other parameters were set to default. Each analyzed motif was visualized using R2R (77).

Statistical and other analysis

Descriptive statistical analyses for peak and gene overlapping between RsmA and RsmN, peak distributions across the *P. aeruginosa* genome, and peak classification among RNA classes were performed using Microsoft Excel. Meta-gene analyses across detected mRNAs or the *P. aeruginosa* genome were performed with in-house script using Python3. Genes identified via CLIP-seq analysis were functionally characterized using the PseudoCAP annotation (<http://www.pseudomonas.com>). KEGG enrichment analysis was performed for mRNAs identified via CLIP-seq analysis using DAVID (<https://david.ncifcrf.gov/summary.jsp>). Default parameters and databases were used for the analysis.

Construction of translational fusion plasmids

The sequence containing the first 186 amino acids of LacZ (LacZ186) and the super-folder GFP (sfGFP) open reading frame (78) expressed by J23119 constitutive promoter was obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific. LacZ186 was flanked by a NsiI and a SphI site while sfGFP was flanked by a SphI and an XbaI site. The synthetic sequence was cloned into inversely amplified pSW002-Pc PCR product by infusion cloning (Takara, Z9633N). pSW002-Pc was a gift from Rosemarie Wilton (Addgene plasmid # 108234) (79). The region from TSSes to downstream of the start codon in target RNAs was amplified with 15 bp complemented sequences on 5' and 3' end, respectively. The resulting PCR products were cloned into a NsiI/SphI digested pSW-lacZ::sfGFP backbone by infusion cloning (Takara, Z9633N).

Translational fusion assay

P. aeruginosa wild type and $\Delta rsmA/N$ strains carrying the sfGFP translational fusions were grown overnight in 1 ml LB medium with 80 μ g/ml tetracycline at 37°C. An aliquot with 2 μ l volume was resuspended in 200 μ l LB medium with 80 μ g/ml tetracycline and transferred to black polystyrene 96-well microplates with a clear, flat bottom (Corning). The medium was additionally supplemented with

gentamicin with concentration 50 µg/ml and 0.1% arabinose for RsmA/N and SPA0079 expression from multicopy plasmids. Fluorescence polarization (FP_{476/510}) was measured with SpectraMax GeminiXS (Molecular Devices) every 15 min for 6 h at 37°C with agitation for 1 min before fluorescence measurement. The fluorescent cutoff was set to 495 nm. Thereafter, the final optical density (OD) of each culture was obtained by GeneQuant 1300 (Biochrom). GFP activity was expressed in arbitrary units as FP_{476/510}/OD₆₀₀.

qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted by the hot phenol extraction method followed by DNase treatment. cDNAs from 1 µg DNase-treated purified RNA were obtained using PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix (#RR036A, TAKARA) following the manufacturer's instruction. qRT-PCR was performed for triplicates. Reactions were performed in a total volume of 20 µl containing 10 µl of TB Green Fast qPCR Mix (#RR430A, TAKARA), 0.4 µl of ROX reference dye II, 0.8 µl of each primer (10 µM), 7 µl of RNase-free water and 1 µl of template DNA. PCR and fluorescence measurements were performed using the viiA7 (Applied Biosystems) with the following program; preheating at 95°C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 s and annealing at 60°C for 20 s. The gene *rpoD* was used as an internal standard (80). Relative gene expression was calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method (81).

5' and 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE)

5' and 3' RACE assays were performed as previously described with some modifications (82). For 5' RACE assay, 15 µg of DNA-depleted RNA was incubated with 12.5 units of RNA 5' pyrophosphohydrolase (RppH) (#M0356, NEB) in a 50 µl reaction for 1 h at 37°C. The same volume of RNA without the RppH reaction was prepared to be used as a negative control. After incubation, RppH-

586 reacted and control RNAs were purified and 1.25 μ M 5'RACE adaptor (5'–GAU AUG CGC GAA UUC
587 CUG UAG AAC GAA CAC UAG AAG AAA–3') was mixed. The samples were denatured at 95°C for
588 5 min. The adaptor was ligated using T4 RNA Ligase 1 overnight at 16°C. The adaptor-ligated RNA
589 was purified, annealed with gene-specific primer and reverse-transcribed using AffinityScript Multiple
590 Temperature Reverse Transcriptase (#600107, Agilent) with following conditions; 42°C for 20 min,
591 55°C for 20 min and 70°C for 15 min in a 20 μ l reaction. One-micro-liter of reverse-transcribed cDNA
592 was amplified by nested PCR with a total volume of 50 μ l containing 0.4 μ M of 5'adaptor-specific
593 primer, 0.4 μ M of 1st round gene-specific primer, 200 μ M of each dNTP, 1x PCR buffer, and 1.25 units
594 of TaKaRa Taq HS (#R007A, TAKARA). Second PCR was performed with a total volume of 50 μ l
595 containing 1 μ l of PCR product obtained after the first round, 0.4 μ M of 5'adaptor-specific primer, 0.4
596 μ M of 2nd round gene-specific primer, 200 μ M of each dNTP, 1x PCR buffer, and 1.25 units of TaKaRa
597 Taq HS (#R007A, TAKARA). Following conditions were used for both PCRs: preheating at 95°C for 3
598 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 56°C for 30 s, extension at
599 72°C for 30 s, and then final extension at 72°C for 7 min.

