Genomic approach for conservation and the sustainable management of endangered species of the Amazon

Paola Fazzi-Gomes¹; Jonas Aguiar⁴; Gleyce Fonseca Cabral¹; Diego Marques¹; Helber Palheta¹; Fabiano Moreira³; Marília Rodrigues⁵; Renata Cavalcante⁶; Jorge Souza⁶; Caio Silva¹; Igor Hamoy⁵; and Sidney Santos^{1,2,3*}

1. Human and Medical Genetics Lab, Biological Sciences Institute, Federal University of Pará, 66075-110, Belem, PA, Brazil;
2. Graduate Program in Genetics and Molecular Biology, Laboratory of Human and Medical Genetics, Federal University of Pará, Belém, Pará, 66075-110, Brazil.
3. Graduate Program in Oncology and Medical Sciences, Center of Oncology Research, Federal University of Pará, Belém, Pará, 66063-023, Brazil.
4. Federal University of Pará – Campus Bragança, Alameda Leandro Ribeiro s/n, 68600-000, Bragança, PA, Brazil;
5. Rural Federal University of the Amazon, Applied Genetics Lab, Socioenvironmental and Water Resources Institute; 66.077-830, Belem, PA, Brazil;
6. Bioinformatics Graduate Program, Metropole Digital Institute, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, 59078-970, Natal, RN, Brazil;

* Correspondence: sidneysantos@ufpa.br; Tel.: +55-91-3201-7843

Abstract: A broad panel of potentially amplifiable microsatellite loci and a multiplex system were developed for the Amazonian symbol fish species *Arapaima gigas*, which is currently in high danger of extinction due to the disorderly fishing exploitation. Several factors have contributed to the increase of this threat, among which we highlight the lack of genetic information about the structure and taxonomic status of the species, as well as the lack of accurate tools for evaluation of the effectivity of current management programs. Based on *Arapaima gigas*' whole genome, available at the NCBI database (ID: 12404), a total of 95,098 unique perfect microsatellites were identified, including their proposed primers. From this panel, a multiplex system containing 12 tetranucleotide microsatellite markers was validated. These tools are valuable for research in as many areas as bioinformatics, ecology, genetics, evolution and comparative studies, since they are able to provide more accurate information for fishing management, conservation of wild populations and genetic management of aquaculture.

Keywords: NGS; *Arapaima gigas*; molecular markers; microsatellites; genetic tracing; Amazon; Conservation;

1. Introduction

The species *Arapaima gigas* (Schinz, 1822) belongs to the Arapaimidae family – order of the Osteoglossiformes [1], which composes one of the oldest groups of teleost fishes. It is the world's largest scale fish, and specimens may reach up to 200 kg of body mass and 3 m of length [2]. It is an endemic

species of the Amazon basin, being found in South American countries, such as Brazil, Peru, Colombia, Ecuador, Bolivia and Guyana [3,4]. In recent years, *A. gigas* has also received prominence in aquaculture due to its fast growth, high fillet yield, mild-flavored white meat, and great market acceptance, both domestically and abroad [5].

A. gigas is listed in Annex II of the International Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) and in the International Union for Conservation of Nature's (IUCN) Red List of Endangered Species, within the category "data deficient", meaning that there is no proper information to make a direct or indirect assessment of its extinction risk based on its distribution and/or population status. Furthermore, in Brazil, arapaima were not included in the national list of endangered species due to lack of data [6].

Many reasons have contributed to the aggravation of the threat to *A. gigas*, including (i) the exploitation background of wild individuals, coupled with poor management strategies to comply with current fishing legislation; (ii) the current poorly refined genetic data on taxonomic structure and status; and (iii) the lack of management in certain areas, as well as the lack of accurate tools for evaluating the effectiveness on current management programs [7,8,9,10,11].

In Brazil, the predatory fishing of *A. gigas* – a practice which was intensified in the 1970s, impacted its population distribution in most parts of the Amazon basin. Consequently, strategies for management of the species were adopted by government agencies, such as the establishment of a minimum size for capture, the determination of reproductive season, and the annual fishing prohibition in the states of Amazonas, Pará, Amapá, Rondônia, Roraima, Tocantins and Acre [12,13,14]. However, the supervision of these strategies is deficient due to the lack of financial and human resources, as well as the lack of well-defined methods to identify the origins of the *A. gigas*'s derived products, hindering the actions of the management system. For instance, recent data shows that 77% of *A. gigas* meat marketed in Santarém-PA comes from illegal fishing [15]. Furthermore, a substantial amount of *A. gigas* fresh or salty-conserved meat is exported illegally to Brazilian markets by middlemen, through the Guayaramerín border, in Bolivia [16].

The population structure of *A. gigas* is still undefined, and there are evidences showing the existence of more than one species of the genus *Arapaima*. On the other hand, the translocation of individuals is also an imminent threat [17], which can lead to loss of genetic diversity, decreased fitness and increased risk of extinction [18], since young individuals have often been translocated among

different parts of the Amazon basin – and among this basin and others, mainly to attend aquaculture demand [19]. Therefore, the collection of molecular data is essential for monitoring and defining adequate strategies for the management actions.

