Antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements removal from treated wastewater by sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand

David Calderón-Franco^a, Apoorva Seeram^a, Gertjan Medema^{b,c}, Mark C. M. van Loosdrecht^a,
David G. Weissbrodt^{a*}

^a Department of Biotechnology, Delft University of Technology, van der Maasweg 9, 2629
HZ Delft, The Netherlands

^b KWR Watercycle Research Institute, Groningenhaven 7, 3433 PE Nieuwegein, The
Netherlands

^c Department of Water Management, Delft University of Technology, P.O. Box 5048, 2600
GA, Delft, The Netherlands

***Correspondence:** Prof. David Weissbrodt, Assistant Professor, Weissbrodt Group for
Environmental Life Science Engineering, Environmental Biotechnology Section, Department
of Biotechnology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, TU Delft, van der Maasweg 9, Building 58,
2629 HZ Delft, the Netherlands, Tel: +31 15 27 81169 ; E-mail: d.g.weissbrodt@tudelft.nl

Abstract

Disinfection of treated wastewater in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) is used to minimize emission of coliforms, pathogens, and antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARB) in the environment. However, the fate of free-floating extracellular DNA (eDNA) that do carry antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) and mobile genetic elements (MGEs) is overlooked. Water technologies are central to urban and industrial ecology for sanitation and resource recovery. Biochar produced by pyrolysis of sewage sludge and iron-oxide-coated sands recovered as by-product of drinking water treatment were tested as adsorbents to remove ARGs and MGEs from WWTP effluent. DNA adsorption properties and materials applicability were studied in batch and up-flow column systems at bench scale. Breakthrough curves were measured with ultrapure water and treated wastewater at initial DNA concentrations of 0.1-0.5 mg mL⁻¹ and flow rates of 0.1-0.5 mL min⁻¹. Batch tests with treated wastewater indicated that the adsorption profiles of biochar and iron-oxide coated sand followed a Freundlich isotherm, suggesting a multilayer adsorption of nucleic acids. Sewage-sludge biochar exhibited higher DNA adsorption capacity (1 mg g⁻¹) and longer saturation breakthrough times (4 to 10 times) than iron-oxide coated sand (0.2 mg g⁻¹). The removal of a set of representative ARGs and MGEs was measured by qPCR comparing the inlet and outlet of the plug-flow column fed with treated wastewater. ARGs and MGEs present as free-floating eDNA were adsorbed by sewage-sludge biochar at 85% and iron-oxide coated sand at 54%. From the environmental DNA consisting of the free-floating extracellular DNA plus the intracellular DNA of the cells present in the effluent water, 97% (sewage-sludge biochar) and 66% (iron-oxide coated sand) of the tested genes present were removed. Sewage-sludge biochar displayed interesting

42 properties to minimize the spread of antimicrobial resistances to the aquatic environment
 43 while strengthening the role of WWTPs as resource recovery factories.

44

45 **Keywords:** Xenogenetic elements; Sewage-sludge biochar; Iron-oxide coated sand;

46 Adsorption; Wastewater; Free-floating extracellular DNA

47

Graphical abstract

Created with BioRender

54 **Highlights**

55

56 • Sewage-sludge biochar and iron oxide coated sands were tested to adsorb DNA and cells.

57 • Biochar removed 97% of genes tested from environmental DNA of unfiltered effluent.

58 • 85% of ARGs and MGEs of free-floating extracellular DNA were retained by biochar.

59 • Biochar is a WWTP by-product that can be re-used for public health sanitation.

60

1 Introduction

According to the UNICEF et al. (2019), about 785 million people in the world do not have access to potable water. An increase in population and living standards will create a scarcity on water resources availability (Shannon et al., 2008). New and alternate solutions to provide clean water are needed, such as wastewater reuse. The main problem with the reuse of wastewater is the final effluent quality. Different treatments are necessary based on the end use of the reclaimed water. Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 6.3) targets high water quality by reducing the use of hazardous materials and increasing the proportion of treated water, thus stimulating recycling and safe reuse.

Wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) receive sewage from different sources such as households, public institutions such as hospitals or research centres, industries, urban runoff and agricultural streams (European Environment Agency, 2018). Conventional WWTPs are generally designed to remove pathogens, solids, organic matter and nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus. They are not designed to treat a number of persistent xenobiotic compounds, which are often discharged with the effluent (McEachran et al., 2018). Only a few countries have adopted quality criteria in their water protection legislation to address the emissions and removal of micropollutants from wastewater, and their ecological impacts (Eggen et al., 2014; Weissbrodt et al., 2009). Both chemical and biological contaminants rise concerns.

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) are important by the threat caused by the generation and spread of antibiotic-resistant pathogens across water bodies, soil and the food chain,

impacting environmental, animal and human health (Pruden et al., 2006; Tripathi and Tripathi, 2017). The overuse and misuse of antibiotics affect the medical effectiveness in combating pathogenic organisms (C. Lee Ventola, 2019; Gould et al., 2015).

WWTPs play a central role in the recycling of water to the aquatic ecosystem. Located at the end of the sewer pipe, they face all pollutant loads emitted in the catchment area. New technical measures need to be developed to address micropollutants as well as antimicrobial resistance spreading. In contrast to chemicals, AMR can horizontally transfer, proliferate, and reproduce in biological environments. Because of the microbial diversity of the activated sludge, WWTPs are often hypothesized as hotspots for the horizontal gene transfer of AMR (Cacace et al., 2019)(Cacace et al., 2019)(Cacace et al., 2019; Rizzo et al., 2018).

Continuous release of antibiotics, ARB, and ARGs in wastewater may create a selective pressure leading to the development and proliferation of new ARG in activated sludge even if WWTPs prevents the sewer outflow to be a hotspot. However, the load is still high and there is a risk of human exposure and also horizontal gene transfer in receiving water bodies. ARB have been hypothesized to be mainly generated by horizontal gene transfer and take up of ARGs and mobile genetic elements (MGEs) by microorganisms (Karkman et al., 2018). Stress conditions and complex microbial communities may enhance the transformation of free-floating extracellular DNA (eDNA) fragments containing a variety of ARGs and MGEs (Lu et al., 2015; von Wintersdorff et al., 2016). MGEs have been shown to form the main component (65%) of eDNA free-floating in wastewater (Calderón-Franco et al., 2020).

When the resistome from 79 sites in 60 countries was examined, it was found that there are systematic differences in abundance and diversity of ARGs between continents and that ARGs abundance highly correlates with socioeconomic, health and environmental factors. Improving sanitation and health could potentially limit the global burden of antibiotic resistance (Hendriksen et al., 2019). A recent study of a set of six representative antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs) across more than 60 WWTPs of The Netherlands highlighted that the WWTPs do not amplify the release of these ARGs (Pallares-Vega et al., 2019). ARGs and MGEs, such as the class I Integron-integrase gene (*int1*), have been reduced on average of a 1.76 log unit from the influents to the effluents. This however does not mean that no measure should be taken at WWTP level. ARGs persist in WWTP effluents as well as in river and lakes some km away from the effluent discharge (Czekalski et al., 2012). Tetracycline resistance genes like *tetO*, *tetQ*, *tetW*, *tetH*, *tetZ* have been quantified at an average of 2.5×10^2 , 1.6×10^2 , 4.4×10^2 , 1.6×10^1 and 5.5×10^3 gene copies mL^{-1} of chlorinated wastewater effluents, respectively (Al-Jassim et al., 2015).

Tertiary treatments like effluent disinfection using UV or chlorination do not suppress the release of ARGs in the environment, these genes can still be detected in disinfected effluents (Pazda et al., 2019). Disinfection can inactivate or select for ARB (Destiani and Templeton, 2019; Wu and Xu, 2019; Yuan et al., 2015), while the genes can remain and be released as extracellular DNA (eDNA) by cell lysis. Most studies on AMR did not consider the eDNA. The concentrations of free-floating eDNA measured from different wastewater samples (influent, activated sludge and effluent) ranged between 2.6 to $12.5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (Calderón-Franco et al., 2020a). This eDNA fraction can persist several months or even years in marine and soil matrices (Mao et al., 2014; Torti et al., 2015). It can attach to suspended particles, sand, clay

and humic acids. However, sorption of eDNA on natural particles does not prevent its mobility and ability to transform into natural competent bacteria (Zhang et al., 2018).

ARGs that are not removed by neither physical, chemical nor biological treatment processes, being designated as persistent (Yang et al., 2014). Until now, different technologies can remove ARBs and ARGs from wastewater effluents. These can go from membrane bioreactor treatments (Kappell et al., 2018; Riquelme Breazeal et al., 2013) to coagulation (Li et al., 2017) or algal-based wastewater treatment systems (Cheng et al., 2020). The aforementioned methods however employ non-renewable materials or require consistent variations on the WWTP operation processes.

For the removal of free-floating extracellular DNA, the use of resources derived from the water cycle would be beneficial in the circular economy and resource recovery context (Van Der Hoek et al., 2016). Sewage sludge biochar produced by pyrolysis of activated sludge is an interesting recycling resource for soil amendment to immobilize heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb or As) and prevent environmental risk (Agrafioti et al., 2013). Iron-oxide coated sands are used to remove metals (As, Ni, Zn or Fe) from drinking water.

Here, in a sanitation and circular economy approach of WWTP, we assessed the reuse of by-products such as sewage-sludge biochar prepared by pyrolysis of dewatered sewage sludge and iron-oxide coated sands reclaimed from drinking water processing for their adsorption capacity of environmental and extracellular DNA including ARGs and MGEs as well as ARB from secondary wastewater effluents. First, the isotherms and mechanisms governing DNA adsorption onto these by-product materials were studied both in batch and up-flow column

experiments under both synthetic aqueous conditions and real effluent wastewater. Second, the fate and abatement of the environmental DNA (including both the intracellular and extracellular DNAs) and of the free-floating eDNA from samples of real treated wastewater effluent were analyzed across fixed beds of sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sands. We show that sewage-sludge biochar can efficiently remove both the microorganisms and the free-floating genes.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Sampling from the effluent of a wastewater treatment plant

Biological samples of effluent water were collected from the urban wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) Harnaschpolder (Waterboard Delfland, The Netherlands) operated for full biological nutrient removal. Effluent water was collected at the outlet of the tertiary treatment of WWTP Harnaschpolder. Three biological replicates were collected in three different days. A total of 1000 mL of treated water per replicate was collected. All samples were processed in a timeframe of less than 4 h.

2.2 Dewatered sewage-sludge and iron-oxide coated sand collection

Dewatered sewage sludge to produce biochar was also collected from Harnaschpolder (Waterboard Delfland, The Netherlands). The dewatered sewage sludge samples were brought to the lab within 1 hour and stored at 4°C until further analysis.

An amount of 2 kg of iron oxide coated sand (1-4 mm) was reclaimed from the sand-filtration unit at AquaMinerals® (<https://aquaminerals.com/home/>), a water sanitation company giving a second life to the resources from WWTP. Iron-oxide coated sands received were crushed and sieved under 600 µm and stored at room temperature for further experiments.

2.3 Sewage-sludge biochar production by pyrolysis

The sewage-sludge before pyrolysis was characterized by moisture content and volatile matter. The moisture content was performed at $11 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ and volatile matter at $500 \pm 50^\circ\text{C}$ until constant weight was achieved. Sewage sludge biochar was produced following the

procedure as described in Agrafioti *et al.* (2013). Briefly, dewatered sludge was heated in a muffle furnace at 600°C under a controlled flow of nitrogen. The samples were kept for 30 minutes residence time. The cooled samples were then crushed and sieved at 150 µm pore size. The methodology for determining the properties of raw material used for the production of biochar is followed by the study done by Agrafioti *et al.* (2013). Sewage-sludge biochar produced was stored at room temperature for further experiments. The sewage-sludge biochar yield was determined as the ratio of the produced dry mass of sewage-sludge biochar (after pyrolysis) to the dry mass of sewage-sludge (before pyrolysis).