600 For 3' RACE assay, 15 μ g of DNA-depleted RNA was incubated with 25 units of calf intestinal
601 alkaline phosphatase (CIP) (#M0290, NEB) in a 50 μ l reaction volume for 1 h at 37°C. After incubation,
602 RNA reacted with CIP was purified and 1.25 μ M 3'RACE adaptor (5'-phosphate-UUC ACU GUU
603 CUU AGC GGC CGC AUG CUC-idT -3') was mixed. The samples were denatured at 95°C for 5 min.
604 The adaptor was ligated overnight using T4 RNA Ligase 1 at 17°C. The adaptor-ligated RNA was
605 purified, annealed with gene-specific primer and reverse-transcribed using AffinityScript Multiple
606 Temperature Reverse Transcriptase (#600107, Agilent) with following conditions; 42°C for 20 min,
607 55°C for 20 min and 70°C for 15 min in a 20 μ l reaction. Reverse-transcribed cDNA of 1 μ l was
608 amplified by PCR in a total volume of 50 μ l containing 0.4 μ M of 3'adaptor-specific primer, 0.4 μ M of

gene-specific primer, 200 μ M of each dNTP, 1x PCR buffer, and 1.25 units of TaKaRa Taq HS (#R007A, TAKARA). The PCR was conducted as follows: preheating at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 56°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 30 s, and then final extension at 72°C for 7 min.

PCR products were separated on 4% NuSieve GTG agarose gel, the band of interest was cut, gel-eluted, and cloned into a BamHI/HindIII digested pUC19. Bacterial colonies obtained after the transformation were screened by colony PCR. The PCR fragments were purified by QIAquick PCR purification kit and sequenced with an ABI Genetic analyzer 3500 (Applied Biosystems).

Northern blotting

Purified RNA of 10 μ g was denatured at 95°C for 5 min in gel loading buffer II (#AM8547, Invitrogen) and separated by 6% polyacrylamide gel with 7M urea at 300 V for 2 h. Separated RNA was electro-transferred from the gel to Hybond-N+ membranes (GE Healthcare) at 50 V for 1 h at 4°C and the membranes were UV-crosslinked (120 mJ/cm²). Northern blotting was performed with the Roche DIG system. DNA probes for 5S rRNA and SPA0079 were amplified with PCR using primers described in Table S2 and PCR DIG Probe Synthesis Kit (#11636090910, Roche). The reaction was performed with a total volume of 50 μ l containing 0.2 μ M of forward primer, 0.2 μ M of reverse primer, 200 μ M of dATP, dCTP, and dGTP, 130 μ M of dTTP, 70 μ M of DIG-11-dUTP, 1x PCR buffer, and 2.625 units of Enzyme mix, Expand High Fidelity (#1732641, Roche). The PCR conditions were set as follows: preheating at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 40 s and then final extension at 72°C for 7 min.

UV-crosslinked membranes were prehybridized with 10 ml of DIG EasyHyb for 30 min at 50°C. Thereafter, DIG-labelled DNA probes were hybridized overnight at 50°C in 15 ml of DIG EasyHyb.

Membranes were washed every 15 min in 5×Saline Sodium Citrate (SSC)/0.1% SDS, 1×SSC/0.1% SDS and 0.5× SSC/0.1% SDS buffers at 50°C followed by washing with maleic acid buffer (0.1 M maleic acid, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.3% Tween-20 pH 7.5) for 5 min at 37°C. Thereafter, membranes were blocked with blocking solution (#11585762001, Roche) for 45 min at 37°C, and probed with 75 mU/ml Anti-Digoxigenin-AP (#11093274001, Roche) in blocking solution for 45 min at 37°C. Membranes were then washed again in maleic acid wash buffer in two 15-min steps and equilibrated with detection buffer (0.1 M Tris-HCl, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 9.5). Signals were visualized by CDP-star (#12041677001, Roche) with Fusion Fx Imaging System (Vilber-Lourmat).

Western blotting

One-hundred bacterial cultures at OD₆₀₀ = 2.0 were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 2 min at 22°C and resuspended in 100 µl 1xProtein loading buffer, followed by incubation for 5 min at 95°C. Aliquots of 5 µl were separated on 10% TGX gel (Bio-Rad) and subsequently transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane using a Transblot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad).

For the CLIP procedure, 5 µl aliquots of heat-denatured immunoprecipitated RNA-protein complex were loaded and separated via SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (12% resolving gel) at 30 mA per gel while moving through the stacking gel, which was then increased to 40 mA. After electrophoresis, proteins were electro-transferred onto a PVDF membrane.

Transferred membranes were blocked in 1xTBS-T buffer (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween20, pH 7.6) with 10% skim milk for 45 min. Thereafter, the membrane was probed overnight at 4°C with monoclonal α-FLAG (#31430, ThermoFisher Scientific; 1:10,000) antibody diluted in 1×TBS-T buffer containing 3% bovine serum albumin, washed thrice for 5 min each in 1×TBS-T buffer, probed for 1 h with anti-mouse-HRP-antibody (F1804, Sigma-Aldrich; 1:50,000) diluted in 1×TBS-T buffer

containing 3% bovine serum albumin, and washed thrice for 5 min each in 1×TBS-T buffer. Chemiluminescent signals were detected using Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP substrate (#WBKLS0500, Millipore) and measured with Fusion Fx Imaging System (Vilber-Lourmat).

Data availability

Raw sequence data are available in the DDBJ Sequenced Read Archive under the accession number DRA010307.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Akiko Yokota and Tetsushi Suyama for their assistance with the registration of this study in AIST. We also thank Thorsten Bischler for his help with CLIP-seq analysis and Jörg Vogel for his helpful comments on this study.

This study was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Research Fellow Grant Number 17J10663. The sequencing of CLIP-seq libraries was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16H06279 (PAGS).

K.C. designed study, performed experiments and data analysis, acquired funding, and wrote manuscript. L.B. performed data analysis and participated in manuscript writing. K.T. performed experiments. N.N and S.T. participated in manuscript writing and supervision.

REFERENCES

1. Mulcahy LR, Isabella VM, Lewis K. 2014. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Biofilms in Disease. Microbial Ecology 68:1-12.

