SdhA interferes with disruption of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole by hijacking the OCRL phosphatase

Won Young Choi¹, Elizabeth A. Creasey^{1,3}, Martin Lowe² and Ralph R. Isberg^{1,4}

¹Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Tufts University School of Medicine, 150 Harrison Ave., Boston, MA 02111, USA.

²School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PT, United Kingdom

³Current Address: Center for Computational and Integrative Biology, MGH, Boston, MA, USA

⁴Correspondence: Ralph R. Isberg, Tufts University School of Medicine, 150 Harrison Ave., Boston, MA 02111, United States, email: ralph.isberg@tufts.edu, Phone: 617-636-3993

26 **Summary**

27 *Legionella pneumophila* grows intracellularly within a replication vacuole via action of
 28 Icm/Dot-secreted proteins. One such protein, SdhA, maintains the integrity of the vacuolar
 29 membrane, thereby preventing cytoplasmic degradation of bacteria. We show here that SdhA
 30 binds and blocks the action of OCRL (OculoCerebroRenal syndrome of Lowe), an inositol 5-
 31 phosphatase pivotal for controlling endosomal dynamics. OCRL depletion resulted in enhanced
 32 vacuole integrity and intracellular growth of a *sdhA* mutant, consistent with OCRL participating
 33 in vacuole disruption. Overexpressed SdhA altered OCRL function, enlarging endosomes,
 34 driving endosomal accumulation of PI(4,5)P₂, and interfering with endosomal trafficking. SdhA
 35 interrupted Rab GTPase-OCRL interactions by binding to the OCRL ASH domain, without
 36 directly altering OCRL 5-phosphatase activity. The *Legionella* vacuole encompassing the *sdhA*
 37 mutant accumulated OCRL and endosomal antigen EEA1, consistent with SdhA blocking
 38 accumulation of OCRL-containing endosomal vesicles. Therefore, SdhA hijacking of OCRL is
 39 associated with blocking trafficking events that disrupt the pathogen vacuole.

40

Introduction

Legionella pneumophila is the causative agent of the potentially fatal Legionnaire's disease, growing within alveolar macrophages as a central step in its pathogenesis (Copenhaver et al., 2014; Nash et al., 1984). As an environmental bacterium, the primary selective force for intracellular growth is its ability to infect amoebae, which can contaminate a variety of plumbing and cooling systems that act as disease reservoirs (Muder et al., 1986; Rowbotham, 1980). Human infection occurs by accidental inhalation or aspiration of contaminated aerosolized water followed by intracellular growth of *Legionella* in alveolar macrophages (Horwitz and Silverstein, 1980).

The intracellular growth of *L. pneumophila* depends on the construction of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV). Once internalized, the bacterium translocates about 300 bacterial proteins into the host via the Icm/Dot Type IV secretion system (T4SS) (Huang et al., 2011; Luo and Isberg, 2004; Zhu et al., 2011). The secretion of bacterial effector proteins into the host cell allows hijacking of host membrane trafficking pathways to remodel the LCV into a membranous compartment that supports intracellular replication (Berger and Isberg, 1993; Segal, 2013; Segal and Shuman, 1999). In contrast to phagocytic uptake of nonpathogens, which is characterized by interactions with the endocytic pathway and subsequent targeting to lysosomal compartments, the LCV recruits components of the early secretory pathway, allowing direct interaction with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Clemens et al., 2000; Kagan and Roy, 2002; Swanson and Isberg, 1995; Tilney et al., 2001). This ER-encompassed compartment, protected from lysosomal degradation, also sequesters the bacterium from the cytoplasmic innate immune sensing system in mammalian hosts. The extreme restriction of bacteria that enter the mammalian cell cytosol was first demonstrated by the behavior of *L. pneumophila sdhA* mutants,

which have disrupted vacuoles that result in bacterial exposure to the host cytosol (Aachoui et al., 2013; Creasey and Isberg, 2012; Ge et al., 2012).

The SdhA protein is a T4SS substrate essential for intracellular growth of *L. pneumophila* in primary macrophages (Laguna et al., 2006). Release of bacteria into the mammalian cytosol in the absence of SdhA occurs via an unknown pathway, and results in recognition by cytosol-localized interferon (IFN)-stimulated anti-microbial GBPs (Guanylate Binding Proteins) leading to bacterial degradation (Liu et al., 2018; Pilla et al., 2014). The degraded bacteria release bacterial components such as LPS and DNA, which in turn activate AIM2, caspase-11, and caspase-1 inflammasomes causing pyroptotic death of the infected host cells (Creasey and Isberg, 2012; Ge et al., 2012; Pilla et al., 2014). Therefore, even if the vacuole avoids entry into the lysosomal pathway, disruption of the vacuole can lead to cytosolic bacterial degradation. RNAi depletion of Rab5, Rab11, and Rab8, all GTPases involved in endocytic and recycling pathways, partially reverses loss of vacuole integrity observed in *sdhA* mutants. Consistent with these results, the absence of SdhA results in LCV accumulation of EEA1 and Rab11FIP1, downstream effectors of these GTPases (Anand et al., 2020; Christoforidis et al., 1999; Hales et al., 2001). Therefore, it is likely that SdhA interferes with components of the early endocytic network that are likely to disrupt vacuole integrity.

One protein involved in controlling the identities of compartments associated with the endocytic network is OCRL (OculoCerebroRenal syndrome of Lowe), a polyphosphoinositide-5-phosphatase that regulates the dynamics of early and recycling endosomes as well as autophagosome-lysosomal fusion (De Matteis et al., 2017; Sharma et al., 2015). The protein has an N-terminal pleckstrin-homology (PH) domain (Mao et al., 2009), a central 5-phosphatase catalytic core (Tsujiyama et al., 2001), a C-terminal ASH (ASPM–SPD2–Hydin) domain

(Erdmann et al., 2007; McCrea et al., 2008), and a catalytically inactive RhoGAP (RhoGTPase activating protein)-like domain (Pirruccello and De Camilli, 2012). The C-terminal RhoGAP-like domain interacts with Rho family GTPases allowing recruitment to actin-rich membrane regions (Faucherre et al., 2005; Faucherre et al., 2003). The ASH/RhoGAP domain of OCRL interacts with the endocytic proteins APPL1 and Ses1 (also called IPIP27), associated with endocytosis and receptor recycling, respectively (Diggins and Webb, 2017; Noakes et al., 2011; Swan et al., 2010). Among the proteins that interact with OCRL, the Rab GTPases, which bind to the ASH domain, are most numerous. Interactions with Rab5 and Rab6 target OCRL to endosomes and the TGN (trans-Golgi network), respectively (Hyvola et al., 2006). Loss of OCRL function increases the amount of PI(4,5)P₂ on endosomes impairing membrane trafficking events such as endocytosis/recycling of multiple classes of receptors and M6PR retrograde trafficking (Vicinanza et al., 2011).

Here we demonstrate that SdhA prevents endocytic and recycling vesicles from merging with the LCV by targeting OCRL. We found that *sdhA* mutants accumulate high levels of endocytic/recycling vesicles on the vacuole in an OCRL-dependent manner. In the process, SdhA interrupts OCRL interactions with Rab GTPases.

Results

SdhA contains multiple eukaryotic protein binding motifs

In search of host targets of SdhA, we found that its amino acid sequence predicted that the protein was connected to control of host cell endocytic dynamics. Sequence analysis found two putative “clathrin box” consensus sequences (Table S1), but also multiple endocytic sorting motifs predicted to bind adaptor complexes AP1, AP2, and AP3 (Table S1) (Edeling et al., 2006), as well as an OCRL-binding F&H motif (FxxxHxxØ) (Ø-bulky hydrophobic). This OCRL-binding motif is found in other endocytosis-associated proteins such as APPL1, Ses1, and Ses2 (latter two also called IPIP27A and IPIP27B; Fig. 1A) (Swan et al., 2010; Erdmann et al., 2007). Interestingly, SdhA and OCRL have similar arrangements of motifs predicting endocytic pathway association (Ungewickell et al., 2004; Mao et al., 2009). Given the presence of a potential OCRL binding site and the presence of an array of sites in SdhA that would direct it towards endocytic transport intermediates, we reasoned that SdhA might associate with OCRL (Fig. 1A). Such association could modulate endocytic processes that threaten the integrity of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV) (Anand et al., 2020).

SdhA interacts with OCRL

To determine if SdhA binds OCRL, we first performed co-immunoprecipitation (IP) with extracts of HEK cells transfected with HA-mCherry-tagged SdhA. The tagged SdhA construct quantitatively coprecipitated OCRL as well as the AP complex beta subunit when compared to mCherry alone, although the input level of HA-mCherry-SdhA was much lower relative to the mCherry-HA control (Fig. 1B). Normalizing for relative abundance of the HA tagged proteins present after immunoprecipitation compared to the input samples, association of OCRL with

HA-mCherry-SdhA was approximately 27.5-fold above the control (Fig. 1B bottom left). SdhA also pulled down the AP complex beta subunit with similar efficiency (29.5-fold above control), albeit with higher nonspecific binding (Fig. 1B bottom right). Binding to clathrin was inconclusive due to nonspecific binding to mCherry (data not shown). Based on the strong interaction with OCRL, and potential for SdhA targeting an important regulatory protein involved in multiple endocytic paths, we dissected the interface between these two proteins further, and investigated its biological significance.

