Drosophila suzukii avoidance of microbes in oviposition choice
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Abstract

While the majority of Drosophila species lay eggs onto fermented fruits, females of D. suzukii pierce the skin and lay eggs into ripening fruits using their serrated ovipositors. The changes of oviposition site preference must have accompanied this niche exploitation. In this study, we established an oviposition assay to investigate the effects of commensal microbes deposited by conspecific and heterospecific individuals, and showed that presence of microbes on the oviposition substrate enhances egg-laying of D. melanogaster and D. biarmipes, but discourages that of D. suzukii. This result suggests that a drastic change has taken place in the lineage leading to D. suzukii in how females respond to chemical cues produced by microbes. We also found that hardness of the substrate affects the response to microbial growth, indicating that mechanosensory stimuli interact with chemosensory invoked decisions to select or avoid oviposition sites.

1. Introduction

Oviposition site selection is a critical factor in determining the survival rate of offspring in insect species. A nutritionally suitable resource may be heavily utilized by other insects and the offspring may suffer from intense competition. The females of Drosophila suzukii Matsumura (Diptera: Drosophilidae) have the ability to pierce the skin of ripening fruits and lay eggs into
the flesh by using serrated ovipositors [1–3]. Because many other closely related Drosophila species lay eggs onto fermented fruits, this behavior allows D. suzukii to utilize a carbohydrate-rich resource before competition becomes intense [4,5].

The behavioral shift to deposit eggs into ripening fruits must have been accompanied by changes not only in the ovipositor morphology but also in the sensory systems used to evaluate the oviposition substrate. Karageorgi et al. [6] showed that when given the choice between ripe and rotten strawberry fruits, D. suzukii strongly preferred ripe over rotten fruit, whereas D. melanogaster showed an opposite tendency and preferred rotten fruit, consistent with other studies [7,8]. In the same experiment, D. biarmipes, a closely related species of D. suzukii, showed no preference between ripe and rotten fruit, indicating that they are at an intermediate evolutionary stage between D. suzukii and D. melanogaster. It has also been shown in the same study that while D. biarmipes and D. melanogaster show similarly strong preferences for soft substrates, D. suzukii lay eggs onto both hard and soft agarose gel substrates, a pattern similar to other studies [4,9]. Therefore, these studies indicate that D. suzukii have widened the range of potential substrates to include those with different degrees of hardness and does not necessarily prefer a harder fruit surface [10–12]. Thus, hardness alone does not account for the strong preference for ripe fruits as an oviposition substrate. Other sensory modifications are also likely to underlie the radical shift to an unexploited resource in D. suzukii after divergence from the D. biarmipes lineage.

The evolutionary changes in the D. suzukii chemosensory system and response to attractants from ripening fruits have been documented [6,13,14], but the chemical properties of possible repellent substances of fermenting fruits have not been investigated in detail. As shown in a previous study, inoculation of the substrate from D. melanogaster adults significantly reduced the number of eggs laid by D. suzukii [15]. The identity of the aversive substances left by D. melanogaster is not known. The deposition of aggregation pheromones is one likely factor [16–18]. Additionally, microbial populations on fermenting fruits originating from the surrounding environment as well as individuals that have visited the fruit, represent another source of aggregation signals. The presence of non-pathogenic microbes guides a wide array of behavioral decisions in insects, including adult aggregation, feeding decisions, and oviposition choice [19–23]. Partnering with commensal microbes provides several benefits for insect hosts including protection from pathogenic microbes, increased access to nutritional resources, and improved offspring survival [24]. The response of D. suzukii oviposition to the microbial environment has been largely unstudied and represents an aspect of its social and ecological interactions that may have influenced the new host exploitation in this species.

Assessing the fruit condition and making the decision to select the oviposition site
involve an integration of multiple sensory cues. It has been shown that *D. suzukii* has the ability to make complex decisions between healthy and fermenting fruits depending on the availability of the resource [8]. In *D. melanogaster*, mechanosensory (texture) and chemosensory (taste) information are integrated to direct feeding and oviposition decisions [25–27]. It is an intriguing question as to how different sensory information is processed and integrated in *D. suzukii* in comparison to *D. biarmipes* and *D. melanogaster*, both of which have different decision making criteria for choosing oviposition sites.

