bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1

Genomic heritability of song and condition in wild singing mice

- 2
- 3 Author names and addresses: Tracy T. Burkhard^{a*}, Mikhail Matz^a, Steven M. Phelps^{a*}
- ^aDepartment of Integrative Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX
- 5
- 6 *Corresponding authors: tburk@utexas.edu, sphelps@utexas.edu
- 7
- 8 Key words: heritability, repeatability, Scotinomys, condition dependence, good genes, RAD-
- 9 seq

10 Abstract

11 Courtship displays are dramatic examples of complex behaviors that vary within and among species. Evolutionary explanations for this diversity rely upon genetic variation, yet the 12 13 heritability of complex phenotypes is seldom investigated in the field. Here, we estimate genomic heritability of advertisement song and body condition in a wild population of singing 14 mice. The heritability of song exhibits a systematic pattern, with high heritability for spectral 15 characteristics linked to vocal morphology, intermediate heritability for rhythmic patterns, and 16 lower but significant heritability for measures of motivation, like song length and rate. 17 18 Physiological measures of condition, like hormonal markers of adiposity, exhibited intermediate 19 heritability. Among singing mice, song rate and body condition have a strong phenotypic 20 correlation; our estimate suggests a comparable genetic correlation that merits further study. 21 Our results illustrate how advances in genomics and guantitative genetics can be integrated in 22 free-living species to address longstanding challenges in behavior and evolution.

23 Introduction

24 A fundamental challenge in evolutionary biology is to understand the origins of phenotypic diversity. The concept of heritability (h^2) —the proportion of phenotypic variance due to genetic 25 26 differences in a population (Falconer, 1981; Visscher et al., 2008)—is crucial to this 27 understanding because it predicts a trait's evolutionary response to selection (Falconer, 1981; 28 Fisher, 1930; Lande, 1976; Price et al., 1991; Visscher et al., 2008). Traits of high heritability are 29 more responsive to selection than traits of low heritability (Falconer, 1981; Lande, 1976), knowledge long exploited by livestock and agriculture breeders (Cassell et al., 2009; Dudley and 30 31 Lambert, 2010; Hill and Kirkpatrick, 2010; Visscher et al., 2008) and famously illustrated by the 32 rapid, repeated adaptation of highly heritable beak size in Darwin's finches (Grant and Grant, 33 1993, 1995). 34 Few traits pose such interesting and challenging examples of phenotypic evolution as male 35 sexual signals. Advertisement displays are present across diverse taxonomic groups (Wiens 36 and Tuschhoff, 2020), vary dramatically within and among species (within: Dubuc et al., 2014; 37 Izzo and Tibbetts, 2015; Karin et al., 2018; between: Ingram et al., 2016; Vanhooydonck et al., 38 2009), and evolve under both natural and sexual selection (Andersson, 1981; Endler, 1992). Heritable variation is not merely a substrate for this diversity but underlies common explanations 39 40 for exaggerated male traits. Models of signal evolution by female choice assume heritable variation of male display, for example, but a popular subset also predicts genetic correlations 41 between sexual display and male fitness or condition-correlations that are interpreted to 42 43 indicate that females use displays to gauge the heritable fitness of males (Andersson, 1986; 44 Hamilton and Zuk, 1982; Kirkpatrick and Ryan, 1991; Rowe and Houle, 1996).

Of course, not all variation in displays is heritable: sexual displays are complex, encompassing
both stable structural elements and the dynamic behaviors that animate them. Relative to stable
morphological elements of display, behavioral components are often highly specific to social

48 and environmental contexts. For example, animals increase signaling effort (e.g. display rate or 49 duration) in the presence of an audience, a widespread phenomenon that has been documented in vocalizing hyraxes (Demartsev et al., 2014), courting betta fish (Doutrelant et al., 50 51 2001), and many other taxa (reviewed in Zuberbühler, 2008). Behavioral components may also 52 rely on male body condition (Cotton et al., 2004; Johnstone, 1993; Rowe and Houle, 1996), particularly if they are energetically expensive to produce (Chappell et al., 1995; Ophir et al., 53 2010) or incur the expense of fight or flight (Bachmann et al., 2017; Zuk and Kolluru, 1998). 54 55 Different facets of display may thus reflect varying degrees of heritability. Even if selection 56 pressures are consistent across these dimensions of display, sexual signals may evolve not as a single phenotype but as discrete components that undergo different rates of evolution (e.g. 57 acoustic characteristics of frog calls, Cocroft and Ryan, 1995). Investigating the nature and 58 extent of heritable variation underlying sexual signals is thus especially important to our broader 59 60 understanding of how complex traits evolve. 61 Although heritability is essential to understanding evolution, it is challenging to study. By 62 definition, heritability is highly population-specific – and its measures are sensitive to genetic 63 variation and environmental circumstances (Falconer, 1981; Visscher et al., 2008; Waldmann, 2001; but see contrary evidence in Dochtermann et al., 2019; Waldmann, 2001). Despite its 64 65 obvious value, our understanding of the quantitative genetics of wild populations has been limited due to the difficulties of ascertaining genetic relatedness in the field (Gienapp et al., 66

67 2017). One popular surrogate for heritability, especially in behavioral ecology, is repeatability,

which eliminates the need for *a priori* knowledge of relatedness altogether (Bell et al., 2009;
Boake, 1989; Dingemanse et al., 2002). Repeatability is facile and offers an upper bound
estimate for heritability (Boake, 1989), but because direct extrapolation of repeatability to
heritability can vary in reliability (*e.g.* can underestimate heritability, Dohm, 2002; St-Hilaire et
al., 2017), its most appropriate use may be limited to hypothesis generation. Conversely,

73 rigorous pedigree analysis, long the standard for quantitative genetics, is labor-intensive and 74 impractical for many species that are either long-lived or difficult to track (Gienapp et al., 2017). Recent advances in genomics and quantitative genetics afford new opportunities for assessing 75 76 heritability in non-model and wild populations. In traditional pediaree analysis, pediarees are 77 fitted into animal models, linear (or generalized linear) mixed models first developed for animal 78 breeding programs (Henderson, 1950; Hill and Kirkpatrick, 2010) and later applied to 79 evolutionary questions (Kruuk, 2004; Lynch et al., 1998). The advent of reduced representation 80 next-generation sequencing techniques, such as RAD-seg (Peterson et al., 2012), allows 81 researchers to estimate population genome-wide relatedness without need for pedigree. 82 marking a significant turning point for researchers interested in the quantitative genetics of wild populations. Genomic relatedness matrices (GRM) are estimated by identity-by-descent 83 inference (Mousseau et al., 1998; Ritland, 1996) and can then be fitted into mixed models in lieu 84 85 of pedigrees, resulting in estimates of "SNP-based" or "genomic" heritability. Researchers in 86 human genetics, biostatistics, and quantitative genetics have developed computational tools 87 that accommodate complex genomic models, which has led to important insights into complex human traits (e.g. Yang et al., 2010). Researchers in ecology and evolution have recently begun 88 to apply these methods in non-model species to investigate heritable variation in the wild 89 90 (Gervais et al., 2020, 2019; Perrier et al., 2018). 91 Here, we apply SNP-based methods to investigate patterns of heritability in singing behavior 92 and body condition in a Costa Rican population of Alston's singing mice (Scotinomys tequina). 93 Singing mice are small, diurnal, and insectivorous muroid rodents of the family Cricetidae,

94 eponymously named for their stereotyped advertisement songs (Hooper, 1972; Fig. 1).

95 Scotinomys song makes a particularly interesting model for the study of signal evolution, being

96 both acoustically complex and ecologically important. By the standards of muroid rodent

97 vocalizations, songs are loud and long (7-10 s; Hooper and Carleton, 1976), comprising a

rapidly repeated series of stereotyped, tonal frequency sweeps notes that span both audible

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 1: Singing behavior of a wild population of Alston's singing mice (*Scotinomys teguina*). (*A*) Still from a video of a singing male mouse. (*B*) Typical grassland and forest habitat characterizing San Gerardo de Dota, Costa Rica. (*C*) Example spectrogram of an advertisement song. Lower panels: *left* and *middle* depict a close-up of a single note at 5 s during the song. *Left*, spectrogram detail of note with minimum and maximum frequency labeled. A quadratic curve was fit to each note to measure note frequency modulation (FM). FMa describes note curvature, FMb describes slope, and FMc describes starting frequency. *Middle*, waveform detail of note with peak amplitude, peak amplitude within first quarter of note, and note duration labeled. *Right*, note duration changes as a function of song length. Photo credit: (*A*) B. Pasch, (*B*) T. Burkhard.

- 99 and ultrasonic (> 20kHz) frequencies. This suite of acoustic traits characterizes a notable
- 100 departure from the short and simple ancestral ultrasonic vocalizations typical of most muroid
- rodents (Miller and Engstrom, 2007, 2012) and makes song detectable over long distances.
- 102 Indeed, singing mouse song seems designed for that purpose, playing roles in both mate
- attraction and male-male competition (Fernández-Vargas et al., 2011; Hooper and Carleton,
- 104 1976; George, 2014; Pasch et al., 2011a, 2013). Interestingly, aspects of singing effort are
- predicted by male body condition (Burkhard et al., 2018; Pasch et al., 2011a), providing a
- 106 putative mechanism for signaling decisions and female preferences.

Table 1: Trapping summary.	Elevation taken at GF	'S waypoint.
----------------------------	-----------------------	--------------

Site	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation (m)	Sampled mice
Robles	9°33'7.13"N	83°47'44.57"W	2580	4
QERC	9°33'9.44"N	83°48'22.88"W	2227	134
PH	9°54'9.55"N	83°81'0.23"W	2221	6
PC	9°58'8.55"N	83°79'9.22"W	2577	1
MH	9°58'0.62"N	83°79'9.35"W	2521	20

107 To examine patterns of heritability within this species, we recorded and analyzed songs and singing behavior from 168 wild-caught mice from San Gerardo de Dota, Costa Rica (Fig. 1, 108 Table 1), and we quantified acoustic repeatability within and variation among individuals. We 109 110 measured a variety of condition phenotypes from these mice, including morphometric traits, 111 plasma nutrients, and circulating hormone levels, and then examined the phenotypic relationships between condition and song (Fig. 2, Table 2). We generated genotype data for 157 112 mice using ddRAD-seq, and then deduced pairwise genetic covariance matrices. Finally, we 113 114 used these data to fit animal models to explore patterns of heritability and co-heritability in song 115 and condition phenotypes. Our study provides the first heritability estimates for complex signaling behaviors and their physiological substrates in a wild population and illustrates the 116 117 utility of such methods to address evolutionary questions.