2.4 Adsorbents chemical characterization

The inorganic chemical composition of sewage-sludge biochar was characterized using Panalytical Axios Max WD-XRF spectrophotometer and the data was evaluated with SuperQ5.0i/Omnian software. Carbon (C), oxygen (O) and nitrogen (N) could not be measured by WD-XRF spectrophotometer. For performing such analysis, the elemental composition of carbon (C), oxygen (O), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N) and sulphur (S) from sewage sludge biochar and iron (Fe) and silica (Si) from iron-oxide coated sand were analyzed by an elemental analyser (Mikrolab Kolbe, Germany).

2.5 Adsorbent surface area and pore size determination

Surface area and pore size of the adsorbents were calculated using a nitrogen gas adsorption analyzer (Micrometrics Gemini VII 2390 Surface area analyser, USA). Before analysis, 5 g of the by-product materials were previously degassed under vacuum at 150°C (under N₂ flow) during 1 h to eliminate moisture and gasses. Then, 0.5 g of the by-product materials were subsequently introduced in the test tube with liquid nitrogen. Finally, N₂ isotherms were

measured at -196°C. Surface area was calculated according to Brunauer - Emmett - Teller (BET) method (Naderi, 2015), which incorporates a multilayer coverage. Barret, Joyner and Halenda (BJH) method was used to determine the pore size using Kelvin equation of pore filling, where a cylindrical pore geometry was assumed (Villarroel-Rocha et al., 2014).

2.6 Iron-oxide coated sands valence state determination

Valence state of iron (Fe) in iron-oxide coated sand was determined by Mössbauer Spectroscopy in order to know the oxidation state. A conventional constant – acceleration spectrometer the absorption spectra for 300 K and at 4.2 K with a sinusoidal velocity spectrometer, using a ^{57}Co (Rh) source were measured. A velocity calibration curve using an α -Fe foil at room temperature was done.

2.7 Scanning electron microscopy

The surface observation of sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), using JOEL model JSM-6010LA. For the observation of the above samples, we used the same SEM magnifications (5K, 10K, 15 means a thousand), same acceleration voltage (5-15 KeV).

2.8 DNA template and chemicals

UltraPure™ Salmon sperm DNA solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) for batch and column studies was used as a representative model for eDNA. Salmon sperm DNA is double stranded, sheared to an average size of $\leq 2,000$ bp. The purity of DNA was assessed by UV light absorbance at 260 and 280 nm ($A_{260/280} > 1.8$). The chemical and other reagents were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (USA). In order to assess the effect of different ions and humic acids on DNA adsorption, stock solutions of 1 M of salts (NaCl, $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and 800 mg L⁻¹

¹ humic acids (CAS No. 1415-93-6) were prepared by adding in ultrapure water (Sigma Aldrich, UK).

2.9 Determination of the adsorption equilibria of the adsorbents

To find the equilibrium time required for the adsorption by sewage-based biochar and iron-oxide coated sand, batch experiments were done in 6 apothecary glass bottles of 100 mL, with a working solution of 5 mL. Initial salmon sperm DNA concentration of 100 µg mL⁻¹ was added. The mass of the adsorbent (sewage-sludge biochar or iron-oxide coated sand) was added increasing from 0 to 100 mg mL⁻¹ in separate bottles and in triplicates. The bottles were kept for mixing at room temperature continuously for 24 hours. 0.5 mL of the sample was taken every 1 h and centrifuged at 13000 x G for 20 min.

2.10 Effect of pH, ionic strength and humic acids content on DNA adsorption

To evaluate the influence of pH on adsorption, experiments were done in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer with initial DNA concentration of 20 and 100 µg mL⁻¹ at pH 5, 7 and 9. The cation species effect on adsorption was also studied at initial DNA concentration of 100 µg mL⁻¹ in the presence of 0 – 60 mM Na⁺ (as NaCl), Mg²⁺ (as MgCl₂), and Ca²⁺ (as CaCl₂) at pH 7, respectively. Competition with organic matter on DNA adsorption was investigated using humic acid to represent natural organic matter. The experiments were done in the presence of 0-100 mg L⁻¹ humic acids at pH 7. In order to maintain constant pH, the effect of cation species and competition with humic acids was done in Tris-HCl buffer.

2.11 Quantification of adsorbed DNA

The DNA concentration in the supernatant was measured by UV spectrometry at a wavelength of 260 nm (BioTek, Gen5 plate reader, USA). 96-well UV flat-bottom plates (Greiner UV Star 96, Germany) were used for measuring the absorbance. The DNA concentration after incubation with humic acids was measured with Qubit® dsDNA assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) (Leite et al., 2014). The amount of DNA adsorbed onto the adsorbent at equilibrium was calculated using **equation 1**.

$$\text{Amount of DNA adsorbed} \left(\frac{\mu\text{g DNA}}{\text{mg adsorbent}} \right) = \frac{[DNA]_0 \left(\frac{\mu\text{g}}{\text{mL water}} \right) - [DNA]_{\text{final}} \left(\frac{\mu\text{g}}{\text{mL water}} \right)}{\text{Adsorbent weight (mg)}} \times \text{Sample volume (mL)} \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

2.12 Adsorption isotherms and sorption efficiency

Two adsorption isotherm models were used in these experiments: Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. The Langmuir describes adsorption of adsorbate (DNA in this case) molecules by assuming that it behaves as an ideal gas at isothermal conditions. Adsorption is supposed to happen onto homogeneous solid surfaces that exhibit one adsorption site. The Freundlich isotherm is an empirical relation between the concentration of a solute on the surface of an adsorbent (by-products) to the concentration of the solute in the liquid with which it is in contact. The Langmuir model assumes that at maximum coverage, there is only a monomolecular layer on the surface. It means that there is no stacking of adsorbed molecules. The Freundlich isotherm does not have this restriction.

Both Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms were used to describe DNA adsorption from the solution onto the adsorbent. The Freundlich isotherm is expressed by **equation 2**.

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n} \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

where q_e (mg g^{-1}) is the amount of solute adsorbed, C_e (mg L^{-1}) is the equilibrium adsorbate concentration, K_F (mg g^{-1}) is the Freundlich constant related to the adsorption capacity and n (without units) is the heterogeneity factor and adsorption favourability.

The Langmuir isotherm is expressed as **equation 3**.

$$q_e = \frac{q_{\max} K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e} \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

where q_e (mg g^{-1}) is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed, C_e (mg L^{-1}) is the equilibrium adsorbate concentration, $q_{\max}$ (mg g^{-1}) is the maximum monolayer adsorption capacity and K_L (L mg^{-1}) is the Langmuir empirical constant related to the heat of adsorption. K_L represents the adsorption affinity of the adsorbate onto the adsorbent

2.13 Fixed-bed column characteristics and operation

Continuous-flow adsorption experiments were conducted in chromatography glass columns (1 cm inner diameter and 15 cm column). At the bottom inside of the column, 0.5 g of glass beads (250 – 300 μm) were placed for homogeneous flow through the column distribution. The columns were packed with known quantities of adsorbents: 3 g for sewage-sludge biochar and 4 g for iron-oxide coated sand. The column was fed with an aqueous solution of salmon sperm DNA of known concentration using an HPLC liquid chromatography pump (Shimadzu LC-8A, USA) employing an upward flow. Samples (200 μL) were collected at the outlet of the column in intervals of 15 min. DNA concentration was measured spectrophotometrically until the ratio of C_t/C_o reached a constant value, where C_o is the column inlet stable DNA concentration and C_t is the column outlet DNA concentration. When the C_t/C_o ratio was close to 1, it meant that the column was saturated of DNA.

To determine the adsorption breakthrough curves, the inlet DNA concentrations were modified from 0.1 mg mL⁻¹, 0.3 mg mL⁻¹ and to 0.5 mg L⁻¹ at a fixed flow rate of 0.1 mL min⁻¹. The flow rates were modified from 0.1 mL min⁻¹, 0.3 mL min⁻¹ and 0.5 mL min⁻¹ at a fixed inlet concentration of 0.3 mg L⁻¹.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental adsorption column setup used and the quantitative PCR as analytical procedure applied. The aim was to study the adsorption and removal of antibiotic resistance bacteria, antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements by using sewage- sludge biochar and iron oxide-coated sand from treated effluent wastewater. Detail of material and setup used can be found in **Figure S2**. Created with *BioRender*.

2.14 Column data analysis

Performance of a fixed bed column can be explained by the breakthrough curves. The amount of time needed for breakthrough and the shape of the curve gives the dynamic behavior of the column. Breakthrough curves are expressed as C_t/C_0 versus time (Han et al., 2009; Rouf and Nagapadma, 2015). The breakthrough point is usually defined as the point when the ratio

between influent concentration, C_0 (mg L^{-1}) and outlet concentration, C_t (mg L^{-1}) becomes 0.05 to 0.90 (Chowdhury et al., 2015). The total capacity of the column (q_{total} in mg) gives the maximum amount of DNA that can be adsorbed and is calculated by the area under the breakthrough curve given by **equation 4** (Chen et al., 2012; Han et al., 2009; Rouf and Nagapadma, 2015)

$$q_{\text{total}} = \frac{Q}{1000} \int_{t=0}^{t=\text{total}} C_{\text{ad}} dt \quad \text{Equation 4}$$

where Q is the flow rate (mL min^{-1}); $t=\text{total}$ is the total flow time (min); C_{ad} is the adsorbed DNA concentration ($C_0 - C_t$) (mg L^{-1}).

The equilibrium DNA uptake or maximum adsorption capacity of the column $q_{\text{eq}(\text{exp})}$ (mg g^{-1}) is calculated by **equation 5**:

$$q_{\text{eq}(\text{exp})} = \frac{q_{\text{total}}}{m} \quad \text{Equation 5}$$

where m is the dry weight of the adsorbent in the column (g).

2.15 Modelling of the fixed-bed column

The data generated from the study were fitted with Thomas' and Yoon-Nelson's models for column modelling as in Chatterjee et al. (2018).

In order to design an adsorption column, prediction of breakthrough curve and adsorbent capacity for the adsorbate under certain conditions is required. Data obtained from the experiments can be used for designing a prospective full-scale column operation. In this research, data from column studies have been analyzed using the Thomas' model.

Thomas' model was used to estimate the absorptive capacity of the adsorbent. The expression for the Thomas model is given in **equation 6**.

$$\frac{C_t}{C_o} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[\left(\frac{K_{TH} q_e x}{Q} - k_{TH} C_o t\right)\right]} \quad \text{Equation 6}$$

where k_{TH} (mL min⁻¹ mg⁻¹) is the Thomas model constant; q_e (mg g⁻¹) is the predicted adsorption capacity; x is the mass of adsorbent (g); Q is the flow rate (mL min⁻¹); C_o is initial DNA concentration (mg L⁻¹); C_t is the effluent concentration at time t (mg L⁻¹).

Yoon-Nelson's model was used to predict the time of run before regeneration or replacement of the column becomes necessary. It is a very simple model to represent the breakthrough curve as it does not require any data about the characteristics of the system and the physical properties of the adsorbent (Rouf and Nagapadma, 2015). Yoon-Nelson's model can be expressed as **equation 7**.

$$\frac{C_t}{C_o - C_t} = \exp(K_{YN} t - \tau k_{YN}) \quad \text{Equation 7}$$

where K_{YN} (min⁻¹) is the rate constant; τ (min) is the time required for 50% adsorbate breakthrough.