In this study, we investigate the effects of commensal microbes on oviposition site preferences, both independent of and in combination with the effect of the substrate hardness, in *D. suzukii*, *D. biarmipes* and *D. melanogaster*. In our assay, *D. suzukii* exhibited a strong avoidance of microbes transferred from other flies. This response was distinct from the other two species suggesting that the behavior has evolved in the lineage leading to *D. suzukii* after the split from *D. biarmipes*. Furthermore, we tested the combinatorial effect of the hardness and the presence or absence of microbes on the oviposition site selection. The mechanical stimuli provided by substrate hardness superseded the influence of microbial chemical signals. We show that this property was conserved among the three species despite differential preference towards hardness and microbial stimuli.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Fly strains

The following strains were used to compare the oviposition site preference: *D. suzukii* strain Hilo collected in Hilo, Island of Hawai‘i, U.S.A. in 2017, *D. biarmipes* strain MYS118, collected in Mysore, India in 1981, and *D. melanogaster* strain Canton S BL#9515. All the strains were maintained at 25 ± 1 ºC under the 12 h light: 12 h dark light cycle. All flies were fed with standard corn meal food mixed with yeast, glucose, and agar.

2.2. Oviposition assay to test the preference for substrates with microbial growth

The procedure is illustrated in figure 1. Inoculation was conducted by using *D. melanogaster* (3 to 7 days after eclosion), *D. biarmipes* (3 to 7 days after eclosion) or *D. suzukii* (7 to 14 days after eclosion). One hundred to 150 flies were placed into the inoculation chamber without anesthesia and left for 8 h. An inoculation chamber consists of a plastic cup (100 mL, Tri-Corner Beakers) and a petri dish (57 mm diameter×16 mm height, IWAKI 1010-060) filled with 5 mL 1% agar (*Drosophila* agar type II, Apex) in apple juice (SUNPACK, JAN code: 4571247510950) diluted to
50%. No flies were placed into the control inoculation chamber. After inoculation, the surface of the substrate was washed with 1 mL distilled water by pipetting 10 times. Wash solutions (100 µL) from inoculated or control plates (figure 1a) were spread onto a new agar plate (40 mm diameter × 13 mm height, Azunol 1-8549-01) and incubated for 24 h at 25 ± 1 ºC. Microbial colonies were visible on the media spread with aqueous solution from the inoculated media after 24 h incubation.

The oviposition assay was conducted with a petri dish chamber (150 mm diameter × 20 mm height, IWAKI 3030-150) containing four Φ40 mm petri dishes with two types of media placed alternatively (figure 1b). Twenty females and 10 males were placed into the chamber without anesthesia within 3 h before the dark cycle and kept for 16 h in the dark condition. The assay was conducted under the condition of 25 ± 1 ºC and 50 ± 5% relative humidity. The photo image of each petri dish with substrate was taken by a camera (Olympus DP73) with transmitted light from the bottom. The number of eggs on each substrate was counted.

The preference index (PI) for the substrate with microbial growth was calculated by using the following formula:

\[
PI = \frac{N_{\text{inoculated}} - N_{\text{control}}}{N_{\text{inoculated}} + N_{\text{control}}}
\]

where \(N_{\text{inoculated}}\) and \(N_{\text{control}}\) are the total numbers of eggs on the substrates with microbial growth and the control plates, respectively.

To confirm that the PI measurements for substrates inoculated with microbial colonies reflect the activity of microbes, collected solutions from the inoculated media were filter sterilized using a syringe filter (0.22 µm Millex®-GV Filter Unit). After washing the surface of the inoculated medium by repeatedly pipetting 1.2 mL distilled water 10 times, the aqueous solution was filtered and used in the oviposition assay as described above.

2.3. Oviposition preference assay for substrate hardness, with and without microbes

Inoculant from *D. melanogaster* was collected from three inoculation chambers, pooled, and divided into 24 (8 × 3 species) Φ40 mm petri dishes with medium. Plates without any solution were used for the assays that did not test microbial inoculation. The remaining steps were the same as in 2.2. The PI for the soft substrate was calculated by using the following formula:

\[
PI = \frac{N_{1\%\text{ agar}} - N_{3\%\text{ agar}}}{N_{1\%\text{ agar}} + N_{3\%\text{ agar}}}
\]

where \(N_{1\%\text{ agar}}\) and \(N_{3\%\text{ agar}}\) are the total numbers of eggs on the 1% and 3% agar media, respectively.