The interaction between SdhA and OCRL was tested using GST pull-down experiments. GST-tagged SdhA fragments were coupled to glutathione beads and incubated with extracts of HEK cells expressing either GFP-tagged full length OCRL or GFP. To this end, SdhA fragments containing either N-terminal motifs (Clathrin Box and AP complex binding Motif; CBM1) or C-terminal motifs (Clathrin Box and F&H Motif; CBM2) were tested for binding (Fig. 1A; CBM1, 350-402aa; CBM2, 1029-1260aa). The C-terminal GST-SdhA-CBM2 fragment bound to GFP-OCRL, but not GFP (Fig. 1C). The GFP-OCRL association with SdhA-CBM2 was about 47-fold above the control GST protein. In contrast, SdhA-CBM1 showed no binding to OCRL. The conserved amino acid peptide containing F&H motif (FxxxHxxØ) in Ses or APPL1 was shown to be sufficient for OCRL binding (Swan et al., 2010). However, a GST fusion containing the 13 amino acid F&H motif of SdhA did not bind to OCRL (Fig. 1C) and mutations in the putative F&H motif in SdhA-CBM2 did not disrupt OCRL binding (Fig. 1D), indicating that OCRL binding by SdhA is independent of the F&H motif.

To discount indirect binding of OCRL to SdhA by a complex series of interactions, we carried out solid-phase binding assays with purified GST-SdhA fragments and the ASH/RhoGAP domain of OCRL (536aa-901aa), the binding region for most of the OCRL

partners (Fig. 1A). Direct binding was monitored by incubating increasing amounts of SdhA fragments with plate-immobilized ASH/RhoGAP domain of OCRL, probing with anti-GST. SdhA-CBM2 showed concentration-dependent binding to immobilized ASH/RhoGAP (Fig. 1E). In contrast, neither GST nor GST- CBM1 exhibited binding to ASH/RhoGAP. As predicted from the pulldown assay, binding of a CBM2 Δ F&H motif mutant was equivalent to CBM2, indicating that other sequences are responsible for binding to OCRL, with $EC_{50} = 77.10$ nM and 87.43 nM for mutant and WT respectively (Fig. 1E). SdhA binding to OCRL was further tested by competition with the known OCRL-binding F&H motif (13mer) of Ses1 (Swan et al., 2010). Addition of Ses1 F&H motif failed to decrease the binding efficiency of SdhA-CBM2, further arguing that the F&H motif of SdhA is not responsible for binding OCRL (Fig. 1F).

Mapping the sites responsible for binding of SdhA and OCRL

Since SdhA does not require the F&H motif for OCRL binding, we searched for the OCRL binding site in SdhA-CBM2. The secondary structure of SdhA-CBM2 is predicted to contain 4 coiled-coils by ncoils (Lupas et al., 1991; Fig. 2A). Based on this prediction, each of the four coils was individually fused to GST and tested for ASH/RhoGAP binding. SdhA-CBM2A, encompassing residues 1029-1080 showed strong binding with the others showing low, but detectable binding to ASH/RhoGAP (Fig. 2B). Moreover SdhA-CBM2A ($EC_{50} \sim 27.2$ nM) showed approximately 3-fold higher binding compared to the full SdhA-CBM2 fragment ($EC_{50} \sim 80.7$ nM) consistent with other regions in SdhA-CBM2 interfering with SdhA-CBM2A binding to OCRL (Fig. 2C). The insolubility of SdhA precluded our ability to purify sufficient quantities to directly test if deletions in the full-length protein blocked binding to OCRL.

The RhoGAP domain of OCRL is the target of binding the F&H motifs in Ses and APPL1 (Pirruccello et al., 2011). To test for binding to the complete CBM2 fragment, we separated the ASH domain from the RhoGAP domain, and subjected purified proteins to the solid phase assay. SdhA showed stronger binding to the ASH domain than to the RhoGAP/ASH derivative and, strikingly, there was no detectable binding to the RhoGAP domain (Fig. 2D). Thus, the SdhA-CBM2 fragment binds nearby to the Ses/APPL1 binding region, but not on overlapping sites.

The OCRL binding region of SdhA is essential for maintaining LCV integrity and promoting intracellular growth

To address the functional importance of SdhA binding to OCRL, we tested for its role in maintaining LCV integrity during bacterial infection by introducing SdhA deletion derivatives on plasmids into a *L. pneumophila* $\Delta sdhA$ strain. The truncation mutants lacking either CMB2 or CBM2A (Fig. 2A) had no distinguishable effect on growth in culture (Fig. S1A) or on LCV localization based on immunoprobings with SdhA antibody of macrophages after 3hrs incubations (Fig. 3A). Based on Western blot analysis, the expression levels of SdhA mutants were reduced relative to the levels of overproduced plasmid-borne SdhA-FL during *in vitro* growth (Fig. S1B), so their relative localization properties during infection were measured by scoring SdhA positive-LCVs at 4hrs after infection (Fig. S1C). Approximately 90% of LCVs scored positively for SdhA-FL, but none with empty vector indicating the probing is specific. The mutant protein $\Delta CBM2A$ showed indistinguishable levels of LCV localization compared to the wild type, although in the $\Delta CBM2$ mutant about 40% of LCVs were positive. In contrast, a WT strain showing endogenous level of SdhA (not overproduced) did not show sufficient expression to

detect SdhA using antibody probing. Therefore, even the most poorly expressed plasmid-borne SdhA mutant resulted in levels of LCV localization that were higher than the endogenously-expressed protein.

The mutants were next evaluated for vacuole disruption using our previously established immunofluorescence staining, based on antibody accessibility to bacteria in the absence of chemical permeabilization (Creasey and Isberg, 2012). By 8hrs after infection, about 40% of Δ *sdhA*-harboring vacuoles were permeable, compared to approximately 11% for the WT. Complementation of the Δ *sdhA* strain with FL-*sdhA* on the plasmid decreased the vacuole disruption to ~10%, indistinguishable from WT strain Lp02 (Fig. 3B). In contrast, both Δ CBM2 and Δ CBM2A showed levels of LCV disruption that were similar to a Δ *sdhA* strain.

The loss of vacuole integrity triggered by a Δ *sdhA* strain causes a severe intracellular growth defect (Creasey and Isberg, 2012). As expected, we found that the internal deletions of *sdhA* resulted in growth defects that were indistinguishable from the total *sdhA* deletion strain (Fig. 3C). Taken together, these data are consistent with binding of OCRL by SdhA being tightly linked to maintaining LCV integrity.

Ectopically expressed SdhA associates with OCRL-containing vesicles

To determine if SdhA-OCRL binding results in shared distribution through the cell, localization of the two proteins was examined by immunofluorescence microscopy in COS-7 cells transfected with mCherry-tagged SdhA and GFP-tagged OCRL. mCherry-SdhA was associated with membranous vesicles, mostly as giant ring-like structures (SdhA in Fig. 4A, SdhA-NOCO in Fig. S2), while mCherry alone localized to the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 4A, CTR in Fig. S2). Surprisingly overexpression of SdhA dramatically altered the distribution of

GFP-OCRL. SdhA overexpression caused OCRL aggregation around perinuclear region, with SdhA trapped within the OCRL-positive vacuoles, disturbing OCRL association with the Golgi and its normal association with punctate cytoplasmic vesicles (Fig. 4A, compare mCherry and SdhA). When we treated cells with the microtubule depolymerization drug nocodazole (Fig. S2, +NOCO), the aggregated OCRL-encompassed structures were distributed into small puncta that overlapped with SdhA signal, linking the aberrant morphology to microtubule function.

SdhA overproduction phenocopies loss of OCRL function

To investigate whether the aberrant vacuoles generated by SdhA were the result of aggregated endosomes, we probed for endosomal markers in cells overexpressing mCherry-SdhA. Strikingly, SdhA-positive structures adopted unique morphologies, associating with endosomal markers of diverse origins, such as the early endosomal EEA1, late endosomal Rab7, and recycling endosome-derived Rab11 (Fig. 4B). In each case, SdhA redistributed into giant aggregated structures that were enveloped by a mixture of endosomal compartments. The abnormal vacuoles were strongly reminiscent of enlarged endosomal structures observed in cells defective for OCRL function (Vicinanza et al., 2011, Ben El Kadhi et al., 2011). Based on this result, we then determined if SdhA disrupts OCRL control of its preferential substrate, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P₂), and if it interferes with endosomal trafficking.

To this end, PI(4,5)P₂ localization was probed using binding by GFP-PLCδ-PH. In control cells, PI(4,5)P₂ associated with the plasma membrane (PM), particularly in regions of ruffling, as previously reported (Watt et al., 2002) (Fig. 4C). Remarkably, with SdhA-transfected cells, we found accumulation of PI(4,5)P₂ on large vacuoles as well as depletion of PI(4,5)P₂ in the PM, indicating dysfunctional PI(4,5)P₂ homeostasis (Fig. 4C; Supplemental Fig. S3). The

altered subcellular distribution of PI(4,5)P₂ that we observed appeared to closely phenocopy previous observations in OCRL-depleted cultured mammalian cells, OCRL-depleted *Drosophila*, and OCRL deficient *zebrafish* (Vacinanza et al. 2011, Ben El Kadhi et al. 2011, Ramirez et al., 2012), consistent with SdhA overproduction interfering with OCRL function.