118 Results

119 Phenotypic sampling

120 We sampled a population of singing mice from San Gerardo de Dota, Costa Rica (Fig. 3, Table

121 1), taking a variety of measurements of song and condition from these wild-caught animals.

122 Summary statistics, sample sizes, and breakdown by sex for all measured phenotypes are

reported in Table 2.

124 We successfully recorded long songs and spontaneous song rate (*i.e.* number of songs in two

hours) from 106 and 129 mice, respectively (Table 2). Mice with at least one song were included

in principal components analysis (PCA) of acoustic variation, which revealed two latent

variables, PC1 ("song effort", 23.1% of overall variance), which explained individual variation in

amplitude and duration, and PC2 ("spectral characteristics", 19.1%), which explained individual

variation in frequency characteristics of song, particularly note shape (Fig. 2, Table S1).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 2: Acoustic variation in songs of wild singing mice. (A) Biplots from PCA of Scotinomys song. Left, biplot showing how variables loaded in PC space. In red, parameters loading strongly on PC1 ("song effort"). In blue, parameters loading strongly on PC2 ("spectral characteristics"). Right, individual singers plotted in PC space. Points colored red and blue are individuals that produced the songs plotted in B and C, respectively. (B) Variation in song effort. Left, song loading strongly and negatively on PC1. Right, song loading strongly and positively on PC1. (C) Variation in spectral characteristics. Top panels depict full spectrograms of songs with lower panels depicting 0.1 ms of song

taken at 1 s, 3 s, and 5 s. Left, song loading strongly and negatively on PC2. *Right*, song loading strongly and positively on PC2.

We regressed body mass (RBM) as a common measure of body condition in 165 mice and measured anogenital distance (AGD) in 159 mice. We took plasma samples from each of the 165 mice, but only male plasma was processed; of these, 78 samples had enough plasma to complete at least one assay. All plasma measures fell within the range of values reported for laboratory mice except adiponectin, an adiposity hormone involved in glucose and fatty acid metabolism (*Mus*: 3000–15 000 ng ml⁻¹, Friedman and Halaas, 1998; *Scotinomys*: 50–350 ng

136	ml ⁻¹ , Burkhard et al., 2018). Fifty-two samples met the requirements to be included in PCA of
137	body condition (see Methods), which revealed three latent variables. PC1 ("PC1 condition",
138	34.5% of total variation) described individual variation in glucose, fatty acids, and insulin, which
139	we interpreted as metabolic responses to recent feeding. PC2 ("PC2 condition", 22.2%)
140	described variation in residual body mass (RBM) and adiponectin, an adiposity hormone that
141	modulates glucose and lipid metabolism; while PC3 ("PC3 condition", 17.2%) described
142	variation in leptin, an adiposity hormone that helps regulate body weight, and adiponectin (Table
143	S2). We interpreted these latter two composite variables as putatively stable indicators of long-
144	term body composition and regulation of energy stores.

Table 2: Sample sizes and summary statistics for each song and condition phenotype recorded from 165 singing mice.

Phenotype	Units	NTotal	N (්)	N (♀)	Mean ± SD	Range	
				2.1 ± 2.5	0 – 14		
Song rate	songs/2h	129	97	7 32	2.2 ± 2.3 (♂)	0−9 (♂)	
					1.8 ± 2.8 (♀)	0 – 14 (♀)	
					6.3 ± 1.5	4.1 – 8.8	
Song length	S	106	84	22	6.7 ± 1.1 (♂)	4.1 – 8.8 (♂́)	
				_	6.1 ± 0.9 (♀)	4.4 – 7.7 (♀)	
					6.7 ± 1.1	8.5 – 15.9	
Trill rate	notes/s	106	84	22	11.8 ± 1.5 (♂)	8.5 – 15.9 (්)	
				_	12.1 ± 1.1 (♀)	9.1 – 13.6 (♀)	
		es 106			76.5 ± 12.8	43.5 – 104	
Note number	notes		84	84 22	77.5 ± 12.8 (♂)	43.5 – 104 (♂́)	
					73.0 ± 12.6 (♀)	55 – 104 (♀)	
_ · · .			106 84	34 22	24670.8 ± 3782.8	18052 – 35272	
Dominant	kHz	106			24596.1 ± 3856.7 (♂)	18052 – 35272 (්)	
noquonoy					24942.5 ± 3573.2 (♀)	20079 – 32158 (♀)	
						25064.6 ± 7799.7	3306 – 45949
Mean bandwidth	Mean kHz 106 84		22	24439.3 ± 8209.9 (♂)	3306 – 45949 (්)		
buridwidth					27338.3 ± 5667.5 (♀)	19599 – 44188 (♀)	
.					13660.62 ± 13856.53	15872 – 92570	
frequency	kHz	106	84	22	14935.4 ± 15396.04 (♂)	15872 – 92570 (්)	
nequency					9025.06 ± 1740 (♀)	36621 – 89264 (♀)	
					55035.0 ± 18821.1	15872 – 92570	
Maximum	kHz	106	84	22	54309.9 ± 19123.3 (♂)	15872 – 92570 (්)	
nequency					57671.6 ± 17851.8 (♀)	36621 – 89264 (♀)	
Entropy	nat	106	84	22	-4.7 ± 0.4	-5.64.0	

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

					-4.6 ± 0.35 (ೆ)	- 5.6 – −4.0 (♂)
					-4.8 ± 0.4 (♀)	-5.3 – -4.0 (♀)
ddRAD	NA	157	128	29	NA	
PC condition	NA	52	52	0		
Mass	g	165	133	32	12.6 ± 1.5	8 – 16.4
Residual body mass	g	165	133	32	0 ± 1	-3.1 – 2.5
Hindfoot	mm	165	133	32	16.4 ± 0.6	15 – 18
A 14 I					5.5 ± 1.3	2 – 8
Anogenital	mm	159	127	32	2.2 ± 2.3 (♂)	0−9 (♂)
distance					1.8 ± 2.8 (♀)	0 – 14 (♀)
Glucose	ml dl ⁻¹	78	78	0	111.9 ± 66.6	14.71 – 378.5
Triglycerides	ml dl ⁻¹	74	74	0	201.7 ± 119.5	52.2 – 718.3
Adiponectin	ng ml ⁻¹	63	63	0	132.8 ± 52.2	53.4 – 324.2
Insulin	pg ml ⁻¹	56	56	0	585.7 ± 502.7	69.0 – 2814.1
Leptin	pg ml ⁻¹	56	56	0	2162.0 ± 1332.9	9.0 – 6711.0
Cholesterol	ml dl ⁻¹	32	32	0	219.8 ± 64.6	18.5 – 340.7
Phospholipids	ml dl ⁻¹	32	32	0	347.4 ± 106.4	41.9 – 526.4
Non-esterified fatty acids	ml dl ⁻¹	30	30	0	0.7 ± 0.2	0.3 – 1.3
PC song + PC condition	NA	41	41	0	NA	
PC song + ddRAD	NA	101	81	20	NA	
PC condition+ ddRAD	NA	49	49	0	NA	
PC song + PC condition + ddRAD	NA	39	39	0	NA	

145

146 Variant calling

We used ddRAD-sequencing (Peterson et al., 2012) to genotype 162 mice. Five samples had 147 poor coverage and were excluded from downstream analyses. We demultiplexed and trimmed 148 149 reads using the process_radtags command from the Stacks pipeline (v1.46.0; Rochette and 150 Catchen, 2017), then mapped trimmed reads to the S. teguina reference genome (annotated, 151 unpublished) using Bowtie 2 (v2.3.2; Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). After pruning the remaining 157 genotypes for minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.1 and for sex-linked markers 152 153 using VCFTOOLS (v0.1.15; Danecek et al., 2011) and ANGSD (v0.929-13; Korneliussen et al., 154 2014), we retained 31,003 SNPs.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

11

Site 1	Site 2	Geographic distance (m)	Elevation change (m)	FST
PH	Robles	1610	359	0.08
QERC	PH	538	6	0.05
QERC	Robles	1180	353	0.04
QERC	MH	3190	294	0.05
QERC	PC	4100	350	0.07
MH	PH	3650	300	0.07
MH	Robles	3220	59	0.08
MH	PC	870	56	0.06
PC	PH	4490	356	0.13
PC	Robles	4080	3	0.12

Table 3: Trapping site statistics. Geographic distance and elevational change calculated from GPS waypoints.

155 Population structure and individual relatedness

156 Because population structure can influence estimates of heritability, we first examined 157 population genetic structure (Fig. 3). Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) revealed low but statistically significant genetic differentiation between trapping 158 sites ($R^2 = 0.027$, P = 0.001), and principal coordinate analysis of the genotypic data revealed 159 160 distinct but overlapping genetic clusters explained by trapping site (Fig. 3b). Consistent with this 161 interpretation, we estimated generally low FST between sites (range: 5.7E-06 – 0.13) and found FST increased with geographic, though not elevational, distance (two-sided Mantel test; 162 geographic distance: r = 0.68, P = 0.02; elevational distance: r = -0.05, P = 0.91; Table 3). We 163 next estimated relatedness of individuals within our sample. Most pairwise genetic relationships 164 165 were the equivalent of unrelated individuals, but we also uncovered stronger genetic

relationships equivalent to half-sibling and full-sibling relatedness (Fig. 3c).