2.16 Hydraulic residence time in the column

Hydraulic residence time distributions in the columns filled with sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand was performed by using NaCl salt tracer. The columns were filled with sewage-sludge biochar or iron-oxide coated sand with a bed height of 10 cm and a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹ was used. A concentration of 60 mM NaCl salt solution was pulse dosed into the column by using an HPLC liquid chromatography pump (Shimadzu LC-8A, USA). The

concentration changes in the effluent was measured by electrical conductivity using PRIMO 5 Microprocessor Conductivity Meter (Hanna instruments, USA), as a function of time by taking samples of 0.5 mL.

2.17 DNA extraction before and after loading adsorption columns

Two types of DNA were extracted: (i) free-floating eDNA from filtered treated wastewater and (ii) environmental DNA (intracellular and extracellular DNA) from unfiltered treated wastewater before loading the column and in the column eluents. The isolation of free-floating extracellular DNA and of intracellular DNA has been described by Calderón-Franco et al. (2020).

For extracting the free-floating extracellular DNA, 1000 mL of raw effluent samples were filtered sequentially through 0.44 μm and 0.22 μm polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) (Pall Corporation, USA) membranes and further processed for isolating free-floating extracellular DNA. 1000 mL of filtered effluent sample (containing the free-floating extracellular DNA) was loaded in a positively charged 1 mL diethylaminoethyl cellulose (DEAE) column (BIA Separations, Slovenia) at a speed of 0.6 mL min⁻¹ after equilibration in order to keep the pressure below 1.8 MPa (pressure limit for the 1 mL column).

Buffers and solutions used for equilibrating, eluting, regenerating, cleaning and storing the column are the following: Equilibration buffer consisted on 50 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA at pH 7.2. Elution buffer consisted on 50 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, 1.5 M NaCl at pH 7.2. Regeneration buffer consisted on 50 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, 2 M NaCl, pH 7.2. Cleaning solution consisted on 1 M NaOH and 2 M NaCl. Storage solution consisted of 20% Ethanol.

390

391 Column preparation and processing were done according to manufacturer's instructions.
 392 Elution was done at a speed of 1 mL min⁻¹ with elution buffer and eluent was tracked over
 393 time with an HPLC photodiode array detector (Waters Corporation, USA) recording the UV-
 394 VIS absorption at wavelength 260 nm. The eluent was further precipitated with ethanol
 395 (Moore and Dowhan, 2002) to obtain the raw free-floating extracellular DNA sample.
 396 Precipitated raw free-floating extracellular DNA was incubated with 0.85 g L⁻¹ proteinase K
 397 (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) during 2 hours and enzymatic reaction was stopped at 50°C for 10
 398 minutes. Protein-digested raw extracellular DNA sample was finally purified using GeneJET
 399 NGS Cleanup Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA). Obtained free-floating extracellular DNA samples
 400 were stored at -20°C for further analysis.

401

402 Environmental DNA (extracellular and intracellular DNA) was extracted from the unfiltered
 403 water sample before loading the column and the effluent using the DNeasy kit Power Water
 404 (Qiagen, The Netherlands) as per the instructions given by the manufacturer. The experiments
 405 were performed in triplicates. The extracted DNA from filtered and unfiltered samples were
 406 quantified by fluorometry using Qubit® (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

407

408 **2.18 Quantification of ARGs and MGE by quantitative polymerase chain reaction**

409 The 16S rRNA gene was selected as a proxy to quantify total bacteria. The genes analyzed by
 410 qPCR were chosen and modified from a selection panel of antibiotic resistance genes already
 411 used for wastewater samples (Pallares-Vega et al., 2019). Standards for qPCR were generated
 412 from ResFinder (<https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ResFinder/>), a curated antimicrobial
 413 resistance genes database. The chosen ARGs confer resistance to antibiotics with the highest

consumption in The Netherlands: macrolides (*ermB*), sulfonamides (*sul1* and *sul2*), fluoroquinolones (*qnrS*) and extended-spectrum β -lactamase (*bla_{CTXM}*) (**Table 1**). Moreover, a gene assessing the presence of MGE was included: *int11*, an integrase of class I Integron, known to be jumping genes responsible of driving horizontal gene transfer phenomena (Ma et al., 2017).

The selected genes for the analysis are shown in **Table 1**. Standards, primers and reaction conditions used in this study are listed in the supplementary material and **tables S1 and S2**.

Table 1. Details of the panel of universal 16S rRNA gene, antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs), and mobile genetic element (MGE) used for the study. The selection was based on the work of Pallarés-Vega et al. (2019) as representative genes for The Netherlands.

Group	Gene	Function
All Bacteria	16S ribosomal RNA gene	Normalization to the concentration of bacteria
ARGs	<i>ermB</i> (Erythromycin resistance)	Resistance to macrolides
	<i>sul1</i> (Sulfonamide resistant dihydropteroate synthase 1)	Resistance to sulfonamides
	<i>sul2</i> (Sulfonamide resistant dihydropteroate synthase 2)	Resistance to sulphonamides
	<i>qnrS</i> (Fluoroquinolone resistance)	Resistance to quinolones
	<i>bla_{CTXM}</i> (Cefotaxime-hydrolyzing β -lactamase resistance)	Resistance to extended spectrum β -lactams
MGE	<i>int11</i> (Class 1 Integrase)	Integrase of type 1 integrons

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 By-products materials show a porous morphology optimal for adsorption experiments

Two by-product materials were selected to investigate the removal of DNA fragments by adsorption. Sewage-sludge biochar was produced at high temperature (600°C) from excess sludge collected from a WWTP. Reclaimed iron-oxide coated sand was obtained from a drinking water treatment plant. **Figure 2** shows scanning electron microscopy micrographs of sewage-sludge biochar (**Fig. 2a-b**) and iron-oxide coated sand (**Fig. 2c-d**). The surface morphologies of both by-products were highly heterogeneous and structurally complex, with many pores of different diameters. No fibers nor other debris structures were observed in any of the materials.

Figure 2. Scanning electron microscopy images of sewage sludge biochar at magnifications of **(a)** 10.000x and **(b)** 15.000 x and iron oxide coated sand at **(c)** 5.000x and **(d)** 10.000x.

443

444 The results of the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area analyses are provided
445 in **table 2**. Sewage-sludge biochar surface area was lower than for iron-oxide coated sand:
446 32.4 vs 164.9 m²g⁻¹. Ash filling, which blocks access to the biochar micropores, could explain
447 why specific surface areas in biochar are lower than in other materials (Song and Guo, 2012).
448 Méndez *et al.* (2013) got similar BET surface area values and pore diameter with biochar
449 pyrolyzed at 600°C from sewage sludge: 37.18 m² g⁻¹ and 9.46 nm, respectively.

450

451 The iron-oxide coated sand had a specific surface area of 164.9 m² g⁻¹. Sharma (2001)
452 described the iron-oxide coated sand specific surface area from 12 different Dutch drinking
453 water plants in the range of 5.4 to 201 m² g⁻¹. They suggested that the BET surface area of
454 iron-oxide coated sand could mainly depend on their residence time (from months to years)
455 in the drinking water treatment plant.

456

457 The sewage-sludge biochar production yield of 0.39 g (dried sewage-sludge biochar) g⁻¹ (dried
458 sewage-sludge) matches other studies (Roberts et al., 2017; Tarelho et al., 2019). The biochar
459 production yield has an important impact on its industrial applicability. It highly varies from
460 the pyrolysis temperature used (Daful and R Chandraratne, 2018). The low sewage-sludge
461 biochar production yields here obtained could be caused by the high pyrolysis temperatures
462 that may release higher amounts of volatile matter (Titiladunayo et al., 2012).

463

464 The chemical properties of the materials are presented in **Table 2**. The sewage-sludge biochar
465 was mainly composed of oxygen, sulphur and carbon with traces of other elements like
466 phosphorus, magnesium or calcium bioaccumulated during wastewater treatment. Iron-

oxide coated sands mainly comprised iron, oxygen and silica. The valence state of iron in the iron oxide coated sand was determined by Mössbauer spectroscopy, resulting in mainly Ferrihydrite (III) particles (**Fig. S1; Table S3**).

Table 2. Physicochemical properties and the elemental composition of sewage-sludge biochar pyrolyzed at 600°C and iron oxide coated sand.

Material	Sewage-based biochar	Iron-oxide coated sand
Yield (%)	38.6	-
Water content (%)	78.9	-
Volatile matter (%)	68.1	-
Surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	32.4	164.9
Pore diameter (nm)	10.1	6.2
pH	8.0	8.2
Elemental composition analysis		
C (%)	14.1	2.07
H (%)	1.7	3.28
O (%)	37.4	43.7 ^a
N (%)	1.9	0.01
S (%)	19.5	-
P (%)	7.7	-
Ca (%)	4.3	-
Mg (%)	5.6	-
Fe (%)	3.9	27.3
Si (%)	3.2	23.61
Al (%)	1.3	-

^a Oxygen content estimation.

3.2 Adsorption of nucleic acids by sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand seems to follow a multilayer Freundlich isotherm

Salmon sperm DNA was used as a synthetic DNA template to analyze the adsorption equilibrium of the by-products. The adsorption equilibrium time was reached after 2 h for sewage-sludge biochar (**Figure S3a**) and after 5 h for iron-oxide coated sand (**Figure S3b**). Sewage sludge biochar showed higher adsorption capacities than iron-oxide coated sand. Both Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms were used to fit the data. **Figure 3a** and **3b** showed the different adsorption isotherm curves of salmon sperm DNA on sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand, respectively. **Table 3** summarizes the different estimated adsorption parameters for both materials in treated wastewater. For the Langmuir equation, the maximum DNA adsorption capacity ($q_{\max}$) by sewage sludge biochar was 2.0 mg g^{-1} and iron oxide coated sand was 5.8 mg g^{-1} . The Langmuir K_L (L mg^{-1}) constant was higher in sewage-sludge biochar (0.61 L mg^{-1}) than in iron-oxide coated sand (0.01 L mg^{-1}). The Freundlich equation showed a different trend of adsorption of DNA onto the tested adsorbents. Sewage-sludge biochar had a higher Freundlich K_F (1 mg g^{-1}) constant than iron oxide coated sand (0.21 mg g^{-1}). This result was contrary to what could be observed in the maximum adsorption capacity when Langmuir parameters were analyzed.

Comparing the correlation coefficient, the adsorption profiles can be better described by the Freundlich isotherm model ($r^2 = 0.99$ and 0.93 for sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand, respectively) suggesting a multilayer adsorption with heterogeneous distribution of active adsorption sites rather than a Langmuir monolayer isotherm ($r^2 = 0.92$ and 0.89 for sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand, respectively). For the adsorption to be thermodynamically favorable, the constant for a given adsorbate and

adsorbent at a particular temperature “n” should lie between 1 and 10 (Desta, 2013). Values of “n” obtained from both of our tested adsorbents lied within the range (**Table 3**). In terms of adsorption capacity, sewage-sludge biochar was found to be a more effective adsorbent for remediating potential xenogenetic elements.

Overall, biochar showed higher affinity towards nucleic acids. However, it is important to highlight that the binding mechanisms might be quite different between the materials tested. Likely, iron binds to the phosphate groups from DNA whereas on biochar, hydrophobic forces may be driving the interaction.

Table 3. Freundlich and Langmuir parameters on DNA adsorption with both sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand materials in treated wastewater matrix.