2.4. 16S-rRNA gene sequencing of microbial colonies used for the oviposition assays
In order to collect the microbes tested for the oviposition assays, the surface of the inoculated substrate was washed with distilled water as described above. The solution was diluted to 200 µL total volume and spread onto a petri dish (90 mm diameter×16 mm height, IWAKI SH90-15) filled with 10 mL apple juice agar as described above. The media were incubated for 24 to 40 hours at 25 ± 1 ºC and single colonies were selected randomly for DNA extraction. Each colony was picked with a 10 µL pipette tip, suspended in 20 µL of sterile water, and incubated for 15 min at 95 ºC after adding 20 µL 100 mM NaOH. Then, 4.4 µL of 1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.0 was added to each sample and used as template DNA.

Colony PCR was performed with 16S-rRNA universal primers 8F (AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG) [28,29] and 1492R (GGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT) [30,31] in a 30 µL reaction using Ex Taq (TaKaRa). Amplification condition for the PCR included an initial denaturation step of 95 ºC for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 ºC for 30 s, 53 or 55 ºC for 30 s, and 72 ºC for 60 s, and a final extension step of 72 ºC for 5 min. Reaction products were checked for size and purity on 1% agarose gel and were sequenced after purification by using either BrilliantDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit v2.1 (Nimagen) and a 3130 x1 DNA Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Science) or BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Thermo Fisher Science) and a 3170xl DNA Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Science). Sequences were aligned by using MEGA7 [32] and trimmed from the nucleotide positions 61 to 628 of the *Escherichia coli* reference sequence (CP023349.1:226,883-228,438). The genus level identity of each sequence was assigned by the highest score entries in the NCBI database, “16S ribosomal RNA (Bacteria and Archaea type strains)” (as of May 28, 2020) by local BLAST (BLAST+ 2.10.0).

3. Results

The oviposition site preference of *D. suzukii* for ripening fruits relies on shifts in mechanosensation as well as chemosensation [6]. Recent work has shown that consistent with their preference towards ripening fruits over fermenting fruits, *D. suzukii* females tend to lay more eggs on non-inoculated media compared to media inoculated by *D. melanogaster* [15]. Our study focused on determining whether microbial presence and the hardness of the oviposition substrate form the basis of *D. suzukii* oviposition decisions.

3.1. Oviposition site preference against the presence of microbes

Oviposition can be influenced by pheromones or microbial presence. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we first established a method to test only the contribution of microbial
growth to oviposition site preference. A water wash was used to collect substances deposited by adult flies and the inoculum was applied to sterile media (figure 1a). Many of the known pheromones used for *Drosophila* chemical communication are hydrophobic hydrocarbons, wax esters and alcohols [33], and are thus, not soluble in water and unlikely to be transferred in the water wash. After incubation, microbial colonies were visible on the inoculated media. Media that had been exposed to water wash from control chambers did not have visible colonies.

The results from the oviposition assay on soft medium (1% agar) indicated that *D. suzukii* avoided oviposition substrates with microbial colonies (figure 2a, Table S1). Given a choice between substrates with aqueous solutions from inoculated and non-inoculated media, the *D. suzukii* preference index (PI) was significantly less than 0, indicating that the microbial growth discouraged oviposition. By contrast, *D. melanogaster* preferred ovipositing on substrates with microbial growth (figure 2a), indicating that the presence of microbes positively influenced the choice of oviposition site for this species. To trace the evolutionary trajectory of this preference, we also conducted the same experiments using *D. biarmipes*, a closely related species to *D. suzukii*. Remarkably, as with *D. melanogaster*, the microbes positively influenced oviposition site choice of *D. biarmipes* (figure 2a) indicating that the preference for ovipositing at sites with commensal microbes is the ancestral state among these species and that *D. biarmipes* still retain this characteristic. These results were consistent when using microbes from conspecific and heterospecific inoculation (figure 2a). Thus, the drastic change from attraction to avoidance of microbes is predicted to have occurred in the lineage leading to *D. suzukii* after the separation from the *D. biarmipes* lineage.

To confirm that the presence of microbes in the water wash is the primary factor in guiding oviposition, we passed the collected aqueous solution through a 0.22 μm filter to remove microbes and large food particles while keeping nutrients, metabolites, and other small molecules found in feces. In all species, filter-sterilization of the inoculant eliminated both positive and negative oviposition preferences (figure 2b, Table S2). Therefore, microbes that can be removed by a 0.22 μm filter are likely to be the main factor affecting oviposition site preferences.