It is a consensus that *A. gigas* populations are following a declining trend, where the main threat is overfishing [20,15], and the majority of communities do not practice sustainable management – with few exceptions, such as the Mamirauá Reserve, in Brazil. However, even in communities where sustainable management is done, there is no consistent, available data concerning the current conservation status of the species, much less concerning the population trends within these communities [10].

Due to the history of disorderly exploitation and the risk of extinction of the Amazonian's symbol fish *Arapaima gigas*, specialists emphasize the need of using molecular markers to support management strategies and the evaluation of the effectiveness of the *A. gigas*'s conservation programs, highlighting the importance of using these markers for the identification and genetic tracing of marketed specimens [21].

Microsatellite markers, also known as short tandem repeats (STR) or simple sequence repeats (SSR), are DNA sequences consisting of tandemly repeating mononucleotide, dinucleotide, trinucleotide, tetranucleotide and pentanucleotide units, arranged throughout the genomes of most eukaryotic species [22]. They present features such as broad distribution in eukaryotic genomes, which can easily be detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), locus-specific nature, co-dominant inheritance, and high mutation rate, being highly polymorphic and hyper-variable [23,24,25]. All these features contribute to the advantages of using microsatellite markers in several research areas – as forensic and population genetics, conservation biology, and for genome mapping in evolutionary and biological scenarios, since these biomarkers allow two or more loci amplifications in a single multiplex PCR reaction.

The multiplex PCR system consists of the simultaneous amplification of various loci, tagged by a distinct fluorescent label, and posterior analysis by capillary electrophoresis in an automated sequencing machine. It is considered a trustworthy technique since the use of capillary electrophoresis with fluorescently labeled primers provides high detection sensibility of the amplified DNA fragments [26]. Several methods are used in microsatellite markers design. However, the majority of these are longstanding and more laborious. With the advances in Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)

technologies, increased data became available, allowing a faster, cost-effective, large-scale mining of molecular markers [27,28].

It is urgent to develop new, more accurate and numerous *A. gigas* molecular markers, not only to be applied as a tool for genetic studies, but also to improve the management system, refining the effectiveness of conservation programs, as well as to be used as a tool for the genetic tracing of wild and marketed individuals.

Thus, in order to contribute to the preservation and sustainable management, we developed a broad genomic panel of microsatellite loci potentially amplifiable. Based on this broad panel, we designed an unprecedented multiplex system containing 12 tetranucleotide microsatellite markers, which can be used in populational genetics, conservation biology, and forensic studies of the *A. gigas*.

2. Results

2.1. Broad panel

A broad amplifiable panel of microsatellites was designed (available at Figshare online data repository – Supplementary Table 1), based on the *A. gigas*' genome published by Vialle *et al.* (2018), who obtained a total of 76.91 Gb of high-quality filtered data, such as assembled genome size of 661,278,939 bp, 5,301 scaffolds, scaffold N50 = 668 kb, contig N50 = 51.23 kb, largest scaffold of 5,332,704 (bp), and GC content of 43.18% [29].

We found a set of 95,098 single loci of perfect microsatellites with simple repeat sequences, from the dinucleotide to hexanucleotide classes, in the genome of the *A. gigas* (as observed in the supplementary table 1). The set contained information about the microsatellite motif, the repeat copy number, the initial and final positions, the scaffold and size, the forward and reverse primers, and the expected Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) products. The average size of the estimated PCR products was 207 bp. However, we could not design primers for 647 loci, since these sequences were rich in TA repeats, and because the TA motif were at the beginning or at end of the scaffold.

Table 1 presents the abundance of the motifs categorized by class. Here, the dinucleotide class is the most frequent, representing more than 70% of the total microsatellites found in *A. gigas*'s genome. In this class, the TG-repeat motif was the most frequent (15,8). Additionally, we characterized the minisatellites present in 387 loci (Supplementary Table 2).

Table 1. Absolute and relative frequency (percentage) of different perfect microsatellite motifs found in the genome of *Arapaima gigas*.

Motif class	Count	%
Dinucleotides	71,269	74.94
Trinucleotides	13,026	13.70
Tetranucleotides	8,785	9.24
Pentanucleotides	1,107	1.16
Hexanucleotides	911	0.96
Total	95,098	100

The number of repeats in microsatellites present in the genome of *A. gigas* was quite variable among the 6 classes, presenting an inverse relationship with the size of the motif. The dinucleotide class, for example, presented the largest number of repeats, whereas the class of hexanucleotides presented fewer repeats (Figure 1).

Fig1. Distribution of the classes of *Arapaima gigas* microsatellites how much to number of repetitions.