- 677 2. Holmqvist E, Wagner EGH. 2017. Impact of bacterial sRNAs in stress responses. *Biochem Soc*
678 *Trans* 45:1203-1212.
- 679 3. Chao Y, Vogel J. 2010. The role of Hfq in bacterial pathogens. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 13:24-33.
- 680 4. Sonnleitner E, Hagens S, Rosenau F, Wilhelm S, Habel A, Jäger KE, Bläsi U. 2003. Reduced
681 virulence of a *hfq* mutant of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* O1. *Microbial Pathogenesis* 35:217-228.
- 682 5. Sonnleitner E, Schuster M, Sorger-Domenigg T, Greenberg EP, Blasi U. 2006. Hfq-dependent
683 alterations of the transcriptome profile and effects on quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas*
684 *aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* 59:1542-1558.
- 685 6. Pusic P, Sonnleitner E, Krennmayr B, Heitzinger DA, Wolfinger MT, Resch A, Bläsi U. 2018.
686 Harnessing metabolic regulation to increase Hfq-dependent antibiotic susceptibility in
687 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Front Microbiol* 9:2709.
- 688 7. Romeo T, Gong M, Liu MY, Brun-Zinkernagel AM. 1993. Identification and molecular
689 characterization of *csrA*, a pleiotropic gene from *Escherichia coli* that affects glycogen
690 biosynthesis, gluconeogenesis, cell size, and surface properties. *J Bacteriol* 175:4744-4755.
- 691 8. Babitzke P, Romeo T. 2007. CsrB sRNA family: sequestration of RNA-binding regulatory
692 proteins. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 10:156-163.
- 693 9. Holmqvist E, Vogel J. 2013. A small RNA serving both the Hfq and CsrA regulons. *Genes Dev*
694 27:1073-1078.
- 695 10. Kay E, Humair B, Denervaud V, Riedel K, Spahr S, Eberl L, Valverde C, Haas D. 2006. Two
696 GacA-dependent small RNAs modulate the quorum-sensing response in *Pseudomonas*
697 *aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* 188:6026-6033.

- 698 11. Miller CL, Romero M, Karna SL, Chen T, Heeb S, Leung KP. 2016. RsmW, *Pseudomonas*
699 *aeruginosa* small non-coding RsmA-binding RNA upregulated in biofilm versus planktonic
700 growth conditions. BMC Microbiol 16:155.
- 701 12. Janssen KH, Diaz MR, Gode CJ, Wolfgang MC, Yahr TL. 2018. RsmV, a small noncoding
702 regulatory RNA in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* that sequesters RsmA and RsmF from target
703 mRNAs. J Bacteriol 200:e00277-18.
- 704 13. Morris ER, Hall G, Li C, Heeb S, Kulkarni RV, Lovelock L, Silistre H, Messina M, Camara M,
705 Emsley J, Williams P, Searle MS. 2013. Structural rearrangement in an RsmA/CsrA ortholog of
706 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* creates a dimeric RNA-binding protein, RsmN. Structure 21:1659-
707 1671.
- 708 14. Goodman AL, Merighi M, Hyodo M, Ventre I, Filloux A, Lory S. 2009. Direct interaction
709 between sensor kinase proteins mediates acute and chronic disease phenotypes in a bacterial
710 pathogen. Genes Dev 23:249-259.
- 711 15. Brencic A, McFarland KA, McManus HR, Castang S, Mogno I, Dove SL, Lory S. 2009. The
712 GacS/GacA signal transduction system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* acts exclusively through its
713 control over the transcription of the RsmY and RsmZ regulatory small RNAs. Mol Microbiol
714 73:434-445.
- 715 16. Irie Y, Starkey M, Edwards AN, Wozniak DJ, Romeo T, Parsek MR. 2010. *Pseudomonas*
716 *aeruginosa* biofilm matrix polysaccharide Psl is regulated transcriptionally by RpoS and post-
717 transcriptionally by RsmA. Mol Microbiol 78:158-172.
- 718 17. Allsopp LP, Wood TE, Howard SA, Maggiorelli F, Nolan LM, Wettstadt S, Filloux A. 2017.
719 RsmA and AmrZ orchestrate the assembly of all three type VI secretion systems in *Pseudomonas*
720 *aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:7707-7712.

18. Heurlier K, Williams F, Heeb S, Dormond C, Pessi G, Singer D, Camara M, Williams P, Haas D. 2004. Positive control of swarming, rhamnolipid synthesis, and lipase production by the posttranscriptional RsmA/RsmZ system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. J Bacteriol 186:2936-2945.
19. Romero M, Silistre H, Lovelock L, Wright VJ, Chan KG, Hong KW, Williams P, Camara M, Heeb S. 2018. Genome-wide mapping of the RNA targets of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* riboregulatory protein RsmN. Nucleic Acids Res 46:6823-6840.
20. Gebhardt MJ, Kambara TK, Ramsey KM, Dove SL. 2020. Widespread targeting of nascent transcripts by RsmA in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:10520-10529.
21. Marden JN, Diaz MR, Walton WG, Gode CJ, Betts L, Urbanowski ML, Redinbo MR, Yahr TL, Wolfgang MC. 2013. An unusual CsrA family member operates in series with RsmA to amplify posttranscriptional responses in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:15055-15060.
22. Chihara K, Bischler T, Barquist L, Monzon AV, Noda N, Vogel J, Tsuneda S. 2019. Conditional Hfq association with small noncoding RNAs in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* revealed through comparative UV cross-linking immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing. mSystems 4:e00590-19.
23. Kingsford CL, Ayanbule K, Salzberg SL. 2007. Rapid, accurate, computational discovery of Rho-independent transcription terminators illuminates their relationship to DNA uptake. Genome Biol 8:R22.
24. Gill EE, Chan LS, Winsor GL, Dobson N, Lo R, Ho Sui SJ, Dhillon BK, Taylor PK, Shrestha R, Spencer C, Hancock REW, Unrau PJ, Brinkman FSL. 2018. High-throughput detection of RNA processing in bacteria. BMC Genomics 19:223.