To identify the main bacterial species that were present in the water washes of inoculated media, we sampled microbial colonies from the medium after 24 h of growth and performed PCR amplification of the 16S-rRNA gene sequence. The bacterial species classified at the genus level and the frequencies estimated from the sampled colonies are shown in figure S1 and Table S3–S5. The bacteria used for our oviposition preference assay were mostly from the *Acetobacter* and *Gluconobacter* genera.
3.2. Combinatorial effect of the presence of microbes and the hardness of the oviposition substrate

In addition to chemosensory signals, another factor that is known to affect Drosophila oviposition site preference is the hardness of the substrate. Choice assays using agarose media with different degree of hardness have shown that D. suzukii females exhibit a much weaker preference towards softer substrates compared to D. biarmipes and D. melanogaster [6]. In order to investigate the combinatorial effect of hardness and microbial growth, we conducted choice assays using hard oviposition substrate (3% agar medium) with and without the presence of microbes (figure 2c, Table S6).

When substrates were hard, D. melanogaster and D. biarmipes showed a PI close to 1, which is indicative of even stronger preferences for ovipositing on media with microbial growth than when using 1% agar media (figure 2a). Interestingly, the aversion to substrates with microbial growth exhibited by D. suzukii was reduced when the harder 3% media were used. No significant preference or aversion was detected (figure 2c). From the outcome of this combinatorial assay, it was clear that the hardness of the substrate modifies the preferences against microbes.

Next, we investigated whether the choice between soft (1%) and hard (3%) agar media was affected by the presence of microbes (figure 3a). Our experimental results using 1% and 3% agar media without microbes were consistent with a previous study showing that D. suzukii has no or only a slight preference for softer media, in contrast to the strong preference exhibited by the other two species (figure 3b, Table S7). Interestingly, whether the microbes were present or not did not affect the PI between soft and hard substrates in D. melanogaster and D. suzukii. The preference towards the softer substrate became significantly weaker when microbes were present than when they were absent in D. biarmipes, but only slightly. These results indicate that rather than the presence or absence of microbial growth, the hardness of the substrate is the dominant factor in oviposition site selection.

4. Discussion

4.1. Commensal microbes deposited by flies affect oviposition site preferences in D. suzukii, D. biarmipes, and D. melanogaster, and the preference of D. suzukii is distinct from that of the other species

Fruit flies like many other insects coexist with a community of gut microbes, the composition of which can vary to a large extent due to various field and laboratory conditions [34–37]. To elucidate whether gut microbes function as intra- or inter-specific behavioral cues, we examined the influence of fly-deposited microbes on oviposition behavior.
Our results show that egg-laying decisions in *Drosophila* are strongly influenced by the presence of microbial growth, suggesting that microbe-derived cues influence egg-laying decisions in species that use fruit as an oviposition substrate. *D. suzukii* avoided media inoculated with commensal microbes, in contrast to *D. melanogaster* and *D. biarmipes*, both of which showed strong preferences toward microbe-rich media (figure 2). The reversal in preference must have occurred in the *D. suzukii* lineage after the split from *D. biarmipes* consistent with the timing of the host shift to ripening fruits. Therefore, the radical change in microbial preference may have been associated with the new niche exploitation in this lineage.

### 4.2. Acid producing bacteria differentially affect oviposition behavior amongst *Drosophila* species

The bacterial species used for oviposition preference assays consisted mainly of *Acetobacter* and *Gluconobacter*, both members of the acid-producing Acetobacteraceae family commonly found in the guts of lab-raised and wild fruit fly species [35] including *D. suzukii* [38,39]. Acid-producing bacteria provide benefits for host flies by accelerating growth and offering protection from pathogenic bacteria [40,41]. The colonies grown on the media are not likely to represent the actual composition of fly-associated microbiota in the wild since growth is restricted by diet and the type of media used (agar in apple juice). Flies from natural populations exhibit a more diverse microbiome [36,42]. In addition, our characterization of the microbiome focused only on bacterial species. It is likely that yeast, which are a common symbiont for drosophilids [43], are also part of the inoculum and contribute to oviposition preference [44].