The majority of the identified microsatellites were located out of exon and intron sequences, referred here as genomic regions: 68.1% of these were dinucleotides (71,269), 67.8% were trinucleotides (13,026), 67.6% were tetranucleotides (8,785), 67.4 % were pentanucleotides (1,107), and 69.9% were hexanucleotides (911). We observed a few microsatellites located within gene coding regions, 8.3% of which were dinucleotides (5,915), 8.1% were trinucleotides (1,054), 8.3% were tetranucleotides (725), 8.2% were pentanucleotides (91) and 7.8% were hexanucleotides (71) (Figure 2).

Fig2: Distribution of microsatellites in genic, intergenic and genome regions in *Arapaima gigas*.

2.2. Multiplex system

The broad panel generated in our study allowed the fast selection and validation of 12 tetranucleotide microsatellite loci on a multiplex system (Agig13519, Agig50571, Agig58115, Agig08356, Agig67103, Agig93614, Agig33291, Agig90836, Agig05001, Agig70664, Agig08912 and

Agig06409). The multiplex system presented a high resolution, with no overlap between the microsatellite alleles and no artifact peaks (Figure 3). Moreover, we did not detect any genotyping errors attributed to stutter bands, large allele dropouts or null alleles, which are frequent in dinucleotide microsatellites.

Fig3. Multiplex PCR panel electrophoretogram for *Arapaima gigas* showing the allele size range obtained using the ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) and the GeneMapper 3.7 (Applied Biosystems) software and discrimination power per locus. Colors were assigned to microsatellite primers labeled with 6-FAM (blue), HEX (green), NED (black), and PET (red) fluorescent dyes.

The panel was used to evaluate a wild population of *A. gigas* from Santarem (n=30). The obtained data revealed a total of 73 alleles. The average rate of alleles per locus (NA) is 6.08. The observed (HO) and expected (HE) heterozygosity rates range from 0.867 (Agig 33291) to 0.100 (Agig 05001), with an average of 0.59 and 0.64, respectively (Table 2).

168 **Table 2. PCR multiplex panel characteristics of 12 microsatellite markers of *Arapaima gigas*, which was used to genotype 30 individuals from Santarém, a city in the**
169 **Brazilian Amazon.**

Locus (GenBank)	Primer (5'→3')	Repeat Motif	Dye	Proportion in primer #	N _A (Size Range)	H _O	H _E	F _{IS}	PIC	PD	PE
Agig13519 (MN190311)	F:* GTGGAGAAATGGAGAATTGCAT R: CATGGTTTTTCCTCAAAACAGC	(ATAG) ₁₆	6 – FAM	0.25	9 (153-201)	0.667	0.782	0.15	0.736	0.915	0.378
Agig50571* (MN190312)	F: *GATCTCACACATACACTGGCTTG R: AGTTTGACTGTTACACGCAGGA	(GATA) ₁₆		0.5	10 (233-273)	0.667	0.849	0.217	0.815	0.927	0.379
Agig58115 (MN190313)	F:*ATATCACACCCTACATCCTCCTAAA R: GAAGCTGAAATGCAAGAACTCA	(AGAT) ₁₆		0.5	5 (311-343)	0.5	0.486	-0.03	0.446	0.667	0.187
Agig08356 (MN190314)	F: *ACCTCTCACCCAAGTCAAGAAA R: GAAATGGGATACACAACACTACACA	(ATCT) ₁₅	HEX	0.5	5 (165-189)	0.6	0.589	-0.02	0.546	0.782	0.291
Agig67103 (MN190315)	F: *TCAGACTCAATACCTCCGTCCT R: CCTTGGTTCCCCTTATCTTACA	(ATCT) ₁₆		0.6	5 (283-311)	0.633	0.563	- 0.128	0.471	0.689	0.333
Agig93614 (MN190316)	F: *CAGGAAAGGGGTAGAACTCGTA R: GAGAGAGACAGTGGCATTCAAA	(ATCT) ₁₇		0.6	6 (373-393)	0.7	0.698	- 0.003	0.651	0.851	0.428
Agig33291 (MN190317)	F: *GGGGTAGTCTTTTGATGTTAAGGA R: ATGACCAGGAGCAATACAAACC	(ATCT) ₁₆	NED	0.5	8 (181-209)	0.867	0.842	- 0.029	0.808	0.915	0.728
Agig90836 (MN190318)	F: *CAGTCAGCAGTTGGTATGGAGTT R: GGCCTCAGCTTCTCTAAAATGAC	(GATG) ₁₈		0.6	10 (308-352)	0.833	0.854	0.025	0.822	0.935	0.662
Agig05001 (MN190319)	F: *AGTGACCTGCATTGGACAGAT R: TGCACCATTGTTTTGCCTAA	(GATG) ₁₆	PET	0.6	2 (180-184)	0.1	0.097	- 0.036	0.09	0.180	0.008
Agig70664	F: *TGCAGGTAGTTTCATAGCCTGA			0.6	4 (252-272)	0.5	0.65	0.234	0.58	0.798	0.187