- 744 25. Ferrara S, Brugnoli M, De Bonis A, Righetti F, Delvillani F, Deho G, Horner D, Briani F,
745 Bertoni G. 2012. Comparative profiling of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains reveals differential
746 expression of novel unique and conserved small RNAs. PLoS One 7:e36553.
- 747 26. Gomez-Lozano M, Marvig RL, Molin S, Long KS. 2012. Genome-wide identification of novel
748 small RNAs in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Environ Microbiol 14:2006-2016.
- 749 27. Gomez-Lozano M, Marvig RL, Tulstrup MV, Molin S. 2014. Expression of antisense small
750 RNAs in response to stress in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. BMC Genomics 15:783.
- 751 28. Schulmeyer KH, Diaz MR, Bair TB, Sanders W, Gode CJ, Laederach A, Wolfgang MC, Yahr
752 TL. 2016. Primary and secondary sequence structure requirements for recognition and
753 discrimination of target RNAs by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* RsmA and RsmF. J Bacteriol
754 198:2458-2469.
- 755 29. Sorger-Domenigg T, Sonnleitner E, Kaberdin VR, Blasi U. 2007. Distinct and overlapping
756 binding sites of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Hfq and RsmA proteins on the non-coding RNA
757 RsmY. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 352:769-773.
- 758 30. Rocchetta HL, Burrows LL, Lam JS. 1999. Genetics of O-antigen biosynthesis in *Pseudomonas*
759 *aeruginosa*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 63:523-553.
- 760 31. Bordi C, Lamy MC, Ventre I, Termine E, Hachani A, Fillet S, Roche B, Bleves S, Mejean V,
761 Lazdunski A, Filloux A. 2010. Regulatory RNAs and the HptB/RetS signalling pathways fine-
762 tune *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis. Mol Microbiol 76:1427-1443.
- 763 32. Petrova OE, Sauer K. 2010. The novel two-component regulatory system BfiSR regulates
764 biofilm development by controlling the small RNA *rsmZ* through CafA. J Bacteriol 192:5275-
765 5288.

- 766 33. Bouillet S, Ba M, Houot L, Iobbi-Nivol C, Bordi C. 2019. Connected partner-switches control
767 the life style of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* through RpoS regulation. Sci Rep 9:6496.
- 768 34. Zuker M. 2003. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic
769 Acids Res 31:3406-3415.
- 770 35. Thomason MK, Voichek M, Dar D, Addis V, FitzGerald DJ, Gottesman S, Sorek R, Greenberg
771 EP, Buchrieser C. 2019. A *rhII* 5' UTR-derived sRNA regulates RhlR-dependent quorum sensing
772 in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. mBio 10:43.
- 773 36. Tree JJ, Granneman S, McAteer SP, Tollervey D, Gally DL. 2014. Identification of
774 bacteriophage-encoded anti-sRNAs in pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Mol Cell 55:199-213.
- 775 37. Holmqvist E, Wright PR, Li L, Bischler T, Barquist L, Reinhardt R, Backofen R, Vogel J. 2016.
776 Global RNA recognition patterns of post-transcriptional regulators Hfq and CsrA revealed by
777 UV crosslinking *in vivo*. EMBO J 35:991-1011.
- 778 38. Potts AH, Vakulskas CA, Pannuri A, Yakhnin H, Babitzke P, Romeo T. 2017. Global role of the
779 bacterial post-transcriptional regulator CsrA revealed by integrated transcriptomics. Nat
780 Commun 8:1596.
- 781 39. Lovelock L. 2012. RsmN : a new atypical RsmA homologue in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. PhD
782 thesis. Univ of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom.
- 783 40. Lee FCY, Ule J. 2018. Advances in CLIP technologies for studies of protein-RNA interactions.
784 Mol Cell 69:354-369.
- 785 41. Van Nostrand EL, Pratt GA, Shishkin AA, Gelboin-Burkhart C, Fang MY, Sundararaman B,
786 Blue SM, Nguyen TB, Surka C, Elkins K, Stanton R, Rigo F, Guttman M, Yeo GW. 2016.
787 Robust transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding sites with enhanced CLIP
788 (eCLIP). Nat Methods 13:508-514.

- 789 42. Maticzka D, Ilik IA, Aktas T, Backofen R, Akhtar A. 2018. uvCLAP is a fast and non-
790 radioactive method to identify *in vivo* targets of RNA-binding proteins. Nat Commun 9:1142.
- 791 43. Ilik IA, Aktas T, Maticzka D, Backofen R, Akhtar A. 2019. FLASH: ultra-fast protocol to
792 identify RNA-protein interactions in cells. Nucleic Acids Res 48:e15.
- 793 44. Müller P, Gimpel M, Wildenhain T, Brantl S. 2019. A new role for CsrA: promotion of complex
794 formation between an sRNA and its mRNA target in *Bacillus subtilis*. RNA Biol:1-16.
- 795 45. Olejniczak M, Storz G. 2017. ProQ/FinO-domain proteins: another ubiquitous family of RNA
796 matchmakers? Mol Microbiol 104:905-915.
- 797 46. van Biesen T, Frost LS. 1994. The FinO protein of IncF plasmids binds FinP antisense RNA and
798 its target, *traJ* mRNA, and promotes duplex formation. Mol Microbiol 14:427-436.
- 799 47. Smirnov A, Forstner KU, Holmqvist E, Otto A, Gunster R, Becher D, Reinhardt R, Vogel J.
800 2016. Grad-seq guides the discovery of ProQ as a major small RNA-binding protein. Proc Natl
801 Acad Sci U S A 113:11591-11596.
- 802 48. Smirnov A, Wang C, Drewry LL, Vogel J. 2017. Molecular mechanism of mRNA repression *in*
803 *trans* by a ProQ-dependent small RNA. EMBO J 36:1029-1045.
- 804 49. Melamed S, Adams PP, Zhang A, Zhang H, Storz G. 2019. RNA-RNA interactomes of ProQ and
805 Hfq reveal overlapping and competing roles. Mol Cell 77:411-425.e7.
- 806 50. Ross JA, Ellis MJ, Hossain S, Haniford DB. 2013. Hfq restructures RNA-IN and RNA-OUT and
807 facilitates antisense pairing in the Tn10/IS10 system. RNA 19:670-684.
- 808 51. Pessi G, Williams F, Hindle Z, Heurlier K, Holden MT, Camara M, Haas D, Williams P. 2001.
809 The global posttranscriptional regulator RsmA modulates production of virulence determinants
810 and N-acylhomoserine lactones in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 183:6676-6683.

