Dissecting regulatory pathways for transcription recovery following DNA damage reveals a non-canonical function of the histone chaperone HIRA

Déborah Bouvier¹, Juliette Ferrand¹, Odile Chevallier¹, Michelle T. Paulsen², Mats Ljungman², Sophie E. Polo^{1,*}

¹Epigenetics & Cell Fate Centre, UMR7216 CNRS, Université de Paris, Paris, France

²Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

*Correspondence: sophie.polo@univ-paris-diderot.fr

ABSTRACT

Transcription restart after a genotoxic challenge is a fundamental yet poorly understood process. Here, we dissect the interplay between transcription and chromatin restoration after DNA damage by focusing on the human histone chaperone complex HIRA, which is required for transcription recovery post UV. We demonstrate that HIRA is recruited to UV-damaged chromatin via the ubiquitin-dependent segregase VCP to deposit new H3.3 histones. However, this local activity of HIRA is dispensable for transcription recovery. Instead, we reveal a genome-wide function of HIRA in transcription restart that is independent of new H3.3 and not restricted to UV-damaged loci. HIRA coordinates with ASF1B to control transcription restart by two independent pathways: by stabilizing the associated subunit UBN2 and by reducing the expression of the transcription repressor ATF3. Thus, HIRA primes UV-damaged chromatin for transcription restart at least in part by relieving transcription inhibition rather than by depositing new H3.3 as an activating bookmark.

The maintenance of gene expression programs established during organism development is critical for preserving cell functions and identities¹. Yet, modulations in transcriptional programs are indispensable for the cellular response to environmental cues, including the response to genotoxic stress. DNA damage indeed elicits substantial rewiring of transcription, with the induction and repression of damage-responsive genes². DNA double-strand breaks lead to the local inhibition of ongoing transcription through damage signaling pathways and to the production of small non-coding RNAs³⁻⁵, while other DNA lesions, including UV photoproducts, physically block the progression of elongating RNAPII⁶⁻⁸. Moreover, following the rapid and local inhibition of elongating RNAPII at sites of UV damage, UV irradiation triggers a global repression of transcription initiation, which also affects undamaged genes⁹⁻¹⁴. The repair of transcription-blocking UV lesions by the dedicated Transcription-Coupled Repair (TCR) machinery, a subpathway of Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER)^{15,16}, is necessary for the recovery of transcriptional activity¹⁷. TCR involves RNAPII backtracking, release from its template, and also ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation in case of persistent stalling¹⁸⁻²². The ubiquitin-dependent degradation of RNAPII is a major determinant in transcription regulation following UV damage^{21,22}. The release of UV-stalled RNAPII entails eviction of ubiquitinated RNAPII from chromatin by the Valosin-Containing Protein (VCP) segregase^{23,24}, which targets RNAPII to proteasomal degradation in yeast and human cells^{25,26}. Importantly, failure to recover from UV-induced transcription inhibition has deleterious consequences including cell death and disease. It is indeed one of the main features of Cockayne Syndrome¹⁷. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying transcription restart after UVC damage repair are still poorly understood. Several transcriptional regulators have been involved in this process^{9,27-34} in addition to core TCR components and factors modulating their stability³⁵, but how they possibly cooperate in controlling transcription restart after UVC damage remains elusive. In line with the central

role of chromatin dynamics in transcriptional regulation³⁶, chromatin changes associated with the DNA damage response are of functional importance for restoring transcriptional activity³⁷. In particular, several histone chaperones³⁸ and modifying enzymes³⁹ were shown to promote transcription recovery following UVC damage in mammalian cells, including the H3K79 methyltransferase DOT1L²⁷, and the core histone chaperones Facilitates Chromatin Transcription (FACT)²⁹ and Histone Regulator A (HIRA)^{28,40}.

HIRA is an evolutionarily conserved histone chaperone complex that promotes the deposition of H3.3 histones on DNA in a replication-independent manner⁴¹⁻⁴⁴. Interestingly, HIRA activity is intimately linked to transcriptional regulation as this chaperone deposits H3.3 at gene bodies and regulatory elements such as promoters and enhancers in mammalian cells^{45,46}. In response to UVC irradiation, HIRA is recruited to damaged chromatin regions in a ubiquitin-dependent manner by a mechanism that is not yet fully elucidated, and deposits newly synthesized H3.3 histones²⁸. Based on the observation that HIRA was only transiently recruited to damage sites and released long before transcription restart, we proposed a bookmarking mechanism whereby this histone chaperone was priming chromatin for transcription recovery²⁸. An attractive candidate for the activating bookmark was H3.3 because of the strong connection between the deposition of this histone variant by HIRA and transcriptional activation. Indeed, HIRA-mediated H3.3 deposition plays a critical role in transcriptional reprogramming in *Xenopus*⁴⁷ and mouse cells⁴⁸, and is key for reaching the full dynamic range of transcription in mouse developing oocytes⁴⁹. Furthermore, unscheduled H3.3 deposition by HIRA governs a pro-metastatic transcriptional program in breast cancer cells⁵⁰. HIRA-mediated H3.3 deposition is also required for ribosomal gene transcription in the mouse zygote⁵¹, for myogenic gene transcription in mouse myoblasts⁵², for the transcription of angiogenic genes in endothelial cells⁵³, and for viral gene expression⁵⁴. However, besides these multiple links with active transcription, H3.3 deposition by HIRA is

also required for the establishment of silencing at developmental genes in mouse embryonic stem cells⁵⁵, raising questions about the contribution of H3.3 to HIRA function in transcription recovery after UVC damage repair. Here, by dissecting the mechanisms of HIRA-mediated transcriptional regulation following UVC damage in human cells, we rule out the contribution of new H3.3 deposition to transcription restart and uncover a non-canonical function of HIRA in this process that promotes transcription recovery on a genome-wide scale.

RESULTS

HIRA function in transcription restart after UVC damage is independent of new H3.3 deposition

As a first step towards dissecting the mechanisms for HIRA-mediated transcription regulation in response to genotoxic stress, we sought to narrow down which subunit of the HIRA complex was important for transcription recovery after UVC damage repair in human cells. Indeed, this histone chaperone complex comprises HIRA⁵⁶, Ubinuclein 1 (UBN1)⁵⁷ or the less characterized UBN2⁵⁸, and CABIN1 subunits⁵⁹ (Fig. 1a), and coordinates with Anti-silencing function 1A (ASF1A)^{42,60} to promote H3.3 deposition (reviewed in ⁴¹). Central to the complex, HIRA serves as a scaffold, stabilizing the other core subunits. Consistent with this, we observed that HIRA knock-down in HeLa and U2OS cells led to a strong down-regulation of UBN1 and CABIN1 while ASF1A levels remained unaffected. In contrast, knocking down UBN1 or CABIN1 did not have a marked impact on HIRA levels (western blot panels on Fig. 1a-b).

We thus examined transcription regulation after UVC damage by labeling nascent transcripts with 5-ethynyluridine (EU) in cells knocked down for individual subunits of this histone

chaperone complex (Supplementary Fig. 1a). As defined previously²⁸, we analyzed transcription inhibition and recovery 2h and 24h after UV irradiation, respectively. Remarkably, we observed that HIRA down-regulation, and not that of UBN1 and CABIN1, strongly impaired transcription recovery 24h after UVC damage (Fig. 1a). The effect of HIRA knock-down was not explained by major alterations in cell cycle distribution and UV-induced cell cycle arrest (Supplementary Fig. 1b), consistent with previous observations²⁸. In contrast with transcription recovery that is impaired only upon HIRA depletion, new H3.3 deposition at UVC damage sites (Cyclobutane Pyrimidine Dimers, CPD) was reduced to a comparable extent upon knock-down of HIRA, UBN1 or CABIN1 (Fig. 1b; see Supplementary Fig. 1c for a scheme of the new H3.3 deposition assay). Similar results were obtained by knocking-down HIRA complex subunits with a second set of siRNAs and by labeling nascent transcripts with bromouridine (BrU) (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Thus, the ability to restart transcription following UVC damage correlates with HIRA levels but not with new H3.3 deposition at UV damage sites. These findings strongly suggest that the *de novo* deposition of H3.3 is not critical for transcription recovery after repair of UVC damage. Moreover, UBN1 was recently shown to determine H3.3-specific binding in the HIRA complex⁶¹, but is not required for transcription restart (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1d). To directly test the importance of the H3.3-chaperone activity of the complex for transcription recovery post UVC damage, we targeted H3.3 by RNA interference thus impairing new H3.3 protein production. This resulted in strongly reduced levels of newly deposited H3.3 at UV sites as expected, but did not affect transcription regulation following UVC irradiation (Fig. 1c-d). Collectively, these data establish that HIRA promote transcription recovery independently of new H3.3 deposition.

HIRA does not contribute to transcription-coupled DNA repair

Having ruled out the contribution of new H3.3 histone deposition to HIRA-dependent transcription restart post UVC, we searched for other local events at UV-damaged loci that could mediate this response. We first examined whether HIRA would contribute to TCR of UV lesions, as recently shown for another histone chaperone, FACT⁶². For this, we used fibroblasts that only rely on TCR to repair UV lesions because they are deficient in the other NER subpathway, Global Genome Repair (GGR), and we measured repair synthesis by 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) incorporation at sites of local UVC irradiation³⁴ (Fig. 2a). To confirm the transcription-coupled nature of UV damage repair in this system, we treated cells with a transcription inhibitor (flavopiridol, FLV) and we also knocked down the core TCR factor Excision repair cross-complementation group 6 (ERCC6), both resulting in a marked inhibition of repair synthesis (Fig. 2b-c). HIRA depletion in contrast, although resulting in defective transcription recovery in these cells (Fig. 2d), did not lead to a significant reduction in repair synthesis activity (Fig. 2c), arguing that HIRA is not a core TCR component. Thus, we conclude that HIRA function in transcription recovery following UVC damage cannot be attributed to a stimulation of TCR.