Figure 3: Geographic structure of relatedness in a wild population of singing mice. (A) Map of Costa Rica with zoomedin panel of trapping sites within the valley of San Gerardo de Dota. (B-C) Colored by site from A. (B) Biplot of first two PCs from PCoA of genetic distance between individual mice. (C) Heatmap of genetic relatedness matrix (GRM) for 157 mice. Hierarchical cluster branches annotated by trapping site as in A. See Table 1 for elevation data and sample sizes.

167 Repeatability of acoustic variation

168 We estimated repeatability of acoustic characteristics by calculating intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC). Repeatability ranged from negligible (ICC < 0.2) to high (ICC \ge 0.5; Table S3) 169 and was generally greater for spectral song descriptors than for those related to song effort 170 171 (spectral: mean ICC = 0.36, range = 0 - 0.76; effort: ICC = 0.21, 0 - 0.45). This pattern became 172 more pronounced when considering only whole-song descriptors (*i.e.* song length, dominant frequency; Table S3), with whole-song spectral measures having greater mean repeatability 173 than whole-song measures of effort (spectral: mean ICC = 0.32, range = 0.43 - 0.76; effort: ICC174 175 = 0.32, 0.30 – 0.33; Table S3, Fig. 4). The top three most repeatable aspects of song ($ICC \ge$ 0.6) were spectral characteristics: song mean bandwidth ($ICC \pm SE$; BW: 0.76 \pm 0.02), minimum 176 frequency (minHz: 0.76 ± 0.03), and the starting frequency of the first note of a song (FMc_c: 177

					95%	HDPI
Туре	Trait	Ν	V_G/V_P	SE	Lower	Upper
	Hindfoot	154	0.20	0.13	0.00	0.52
	PC1 condition	49	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.26
Condition	PC2 condition	49	0.34	0.24	0.00	0.94
	PC3 condition	49	0.45	0.25	0.00	1.00
	RBM	154	0.27	0.19	0.00	0.75
Development	AGD	148	0.25	0.17	0.00	0.65
	Entropy	101	0.48	0.25	0.00	0.97
	Max Hz	101	0.88	0.09	0.64	1.00
	Mean BW	101	0.90	0.10	0.62	1.00
	Min Hz	101	0.87	0.10	0.60	1.00
	PC2 spectral chars	97	0.61	0.25	0.02	0.99
	Song DF	101	0.55	0.25	0.02	1.00
	Fma_a	102	0.32	0.15	0.00	0.60
	Fma_b	102	0.48	0.22	0.00	0.84
Song	Fma_c	102	0.61	0.25	0.02	1.00
	Fmb_a	102	0.09	0.06	0.00	0.23
	Fmb_b	102	0.12	0.07	0.00	0.29
	Fmb_c	102	0.42	0.21	0.00	0.81
	Note num	101	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.10
	PC1 song effort	97	0.06	0.05	0.00	0.21
	Song length	101	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.06
	Song rate	119	0.59	0.25	0.00	0.98
	Trill rate	101	0.14	0.12	0.00	0.45

Table 4: Heritability estimates for song and condition phenotypes. 95% HPDI = 95% highest posterior density credible interval.

- 180 with ICC \ge 0.5. Twelve parameters, including dominant frequency and song length, were
- modestly repeatable $(0.5 > ICC \ge 0.2)$, while the remaining 13 parameters had negligible
- 182 repeatability.
- 183 Heritability of song and condition
- 184 We estimated heritability by fitting animal models using maximum likelihood (ML) estimation
- implemented using standalone software GCTA (Yang et al., 2011) and using Bayesian Markov

^{178 0.61} \pm 0.04). Entropy, a whole-song description of tonality (0.59 \pm 0.04), maximum frequency

^{179 (}maxHz: 0.56 ± 0.05), and FMb_c, starting note slope (0.52 ± 0.04) were the other measures

186 chain Monte Carlo methods implemented with R-package brms (Bürkner, 2018, 2017). Heritability estimates derived from GCTA and brms were generally consistent for the same 187 phenotypes with a notable exception. PC1 condition (GCTA: $h^2 = 0.96 \pm 0.59$. brms: $h^2 = 0.07 \pm 0.07$ 188 189 0.07: Table 4, Table S4). Such disagreements result from how the two approaches deal with 190 sparse data-for example, due to low levels of true heritability or small sample size. In these cases, ML estimation tends to be extremely variable and may report values that are either very 191 192 large or zero (e.g. Beerli, 2006), while Bayesian approaches, given appropriate priors, offer 193 more reliable results, as demonstrated by analyses of both empirical and simulated data (Beerli, 194 2006; Charmantier et al., 2011; Van de Schoot et al., 2015; Villemereuil et al., 2013). Credible intervals from Bayesian analysis are easily interpretable and provide distributional information 195 196 indicating the most probable value and associated certainty (de Villemereuil, 2019; Kruschke 197 and Liddell, 2018). For these reasons, we report here only heritability estimates (± SE) and 95% highest posterior density credible intervals (HPDI) from Bayesian models. For comparison, 198 GCTA results are reported in Supplemental Files (Table S4). 199

200 Patterns of heritable variation in songs

210

201 Heritability estimates for whole-song measures related to song effort (h^2 range: 0.02 – 0.59, mean: 0.19) were generally lower than estimates for whole-song spectral measures (h^2 range: 202 203 0.48 – 0.90, mean: 0.57; Fig. 4, Table 4). PC composite variables followed this pattern, with PC1 having negligible heritability ($h^2 = 0.06 \pm 0.05$) and PC2 having high heritability ($h^2 = 0.61 \pm 0.05$) 204 205 0.25). Mean song bandwidth, maximum song frequency, and minimum song frequency were the 206 most heritable acoustic traits, with $h^2 \ge 0.8$, while song length and note number were the least heritable traits, with $h^2 \le 0.05$. One notable exception to the general pattern was song rate, the 207 number of songs produced in two hours, which had high heritability ($h^2 = 0.59 \pm 0.25$). 208 209 We also examined the repeatability and heritability of several measures describing note shape

(*i.e.* note curvature, FMa_X; note slope, FMb_x) and how shape changes over the course of a

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 4: Heritable and repeatable variation in songs. *(A)* Repeatability (rpt) and heritability (brms) estimates from different models for song effort characteristics. *(B)* Estimates for spectral characteristics. Error bars indicate standard errors.

- song (Fig 5); for example, FMa_A describes how rapidly note-to-note differences in note
- curvature happen over course of song, FMa_B describes the change in note curvature between
- first and second notes, and FMa_C describes the note curvature in first note in song (Campbell
- et al., 2010). We estimated intermediate to high repeatability and heritability for FMx_C terms;
- by contrast, we found low to intermediate repeatability and heritability for FMx_B terms and
- negligible to low repeatability and heritability for FMx_A terms (Tables 4, S3).
- 217 Patterns of heritable variation in body condition and morphology
- 218 Condition and morphological measures ranged in heritability from low to intermediate heritability
- 219 (h^2 range: 0.07 0.45, Fig. 6a, Table 4). Anogenital distance (AGD), a morphological indicator
- of developmental exposure to androgens, had moderate heritability ($h^2 = 0.25 \pm 0.17$). Hindfoot
- length and RBM also had moderate heritability (hindfoot: $h^2 = 0.20 \pm 0.13$; RBM: $h^2 = 0.27 \pm 0.13$; RBM: $h^2 = 0.13$; RBM: $h^2 = 0.13$; RBM: $h^2 = 0.13$; RBM: $h^2 =$
- 0.19). Measures of long-term body composition (*i.e.* PC2 and PC3 condition) were more
- heritable (PC2 condition: $h^2 = 0.34 \pm 0.24$; PC3 condition: $h^2 = 0.45 \pm 0.25$). Finally, short-term
- fluctuations in nutrients (PC1 condition) had low heritability ($h^2 = 0.07 \pm 0.07$).



Figure 5: Heritability of note shape. (*A*) Close-ups of a single note over 50 ms. *Left*, note with low FMb and FMa (shallow note slope and curvature). *Right*, note with high FMb and FMa (steep note slope and curvature). Black lines trace note curvature; dotted white lines indicate note slope. (*B*) Repeatability (rpt) and heritability (brms) estimates from different models for song effort characteristics. Error bars indicate standard errors.

225 Correlations between body condition and singing behavior

226 Phenotypic correlations of condition and song

- 227 We next examined phenotypic correlations of condition and song by fitting mixed models. Forty-
- 228 one male mice had both song and PC condition data and could be included in linear or
- 229 generalized linear mixed models for condition-dependence (Tables 2, S5). Acoustic
- 230 characteristics related to song effort were predicted by both body size and adiposity measures
- of condition: males with high PC2 condition scores sang longer songs with more notes than
- individuals with low PC2 condition scores (song length: $\beta_{PC2con} = 0.32$, SE = 0.10, P = 0.002;
- note number: $\beta_{PC2con} = 0.32$, SE = 0.10, P = 0.001, Fig. 6b). Similarly, males with high PC3
- 234 condition scores (high leptin, low adiponectin) produced more songs in the span of two hours
- than males with low PC3 condition scores (song rate: $\beta_{PC3con} = 0.21$, SE = 0.10, P = 0.04, Fig.
- 6c). Interestingly, we did not find a statistically clear effect of body size or adiposity measures
- 237 on PC1 song effort, but we did find a clear relationship between song effort and AGD, an
- indicator of phenotypic masculinity (song effort: $\beta_{agd} = 0.76$, SE = 0.36, P = 0.04).





Figure 6: Heritability of condition. Error bars indicate standard errors.

responses to recent feeding, did not predict any measure of song (Table S5).

249 Co-heritability of condition and song

250 We estimated genetic correlations for combinations of song effort characteristics (song length,

- note number, song rate) and condition measures (PC2, PC3) that were substantially
- 252 phenotypically correlated (Table S5). We also investigated genetic correlations between song
- effort characteristics and RBM; this comparison allowed us to examine the utility of the
- traditional body condition metric and afforded us greater statistical power. Correlations ranged
- from -0.19 to 0.61 and were generally larger in magnitude for traits that were more strongly
- 256 phenotypically correlated (Tables 5, S5). Total songs and PC3 of condition had the largest
- genetic correlation ($r = 0.61 \pm .29$); this was unsurprising given the intermediate to high
- 258 heritability estimates for each trait. In all cases, however, the 95% HPDI included the region of
- 259 practical equivalence (ROPE) around 0 (Kruschke and Liddell, 2018); thus, we did not find clear
- statistical evidence for significant genetic correlations in our study.