It has been reported that OCRL knockdown impairs uptake of transferrin (Tf) and slower recycling of internalized Tf from the PM (Vacinanza et al., 2011). Therefore, to probe for effects of SdhA on endosomal trafficking, recycling of transferrin receptor (TfR) was analyzed in cells overproducing SdhA by measuring internalization or recycling of Alexa488 (A488)-Tf. Compared with mCherry-transfected cells, transfection with SdhA showed a significant defect in uptake of Tf (Fig. 4D). The internalized pool of Tf also showed defective recycling to the PM, as Tf-preloaded cells chased for 1hr in the absence of probe lost approximately 50% of the accumulated Tf in SdhA-transfected cells, while 90% of the probe was lost from the control mCherry-transfected cells during the same time period (Fig. 4E). In addition, Tf accumulated in abnormal aggregated endosomes and was retained in clustered SdhA-containing structures, consistent with SdhA having direct disruptive effects on endosomal dynamics (Fig. 4E).

SdhA inhibits Rab5 binding without interfering with the OCRL 5-phosphatase activity

We tested two models for how SdhA could antagonize OCRL function: altering OCRL association with target proteins or its catalytic function. The ASH domain of OCRL binds various Rab GTPases, most notably Rab5, Rab1, and Rab6 (Hyvola et al., 2006). As SdhA also binds to the ASH domain of OCRL, we tested if binding to SdhA fragments could block Rab5 association with OCRL. The binding affinities of constitutively active Rab5a (Q79L) and SdhA constructs were first tested, using the solid-phase binding assay in which the ASH/RhoGAP

domain of OCRL was immobilized and incubated with increasing amounts of each protein (Fig. 5A). SdhA-CBM2 or SdhA-CBM2A exhibited a higher affinity for ASH/RhoGAP than Rab5a (Q79L). SdhA-CBM2A ($EC_{50} \sim 8.1$ nM) showed approximately 12-fold higher binding compared to the Rab5a (Q79L) ($EC_{50} \sim 97.3$ nM), arguing that SdhA may act by competing with known binding partners of OCRL (Fig. 5A). We further examined potential competition between SdhA fragments and Rab5a (Q79L) for OCRL binding. The ASH or ASH/RhoGAP domains were immobilized and challenged with 22 nM Rab5 in the presence of increasing amounts of SdhA-CBM2 or SdhA-CBM2A. Interestingly, the lower affinity SdhA-CBM2 fragment disrupted Rab5a (Q79L) binding to either the ASH or ASH/RhoGAP domains in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5B,C). Of note, the smaller fragment, SdhA-CBM2A, did not affect Rab5a (Q79L) binding to OCRL even though it has a higher apparent binding affinity for OCRL than SdhA-CBM2 (Fig. 2C). This is consistent with SdhA and Rab5 binding nonoverlapping sites on OCRL, with the larger CBM2 fragment blocking binding of Rab5 due to steric effects. As would be expected with the higher affinity interactions with SdhA, when either 22 nM of the CBM2 or 7.4 nM of CBM2A fragments were challenged for ASH or ASH/RhoGAP binding in the presence of increasing amounts of Rab5a (Q79L), the Rab protein had no effect on SdhA fragment binding to the OCRL domains (Fig. 5D,E). Thus, under the conditions tested here, the SdhA CBM2 fragment outcompetes Rab5 for binding to OCRL. This is consistent with our assays showing more efficient SdhA:OCRL binding than we observed for Rab5:OCRL interaction (Fig. 5A).

We next analyzed whether SdhA directly affects the catalytic activity of OCRL as a consequence of binding the ASH domain, which is proximal to the 5-phosphatase domain of OCRL (Fig.1A). To this end, the 5-phosphatase activity was assayed using purified OCRL incubated with PI(4,5)P₂-containing liposomes. The 5-phosphatase activity of OCRL is

inherently weak in published assays in the absence of a source of stimulation, making inhibitory effects difficult to detect (Billcliff et al., 2015). It has been shown that the activity is stimulated by formation of tripartite complex with Ses1 and Pacsin2 (Billcliff et al., 2015), so we used these components to test if SdhA interferes with the 5-phosphatase activity. Consistent with previous results, the 5-phosphatase activity was dramatically stimulated in the presence of both Ses1 and Pacsin2. Addition of SdhA-CBM2 in the presence of this complete reaction mix, however, showed no significant depression of the stimulated activity (Fig. 5F). SdhA-CBM2 was clearly competent to bind OCRL using these assay conditions, as His-OCRL efficiently pulled down GST-SdhA-CBM2, but not GST alone (Fig. 5G). These results indicate that SdhA likely hijacks OCRL, interrupting binding of cellular partners without disrupting its phosphatase activity.

Cellular depletion of OCRL enhances the integrity of LCVs harboring the *sdhA* mutant

To assess the role of OCRL in modulating LCV integrity, OCRL was efficiently RNAi-depleted in COS-7 cells (Fig. 6A). The OCRL-depleted cells were next challenged for either 4 or 8 hrs with $\Delta sdhA$ or WT strains and the relative levels of disrupted LCVs was determined by immunostaining (Experimental Procedures). Cells depleted of OCRL showed a significant enhancement in the integrity of vacuoles harboring the $\Delta sdhA$ strain at both time points, indicating that the absence of OCRL stabilized the *sdhA*-containing vacuole (Fig. 6B). OCRL knockdown also resulted in enhanced intracellular growth of $\Delta sdhA$ as well as WT in COS-7 cells (Fig. 6C).

OCRL accumulates on the disrupted vacuole of *sdhA* mutants

Previous work has shown that OCRL localizes to LCVs in *D. discoideum* and RAW264.7 macrophages (Weber et al., 2009). To determine if SdhA is involved in the localization of OCRL on LCVs, we challenged U937 macrophages for 3hrs with a $\Delta sdhA$ strain harboring either a plasmid overproducing SdhA or an empty vector control. After homogenization of infected macrophages, the localization of SdhA and endogenous OCRL on LCVs in post-nuclear supernatants was determined by immunofluorescence microscopy. Approximately 90% of the LCVs stained positively for OCRL regardless of the presence of SdhA (Fig. 6D,E). The pattern of OCRL localization on the LCVs, however, could clearly be differentiated between the two strains. In the presence of SdhA, OCRL formed punctate structures associated with LCVs that appeared to be enveloped by SdhA. In contrast, there was dense circumferential accumulation of OCRL about the LCV in absence of *sdhA* (Fig. 6D) (more images in Fig. S4). Based on image analysis, the median fluorescence intensity of OCRL accumulation about vacuoles was approximately 1.4 times greater in the absence of SdhA than in its presence, with a broad distribution of intensities observed for the mutant, pointing toward two populations of LCVs ($P < 0.0001$; Mann-Whitney U-test; Fig. 6F). To investigate this distribution further and test if vacuole disruption was related to accumulated OCRL, we quantified OCRL on intact or disrupted LCVs (Fig. 6G). There was a significant correlation between OCRL intensity and vacuole disruption. For the strain lacking SdhA, the median OCRL fluorescence intensity of disrupted LCVs was 1.6-fold greater compared to those with intact LCVs ($P < 0.0001$; Mann-Whitney U-test). The OCRL intensity of intact LCVs containing the empty vector strain was significantly higher than the OCRL intensity of LCVs containing the pSdhA strains, indicating OCRL accumulation occurs before vacuole disruption and then continues to accumulate (Fig.

6G). Therefore, SdhA interferes with the accumulation of OCRL on LCVs and is associated with vacuole disruption.

SdhA inhibits the accumulation of OCRL-dependent endosomal traffic to LCVs

The localization of several well-characterized host proteins associated with OCRL-controlled endosomal traffic was assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy of LCVs from postnuclear supernatants of U937 cells (Vicinanza et al., 2011). We quantified the number of LCVs positive for endosomal EEA1, retrograde cargo trafficking cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (CIMPR), and TfR, linked to recycling cargo (Fig. 7A). For EEA1 and CIMPR, there was a significant increase in LCV association for each marker after infection with a $\Delta sdhA$ strain for 3hrs when compared to WT. The increase was particularly striking with EEA1, supporting our previous analysis of the behavior of $\Delta sdhA$ strains with murine bone marrow-derived macrophages (Anand et al., 2020) (Fig. 7A).

To assess whether enhanced accumulation of EEA1 on LCVs harboring *sdhA* mutants is dependent on OCRL, OCRL was depleted by siRNA prior to infection. In comparison to the control with scrambled siRNA, EEA1 positive LCVs harboring the $\Delta sdhA$ strain decreased to a level that was indistinguishable from WT (Fig. 7B). This indicates that aberrant trafficking of EEA1 to LCVs harboring the $\Delta sdhA$ mutant appeared largely dependent on OCRL function, consistent with SdhA controlling OCRL function for the purpose of blockading early endosomal vesicles.

Discussion

Mammalian OCRL protein and its *Dictyostelium discoideum* homologue Dd5P4 localize to the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV), limiting intracellular replication of *L. pneumophila* (Weber et al., 2009, Finselet et al., 2013). In our study, we argue that OCRL promotes events that disrupt LCV integrity, with SdhA protein being a key player in preventing OCRL restriction of pathogen growth. As SdhA is one of the few Icm/Dot translocated substrates required for survival in primary macrophages, the interface of SdhA with this host inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase is likely to be a critical step in controlling the balance between host innate restriction and proliferation of the pathogen. The OCRL phosphatase activity is known to control a number of membrane trafficking steps. The results documented here argue that SdhA blocks an OCRL-regulated step in the movement of vesicles from an endosomal compartment, resulting in disruption of the LCV membrane. Presumably the blockade occurs by SdhA hijacking of OCRL.