*D. melanogaster*, *biarmipes*, and *suzukii* exhibited different proportions of *Acetobacter* and *Gluconobacter* (figure S1, Table S3–S5). However, there were no differences in the responses of the three *Drosophila* species to conspecific or heterospecific inoculants, indicating that both *Acetobacter* and *Gluconobacter* have similar effects on the oviposition site choice (figure 2). While *D. suzukii* showed a clear aversion for ovipositing on inoculated media, the response of females to *Gluconobacter* volatiles may be context-dependent. A previous study showed that females starved for 24 h exhibit clear attraction to *Gluconobacter* in an olfactometer bioassay [45]. Taken together with our observation that *D. suzukii* avoids egg-laying in the presence of *Gluconobacter* colonies, it is clear that reproductive and feeding site preferences can be clearly decoupled in this species. Microbial cues that are attractive for feeding may be aversive for oviposition.

### 4.3. Chemical cues mediating the differential preference against microbes await further investigation

In studies searching for oviposition deterrents for the pest management of fruit crops, at least two chemicals, geosmin and octenol (1-octen-3-ol), both of which are components of volatile
metabolites from microorganisms present in rotting fruits, induced aversive responses in *D. suzukii* [46]. However, because these chemicals are known repellents in *D. melanogaster* as well [47,48], the aversion to these microbial compounds is not likely to underlie the *D. suzukii* specific shift in oviposition site.

A study using *D. melanogaster* indicated that female oviposition is guided by sucrose, a gustatory cue used to sense fermentation by lactic acid-producing *Enterococci* bacteria [49]. Interestingly, the olfactory system was shown to be dispensable for ovipositional attraction to these microbes. In contrast, the inhibition of synaptic transmission in sweet sensing gustatory neurons, *Gr5a* and *Gr64a* neurons, impaired the oviposition preference toward fermentation sources. Whether sucrose sensing also mediates the avoidance of acetic acid bacteria in *D. suzukii* would be an intriguing question to pursue. Nevertheless, Silva-Soares et al. [4] showed that *D. suzukii* and *D. biarmipes* have similar oviposition preferences toward sites with a low protein (yeast) to carbohydrate (sucrose) ratio, suggesting that a differential response to sucrose is not likely to explain the contrasting response to acetic acid bacteria products. The microbe-derived chemical cues that govern oviposition response await further investigation.

### 4.4. Oviposition site hardness supersedes the *D. suzukii* aversion to microbial presence

Integration of different types of stimuli is essential for critical decision-making processes such as the selection of egg deposition sites, a choice that has large influences on the early life performances of the offspring. In *D. melanogaster*, neural circuits governing oviposition site combine information from different modalities [50,51]. Recently, several studies [26,27] elucidated an underlying molecular mechanism for integrating mechanosensory and chemosensory information to make egg-laying decisions in *D. melanogaster*. Our results reveal that two different classes of sensory cues, substrate hardness and the presence of microbes, are integrated in *D. suzukii* oviposition decisions in a manner that is distinct from *D. biarmipes* and *D. melanogaster* (figure 2 and 3). The avoidance of microbes displayed by *D. suzukii* was evident only in the context of a soft substrate (figure 2a) but not a hard one (figure 2c). These results suggest that mechanical cues from surface hardness take precedence over decisions guided by microbial cues. By contrast, the preference exhibited by both *D. melanogaster* and *D. biarmipes* towards microbe-inoculated surfaces strengthened when hard substrates were used (figure 2c), indicating a similar integration of mechanical and microbial chemical cues. Conversely, microbial presence did not affect the choice between hard and soft substrates in all the three species (figure 3).

These results indicate that mechanical and chemical stimuli are not processed additively in these species. The surface hardness modifies the response to microbial cues but
not vice versa. It remains to be determined whether surface texture is prioritized in the context of pathogenic microbes. Interestingly, previous studies showed that in female D. melanogaster, the presence of chemicals, sucrose and/or fruit juice ingredient obviate the preference for ovipositing on softer surfaces [26,27]. The discrepancy between the direction of interference between mechanical and chemical stimuli suggests that the hierarchy of cues used in oviposition may depend on the nature of the chemical stimulus.