Troit 1	Trait 2	N	ro	SE	95% HPDI	
Trait	Trait 2	IN	ΤG	32	lower	upper
call length	RBM	80	0.14	0.15	-0.17	0.43
note number	RBM	80	-0.01	0.34	-0.65	0.66
total songs	RBM	118	0.19	0.35	-0.7	0.87
call length	PC2 condition	31	0.35	0.2	-0.07	0.69
note number	PC2 condition	31	0.02	0.34	-0.63	0.64
total songs	PC3 condition	49	0.61	0.29	-0.12	0.98

Table 5: Genetic correlations (r_G) between condition and song. 95% HPDI = highest posterior density credible intervals.

261 Discussion

262 In this study, we used genome-wide data to investigate heritable variation in a variety of 263 phenotypes related to advertisement display and body condition in a Costa Rican population of 264 Alston's singing mouse (Scotinomys teguina). We obtained 31,000 SNPs from ddRAD-seq that we then used to estimate genome-wide relatedness, finding genetic relationships ranging from 265 266 unrelated (rel \approx 0) to the equivalent of full siblings (rel \approx 0.5). We next calculated SNP-based heritability for various characteristics of singing behavior and measures of body condition. 267 confirming results with both frequentist and Bayesian modeling. We found that measures of 268 repeatability, a common surrogate for heritability (Boake, 1989), accurately predicted patterns of 269 270 heritability: characteristics related to song effort, which were modestly repeatable, had low to 271 moderate heritability, whereas spectral characteristics were often highly repeatable and heritable. We estimated intermediate heritability for patterns of body adiposity, while the 272 273 heritability of insulin and circulating nutrients was negligible. Adiposity and song effort exhibited 274 a strong phenotypic correlation, which was accompanied by a surprisingly high estimate of their co-heritability (r = 0.61). We now explore these findings in more depth. 275

276 Heritability and repeatability of singing behavior

Scotinomys songs vary in a wide range of acoustic attributes, and a principal components
analysis of acoustic features suggested two major dimensions of song variation: those related to
duration and amplitude, which we consider "song effort"; and those describing spectral
characteristics, specifically, the frequency modulation that occurs over the course of a song (Fig
2, 4). Although heritability varied greatly from feature to feature, ranging from values near 0.0 to
above 0.8, there were systematic patterns to the heritability of songs and their attributes (Table
5).

284 Among our various measures, whole-song spectral variation (dominant, maximum, and minimum frequency; mean bandwidth, entropy) was both highly repeatable and heritable. We 285 hypothesize that this heritability reflects anatomical variation or low-level motor control. For 286 287 example, dominant frequency ($h^2 = 0.55 \pm 0.25$; ICC = 0.43 ± 0.05) is a property of both the vocal tract and adjustments to its length or shape (Fitch, 2006; e.g. via lip contractions in 288 289 humans, Story et al., 2001; tongue placement or bill gape in songbirds, Langin et al., 2017, 290 Nelson et al., 2005; laryngeal descent in humans and deer, Fitch and Reby, 2001). Maximum frequency ($h^2 = 0.88 \pm 0.1$; *ICC* = 0.56) is likely a function of the cricothyroid, a muscle 291 292 controlling the length and tension of vocal folds (Jürgens, 2002; Riede and Brown, 2013). In 293 muroid mice that produce laryngeal whistles, variation in structures like the vocal folds and the ventral pouch are proposed to shape interspecific variation in dominant frequency (Riede et al., 294 295 2017; Riede and Pasch, 2020; Smith et al., 2020). At a phylogenetic scale, spectral properties are often constrained by body size, a relationship generally mediated by scaling of vocal 296 297 structures (Gillooly and Ophir, 2010; Ophir et al., 2010; Ryan and Brenowitz, 1985). The 298 relationship between body size and frequency is often observed within species as well, such as 299 in red deer (Reby and McComb, 2003), anurans (Gerhardt, 1982), songbirds (Ryan and Brenowitz, 1985), loons (Mager et al., 2007), and humans (Pisanski et al., 2014). It is by no 300

301 means universal, however (*e.g.* Martin et al., 2017), and we did not observe a relationship in our 302 sample.

While whole-song spectral characteristics were highly heritable, we also found substantial 303 heritability in frequency modulation. Six related variables strongly loaded on PC2: together. 304 305 these variables describe the frequency modulation that characterizes a note and how it changes 306 over the course of a song (Figs. 2, 5). To appreciate how these are related, imagine a single 307 note composed of a downward frequency sweep that begins and ends at characteristic 308 frequencies defined by the performance of the vocal tract. A given note may proceed linearly 309 from the beginning frequency to the end frequency with a relatively shallow slope, or may 310 change rapidly in frequency initially, and then flatten out (Fig. 5a). These two parameters are the starting slope and curvature of a note (*i.e.* FMb, and FMa, the linear and quadratic terms in the 311 equation: $Frequency = FMc + FMb \times time + FMa \times time^2$; Figs. 1, 5). We find that the slope 312 and curvature of notes are strongly correlated with one another, and change systematically over 313 the course of the song. Starting note shape (FMb C, FMa C) exhibits high repeatability and 314 315 heritability (Tables 4, S3). Unlike many other spectral features of the song, note shape reflects 316 the temporal dynamics of muscle activity over the course of a note. Such timing must reside in central pattern generators (CPGs), such as those vocal, orofacial and respiratory CPGs thought 317 318 to reside in the brainstem (Zheng, 2020). In singing mice, laryngeal and jaw muscles important 319 for vocalization seem to be coordinated by a putative CPG within the reticular formation (Zheng 320 et al., manuscript).

The fact that note shapes vary by individual and are highly heritable is also consistent with prior suggestions that they contribute to identity signaling in this species (Burkhard et al., 2018). Other studies have found that ecological contexts can favor individually distinctive social signals (Burt and Beecher, 2008; Sheehan and Tibbetts, 2010; Stoddard and Beecher, 1983). If this were true, it would predict that not only could animals use note shape and other spectral features to recognize individuals, but that balancing selection may actively promote diversity of

neural activity patterns within CPGs. These results highlight a need to examine whether note
 shapes are in fact used in individual recognition.

329 In contrast to spectral features, we found low heritability for most song-effort traits. Our PC1 of song variation, which we labelled song effort, was low both in its repeatability and heritability 330 331 (ICC = 0.21, h^2 = 0.06). Measures like song length, note number, and trill rate (ICC < 0.35) all had negligible heritability ($h^2 < 0.1$). One explanation for this pattern is that highly plastic 332 behaviors, which are shaped by social context and experience, may be less heritable than traits 333 334 constrained by morphology (Boake, 1989; Mousseau and Roff, 1987). This phenomenon has been documented in other natural populations (e.a. three-spined stickleback: Dingemanse et al... 335 336 2009; island-adapted deer mice: Baier et al., 2019) and confirmed by meta-analyses of behavioral and morphological datasets (Mousseau and Roff, 1987; Stirling et al., 2002; but see 337 338 Jensen et al., 2003; Dochtermann et al., 2019). This interpretation is consistent with evidence 339 from singing mice suggesting that song effort is highly sensitive to social context and motivation. 340 Male singing mice increase song rate in response to winning fights (George, 2014), encounters 341 with females (Fernández-Vargas et al., 2011; Hooper and Carleton, 1976), and simply hearing another animal vocalize (Hooper and Carleton, 1976; Pasch et al., 2013). Singing mice also 342 343 exhibit dynamic "turn-taking" counter-singing behavior, rapidly modifying the starts and stops of 344 songs during social interactions (Okobi et al., 2019). Similar relationships between vocal effort and social experience are well documented in other taxa, particularly in avian (Catchpole and 345 346 Slater, 2008; Podos and Cohn-Haft, 2019) and anuran species (Bernal et al., 2009; Gerhardt, 347 1991; Ryan, 1985), in wild muroid rodents (Fernández-Vargas, 2018 a; Fernández-Vargas, 2018 b), and in other mammals (Briefer, 2012; Gouzoules and Gouzoules, 2000), including 348 humans (Bachorowski, 1999). Male music frogs dynamically adjust calling efforts based on 349 perceived sexual attractiveness of rivals (Fang et al., 2014), comparable to male responses to 350 351 competition in gray treefrogs (Gerhardt, 1991) and túngara frogs (Bernal et al., 2009; Ryan, 352 1985). Likewise, pair-bonded California mice increase their use of aggressive "bark"

vocalizations towards recently unfaithful partners (Pultorak et al., 2018), a behavioral response
with uncanny parallels to non-verbal human expressions of anger (Sauter et al., 2010).

355 Taken together, our data suggest that as we move from the peripheral vocal organs, through 356 low-level motor control, and finally to the higher-level neural centers that govern motivational 357 state and dynamic social interactions, aspects of song become progressively less heritable. This 358 seems to be a major finding of our analysis, but there are two anomalous aspects of our data 359 that merit some further discussion. The first is that stable differences in song duration, trill rate, 360 and related characteristics that seem negligibly heritable in our sample are nevertheless distinct among populations of singing mice (Campbell et al., 2010; Hooper and Carleton, 1976); these 361 362 differences persist in laboratory-reared mice (Campbell et al., 2014), suggesting a heritable basis for lineage differences. One possibility is that meaningful heritability is present in these 363 measures, but our power is insufficient to detect it. A second possibility is that low heritability in 364 365 contemporary populations may be a by-product of past selection. Local adaptation, for example, 366 would preserve between-lineage heritability while reducing within-population genetic variance 367 (Falconer, 1981; Mousseau and Roff, 1987). Future work will investigate the relationship 368 between acoustic and genetic variation across populations of singing mice. The second 369 anomaly in our findings is that song rate, which we interpret as a motivational aspect of song, is surprisingly heritable ($h^2 = 0.59 \pm 0.25$). We recently reported that manipulating perceived body 370 condition by injecting leptin increases song rate, but not song duration. Perhaps song rate is 371 372 particularly strongly influenced by trade-offs associated with body condition – a hypothesis that 373 is supported by phenotypic correlations between the two dimensions (discussed below).