- 811 52. Duss O, Michel E, Diarra dit Konte N, Schubert M, Allain FH. 2014. Molecular basis for the
812 wide range of affinity found in Csr/Rsm protein-RNA recognition. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:5332-
813 5346.
- 814 53. Burrows LL, Chow D, Lam JS. 1997. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* B-band O-antigen chain length
815 is modulated by Wzz (Ro1). *J Bacteriol* 179:1482-1489.
- 816 54. Deretic V, Schurr MJ, Yu H. 1995. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, mucoidy and the chronic infection
817 phenotype in cystic fibrosis. *Trends in Microbiology* 3:351-356.
- 818 55. Cross AR, Goldberg JB. 2019. Remodeling of O antigen in mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* via
819 transcriptional repression of *wzz2*. *mBio* 10:e02914-18.
- 820 56. Stacey SD, Williams DA, Pritchett CL. 2017. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* two-component
821 regulator AlgR directly activates *rsmA* expression in a phosphorylation independent manner. *J*
822 *Bacteriol* 199:e00048-17.
- 823 57. Ghafoor A, Hay ID, Rehm BH. 2011. Role of exopolysaccharides in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
824 biofilm formation and architecture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 77:5238-5246.
- 825 58. Augustin DK, Song Y, Baek MS, Sawa Y, Singh G, Taylor B, Rubio-Mills A, Flanagan JL,
826 Wiener-Kronish JP, Lynch SV. 2007. Presence or absence of lipopolysaccharide O antigens
827 affects type III secretion by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* 189:2203-2209.
- 828 59. Huang H, Shao X, Xie Y, Wang T, Zhang Y, Wang X, Deng X. 2019. An integrated genomic
829 regulatory network of virulence-related transcriptional factors in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Nat*
830 *Commun* 10:2931.
- 831 60. Intile PJ, Diaz MR, Urbanowski ML, Wolfgang MC, Yahr TL. 2014. The AlgZR two-component
832 system recalibrates the RsmAYZ posttranscriptional regulatory system to inhibit expression of
833 the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type III secretion system. *J Bacteriol* 196:357-366.

- 834 61. Wang Z, Huang X, Liu Y, Yang G, Liu Y, Zhang X. 2017. GacS/GacA activates pyoluteorin
835 biosynthesis through Gac/Rsm-RsmE cascade and RsmA/RsmE-driven feedback loop in
836 *Pseudomonas protegens* H78. Mol Microbiol 105:968-985.
- 837 62. Elowitz MB, Leibler S. 2000. A synthetic oscillatory network of transcriptional regulators.
838 Nature 403:335-338.
- 839 63. Chen R, Weng Y, Zhu F, Jin Y, Liu C, Pan X, Xia B, Cheng Z, Jin S, Wu W. 2016.
840 Polynucleotide phosphorylase regulates multiple virulence factors and the stabilities of small
841 RNAs RsmY/Z in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Front Microbiol 7:247.
- 842 64. Janssen KH, Corley JM, Djapgne L, Cribbs JT, Voelker D, Slusher Z, Nordell R, Regulski EE,
843 Kazmierczak BI, McMackin EW, Yahr TL. 2020. Hfq and sRNA 179 inhibit expression of the
844 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cAMP-Vfr and type III secretion regulons. mBio 11:e00363-20.
- 845 65. Hmelo LR, Borlee BR, Almblad H, Love ME, Randall TE, Tseng BS, Lin C, Irie Y, Storek KM,
846 Yang JJ, Siehnel RJ, Howell PL, Singh PK, Tolker-Nielsen T, Parsek MR, Schweizer HP,
847 Harrison JJ. 2015. Precision-engineering the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* genome with two-step
848 allelic exchange. Nat Protoc 10:1820-1841.
- 849 66. Maseda H, Sawada I, Saito K, Uchiyama H, Nakae T, Nomura N. 2004. Enhancement of the
850 *mexAB-oprM* efflux pump expression by a quorum-sensing autoinducer and its cancellation by a
851 regulator, MexT, of the *mexEF-oprN* efflux pump operon in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
852 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:1320-1328.
- 853 67. Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
854 EMBnetjournal 17.
- 855 68. Xu H, Luo X, Qian J, Pang X, Song J, Qian G, Chen J, Chen S. 2012. FastUniq: a fast *de novo*
856 duplicates removal tool for paired short reads. PLoS One 7:e52249.

857 69. Forstner KU, Vogel J, Sharma CM. 2014. READemption-a tool for the computational analysis of
858 deep-sequencing-based transcriptome data. *Bioinformatics* 30:3421-3423.

859 70. Hoffmann S, Otto C, Doose G, Tanzer A, Langenberger D, Christ S, Kunz M, Holdt LM,
860 Teupser D, Hackermuller J, Stadler PF. 2014. A multi-split mapping algorithm for circular RNA,
861 splicing, trans-splicing and fusion detection. *Genome Biol* 15:R34.

862 71. Holmqvist E, Li L, Bischler T, Barquist L, Vogel J. 2018. Global maps of ProQ binding *in vivo*
863 reveal target recognition via RNA structure and stability control at mRNA 3' ends. *Mol Cell*
864 70:971-982 e6.

865 72. Anders S, Huber W. 2010. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome*
866 *Biol* 11:R106.

867 73. Langenberger D, Bermudez-Santana C, Hertel J, Hoffmann S, Khaitovich P, Stadler PF. 2009.
868 Evidence for human microRNA-offset RNAs in small RNA sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*
869 25:2298-2301.

870 74. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
871 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15:550.

872 75. Bailey TL, Johnson J, Grant CE, Noble WS. 2015. The MEME Suite. *Nucleic Acids Res*
873 43:W39-49.

874 76. Yao Z, Weinberg Z, Ruzzo WL. 2006. CMfinder--a covariance model based RNA motif finding
875 algorithm. *Bioinformatics* 22:445-452.