We have found that RNAi depletion of OCRL in cells challenged with the *sdhA* mutant significantly increases the number of intact LCVs, consistent with a role for OCRL in driving vacuole membrane disruption. Similarly, OCRL depletion in COS-7 cells stimulates intracellular replication of the *L. pneumophila* Δ *sdhA* (Fig. 6B,C). Immunofluorescence detection of OCRL interaction with the LCV indicates that there are likely two modes of OCRL interface with the vacuole (Fig. 6). On encounter with vacuoles harboring WT *L. pneumophila*, OCRL-staining compartments target to SdhA-rich regions. In contrast, loss of SdhA function enhances OCRL circumferential recruitment about the LCV, and this recruitment appears particularly robust in vacuoles undergoing disruption (Fig. 6G). Taken together, these results argue that the LCV surrounding the *sdhA* mutant vacuole merges with OCRL-containing compartments, resulting in

eventual vacuole disruption. In cells harboring the WT strain, vacuole integrity is maintained as a consequence of diversion of these compartments by SdhA.

In previous work, we presented evidence that SdhA likely acts by preventing access of endosome-derived compartments to the LCV (Anand et al., 2020). This model was based on RNAi screens demonstrating that disruption of $\Delta sdhA$ mutant-containing vacuoles can be reversed by depletion of endocytic Rab GTPases. A primary consequence of SdhA loss was shown to be the accumulation of early endosomal protein EEA1 on the defective vacuole in primary macrophages, dependent on the function of Rab5 and Rab11 (Anand et al., 2020). As a number of GTPases involved in endosome dynamics, such as Rab5 and Rab8 are known interacting partners of OCRL (Grant and Donaldson, 2009), we asked whether disrupting OCRL function similarly could block this aberrant EEA1 accumulation. The depletion of OCRL dramatically decreased EEA1 association with the $\Delta sdhA$ vacuole (Fig. 7B).

Based on these results, we hypothesize that in the absence of SdhA, OCRL and Rab GTPase interactions recruit endosomal compartments to the $\Delta sdhA$ vacuole and disrupt the pathogen niche. In the vacuole harboring WT bacteria, SdhA can bind OCRL and interfere with Rab binding to the phosphatase, preventing OCRL-containing compartments from directly targeting the vacuolar membrane. The fact that SdhA binds to the ASH domain of OCRL (Fig. 2) and sterically blocks Rab protein interaction with OCRL may be significant in this regard. OCRL mutants defective in Rab binding have been shown to result in aberrant OCRL targeting, and depletions of Rab1 or Rab6 show similar defects (Hyvola et al. 2005). Therefore, association of OCRL-containing vesicles with SdhA and consequent disruption of Rab protein binding could prevent Rab effectors from promoting efficient docking of either OCRL or its associated endosomes with the LCV surface.

The relatively small CBM2A region in the C-terminal of SdhA (aa1029-80), predicted to form one of several coiled-coil structures throughout the protein, is sufficient to bind the OCRL ASH domain (Fig. 2). This region in SdhA is functionally important, as overproduction of a variant of the protein that precisely deletes this region fails to complement the $\Delta sdhA$ mutation (Fig. 3 and Fig. S1). Consistent with the defect being tightly associated with loss of OCRL control, OCRL is profoundly altered in transfectants overexpressing SdhA, resulting in giant OCRL-encompassed vacuoles that surround SdhA-rich regions (Fig. 4). Loss of OCRL function has been documented to generate large vacuoles harboring endosomal components, thought to result from blockading of endosomal traffic to the Golgi (Vicinanza et al., 2011, Choudhury et al., 2005, Kadhi et al., 2011). As a consequence, disrupted OCRL causes accumulation of PI(4,5)P₂ in endosomal compartments and disruption of transferrin receptor (TfR) recycling (Vicinanza et al., 2011, Choudhury et al., 2005, Kadhi et al., 2011). Overexpression of SdhA exactly phenocopies the functional loss of OCRL, as we have demonstrated that SdhA transfectants cause both mislocalization of PI(4,5)P₂ and dysfunctional TfR recycling (Fig. 4).

It seems counterintuitive that OCRL function should be associated with LCV disruption. The LCV is rich in PI4P, so it might be thought that OCRL would play a collaborative role in LCV biogenesis, as its inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase activity can generate PI4P, which in turn anchors a wide swath of Icm/Dot effector proteins to the LCV cytoplasmic surface (Weber et al., 2006; (Hsu et al., 2012)). *L. pneumophila* has a number of well-characterized translocated inositol phosphate kinase and phosphatases capable of modulating PI4P dynamics, consistent with maintaining PI4P homeostasis in the LCV (Dong et al., 2016; Hsu et al., 2012; Toulabi et al., 2013). It seems likely that OCRL plays a surprising negative role by stimulating the biogenesis, recruitment or docking of forbidden membrane compartments that act to destabilize

the LCV rather than directly modifying the LCV. Alternatively, the presence of OCRL on the vacuolar membrane could disrupt the PI4P homeostatic balance provided by *Legionella* Icm/Dot effectors, resulting in overload of this lipid and hypersensitivity to phospholipases.

As we have previously argued, SdhA belongs to a larger class of pathogen proteins called vacuole guards that act to prevent intravacuolar microbial pathogens from being attacked by disruptive membrane components largely derived from endosomes and recycling compartments (Anand et al., 2020). SdhA fits in well with this class of proteins, as blocking vesicular transit of these compartments to the LCV increases vacuole stability (Anand et al., 2020). By binding OCRL, SdhA may play a role in modulating self-nonsel recognition by the LCV. Forbidden compartments harboring OCRL may have membrane compositions rich in PI4P that are similar to that of the LCV. This, in turn, could direct targeting and fusion of these disruptive compartments with the LCV. SdhA acts to “guard” the pathogen-containing vacuole by binding and diverting OCRL, preventing either direct interaction with disruptive compartments or blocking association of OCRL with the LCV.

Although the newly described SdhA biochemical activity has not been observed previously, the connection of OCRL to the endocytic and recycling compartments increases documented parallels between SdhA and the *Salmonella* SifA protein (Beuzon et al., 2000; McGourty et al., 2012). Both SifA and SdhA are required to maintain the integrity of their respective vacuoles, with failure to prevent host cell-mediated disruption resulting in release of bacteria into the cytosol and activation of a Caspase 4/11-Gasdermin D-dependent pyroptotic response in phagocytes (Aachoui et al., 2013; Casson et al., 2015; Pilla et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2015). An intimate connection between maintaining vacuole integrity and preserving appropriate lipid content of the vacuolar membrane has long been suspected in both cases, primarily based

on suppressor mutation analysis (Creasey and Isberg, 2012; Kolodziejek et al., 2019). Finally, our work argues that SdhA regulates the host cell endocytic/recycling pathways, reminiscent of the demonstrated role of SifA in hijacking retrograde cellular transit and controlling egress of CIMPR (Dumont et al., 2010; McEwan et al., 2015; McGourty et al., 2012), as observed here (Figs. 4E, 7A). This argues that components of the endosomal pathway act as important disruptive forces that can block pathogen growth without directly targeting the organism into a lysosomal compartment. The details of the nature of these disruptive forces, and the phospholipid composition that results in destabilization of these compartments, remain to be determined.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by HHMI and NIAID grants R01 AI113211 and R01 AI146245 to RRI. We thank Ila Anand for scientific discussions throughout the course of this work, and Kristen Davis, Wenwen Huo, Erion Lipo, and Seongok Kim for review of the manuscript. We thank Dr. Pietro De Camilli for providing OCRL antibody and GFP-OCRL construct; Dr. Matthias Machner for Rab5a (Q79L) construct. We also thank Drs. Elizabeth Draganova and Ellen White for patient help setting up the lipid extrusion assays and with Baculovirus expression.

Figure legends

Figure 1. SdhA directly interacts with OCRL, but independently of F&H motif.

(A) Conserved motifs of SdhA and OCRL, and maps of GST- or His- fusions. Motifs identified by Eukaryotic Linear Motif resource (www.ELM.eu.org). PH: pleckstrin homology; 5 PPase: 5 inositol polyphosphate phosphatase; ASH: ASPM-SPD2-Hydin; RhoGAP: Rho GTPase activating protein. (B) TOP: HA-mcherry or HA-mcherry-SdhA overexpressed in HEK cells were immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-HA. AP(B): AP complex β subunit. The amount of input (0.2% lysates) and IP (20%) is shown. BOTTOM: Densitometry of co-immunoprecipitation. Average of two sets of independent experiments. (C) Purified GST fusions were used in pulldowns (GST PD) as described (Experimental Details). The amount of input (1% of total lysate) and resulting precipitate (20% of total) is shown. RIGHT PANEL: Ratio of the pull-down by densitometry. (D) GST-pulldowns as in (C) using SdhA-CBM2 mutations in F&H motif (F1195A H1199A; FH*) or clathrin box motif (L1177A L1178A; LL*). (E) 96-well plates coated with ASH/RhoGAP domain challenged with GST-tagged SdhA peptides (Experimental Details). SdhA binding to OCRL detected using anti-GST antibodies and chromogenic substrate (mean $\pm$ SD, n=3). (F) Competition test of SdhA-CBM2 vs F&H motif peptide of Ses1 and OCRL binding-defective point mutation (Swan et al., 2010).

Figure 2. Mapping of the interacting regions of SdhA and OCRL using solid phase binding assays.

(A) Constructs designed based on the coiled-coils prediction by ncoils (Lupas et al., 1991). (B) SdhA-CBM2A binds to ASH-RhoGAP domain. Plate coated with ASH/RhoGAP was challenged with indicated SdhA constructs. EC₅₀ was calculated as described (Experimental Details). (C)

High affinity binding of CBM2A to ASH-RhoGAP. Protocol as in 2(B). (D) SdhA-CBM2 associates with ASH domain specifically. Plate coated with SdhA-CBM2 was challenged with His- tagged OCRL domains. Binding detected by anti-His antibodies. His-G α i was used as a negative control.