(MN190321)	R: AGAGCGGGATTTGAACATAAGA	(TGGA) ₁₆									
Agig08912	F: *CCCTGGTTCTAGTGACAGTTCAG		0.6	4 (330-342)	0.5	0.585	0.147	0.485	0.722	0.187	
(MN190320)	R: GGATGCGTTTTGTATCAGGAA	(ATCT) ₁₅									
Agig06409	F: *CGGTCCTCAAATATGCATTACA		0.6	5 (364-380)	0.533	0.655	0.188	0.601	0.818	0.218	
(MN190322)	R: GATGCAAGCAAAGAACAGACAC	(TGGA) ₁₆									
Average				6.08	0.59	0.64	0.06	0.59	0.77	0.33	
CPD										>0.999	
CPE											>0.999

170
171
172
173
174

Abbreviations: F, forward primer; R, reverse primer; NA, allele number per locus; HO, observed heterozygosity; HE, expected heterozygosity; FIS, inbreeding coefficient; PIC, polymorphism information content; PD, power of discrimination; PE, power of exclusion; CPD, combined power of discrimination; CPE, combined power of exclusion.

*Fluorescent dye added in the forward primer

#Relative proportion in primer mix, made up from 100 µM solutions

There was no significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) after Bonferroni correction ($p < 0.0041$). Loci pairs in linkage disequilibrium (LD) were not registered. The average inbreeding coefficient value (FIS) was 0.06.

Forensic parameters investigated for this multiplex system presented average polymorphic informative content (PIC) value of 0.53. The average power of discrimination (PD) was 0.77 and the power of exclusion (PE) was 0.33. The average combined power of discrimination (CPD) and the combined power of exclusion (CPE) for the 12 microsatellite markers investigated was 0.999 and 0.999, respectively (Table 2).

3. Discussion

The rapid development of sequencing technologies allowed the obtention of complete genome sequences from an increasing number of species [30], which is an excellent source for identification of microsatellite markers already used in several species [30,31,32,33,34].

In this study, we identified a total of 95,098 microsatellites based on sequences of the complete genome of *A. gigas*, a value close to that found for *Monopterus albus* (99,293) [32] and much larger than what was found for *Brycon orbignyanus* (81,241) [31]. These differences probably are due to the size of the databases, search criteria and bioinformatics tools used to identify the microsatellites [35]. The dinucleotide class was the most abundant, following the same pattern observed for the species mentioned above.

Microsatellite markers with number of repeats among 15-20 tend to be highly polymorphic [36], being the most indicated for population genetic studies. The panel of microsatellite markers developed for *A. gigas* included the dinucleotide, trinucleotide and tetranucleotide classes presenting motifs with repeats within this range (figure 1), however the tetranucleotides markers produced weaker stutter bands and had no artificial multiband patterns [37].

Microsatellite markers are observed in almost all known eukaryotic and prokaryotic genomes, both in coding and noncoding regions [38]. In the *A. gigas* genome, microsatellite markers are more abundant in non-coding sequences, here called genomic regions (figure 2). This corroborates data available in the literature towards the distribution of these markers – which may be explained by the fact that, in promoter regions, the length of microsatellites may influence the transcription activity [39].

It is suggested that microsatellite polymorphisms are associated with the number of loci replications [40]. Microsatellites with higher number of repeats are more prone to mutation/expansion than those with fewer replicates. The correlation between repeat length and microsatellite variability is comprehensible according to replication slippage model, which is a widely accepted mutation mechanism [41]. Another variable could be the microsatellite classification, and their results indicate that tetranucleotide microsatellites have the highest polymorphism rate, while the dinucleotide microsatellites are the least polymorphic [40]. Hence, we emphasize the importance considering the number of repeats and the class of the microsatellite while selecting markers for the accomplishment of scientific studies.

In the last years, several studies aiming to elucidate population genetics and conservation biology of the *A. gigas* have used dinucleotide microsatellites as molecular markers, as reported by Farias *et al.*, 2003 [42], among other studies [43,44,45,46, 47,48]. However, the differences in quantity and types of microsatellite markers adopted by each study complicate the evaluation of the analyzed indexes among the examined populations, thus the use of a common system for all studies would facilitate the integration of the data for decision making management.

To validate our findings, we developed a multiplex system using the panel of 12 microsatellite markers to evaluate the conservation status of an *A. gigas* population. This tetranucleotide microsatellite markers of the multiplex system have the advantage of being highly polymorphic, more stable and presenting clearer bands than the dinucleotide markers described in literature. Thus, overall, they are becoming the predominant type of microsatellite markers in use [49].

It is important to emphasize that the history of fierce fishing exploitation of the *A. gigas* is a determinant factor for the findings of this study in the population of Santarem. The reduction of natural populations and the decrease in average volume and length of the specimens landed in the Amazon due to overfishing soon lead to the fishing collapse in 1970 [50], occasioning a population bottleneck effect, consequently causing loss of genetic variability, as observed in the values of HE, HO, FIS and NA.

Among the investigated forensic parameters, the values of PIC were considered satisfying accordingly to the scale reported by Botstein *et al.*, 1980 [51]. The CPD and CPE values of this panel allow the distinction of one individual in one billion, which is similar to other multiplex genotyping

systems. For instance, the system reported by Hamoy *et al.*, 2012 [52] was used in conservation studies and in the aquaculture support.