HIRA is recruited to UV damaged chromatin by the ubiquitin-dependent segregase VCP

We next focused our attention on the VCP segregase, which promotes the degradation of UV-stalled RNAPII^{25,26}, a critical step in transcription restart^{21,22}, and which, like HIRA²⁸, is recruited to UVC-damaged chromatin in a ubiquitin-dependent manner in human cells⁶³. We thus wondered whether HIRA may crosstalk with VCP to regulate transcription recovery post UVC. Loss-of-function experiments revealed that HIRA was dispensable for VCP recruitment to UVC damage sites (Supplementary Fig. 2a). In contrast, both siRNA-mediated knock-down (Fig. 3a) and chemical inhibition of VCP (with the small molecule inhibitor NMS-873,

Fig. 3b) prevented HIRA accrual to UVC-damaged chromatin, while HIRA total levels remained unaffected as measured by western-blot in total cell extracts (Fig. 3a-b). In line with these findings, new H3.3 deposition at sites of UVC damage was also dependent on VCP (Supplementary Fig. 2b). These results establish that VCP drives HIRA recruitment to UVC-damaged chromatin.

HIRA function in transcription restart after UVC damage is independent of VCP-mediated RNAPII degradation

We thus tested whether HIRA would cooperate with VCP to promote RNAPII degradation following UVC irradiation. For this, we analyzed the UV-induced degradation of elongating RNAPII by western-blot⁶⁴, focusing on the Serine2-phosphorylated form of RNAPII large subunit, which marks the elongation state. The levels of elongating RNAPII dropped 8 h after UVC damage in control cells (siLUC), which was impaired in VCP-depleted cells (siVCP) and abolished in Transcription Coupled Repair-deficient cells (siERCC6) as expected^{26,65} (Fig. 3c-d). In contrast, HIRA knock-down did not significantly inhibit the UV-induced loss of elongating RNAPII (Fig. 3d). These results indicate that the degradation of stalled elongating RNAPII is not sufficient for transcription recovery post UVC damage because RNAPII degradation operates normally in HIRA-knocked down cells, which are defective in transcription recovery. Furthermore, these findings demonstrate that HIRA contributes to transcription restart independently of VCP-mediated degradation of UV-stalled RNAPII.

Genome-wide impact of HIRA on transcription regulation after UVC damage

HIRA function in transcription recovery after UV irradiation being unexplained by a local action of HIRA at UV damage sites, we envisioned that HIRA might regulate transcription after UV damage on a genome-wide scale. Thus, we characterized nascent RNA production

by deep sequencing of 5-bromouridine-labeled transcripts in cells exposed to global UVC irradiation^{66,67} (Bru-seq, Fig. 4a) upon HIRA knock-down by siRNA (Supplementary Fig. 3a, Supplementary Table 1). To take into account differences in global transcription levels between samples, which is a major caveat in this analysis, we performed biological scaling normalization⁶⁸. For this, we independently measured mean nascent RNA levels per nucleus in each experimental condition relative to the corresponding non-irradiated sample and used those as correction factors (Supplementary Fig. 3b; see methods for details). Thus, we could identify both UV-repressed and UV-induced genes, including expected candidates among which the immediate early gene JUN⁶⁹ (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Further underlining the robustness of our analysis, induced genes were overall much shorter (Supplementary Fig. 3c), as reported⁷⁰. We then focused our analysis on UV-repressed genes, which we defined as genes whose transcription was repressed at least 2-fold 2h30 after UVC irradiation in control conditions, and we obtained reproducible results in three independent experiments (Fig. 4b). When assessing the impact of HIRA knock-down on transcription repression and recovery, we observed that HIRA was dispensable for transcription inhibition 2h30 post UVC but required for transcription recovery 24h30 post irradiation (Fig. 4c), which is consistent with previous findings²⁸. Importantly, we observed that the vast majority of UV-repressed genes (over 88%) required HIRA for transcription recovery (Fig. 4c-d). HIRA was required for transcription restart independently of gene length, expression level and coding potential (Fig. 4e). Similar results were obtained with another siRNA against HIRA and also when knocking down the TCR factor ERCC6 (Supplementary Fig. 4a, Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). Furthermore, comparison between datasets of genes with defective transcription recovery revealed a very strong overlap between both HIRA knock-downs and also with ERCC6 knock-down (Supplementary Fig. 4b), suggesting that ERCC6 and HIRA might control transcription recovery through shared mechanisms. Regarding the small fraction of escapees

that recovered better in the absence of HIRA (HIRA-inhibited: 7.7%, Fig. 4c), gene ontology analyses⁷¹ revealed an over-representation of genes involved in chromatin organization, mostly canonical histone genes (Supplementary Fig. 4c). In line with these findings, HIRA acts as a transcriptional repressor of histone genes in human cells^{72,73}, as originally shown for its yeast counterparts⁷⁴. We validated Bru-seq results by quantitative RT-PCR as an orthogonal approach (Supplementary Fig. 4d), focusing our analysis on short half-life transcripts⁷⁵ so that mRNA levels would reflect nascent RNA production. Altogether, these findings demonstrate that HIRA has a large-scale impact on transcription recovery following UVC irradiation.

HIRA controls UV lesion-independent transcription recovery post irradiation

Considering that transcription repression post UVC also affects undamaged genes^{13,14}, we next sought to examine the impact of HIRA on the transcription recovery of those genes. For this, we partitioned UV-repressed genes according to their length (Fig. 5a), which is a major determinant of the UV damage load. Indeed, UV lesions are distributed throughout the human genome with very few detectable damage hotspots⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸, thus the UV damage load is generally proportional to gene length and UV dose. Considering that 10 J/m² UVC irradiation generates 1 UV lesion/6 kb on double-stranded DNA⁷⁹, the expected damage load on the transcribed strand, where UV lesions block RNAPII progression, is around 1 UV lesion/12 kb. We observed that in long genes transcription repression was detectable already 30 min post UVC, while short genes generally showed delayed repression (Fig. 5a), consistent with an indirect inhibitory effect of DNA lesions on transcription in trans. To assess the impact of HIRA on UV lesion-independent transcription regulation, we thus decided to focus on the shortest genes and on genes that display slow transcriptional repression, i.e. genes that are less likely to harbor UV lesions (Fig. 5b). In both cases, we noticed a strong requirement of HIRA for

transcription recovery (Fig. 5c-d). Furthermore, the number of genes that needed HIRA for transcription restart largely exceeded those expected to be damaged. Indeed, based on a Poisson distribution, considering 1 UV lesion/12 kb on the transcribed strand, 26% of the shortest genes are predicted to be damaged on the transcribed strand while HIRA is required for transcription restart in 70% of these genes (Fig. 5e). Noteworthy, HIRA knock-down not only impairs transcription recovery but also mitigates transcription repression of short genes (Fig. 5c).

Altogether, these findings demonstrate that HIRA has a genome-wide impact on transcription recovery following UVC irradiation, and suggest that this is not restricted to genes bearing UV lesions.

HIRA inhibits the expression of the transcription repressor ATF3

We next sought to characterize the molecular mechanisms underlying the global effect of HIRA on transcription recovery following UV damage. Recent studies identified the transcription repressor ATF3 (Activating Transcription Factor 3) as a global regulator of transcription post UVC in human fibroblasts, controlling transcription recovery of thousands of genes^{30,80}. ATF3 expression is upregulated in response to genotoxic stress and helps maintain UV-induced transcriptional silencing. Supporting the idea that ATF3 mediates a global response to local damage infliction, we observed that ATF3 was upregulated in entire nuclei following local UVC irradiation and did not display any detectable accumulation at UV damage sites (Supplementary Fig. 5a-b). ATF3 levels are known to be controlled by ERCC6, which represses ATF3 transcription⁸¹ and promotes ATF3 protein degradation post UVC, thus relieving transcription repression of ATF3-target genes³⁰. Therefore, we tested if, like ERCC6, HIRA could regulate ATF3 levels. We first examined ATF3 protein levels in total extracts from UV-irradiated cells. The increase in ATF3 levels, detectable 8 h after UVC

irradiation, was followed by a decrease in control cells, which was not observed in ERCC6-deficient cells as reported^{30,80} (Fig. 6a). Interestingly, HIRA knock-down also led to a continuous increase of ATF3 levels long-term after UVC irradiation, and this without any measurable reduction of ERCC6 levels (Fig. 6a). We obtained similar results when measuring ATF3 nuclear levels by immunofluorescence with a different antibody and by using two distinct siRNA sequences targeting HIRA (Supplementary Fig. 5c). Simultaneous depletion of HIRA and ERCC6 did not result in additive effects on ATF3 levels 24h after irradiation (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Fig. 5d), suggesting that HIRA and ERCC6 operate in the same pathway for ATF3 regulation. In line with these findings, the accumulation of ATF3 in HIRA-knocked down cells was not only observed at the protein level but also at the transcript level (Fig. 6c), as reported upon ERCC6 depletion⁸¹. Supporting a direct role for HIRA in regulating ATF3 transcription, HIRA occupied regulatory sequences of the ATF3 gene in HeLa cells⁸² (Supplementary Fig. 5e).