Figure 3. The OCRL binding region of SdhA is required for maintaining LCV integrity and intracellular growth in macrophages.

BMDMs from the A/J mouse were challenged at MOI = 1 with *L. pneumophila* WT or Δ *sdhA* harboring SdhA variants. (A) SdhA localization on LCV was determined by immunofluorescence using antibodies against SdhA at 3hpi (Scale bar, 2 μ m). See also Fig S1. (B) SdhA mutants do not rescue Δ *sdhA* vacuole disruption. Macrophages were challenged for 8hr, fixed, and stained for bacteria before and after permeabilization, and internalized bacteria in absence of permeabilization were quantified relative to total infected population (mean $\pm$ SD, n=3). (C) Growth of *L. pneumophila* strains in macrophages, determined by the number of bacteria per vacuole 16h post uptake (mean $\pm$ SD, n=3).

Figure 4. SdhA overexpression phenocopies loss of OCRL function.

(A) Representative micrographs of fixed COS-7 cells co-expressing mCherry-SdhA (red) and GFP- OCRL (green). DNA labeled by Hoechst stain (blue). (Scale bar, 10 μ m). See also Fig. S2. (B) mCherry-SdhA localizes to endocytic vesicles resulting in enlarged compartments. COS-7 cells expressing mCherry-SdhA (right panel) or mCherry (CTR, left panel) were either immunostained for EEA1 (green) or transfected with GFP-Rab7 or YFP-Rab11 (green). DNA labeled with Hoechst (blue). (C) COS-7 cells co-expressing mCherry-SdhA and GFP-PLC δ -PH.

Additional images in Fig. S3. SdhA impairs endocytosis (D) and recycling of Tf (E). COS-7 cells were transfected with indicated expression vectors, mCherry or mCherry-SdhA. At 24 hr after transfection, cells were incubated with Alexa 488-Tf. (D) Uptake of Tf was measured as mean fluorescence intensities at 15 min. (E) For Tf recycling, the cells were loaded with Tf for 1 hr at 37°C (Load) and chased in complete medium for 40 and 60 min (Chase). Arrows indicate SdhA-transfected cells. The fluorescence intensity remaining in cell was quantified and expressed as percentages of the loaded Tf. Data are mean values $\pm$ SD (n=25 in triplicate) (*P<0.01; **P<0.05; ***P<0.001). Scale bars = 10 μ m.

Figure 5. SdhA interrupts Rab5 binding.

(A) High affinity binding of SdhA variants to OCRL. ASH-RhoGAP was immobilized and challenged with indicated GST-tagged proteins. EC₅₀ were calculated and expressed as nM (Experimental Details). (B) Competitive binding of Rab5 and SdhA to ASH domain. Immobilized ASH domain was challenged with 22nM Rab5a (Q79L) in combination with increasing amounts of GST-fused SdhA constructs. (C) Same as in (B), except ASH/RhoGAP domain was immobilized. (D) SdhA binding to ASH domain of OCRL was not affected by challenge with Rab5a. Same as in (B), but constant amounts of SdhA (CBM2=22nM, CBM2A=7.4nM) and increasing amounts of Rab5a (Q79L). (E) Same as in (D), but ASH/RhoGAP domain was immobilized. (F) SdhA-CBM2 does not affect the Ses1- and Pacsin2-stimulated 5-phosphatase activity of OCRL. Phosphatase activity was measured as described (Experimental Details). His- OCRL (50 nM) was incubated with indicated proteins and with 200 μ M of PI(4,5)P₂-containing liposomes. Data expressed as percentage of 5-phosphatase activity compared to incubation of OCRL with liposomes. Data represent triplicate assays $\pm$ SE

(standard error). (* $P < 0.01$; ** $P < 0.05$; *** $P < 0.001$) (G) SdhA-CBM2 binding to OCRL during 5-phosphatase assay in (F) demonstrated by pulldown assay. His-OCRL and SdhA-CBM2 were collected with Ni^{2+} resin and analyzed by Coomassie staining. The amount input (10%), unbound FT (flowthrough, 20%) and bound PD (pulldown 50%) are displayed.

Figure 6. OCRL is linked to vacuole disruption of *L. pneumophila* *sdhA* mutants.

(A) COS-7 cells treated with OCRL-targeting (siOCRL) or non-targeting control siRNA (siCon) for 72hrs were gel fractionated and immunoprobed with antibodies directed against noted proteins. (B) COS-7 cells were depleted by siRNA (3 days) and then challenged at MOI =5 with noted *L. pneumophila* strains. Cells were fixed and stained for bacteria before and after permeabilization, as in Fig. 4B. Data are mean values $\pm$ SD (n=3) (** $P < 0.05$). (C) *L. pneumophila* *lux*⁺ strains were incubated with COS-7 cells at MOI = 20 and bacterial yield was measured 48 hrs post infection by relative luminescence (RLU). The replication-deficient *dotA* null mutant Lp03 was used as a negative control. Data are mean values $\pm$ SD (n=3). (D) Confocal image showing a section of vacuoles isolated from infected U937 cells (MOI = 10, 3h) with Δ *sdhA* mutant harboring pSdhA or pJB vector. The presence of OCRL and SdhA on LCVs was assessed by immunofluorescence using antibodies directed against OCRL, SdhA and *L. pneumophila*. (Scale bar, 4 μm). See also Fig S4. (E) Quantification of OCRL positive LCVs containing Δ *sdhA* mutants with pSdhA or pJB vector at 3hpi. Data are mean values $\pm$ SD (n=3) (>85 LCVs each replicate). (F) Plot of OCRL intensity associated with LCV (n>70), with medians displayed. (G) Plot comparing the OCRL intensity on LCVs harboring pSdhA compared to strain harboring empty pJB vector, divided into impermeable and permeable LCVs (n>70). (**** $P < 0.0001$, Mann-Whitney U-test)

Figure 7. OCRL-dependent accumulation of endosomal compartments on vacuole surrounding $\Delta sdhA$ mutant.

(A) U937 macrophages were challenged for 3 hr with *L. pneumophila* wild type and $\Delta sdhA$ (MOI = 10). The presence of endosomal EEA1, retrograde trafficking cargo CIMPR, and recycling endosomal TfR on LCVs was evaluated by immunofluorescence microscopy in postnuclear supernatants of infected cells (Experimental Details). Data are mean values $\pm$ SD of triplicate samples. Scale bar represents 4 μ m. (B) LEFT PANEL: Effect of siOCRL on protein expression in U937 cells. GAPDH was used as a loading control. Gel fractionated samples were immunoprobed with indicated antibodies. RIGHT PANEL: The presence of EEA1 on LCVs was quantified from the infected U937 cell lysates as in panel (A). (* $P < 0.01$; ** $P < 0.05$)

Figure S1. Characterization of SdhA mutants.

(A) Growth of noted strains in AYE broth. Strains with $\Delta sdhA$ allele have noted genes inserted into pJB908 (Vector). (B) Western blot analysis of expression levels of SdhA variants. Isocitrate dehydrogenase (ICDH) was used for loading control. (C) The presence of SdhA variants on LCV surface was assessed by fluorescence microscopy as in Fig. 3A. SdhA is undetectable in WT strain and must be overproduced on pJB908 to identify by immunofluorescence microscopy. Each of the $\Delta sdhA$ strains harbor pJB908 with noted chromosomal fragments. Data represents means and SDs of triplicates.

Figure S2. Ectopically expressed SdhA associates with OCRL positive compartments.

Representative micrographs of fixed COS-7 cells coexpressing mCherry-SdhA (red) and GFP-tagged OCRL. DNA was labeled by Hoechst stains (blue). Cells were treated with nocodazole (NOCO) to release aggregation of the compartments. (Scale bar, 10 μ m)

Figure S3. COS-7 cells coexpressing mCherry-SdhA and GFP-PLC δ -PH demonstrate rearrangement and internalization of PI(4,5)P₂.

Scale bar represents 20 μ m.

Figure S4. OCRL localization about the LCV surface.

Linked to Fig. 6D. Confocal images of vacuoles isolated from infected U937 cells (MOI =10, 3h) with Δ *sdhA* mutant harboring pSdhA or pJB vector. The presence of OCRL and SdhA on LCVs was assessed by immunofluorescence using antibodies against OCRL, SdhA and *L. pneumophila*. (Scale bar, 4 μ m)