4.5. The integration of mechanical cues and microbial stimuli is conserved in oviposition choice and reflect differences in ecology

Our findings in this study can be interpreted in the context of natural ecology of D. suzukii. In early fruiting season when all the fruits are hard or have no microbial cues, D. suzukii females may lay eggs onto any available fruits. This scenario is consistent with the results of our assays using only hard substrate (figure 2c) or only non-inoculated substrates (figure 3). During the ripening period when fruits become softer and ripe, the females may choose fruits with weaker fermentation cues in order to avoid competition with other species, which is consistent with our results using only soft substrate (figure 2a). In late fruiting season when the majority of the fruits are on the ground and rotten, the females may readily lay eggs onto suboptimal fermenting fruits, the situation resembling our assays using only inoculated substrates (figure 3). These explanations are consistent with the study by Kienzle et al. [8], which showed that D. suzukii exhibit stronger preferences toward ovipositing in healthy fruits when healthy and fermenting fruits are both abundant compared to when the former are less abundant. The context dependent optimization through seasonal change in host fruit condition might explain the evolutionary background of our findings where substrate hardness takes precedence over microbial presence in the decision to oviposit in this species.

Although surface hardness interacts with the response to commensal microbe cues in D. biarmipes and D. melanogaster as in D. suzukii, there may be some qualitative differences in ecological context between these species. D. biarmipes and D. melanogaster show a strong preference toward soft substrates inoculated with microbes, and their preferences for microbes is enhanced when the substrate is hard (figure 2). In the field, it may be the case that flies are more likely to use hard fruits in the presence of a microbial signature, which may be indicative of ongoing fermentation. In contrast to D. suzukii, both D. biarmipes and D. melanogaster tend to prefer soft substrates even when all the substrates in the vicinity have microbial growth (figure 3), indicating that mechanical cues supersede microbial presence in oviposition site selection. Therefore, D. suzukii may have rapidly adjusted the manner in which mechanical and chemical
stimuli are integrated to optimize an egg-laying strategy that is different from other closely related species.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Experimental scheme of the oviposition assay to quantify response to water-soluble substances deposited by flies on the surface of media. (a) Water-soluble substances are collected from inoculated and control plates. (b) Oviposition assay using media inoculated with solutions from (a) for 24 h.

Figure 2. Comparisons of the preference indices (PIs) of *D. melanogaster*, *D. biarmipes*, and *D. suzukii* for oviposition substrates treated with inoculant from conspecific (open boxplots) or heterospecific (filled boxplots in gray) flies. (a) The PIs assayed on soft substrate (1% agar medium) with and without inoculant treatment (microbial growth). (b) The PIs assayed on 1% agar medium for substrates treated with sterile filtered solutions of inoculant. (c) The PIs assayed on hard oviposition substrate (3% agar medium) with and without inoculant treatment (microbial growth). Control substrates were treated with solutions from non-exposed (non-inoculated) substrate in all assays. Species used for heterospecific inoculations were conducted using *D. suzukii* for *D. melanogaster* assay, and *D. melanogaster* for *D. biarmipes* and *D. suzukii* assays. Results from assays with fewer than 10 eggs on either substrate were excluded from the analysis. Box signifies the upper and lower quartiles and horizontal bar indicates median. Upper and lower whiskers represent maximum and minimum 1.5 × interquartile range, respectively. The difference from PI = 0 (no preferences) was tested by Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (6 tests). *: p< 0.05 , ns: p≥ 0.05.

Figure 3. Preference indices (PIs) for the soft substrate with and without microbes. (a) The substrate placement in the chambers for the oviposition assay. “1%” and “3%” indicate soft (1% agar medium) and hard (3% agar medium) oviposition substrates, respectively. The microbe (+) chambers have been treated with inoculant collected from substrate surface exposed to *D. melanogaster*; microbial (-) chambers were treated with inoculant from non-exposed surfaces. (b) The preference indices (PI) for soft oviposition substrate in the absence (open boxplots) and presence (filled boxplots in gray) of microbes. Results from assays with fewer than 10 eggs on either substrate were excluded from the analysis. Box signifies the upper and lower quartiles and horizontal bar indicates median. Upper and lower whiskers represent maximum and minimum 1.5 × interquartile range, respectively. Statistical significance was tested by permutation test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (6 tests). *: p< 0.05 , ns: p≥ 0.05.
Collect water-soluble substances on the surface

1% agar plate (apple juice)

Inoculation

100 – 150 flies

Inoculated Control

Collect water-soluble substances on the surface

Spread collected aqueous solution onto new media

Oviposition assay

Place 20 females and 10 males

Count the number of eggs on each plate

Figure 1
Figure 2

Inoculant source:
- White: Substrate exposed to conspecific individuals
- Gray: Substrate exposed to heterospecific individuals
Figure 3