374 Heritability of condition

Body condition holds evolutionary significance for two major reasons: it is thought to be tightly linked to fitness, and it is integral to many models of signal evolution. As a result, its heritability is also of evolutionary interest. While fitness-related traits are generally expected to have low

378 heritability (Falconer, 1981; Mousseau and Roff, 1987), it is not immediately obvious whether 379 condition should follow this pattern. First, certain indices of condition may not be as predictive of evolutionary fitness as others, or may predict different aspects of fitness; for instance, in 380 damselflies, body weight is negatively correlated with parasite-mediated mortality but has no 381 382 relationship with reproductive success (Rolff et al., 2002). Further, condition may be variably affected by contributions of environmental and genetic variation underlying its many 383 physiological and morphological constituents. Lastly, if condition is highly polygenic due to its 384 385 dependence on a large number of other aspects of phenotype, the high "mutational target size" 386 of the trait could allow heritable variation to persist in the face of selection – an argument that has been used to suggest that elaborate displays can serve as indicators of underlying genetic 387 viability (Rowe and Houle, 1996). 388

389 In the present study, we described body condition with measures of residual body mass and 390 various circulating nutrients and metabolic hormones, using PCA to define major dimensions of 391 variation. PC1 described variation in glucose, fatty acids, and insulin, which we interpreted as immediate metabolic responses to recent feeding or fasting. PC2 and PC3 each reflected 392 393 patterns of adiposity: PC2 described variation in body size and adiponectin, an adiposity 394 hormone involved in glucose and fat digestion, while PC3 described variation in adiponectin and 395 leptin, an adjposity hormone regulating body weight. Thus, we interpreted both PC2 and PC3 of condition as putatively stable indicators of body composition. 396

We found low heritability for circulating nutrients and insulin (PC1 condition: $h^2 = 0.07$), a result that is consistent with these measures as dynamic responses to recent feeding. Relative to the moderate heritability of RBM, stable indicators of body composition (PC2 and PC3 condition) were higher in heritability (Table 4, Fig. 6) but were lower than expected. Evidence from humans and laboratory rodents suggests a high heritability of adiposity- and metabolism-related traits, including body fat distribution (Schleinitz et al., 2014), percent body fat (Wuschke et al., 2007), fat type (Ferrannini et al., 2016), and metabolic rate (Pettersen et al., 2018); indeed, twin studies

report higher heritability of human body fat ($h^2 = 0.59-0.63$, Schousboe et al., 2004; $h^2 = 0.71-$ 0.75, Lehtovirta et al., 2010) and body mass index (BMI; $h^2 = 0.58-0.63$, Schousboe et al., 2004; $h^2 = 0.63-0.85$, Allison et al., 1996) than values we report here. However, an important distinction between human or lab rodent work and this study is that the former significantly reduces environmental variance by design whereas our focal population has been subject to natural environmental conditions.

410 Condition dependence

Elaborate displays can be costly to express, and are thought to rely on body condition (Bradbury 411 and Vehrencamp, 1998; Cotton et al., 2004; Johnstone, 1993). Condition dependence thus 412 plays an important role in individual signaling decisions, determining the expression and 413 414 intensity of labile signals, in addition to serving as a key mechanism for many models of signal evolution (Grafen, 1990; Kirkpatrick and Ryan, 1991; Pomiankowski, 1987; Zahavi, 1975). 415 416 Condition-dependence could also lead to genetic covariance between loci affecting condition 417 and display, a critical prediction of good-genes models of signal evolution (Kirkpatrick and Ryan, 1991; Rowe and Houle, 1996; Tomkins et al., 2004). 418

We tested whether major dimensions of song were phenotypically corelated with measures of 419 420 condition. As expected, stable spectral characteristics, putatively constrained by variation in vocal structures and morphology, lacked strong correlations with measures of body condition. 421 422 Conversely, we found significant relationships between elements of song effort, including song length, vocalization rate, and note number, and stable measures of body composition (PC2 and 423 PC3 of condition). These findings are consistent with previously reported relationships between 424 425 body condition and song in singing mice (Burkhard et al., 2018; Pasch et al., 2011b) and with 426 general patterns described in other taxa (e.g. birds: Houtman, 1992, Lampe et al., 1994; crickets: Thomson et al., 2014). For example, Thomas and Cuthill (2002) demonstrated that 427 428 European robins (*Erithacus rubecula*) song rate was positively correlated with body mass, such

429 that increases in body mass (*i.e.* increased fat reserves) predict more frequent singing behavior 430 (Thomas and Cuthill, 2002). Likewise, greater body mass predicts more elaborate repertoires and better performance in Java sparrows (Lonchura oryzibora) (Kagawa and Soma, 2013). 431 432 Possible explanations for this general phenomenon include the hypothesis that conspicuous 433 song, along with other labile displays, may be energetically costly to produce (Barske et al., 2013; Ward et al., 2003) or may elicit the energetic costs of predator evasion (Zuk and Kolluru, 434 1998). Signaling decisions are thus likely modulated not only by social context but by self-435 436 assessment of body condition (Santori et al., 2020), perhaps through interoceptive cues, like 437 adiponectin or leptin, that signal status of energetic reserves (Havel, 2001). 438 Both song rate and PC3 of condition (the adiposity hormones leptin and adiponectin) were remarkably heritable (Table 5), and our estimate for the genetic correlation between the two 439 440 suggests that roughly 60% of the heritability in song rate could be predicted by this measure of 441 condition (Table 6). This estimate is surprisingly large – it is comparable, for example, to 442 published genetic correlations between closely related psychiatric conditions like schizophrenia 443 and bipolar disorder (r_g = 0.64, Lee et al., 2013). An important caveat, however, is that the 444 credible intervals overlap the region of practical equivalence around 0 (Kruschke and Liddell, 2018), indicating that we lack the power to precisely estimate the genetic correlation. Our 445 446 findings indicate, however, that it would be worthwhile to generate a more precise estimate of this genetic correlation. Such studies should focus on hormonal indicators of condition, and 447 should include a substantially larger number of animals. What constitutes a reasonable 448 sampling effort will vary by study system, its demography, and its natural history (eg Bérénos et 449 al., 2014; Villemereuil et al., 2013). 450

Finding a genetic correlation is necessary for the good genes hypothesis, but it is not sufficient. The idea that an expensive trait could be an index for heritable variation in condition is plausible, but we would expect genetic correlations to be present even if female choice plays no role in the evolution of effort—because males must make these decisions in order to balance risks and

rewards in general. Our approach, however, provides a way to generate meaningful measures
of body condition in the wild, as well as meaningful measures of heritability and co-heritability.
Such data will be essential to disentangling the role of direct benefits – such as males
maximizing the outcomes of trade-offs, and females avoiding parasites – and indirect benefits,
such as minimizing the genetic burden of deleterious mutations passed on to offspring.

460 Conclusions

461 For decades, the challenges of studying heritability in non-model and wild species has compelled many behavioral ecologists and field biologists to either strategically ignore the 462 genetic mechanisms underlying traits (the "phenotypic gambit"; Grafen, 1984; Rittschof and 463 464 Robinson, 2014) or focus instead on more tractable but less informative alternative measures, 465 like repeatability (Boake, 1989). Despite the obvious logistical advantages of lab estimates of 466 heritability, field estimates are more ecologically meaningful. Lab conditions can reduce genetic 467 variation and environmental variation, which may lead to under- or overestimation of heritability (Orengo and Prevosti, 1999; Roff and Simons, 1997; Schneider et al., 2011; Simons and Roff, 468 469 1994; Stirling et al., 2002). Lab conditions can also erode ecologically interesting phenotypic variation (e.g. the relationship of male song and aggression in crickets; Hedrick and Bunting, 470 471 2014), rendering further examination of phenotypic and genetic correlations meaningless. 472 Finally, heritability is not a stable characteristic of a trait—it is contingent on a population's 473 history of selection, gene flow, and environmental heterogeneity (Visscher et al., 2008). For 474 these reasons, heritability is best assessed in wild populations (though see Dochtermann et al., 475 2019).

Today, advances in genomics and bioinformatics provide novel opportunities for the estimation
of heritability and other quantitative genetics parameters in the field. Our study—in which we
collected phenotypic data and DNA in the field, generated genome-wide relatedness estimates,
and related these datasets to one another to estimate heritability and co-heritability of condition

and song—demonstrates the feasibility of implementing these methods to study both wild, nonmodel species and the complex behaviors and traits often neglected in traditional genetics
analyses. Finally, our data highlight the potential for using such methods to address
longstanding evolutionary problems. Harnessing the potential of these techniques and their
applications will expand the role of genetics in evolutionary and ecological studies and allow us
to shed the confines of the phenotypic gambit.

486 Materials and Methods

487 Sampling and data collection

We focused on a population of singing mice inhabiting the San Gerardo de Dota valley of Costa
Rica, a high-elevation region in the Talamanca Mountain range (>2200 m) characterized by

490 frequent rainfall and its lush cloud forests, grasslands, and paramo (Fig. 1a). Within this region,

491 we sampled mice at five trapping sites over three years (June – August 2014, 2015, and 2016).

492 Trapping sites were located within 6 km² of one another and occurred at elevations between

493 2200 m and 2600 m (Figure 3a, Table 1). Two of the sites comprised primary and secondary

494 forests (PC and Robles) while the other two comprised grassy pastures (QERC, MH).

We captured a total of 168 adult mice and brought them to the Quetzal Education and Research Center (QERC) for processing (N = 32 females and 134 males). This number was male-biased due to the needs of concurrent projects. We recorded the hindfoot length, anogenital distance, and mass of each focal mouse. Mice were housed singly in 28 x 28 x 28 cm³ PVC-coated wire mesh cages and provided with food and water *ad libitum*. Each mouse was given at least one day of acclimation before recording sessions.