Remarkably, the sustained accumulation of ATF3 protein 24h post UVC was not observed upon knock-down of UBN1 or CABIN1 (Fig. 6d; this is also confirmed for ATF3 transcript in UBN1-depleted cells, Fig. 6c), arguing that the regulation of ATF3 levels does not involve the histone chaperone activity of the HIRA complex. In addition to binding core components of the complex, HIRA also exerts nuclear functions by associating with other H3 chaperones, ASF1A, and to a lesser extent ASF1B^{42,83,84}. Interestingly, we found that siRNA-mediated depletion of ASF1B, and not of the preferred interacting partner ASF1A, recapitulated the effect of HIRA knock-down on ATF3 transcript and protein levels post UVC (Fig. 6e-f). Similar to HIRA knock-down, ASF1B down-regulation did not significantly affect cell cycle distribution and UV-induced cell cycle arrest (Supplementary Fig. 1b).

Altogether, these findings establish that HIRA coordinates with ASF1B to keep ATF3 expression in check post UVC, independently of the histone chaperone activity of the HIRA complex.

HIRA promotes transcription recovery after UVC damage through ATF3 regulation

A large fraction of the genes that required HIRA for transcription recovery were found to be targeted by ATF3 post UVC in a previous study³⁰, including RAD50 and NIPBL (Supplementary Fig. 5f). These data are consistent with a possible role of ATF3 in HIRA-mediated transcription restart. Supporting this idea, we observed an anti-correlation between ATF3 levels and transcriptional activity 24 h post UVC by co-staining for ATF3 and BrU in HIRA-depleted cells (Supplementary Fig. 5g). We thus tested the possibility that HIRA global impact on transcription recovery following UVC damage may be mediated by the reduction in ATF3 levels. For this, we depleted ATF3 in HIRA-knocked down cells and examined if this relieved the transcription defect. Compared to HIRA single knock-down, simultaneous depletion of ATF3 and HIRA brought ATF3 protein down to control levels and partly restored transcription 24h after UVC damage, as measured by BrU-labeling of nascent transcripts (Fig. 6g). Notably however, ATF3 depletion did not restore new H3.3 deposition at UV sites in HIRA knocked-down cells (Fig. 6h), further strengthening the idea that transcription recovery and new H3.3 deposition are mechanistically and functionally uncoupled. These results demonstrate that HIRA promotes transcription recovery after UVC damage at least in part by controlling the levels of the transcription repressor ATF3.

HIRA promotes transcription recovery after UVC damage through UBN2 stabilization independently of the ATF3 pathway

Considering that the effect of ATF3 knockdown on alleviating the transcription defect in HIRA-depleted cells was only partial, we assumed that additional, ATF3-independent pathways might mediate HIRA function on transcription recovery post UVC. During the course of our studies, UBN2 was characterized as an alternative subunit to UBN1 within the HIRA complex, chaperoning H3.3 in mouse embryonic stem cells⁵⁸. We confirmed the contribution of UBN2 to new H3.3 deposition at UVC damage sites in human cells (Fig. 7a). Remarkably, in sharp contrast to what we observed upon UBN1 depletion, depletion of UBN2 strongly inhibited transcription recovery post UVC, similar to HIRA knockdown and without any detectable impact on cell cycle distribution (Fig. 7b and Supplementary Fig. 1b). UBN2 depletion did not affect HIRA levels, while HIRA knock-down led to a down-regulation of UBN2 (Fig. 7b), as observed for the other subunits of the complex (Fig. 1a-b). These findings indicate that HIRA stabilizes UBN2 and cooperates with UBN2 and not with UBN1 for promoting transcription recovery after UV damage. To test whether UBN2 operated through ATF3 in transcription regulation post UVC, we examined ATF3 levels upon UBN2 depletion. Notably, ATF3 did not display any sustained accumulation 24h post UVC in UBN2-knocked down cells (Fig. 7c). Reciprocally, ATF3 knock-down did not rescue UBN2 levels in HIRA-depleted cells (Fig. 7d), thus defining two independent pathways downstream of HIRA for transcription recovery following UVC damage. Given that HIRA coordinates with ASF1B to control ATF3 expression, we also tested the impact of ASF1B on UBN2 levels. We observed that knock-down of ASF1B and not that of ASF1A resulted in reduced UBN2 protein levels (Fig. 7e). This indicates that HIRA cooperates with ASF1B to maintain UBN2 levels. Collectively, these data identify the UBN2 subunit of the HIRA complex as critical for transcription restart after UVC damage, independently of ATF3.

DISCUSSION

Transcription is tightly regulated in response to DNA damage, which restricts the production of aberrant transcripts from damaged loci and prevents deleterious collisions between transcription and repair machineries^{3,11,85}. Despite several decades of research, how transcription restarts after DNA damage repair in a chromatin context is not fully elucidated⁸. Our work makes several important contributions to our mechanistic understanding of this process in human cells and also redefines the role of the histone chaperone complex subunit HIRA. (1) First, we uncover a non-canonical function for HIRA in transcription regulation following UVC damage, which does not involve new H3.3 deposition. (2) Furthermore, we show that HIRA primes UV-damaged chromatin for transcription restart by relieving transcription inhibition rather than by depositing an activating bookmark. HIRA indeed operates, at least in part, through reducing the levels of the transcription inhibitor ATF3. (3) Thus, we delineate two functionally distinct HIRA-dependent pathways: a local and early response to UV damage resulting in H3.3 deposition, and a global and late response leading to transcription restart on a genome-wide scale (Fig. 7f).

VCP-dependent recruitment of HIRA to damaged loci

We have identified the ubiquitin-binding factor VCP as a driver of HIRA recruitment to UV-damaged loci, which provides a molecular basis for this ubiquitin-dependent process²⁸. How VCP mediates HIRA recruitment to UV-damaged chromatin is not fully elucidated. This may involve a physical interaction between the two proteins as observed for the fission yeast orthologs⁸⁶ or an indirect mechanism relying on the ability of HIRA to bind naked DNA⁴³ that may be exposed upon VCP-mediated eviction of ubiquitylated proteins from damaged sites. Noteworthy, recent findings revealed a trimerisation of HIRA subunit, critical for HIRA recruitment to UVC-damaged chromatin and for new H3.3 deposition⁸⁷. How HIRA

trimerisation crosstalks with VCP to mediate HIRA recruitment to damaged chromatin could be tested in future studies.

Transcription-independent function of HIRA at damaged loci

An important conclusion from our work is the discovery that HIRA promotes transcription restart after UVC damage independently of new H3.3 histone deposition at UV damage sites. Thus, the functional relevance of new H3.3 deposition by HIRA in UV-damaged chromatin is still elusive. It might contribute to restrict pervasive transcription⁸⁸ upon DNA damage or play a transcription-independent role that is still to be determined. Recently, a novel function for the HIRA complex in recycling parental H3.3 histones during transcription was reported in human cells⁸⁹, which shares interesting similarities with the function of HIRA that we describe here. Indeed, HIRA was shown to recycle parental H3.3 in an ASF1-dependent and UBN1-independent manner⁸⁹, raising the possibility that parental H3.3 recycling rather than new H3.3 deposition could be involved in HIRA-mediated transcription restart post UV. Not only is HIRA-mediated deposition of new H3.3 at UV-damaged loci dispensable for transcription recovery, but HIRA recruitment to UV-damaged chromatin is also transcription-independent²⁸, arguing that HIRA function at UV-damaged sites is not connected to transcription regulation. It is reasonable to assume that at damaged loci the release of UV-stalled elongating RNAPII largely contributes to transcription recovery. Indeed, transcription restart after UVC damage in human cells was shown to operate in a 5' to 3' wave from the transcription start site of genes⁶⁷ rather than from sites of RNAPII stalling, highlighting the need for a release of UV-stalled RNAPII to allow transcription restart/re-initiation. In line with this, genome-wide analyses suggest that RNAPII is released from its template during TCR in human cells¹⁸. In addition to RNAPII release, ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis of UV-stalled RNAPII regulates the pool of available RNAPII for transcription initiation and plays a

pivotal role in transcription regulation post UV in mammalian cells^{21,22}. We did not notice any defect in the degradation of UV-stalled RNAPII upon HIRA loss-of-function and previous work showed that RNAPII levels returned to normal 24h post UVC in the absence of HIRA²⁸, indicating that defective transcription recovery in this context is not attributable to perturbations in the pool of available RNAPII.

Genome-wide impact of HIRA on transcription restart post UV

Distinct from the local action of HIRA at UV damage sites, our findings reveal a broad effect of HIRA on transcription recovery, including that of very short genes, which are less likely to bear UV lesions. These data suggest that the function of HIRA is not restricted to the vicinity of damage sites (cis-effect) and reflect a more global impact of HIRA on nuclear transcription (trans-effect). In line with this, we found that the CABIN1 subunit of the HIRA complex, which stimulates HIRA recruitment to UV damage sites²⁸, is dispensable for transcription recovery following UVC irradiation. This further strengthens the idea that HIRA function in transcription restart is mechanistically distinct from its recruitment to UV damaged loci. Similar to what was reported for the TCR factor ERCC6^{30,80}, we have shown that the global function of HIRA in transcription recovery post UVC damage is at least partly mediated by the transcription inhibitor ATF3, whose levels are reduced at late time points after damage in a HIRA-dependent manner. Despite the similarities between HIRA and ERCC6, we demonstrate that HIRA is not a *bona fide* TCR component. In line with this, HIRA knocked-down cells do not display increased sensitivity to UVC unlike what is observed upon loss-of-function of TCR factors²⁸. We have found that HIRA coordinates with ASF1B to silence ATF3. This result is intriguing considering that HIRA preferentially associates with ASF1A and not ASF1B in unchallenged cells^{42,83,84}, thus highlighting a specific functional interaction between HIRA and ASF1B during the UV-damage response. Noteworthy, ASF1B did not

show any detectable accumulation at UV damage sites²⁸, further strengthening the idea that the HIRA-ASF1B-ATF3 axis regulates transcription recovery on a genome-wide scale rather than at damaged loci. Supporting a contribution of the ATF3 pathway to HIRA-dependent transcription recovery post UVC, we have shown that ATF3 depletion rescues transcription recovery in the absence of HIRA. The restoration is only partial, likely due to the fact that not all UV-repressed genes are ATF3 targets. Furthermore, UBN2 also contributes to HIRA transcriptional function independently of the ATF3 axis. Our data thus delineate two independent pathways, HIRA-ASF1B-ATF3 and HIRA-ASF1B-UBN2, which both contribute to transcription recovery post UV damage. Regarding UBN2, in addition to binding and depositing H3.3 at regulatory elements of developmental genes in mouse embryonic stem cells⁵⁸, we now show that UBN2 also promotes *de novo* deposition of H3.3 at UV damage sites in human cells. Nevertheless, this histone deposition activity is not involved in transcription recovery post UV damage, arguing that transcription restart relies on another function of UBN2, yet to be identified. So far little is known about UBN2, impeding full characterization of the underlying mechanisms. Considering the genome-wide effect of HIRA on transcription regulation post UVC, it is tempting to speculate that HIRA may also stimulate transcription induction of early UV-responsive genes. Lending support to this idea, the ortholog of HIRA in fission yeast is required for the induction of stress-responsive genes⁹⁰. Future studies will address whether this function of HIRA is conserved in higher eukaryotes.