Population genetics data are undoubtedly the most important component of the baseline of any conservation and management plan [53]. The broad microsatellite panel developed for *A. gigas* opens perspectives for studies in as many fields as bioinformatics, ecology, genetics, evolution, and comparative studies among species [31]. And the multiplex system designed for *A. gigas* allows an accurate, faster, cost-effective, and affordable genotyping for low-income laboratories and conservation studies focusing on this species.

The set of 12 loci was able to measure the genetic variability of the investigated population, providing high statistic power data, sufficient for determining kinship patterns for population attribution tests. Therefore, this multiplex system can represent a valuable and powerful tool for small and large-scale studies in the areas of forensic/conservation biology and population genetics, furthermore, it can also be used as a tool for the management of wild and cultivated populations of *A. gigas*.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Data mining

The development of this study was based on the complete genome of *Arapaima gigas*, published by Vialle *et al.*, 2018 [29], available from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly>), ID: 12404.

4.2. Bioinformatics analysis

Simple Sequence Repeat Identification Tool (SSRIT) [36] was used to find all simple sequence repeats (SSRs). We changed the default parameters related to motif-repeat in the source code of SSRIT, which was made in Perl, to specify motif length and the minimum number of repeats (Table 3). The other attributes remained with their default values.

Table 3. Parameters Modified in SSRIT Analysis.

Motif Length	Minimum Number of Repeats
2	10
3	8
4	6
5	5
6 – 12	4

Microsatellites sequences counted from all samples generated by the SSRIT were processed by in-house scripts in Python (v. 3.7.2) to insert the flanking regions in the 5' and 3' portions of DNA sequence, and transform them in a fasta file. After, the sequences which exhibited microsatellites were submitted to Primer 3 (v. 4.1.0), whose premise is design PCR primers from DNA sequences. In Primer 3, the default parameters of primer length, (|Primer Opt Size = 20|, |Primer Min Size = 18|, and |Primer Max Size = 23|) were modified to 24, 20, and 28, respectively. The parameters of primer product size range was modified for 50-1200 nucleotides.

The microsatellites were individually filtered by abundance and number of repetitions per class, and subsequently mapped in regions of intron (intergenic region) and regions of exon (exonic regions). The ones which are not present in any of the previous regions were classified as belonging to the genome. The development of all figures was made using Matplotlib (v. 3.0.1), which is a Python 2D plotting library.

4.3. Validation of the multiplex microsatellite system

- Sample Collection and DNA Extraction

This research was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use (CEUA) of the Federal Rural University of Amazon - UFRA, protocol number 055/2017 (CEUA) – 23084.017501/2017-02 (UFRA).

We collected 2 g of muscle tissue of 30 specimens of *A. gigas* from a fishing vessel landed at the city of Santarem, localized in the Low Amazon mesoregion, Brazil. The samples were preserved in 70% ethanol and posteriorly stored in -20 °C.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from the digested tissue in proteinase K solution/ Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) and purified in Phenol/Chloroform, followed by precipitation in Isopropanol [54]. The DNA concentration was measured in the NanoDrop ND1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

- Selection of Microsatellites and Polymorphism Testing

After assembling the broad microsatellite panel for *A. gigas*, a total of 12 microsatellite markers were selected to compose the multiplex system, according to the following criteria: tetranucleotide microsatellites, with at least 15 repeats and at maximum 20 repeats, outside of coding regions. The polymorphisms of the 12 selected loci were tested in 7% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, stained with silver nitrate.

- Primer Testing and Genotyping

The possibility of formation of secondary structures among the primers was tested in AutoDimer Software [55]. A PCR reaction consisting of the simultaneous amplification of 12 markers was standardized to a final volume of 9.5 μ L, using 5.0 μ L 2X Qiagen Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen), 1.0 μ L of Q-Solution (Qiagen), 2.0 μ L of H₂O, 0.5 μ L of primer mix, and 1.0 μ L of genomic DNA. The relative proportion of each primer in the primer mix (made up of 100 μ M solutions) is listed in Table 1. The reactions were optimized to amplify 5 ng of genomic DNA.

Amplification reactions were performed in a Veriti thermocycler (Applied Biosystems). The thermocycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 10 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 90 s, and 72°C for 60 s; 20 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 90 s, and 72°C for 60 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 60 min, 10° for min. One microliter of amplification was mixed with 8.5 μ L Hi-Di deionized formamide (Applied Biosystems), and 0.5 μ L GeneScan 500 LIZ (Applied Biosystems) as a molecular weight standard. The final product was analyzed using an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The determination of fragment size and allele designation was done with the GeneMapper 3.7 software (Applied Biosystems).

4.4. Statistical Analysis

The dataset was checked for genotyping errors and null alleles using Micro-Checker [56]. We analyzed the genetic variability using the allele number per locus (N_A), the observed (H_O) and expected (H_E) heterozygosity indexes, and the deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), using Arlequin 3.5.1.257, followed by Bonferroni's correction [58]. The same program was used to determine the proportion of locus pairs in linkage disequilibrium (LD).

The inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) was calculated in GENEPOP [59]. The polymorphism information content (PIC), the power of discrimination (PD), and the power of exclusion (PE) for all markers using the forensic statistic tool FORSTAT [60].

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be found Supplementary Table 1 - <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.8088533> and Supplementary Table 02 - <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.8088629>.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.F.-G. and J.A.; methodology, P.F.-G., D.M., H.P., F.M., R.C., J.S. and C.S.; software, H.P., F.M., R.C., J.S.; validation, P.F.-G. and C.S.; formal analysis, P.F.-G., R.C. and J.S.; investigation, P.F.-G. and J.A.; resources, M.R.; I.H. and S.S.; data curation, P.F.-G., J.A., D.M. and G.C.; writing—original draft preparation, P.F.-G.; writing—review and editing, P.F.-G., J.A. and G.C.; visualization, S.S., M.R. and I.H.; supervision, S.S.; project administration, S.S. and I.H.; funding acquisition, M.R.; I.H. and S.S.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), grant number 165498/2015-3, and L'Oréal Brazil – 2017/01 edital Mulheres na Ciência 2017.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Federal University of Pará and Rural Federal University of the Amazon for all the support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

CITES	International Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature's
STR	Short Tandem Repeats
SSR	Simple Sequence Repeats
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
NGS	Next Generation Sequencing

References

1. Ferraris Jr, C.J. Family Arapaimatidae. In *Check List of the Freshwater Fishes of South and Central America*; Reis, R. E., Kullander, S. O., Ferraris Jr., C. J., Eds.; Edipucrs: Porto Alegre, Brasil, 2003; pp. 30-31.
2. Bezerra, R.F.; Soares, M.C.F.; Carvalho, E.V.M.M.; Coelho, L.C B.B. *The Amazonian Giant Fish is Briefly Reviewed*; Nova Science: New York, EUA, 2013; pp. 9-10.
3. Pereira-Filho, M.; Roubach, R. Pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*). In *Espécies Nativas para a Piscicultura no Brasil*, 2nd ed.; Baldisserotto, B., Gomes, L. C., Eds.; UFSM: Santa Maria, Brasil, 2010; pp. 27-56.
4. Froese, R.; Pauly, D. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org (2019).
5. Imbiriba, E.P. Potencial de criação de Pirarucu, *Arapaima gigas*, em cativeiro. *Acta Amaz.* **2001**, 31, 299–299.
6. Castello, L.; Arantes, C.C.; McGrath, D.G.; Stewart, D.J.; Sousa, F.S. Understanding fishing-induced extinctions in the Amazon. *Aquat. Conserv.* **2014**, 25(5), 447-458.
7. Isaac, V.J.; Rocha, V.L.C; Mota, S. Considerações sobre a legislação da “piracema” e outras restrições da pesca da região do Médio Amazonas. In *Povos das Águas: Realidade e Perspectiva na Amazônia*, 1nd ed; Furtado, L.G., Mello, A.F., Leitão, W., Eds.; Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi: Belém, Brasil, 1993; pp. 187-211.
8. Isaac, V.J., Ruffino, M.L.; Milstein, A. Fisheries ecology in the Lower Amazon: A typical artisanal practice in the tropics. *Ecotropica*. **1998**, 4, 99-114.
9. Miyaki, C. Filogeografia e a descrição da diversidade genética da fauna brasileira. *Megadiversidade*. **2009**, 5, 96-100.
10. Castello, L.; Stewart, D.; Arantes, C.C. O que sabemos e precisamos fazer a respeito da conservação de pirarucu (*Arapaima spp.*) na Amazônia. In *Biologia, Conservação e Manejo Participativo de Pirarucus na Pan-Amazônia*, Figueiredo, E.S.A., Eds.; IDSM: Tefé, Brasil, 2013; pp. 17-31.
11. Oviedo, A.F.P.; Bursztyn, M.; Drummond, J.A. Agora sob nova administração: Acordos de Pesca nas Várzeas da Amazônia Brasileira. *Ambient. soc.* **2015**, 18(4), 119-138.
12. BRASIL. Instrução Normativa 34/2004. Estabelecer normas gerais para o exercício da pesca do pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*), na bacia hidrográfica do rio Amazonas. Brasília: Congresso Nacional, 2004.
13. BRASIL. Instrução Normativa 24/2005. Proíbe a captura, o transporte, a comercialização e a armazenagem do pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*) na bacia hidrográfica dos rios Araguaia-Tocantins, no período que especifica. Brasília: Congresso Nacional, 2005.
14. BRASIL. Instrução Normativa 1/2008. Proíbe de 1º de junho a 30 de novembro a captura, o transporte, a armazenagem e a comercialização do pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*) no estado do Acre. Brasília: Congresso Nacional, 2008.
15. Cavole, L.M.; Arantes, C.C.; Castello, L. How illegal are tropical small-scale fisheries? An estimate for arapaima in the Amazon. *Fish. Res.* **2015**, 168, 1-5.
16. Macnaughton, A.E.; Carvajal-Vallejos, F.M.; Argote, A.; Rainville, T.K.; Van Damme, P.A.; Carolsfeld, J. “Paiche Reigns!” Species Introduction and Indigenous Fisheries in the Bolivian Amazon”. *Marit. Stud.* **2015**, 14, 17.
17. Castello, L.; Stewart, D.J. Assessing CITES non-detriment finding procedures for Arapaima in Brazil. *Appl. Ichthyol.* **2010**, 26, 49–56.
18. Weeks, A.R. *et al.* Assessing the benefits and risks of translocations in changing environments: a genetic perspective. *Evol. Appl.* **2011**, 4, 709-725.
19. Wright, D.J. *et al.* The impact of translocations on neutral and functional genetic diversity within and among populations of the Seychelles warbler. *Mol. Ecol.* **2014**, 23(9), 2165–2177.
20. Queiroz, H.L.; Sardinha, A.D. A preservação e o uso sustentado dos pirarucus (*Arapaima gigas*, Osteoglossidae) em Mamirauá. In *Estratégias para Manejo dos Recursos Pesqueiros em Mamirauá*; Queiroz, H.L., Crampton, W. G. R, Eds.; CNPq/MCT: Brasília, Brasil, 1999; 208 p.
21. Torati, L.S; Taggart, J.B.; Varela, E.S.; Araripe, Wehner, J.; Migaud, H. Genetic diversity and structure in *Arapaima gigas* populations from Amazon and Araguaia-Tocantins river basins. *BMC Genet.* **2019**, 20, 13.
22. Pokhriyal, B.; Thorat, K.; Limaye, D.A.; Joshi, Y.M.; Kadam, V.J. Microsatellite markers – a novel tool in molecular genetics. *Int. J. Res. Pharm. Chem.* **2012**, 2, 397-412.