Adsorbent	Type of water	Freundlich			Langmuir		Correlation coefficient R^2	
		K_F (mg g^{-1})	$1/n$	n	q_{max} (mg g^{-1})	K_L (L mg^{-1})	Freundlich	Langmuir
Sewage-sludge biochar	Treated wastewater	1.0	0.19	5.16	2	0.61	0.99	0.92
Iron-oxide coated sand	Treated wastewater	0.21	0.61	1.63	5.8	0.01	0.93	0.89

Figure 3. Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms models fitted from experimental data on **(a)** sewage sludge biochar and **(b)** iron oxide coated sand. In graph: Equilibrium salmon sperm DNA concentration (C_e) and the amount of salmon sperm DNA adsorbed per unit of adsorbent (Q_e).

3.3 Effects of pH and coexisting anions

The influence of pH on DNA adsorption by sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand was analysed in **Figure 4**. The initial concentrations of DNA were 20 mg L⁻¹ (**Figure 4a**) and 100 mg L⁻¹ (**Figure 4b**). There was no effect observed of pH on the adsorption capacity of salmon sperm DNA for the tested materials.

It has been described that organic clays, montmorillonite and biochar adsorb more DNA under acidic (pH < 5) than alkaline (pH > 9) conditions (Cai et al., 2006c; Saeki and Kunito, 2010). The biochar surface displays an increase of its negative charge when pH values range from 3 to 7 (Yuan et al., 2011). The isoelectric point of DNA is about pH 5 (Cai et al., 2006b). DNA molecules are negatively charged due to the phosphate groups when pH is above the isoelectric point. The phosphate groups from DNA at low pH < 2 are mostly present as a neutral species. In order to avoid electrostatic repulsion, sewage-sludge biochar and DNA should not be negatively charged simultaneously. This means that at pH lower than 4, both biochar surfaces and DNA phosphate groups are not negatively charged, decreasing repulsion between them. Thus, it should increase the adsorption capacity. However, in our case theory does not align with the experiments. Wang *et al.* (2014) have already observed that pH shifts on willow wood biochar did not display any significant influence on nucleic acids adsorption.

Adsorption of ortho-phosphate onto iron-oxide coated sand increase as the pH decreases, following an anionic adsorption behavior (Huang et al., 2014). In our case, pH shifts did not show any significant effect on DNA adsorption by the phosphate groups.

Figure 4. The influence of pH on DNA adsorption ($\text{mg DNA g material}^{-1}$) by sewage-sludge biochar (SBC) and iron-oxide coated sands (IOCS). The initial concentration of DNA was 20 mg L^{-1} (A) and 100 mg L^{-1} (B), respectively. No significant difference ($p < 0.05$) among treatments were observed. DNA adsorption stands for mg of DNA per g of adsorbent added.

Figure 5 presents the influence of ionic conditions on the adsorption of DNA to sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand at pH 7, at an initial DNA concentration of 100 mg L^{-1} . The addition of the different ions (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and Na^{+}) in the concentrations range of $1 - 60 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$ had no significant effect ($p > 0.05$) on the adsorption of salmon sperm DNA onto sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand (supplementary **table S4**). The only exception was the addition of $60 \text{ mmol Mg}^{2+} \text{ L}^{-1}$ on the sewage-sludge biochar material that did show a

significant increase (0.89 mg DNA g⁻¹, 32.6%) on the adsorption capacity (p<0.05). Ion bridges and charge neutralization are the supposed main mechanisms increasing DNA adsorption by cations addition (Cai et al., 2006a; Nguyen and Chen, 2007). However, DNA adsorption onto these materials did not seem to be driven by electrostatic interactions. For this reason, it is hypothesized that hydrophobic interactions or iron-phosphate interactions can play a role in the DNA adsorption onto the tested materials. It has been described that higher pyrolysis temperatures (> 600 °C) significantly increase DNA adsorption efficiency onto biochar due to higher surface area and hydrophobicity (Dai et al., 2017).

Figure 5. The influence of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ and Na⁺ on adsorption of DNA (100 mg L⁻¹) on sewage sludge biochar (SBC) and iron oxide coated sands (IOCS). DNA adsorption stands for mg of DNA per g of adsorbent added. The concentrations of the ions were set at 1 and 60 mmol L⁻¹. Significant difference is defined by (*) when p<0.05.

3.4 Sewage-sludge biochar is an efficient adsorbent for DNA removal

Two separate columns filled with sewage-sludge biochar or iron-oxide coated sand particles were evaluated as adsorbents for xenogenetic elements removal. Experiments were

performed both with effluent and ultrapure water with salmon sperm DNA in order to assess the effect of treated wastewater on DNA adsorption.

The breakthrough curves from experiments performed with both columns and water qualities are depicted in **Figure 6**. Sewage-sludge biochar was more effective on DNA adsorption than iron oxide coated sand.

The breakthrough point on sewage-sludge biochar was around 4.2 ± 1.8 times higher than iron oxide coated sand when different initial DNA concentrations were tested. The breakthrough point on sewage-sludge biochar was 4.6 ± 1.3 times higher than iron oxide coated sand when different flow rates were utilized.

When the same experiment was performed using ultrapure water, the effect on the breakthrough point was remarkable. The breakthrough point on sewage-sludge biochar was around 10.4 ± 1.9 times higher than iron oxide coated sand when different initial DNA concentrations (0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 mg mL⁻¹) were tested and 4.3 ± 0.9 that of iron oxide coated sand when different flow rates (0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 mL min⁻¹) were utilized. Full breakthrough point details can be found on **Table S5**.

Figure 6. Comparison of experimental breakthrough curves for adsorption of salmon sperm DNA onto the different selected materials. Different initial concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ and flow rates ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 mL min⁻¹ were assessed on (a)(c) sewage sludge biochar and (b)(d) iron oxide coated sand, respectively. For assessing the effect of different initial DNA concentrations, flow rate was set at 0.1 mL min⁻¹. For assessing the effect of different flow rates, the DNA concentration was set up to 0.3 mg mL⁻¹. **MQ:** Ultrapure MiliQ water. **TW:** Treated wastewater.

Thomas' and Yoon-Nelson's mathematical models were used to evaluate the effect of process variables on the efficiency of adsorption for DNA removal in a fixed-bed column.

Breakthrough curves for the adsorption of DNA onto sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand on different water qualities were further analyzed using the Thomas model. The Thomas model constant " K_{TH} " values increased while flow rates increased on both tested materials and water qualities. The adsorption capacity at equilibrium " q_e " values calculated from the Thomas model were closer to the experimentally obtained results ($q_{e(exp)}$), especially on ultrapure water. Thus, the Thomas model adequately describes the experimental

breakthrough data. The suitability of the Thomas model to the experimental data indicates that external and internal diffusions are not the only rate-limiting steps in the DNA adsorption process.

The Yoon-Nelson model was applied to determine the extent of the adsorbents used for DNA removal. The model constants (K_{YN} and τ) and correlation coefficient values are also presented in **table 4** for all experimental conditions. The interpolation of the model plot showed that the values of K_{YN} increased when increasing inlet DNA concentrations. The breakthrough time ' τ ' decreased for the increasing range of flow rate and initial DNA concentrations, as the column saturated faster due to less contact time and higher number of DNA molecules to be adsorbed. The small differences between experimental and predicted τ values indicated that Yoon-Nelson model gave an appropriate fit to the experimental column data on continuous DNA adsorption.

Table 4. Parameters obtained from the Thomas and Yoon-Nelson models. **Ns:** non-saturated.

Operation parameters				Thomas' model				Yoon-Nelson's model			
Material	Water quality	C_0 (mg L ⁻¹)	Q (mL min ⁻¹)	K_{TH} (10 ⁻³) (L min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹)	q_e (mg g ⁻¹)	q_e (exp) (mg g ⁻¹)	R^2	K_{YN} (10 ⁻³) (L min ⁻¹)	τ (min)	τ_{exp} (min)	R^2
Sewage-sludge biochar	Ultrapure	100	0.1	0.05	3.7	3.7	0.93	32	786	570	0.98
	Ultrapure	300	0.1	0.05	3.6	3.7	0.93	29.5	399	315	0.92
	Ultrapure	500	0.1	0.12	1.8	1.9	0.98	139.8	129	120	0.99
	Ultrapure	300	0.3	0.13	3.5	3.7	0.98	20.9	132	105	0.94
	Ultrapure	300	0.5	0.29	3.2	3.5	0.98	151.7	65	45	0.94
	Treated	100	0.1	ns	Ns	ns	ns	3.2	2321	2000	0.98
	Treated	300	0.1	0.03	6.8	3.4	0.98	17.4	670	540	0.92
	Treated	500	0.1	0.04	6.9	4.1	0.92	35.1	342	315	0.93
	Treated	300	0.3	0.14	4.6	4.1	0.92	80.2	158	120	0.86
	Treated	300	0.5	0.36	6.9	5.2	0.99	64.1	148	105	0.87
Iron-oxide	Ultrapure	100	0.1	0.07	0.1	0.5	0.93	5.6	334	45	0.98
	Ultrapure	300	0.1	0.08	1.2	1.2	0.95	33.1	121	30	0.99
	Ultrapure	500	0.1	0.18	0.7	0.7	0.98	27.7	38	15	0.99

<i>coated</i>	Ultrapure	300	0.3	0.03	2.2	2.7	0.84	6.9	46	20	0.91
<i>sand</i>	Ultrapure	300	0.5	0.31	1.6	2.0	0.94	17	22	10	0.93
	Treated	100	0.1	0.02	1.4	0.9	0.87	13.7	307	150	0.95
	Treated	300	0.1	0.03	3.0	2.6	0.79	47.2	177	120	0.98
	Treated	500	0.1	0.05	1.7	1.7	0.91	59.3	145	105	0.92
	Treated	300	0.3	0.12	2.3	2.3	0.99	8.4	135	45	0.90
	Treated	300	0.5	0.06	2.2	1.3	0.63	8.8	21	15	0.82

3.5 Humic acids interfere on DNA adsorption with effluent water matrices

For the ultrapure water experiments, both sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand were fully saturated by salmon sperm DNA (ratio $C_t/C_0 \approx 1$). This exhaustion point could not be achieved when working with treated wastewater (**Figure 6**). Such differences on different water qualities could be explained by DNA being adsorbed on some organic particles or components of treated wastewater that are not present on ultrapure water such as humic acids. If DNA binds to organic particles and do not precipitate, they will remain in solution and would not be detected in the eluent.

Humic acids are generally seen as important soil and natural water components that are formed during humification of organic matter by microorganisms. They are recognized as responsible for binding major parts of the available metal ions in water and soil (Kochany and Smith, 2001). A range of humic acid concentrations (0 to 100 mg L⁻¹) were incubated with salmon sperm DNA and the adsorbents in order to assess the influence of humic acids on DNA adsorption.

Figure 7. Influence of humic acids on DNA adsorption on sewage sludge biochar (SBC), iron oxide coated sands (IOCS) and only humic acids (HA). Salmon sperm DNA was used as a DNA template at a concentration of 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. DNA adsorption stands for mg of DNA per g of adsorbent added.

Increasing humic acid concentrations decreased significantly the capacity of the materials tested in this study to adsorb DNA onto sewage-sludge biochar ($\Delta 0.5 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$, 90.5% decrease) and iron-oxide coated sand ($\Delta 0.12 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$, 17% decrease) (**Figure 7**). When only humic acids were supplied, DNA adsorbed onto them (0.42 mg g^{-1}). Saeki *et al*, (2011) already showed a similar effect when DNA molecules were exposed to humic acids, suggesting that humic acids can adsorb and fix DNA. Humic acids can adsorb themselves onto biochar (Feng *et al.*, 2008), binding better DNA and in consequence making biochar a better adsorbent. This would explain why the column outlet concentration with treated wastewater never reached the inlet DNA concentration when saturated.