A new perspective on the HIRA histone chaperone complex

Our analysis of HIRA complex subunits showed that only HIRA and UBN2, and not UBN1 and CABIN1, are needed for transcription recovery post UVC. These results are in line with a multiomic analysis of the UV response in human fibroblasts, which identified HIRA and

UBN2 but not UBN1 and CABIN1 as required for transcriptional activity following UVC damage⁴⁰. These findings highlight important functional differences between the related subunits UBN1 and UBN2, which deserve further investigation. Whether the HIRA subunit functions in transcription restart in complex with CABIN1, UBN1/2 and H3.3 or as part of another protein complex is still to be determined. Recent biochemical and genomic data suggest that HIRA may operate independently of the histone chaperone complex in some instances. Indeed, gel filtration analyses of the HIRA complex in human embryonic stem cells identified a fraction containing the HIRA subunit but not CABIN1 nor H3.3⁹¹. In addition, ChIP-seq analyses revealed that HIRA binds more than a thousand sites across the human genome in the absence of UBN1 and these sites are not enriched in H3.3⁸², pointing to a function of HIRA independent of the H3.3-chaperone complex, which deserves additional investigation. Further distinguishing the HIRA subunit from CABIN1 and UBN1, mutations in the plant ortholog of HIRA lead to a significantly more pronounced developmental phenotype than mutations in UBN1 or CABIN1⁹². Interestingly, like HIRA, other histone chaperones also control responses to DNA damage independently of their histone binding activity, as shown for ASF1A in DNA double-strand break signaling⁹³. Histone chaperones thus appear as multifunctional proteins, which are pivotal for coordinating chromatin dynamics with critical nuclear functions such as transcription and damage signaling.

METHODS

Cell culture and drug treatments

HeLa (American Type Culture Collection ATCC CCL-2, human cervical carcinoma, female), XP4PA-SV (Coriell Institute for Medical Research, GM15983, human XPC-deficient skin fibroblasts, male), and U2OS cells (ATCC HTB-96, human osteosarcoma, female) were grown at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (EUROBIO), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies). U2OS cells stably expressing H3.3-SNAP⁹⁴ were maintained in the same medium in the presence of 100 µg/ml G418 (Invitrogen).

The VCP inhibitor NMS-873 (5 µM final concentration, Selleckchem) and the transcription inhibitor Flavopiridol (10 µM final concentration, Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the culture medium 2 h before UV irradiation of the cells and kept until the time of cell fixation.

siRNA sequences

siRNA purchased from Eurofins MWG Operon (Table 1) were transfected into cells using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's instructions. The final concentration of siRNA in the culture medium was 50 nM. Cells were harvested 48 to 72 h post-transfection (24 to 48 h for siH3.3).

siRNA	Target sequence
siASF1A	5' AAGTGAAGAATACGATCAAGT 3'
siASF1B	5' AACACGAGTACCTCAACCCT 3'
siATF3	5' GAGGCGACGAGAAAGAAAT 3'
siCABIN1	5' GGATTGATTGTCTCGGACTA 3'
siCABIN1#2	5' GGAGAAGGATTGCCGGTAC 3'
siERCC6	5' GAAGAGTTGTCAGTGATTA 3'
siLUC (Luciferase)	5' CGTACGCGGAATACTTCGA 3'
siHIRA#1= siHIRA	5' GAAGGACTCTCGTCTCATG 3'
siHIRA#2	5' GGAGATGACAAACTGATTA 3'
siH3.3	1:1 combination of siH3.3A: 5' CTACAAAAGCCGCTCGCAA 3' and

	siH3.3B: 5' GCTAAGAGAGTCACCATCAT 3'
siUBN1	5' ATACAGGACTTGATCGATA 3'
siUBN1#2	5' GCATAAGCCTGTTGCGGTC 3'
siUBN2	5' TCCTGTTCCCTCCTCACTTA 3'
siVCP#1=siVCP	5' GAATAGAGTTGTTCCGGAAT 3'
siVCP#2	5' GGAGGTAGATATTGGAATT 3'

Table S1: siRNA sequences

SNAP labeling of histones

For specific labeling of newly synthesized histones, pre-existing SNAP-tagged histones were first quenched by incubating cells with 10 μ M of the non-fluorescent SNAP reagent (SNAP-cell Block, New England Biolabs) for 30 min at 37°C followed by a 30 min wash in fresh medium and a 2 h chase. The SNAP-tagged histones neosynthesized during the chase time were pulse-labeled by incubating cells with 2 μ M of red-fluorescent SNAP reagent (SNAP-cell TMR star, New England Biolabs) for 15 min at 37°C, followed by 1h45 incubation in fresh medium. Cells were then processed for immunostaining. Cells were locally irradiated with the UVC lamp just before the pulse step.

UV irradiation

Cells were irradiated with UVC (254 nm) using a low-pressure mercury lamp (Vilbert-Lourmat). Conditions were set using a VLX-3W dosimeter (Vilbert-Lourmat). For global irradiation, cells in PBS (Phosphate Buffer Saline) were exposed to 10 J/m², except for analyzing RNAPII degradation (Fig. 3) where the dose was 50 J/m². For local irradiation¹⁰, cells on glass coverslips (VWR) were covered with a polycarbonate filter (5 μ m pore size, Millipore) and irradiated with 150 J/m² UVC for analyzing HIRA and H3.3 accumulation at UV sites or with 500 J/m² UVC in the TCR assay and when staining for ATF3 and VCP.

Cell extracts and Western blot

Total extracts were obtained by scraping cells on plates or resuspending cell pellets in Laemmli buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 1.6% Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate, 8% glycerol, 4% β -mercaptoethanol, 0.0025% bromophenol blue) followed by 5 min denaturation at 95°C. For western blot analysis, extracts were run on 4%–20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX gels (Bio-Rad) in running buffer (200 mM glycine, 25 mM Tris, 0.1% SDS) and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Protran) with a Trans-Blot SD semidry transfer cell (Bio-Rad). Total proteins were revealed by Pierce® Reversible Stain (Thermo Scientific). Proteins of interest were probed using the appropriate primary and HRP (Horse Radish Peroxidase)-conjugated secondary antibodies (Table S2), detected using SuperSignal West Pico or Femto chemiluminescence substrates (Pierce) on hyperfilms MP (Amersham) or with Odyssey Fc-imager (LI-COR Biosciences). Alternatively, when fluorescence detection was used instead of chemi-luminescence, total proteins were revealed with REVERT total protein stain, secondary antibodies were conjugated to IRDye 680RD or 800CW (Table S2) and imaging was performed with Odyssey Fc-imager (LI-COR Biosciences). Levels of proteins of interest were then quantified with Image Studio Lite software using total protein stain for normalization.

Immunofluorescence

Cells grown on glass coverslips (VWR) were either fixed directly with 2% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS, or pre-extracted before fixation with 0.5% Triton X-100 in CSK buffer (Cytoskeletal buffer: 10 mM PIPES pH 7.0, 100mM NaCl, 300mM sucrose, 3mM MgCl₂). Pre-extraction was required only for visualizing new H3.3-SNAP deposition at damage sites. For CPD staining, DNA was denatured with 0.5 M NaOH for 5 min. Samples were blocked in 5% BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin, Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween before incubation with primary and secondary

antibodies conjugated to Alexa-Fluor dyes (Molecular Probes) (Table S2). Coverslips were mounted in Vectashield medium with DAPI (Vector laboratories).