23. Sakai, H. et al. Distinct Evolutionary Patterns of *Oryza Glaberrima* Deciphered by Genome Sequencing and Comparative Analysis. *Plant J.* **2011**, 66, 796-805.
24. Wang, Y.Z.; Li-Jun, C.; Jia-Ying, Z.; Shu-Jun, W. Development and Characterization of Novel Microsatellite Markers for the Peach Fruit Moth *Carposina Sasakii* (Lepidoptera: Carposinidae) Using Next-Generation Sequencing. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2016**, 17, 362.
25. Jan, C.; Fumagalli, L. Polymorphic DNA Microsatellite Markers for Forensic Individual Identification and Parentage Analyses of Seven Threatened Species of Parrots (Family Psittacidae). *PeerJ.* **2016**, 4, 7.
26. Li, D.; Wang, S.; Shen, Y.; Meng, X.; Xu, X.; Wang, R.; Li, J. A Multiplex Microsatellite PCR Method for Evaluating Genetic Diversity in Grass Carp (*Ctenopharyngodon Idellus*). *Aquacult. Fish.* **2018**, 3(6), 238-45.
27. Ismail, S.; Vineesh, N.; Peter, R.; Vijayagopal, P.; Gopalakrishnan, A. Identification of microsatellite loci, gene ontology and functional gene annotations in Indian salmon (*Eleutheronema tetradactylum*) through next-generation sequencing technology using illumina platform. *Ecol. Genet. Genomics.* **2019**, 11, 100038.
28. Duan, X. et al. De novo transcriptome analysis and microsatellite marker development for population genetic study of a serious insect pest, *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *PLoS One.* **2017**, 12, e0172513.
29. Vialle, R. A. et al. Whole Genome Sequencing of the Pirarucu (*Arapaima gigas*) Supports Independent Emergence of Major Teleost Clades. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **2018**, 10(9), 2366-2379.
30. Zhu, H. et al. Genome wide characterization of simple sequence repeats in watermelon genome and their application in comparative mapping and genetic diversity analysis. *BMC Genomics.* **2016**, 17, 557.
31. Yazbeck, G.M. et al. A broad genomic panel of microsatellite loci from *Brycon orbignyanus* (Characiformes: Bryconidae) an endangered migratory Neotropical fish. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, 8, 8511.
32. Li, Z. et al. Genome-wide mapping and characterization of microsatellites in the swamp eel genome. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, 7, 3157.
33. Wang, Y. et al. Genome-wide distribution comparative and composition analysis of the SSRs in Poaceae. *BMC Genet.* **2015**, 16, 18.
34. Sarika, A. V.; Iquebal, M. A.; Rai, A.; Kumar, D. In silico mining of putative microsatellite markers from whole genome sequence of water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) and development of first BuffSatDB. *BMC Genomics.* **2013**, 14, 43.
35. Hancock, J. M. Simple sequences and the expanding genome. *BioEssays.* **1996**, 18, 421–425.
36. Temnykh et al. Computational and experimental analysis of microsatellites in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): frequency, length variation, transposon associations, and genetic marker potential. *Genome Res.* **2001**, 11, 1441-1452.
37. Yu, L. et al. New tetranucleotide STRP markers for detecting the 22q11.2 deletion. *Mol. Cell. Probes.* **20(6)**, 359-65 (2006).
38. Ellegren, H. Microsatellites: simple sequences with complex evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2004**, 5(6), 435-45.
39. Li, Y.C.; Korol, A.B.; Fahima, T.; Beiles, A.; Nevo E. Microsatellites: genomic distribution, putative functions and mutational mechanisms: a review. *Mol. Ecol.* **2002**, 11, 2453-2465.
40. Xu, Z. et al. Distribution of Polymorphic and Non-Polymorphic Microsatellite Repeats in *Xenopus tropicalis*. *Bioinform. Biol. Insights.* **2008**, 2, 157–169.
41. Levinson, G.; Gutman, G. A. High frequency of short frameshifts in poly-CA/GT tandem repeats borne by bacteriophage M13 in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic. Acids. Res.* **1987**, 15, 5323-5338.
42. Farias, I.P.; Hrbek, T.; Brinkmann, H.; Sampaio, I.; Meyer, A. Characterization and isolation of DNA microsatellite primers for *Arapaima gigas*, an economically important but severely over-exploited fish species of the Amazon basin. *Mol. Ecol. Notes.* **2003**, 3, 128–130.
43. Farias, I.P. et al. The largest fish in the world's biggest river: Genetic connectivity and conservation of *Arapaima gigas* in the Amazon and Araguaia-Tocantins drainages. *PLoS One.* **2019**, 14, e0220882.
44. Vitorino, C. A.; Nogueira, F.; Souza, I. L.; Araripe, J.; Venere, P. C. Low Genetic Diversity and Structuring of the *Arapaima* (Osteoglossiformes, Arapaimidae) Population of the Araguaia-Tocantins Basin. *Front. Genet.* **2017**, 8, 159.
45. Araripe, J.; do Rêgo, P. S.; Queiroz, H.; Sampaio, I.; Schneider, H. Dispersal capacity and genetic structure of *Arapaima gigas* on different geographic scales using microsatellite markers. *PLoS One.* **2013**, 8, e54470.
46. Fazzi-Gomes, P.F. et al. Genetic diversity and differentiation in natural populations of *Arapaima gigas* from lower Amazon revealed by microsatellites. *Genet. Mol. Res.* **2017**, 16 (1), gmr16019552.