3.6 More than 95% of antibiotic resistant genes and mobile genetic element present in the environmental DNA can be removed by sewage-sludge biochar

To assess the removal of ARGs and MGE, two columns with the adsorbents were used to treat 1000 mL of treated wastewater. To differentiate between ARGs and MGE removal in the environmental DNA or free-floating extracellular DNA, non-filtered and 0.2- μ m filtered treated wastewater was used as mobile fraction.

The removal effect for a specific set of ARGs and MGE was assessed by qPCR. The results for the filtration experiments with the raw effluent are shown in **Figure 8a** and **Table 5**. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) on these scores yielded significant variation among the non-filtered effluent water and the eluent of the iron-oxide coated sand and sewage-sludge biochar columns. The post-hoc Tukey test showed that all the tested gene copies in the sewage-sludge biochar eluent differed significantly at $p < .0005$ when compared with their concentration on the non-filtered effluent wastewater inlet. For the iron coated sand, only *sul2*, *ermB*, and *bla_{CTXM}* differed significantly at $p < 0.0005$ and *qnrS* at $p < 0.05$ from the inlet concentrations. Individual qPCR gene values per sample are listed in **Table S6**.

The results from filtered effluent wastewater and the sewage-sludge biochar and iron-oxide coated sand column eluents are shown in **Figure 8b** and **table 5**. An ANOVA on these scores yielded significant variation among the filtered effluent water and the eluent of the iron-oxide coated sand and sewage-sludge biochar columns. No significant difference between samples were observed when *bla_{CTXM}* was assessed, basically because it was not detected (n.d.) in any fraction. The post-hoc Tukey test showed that all the tested gene copies in the sewage-sludge biochar eluent differed significantly from the filtered treated wastewater at $p < .0005$. Only

sul1 and *sul2* ($p < 0.05$) and *ermB* and *qnrS* ($p < 0.0005$) differed significantly from the iron-oxide coated sand eluent when compared with the column inlet concentrations. Individual qPCR gene values per sample are listed in **Table S7**.

Table 5. Quantitative PCR results assessing a 16S rRNA, a panel of antibiotic resistance gene and the integrase I class 1 as a mobile genetic element. Values represent the $\log_{10}$ gene copies differences between the inlet of the column (unfiltered or filtered effluent water) and the outlet of the adsorption columns tested (iron-oxide coated sand and sewage-sludge biochar).

Type of water	Material	Gene						
		16S rRNA	<i>sul1</i>	<i>sul2</i>	<i>ermB</i>	<i>qnrS</i>	<i>bla_{ctxM}</i>	<i>int1</i>
Unfiltered effluent water	Iron-oxide coated sand	0.34 ± 1.1	0.1 ± 1.0	1.2 ± 0.8	2.3 ± 0.4	0.7 ± 0.8	1.9 ± 0.4	0.1 ± 1.2
	Sewage-sludge biochar	2.3 ± 1.4	1.9 ± 1.3	1.8 ± 1.3	2.4 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.8
Filtered effluent water	Iron-oxide coated sand	0.003 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.02	1.4 ± 0.04	0	0.03 ± 0.7
	Sewage-sludge biochar	3.0 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.03	1.4 ± 0.05	0	2.1 ± 0.6

Overall, 97% and 66% of the genes present in the unfiltered effluent water decreased in these experiments using the sewage-sludge biochar columns and iron-oxide coated sand, respectively. When the free-floating extracellular DNA was assessed, a removal of 84.8% and 54% for sewage-sludge biochar columns and iron-oxide coated sand columns was observed, respectively. Individual removal values are listed in **Table S8**.

In this study, other materials such as granulate activated carbon, particulate activated carbon and mineral wool were tested on top of the selected materials (**Figure S4**). Only the particulate activated carbon, used as positive control, the sewage-sludge biochar and the iron-oxide coated sands displayed positive results on DNA adsorption. This is the first time to our knowledge that wastewater treatment by-products have been shown to be effective adsorbents for antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements from the free-floating extracellular DNA fraction removal from treated effluent water.

Figure 8. Quantitative PCR results assessing the ARGs and MGE differences between treated effluent wastewater and the by-product column outlet water streams after running through the iron-oxide-coated sand (IOCS) adsorption column or the sewage-sludge biochar (SBC) adsorption column. **(a)** Comparison is done with unfiltered effluent water samples assessing the environmental DNA removal (including antibiotic resistance bacteria). **(b)** Comparison is done with filtered effluent water samples assessing the free-floating extracellular DNA removal (only including antibiotic resistance genes and mobile genetic elements. No bacteria presence). Values are shown on Log₁₀ gene copies mL⁻¹ from a panel of 16S rRNA, different antibiotic resistance genes (*sul1*, *sul2*, *ermB*, *qnrS* and *bla_{CTXM}*) and a mobile genetic element (*intl1*). $p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.005$ (**), $p < 0.0005$ (***). **Note:** “nd” stands for “non-detected”. Black dots represent data outliers.

3.7 Outlook

Sewage-sludge biochar can be a good by-product option for ARGs and MGEs removal by adsorption. Sewage-sludge has been gradually increasing due to the rising world population. It is estimated that at the European level, sludge production from WWTPs would reach 13 million tons by 2020 (Capodaglio and Callegari, 2018). The treatment and discarding of sewage sludge (landfilling, agriculture and incinerations) is an expensive and ecological burden due to urban expansion. This causes an increase in sludge production as new WWTPs are required to be built. The European directive 86/278/EEC on agricultural use of sewage sludge set stringent regulations on the use of sewage sludge as landfilling due to the presence of high concentrations of heavy metals and pathogens. Incineration is carried out in most of the EU-15 countries, with the Netherlands one of the top users (Agrafioti et al., 2013; Capodaglio and Callegari, 2018).

One of the options for getting rid of the sewage sludge is large scale incineration, which requires high investment and operating costs as extensive cleaning, as well as gas purification for safe emission into the environment. An alternative to this method would be pyrolysis which can reduce the sludge volume, completely remove pathogens and convert the organic matter into biofuel, bio-oil or biochar for further applications (Inguanzo et al., 2002). Pyrolysis is done under less or no oxygen conditions which reduces the amount of flue gases to be cleaned and acidic gases generation (Hwang et al., 2007). Biochar produced out of dewatered sewage-sludge has been shown to be useful in removing pollutants from wastewater (Fathi Dokht et al., 2017). The ability to remove nucleic acids is an additional advantage of this by-product material on top of removing agrichemicals, pharmaceuticals and personal care products as well as endocrine disrupting compounds (Thompson et al., 2016).

727

728 Methods for removing ARBs and ARGs from effluent water have so far primarily focused on
729 killing bacteria rather than removing the DNA. Conventional disinfectants such as chlorine or
730 ozone, UV treatments or advanced oxidation processes (fenton oxidation or photocatalytical
731 oxidation, among others) have been used (Pazda et al., 2019). We show here an alternative
732 to non-mutagenic treatments, simpler and cost-effective.

733

734 A free-floating eDNA concentration of $5.6 \pm 2.9 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ has been measured from effluent
735 wastewater (Calderón-Franco et al., 2020b). The WWTP sampled in this study has an
736 approximate flow of $200'000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ day}^{-1}$, corresponding to an approximate free-floating
737 extracellular DNA discharge of 1 kg day^{-1} . If we take from this study that sewage-sludge
738 biochar is efficient to remove around 85% of the free-floating genes, it would mean that we
739 could avoid discharging 310 kg year^{-1} of it from only one, but also the largest in the country,
740 Dutch WWTP.

741

4 Conclusion

We pointed out the nucleic acids removal capacity of water sanitation by-products, highlighting the importance of giving them a second productive life. This was remarkable for sewage-sludge biochar as it can be combined with organic micropollutants removal. We showed with our laboratory physical models how to efficiently remove ARGs and MGEs before water leaves the WWTP. The 97% of the evaluated genes present in the environmental DNA (composed of both the intracellular and extracellular DNAs) were adsorbed and removed from raw unfiltered effluent wastewater by sewage-sludge biochar; 66% by iron-oxide coated sand. The 85% of ARGs and MGE present on free-floating eDNA were removed by sewage-sludge biochar; 54% by iron-oxide coated sand. The use of sewage-sludge biochar in combination with humic acids found in treated effluents can impede the release of around 310 kg day⁻¹ of free-floating eDNA into the environment. By aligning to the UN SDGs for clean water and sanitation, responsible consumption and production, and climate action, this study provides a definite solution for the remediation of xenogenetic elements from wastewater. Both end-of-pipe and decentralized technologies can be developed to prevent their discharge in the aquatic environment and to minimize their emission at the source, respectively.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

DCF and AS designed the study with DGW, and additional input from MvL on adsorbent selection. DCF and AS performed the experimental investigations. DCF, AS, and DGW critically assessed the experiments, data, and scientific findings with periodical feedbacks from GJM. DCF and AS prepared the outline of the manuscript. DCF wrote the manuscript and crafted all figures with direct contribution, edits, and critical feedback by all authors.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Suellen Espindola from the TU Delft Department of Chemistry for taking the SEM micrographies and to Dr. Iulian Dugulan from the TU Delft Reactor Institute for characterizing our iron-oxide coated sands by Mössbauer spectroscopy. We are also thankful to John van den Berg from the TU Delft Department of Material Sciences for his guidance through the nitrogen sorption analysis pipeline. This work is part of the research project "Transmission of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes and Engineered DNA from Transgenic Biosystems in Nature" (Targetbio) funded by the programme Biotechnology & Safety (grant no. 15812) of the Applied and Engineering Sciences Division of the Dutch Research Council (NWO). This manuscript will be made available as a pre-print on bioRxiv.

782

783 **Supplementary information**

784

785 **Table S1.** 16S rRNA, ARGs and MGE synthetic DNA fragments used from ResFinder for
786 generating standard curves used for qPCR analysis.

787 **Table S2.** Primers used in this study.

788 **Table S3.** The Mössbauer fitted parameters of the Fe/SiO₂ samples.

789 **Table S4.** Statistical significance from the comparisons done between the control condition
790 (ultrapure water) and different ions concentrations (1 – 60 mM)

791 **Table S5.** Adsorbents breakthrough points depending on the flow rate and DNA concentration

792 **Table S6.** qPCR results per gene from unfiltered treated wastewater and eluents after IOCS
793 and SBC columns

794 **Table S7.** qPCR results per gene from filtered treated wastewater and eluents after IOCS and
795 SBC columns

796 **Table S8.** Gene removal (%) after filtered and unfiltered treated wastewater was run through
797 IOCS and SBC columns.

798

799 **Figure S1.** Mössbauer spectra obtained at 300 and 4.2 K with the Fe/SiO₂ samples.

800 **Figure S2.** By-product materials macroscopic visualization (a) and setup used for the column-
801 based studies (b).

802 **Figure S3.** Equilibrium time on treated wastewater for **(a)** sewage sludge biochar and **(b)** iron
803 oxide coated sands. Initial DNA concentration of 100 µg mL⁻¹ with the adsorbent ranging from
804 0 to 100 mg mL⁻¹.

805 **Figure S4.** DNA removal in percentage with powdered activated carbon (PAC), sewage-based
 806 biochar (SBC), iron oxide coated sands (IOCS), granular activated carbon (GAC) and mineral
 807 wool in (a) ultrapure water (b) tap water (c) effluent wastewater.