	Antibody	Species	Dilution	Application	Supplier (reference)
Primary	ASF1A	Rabbit	1:1000	WB	Cell Signaling Technology (2990)
	ASF1B	Rabbit	1:250	WB	Cell Signaling Technology (2902)
	ATF3	Rabbit	1:100/ 1:100	WB/IF	Abcam (ab207434)
		Mouse	1:100	WB	MERCK Millipore (DR1086)
	BrdU	Mouse	1:250	IF	BD Biosciences (555627)
	CABIN1	Rabbit	1:1000	WB	Abcam (ab3349)
	CPD	Mouse	1:1000	IF	Kamiya Biomedical Company (MC-062)
	ERCC6	Rabbit	1:500	WB	Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-25370)
	ERCC6	Rabbit	1:1000	WB	Euromedex (GTX104589)
	HIRA	Mouse	1:200/ 1:100	WB/IF	Active Motif (39557)
	RNAPII	Rabbit	1:500	WB	Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-899)
	RNAPII Ser2-P	Rabbit	1:10000	WB	Abcam (ab5095)
	Tubulin	Mouse	1:10000	WB	Sigma-Aldrich (T9026-.2ML)
	UBN1	Rabbit	1:2000	WB	Abcam (ab101282)
	UBN2	Rabbit	1:1000	WB	Antibodies-online (ABIN5999135)
	Ubiquitin	Mouse	1:200	WB	Eurogentec (MMS-257P-0200)
	VCP	Mouse	1:2000/ 1:1000	WB/IF	Abcam (ab11433)
	XPB	Rabbit	1:400	IF	Santa Cruz Biotechnology (sc-293)
Secondary	Anti-Mouse HRP	Goat	1:10000	WB	Jackson Immunoresearch (115-035-068)
	Anti-Rabbit HRP	Donkey	1:10000	WB	Jackson Immunoresearch (711-035-152)
	Anti-Mouse AlexaFluor 488	Goat	1:10000	IF	Invitrogen (A11029)
	Anti-Rabbit AlexaFluor 594	Goat	1:10000	IF	Invitrogen (A11037)
	Anti-Rabbit IRDye 680RD Conjugated	Goat	1:15000	WB	LI-COR Biosciences (926-68071)
	Anti-Rabbit	Goat	1:15000	WB	LI-COR Biosciences

	IRDye 800CW Conjugated				(926-32211)
	Anti-Mouse IRDye 680RD Conjugated	Goat	1:15000	WB	LI-COR Biosciences (926-68070)
	Anti-Mouse IRDye 800CW Conjugated	Goat	1:15000	WB	LI-COR Biosciences (926-32210)

Table S2: Antibodies

IF: Immunofluorescence; WB: Western-Blot

Image acquisition and analysis

Epifluorescence imaging was performed with a Leica DMI6000 epifluorescence microscope using a Plan-Apochromat 40x/1.4 oil or 63x/1.3 oil objective. Images were captured using a CCD camera (Photometrics) and Metamorph software. Confocal images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope using a Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.4 oil objective and Zen software. Images were analyzed with ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>) and ICY (Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, <http://icy.bioimageanalysis.org/>) softwares.

Flow cytometry

For cell cycle analysis, cells were fixed in ice-cold 70% ethanol before DNA staining with 50 µg/ml propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS containing 0.05% Tween and 0.5 mg/ml RNase A (USB/Affymetrix). The DNA content was analysed by flow cytometry using a BD FACScalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and the cell cycle distribution was estimated with the Watson model on FlowJo software (TreeStar).

Transcription Coupled Repair (TCR) assay

GGR-deficient XP4PA-SV cells were starved overnight in medium containing 0.5% serum to reduce the number of replicating cells. The following day, the cells were subject to local UVC

irradiation at 500 J/m² and incubated with 20 μM 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU, ThermoFisher Scientific) and 1 μM 5-Fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (FUdR, Sigma-Aldrich) for 3.5 hours at 37°C. FUdR decreases endogenous thymidine production thus favoring EdU incorporation. EdU incorporation was revealed with the Click-iT EdU Imaging kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) using Alexa Fluor 594 dye according to manufacturer's instructions. After EdU revelation, cells were fixed and processed for CPD detection by immunofluorescence. Quantification of repair synthesis was performed on Image J software using a custom macro: S phase cells were excluded from the analysis based on pan-nuclear EdU signal and then EdU and CPD intensities were measured at the damage sites (delineated based on CPD signal, with manual adjustment of the detection threshold).

Nascent RNA Imaging

Cells were exposed to global UVC irradiation (10 J/m²) at different time points post siRNA transfection (48 h or 70 h) to be subject to nascent RNA labeling all at the same time (71 h post siRNA). siRNA efficiency was confirmed both at 48 h (time of the first irradiation) and 72 h post-transfection (time of fixation). Cells were incubated in DMEM supplemented with 0.5 mM ethynyl-uridine (EU) for 1 h at 37°C, rinsed in PBS before fixation in 2% paraformaldehyde. EU incorporation was revealed with Click-iT RNA Imaging kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) using Alexa Fluor 594 dye according to manufacturer's instructions. Alternatively, cells were incubated with 4 mM bromouridine (BrU) in conditioned DMEM for 30 min at 37°C, followed by detergent extraction with 0.5% Triton X-100 in CSK buffer and fixation in 2% paraformaldehyde. BrU was revealed by immunofluorescence using an anti-BrdU antibody (Table S2). Coverslips were mounted in Vectashield medium with DAPI (Vector laboratories). The mean fluorescence intensity per

nucleus was obtained using ImageJ software. Nuclear segmentation was based on DAPI staining.

Nascent RNA Bru-seq

Nascent RNA sequencing from UV-irradiated cells was carried out as described⁶⁷. HeLa cells exposed to 10 J/m² UVC were allowed to recover 0, 2, 6, 24 h before incubation with 2 mM bromouridine (BrU) for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were exposed to global UVC irradiation at different time points post siRNA transfection (48 h, 66 h, 70 h or 72 h) to be subject to nascent RNA labeling with BrU all at the same time (72 h post siRNA). Non-irradiated cells were used as controls. The cells were lysed in TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and BrU-containing RNA was isolated as described⁶⁶. cDNA libraries were made from the BrU-labeled RNA using the Illumina TruSeq library kit and sequenced using Illumina HiSeq sequencers at the University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core with 52 bp single-end reads (on average 50 million reads per sample including 70% unique reads). Sequencing and read mapping (unique reads only) were carried out as described using the human genome reference assembly hg19/GRCh37⁶⁶. All primary sequencing data files have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with the accession number GSE151833.

We employed biological scaling normalization⁶⁸ because RNA sequencing data are normalized to total read counts in each sample (RPKM), which is not suited for the detection of global expression changes such as those observed after UVC irradiation. For this, we multiplied all RPKM values by correction factors corresponding to mean nascent RNA amounts per cell nucleus measured by EU staining for each siRNA condition and at each time point post UVC (table in Supplementary Fig. 3b). Only genes with a detectable transcription level in non-irradiated cells (RPKM>0) were analyzed. After biological scaling normalization, we considered as UV-repressed, genes with at least a 2-fold reduction in nascent transcripts

2h30 after UVC irradiation compared to non-irradiated cells in control conditions (siLUC) and as UV-induced, those with at least 2-fold induction in nascent transcripts 30 min after UVC irradiation.

To determine the dependency of UV-repressed genes on HIRA or ERCC6 for transcription recovery, we defined as independent genes those whose transcription recovery 24h30 post UVC in siHIRA/ERCC6 was equal to the transcription recovery observed in siLUC +/-10%. Stimulated genes were those with at most 90% transcription recovery in siHIRA/ERCC6 compared to siLUC, and inhibited genes were those with at least 110% better recovery in siHIRA/ERCC6 compared to siLUC. Gene ontology analyses were performed with GOrilla⁹⁵ (database version Jan 19, 2019) on UV-repressed genes that recover transcription better in the absence of HIRA compared to all UV-repressed genes as background (only common genes from experiments #1 and #2 were included).

Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from cells with TRIzol™ Reagent following manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen) and precipitated in isopropanol. RNA samples were subject to DNA digestion with Turbo DNA-free (Invitrogen) before reverse transcription with Superscript III RT using random primers (200 ng/reaction, Invitrogen). Quantitative PCR reactions were carried out with the indicated exonic primer pairs (Eurofins MWG Operon, 500 nM final concentration, Table 3) and the Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and read in MicroAmp® Fast Optical 96-well plates (Applied Biosystems) using a ABI 7500 Fast detection system (Applied Biosystems). Results were normalized to the amount of the GAPDH housekeeping gene product, a long half-life transcript, whose levels remain fairly stable over 24 h post UVC irradiation.

Designation	Sequence
ATF3_F	5' GGAGTGCCTGCAGAAAGAGT 3'
ATF3_R	5' CCATTCTGAGCCCGGACAAT 3'
CDC20_F	5' AGTACTCTACAGCCAAAAGGC 3'
CDC20_R	5' AGGGAAGGAATGTAACGGCA 3'
GAPDH_F	5' CAAGGCTGTGGGCAAGGT 3'
GAPDH_R	5' GGAAGGCCATGCCAGTGA 3'
GAS1_F	5' GAAACTCCCAACTCGTCTGC 3'
GAS1_R	5' ACCTTCCCTTTCGAGTCCAG 3'
NIPBL_F	5' AGAGCAGAGTGAGAAAGCGG 3'
NIPBL_R	5' TCCTCTTGCTGGTCCATGTC 3'
RAD50_F	5' CATGTTGCCCGTTTGTCAG 3'
RAD50_R	5' TTTCATCACGCCGCTTTTCC 3'

Table 3: qRT-PCR primers

F: forward; R: reverse.

Statistical analyses

Heatmaps were established with R software. Statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism 6. P-values for mean comparisons between two groups were calculated with a Student's t-test with Welch's correction. Multiple comparisons were performed by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test. ATF3 transcript levels relative to siLUC were compared to a theoretical mean of 1 by one-sample t-test. Analyses of transcription recovery 24 h post UVC (EU or BrU incorporation and RT-qPCR assays) were performed by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test. Correlations were evaluated using the Spearman rank test. ns: non-significant, *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$, ***: $p < 0.001$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of our laboratory for stimulating discussions and Claire Francastel, Valérie Mezger and Claire Rougeulle for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank Artur Veloso, Brian Magnuson and Magali Hennion for helping with bioinformatics analyses. We

acknowledge the imaging platform of the Epigenetics and Cell Fate Center. This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC grants ERC-2013-StG-336427 “EpIn” and ERC-2018-CoG-818625 “REMIND”), the French National Research Agency (ANR-12-JSV6-0002-01), the “Who am I?” laboratory of excellence (ANR-11-LABX-0071) funded by the French Government through its “Investments for the Future” program (ANR-11-IDEX-0005-01), EDF Radiobiology program RB 2014-01, the Fondation ARC and France-BioImaging (ANR-10-INSB-04). S.E.P. is an EMBO Young Investigator. J.F. is recipient of a PhD fellowship from University Paris Diderot.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D.B., J.F. and S.E.P. designed and performed experiments with technical assistance from O.C., and analyzed the data. M.P., M.L. and S.E.P. performed Bru-seq experiments and bioinformatic analyses. S.E.P. supervised the project and wrote the manuscript with inputs from all authors.