876 77. Weinberg Z, Breaker RR. 2011. R2R--software to speed the depiction of aesthetic consensus
877 RNA secondary structures. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12:3.

- 878 78. Corcoran CP, Podkaminski D, Papenfort K, Urban JH, Hinton JC, Vogel J. 2012. Superfolder
879 GFP reporters validate diverse new mRNA targets of the classic porin regulator, MicF RNA.
880 Mol Microbiol 84:428-445.
- 881 79. Wilton R, Ahrendt AJ, Shinde S, Sholto-Douglas DJ, Johnson JL, Brennan MB, Kemner KM.
882 2017. A new suite of plasmid vectors for fluorescence-based imaging of root colonizing
883 *Pseudomonads*. Front Plant Sci 8:2242.
- 884 80. Beaudoin T, Zhang L, Hinz AJ, Parr CJ, Mah TF. 2012. The biofilm-specific antibiotic
885 resistance gene *ndvB* is important for expression of ethanol oxidation genes in *Pseudomonas*
886 *aeruginosa* biofilms. J Bacteriol 194:3128-3136.
- 887 81. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
888 quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25:402-408.
- 889 82. Argaman L, Hershberg R, Vogel J, Bejerano G, Wagner EGH, Margalit H, Altuvia S. 2001.
890 Novel small RNA-encoding genes in the intergenic regions of *Escherichia coli*. Curr Biol
891 11:941-950.

892

893 **Figure legends**

894 Figure 1. Overview of RsmA/N CLIP-seq. (A) Representative figures of autoradiogram and western
895 blotting of the CLIP-enriched RsmA/N-RNA complexes. XL+: crosslinking, XL-: non-crosslinking. (B)
896 Graphical summary of nonRI CLIP-seq approach. (C) The distribution of RsmA/N binding sites across
897 the *P. aeruginosa* genome. Peaks from RsmA and RsmN CLIP-seq are highlighted in blue and red,
898 respectively. (D) Overlapping peaks and genes between RsmA and RsmN bindings. Two peaks from
899 both RNA chaperones wherein both the start and stop positions are within 40 nt were considered as the
900 same peak. (E) Classification of RsmA/N peaks into RNA classes (5' UTR, CDS, 3' UTR, sRNA,
901 tRNA, rRNA and Intergenic peaks). Note that a peak may be classified into multiple classes based on its
902 position.

903
904 Figure 2. Consensus motif for *P. aeruginosa* RsmA/N bindings. (A) Meta-gene analysis for RsmA/N
905 bindings along mRNAs with start and stop codons as the reference points. (B) MEME sequence motif
906 analysis for all RsmA (411) and RsmN (186) peaks. The numbers indicate the peaks containing
907 predicted sequence motifs. (C) Percentage of peaks with indicated sequences. (D) CMfinder structural
908 motif analysis of all RsmA (411) and RsmN (186) peak sequences extended with 10 nt upstream and
909 downstream. Top-ranked structural motifs are shown. (E) Percentage of peaks with the indicated number
910 of GGA sequence per peak sequence extended with 10 nt upstream and downstream.

911
912 Figure 3. RsmA/N CLIP-seq captures previously known RsmA/N-binding sites. (A) Read coverage from
913 RsmA/N and Hfq CLIP-seq at the sRNAs RsmY/Z loci. Vertical axis indicates each read count. XL+:
914 crosslinking, XL-: non-crosslinking. (B) Secondary structures of sRNAs RsmY/Z. Red and shaded
915 letters indicate RsmA/N and Hfq binding peaks, respectively. Bold letters indicate GGA sequence as a

common binding motif of RsmA/N. (C) Read coverage at the *tssA1* and *mucA* loci from RsmA/N CLIP-seq are indicated. (D) Secondary structures of RsmA/N binding sites at the *tssA1* and *mucA* were predicted using Mfold (34). Red letters indicate GGA sequence as a common binding motif of RsmA/N.

Figure 4. The *wbp* gene cluster is posttranscriptionally regulated by RsmA/N. (A) DAVID enrichment analysis of RsmA/N peaks. The results of biological process are presented. (B) RsmA/N peak density distribution along the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome in bins of 2×10^4 basepairs. (C) Read coverage at the *wbp* gene cluster from RsmA/N CLIP-seq. TSS annotations (black arrows) were derived from a previous study (24). Vertical axis indicates each read count. RsmA and RsmN binding peaks with significant enrichment ($FDR < 0.05$) are indicated as red and blue bars, respectively. The genes shown in red were used for the following translational fusion assay. (D) Super-folder GFP translational fusion assay for the indicated genes between wild type and *rsmAN* mutant. Welch's t-test results are indicated: *, p -value < 0.05 ; **, p -value < 0.01 ; ***, p -value < 0.001 .

Figure 5. RsmA/N-controlled feedback loop for RsmY/Z sRNAs expressions. (A) Graphical summary of RsmA/N bindings with GacAS regulatory network. Orange and purple ovals are membrane-bound histidine kinases and response regulators in two-component systems, respectively. Asterisks indicate that RsmA/N peaks are associated with the genes. (B) Read coverage at the *cafA* and *hptB* loci from RsmA/N CLIP-seq. Vertical axis indicates each read count. RsmN binding peaks with significant enrichment ($FDR < 0.05$) are indicated as red bars. (C) Super-folder GFP translational fusion assay for the indicated genes between wild type and *rsmAN* mutant. (D) Super-folder GFP translational fusion assay for the indicated genes in *rsmAN* mutant with indicated plasmids. For exogenous RsmA/N expressions, 0.1% arabinose was added. (E) Relative expressions of RsmY/Z in PAO1 wild type and

939 *ΔrsmA/N*. RNA was extracted from cultures at OD₆₀₀ = 2.0 and RsmY/Z abundances were quantified by
940 qRT-PCR. Welch's t-test results are indicated: *, *p*-value < 0.05; **, *p*-value < 0.01; ***, *p*-value <
941 0.001 (C and E) and One-way ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test results are indicated: **, *p*-value < 0.01;
942 N.S., not significant (D).