Focal animals were moved in their home cage into a 42 x 42 x 39 cm³ acoustic isolation
chamber the night before recording. Recording sessions took place between 500h and 1100 h
in the morning. We have previously described lab methods for obtaining and recording singing

mouse songs (Burkhard et al., 2018; Campbell et al., 2010); briefly, we recorded both songs
produced in response to playback stimuli and songs spontaneously produced in two hours of
silence. Vocalizations were recorded using ACO Pacific microphones on Tucker-Davis RX6
hardware at 32-bit, 195.3 kHz resolution. We visualized the spectrogram of each recording in
MATLAB and excluded poor-quality recordings from analysis.
Between 1400 and 1700 h on the day of recording, we euthanized focal animals. Trunk blood
was collected within two minutes of sacrifice and immediately centrifuged for plasma extraction.

We then harvested fresh liver tissue and counted the number of nematode endoparasites

512 present in the stomach and body cavity. Plasma and liver tissue were stored at -20°C until

513 analysis.

511

514 Song analysis

515 Acoustic measurement

516 We successfully obtained 467 vocalizations from 111 mice (N = 24 females, 87 males).

517 Vocalizations were bimodally distributed, ranging in length from 0.3 s to 9.9 s long (mean \pm s.d.

 $= 6.3 \pm 1.8$ s). We operationally define long songs as those four seconds or longer and retained

long songs from 108 mice (N = 24 females, 84 males, Table 2). We assessed acoustic

520 properties of each song using custom script in MATLAB. We measured 21 parameters

521 describing changes to spectral, temporal, and amplitude characteristics throughout a song and

522 5 parameters describing whole-song characteristics (mean bandwidth, song length, note

number, entropy, and dominant frequency; Table S1) (Burkhard et al., 2018; Campbell et al.,

- 524 2010). To these 26 parameters, we added three additional whole-song measures, trill rate
- 525 (notes/s), and minimum and maximum frequency of song.

526 Multivariate analysis of acoustic variation

527 To characterize dimensions of acoustic variation, we performed principal components analysis

528 (PCA) on 26 acoustic parameters (Table S1) in R version 3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2016). These

529 variables were selected to accommodate comparison of unpublished data to previously reported data (Burkhard et al., 2018). The first two principal components (PCs) had $\lambda > 4.9$ and explained 530 42.2% of overall variance (Figure 2b). To aid interpretation, we multiplied PC1 scores by -1 531 532 (Jolliffe, 2002). Songs scoring strongly and positively on PC1 were longer, had longer beginning 533 notes, and had shorter inter-note intervals than those scoring strongly and negatively on PC1. Songs that loaded strongly and positively on PC2 had a lower dominant frequency, greater 534 mean bandwidth, began at lower frequencies, and ended on higher frequencies than those 535 536 scoring strongly and negatively on PC2. As these results resembled previously published work 537 (Burkhard et al., 2018), we interpreted PC1 as individual variation in "song effort" and PC2 as variation in "frequency modulation" and retained these as composite acoustic variables for 538 539 subsequent models (Figure 2c).

540 Repeatability

Because repeatability describes the proportion of total phenotypic variation due to differences between individuals, the metric is often used to estimate the upper limits to a trait's heritability (Bell et al., 2009; Boake, 1989, though see Dohm, 2002). We estimated the repeatability for each of the eight whole-song measures and for PC1 and PC2 of song. Repeatability is often estimated as the intraclass correlation coefficient (RA., 1954; Wolak et al., 2012), such that:

546
$$ICC = \frac{V_A}{V_A + V_W}$$
 (equation 1)

where V_A is the variance among individuals, V_W is the variance within individuals, and total phenotypic variation $V_P = V_A + V_W$. Intraclass correlation coefficients were calculated by fitting mixed-effect models using the *rptR* R-package (v0.9.22, Stoffel et al., 2017) with animal ID as a random effect. Confidence intervals were estimated with parametric bootstrapping for 1000 iterations, and p-values were calculated with likelihood ratio tests.

552 Assessment of body condition

553 Morphometric evaluation

- 554 Residual body mass (RBM) was determined by regressing mass on skeletal length (*i.e.* hindfoot
- length) and calculating the residual error in grams for each animal. RBM, a traditional proxy for
- total energy reserves, was included in subsequent analyses of body condition.
- 557 We also measured anogenital distance (AGD), a morphological cue of prenatal exposure to
- androgens. We performed Z-score normalization on AGD (AGD_z); because AGD was sexually
- dimorphic (Welch two sample t-test; T= -12.5, P < 2.2e-16), it was transformed for each sex
- separately. Thus, female $AGD_z > 1.5$ corresponds to females with $AGD \ge 5.0$ mm whereas male
- AGD_z > 1.5 corresponds to males with AGD \ge 7.5 mm. Because variation in AGD predicts
- individual differences in "masculinity" but not body condition itself, we considered AGD as a
- 563 covariate separate from body condition metrics in subsequent analyses.

564 Plasma assays

565 Plasma samples collected in 2015 were assayed by the Mouse Metabolic Phenotyping Center at the University of Cincinnati, Ohio. Those collected in 2016 were assayed by the Mouse 566 567 Metabolic and Phenotypic Core at the Baylor College of Medicine. Only male samples were processed. We selected assays for cholesterol, phospholipids, non-esterified fatty acids 568 569 (NEFA), glucose, and triglycerides (TG) and the hormones adiponectin, insulin, and leptin. Not 570 every male had enough plasma for all assays, so assays were assigned an order of priority. 571 Assays for 2015 samples were completed in the following order: 1) glucose, 2) lipid profile (TG, 572 cholesterol, phospholipids, NEFA), and 3) multiplex ELISA assay (insulin, leptin, adiponectin). As results from these first analyses suggested a strong relationship between adiposity 573 hormones and singing behavior (Burkhard et al., 2018), we modified the order for 2016 assays 574 to the following: 1) multiplex ELISA, 2) glucose, and 3) lipid profile. As a result, sample sizes 575

vary across plasma measures (Table 2). Values for plasma measures did not significantly differ

- 577 between years and were thus pooled for further analysis.
- 578 Multivariate analysis of variation in body condition
- 579 We performed exploratory PCA and assessed a correlation matrix of all body condition
- 580 parameters (*i.e.* RBM and plasma measures) to examine how body condition varied among
- 581 individuals. To maximize our statistical power, we ultimately focused on the subset of individuals
- (N = 52) with each of the following parameters: RBM, glucose, leptin, insulin, adiponectin, and
- 583 triglycerides. We performed PCA on these condition measures in R to create composite
- variables for downstream analyses. Three PCs had $\lambda > 1.0$, explaining 34.5%, 22.2%, and
- 585 17.2% of total variance, respectively. Glucose, insulin, and triglycerides strongly loaded on PC1;
- 586 RBM and adiponectin contributed most to PC2; and leptin and adiponectin contributed strongly
- and in different directions to PC3 (Table S2). We retained these three components as
- 588 composite variables in downstream analyses (*i.e.* PC1con, PC2con, PC3con).

589 Library preparation and variant calling

- 590 We extracted DNA from thawed liver tissue using Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kits. DNA
- 591 was first quality-checked by gel electrophoresis and then quantified with a PicoGreen
- 592 fluorometer quantitator and Quant-iT[™] PicoGreen[™] dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen[™],
- 593 ThermoFisher Scientific). The Genomic Sequencing and Analysis Facility (GSAF; University of
- 594 Texas, Austin) performed double-digest restriction site associated DNA sequencing (ddRAD-
- seq; Peterson et al., 2012) library preparation on normalized DNA concentrations. The
- restriction enzymes *EcoR1* and *Mspl* (New England BioLabs) were selected to shear DNA with
- 597 Pippen size selection for fragments of 335-435 bp. Each sample was tagged with a unique five-
- nucleotide-long barcode and then pooled in groups of 24 for sequencing. Samples included
- 599 biological replicates to check for procedural error. Multiplexed samples were then sequenced on

one lane of either the HiSeq 2500 or NextSeq 500 (Illumina, Inc.), depending on availability.

Lanes also included samples for studies not described here.

After inspecting the quality of raw reads with FastQC (Andrews, 2010), we demultiplexed and 602 trimmed reads to 125 bps using the process radtags command from the Stacks pipeline 603 604 (v1.46.0; Rochette and Catchen, 2017). Trimmed reads were mapped to the S. tequina 605 reference genome (unpublished) using Bowtie 2 (v2.3.2; Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), and 606 resulting sam files were converted to bam files using SAMtools (v1.10; Li et al., 2009). We used 607 ANGSD (v0.929-13; Korneliussen et al., 2014) to assess base gualities and coverage depth of resulting bam files, excluding poor-quality and poor-coverage samples from downstream 608 609 analyses.

610 Samples that passed these initial filtering steps were then further filtered with ANGSD to retain

only loci with minor allele frequency (MAF) above 0.1 and which were present in at least 80% of

all individuals. We detected sex-linked markers using VCFTOOLS (v0.1.15; Danecek et al.,

2011) and then excluded them with the -rf option in ANGSD. Finally, we used ANGSD to call

variants and convert genotype data into various formats, including identity-by-state matrices

615 (ibsmat), mutation annotation format files (maf), variant calling files (vcf), and genetic covariance

616 matrices (covmat).

617 Population structure and genomewide relatedness

Pairwise genetic distance between trapping locations (*F*ST) was estimated using VCFTOOLS.

619 We also used the R-package vegan (v.2.5.6 ; Oksanen et al., 2019) to perform principal

620 coordinates analysis (PCoA) to help resolve genetic distance. Permutational multivariate

analysis of genetic distance (PERMANOVA) explained by trapping site was performed with the
 adonis function in vegan.