808

References

- Agrafioti, E., Bouras, G., Kalderis, D., Diamadopoulos, E., 2013. Biochar production by sewage sludge pyrolysis. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 101, 72–78.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2013.02.010>
- Al-Jassim, N., Ansari, M.I., Harb, M., Hong, P.Y., 2015. Removal of bacterial contaminants and antibiotic resistance genes by conventional wastewater treatment processes in Saudi Arabia: Is the treated wastewater safe to reuse for agricultural irrigation? *Water Res.* 73, 277–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.01.036>
- C. Lee Ventola, M., 2019. Antibiotic Resistance Crisis. *Int. J. Med. Dev. Ctries.* 40, 561–564.
<https://doi.org/10.24911/ijmdc.51-1549060699>
- Cacace, D., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Manaia, C.M., Cytryn, E., Kreuzinger, N., Rizzo, L., Karaolia, P., Schwartz, T., Alexander, J., Merlin, C., Garelick, H., Schmitt, H., de Vries, D., Schwermer, C.U., Meric, S., Ozkal, C.B., Pons, M.N., Kneis, D., Berendonk, T.U., 2019. Antibiotic resistance genes in treated wastewater and in the receiving water bodies: A pan-European survey of urban settings. *Water Res.* 162, 320–330.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.06.039>
- Cai, P., Huang, Q.-Y., Zhang, X.-W., 2006a. Interactions of DNA with clay minerals and soil colloidal particles and protection against degradation by DNase. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 2971–2976. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es0522985>
- Cai, P., Huang, Q., Jiang, D., Rong, X., Liang, W., 2006b. Microcalorimetric studies on the adsorption of DNA by soil colloidal particles. *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces* 49, 49–54.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2006.02.011>
- Cai, P., Huang, Q., Zhang, X., Chen, H., 2006c. Adsorption of DNA on clay minerals and various

833 colloidal particles from an Alfisol. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 471–476.
834 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.05.019>

835 Calderón-Franco, D., Lin, Q., Loosdrecht, M.C.M. Van, Abbas, B., Weissbrodt, D.G., 2020a.
836 Anticipating Xenogenic Pollution at the Source : Impact of Sterilizations on DNA Release
837 From Microbial Cultures. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8, 1–13.
838 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00171>

839 Calderón-Franco, D., Loosdrecht, M.C.M. Van, Abeel, T., Weissbrodt, D.G., 2020b. A novel
840 method to isolate free-floating extracellular DNA from wastewater for quantitation and
841 metagenomic profiling of mobile genetic elements and antibiotic resistance genes 1–33.
842 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.01.072397>

843 Capodaglio, A.G., Callegari, A., 2018. Feedstock and process influence on biodiesel produced
844 from waste sewage sludge. *J. Environ. Manage.* 216, 176–182.
845 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.03.089>

846 Chatterjee, S., Mondal, S., De, S., 2018. Design and scaling up of fixed bed adsorption columns
847 for lead removal by treated laterite. *J. Clean. Prod.* 177, 760–774.
848 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.249>

849 Chen, S., Yue, Q., Gao, B., Li, Q., Xu, X., Fu, K., 2012. Bioresource Technology Adsorption of
850 hexavalent chromium from aqueous solution by modified corn stalk : A fixed-bed column
851 study. *Bioresour. Technol.* 113, 114–120.
852 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.110>

853 Cheng, X., Delanka-Pedige, H.M.K., Munasinghe-Arachchige, S.P., Abeywardana-
854 Arachchige, I.S.A., Smith, G.B., Nirmalakhandan, N., Zhang, Y., 2020. Removal of
855 antibiotic resistance genes in an algal-based wastewater treatment system employing
856 *Galdieria sulphuraria*: A comparative study. *Sci. Total Environ.* 711, 134435.

857 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134435>

858 Chowdhury, Z.Z., Abd Hamid, S.B., Zain, S.M., 2015. Evaluating design parameters for
859 breakthrough curve analysis and kinetics of fixed bed columns for Cu(II) cations using
860 lignocellulosic wastes. BioResources 10, 732–749.
861 <https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.10.1.732-749>

862 Czekalski, N., Berthold, T., Caucci, S., Egli, A., Bürgmann, H., 2012. Increased levels of
863 multiresistant bacteria and resistance genes after wastewater treatment and their
864 dissemination into Lake Geneva, Switzerland. Front. Microbiol. 3, 1–18.
865 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00106>

866 Daful, A.G., R Chandraratne, M., 2018. Biochar Production From Biomass Waste-Derived
867 Material, Reference Module in Materials Science and Materials Engineering. Elsevier Ltd.
868 <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-803581-8.11249-4>

869 Dai, Z., Webster, T.M., Enders, A., Hanley, K.L., Xu, J., Thies, J.E., Lehmann, J., 2017. DNA
870 extraction efficiency from soil as affected by pyrolysis temperature and extractable
871 organic carbon of high-ash biochar. Soil Biol. Biochem. 115, 129–136.
872 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.08.016>

873 Desta, M.B., 2013. Batch sorption experiments: Langmuir and freundlich isotherm studies for
874 the adsorption of textile metal ions onto teff straw (eragrostis tef) agricultural waste. J.
875 Thermodyn. 1. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/375830>

876 Destiani, R., Templeton, M.R., 2019. Chlorination and ultraviolet disinfection of antibiotic-
877 resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes in drinking water. AIMS Environ. Sci. 6,
878 222–241. <https://doi.org/10.3934/environsci.2019.3.222>

879 Eggen, R.I.L., Hollender, J., Joss, A., Schärer, M., Stamm, C., 2014. Reducing the discharge of
880 micropollutants in the aquatic environment: The benefits of upgrading wastewater

881 treatment plants. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 7683–7689.
882 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es500907n>

883 European Environment Agency, 2018. Industrial waste water treatment pressures on
884 environment.

885 Fathi Dokht, H., Movahedi Naeini, S.A., Dordipour, E., De Jong, L.W., Hezarjaribi, E., 2017.
886 Effects of sewage sludge and its biochar on soybean yield in fine-textured loess soil.
887 Environ. Heal. Eng. Manag. 4, 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ehem.2017.12>

888 Feng, H.J., Hu, L.F., Mahmood, Q., Long, Y., Shen, D.S., 2008. Study on biosorption of humic
889 acid by activated sludge. Biochem. Eng. J. 39, 478–485.
890 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2007.11.004>

891 Gould, I.M., Bal, A.M., Gould, I.M., Bal, A.M., 2015. New antibiotic agents in the pipeline and
892 how they can help overcome microbial resistance New antibiotic agents in the pipeline
893 and how they can help overcome microbial resistance 5594, 185–191.
894 <https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.22507>

895 Han, R., Wang, Yu, Zhao, X., Wang, Yuanfeng, Xie, F., Cheng, J., Tang, M., 2009. Adsorption of
896 methylene blue by phoenix tree leaf powder in a fixed-bed column: experiments and
897 prediction of breakthrough curves. Desalination 245, 284–297.
898 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.07.013>

899 Hendriksen, R.S., Munk, P., Njage, P., van Bunnik, B., McNally, L., Lukjancenko, O., Röder, T.,
900 Nieuwenhuijse, D., Pedersen, S.K., Kjeldgaard, J., Kaas, R.S., Clausen, P.T.L.C., Vogt, J.K.,
901 Leekitcharoenphon, P., van de Schans, M.G.M., Zuidema, T., de Roda Husman, A.M.,
902 Rasmussen, S., Petersen, B., Bego, A., Rees, C., Cassar, S., Coventry, K., Collignon, P.,
903 Allerberger, F., Rahube, T.O., Oliveira, G., Ivanov, I., Vuthy, Y., Sopheak, T., Yost, C.K., Ke,
904 C., Zheng, H., Baisheng, L., Jiao, X., Donado-Godoy, P., Coulibaly, K.J., Jergović, M.,

905 Hrenovic, J., Karpíšková, R., Villacis, J.E., Legesse, M., Eguale, T., Heikinheimo, A.,
906 Malania, L., Nitsche, A., Brinkmann, A., Saba, C.K.S., Kocsis, B., Solymosi, N.,
907 Thorsteinsdottir, T.R., Hatha, A.M., Alebouyeh, M., Morris, D., Cormican, M., O'Connor,
908 L., Moran-Gilad, J., Alba, P., Battisti, A., Shakenova, Z., Kiiyukia, C., Ng'eno, E., Raka, L.,
909 Avsejenko, J., Bērziņš, A., Bartkevics, V., Penny, C., Rajandas, H., Parimannan, S., Haber,
910 M.V., Pal, P., Jeunen, G.J., Gemmell, N., Fashae, K., Holmstad, R., Hasan, R., Shakoob, S.,
911 Rojas, M.L.Z., Wasyl, D., Bosevska, G., Kochubovski, M., Radu, C., Gassama, A.,
912 Radosavljevic, V., Wuertz, S., Zuniga-Montanez, R., Tay, M.Y.F., Gavačová, D.,
913 Pastuchova, K., Truska, P., Trkov, M., Esterhuyse, K., Keddy, K., Cerdà-Cuellar, M.,
914 Pathirage, S., Norrgren, L., Örn, S., Larsson, D.G.J., Heijden, T. Van der, Kumburu, H.H.,
915 Sanneh, B., Bidjada, P., Njanpop-Lafourcade, B.M., Nikiema-Pessinaba, S.C., Levent, B.,
916 Meschke, J.S., Beck, N.K., Van, C.D., Phuc, N. Do, Tran, D.M.N., Kwenda, G., Tabo, D.
917 adjim, Wester, A.L., Cuadros-Orellana, S., Amid, C., Cochrane, G., Sicheritz-Ponten, T.,
918 Schmitt, H., Alvarez, J.R.M., Aidara-Kane, A., Pamp, S.J., Lund, O., Hald, T., Woolhouse,
919 M., Koopmans, M.P., Vigre, H., Petersen, T.N., Aarestrup, F.M., 2019. Global monitoring
920 of antimicrobial resistance based on metagenomics analyses of urban sewage. Nat.
921 Commun. 10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08853-3>
922 Huang, Y., Yang, J.K., Keller, A.A., 2014. Removal of arsenic and phosphate from aqueous
923 solution by metal (hydr-)oxide coated sand. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2, 1128–1138.
924 <https://doi.org/10.1021/sc400484s>
925 Hwang, I.H., Ouchi, Y., Matsuto, T., 2007. Characteristics of leachate from pyrolysis residue of
926 sewage sludge. Chemosphere 68, 1913–1919.
927 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.02.060>
928 Inguanzo, M., Domínguez, A., Menéndez, J., Blanco, C.G., Pis, J.J., 2002. On the pyrolysis of

929 sewage sludge: the influence of pyrolysis conditions on solid, liquid and gas fractions. J.
930 Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 63, 209–222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370\(01\)00155-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370(01)00155-3)
931 Kappell, A.D., Kimbell, L.K., Seib, M.D., Carey, D.E., Choi, M.J., Kalayil, T., Fujimoto, M.,
932 Zitomer, D.H., McNamara, P.J., 2018. Removal of antibiotic resistance genes in an
933 anaerobic membrane bioreactor treating primary clarifier effluent at 20 °C. Environ. Sci.
934 Water Res. Technol. 4, 1783–1793. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ew00270c>
935 Karkman, A., Do, T.T., Walsh, F., Virta, M.P.J., 2018. Antibiotic-Resistance Genes in Waste
936 Water. Trends Microbiol. 26, 220–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2017.09.005>
937 Kochany, J., Smith, W., 2001. Application of humic substances in environmental remediation.
938 Appl. humic Subst. Environ. Remediat.
939 Leite, D.C.A., Balieiro, F.C., Pires, C.A., Madari, B.E., Rosado, A.S., Coutinho, H.L.C., Peixoto,
940 R.S., 2014. Comparison of DNA extraction protocols for microbial communities from soil
941 treated with biochar. Brazilian J. Microbiol. 45, 175–183. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822014000100023)
942 83822014000100023
943 Li, N., Sheng, G.P., Lu, Y.Z., Zeng, R.J., Yu, H.Q., 2017. Removal of antibiotic resistance genes
944 from wastewater treatment plant effluent by coagulation. Water Res. 111, 204–212.
945 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.010>
946 Lu, X., Zhang, X.X., Wang, Z., Huang, K., Wang, Y., Liang, W., Tan, Y., Liu, B., Tang, J., 2015.
947 Bacterial pathogens and community composition in advanced sewage treatment
948 systems revealed by metagenomics analysis based on high-throughput sequencing. PLoS
949 One 10, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0125549>
950 Ma, L., Li, A.D., Yin, X. Le, Zhang, T., 2017. The Prevalence of Integrins as the Carrier of
951 Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Natural and Man-Made Environments. Environ. Sci.
952 Technol. 51, 5721–5728. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05887>