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

1. Semrau, S. & van Oudenaarden, A. Studying lineage decision-making in vitro: emerging concepts and novel tools. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **31**, 317–345 (2015).
2. Giono, L. E. *et al.* The RNA Response to DNA Damage. *J. Mol. Biol.* **428**, 2636–2651 (2016).
3. Capozzo, I., Iannelli, F., Francia, S. & d'Adda di Fagagna, F. Express or repress? The transcriptional dilemma of damaged chromatin. *FEBS J.* **284**, 2133–2147 (2017).
4. Puget, N., Miller, K. M. & Legube, G. Non-canonical DNA/RNA structures during Transcription-Coupled Double-Strand Break Repair: Roadblocks or Bona fide repair intermediates? *DNA Repair (Amst)* **81**, 102661 (2019).
5. Caron, P., van der Linden, J. & van Attikum, H. Bon voyage: A transcriptional journey around DNA breaks. *DNA Repair (Amst)* **82**, 102686 (2019).
6. Brueckner, F., Hennecke, U., Carell, T. & Cramer, P. CPD damage recognition by

- transcribing RNA polymerase II. *Science* **315**, 859–862 (2007).
7. Donahue, B. A., Yin, S., Taylor, J. S., Reines, D. & Hanawalt, P. C. Transcript cleavage by RNA polymerase II arrested by a cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer in the DNA template. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **91**, 8502–8506 (1994).
8. Gregersen, L. H. & Svejstrup, J. Q. The Cellular Response to Transcription-Blocking DNA Damage. *Trends Biochem Sci* **43**, 327–341 (2018).
9. Williamson, L. *et al.* UV Irradiation Induces a Non-coding RNA that Functionally Opposes the Protein Encoded by the Same Gene. *Cell* **168**, 843–855.e13 (2017).
10. Moné, M. J. *et al.* Local UV-induced DNA damage in cell nuclei results in local transcription inhibition. *EMBO Rep* **2**, 1013–1017 (2001).
11. Geijer, M. E. & Marteijn, J. A. What happens at the lesion does not stay at the lesion: Transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair and the effects of DNA damage on transcription in cis and trans. *DNA Repair (Amst)* **71**, 56–68 (2018).
12. Gyenis, A. *et al.* UVB induces a genome-wide acting negative regulatory mechanism that operates at the level of transcription initiation in human cells. *PLoS Genetics* **10**, e1004483 (2014).
13. Proietti-de-Santis, L., Drané, P. & Egly, J.-M. Cockayne syndrome B protein regulates the transcriptional program after UV irradiation. *EMBO J* **25**, 1915–1923 (2006).
14. Rockx, D. A. *et al.* UV-induced inhibition of transcription involves repression of transcription initiation and phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**, 10503–10508 (2000).
15. Vermeulen, W. & Fousteri, M. Mammalian transcription-coupled excision repair. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* **5**, a012625 (2013).
16. Spivak, G. Transcription-coupled repair: an update. *Arch. Toxicol.* **90**, 2583–2594 (2016).
17. Mayne, L. V. & Lehmann, A. R. Failure of RNA synthesis to recover after UV irradiation: an early defect in cells from individuals with Cockayne's syndrome and xeroderma pigmentosum. *Cancer Res* **42**, 1473–1478 (1982).
18. Chiou, Y.-Y., Hu, J., Sancar, A. & Selby, C. P. RNA polymerase II is released from the DNA template during transcription-coupled repair in mammalian cells. *J Biol Chem.* **293**, 2476–2486 (2017).
19. Mullenders, L. DNA damage mediated transcription arrest: Step back to go forward. *DNA Repair (Amst)* **36**, 28–35 (2015).
20. Wilson, M. D., Harreman, M. & Svejstrup, J. Q. Ubiquitylation and degradation of elongating RNA polymerase II: the last resort. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1829**, 151–157 (2013).
21. Nakazawa, Y. *et al.* Ubiquitination of DNA Damage-Stalled RNAPII Promotes Transcription-Coupled Repair. *Cell* **180**, 1228–1244 (2020).
22. Tufegdžić Vidaković, A. *et al.* Regulation of the RNAPII Pool Is Integral to the DNA Damage Response. *Cell* **180**, 1245–1261 (2020).
23. van den Boom, J. & Meyer, H. VCP/p97-Mediated Unfolding as a Principle in Protein Homeostasis and Signaling. *Mol Cell* **69**, 182–194 (2017).
24. Torrecilla, I., Oehler, J. & Ramadan, K. The role of ubiquitin-dependent segregase p97 (VCP or Cdc48) in chromatin dynamics after DNA double strand breaks. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond. B Biol Sci.* **372** (2017).
25. Verma, R., Oania, R., Fang, R., Smith, G. T. & Deshaies, R. J. Cdc48/p97 mediates UV-dependent turnover of RNA Pol II. *Mol Cell* **41**, 82–92 (2011).
26. He, J., Zhu, Q., Wani, G. & Wani, A. A. UV-induced proteolysis of RNA polymerase II is mediated by VCP/p97 segregase and timely orchestration by Cockayne syndrome B protein. *Oncotarget* **8**, 11004–11019 (2017).

27. Oksenyich, V. *et al.* Histone methyltransferase DOT1L drives recovery of gene expression after a genotoxic attack. *PLoS Genetics* **9**, e1003611 (2013).
28. Adam, S., Polo, S. E. & Almouzni, G. Transcription recovery after DNA damage requires chromatin priming by the H3.3 histone chaperone HIRA. *Cell* **155**, 94–106 (2013).
29. Dinant, C. *et al.* Enhanced chromatin dynamics by FACT promotes transcriptional restart after UV-induced DNA damage. *Mol Cell* **51**, 469–479 (2013).
30. Epanchintsev, A. *et al.* Cockayne's Syndrome A and B Proteins Regulate Transcription Arrest after Genotoxic Stress by Promoting ATF3 Degradation. *Mol Cell* **68**, 1054–1066.e6 (2017).
31. Borisova, M. E. *et al.* p38-MK2 signaling axis regulates RNA metabolism after UV-light-induced DNA damage. *Nat Commun* **9**, 1017 (2018).
32. Jensen, A. & Mullenders, L. H. F. Transcription factor IIS impacts UV-inhibited transcription. *DNA Repair (Amst)* **9**, 1142–1150 (2010).
33. Donnio, L.-M., Lagarou, A., Sueur, G., Mari, P.-O. & Giglia-Mari, G. CSB-dependent CDK9 degradation and RNA Polymerase II phosphorylation during Transcription Coupled Repair. *Mol Cell Biol* **39**, e00225-18 (2019).
34. Mourgues, S. *et al.* ELL, a novel TFIIH partner, is involved in transcription restart after DNA repair. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 17927–17932 (2013).
35. Pines, A. *et al.* TRiC controls transcription resumption after UV damage by regulating Cockayne syndrome protein A. *Nat Commun* **9**, 1040 (2018).
36. Venkatesh, S. & Workman, J. L. Histone exchange, chromatin structure and the regulation of transcription. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **16**, 178–189 (2015).
37. Adam, S. & Polo, S. E. Blurring the line between the DNA damage response and transcription: the importance of chromatin dynamics. *Exp Cell Res* **329**, 148–153 (2014).
38. Hammond, C. M., Strømme, C. B., Huang, H., Patel, D. J. & Groth, A. Histone chaperone networks shaping chromatin function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **18**, 141–158 (2017).
39. Bannister, A. J. & Kouzarides, T. Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. *Cell Res* **21**, 381–395 (2011).
40. Boeing, S. *et al.* Multiomic Analysis of the UV-Induced DNA Damage Response. *Cell Rep* **15**, 1597–1610 (2016).
41. Ricketts, M. D. & Marmorstein, R. A Molecular Prospective for HIRA Complex Assembly and H3.3-Specific Histone Chaperone Function. *J. Mol. Biol.* **429**, 1924–1933 (2016).
42. Tagami, H., Ray-Gallet, D., Almouzni, G. & Nakatani, Y. Histone H3.1 and H3.3 complexes mediate nucleosome assembly pathways dependent or independent of DNA synthesis. *Cell* **116**, 51–61 (2004).
43. Ray-Gallet, D. *et al.* Dynamics of histone h3 deposition in vivo reveal a nucleosome gap-filling mechanism for h3.3 to maintain chromatin integrity. *Mol Cell* **44**, 928–941 (2011).
44. Ray-Gallet, D. *et al.* HIRA is critical for a nucleosome assembly pathway independent of DNA synthesis. *Mol Cell* **9**, 1091–1100 (2002).
45. Goldberg, A. D. *et al.* Distinct factors control histone variant H3.3 localization at specific genomic regions. *Cell* **140**, 678–691 (2010).
46. Zhang, Y. W. *et al.* Acetylation Enhances TET2 Function in Protecting against Abnormal DNA Methylation during Oxidative Stress. *Mol Cell* **65**, 323–335 (2017).
47. Jullien, J. *et al.* HIRA dependent H3.3 deposition is required for transcriptional reprogramming following nuclear transfer to *Xenopus* oocytes. *Epigenetics Chromatin*