596 STAR METHODS

597 KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Mouse monoclonal anti-HA	Santa Cruz	Cat# SC7392
Rabbit polyclonal anti-OCRL	Sigma	Cat# O7640
Goat polyclonal anti-AP2B	Santa Cruz	Cat# SC6425
Mouse monoclonal anti-OCRL	Pietro De Camilli	N/A
Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP	Life Technologies	Cat# A11122
Mouse monoclonal anti-GST	Santa Cruz	Cat# SC138
Mouse monoclonal anti-polyHis	Sigma	Cat# H1029
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Rab5A	Santa Cruz	Cat# SC-309
Mouse monoclonal anti-EEA1	BD Transduction	Cat# 610456
Mouse monoclonal anti-GAPDH	Santa Cruz	Cat# SC32233
Mouse monoclonal anti-CIMPR	Novusbio	Cat# NB300-514
Mouse monoclonal anti-TfR	Abcam	Cat# AB9179
Mouse monoclonal anti- β -Tubulin	Sigma	Cat# T4026
HRP-conjugated rabbit anti-goat	Life Technologies	Cat# 611620
HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse	Life Technologies	Cat# 626520
HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit	Life Technologies	Cat# 65-6120
Alexa488-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 711-545-152
Alexa488-conjugated donkey anti-mouse	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 715-545-150
Alexa 594-conjugated donkey anti-rat	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 712-585-153
Alexa594-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 711-585-152
Dylight 405-conjugated donkey anti-Rat	Jackson ImmunoResearch	Cat# 712-475-153
Rabbit polyclonal anti-SdhA	(Laguna et al., 2006)	N/A
Rabbit polyclonal anti-ICDH	(Dumenil et al., 2004)	N/A
Rat monoclonal anti-Legionella	(Isaac et al., 2015)	N/A
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Legionella	(Isaac et al., 2015)	N/A
Bacterial Strains		
<i>L. pneumophila</i> Lp02	(Berger and Isberg, 1993)	N/A
<i>L. pneumophila</i> Lp02 Δ sdhA	(Zhu et al., 2011)	N/A
<i>L. pneumophila</i> Lp03 (Lp02 dotA03)	(Berger and Isberg, 1993)	N/A
<i>L. pneumophila</i> Lp02 lux ⁺ (P _{ahpC} ::lux)	(Ensminger et al., 2012)	N/A
<i>L. pneumophila</i> Lp02 Δ sdhA lux ⁺ (kan ^R P _{ahpC} ::lux)	(Anand et al., 2020)	N/A
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Western Lightning Plus-ECL	PerkinElmer	NEL105001EA
Nocodazole	Sigma	M1404
DOPC (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine)	Avanti Polar Lipids	Cat# 850375
DOPS (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-l-serine)	Avanti Polar Lipids	Cat# 840035
Brain PI(4,5)P ₂	Avanti Polar Lipids	Cat# 840046
Malachite green phosphate assay kit	Sigma	Cat# MAK307
Peptide Ses1-F&H 13mer (PFARLHECYGQEI)	Tufts University Core Facility	N/A

Peptide Ses1-FA (PAARLHECYGQEI)	Tufts University Core Facility	N/A
Protease inhibitor cocktail	Roche	Cat# 11873580001
Lipofectamine 2000	Invitrogen	Cat# 11668030
Lipofectamine RNAiMAX	Invitrogen	Cat# 13778075
Alexa-Fluor-488-Tf	Invitrogen	Cat# T13342
Amaya cell line nucleofector kit	Lonza	Cat# VCA-1004
TMB substrate kit	Pierce	Cat# 34021
Anti-HA affinity beads	Sigma	Cat# E6779
Experimental Models: Cell Lines		
HEK 293T	ATCC	CRL-11268
Mouse bone marrow-derived macrophages	This study	N/A
COS-7	ATCC	CRL-1651
U937	ATCC	CRL-1593.2
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Mouse: A/J	The Jackson laboratory	Cat# 000646
Oligonucleotides		
See Table S2 for the list of oligonucleotides	IDT	N/A
Non-targeting siRNA pools	Dharmacon	Cat# D-001810-10-05
siRNA SMARTpool for human OCRL	Dharmacon	Cat# L-010026-00-0005
Recombinant DNA		
pHA-mcherry-SdhA	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM1 (350-402aa)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2 (1029-1260aa)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2 FH* (F1195A H1199A)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2 LL* (L1177A L1178A)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2 LL* (L1177A L1178A)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2 ΔFH (Δ1193-1209aa)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2A (1029-1080aa)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2B (1075-1110aa)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2C (1140-1185aa)	This study	N/A
pGEX-6P-1-SdhA-CBM2D (1225-1260aa)	This study	N/A
pGFP-OCRL	(Mao et al., 2009)	N/A
pQE80L-ASH/RhoGAP of OCRL (536aa-901aa)	This study	N/A
pQE80L-ASH of OCRL (536aa-678aa)	This study	N/A
pGEX6P-1-RGS-6xHis-RhoGAP of OCRL (678-901aa)	This study	N/A
pGEX-Rab5a (Q79L)	(Gaspar and Machner, 2014)	N/A
pJB908	(Sexton et al., 2004)	N/A
pJB908- <i>sdhA</i>	(Zhu et al., 2011)	N/A
pJB908-3xflag- <i>sdhA</i>	This study	N/A
pJB908-3xflag- <i>sdhA</i> Δ1029-1260aa (ΔCBM2)	This study	N/A
pJB908-3xflag- <i>sdhA</i> Δ1029-1260aa (ΔCBM2)	This study	N/A
pGFP-PLCδ-PH	(Sarantis et al., 2012)	N/A
pGFP-Rab7	Sina Mohammadi	N/A
pHA-mYFP-Rab11	(Mohammadi and Isberg, 2013),	N/A

pGEX-4T-2-192-249aa of IPIP27A (Ses1)	(Noakes et al., 2011)	N/A
pGEX-4T-2-Pacsin 2	(Billcliff et al., 2016)	N/A
pFastBac-6xHis-OCRL	This study	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
Prism	GraphPad	graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/
ImageJ	NIH ImageJ	imagej.nih.gov/ij/
Eukaryotic linear motif resource	ELM	elm.eu.org
ncoils	Bio.tools	bio.tools/ncoils
Volocity	PerkinElmer	N/A

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to the Lead Contact, Ralph R. Isberg (ralph.isberg@tufts.edu).

Materials Availability

The materials generated in this study are available upon request.

Data and Code Availability

The published article includes all data generated or analyzed during this study.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell culture

Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) from A/J mice were isolated and cultured as previously described (Swanson and Isberg, 1995). COS-7 cells and HEK 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Gibco). U937 cells were maintained and differentiated as described previously (Losick et al., 2010).

METHOD DETAILS

Cloning and mutagenesis

The primers used for this work are listed in Table S2. His-Tagged-full length SdhA was constructed in pQE80L while appropriate truncations (CBM1, CBM2, CBM2A, CBM2B, CBM2C, and CBM2D) were generated in pGEX-6P-1. Mutations were generated by PCR using Quikchange (Stratagene). SdhA deletions were generated by inverse PCR (Ochman et al., 1988). For insect cell expression of human OCRL, the full-length cDNA with an amino-terminal 6xHis tag was inserted into pFastBac vector. His-tagged ASH/RhoGAP and ASH domain of human OCRL cDNA were inserted into pQE80L. The amino-terminal-6xHis fused RhoGAP domain of OCRL was inserted into pGEX-6P-1 to generate GST-6His (internal tag) for purposes of improving solubility of the recombinant protein. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing (Genewiz).

Co-immunoprecipitation

HEK 293T cells were co-transfected with GFP-OCRL and either HA-mcherry or HA-mcherry-SdhA using Lipofectamine 2000 for 2 days. Lysates were made on ice for 1hr (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2% Octylglucoside, protease inhibitor cocktail) and clarified by centrifugation at 16,000g for 20min at 4°C. Immune complexes from the supernatants were adsorbed on anti-HA affinity beads for 2 hr at 4°C. After washing (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 40 mM NaCl, 0.2% Octylglucoside, protease inhibitor cocktail), proteins were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore), and probed with the indicated antibodies.

Pulldown experiments

Pulldown experiments with purified GST or GST-SdhA truncations were performed with the lysates of HEK cells transfected with GFP or GFP-OCRL after 24 hr transfection. The lysates

were prepared from a 10 cm dish in 1ml of pull down/lysis buffer (25 mM Hepes–KOH (pH 7.2), 125 mM potassium acetate, 2.5 mM magnesium acetate, 0.4% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitor cocktail), followed by incubation for 3 hr at 4°C with 250 µg of GST-fusion protein coupled to glutathione-agarose (Thermo Scientific). Beads were then washed four times (pull down buffer containing 0.1% Triton X-100) and resuspended with SDS-PAGE sample buffer followed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

Protein preparations

6xHis-OCRL was prepared from Sf9 insect cells using a baculovirus expression system (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s specification. Cells were lysed (20mM Tris, pH 8, 150mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl₂, 5mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1% NP40, 10% glycerol, and protease inhibitor) and purified by nickel affinity chromatography (GE healthcare Lifesciences). *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) was used for bacterially-expressed protein, inducing overnight with 0.1 mM IPTG at 18°C. GST fusion proteins were purified on glutathione superflow agarose according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Thermo Scientific). The GST tag of N-terminal GST-6xHis-RhoGAP domain was removed by addition of PreScission protease (GE healthcare Lifesciences) directly to the glutathione beads followed by incubation overnight at 4°C to obtain His-tagged RhoGAP. His-tagged proteins were purified by nickel affinity chromatography. The proteins were then concentrated by ultrafiltration using Amicon filters (EMD Millipore).

Solid phase binding assay

96-well ELISA plates (Thermo Fisher) were coated with 1µg of recombinant proteins (20 mM NaHCO₃/Na₂CO₃, pH 9.4), washed four times (Tris (pH=7.4)-buffered saline (TBS), 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST)), and blocked in TBS + 5% BSA. Wells were then probed with GST- or His-tagged proteins diluted in TBST containing 0.5% BSA for 1 hr. Wells were washed again

with TBST and incubated with appropriate primary antibodies and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. Protein interaction was detected by incubating with TMB (Thermo Fisher) as a chromogenic substrate. The reactions were stopped (1M HCl and 5M acetic acid in water) and the resulting absorbance was measured at 405 nm in a microtiter plate spectrophotometer. EC₅₀ was calculated using the GraphPad Prism 8 software for windows applying the nonlinear curve fit module.