943

944 Figure 6. Representative RsmA/N-binding sRNAs. (A) Read coverage at the SPA0035, SPA0066, and
945 SPA0079 loci from Hfq and RsmA/N CLIP-seq. (B) Secondary structures of binding sites of three
946 sRNAs, which are extended by additional 10 nt upstream and downstream. Secondary structures were
947 predicted using Mfold (34).

948

949 Figure S1. Detection of the 3×FLAG tagged proteins. (A) The presence of the tag was confirmed by
950 evaluating the cell extracts at OD₆₀₀ = 2.0 obtained from PAO1 WT, *hfq*::3×FLAG, *rsmA*::3×FLAG,
951 *rsmN*::3×FLAG, and *rsmN*::3×FLAG*ΔrsmA* strains via western blot analysis using anti-FLAG antibody.
952 (B) The presence of the tag was confirmed by evaluating the cell extracts at OD₆₀₀ = 2.0 obtained from
953 PAO1 WT with pJN-*rsmN*::3×FLAG plasmid via western blot analysis using anti-FLAG antibody. The
954 medium was supplemented with arabinose at 0.1% concentration when OD₆₀₀ reached 0.8.

955

956 Figure S2. Venn diagram comparing the RsmA/N CLIP-seq to published data. (A) Genes with
957 overlapping peaks between RsmA/N and Hfq. (B) Comparing the genes detected by RsmA CLIP-seq
958 with the list of transcripts detected by ChIPPAR-seq for *P. aeruginosa* RsmA (20). (C) Comparing the
959 genes detected by RsmN CLIP-seq with RsmN-binding genes detected by RIP-seq for *P. aeruginosa*
960 RsmN (19).

961

962 Figure S3. Localization of RsmA/N CLIP-seq peaks relative to known or predicted coding sequences
963 (CDSes) and ncRNAs. (A) Peaks identified in CDSes were classified according to the quadrant
964 positions. (B) Peaks identified in ncRNAs were classified into *trans*-sRNAs and *cis*-antisense RNAs.

965

966 Figure S4. *P. aeruginosa* SPA0079 sRNA. (A) Genetic organization of the SPA0079 sRNA. The -10
967 and -35 regions of the SPA0079 sRNA promoter are shown in bold. Transcription start site is indicated
968 as an arrow. Underline indicates Hfq-binding site. Red letters indicate the SPA0079 sRNA sequence
969 decided by 5'/3' RACE. Putative LasR/RhlR binding site is boxed. (B) Representative figure of 5'
970 RACE of the SPA0079 sRNA. The band corresponding PCR product from 5' end of the SPA0079 is
971 indicated by red arrow. Non-specific bands detected in both RppH plus and minus conditions were
972 identified as sequences in 23S rRNA. (C) Secondary structure of SPA0079 in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1
973 predicted by Mfold (34). Red letters indicate AUGGA sequence as a common binding motif of RsmA/N.
974 (D) Northern blot analysis of total RNA isolated from PAO1 wild-type and Δ SPA0079 strain. RNA was
975 extracted at the indicated OD₆₀₀. SPA0079 and 5S rRNA was detected using DIG-labelled probes.

976

977 Figure S5. Characterization of the SPA0079 sRNA. (A to C) Northern blot analysis of total RNA
978 isolated from the indicated strains. RNA was extracted at OD₆₀₀ = 6.0. SPA0079 and 5S rRNA was
979 detected using DIG-labelled probes. (D) Super-folder GFP translational fusion assay for *cafA* gene
980 between pJNS105 control vector and pJNS-SPA0079 overexpression vector. For exogenous SPA0079
981 expression, 0.1% arabinose was added.

982

983 Figure S6. Frequency plots of crosslinked and background samples between each replicate. The axes
984 show read counts and the coloring shows the frequency of each x-y pair. Red line indicates y = x.

985

986 Table S1. RsmA/N peaks detected by CLIP-seq

987

988 Table S2. The list of strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides

Figure 1. Overview of RsmA/N CLIP-seq. (A) Representative figures of autoradiogram and western blotting of the CLIP-enriched RsmA/N-RNA complexes. XL+: crosslinking, XL-: non-crosslinking. (B) Graphical summary of nonRI CLIP-seq approach. (C) The distribution of RsmA/N binding sites across the *P. aeruginosa* genome. Peaks from RsmA and RsmN CLIP-seq are highlighted in blue and red, respectively. (D) Overlapping peaks and genes between RsmA and RsmN bindings. Two peaks from both RNA chaperones wherein both the start and stop positions are within 40 nt were considered as the same peak. (E) Classification of RsmA/N peaks into RNA classes (5' UTR, CDS, 3' UTR, sRNA, tRNA, rRNA and Intergenic peaks). Note that a peak may be classified into multiple classes based on its position.

Figure 2. Consensus motif for *P. aeruginosa* RsmA/N bindings. (A) Meta-gene analysis for RsmA/N bindings along mRNAs with start and stop codons as the reference points. (B) MEME sequence motif analysis for all RsmA (411) and RsmN (186) peaks. The numbers indicate the peaks containing predicted sequence motifs. (C) Percentage of peaks with indicated sequences. (D) CMfinder structural motif analysis of all RsmA (411) and RsmN (186) peak sequences extended with 10 nt upstream and downstream. Top-ranked structural motifs are shown. (E) Percentage of peaks with the indicated number of GGA sequence per peak sequence extended with 10 nt upstream and downstream.

Figure 3. RsmA/N CLIP-seq captures previously known RsmA/N-binding sites. (A) Read coverage from RsmA/N and Hfq CLIP-seq at the sRNAs RsmY/Z loci. Vertical axis indicates each read count. XL+: crosslinking, XL-: non-crosslinking. (B) Secondary structures of sRNAs RsmY/Z. Red and shaded letters indicate RsmA/N and Hfq binding peaks, respectively. Bold letters indicate GGA sequence as a common binding motif of RsmA/N. (C) Read coverage at the *tssA1* and *mucA* loci from RsmA/N CLIP-seq are indicated. (D) Secondary structures of RsmA/N binding sites at the *tssA1* and *mucA* were predicted using Mfold (34). Red letters indicate GGA sequence as a common binding motif of RsmA/N.