624 There are many methods available to calculate genetic relatedness which produce a variety of 625 data formats (Eu-ahsunthornwattana et al., 2014). Certain combinations of data formats with heritability estimation method are more compatible and straightforward than other combinations, 626 627 however. For use with GCTA software (see Inference of SNP-based heritability), we produced a 628 population genomic relationship matrix (GRM) in PLINK (v1.90b6.7; Chang et al., 2015), which uses a method-of-moments approach to estimate the proportion of the genome at which two 629 individuals share 0, 1, or 2 alleles identical by descent (IBD; Purcell et al., 2007). PLINK 630 631 operates on 'hard-call' genotypes and is thus best suited for high-coverage, high-guality reads. 632 To check the accuracy of PLINK relatedness estimation on our data, we also estimated relatedness using NgsRelate software (v2; Korneliussen and Moltke, 2015), which uses a 633 634 maximum likelihood approach on genotype likelihoods to estimate the proportion of alleles two individuals share IBD. Relatedness estimates from NgsRelate, a method based on genotype 635 636 likelihoods, were strongly correlated with estimates from PLINK ($R^2 = 0.90$, P < 2.2e-16; Fig. S1). Finally, for use with brms (see Inference of SNP-based heritability), we produced a 637 population genetic covariance matrix using ANGSD, which uses genotype likelihoods rather 638 than hard-called genotypes. 639

640 Phenotypic correlations between condition and song

To ask how condition phenotypes related to singing behaviors, we fitted mixed models using the 641 R-package glmmTMB (v1.0.2.1; Brooks et al., 2017). We focused on the eight whole-song 642 measures, song rate, and the first two song PCs as response phenotypes. Whole-song 643 measures were transformed by Z-score normalization before model fitting. Whole-song 644 645 measures and song PCs were fitted assuming Gaussian distributions, while song rate was fitted 646 assuming a negative binomial distribution with a logit link function. Each response variable was initially fitted with a full model, which included PC1, PC2, and PC3 of condition and AGD_z as 647 648 covariates. Composite variables, rather than individual parameters, were included to reduce 649 multicollinearity of parameters and to mitigate interpretation of results. Trapping site (Site) and

650 individual identity (1|ID) were also included as a fixed factor and a random effect, respectively. Because only male plasma samples were processed, we did not include sex in the models. 651 Finally, we specified the number of days spent in acclimation (Days) as an observation-level 652 653 dispersion factor to account for data overdispersion (Harrison, 2014). After fitting the full model, 654 we used R-package buildmer to perform a backwards stepwise elimination procedure based on the change in AIC (v1.6; Voeten, 2020). We report the estimates, SEs, and p-values for all 655 terms in the final models and the change in AIC between initial and final models. Model results 656 657 were visualized using the effects package (v4.1.4; Fox and Hong, 2009; Fox and Weisberg, 658 2018), and the emmeans (v1.5.0; Lenth, 2020) and boot packages were used to help interpret results (v1.3.25; Canty and Ripley, 2020). 659

660

661 Quantitative genetics

662 Inference of SNP-based heritability

663 We estimated h2 values for the eight whole-song measures, PC1 and PC2 song, RBM, AGDz, and PC1, PC2, and PC3 condition using animal models implemented with both a maximum 664 likelihood estimation (MLE) approach and a Bayesian Hamiltonian Monte Carlo approach. The 665 666 MLE method was implemented with GCTA standalone software (v1.92.1; Yang et al., 2011), and 667 the Bayesian approach was implemented using the *brms* R-package (v2.13.5; Bürkner, 2018, 668 2017). While easy to implement and fast to run, GCTA and many related frequentist algorithms cannot accommodate more complex models or non-parametric assumptions (e.g. non-normally 669 670 distributed phenotypes; e.g. de Villemereuil, 2019). Conversely, linear (or generalized linear) mixed models (GLMM) implemented with Bayesian approaches are less user-friendly and more 671 computationally intensive but are more robust to scarce data and complex models and are easy 672 673 to interpret (de Villemereuil, 2019; Morrissey et al., 2014; Villemereuil et al., 2013).

675	Animal model approaches rely on estimating heritability by correlation between genetic similarity
676	and phenotypic similarity (Wilson et al., 2010). The most basic animal model assumes a normally
677	distributed phenotype, where a trait (y) in an individual (i) can be described as:
678	
679	$y_i = \mu + a_i + e_i$ (equation 2)
680	
681	where μ is the population mean; a_i is the breeding value, or effect of individual <i>i</i> 's genotype on
682	the trait; and e_i is a residual term. This equation is specified as a mixed model containing both
683	fixed and random effects with individual genotype treated as a random effect such that:
684	
685	$Y = X\beta + Z\gamma + \varepsilon \qquad (equation 3)$
686	
687	where Y is a vector of phenotypic values, X is a fixed variable, β is the fixed variable effect size,
688	Z is the GRM, γ is a vector of random effects, and ε is a residual random effect (non-genetic).
689	
690	Narrow-sense heritability was calculated as
691	$h^2 = \frac{V_A}{V_A + V_R}$ (equation 4)
692	
693	, where V_A is additive genetic variance, V_R is residual variance, and V_P , is total phenotypic
694	variance, = $V_A + V_R$.
695	
696	GCTA models
697	Models were fitted with GCTA with sex (Sex) and trapping site (Site) included as fixed effects
698	and PLINK-derived GRM as a random effect.

699 Brms models

Heritability estimates for each trait were estimated with models including ANGSD-derived 700 701 genetic covariance matrix as a random effect, and Sex (excluding models involving only one 702 sex, *i.e.* heritability of PC condition variables) and Site as fixed effects. Where applicable, 703 response variables were log-transformed and scaled to address skew. We specified gaussian 704 family distributions for all variables except song rate, which we fitted assuming a negative 705 binomial distribution. Each model was run with 4 chains of 5000 iterations, with burn-in of 1000, 706 and thin of 1 and provided with weakly informative priors. Model output was checked for proper 707 mixing and convergence by inspection of autocorrelation and diagnostic plots. We took the 708 mean of values from the posterior distribution to calculate heritability and report 95% highest 709 posterior density credible intervals (HPDI) in Table 4. We calculated heritability following 710 equation 4; residual variance for negative binomial models was calculating following (Matos et 711 al., 1997). Credible intervals contain the most probable values (*i.e.* 95%, in this case) of the 712 estimated parameter. Unlike a confidence interval, credible intervals have distributional 713 information such that the most probable values are closest to the point estimate and the width indicates certainty. 714

715 Genetic correlation of song and condition

The proportion of covariance between two traits explained by genetics is calculated by thefollowing equation:

718
$$r_g = \frac{cov_g}{\sqrt{V_{g_1}V_{g_2}}}$$
 (equation 5)

719

Where cov_g is the genetic covariance, V_{g_1} is the additive genetic variance for trait 1, and V_{g_2} is the additive genetic variance for trait 2. To determine whether traits were genetically correlated, we ran bivariate models in *brms* between all pairwise comparisons of condition and song variables that were found to have statistically clear phenotypic correlations (see Phenotypic

- correlations between condition and song). These models used the same data and parameters
- as the univariate models described above for *brms* models. Genetic correlations were
- considered significant in magnitude if the 95% highest posterior density credible intervals
- 727 (HPDI) excluded zero.

728 Acknowledgments

- We thank R. Westwick, K. Garner, W. Betty, and the QERC staff for their assistance in the field.
- 730 We also thank J. Podnar for support with RAD-seq; B. Goetz and J. Sardell for advice on
- bioinformatics analysis; P. Vullioud, P. de Villemereuil, and M. Modrák for advice on statistical
- analysis; G. Otto, P. Saha, and P. Tso for guidance on bloodwork; and the Phelps lab and M.
- 733 Ryan for comments on drafts of this manuscript.

734 Ethics

- All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the
- 736 University of Texas and the Costa Rican Ministerio de Ambiente y Energía.

737 References

- Allison D, Kaprio J, Korkeila M, Koskenvuo M, Neale M, Hayakawa K. 1996. The heritability of
- body mass index among an international sample of monozygotic twins reared apart. *Int J*
- 740 *Obes* **20**:501–506.
- 741 Andersson M. 1986. Evolution of Condition-Dependent Sex Ornaments and Mating
- 742 Preferences: sexual selection based on viability differences **40**:804–816.
- 743 Andersson M. 1981. Sexual selection, natural selection and quality advertisement. Biol J Linn
- 744 Soc **17**:375–393. doi:10.4324/9781315129266-5
- Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

- Bachmann JC, Cortesi F, Hall MD, Marshall NJ, Salzburger W, Gante HF. 2017. Real-time
- social selection maintains honesty of a dynamic visual signal in cooperative fish 269–278.
 doi:10.1002/evl3.24
- Bachorowski J. 1999. Vocal expression and perception of emotion. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci* 8:53–
 57.
- Baier F, Hoekstra HE. 2019. The genetics of morphological and behavioural island traits in deer
 mice. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 286. doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.1697
- 753 Barske J, Fusani L, Wikelski M, Feng NY, Santos M, Schlinger B a. 2013. Energetics of the
- acrobatic courtship in male golden-collared manakins (Manacus vitellinus). Proc R Soc B
- 755 Biol Sci 281:20132482–20132482. doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.2482
- 756 Beerli P. 2006. Comparison of Bayesian and maximum-likelihood inference of population
- 757 genetic parameters. *Bioinformatics* **22**:341–345. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti803
- 758 Bell AM, Hankison SJ, Laskowski KL. 2009. The repeatability of behaviour: a meta-analysis.
- 759 Anim Behav 77:771–783. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.12.022
- 760 Bérénos C, Ellis PA, Pilkington JG, Pemberton JM. 2014. Estimating quantitative genetic
- 761 parameters in wild populations: A comparison of pedigree and genomic approaches. *Mol*
- 762 *Ecol* **23**:3434–3451. doi:10.1111/mec.12827
- 763 Bernal XE, Akre KL, Baugh AT, Rand AS, Ryan MJ. 2009. Female and male behavioral
- response to advertisement calls of graded complexity in túngara frogs, Physalaemus
- 765 pustulosus. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **63**:1269–1279. doi:10.1007/s00265-009-0795-5
- Boake CRB. 1989. Repeatability: Its role in evolutionary studies of mating behavior. *Evol Ecol*3:173–182. doi:10.1007/BF02270919
- 768 Bradbury JW, Vehrencamp S. 1998. Costs and ConstraintsPrinciples of Animal Communication.