47. Farias, I.P. *et al.* Evidence of polygamy in the socially monogamous Amazonian fish *Arapaima gigas* (Schinz, 1822) (Osteoglossiformes, Arapaimidae). *Neotrop. Ichthyol.* **2015**, 13, 195-204.
48. Hamoy, I.G.; Santos, E.J.M.; Santos, S.E.B. Rapid and inexpensive analysis of genetic variability in *Arapaima gigas* by PCR multiplex panel of eight microsatellites. *Genet. Mol. Res.* **2008**, 7, 29-32.
49. Zheng, X.H. *et al.* A set of polymorphic trinucleotide and tetranucleotide microsatellite markers for silver crucian carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio*) and cross-amplification in crucian carp. *Biochem. Genet.* **2010**, 48, 624-635.
50. Isaac, V.J.; Milstein, A.; Ruffino, M.L. A pesca artesanal no Baixo Amazonas: Análise multivariada da captura por espécie. *Acta Amaz.* **1996**, 26, 185-208.
51. Botstein, D.; White, R. L.; Skolnick, M.; Davis, R. W. Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **1980**, 32, 314-331.
52. Hamoy, I.G.; Santos, S. Multiplex PCR panel of microsatellite markers for the tambaqui, *Colossoma macropomum*, developed as a tool for use in conservation and broodstock management. *Genet. Mol. Res.* **2012**, 11, 141-146.
53. Frankham, R.; Ballou, J. D.; Briscoe, D. A. *Introduction to conservation genetics*, 2nd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, Inglaterra, 2004; pp. 221–223.
54. Sambrook, J.F.; Russell, D.W. *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York (2001).
55. Vallone, P. M.; Butler, J.M. AutoDimer: a screening tool for primer-dimer and hairpin structures. *BioTechniques*. **2004**, 37, 226-231.
56. Oosterhout, C. V.; Hutchinson, W. F.; Wills, D.P.M.; Shipley, P. Micro-Checker: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol. Ecol. Notes*. **2004**, 4, 535-538.
57. Excoffier, L.; Lischer, H. E. L. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **2010**, 10, 564-567.
58. Rice, W.R. Analyzing Tables of Statistical Tests. *Evolution*. **1989**, 43, 223-225.
59. Rousset, F. Genepop'007: A complete re-implementation of the genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **2008**, 8, 103–106.
60. Ristow, P.G.; D'Amato, M.E. Forensic statistics analysis toolbox (FORSTAT): A streamlined workflow for forensic statistics. *Forensic Sci. Int. Genet. Suppl. Ser.* **2017**, 6, e52–e54 .

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3