Figure 4. The *wbp* gene cluster is posttranscriptionally regulated by RsmA/N. (A) DAVID enrichment analysis of RsmA/N peaks. The results of biological process are presented. (B) RsmA/N peak density distribution along the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome in bins of 2×10^4 basepairs. (C) Read coverage at the *wbp* gene cluster from RsmA/N CLIP-seq. TSS annotations (black arrows) were derived from a previous study (24). Vertical axis indicates each read count. RsmA and RsmN binding peaks with significant enrichment (FDR < 0.05) are indicated as red and blue bars, respectively. The genes shown in red were used for the following translational fusion assay. (D) Super-folder GFP translational fusion assay for the indicated genes between wild type and *rsmAN* mutant. Welch's t-test results are indicated: *, p-value < 0.05; **, p-value < 0.01; ***, p-value < 0.001.

Figure 5. RsmA/N-controlled feedback loop for RsmY/Z sRNAs expressions. (A) Graphical summary of RsmA/N bindings with GacAS regulatory network. Orange and purple ovals are membrane-bound histidine kinases and response regulators in two-component systems, respectively. Asterisks indicate that RsmA/N peaks are associated with the genes. (B) Read coverage at the *cafA* and *hptB* loci from RsmA/N CLIP-seq. Vertical axis indicates each read count. RsmN binding peaks with significant enrichment (FDR < 0.05) are indicated as red bars. (C) Super-folder GFP translational fusion assay for the indicated genes between wild type and *rsmAN* mutant. (D) Super-folder GFP translational fusion assay for the indicated genes in *rsmAN* mutant with indicated plasmids. For exogenous RsmA/N expressions, 0.1% arabinose was added. (E) Relative expressions of RsmY/Z in PAO1 wild type and *ΔrsmA/N*. RNA was extracted from cultures at OD₆₀₀ = 2.0 and RsmY/Z abundances were quantified by qRT-PCR. Welch's t-test results are indicated: *, p-value < 0.05; **, p-value < 0.01; ***, p-value < 0.001 (C and E) and One-way ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test results are indicated: **, p-value < 0.01; N.S., not significant (D).

Figure 6. Representative RsmA/N-binding sRNAs. (A) Read coverage at the SPA0035, SPA0066, and SPA0079 loci from Hfq and RsmA/N CLIP-seq. (B) Secondary structures of binding sites of three sRNAs, which are extended by additional 10 nt upstream and downstream. Secondary structures were predicted using Mfold (34).

Figure S1. Detection of the 3×FLAG tagged proteins. (A) The presence of the tag was confirmed by evaluating the cell extracts at $OD_{600} = 2.0$ obtained from PAO1 WT, *hfq*::3×FLAG, *rsmA*::3×FLAG, *rsmN*::3×FLAG, and *rsmN*::3×FLAG $\Delta rsmA$ strains via western blot analysis using anti-FLAG antibody. (B) The presence of the tag was confirmed by evaluating the cell extracts at $OD_{600} = 2.0$ obtained from PAO1 WT with pJN-*rsmN*::3×FLAG plasmid via western blot analysis using anti-FLAG antibody. The medium was supplemented with arabinose at 0.1% concentration when OD_{600} reached 0.8.

995

Figure S2. Venn diagram comparing the RsmA/N CLIP-seq to published data. (A) Genes with overlapping peaks between RsmA/N and Hfq. (B) Comparing the genes detected by RsmA CLIP-seq with the list of transcripts detected by ChIPPAR-seq for *P. aeruginosa* RsmA (20). (C) Comparing the genes detected by RsmN CLIP-seq with RsmN-binding genes detected by RIP-seq for *P. aeruginosa* RsmN (19).

996

Figure S3. Localization of RsmA/N CLIP-seq peaks relative to known or predicted coding sequences (CDSes) and ncRNAs. (A) Peaks identified in CDSes were classified according to the quadrant positions. (B) Peaks identified in ncRNAs were classified into *trans*-sRNAs and *cis*-antisense RNAs.

997

Figure S4. *P. aeruginosa* SPA0079 sRNA. (A) Genetic organization of the SPA0079 sRNA. The -10 and -35 regions of the SPA0079 sRNA promoter are shown in bold. Transcription start site is indicated as an arrow. Underline indicates Hfq-binding site. Red letters indicate the SPA0079 sRNA sequence decided by 5' /3' RACE. Putative LasR/RhlR binding site is boxed. (B) Representative figure of 5' RACE of the SPA0079 sRNA. The band corresponding PCR product from 5' end of the SPA0079 is indicated by red arrow. Non-specific bands detected in both RppH plus and minus conditions were identified as sequences in 23S rRNA. (C) Secondary structure of SPA0079 in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 predicted by Mfold (34). Red letters indicate AUGGA sequence as a common binding motif of RsmA/N. (D) Northern blot analysis of total RNA isolated from PAO1 wild-type and Δ SPA0079 strain. RNA was extracted at the indicated OD600. SPA0079 and 5S rRNA was detected using DIG-labelled probes.

Figure S5. Characterization of the SPA0079 sRNA. (A to C) Northern blot analysis of total RNA isolated from the indicated strains. RNA was extracted at OD₆₀₀ = 6.0. SPA0079 and 5S rRNA was detected using DIG-labelled probes. (D) Super-folder GFP translational fusion assay for *cafA* gene between pJNS105 control vector and pJNS-SPA0079 overexpression vector. For exogenous SPA0079 expression, 0.1% arabinose was added.

RsmA CLIP-seq

RsmN CLIP-seq

Figure S6. Frequency plots of crosslinked and background samples between each replicate. The axes show read counts and the coloring shows the frequency of each x-y pair. Red line indicates y = x.