Bacterial challenge of mammalian cells

The analysis of intracellular replication at a single cell level and analysis of cytosolic bacteria in BMDMs were performed as previously described (Creasey and Isberg, 2012). To quantify SdhA localization on LCV, SdhA and *L. pneumophila* were probed with anti-SdhA and anti-*Legionella*, respectively, incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies, and 100 vacuoles were counted. Isolation of vacuoles from *L. pneumophila*-infected U937 was performed as described previously (Vogel et al., 1998). For intracellular growth in COS-7 cells, 2x10⁴ cells were seeded in 96-well plates, challenged with *L. pneumophila lux* (MOI 20) for 1 hr, washed three times with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (no phenol red) supplemented with 10% FBS, and luciferase production was measured at 48 hr post infection in a microtiter luminometer.

Imaging

Fluorescence microscopy was performed following standard procedures (Losick and Isberg, 2006) and all antibodies were used according to manufacturer's procedures. Nuclei were stained using Hoechst stain (Invitrogen). Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) for 24 hr according to the manufacturer's instructions and fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS. For nocodazole treatment, 0.1 µg/ml of nocodazole (Sigma) was added to cells for 20 hrs. Cells were imaged by either Zeiss observer Z1 or Leica Falcon SP8 microscopy.

Images were processed using ImageJ or Volocity software (Improvision). The fluorescence intensity of OCRL covering a single LCV and transferrin in the cytoplasm was quantified using ImageJ software after background correction.

Transferrin uptake and recycling

COS-7 cells-transfected with SdhA or with control vector were incubated in serum-free medium for 1 hr and then exposed to 50 µg/ml Alexa-Fluor-488-Tf (Invitrogen) on ice for 30 min. The cells were transferred to 37 °C and incubated for the times indicated. External Tf was removed by washing with PBS and bound Tf was removed by an acid wash (150 mM NaCl, and 10 mM acetic acid, pH 3.5) followed by washes with PBS. The fluorescence intensity of internalized Tf was quantified by image capturing using a Zeiss Observer Z1 microscope (63x oil objective) and analysis using Image J. To measure recycling, cells were incubated first in serum-free medium and subsequently in medium containing fluorescent Tf for 1 h at 37 °C to saturate the receptor population. After extensive washing with HEPES-buffered DMEM, the recycling of Tf was followed by incubating the cells in the presence of complete medium for 40 and 60 min, at 37 °C. The cells were then acid washed before fixing.

Lipid phosphatase assay

To measure phosphatase activity, lipid vesicles containing PI(4,5)P₂ were generated by extrusion with polycarbonate membranes with pore size of 200-nm diameter as described in Billcliff *et al.* (2016). Lipids DOPC (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine), DOPS (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-l-serine), and natural PI(4,5)P₂ were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. His-tagged OCRL (50 nM) was incubated with indicated proteins on ice for 20 min in reaction buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH7.4, 5mM MgCl₂). Ses1 C-terminal fragment (192-249) and GST-Pacsin2 were added at 20-fold molar excess of OCRL. The phosphatase reaction was

started by addition of 200 μ M of PI(4,5)P₂-containing liposomes. After incubation at 37°C for 20 min, the reaction was stopped by the addition of malachite green solution (Sigma-Aldrich) and the resulting absorbance was read at 620nm.

RNA interference

COS-7 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The siRNA SMARTpool for OCRL and non-targeting siRNAs were purchased from Dharmacon. For OCRL depletion in U937 cells, siRNA was transfected with Amaxa cell line nucleofector kit and Nucleofector II (Lonza) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

References

- Aachoui, Y., Leaf, I.A., Hagar, J.A., Fontana, M.F., Campos, C.G., Zak, D.E., Tan, M.H., Cotter, P.A., Vance, R.E., Aderem, A., *et al.* (2013). Caspase-11 protects against bacteria that escape the vacuole. *Science* **339**, 975-978.
- Anand, I.S., Choi, W., and Isberg, R.R. (2020). Components of the endocytic and recycling trafficking pathways interfere with the integrity of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole. *Cell Microbiol* **22**, e13151.
- Berger, K.H., and Isberg, R.R. (1993). Two distinct defects in intracellular growth complemented by a single genetic locus in *Legionella pneumophila*. *Mol Microbiol* **7**, 7-19.
- Beuzon, C.R., Meresse, S., Unsworth, K.E., Ruiz-Albert, J., Garvis, S., Waterman, S.R., Ryder, T.A., Boucrot, E., and Holden, D.W. (2000). *Salmonella* maintains the integrity of its intracellular vacuole through the action of SifA. *EMBO J* **19**, 3235-3249.
- Billcliff, P.G., Noakes, C.J., Mehta, Z.B., Yan, G., Mak, L., Woscholski, R., and Lowe, M. (2016). OCRL1 engages with the F-BAR protein pacsin 2 to promote biogenesis of membrane-trafficking intermediates. *Mol Biol Cell* **27**, 90-107.
- Casson, C.N., Yu, J., Reyes, V.M., Taschuk, F.O., Yadav, A., Copenhaver, A.M., Nguyen, H.T., Collman, R.G., and Shin, S. (2015). Human caspase-4 mediates noncanonical inflammasome activation against gram-negative bacterial pathogens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 6688-6693.
- Christoforidis, S., McBride, H.M., Burgoyne, R.D., and Zerial, M. (1999). The Rab5 effector EEA1 is a core component of endosome docking. *Nature* **397**, 621-625.
- Clemens, D.L., Lee, B.Y., and Horwitz, M.A. (2000). Deviant expression of Rab5 on phagosomes containing the intracellular pathogens *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Legionella pneumophila* is associated with altered phagosomal fate. *Infect Immun* **68**, 2671-2684.
- Copenhaver, A.M., Casson, C.N., Nguyen, H.T., Fung, T.C., Duda, M.M., Roy, C.R., and Shin, S. (2014). Alveolar macrophages and neutrophils are the primary reservoirs for *Legionella pneumophila* and mediate cytosolic surveillance of type IV secretion. *Infect Immun* **82**, 4325-4336.
- Creasey, E.A., and Isberg, R.R. (2012). The protein SdhA maintains the integrity of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 3481-3486.
- De Matteis, M.A., Staiano, L., Emma, F., and Devuyt, O. (2017). The 5-phosphatase OCRL in Lowe syndrome and Dent disease 2. *Nat Rev Nephrol* **13**, 455-470.
- Diggins, N.L., and Webb, D.J. (2017). APPL1 is a multifunctional endosomal signaling adaptor protein. *Biochem Soc Trans* **45**, 771-779.
- Dong, N., Niu, M., Hu, L., Yao, Q., Zhou, R., and Shao, F. (2016). Modulation of membrane phosphoinositide dynamics by the phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase activity of the *Legionella* LepB effector. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 16236.
- Dumenil, G., Montminy, T.P., Tang, M., and Isberg, R.R. (2004). IcmR-regulated membrane insertion and efflux by the *Legionella pneumophila* IcmQ protein. *J Biol Chem* **279**, 4686-4695.
- Dumont, A., Boucrot, E., Drevensek, S., Daire, V., Gorvel, J.P., Pous, C., Holden, D.W., and Meresse, S. (2010). SKIP, the host target of the *Salmonella* virulence factor SifA, promotes kinesin-1-dependent vacuolar membrane exchanges. *Traffic* **11**, 899-911.

- Ensminger, A.W., Yassin, Y., Miron, A., and Isberg, R.R. (2012). Experimental evolution of *Legionella pneumophila* in mouse macrophages leads to strains with altered determinants of environmental survival. *PLoS Pathog* 8, e1002731.
- Erdmann, K.S., Mao, Y., McCrea, H.J., Zoncu, R., Lee, S., Paradise, S., Modregger, J., Biemesderfer, D., Toomre, D., and De Camilli, P. (2007). A role of the Lowe syndrome protein OCRL in early steps of the endocytic pathway. *Dev Cell* 13, 377-390.
- Faucherre, A., Desbois, P., Nagano, F., Satre, V., Lunardi, J., Gacon, G., and Dorseuil, O. (2005). Lowe syndrome protein Ocr1 is translocated to membrane ruffles upon Rac GTPase activation: a new perspective on Lowe syndrome pathophysiology. *Hum Mol Genet* 14, 1441-1448.
- Faucherre, A., Desbois, P., Satre, V., Lunardi, J., Dorseuil, O., and Gacon, G. (2003). Lowe syndrome protein OCRL1 interacts with Rac GTPase in the trans-Golgi network. *Hum Mol Genet* 12, 2449-2456.
- Gaspar, A.H., and Machner, M.P. (2014). VipD is a Rab5-activated phospholipase A1 that protects *Legionella pneumophila* from endosomal fusion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111, 4560-4565.
- Ge, J., Gong, Y.N., Xu, Y., and Shao, F. (2012). Preventing bacterial DNA release and absent in melanoma 2 inflammasome activation by a *Legionella* effector functioning in membrane trafficking. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109, 6193-6198.
- Hales, C.M., Griner, R., Hobdy-Henderson, K.C., Dorn, M.C., Hardy, D., Kumar, R., Navarre, J., Chan, E.K., Lapierre, L.A., and Goldenring, J.R. (2001). Identification and characterization of a family of Rab11-interacting proteins. *J Biol Chem* 276, 39067-39075.
- Horwitz, M.A., and Silverstein, S.C. (1980). Legionnaires' disease bacterium (*Legionella pneumophila*) multiples intracellularly in human monocytes. *J Clin Invest* 66, 441-450.
- Hsu, F., Zhu, W., Brennan, L., Tao, L., Luo, Z.Q., and Mao, Y. (2012). Structural basis for substrate recognition by a unique *Legionella* phosphoinositide phosphatase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109, 13567-13572.
- Huang, L., Boyd, D., Amyot, W.M., Hempstead, A.D., Luo, Z.Q., O'Connor, T.J., Chen, C., Machner, M., Montminy, T., and Isberg, R.R. (2011). The E Block motif is associated with *Legionella pneumophila* translocated substrates. *Cell Microbiol* 13, 227-245.
- Hyvola, N., Diao, A., McKenzie, E., Skippen, A., Cockcroft, S., and Lowe, M. (2006). Membrane targeting and activation of the Lowe syndrome protein OCRL1 by rab GTPases. *EMBO J* 25, 3750-3761.
- Isaac, D.T., Laguna, R.K., Valtz, N., and Isberg, R.R. (2015). MavN is a *Legionella pneumophila* vacuole-associated protein required for efficient iron acquisition during intracellular growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112, E5208-5217.
- Kagan, J.C., and Roy, C.R. (2002). *Legionella* phagosomes intercept vesicular traffic from endoplasmic reticulum exit sites. *Nat Cell Biol* 4, 945-954.
- Kolodziejek, A.M., Altura, M.A., Fan, J., Petersen, E.M., Cook, M., Brzovic, P.S., and Miller, S.I. (2019). *Salmonella* Translocated Effectors Recruit OSBP1 to the Phagosome to Promote Vacuolar Membrane Integrity. *Cell Rep* 27, 2147-2156 e2145.
- Laguna, R.K., Creasey, E.A., Li, Z., Valtz, N., and Isberg, R.R. (2006). A *Legionella pneumophila*-translocated substrate that is required for growth within macrophages and protection from host cell death. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103, 18745-18750.