- 5, 17 (2012).
48. Fang, H.-T. *et al.* Global H3.3 dynamic deposition defines its bimodal role in cell fate transition. **9**, 1537 (2018).
49. Nashun, B. *et al.* Continuous Histone Replacement by Hira Is Essential for Normal Transcriptional Regulation and De Novo DNA Methylation during Mouse Oogenesis. *Mol Cell* **60**, 611–625 (2015).
50. Gomes, A. P. *et al.* Dynamic Incorporation of Histone H3 Variants into Chromatin Is Essential for Acquisition of Aggressive Traits and Metastatic Colonization. *Cancer Cell* **36**, 402–417 (2019).
51. Lin, C.-J., Koh, F. M., Wong, P., Conti, M. & Ramalho-Santos, M. Hira-mediated H3.3 incorporation is required for DNA replication and ribosomal RNA transcription in the mouse zygote. *Dev. Cell* **30**, 268–279 (2014).
52. Yang, J.-H. *et al.* Myogenic transcriptional activation of MyoD mediated by replication-independent histone deposition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 85–90 (2011).
53. Dutta, D. *et al.* Regulation of angiogenesis by histone chaperone HIRA-mediated incorporation of lysine 56-acetylated histone H3.3 at chromatin domains of endothelial genes. *J Biol Chem.* **285**, 41567–41577 (2010).
54. Placek, B. J. *et al.* The histone variant H3.3 regulates gene expression during lytic infection with herpes simplex virus type 1. *J. Virol.* **83**, 1416–1421 (2009).
55. Banaszynski, L. A. *et al.* Hira-dependent histone H3.3 deposition facilitates PRC2 recruitment at developmental loci in ES cells. *Cell* **155**, 107–120 (2013).
56. Lamour, V. *et al.* A human homolog of the *S. cerevisiae* HIR1 and HIR2 transcriptional repressors cloned from the DiGeorge syndrome critical region. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **4**, 791–799 (1995).
57. Banumathy, G. *et al.* Human UBN1 is an ortholog of yeast Hpc2p and has an essential role in the HIRA/ASF1a chromatin-remodeling pathway in senescent cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **29**, 758–770 (2009).
58. Xiong, C. *et al.* UBN1/2 of HIRA complex is responsible for recognition and deposition of H3.3 at cis-regulatory elements of genes in mouse ES cells. *BMC Biol.* **16**, 110 (2018).
59. Rai, T. S. *et al.* Human CABIN1 is a functional member of the human HIRA/UBN1/ASF1a histone H3.3 chaperone complex. *Mol Cell Biol* **31**, 4107–4118 (2011).
60. Mello, J. A. *et al.* Human Asf1 and CAF-1 interact and synergize in a repair-coupled nucleosome assembly pathway. *EMBO Rep* **3**, 329–334 (2002).
61. Ricketts, M. D. *et al.* Ubinuclein-1 confers histone H3.3-specific-binding by the HIRA histone chaperone complex. *Nat Commun* **6**, 7711 (2015).
62. Wienholz, F. *et al.* FACT subunit Spt16 controls UVSSA recruitment to lesion-stalled RNA Pol II and stimulates TC-NER. *Nucl Acids Res.* **47**, 4011–4025 (2019).
63. Puumalainen, M.-R. *et al.* Chromatin retention of DNA damage sensors DDB2 and XPC through loss of p97 segregase causes genotoxicity. *Nat Commun.* **5**, 3695 (2014).
64. Ratner, J. N., Balasubramanian, B., Corden, J., Warren, S. L. & Bregman, D. B. Ultraviolet radiation-induced ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of the large subunit of RNA polymerase II. Implications for transcription-coupled DNA repair. *J Biol Chem.* **273**, 5184–5189 (1998).
65. Anindya, R., Aygün, O. & Svejstrup, J. Q. Damage-Induced Ubiquitylation of Human RNA Polymerase II by the Ubiquitin Ligase Nedd4, but Not Cockayne Syndrome Proteins or BRCA1. *Mol Cell* **28**, 386–397 (2007).
66. Paulsen, M. T. *et al.* Use of Bru-Seq and BruChase-Seq for genome-wide assessment

- of the synthesis and stability of RNA. *Methods* **67**, 45–54 (2014).
67. Andrade-Lima, L. C., Veloso, A., Paulsen, M. T., Menck, C. F. M. & Ljungman, M. DNA repair and recovery of RNA synthesis following exposure to ultraviolet light are delayed in long genes. *Nucl Acids Res.* **43**, 2744–2756 (2015).
68. Aanes, H. *et al.* Normalization of RNA-sequencing data from samples with varying mRNA levels. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e89158 (2014).
69. Devary, Y., Gottlieb, R. A., Lau, L. F. & Karin, M. Rapid and preferential activation of the c-jun gene during the mammalian UV response. *Mol Cell Biol.* **11**, 2804–2811 (1991).
70. McKay, B. C. *et al.* Regulation of ultraviolet light-induced gene expression by gene size. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**, 6582–6586 (2004).
71. Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. **25**, 25–29 (2000).
72. Mahajan, K., Fang, B., Koomen, J. M. & Mahajan, N. P. H2B Tyr37 phosphorylation suppresses expression of replication-dependent core histone genes. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19**, 930–937 (2012).
73. Nelson, D. M. *et al.* Coupling of DNA synthesis and histone synthesis in S phase independent of cyclin/cdk2 activity. *Mol Cell Biol.* **22**, 7459–7472 (2002).
74. Sherwood, P. W., Tsang, S. V. & Osley, M. A. Characterization of HIR1 and HIR2, two genes required for regulation of histone gene transcription in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Cell Biol.* **13**, 28–38 (1993).
75. Tani, H. *et al.* Genome-wide determination of RNA stability reveals hundreds of short-lived noncoding transcripts in mammals. *Genome Res.* **22**, 947–956 (2012).
76. Jiang, Y. *et al.* Nucleotide excision repair hotspots of UV-induced DNA damage in the human genome. *BioRxiv* 2020.04.16.045369 (2020).
77. Hu, J., Adebali, O., Adar, S. & Sancar, A. Dynamic maps of UV damage formation and repair for the human genome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **114**, 6758–6763 (2017).
78. Premi, S. *et al.* Genomic sites hypersensitive to ultraviolet radiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 24196–24205 (2019).
79. van Zeeland, A. A., Smith, C. A. & Hanawalt, P. C. Sensitive determination of pyrimidine dimers in DNA of UV-irradiated mammalian cells. Introduction of T4 endonuclease V into frozen and thawed cells. *Mutat Res* **82**, 173–189 (1981).
80. Kristensen, U. *et al.* Regulatory interplay of Cockayne syndrome B ATPase and stress-response gene ATF3 following genotoxic stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, E2261–70 (2013).
81. Caputo, M. *et al.* CSB ablation induced apoptosis is mediated by increased endoplasmic reticulum stress response. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0172399 (2017).
82. Pchelintsev, N. A. *et al.* Placing the HIRA histone chaperone complex in the chromatin landscape. *Cell Rep* **3**, 1012–1019 (2013).
83. Tang, Y. *et al.* Structure of a human ASF1a-HIRA complex and insights into specificity of histone chaperone complex assembly. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **13**, 921–929 (2006).
84. Zhang, R. *et al.* Formation of MacroH2A-containing senescence-associated heterochromatin foci and senescence driven by ASF1a and HIRA. *Dev. Cell* **8**, 19–30 (2005).
85. Marnef, A., Cohen, S. & Legube, G. Transcription-Coupled DNA Double-Strand Break Repair: Active Genes Need Special Care. *J. Mol. Biol.* **429**, 1277–1288 (2017).
86. Anderson, H. E. *et al.* Silencing mediated by the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* HIRA complex is dependent upon the Hpc2-like protein, Hip4. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e13488 (2010).
87. Ray-Gallet, D. *et al.* Functional activity of the H3.3 histone chaperone complex HIRA

- requires trimerization of the HIRA subunit. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3103 (2018).
88. Wade, J. T. & Grainger, D. C. Spurious transcription and its impact on cell function. *Transcription* **9**, 182–189 (2018).
89. Torné, J. *et al.* Two HIRA-dependent pathways mediate H3.3 de novo deposition and recycling during transcription. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* (2020). doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0492-7
90. Chujo, M., Tarumoto, Y., Miyatake, K., Nishida, E. & Ishikawa, F. HIRA, a conserved histone chaperone plays an essential role in low-dose stress response via transcriptional stimulation in fission yeast. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 23440–23450 (2012).
91. Zhu, Z. *et al.* PHB Associates with the HIRA Complex to Control an Epigenetic-Metabolic Circuit in Human ESCs. *Cell Stem Cell* **20**, 274–289.e7 (2017).
92. Duc, C. *et al.* The histone chaperone complex HIR maintains nucleosome occupancy and counterbalances impaired histone deposition in CAF-1 complex mutants. *Plant J* **81**, 707–722 (2015).
93. Lee, K. Y., Im, J.-S., Shibata, E. & Dutta, A. ASF1a Promotes Non-homologous End Joining Repair by Facilitating Phosphorylation of MDC1 by ATM at Double-Strand Breaks. *Mol Cell* **68**, 61–75.e5 (2017).
94. Dunleavy, E. M., Almouzni, G. & Karpen, G. H. H3.3 is deposited at centromeres in S phase as a placeholder for newly assembled CENP-A in G₁ phase. *nucleus* **2**, 146–157 (2011).
95. Eden, E., Navon, R., Steinfeld, I., Lipson, D. & Yakhini, Z. GOrilla: a tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. *BMC bioinformatics* **10**, 48 (2009).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1: HIRA-mediated transcription restart post UVC damage is independent of new H3.3 deposition.

a, Fluorescence images showing nascent transcripts labeled with ethynyl-uridine (EU) in unirradiated (0h) or UVC-irradiated (2h, 24h) HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Knock-down efficiencies are controlled by western blot (Tubulin, loading control). The scheme on the right represents the HIRA complex chaperoning H3.3-H4. The graph shows quantification of nascent transcript levels post UVC relative to unirradiated cells using the same color code as the scheme for HIRA complex subunits. **b-c**, New H3.3 accumulation at UVC damage sites (marked by CPD immunostaining) analyzed 2h post local UVC irradiation in U2OS H3.3-SNAP cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). The scatter plots show new H3.3 levels at UV sites (bars, mean; error bars s.d. from at least 86 cells scored in three independent experiments). siRNA efficiencies are controlled by western blot for HIRA complex subunits (Tubulin, loading control), and by new H3.3-SNAP labeling for H3.3. **d**, Nascent transcript levels analyzed by ethynyl-uridine incorporation (EU) as in (a). Error bars on the line graphs, s.d. from four (a) and three (d) independent experiments scoring at least 81 cells per experiment. Scale bars, 10 μ m.