953 Mao, D., Luo, Y., Mathieu, J., Wang, Q., Feng, L., Mu, Q., Feng, C., Alvarez, P.J.J., 2014.
954 Persistence of extracellular DNA in river sediment facilitates antibiotic resistance gene
955 propagation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es404280v>
956 McEachran, A.D., Hedgespeth, M.L., Newton, S.R., McMahan, R., Strynar, M., Shea, D.,
957 Nichols, E.G., 2018. Comparison of emerging contaminants in receiving waters
958 downstream of a conventional wastewater treatment plant and a forest-water reuse
959 system. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 12451–12463. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1505-5)
960 1505-5
961 Méndez, A., Terradillos, M., Gascó, G., 2013. Physicochemical and agronomic properties of
962 biochar from sewage sludge pyrolysed at different temperatures. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*
963 102, 124–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2013.03.006>
964 Moore, D., Dowhan, D., 2002. Purification and Concentration of DNA. *Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol.*
965 Naderi, M., 2015. Surface Area: Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET). *Prog. Filtr. Sep.* 585–608.
966 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384746-1.00014-8>
967 Nguyen, T.H., Chen, K.L., 2007. Role of divalent cations in plasmid DNA adsorption to natural
968 organic matter-coated silica surface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 5370–5375.
969 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es070425m>
970 Pallares-Vega, R., Blaak, H., van der Plaats, R., de Roda Husman, A.M., Hernandez Leal, L., van
971 Loosdrecht, M.C.M., Weissbrodt, D.G., Schmitt, H., 2019. Determinants of presence and
972 removal of antibiotic resistance genes during WWTP treatment: A cross-sectional study.
973 *Water Res.* 161, 319–328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.05.100>
974 Pazda, M., Kumirska, J., Stepnowski, P., Mulkiewicz, E., 2019. Antibiotic resistance genes
975 identified in wastewater treatment plant systems – A review. *Sci. Total Environ.*
976 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134023>

977 Pruden, A., Pei, R., Storteboom, H., Carlson, K.H., 2006. Antibiotic resistance genes as
978 emerging contaminants: Studies in northern Colorado. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 7445–
979 7450. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es060413l>

980 Riquelme Breazeal, M. V., Novak, J.T., Vikesland, P.J., Pruden, A., 2013. Effect of wastewater
981 colloids on membrane removal of antibiotic resistance genes. *Water Res.* 47, 130–140.
982 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.09.044>

983 Rizzo, L., Krätke, R., Linders, J., Scott, M., Vighi, M., de Voogt, P., 2018. Proposed EU minimum
984 quality requirements for water reuse in agricultural irrigation and aquifer recharge:
985 SCHEER scientific advice. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Heal.*
986 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2017.12.004>

987 Roberts, D.A., Cole, A.J., Whelan, A., de Nys, R., Paul, N.A., 2017. Slow pyrolysis enhances the
988 recovery and reuse of phosphorus and reduces metal leaching from biosolids. *Waste*
989 *Manag.* 64, 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.03.012>

990 Rouf, S., Nagapadma, M., 2015. Modeling of Fixed Bed Column Studies for Adsorption of Azo
991 Dye on Chitosan Impregnated with a Cationic Surfactant. *Int. J. Sci. Eng. Res.* 6, 538–544.
992 <https://doi.org/10.14299/ijser.2015.02.006>

993 Saeki, K., Ihyo, Y., Sakai, M., Kunito, T., 2011. Strong adsorption of DNA molecules on humic
994 acids. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 9, 505–509. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-011-0310-x>

995 Saeki, K., Kunito, T., 2010. Adsorptions of DNA molecules by soils and variable-charged soil
996 constituents GMOs. *Appl. Microbiol.* 1, 188–195.

997 Shannon, M.A., Bohn, P.W., Elimelech, M., Georgiadis, J.G., Marías, B.J., Mayes, A.M., 2008.
998 Science and technology for water purification in the coming decades. *Nature* 452, 301–
999 310. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06599>

1000 Sharma, S.K., 2001. Adsorptive iron removal from groundwater. *International Inst.*

1001 Infrastructural, Hydraul. Environ. Eng. Master the, 39–41.

1002 Song, W., Guo, M., 2012. Quality variations of poultry litter biochar generated at different

1003 pyrolysis temperatures. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 94, 138–145.

1004 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2011.11.018>

1005 Tarelho, L.A.C., Hauschild, T., Vilas-Boas, A.C.M., Silva, D.F.R., Matos, M.A.A., 2019. Biochar

1006 from pyrolysis of biological sludge from wastewater treatment. Energy Reports 22–25.

1007 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2019.09.063>

1008 Thompson, K.A., Shimabuku, K.K., Kearns, J.P., Knappe, D.R.U., Summers, R.S., Cook, S.M.,

1009 2016. Environmental Comparison of Biochar and Activated Carbon for Tertiary

1010 Wastewater Treatment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 11253–11262.

1011 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03239>

1012 Titiladunayo, I.F., McDonald, A.G., Fapetu, O.P., 2012. Effect of temperature on biochar

1013 product yield from selected lignocellulosic biomass in a pyrolysis process. Waste and

1014 Biomass Valorization 3, 311–318. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-012-9118-6>

1015 Torti, A., Lever, M.A., Jørgensen, B.B., 2015. Origin, dynamics, and implications of extracellular

1016 DNA pools in marine sediments. Mar. Genomics 24, 185–196.

1017 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margen.2015.08.007>

1018 Tripathi, V., Tripathi, P., 2017. Antibiotic resistance genes: An emerging environmental

1019 pollutant, Environmental Science and Engineering (Subseries: Environmental Science).

1020 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46248-6_9

1021 UNICEF, WHO, JMP, 2019. Progress on household drinking water, sanitation and hygiene

1022 2000-2017, Unicef/Who.

1023 Van Der Hoek, J.P., De Fooij, H., Struker, A., 2016. Wastewater as a resource: Strategies to

1024 recover resources from Amsterdam’s wastewater. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 113, 53–64.

1025 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.05.012>

1026 Villarroel-Rocha, J., Barrera, D., Sapag, K., 2014. Introducing a self-consistent test and the
 1027 corresponding modification in the Barrett, Joyner and Halenda method for pore-size
 1028 determination. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 200, 68–78.
 1029 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2014.08.017>

1030 von Wintersdorff, C.J.H., Penders, J., van Niekerk, J.M., Mills, N.D., Majumder, S., van Alphen,
 1031 L.B., Savelkoul, P.H.M., Wolffs, P.F.G., 2016. Dissemination of Antimicrobial Resistance
 1032 in Microbial Ecosystems through Horizontal Gene Transfer. Front. Microbiol. 7, 173.
 1033 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00173>

1034 Wang, C., Wang, T., Li, W., Yan, J., Li, Z., Ahmad, R., Herath, S.K., Zhu, N., 2014. Adsorption of
 1035 deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) by willow wood biochars produced at different pyrolysis
 1036 temperatures. Biol. Fertil. Soils 50, 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-013-0836-0>

1037 Weissbrodt, D., Kovalova, L., Ort, C., Pazhepurackel, V., Moser, R., Hollender, J., Siegrist, H.,
 1038 Mcardell, C.S., 2009. Mass flows of x-ray contrast media and cytostatics in hospital
 1039 wastewater. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 4810–4817. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es8036725>

1040 Wu, M.S., Xu, X., 2019. Inactivation of antibiotic-resistant bacteria by chlorine dioxide in soil
 1041 and shifts in community composition. RSC Adv. 9, 6526–6532.
 1042 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ra07997h>

1043 Yang, Y., Li, B., Zou, S., Fang, H.H.P., Zhang, T., 2014. Fate of antibiotic resistance genes in
 1044 sewage treatment plant revealed by metagenomic approach. Water Res. 62, 97–106.
 1045 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.05.019>

1046 Yuan, Q. Bin, Guo, M.T., Yang, J., 2015. Fate of antibiotic resistant bacteria and genes during
 1047 wastewater chlorination: Implication for antibiotic resistance control. PLoS One 10, 1–
 1048 11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119403>

1049 Yuan, J.H., Xu, R.K., Zhang, H., 2011. The forms of alkalis in the biochar produced from crop
 1050 residues at different temperatures. *Bioresour. Technol.* 102, 3488–3497.
 1051 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.11.018>

1052 Zhang, Yongpeng, Niu, Z., Zhang, Ying, Zhang, K., 2018. Occurrence of intracellular and
 1053 extracellular antibiotic resistance genes in coastal areas of Bohai Bay (China) and the
 1054 factors affecting them. *Environ. Pollut.* 236, 126–136.
 1055 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.033>

1056

1057

Supplementary information

qPCR mix solution and reaction conditions

All ARGs and *int11* qPCR reactions were conducted in 20 μ L, including IQTM SYBR green supermix BioRad 1x. Forward and reverse primers, and oligonucleotide probes (when applicable) are summarized in **Table S1 and S2**. A total of 2 μ L of DNA template was added to each reaction, and the reaction volume was completed to 20 μ L with DNase/RNase free Water (Sigma Aldrich, UK). All reactions (were performed in a qTOWER3 Real-time PCR machine (Westburg, DE) according to the following PCR cycles: 95°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 30 s. The annealing temperature was the same for all the different reactions except for the *sul2* and *sul1* genes. In those cases, the annealing temperatures were 61°C and 65°C, respectively.

In order to check the specificity of the reaction, a melting curve was performed from 65 to 95°C at a temperature gradient of +0.5°C (5 s)⁻¹. Synthetic DNA fragments (IDT, USA) containing each of the target genes were used as a positive control to create the standard curves. Serial dilutions of gene fragments were performed in sheared salmon sperm DNA 5 μ g mL⁻¹ (m/v) (Thermofisher, LT) diluted in Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer at pH 8.0. Every sample was analyzed in technical triplicates. Standard curves were included in each PCR plate with at least 6 serial dilutions points and in technical duplicate. An average standard curve based on a standard curve from every run was created for every gene set. Gene concentration values were then calculated from the aforementioned curve.