- 810 Liu, B.C., Sarhan, J., Panda, A., Muendlein, H.I., Ilyukha, V., Coers, J., Yamamoto, M., Isberg,
811 R.R., and Poltorak, A. (2018). Constitutive Interferon Maintains GBP Expression
812 Required for Release of Bacterial Components Upstream of Pyroptosis and Anti-
813 DNA Responses. *Cell Rep* 24, 155-168 e155.
- 814 Losick, V.P., Haenssler, E., Moy, M.Y., and Isberg, R.R. (2010). LnaB: a *Legionella*
815 *pneumophila* activator of NF-kappaB. *Cell Microbiol* 12, 1083-1097.
- 816 Losick, V.P., and Isberg, R.R. (2006). NF-kappaB translocation prevents host cell death after
817 low-dose challenge by *Legionella pneumophila*. *J Exp Med* 203, 2177-2189.
- 818 Luo, Z.Q., and Isberg, R.R. (2004). Multiple substrates of the *Legionella pneumophila*
819 Dot/Icm system identified by interbacterial protein transfer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
820 *A* 101, 841-846.
- 821 Lupas, A., Van Dyke, M., and Stock, J. (1991). Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences.
822 *Science* 252, 1162-1164.
- 823 Mao, Y., Balkin, D.M., Zoncu, R., Erdmann, K.S., Tomasini, L., Hu, F., Jin, M.M., Hodsdon, M.E.,
824 and De Camilli, P. (2009). A PH domain within OCRL bridges clathrin-mediated
825 membrane trafficking to phosphoinositide metabolism. *EMBO J* 28, 1831-1842.
- 826 McCrea, H.J., Paradise, S., Tomasini, L., Addis, M., Melis, M.A., De Matteis, M.A., and De
827 Camilli, P. (2008). All known patient mutations in the ASH-RhoGAP domains of
828 OCRL affect targeting and APPL1 binding. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 369, 493-
829 499.
- 830 McEwan, D.G., Richter, B., Claudi, B., Wigge, C., Wild, P., Farhan, H., McGourty, K., Coxon, F.P.,
831 Franz-Wachtel, M., Perdu, B., *et al.* (2015). PLEKHM1 regulates *Salmonella*-
832 containing vacuole biogenesis and infection. *Cell Host Microbe* 17, 58-71.
- 833 McGourty, K., Thurston, T.L., Matthews, S.A., Pinaud, L., Mota, L.J., and Holden, D.W. (2012).
834 *Salmonella* inhibits retrograde trafficking of mannose-6-phosphate receptors and
835 lysosome function. *Science* 338, 963-967.
- 836 Mohammadi, S., and Isberg, R.R. (2013). Cdc42 interacts with the exocyst complex to
837 promote phagocytosis. *J Cell Biol* 200, 81-93.
- 838 Muder, R.R., Yu, V.L., and Woo, A.H. (1986). Mode of transmission of *Legionella*
839 *pneumophila*. A critical review. *Arch Intern Med* 146, 1607-1612.
- 840 Nash, T.W., Libby, D.M., and Horwitz, M.A. (1984). Interaction between the Legionnaires'
841 disease bacterium (*Legionella pneumophila*) and human alveolar macrophages.
842 Influence of antibody, lymphokines, and hydrocortisone. *J Clin Invest* 74, 771-782.
- 843 Noakes, C.J., Lee, G., and Lowe, M. (2011). The PH domain proteins IPIP27A and B link
844 OCRL1 to receptor recycling in the endocytic pathway. *Mol Biol Cell* 22, 606-623.
- 845 Pilla, D.M., Hagar, J.A., Haldar, A.K., Mason, A.K., Degrandi, D., Pfeffer, K., Ernst, R.K.,
846 Yamamoto, M., Miao, E.A., and Coers, J. (2014). Guanylate binding proteins promote
847 caspase-11-dependent pyroptosis in response to cytoplasmic LPS. *Proc Natl Acad*
848 *Sci U S A* 111, 6046-6051.
- 849 Pirruccello, M., and De Camilli, P. (2012). Inositol 5-phosphatases: insights from the Lowe
850 syndrome protein OCRL. *Trends Biochem Sci* 37, 134-143.
- 851 Rowbotham, T.J. (1980). Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of *Legionella pneumophila*
852 for freshwater and soil amoebae. *J Clin Pathol* 33, 1179-1183.
- 853 Sarantis, H., Balkin, D.M., De Camilli, P., Isberg, R.R., Brumell, J.H., and Grinstein, S. (2012).
854 *Yersinia* entry into host cells requires Rab5-dependent dephosphorylation of
855 PI(4,5)P(2) and membrane scission. *Cell Host Microbe* 11, 117-128.

- Segal, G. (2013). Identification of *Legionella* effectors using bioinformatic approaches. *Methods Mol Biol* 954, 595-602.
- Segal, G., and Shuman, H.A. (1999). *Legionella pneumophila* utilizes the same genes to multiply within *Acanthamoeba castellanii* and human macrophages. *Infect Immun* 67, 2117-2124.
- Sexton, J.A., Pinkner, J.S., Roth, R., Heuser, J.E., Hultgren, S.J., and Vogel, J.P. (2004). The *Legionella pneumophila* PilT homologue DotB exhibits ATPase activity that is critical for intracellular growth. *J Bacteriol* 186, 1658-1666.
- Sharma, S., Skowronek, A., and Erdmann, K.S. (2015). The role of the Lowe syndrome protein OCRL in the endocytic pathway. *Biol Chem* 396, 1293-1300.
- Shi, J., Zhao, Y., Wang, K., Shi, X., Wang, Y., Huang, H., Zhuang, Y., Cai, T., Wang, F., and Shao, F. (2015). Cleavage of GSDMD by inflammatory caspases determines pyroptotic cell death. *Nature* 526, 660-665.
- Swan, L.E., Tomasini, L., Pirruccello, M., Lunardi, J., and De Camilli, P. (2010). Two closely related endocytic proteins that share a common OCRL-binding motif with APPL1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107, 3511-3516.
- Swanson, M.S., and Isberg, R.R. (1995). Association of *Legionella pneumophila* with the macrophage endoplasmic reticulum. *Infect Immun* 63, 3609-3620.
- Tilney, L.G., Harb, O.S., Connelly, P.S., Robinson, C.G., and Roy, C.R. (2001). How the parasitic bacterium *Legionella pneumophila* modifies its phagosome and transforms it into rough ER: implications for conversion of plasma membrane to the ER membrane. *J Cell Sci* 114, 4637-4650.
- Toulabi, L., Wu, X., Cheng, Y., and Mao, Y. (2013). Identification and structural characterization of a *Legionella* phosphoinositide phosphatase. *J Biol Chem* 288, 24518-24527.
- Tsujishita, Y., Guo, S., Stolz, L.E., York, J.D., and Hurley, J.H. (2001). Specificity determinants in phosphoinositide dephosphorylation: crystal structure of an archetypal inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase. *Cell* 105, 379-389.
- Vicinanza, M., Di Campli, A., Polishchuk, E., Santoro, M., Di Tullio, G., Godi, A., Levchenko, E., De Leo, M.G., Polishchuk, R., Sandoval, L., et al. (2011). OCRL controls trafficking through early endosomes via PtdIns4,5P(2)-dependent regulation of endosomal actin. *EMBO J* 30, 4970-4985.
- Vogel, J.P., Andrews, H.L., Wong, S.K., and Isberg, R.R. (1998). Conjugative transfer by the virulence system of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Science* 279, 873-876.
- Zhu, W., Banga, S., Tan, Y., Zheng, C., Stephenson, R., Gately, J., and Luo, Z.Q. (2011). Comprehensive identification of protein substrates of the Dot/Icm type IV transporter of *Legionella pneumophila*. *PLoS One* 6, e17638.

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

B

C

D

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Supp. Figure S1

Supp. Figure S2

Supp. Figure S3

Supp. Figure S4