Fig. 2: HIRA does not contribute to Transcription-Coupled Repair

a, Schematic representation of the TCR assay performed in GGR-deficient fibroblasts (XPC-null). **b-c**, TCR assay in cells treated with (b) the transcription inhibitor flavopiridol (FLV; DMSO, vehicle) or (c) the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). EdU marks repair synthesis. Knock-down efficiencies are controlled by western blot (black arrowhead, full-length ERCC6; white arrowhead, ERCC6 splice variant; Tubulin, loading control). Transcriptional activity in each condition is monitored by EU staining of nascent transcripts. Error bars on

scatter plots represent s.d. from at least 53 UV damage sites scored in a representative experiment out of three. a.u., arbitrary units. Scale bars, 10 μ m. **d**, Nascent transcript levels analyzed by EU incorporation in UVC-irradiated GGR-deficient fibroblasts treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Error bars on the line graph represent s.d. from two independent experiments (at least 50 cells were scored in each condition).

Fig. 3: Functional interplay between HIRA and VCP at UV-damaged loci

a-b, HIRA recruitment to UVC damage sites analyzed by immunostaining 20 min after local UVC irradiation in U2OS cells treated with (a) the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control) or with (b) the VCP inhibitor NMS-873 (DMSO, vehicle). VCP loss-of-function is controlled by western-blot, with an accumulation of ubiquitylated proteins (Tubulin, loading control). Each scatter plot shows data from a representative experiment (bars, mean; error bars, s.d. from at least 95 cells). Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. a.u., arbitrary units. Scale bars, 10 μ m. **c-d**, Levels of elongating RNAPII (RNAPII Ser2-P) monitored by western-blot at the indicated time points post UVC irradiation in HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control; black arrowhead, ERCC6 full-length; white arrowhead, ERCC6 splice variant; Tubulin, loading control). Quantification of the decrease in RNAPII Ser2-P levels 8 h post UVC is shown on the graphs (total protein stain was used for normalization and results are shown relative to unirradiated cells). Error bars represent s.d. from three independent experiments.

Fig. 4: HIRA promotes transcription recovery at UV-repressed genes genome-wide.

a, Schematic representation of the Bru-seq procedure. **b**, Genome-wide analysis of nascent transcription post UVC irradiation in HeLa cells by Bru-seq with biological scaling normalization (three independent experiments, siLUC treatment). Only genes with detectable

transcription in control conditions (RPKM>0) were analyzed. Nascent transcript levels post UVC irradiation normalized to before damage and averaged over n genes are presented on the graphs. The Venn diagram shows the overlap between UV-repressed genes analyzed in three independent experiments. **c**, Nascent transcript levels post UVC irradiation normalized to before damage in HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Only UV-repressed genes are represented. The pie chart shows UV-repressed genes as a function of their dependency on HIRA for transcription recovery. **d**, Heatmap of the data shown in (c). Each lane represents one gene. Genes with RPKM=0 in one of the conditions ($n=778$) were excluded. **e**, Nascent transcript levels post UVC irradiation normalized to before damage as in (c). Long and short genes were discriminated based on median gene length (48 667 bp). Cut-off for low and high gene expression is the median RPKM (0.279766). The distinction between protein-coding and non-coding genes is based on Ensembl and Refseq gene annotations. n , number of genes.

Fig. 5: The impact of HIRA on transcription recovery is not restricted to UV-damaged genes

a, Nascent transcript levels post UVC irradiation normalized to before damage analyzed by Bru-seq in HeLa cells focusing on UV-repressed genes (error bars, s.d. from three independent experiments, siLUC treatment). Long and short genes were discriminated based on median gene length (48 667 bp). The right graph shows a zoom on early time points. **b**, Average damage load per UV-repressed gene estimated based gene length considering that 10 J/m^2 UVC generates 1 lesion/12 kb on the transcribed strand (dotted line = 1 UV lesion/gene). UV-repressed genes were partitioned into four quartiles according to their length (left) or into two categories based on repression kinetics (right). Fast repression was defined as at least 2-fold transcription inhibition detectable already 30 min post UVC, while slow repression was

observed only 2h30 post UVC. Fast and slow repressed genes represent 57% and 43% of UV-repressed genes, respectively. Data from three independent Bru-seq experiments (siLUC treatment). **c**, Nascent transcript levels post UVC irradiation normalized to before damage in HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control) focusing on the indicated subpopulations of UV-repressed genes. Data are from Bru-seq experiment #1. **d**, Heatmap of the data shown in (c, left panel) focusing on short genes (≤ 9.6 kb), ranked by gene length. Genes with RPKM=0 in one of the conditions (n=531) were removed from the analysis. **e**, Chart showing the fraction of genes that require HIRA for transcription recovery vs. the fraction of genes expected to be damaged among UV-repressed genes shorter than 9.6 kb. Data are from Bru-seq experiment #1.

Fig. 6: HIRA promotes transcription recovery after UVC damage through ATF3 downregulation

a, d, Western-blot analysis of ATF3 protein levels at the indicated time points post UVC irradiation in HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control; black arrowhead, ERCC6 full-length; white arrowhead, ERCC6 splice variant; Tubulin, loading control). Dotted lines on the ATF3 panels delineate different siRNA conditions on the same exposure. **b, e**, ATF3 nuclear levels analyzed by immunofluorescence at the indicated time points post UVC irradiation in HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Knock-down efficiencies are controlled by western-blot (Tubulin, loading control; for panel b, refer to Supplementary Fig. 5d). The scatter plots show ATF3 levels in cell nuclei (bars, mean; error bars, s.d. from at least 94 cells). Similar results were obtained in two (b) and three (e) independent experiments. a.u., arbitrary units. **c, f**, ATF3 transcript levels (normalized to GAPDH) analyzed by RT-qPCR 24h post UVC in HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Results are presented relative to siLUC (error bars, s.d. from at

least three independent experiments). **g**, Nascent transcript levels analyzed by bromo-uridine (BrU) incorporation in UVC-irradiated HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Error bars on the graph represent s.e.m. from six independent experiments, scoring at least 79 cells per experiment. siRNA efficiencies are controlled by western blot in non-irradiated cells (Tubulin, loading control) and by ATF3 immunofluorescence 24h post UVC irradiation as shown on the scatter plot (bars, mean; error bars, s.d. from at least 117 cells; similar results were obtained in three independent experiments). **h**, New H3.3 accumulation at UVC damage sites (marked by CPD immunostaining) analyzed 2 h post local UVC irradiation in U2OS H3.3-SNAP cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Knock-down efficiencies are controlled by western blot (Tubulin, loading control). New H3.3 levels at UV sites are shown on the scatter plot (bars, mean; error bars, s.d. from at least 193 cells scored in three independent experiments). a.u., arbitrary units. Scale bars, 10 μ m.

Fig. 7: HIRA promotes transcription recovery after UVC damage through UBN2 stabilization independently of the ATF3 pathway

a, New H3.3 accumulation at UVC damage sites (marked by CPD immunostaining) analyzed 2h post local UVC irradiation in U2OS H3.3-SNAP cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). siRNA efficiencies are controlled by western blot (Tubulin, loading control). The scatter plots show new H3.3 levels at UV sites (bars, mean; error bars s.d. from at least 86 cells scored in three independent experiments). The scheme on the right represents the HIRA complex with the alternative H3.3 chaperone subunits UBN1 and UBN2. Scale bar, 10 μ m. **b**, Nascent transcript levels analyzed by bromo-uridine (BrU) incorporation in UVC-irradiated HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Error bars on the graph, s.d. from three independent experiments scoring at least 81 cells per experiment. **c**, ATF3 nuclear levels analyzed by immunofluorescence at the indicated time points post UVC

irradiation in HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (siLUC, control). Error bars on the scatter plot, s.d. from at least 94 cells. Similar results were obtained in three independent experiments. a.u., arbitrary units. **d-e**, Western-blot analysis of UBN2 levels in HeLa cells treated with the indicated siRNAs (Tubulin, loading control). **f**, Model for HIRA function in transcription recovery post UVC damage. Early after UVC damage, the HIRA complex (red) is recruited by VCP (green) to UV-damaged chromatin where it mediates the deposition of new H3.3 histones (purple). This local action of the HIRA complex at UV-damaged loci does not contribute to transcription restart, which most likely relies on the release of UV-stalled RNAPII. The HIRA subunit controls a later and broader transcriptional response to UV damage, which is not restricted to genes harboring UV lesions. HIRA coordinates with ASF1B to stabilize UBN2 and to reduce the expression of the transcription repressor ATF3, both pathways independently contributing to transcription restart.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7