Table S1. 16S rRNA gene, ARGs and MGE synthetic DNA fragments used from ResFinder

for generating standard curves used for qPCR analysis

Gene	Sequence
<i>16S rRNA</i>	ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGTGGGGAATATTGCACAATGGGCGCAAGCCTGATGCAGCCA TGCCGCGTGTATGAAGAAGGCCTTCGGGTTGTAAAGTACTTTCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGGA GTAAAGTTAATACCTTTGCTCATTGACGTTACCCGAGAAGAAGCACC GGCTAACTCCGTG CCAGCAGCCGCGTAAT
<i>qnrS</i>	GACGTGCTAACTTGCGTGATACGACATTCGTCAACTGCAAGTTCATTGAACAGGGTGATAT CGAAGGCTGCCACTTTGATGTCGCAGATCTTCGTGATGCAAGTTTCCAACAATGCCA
<i>int11</i>	GCCTTGATGTTACCCGAGAGCTTGGCACCCAGCCTGCGCGAGCAGCTGTGCGTGACAGG GCATGGTGGCTGAAGGACCAGGCCGAGGGCCGAGCGGCGTTGCGCTTCCCGACGCCCTT GAGCGGAAGTATCCGCGCGCCGGGCATTCTGGCCGTGGTTCTGGGTTTTTGCAGCAGC ACGCATTCGACCGATC
<i>sul1</i>	CGCACCGGAAACATCGCTGCACGTGCTGCGAACCTTCAAAAGCTGAAGTCGGCGTTGGG GCTTCGCTATTGGTCTCGGTGTCGCGGAAATCCTTCTTGGGCGCCACCGTTGGCCTTCCTG TAAAGGATCTGGGTCCAGCGAGCCTTGC GGCGGAACTTCA
<i>sul2</i>	TGGAGGCCGGTATCTGGCGCCAGACGCAGCCATTGCGCAGGCGCGTAAGCTGATGGCCGA GGGGGCAGATGTGATCGACCTCGGTCCGGCATCCAGCAATCCCGACGCCGCGCTGTTTC GTCCGACACAGAAATCGCGCGTATCGCGCCGGTGCTGGACGCGCTCAAGGCAGATGGCAT TCCCG
<i>ermB</i>	AAAACCTACCCGCCATACCACAGATGTTCCAGATAAAATATTGGAAGCTATATACGTACTTTG TTTCAAAATGGGTCAATCGAGAATATCGTCAACTGTTTACTAAAAATCAGTTTCATCAAGCA ATGAAACACGCCAAA
<i>bla_{CTXM}</i>	CTATGGCACCACCAACGATATCGCGGTGATCTGGCCAAAAGATCGTGCGCCGCTGATTCTG GTCACCTACTTCACCCAGCCTCAACCTAAGGCAGAAAGCCGT

Table S2. Primers used in this study.

Gene	Forward Primer (5' → 3')	Reverse Primer (5' → 3')
<i>16S rRNA</i>	ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG	ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG
<i>qnrS</i>	GACGTGCTAACTTGCGTGAT	TGGCATTGTTGGAACTTG
<i>int11</i>	GATCGGTGGAATGCGTGT	GCCTTGATGTTACCCGAGAG
<i>sul1</i>	CGCACCGGAAACATCGCTGCAC	TGAAGTTCGCGCGCAAGGCTCG
<i>sul2</i>	TCCGGTGGAGGCCGGTATCTGG	CGGGAATGCCATCTGCCTTGAG
<i>ermB</i>	AAAACCTACCCGCCATACCA	TTTGGCGTGTTCATTGCTT
<i>bla_{CTXM}</i>	CTATGGCACCACCAACGATA	ACGGCTTTCTGCCTTAGGTT

Mössbauer spectra and fitted parameters for characterization of iron-oxide coated sand

Figure S1. Mössbauer spectra obtained at 300 and 4.2 K with the Fe/SiO₂ samples.

Table S3. The Mössbauer fitted parameters of the Fe/SiO₂ samples.

Sample	T (K)	IS (mm·s ⁻¹)	QS (mm·s ⁻¹)	Hyperfine field (T)	Γ (mm·s ⁻¹)	Phase	Spectral contribution (%)
Fe/SiO ₂	300	0.36	0.82	-	0.53	Fe ³⁺	100
Fe/SiO ₂ (sieved)	300	0.36	0.82	-	0.53	Fe ³⁺	100
Fe/SiO ₂	4.2	0.35	-0.02	47.4*	0.48	Fe ³⁺ (Ferrihydrite)	100

Experimental uncertainties: Isomer shift: I.S. ± 0.01 mm s⁻¹; Quadrupole splitting: Q.S. ± 0.01 mm s⁻¹; Line width: Γ ± 0.01 mm s⁻¹; Hyperfine field: ± 0.1 T; Spectral contribution: ± 3%; *Average magnetic field.

1096 By-product materials macroscopic visualization and setup used for the experiments

1097

1098

1099 **Figure S2.** By-product materials macroscopic visualization (a) and setup used for the column-based studies (b).

1100

Equilibrium adsorption time from both by-product materials

Figure S3. Equilibrium time on treated wastewater for (a) sewage sludge biochar and (b) iron oxide coated sands. Initial DNA concentration of 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ with the adsorbent ranging from 0 to 100 mg mL^{-1}

Table S4. Statistical significance from the comparisons done between the control condition (ultrapure water) and different ions concentrations (1 – 60 mM)

Material	Comparison	diff	lwr	upr	p.adj
SBC	Control - Ca - 1 mM	-0.37	-1.04	0.30	0.52
SBC	Control - Ca - 60 mM	-0.49	-1.16	0.18	0.23
SBC	Control - Mg - 1 mM	0.39	-0.28	1.06	0.46
SBC	Control - Mg - 60 mM	0.90	0.23	1.57	0.01
SBC	Control - Na - 1 mM	0.42	-0.25	1.09	0.39
SBC	Control - Na - 60 mM	0.18	-0.49	0.85	0.96
IOCS	Control - Ca - 1 mM	-0.23	-0.91	0.43	0.88
IOCS	Control - Ca - 60 mM	-0.57	-1.24	0.10	0.12
IOCS	Control - Mg - 1 mM	-0.08	-0.75	0.59	0.99
IOCS	Control - Mg - 60 mM	0.52	-0.15	1.19	0.18
IOCS	Control - Na - 1 mM	0.12	-0.55	0.79	0.99
IOCS	Control - Na - 60 mM	0.27	-0.39	0.94	0.79

1109

Table S5. Adsorbents breakthrough points depending on the flow rate and DNA concentration

Water quality	Adsorbent	Flow rate (mL min ⁻¹)	DNA concentration (mg L ⁻¹)	Breakthrough point (min)
Treated wastewater	Biochar	0.1	0.3	510
		0.3		120
		0.5		105
	IOCS	0.1	0.3	120
		0.3		45
		0.5		15
	Biochar	0.1	0.1	>2000
			0.3	540
			0.5	315
	IOCS	0.1	0.1	150
			0.3	120
			0.5	105
Ultrapure water	Biochar	0.1	0.3	315
		0.3		105
		0.5		45
	IOCS	0.1	0.3	105
		0.3		20
		0.5		10
	Biochar	0.1	0.1	570
			0.3	315
			0.5	120
	IOCS	0.1	0.1	45
			0.3	30
			0.5	15

1110

1111 **Table S6.** qPCR results per gene from unfiltered treated wastewater and eluents after IOCS and SBC columns

Gene	Water	Mean (log)	Standard deviation	Error
<i>16S rRNA</i>	Unfiltered treated wastewater	5.97	0.78	0.22
	IOCS (Unfiltered)	5.61	0.81	0.23
	SBC (Unfiltered)	3.71	1.15	0.33
<i>sul1</i>	Unfiltered treated wastewater	4.28	0.71	0.21
	IOCS (Unfiltered)	4.18	0.74	0.21
	SBC (Unfiltered)	2.36	1.08	0.34
<i>sul2</i>	Unfiltered treated wastewater	3.68	0.74	0.21
	IOCS (Unfiltered)	2.50	0.14	0.04
	SBC (Unfiltered)	1.91	1.05	0.30
<i>ermB</i>	Unfiltered treated wastewater	2.35	0.38	0.13
	IOCS (Unfiltered)	0.06	0.13	0.04
	SBC (Unfiltered)	0.00	0.00	0.00
<i>qnrS</i>	Unfiltered treated wastewater	1.01	0.68	0.20
	IOCS (Unfiltered)	0.35	0.59	0.17
	SBC (Unfiltered)	0.02	0.08	0.02
<i>blaCTXM</i>	Unfiltered treated wastewater	1.87	0.41	0.12
	IOCS (Unfiltered)	0.00	0.00	0.00
	SBC (Unfiltered)	0.00	0.00	0.00
<i>int11</i>	Unfiltered treated wastewater	3.60	0.76	0.22
	IOCS (Unfiltered)	3.52	0.91	0.26
	SBC (Unfiltered)	2.09	0.33	0.11

1112

Table S7. qPCR results per gene from filtered treated wastewater and eluents after IOCS and SBC columns

Gene	Sample	Mean (Log)	Standard deviation	Error
<i>16S rRNA</i>	Filtered treated wastewater	4.74	0.44	0.22
	IOCS (Filt.)	4.74	0.23	0.10
	SBC (Filt.)	1.76	0.18	0.10
<i>sul1</i>	Filtered treated wastewater	3.28	0.37	0.15
	IOCS (Filt.)	2.50	0.06	0.04
	SBC (Filt.)	0.05	0.05	0.04
<i>sul2</i>	Filtered treated wastewater	2.46	0.47	0.19
	IOCS (Filt.)	1.36	0.22	0.13
	SBC (Filt.)	0.12	0.17	0.10
<i>ermB</i>	Filtered treated wastewater	2.08	0.03	0.01
	IOCS (Filt.)	0.00	0.00	0.00
	SBC (Filt.)	0.00	0.00	0.00
<i>qnrS</i>	Filtered treated wastewater	1.41	0.04	0.03
	IOCS (Filt.)	0.00	0.00	0.00
	SBC (Filt.)	0.00	0.00	0.00
<i>blaCTXM</i>	Filtered treated wastewater	0.00	0.00	0.00
	IOCS (Filt.)	0.00	0.00	0.00
	SBC (Filt.)	0.00	0.00	0.00
<i>int11</i>	Filtered treated wastewater	2.23	0.61	0.25
	IOCS (Filt.)	2.21	0.32	0.18
	SBC (Filt.)	0.10	0.15	0.08

Table S8. Gene removal (%) after running filtered (free-floating extracellular DNA) and unfiltered treated wastewater (total environmental DNA) through iron-oxide coated sand (IOCS) and sewage-sludge biochar (SBC) columns.

Gene	Column	Unfiltered treated wastewater gene removal (%)	Filtered treated wastewater gene removal (%)
<i>16S rRNA</i>	IOCS	57.0	0.7
	SBC	99.5	99.9
<i>sul1</i>	IOCS	20.3	83.5
	SBC	98.8	99.9
<i>sul2</i>	IOCS	93.4	92.0
	SBC	98.3	99.5
<i>ermB</i>	IOCS	99.5	99.2
	SBC	99.6	99.4
<i>qnrS</i>	IOCS	78.1	96.0
	SBC	89.7	96.2
<i>blaCTXM</i>	IOCS	98.7	0
	SBC	98.8	0
<i>int11</i>	IOCS	18.1	6.2
	SBC	96.0	99.3

Preliminary experiments for selecting materials for DNA adsorption

Figure S4. DNA removal in percentage with powdered activated carbon (PAC), sewage-based biochar (SBC), iron oxide coated sands (IOCS), granular activated carbon (GAC) and mineral wool in (a) ultrapure water, (b) tap water, and (c) effluent wastewater.

1126 F-statistics and ANOVA

1127

1128 When compared non-filtered effluent water with IOCS and SBC eluents, F = 18.91, 15.72,
1129 16.19, 347.1, 10.24, 230.2 and 12.06, $p < 0.0001$ were observed for the panel of *16S rRNA*, *sul1*,
1130 *sul2*, *ermB*, *qnrS*, *bla_{CTXM}* and *int11* genes, respectively.

1131

1132 When comparing filtered effluent water with IOCS and SBC eluents, F = 78.0, 78.03, 31.9, 547,
1133 76.2 and 17.6, $p < 0.0001$ were observed for the panel of *16S rRNA*, *sul1*, *sul2*, *ermB*, *qnrS* and
1134 *int11* genes, respectively.

1135