- 769 Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates, Inc.
- 770 Briefer EF. 2012. Vocal expression of emotions in mammals: Mechanisms of production and
- 771 evidence. *J Zool* **288**:1–20. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.2012.00920.x
- 772 Brooks ME, Kristensen K, van Benthem KJ, Magnusson A, Berg CW, Nielsen A, Skaug HJ,
- 773 Maechler M, Bolker BM. 2017. Modeling Zero-Inflated Count Data With glmmTMB. *bioRxiv*
- 774 132753. doi:10.1101/132753
- Burkhard TT, Westwick RR, Phelps SM. 2018. Adiposity signals predict vocal effort in Alston's
 singing mice. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 285. doi:10.1098/rspb.2018.0090
- Bürkner PC. 2018. Advanced Bayesian multilevel modeling with the R package brms. RJ
- 778 **10**:395–411. doi:10.32614/rj-2018-017
- Bürkner PC. 2017. brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *J Stat Softw*80. doi:10.18637/jss.v080.i01
- 781 Burt JM, Beecher MD. 2008. The social interaction role of song in song sparrows: implications
- 782 for signal design. *Comp Cogn Behav Rev* **3**:86–98. doi:10.3819/ccbr.2008.30005
- 783 Campbell P, Pasch B, Pino JL, Crino OL, Phillips M, Phelps SM. 2010. Geographic variation in
- the songs of neotropical singing mice: testing the relative importance of drift and local

785 adaptation. *Evolution* **64**:1955–72. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.00962.x

- Campbell P, Pasch B, Warren AL, Phelps SM. 2014. Vocal ontogeny in neotropical singing mice
 (scotinomys). *PLoS One* **9**:e113628. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113628
- 788 Canty A, Ripley B. 2020. boot: Bootstrap R (S-Plus) Functions. R package version 1.3-25.
- Cassell B, Scientist ED, Tech V. 2009. Using Heritability for Genetic Improvement. *Virginia Coop Ext.*
- 791 Catchpole CK, Slater PJ. 2008. Bird song: biological themes and variations. New York:

792 Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

- 793 Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LCAM, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. 2015. Second-generation
- 794 PLINK: Rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**:1–22.
- 795 doi:10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8
- Chappell M a., Zuk M, Kwan TH, Johnsen TS. 1995. Energy cost of an avian vocal display:
- 797 crowing in red junglefowl. Anim Behav 49:255–257. doi:10.1016/0003-3472(95)80177-4
- 798 Charmantier A, Buoro M, Gimenez O, Weimerskirch H. 2011. Heritability of short-scale natal
- dispersal in a large-scale foraging bird, the wandering albatross. *J Evol Biol* **24**:1487–1496.
- 800 doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02281.x
- 801 Cocroft RB, Ryan MJ. 1995. Patterns of advertisement call evolution in toads and chorus frogs.
- 802 Anim Behav **49**:283–303. doi:10.1006/anbe.1995.0043
- 803 Cotton S, Fowler K, Pomiankowski A. 2004. Do sexual ornaments demonstrate heightened
- condition-dependent expression as predicted by the handicap hypothesis? *Proc Biol Sci*
- 805 **271**:771–783. doi:10.1098/rspb.2004.2688
- Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G,
- 807 Marth GT, Sherry ST, McVean G, Durbin R. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools.
- 808 Bioinformatics 27:2156–2158. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- de Villemereuil P. 2019. On the relevance of Bayesian statistics and MCMC for animal models.
- 810 J Anim Breed Genet **136**:339–340. doi:10.1111/jbg.12426
- Demartsev V, Kershenbaum A, Ilany A, Barocas A, Ziv EB, Koren L, Geffen E. 2014. Male
- 812 hyraxes increase song complexity and duration in the presence of alert individuals. *Behav*
- 813 *Ecol* **25**:1451–1458. doi:10.1093/beheco/aru155
- Dingemanse NJ, Both C, Drent PJ, Van Oers K, Van Noordwijk AJ. 2002. Repeatability and

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- heritability of exploratory behaviour in great tits from the wild. *Anim Behav* **64**:929–938.
- 816 doi:10.1006/anbe.2002.2006
- Dingemanse NJ, Van Der Plas F, Wright J, Réale D, Schrama M, Roff DA, Van Der Zee E,
- 818 Barber I. 2009. Individual experience and evolutionary history of predation affect
- expression of heritable variation in fish personality and morphology. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*
- 820 **276**:1285–1293. doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.1555
- Behavior: A Meta-analysis. *J Hered* 110:403–410. doi:10.1093/jhered/esz023
- Dohm MR. 2002. Repeatability estimates do not always set an upper limit to heritability. *Funct*
- Ecol **16**:273–280. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00621.x
- 825 Doutrelant C, Mcgregor PK, Oliveira RF. 2001. The effect of an audience on intrasexual
- communication in male Siamese fighting fish, Betta splendens. *Behav Ecol* **12**:283–286.
- 827 doi:10.1093/beheco/12.3.283
- 828 Dubuc C, Winters S, Allen WL, Brent LJN, Cascio J, Maestripieri D, Ruiz-Lambides A V, Widdig
- A, Higham JP. 2014. Sexually selected skin colour is heritable and related to fecundity in a
- 830 non-human primate. *Proc Biol Sci* 281:20141602. doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.1602
- Budley JW, Lambert RJ. 2010. 100 Generations of Selection for Oil and Protein in Corn, Plant
 Breeding Reviews. doi:10.1002/9780470650240.ch5
- Endler J. 1992. Signals, signal conditions, and the direction of evolution. *Am Nat* **139**.
- Eu-ahsunthornwattana J, Miller EN, Fakiola M, Jeronimo SMB, Blackwell JM, Cordell HJ. 2014.
- 835 Comparison of Methods to Account for Relatedness in Genome-Wide Association Studies
- with Family-Based Data. *PLoS Genet* **10**. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004445
- 837 Falconer DS. 1981. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics.

4	2

- 838 Fang G, Jiang F, Yang P, Cui J, Brauth SE, Tang Y. 2014. Male vocal competition is dynamic
- and strongly affected by social contexts in music frogs. *Anim Cogn* **17**:483–494.
- 840 doi:10.1007/s10071-013-0680-5
- 841 Fernández-Vargas M. 2018a. Vocal Signals of Sexual Motivation in Male and Female Rodents.
- 842 Curr Sex Heal Reports **10**:315–328. doi:10.1007/s11930-018-0179-9
- 843 Fernández-Vargas M. 2018b. Presence of a potential competitor and its individual identity
- modulate ultrasonic vocalizations in male hamsters. *Anim Behav* **145**:11–27.
- 845 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2018.08.014
- 846 Fernández-Vargas M, Phelps SMM, Tang-Martínez Z. 2011. Singing, allogrooming, and
- 847 allomarking behaviour during inter- and intra-sexual encounters in the Neotropical short-
- tailed singing mouse (Scotinomys teguina). *Behaviour* **148**:945–965.
- 849 doi:10.1163/000579511X584591
- 850 Ferrannini G, Namwanje M, Fang B, Damle M, Li D, Liu Q, Lazar MA, Qiang L. 2016. Genetic
- backgrounds determine brown remodeling of white fat in rodents. *Mol Metab* **5**:948–958.
- doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2016.08.013
- Fisher R a. 1930. The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- doi:10.1038/158453a0
- Fitch T. 2006. Production of Vocalizations in MammalsEncyclopedia of Language & Linguistics.
- pp. 115–121. doi:10.1016/b0-08-044854-2/00821-x
- Fitch WT, Reby D. 2001. The descended larynx is not uniquely human. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*268:1669–1675. doi:10.1098/rspb.2001.1704
- Fox J, Hong J. 2009. Effect displays in R for multinomial and proportional-odds logit models:
- 860 Extensions to the effects package. J Stat Softw 32:1–24. doi:10.18637/jss.v032.i01

43

- Fox J, Weisberg S. 2018. Visualizing fit and lack of fit in complex regression models with
- predictor effect plots and partial residuals. J Stat Softw 87. doi:10.18637/jss.v087.i09
- Friedman JM, Halaas JL. 1998. Leptin and the regulation of body weight in mammals. *Nature*395:763–770. doi:10.1038/27376
- George AS. 2014. Songs of Aggression: The Singing Mouse Model. *Master's thesis, University* of Texas at Austin.
- Gerhardt HC. 1991. Female mate choice in treefrogs: static and dynamic acoustic criteria. *Anim Behav* 42:615–635. doi:10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80245-3
- 869 Gerhardt HC. 1982. Sound pattern recognition in some North American treefrogs (anura:
- Hylidae): Implications for mate choice. *Integr Comp Biol* **22**:581–595.
- 871 doi:10.1093/icb/22.3.581
- Gervais L, Hewison AJM, Morellet N, Bernard M, Merlet J, Cargnelutti B, Chaval Y, Pujol B,
- 873 Quéméré E. 2020. Pedigree-free quantitative genetic approach provides evidence for
- heritability of movement tactics in wild roe deer. *J Evol Biol* **33**:595–607.
- 875 doi:10.1111/jeb.13594
- 876 Gervais L, Perrier C, Bernard M, Merlet J, Quéméré E, Pemberton JM, Pujol B, Quéméré E,
- 877 Pemberton JM, Pujol B. 2019. RAD-sequencing for estimating genomic relatedness matrix-
- based heritability in the wild: A case study in roe deer. *Mol Ecol Resour* 1205–1217.
- 879 doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13031
- Gienapp P, Fior S, Guillaume F, Lasky JR, Sork VL, Csilléry K. 2017. Genomic Quantitative
- 681 Genetics to Study Evolution in the Wild. *Trends Ecol Evol* **32**:897–908.
- 882 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2017.09.004
- 683 Gillooly JF, Ophir AG. 2010. The energetic basis of acoustic communication 1325–1331.
- 884 doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.2134

- 885 Gouzoules H, Gouzoules S. 2000. Agonistic screams differ among four species of macaques:
- The significance of motivation-structural rules. *Anim Behav* **59**:501–512.
- 887 doi:10.1006/anbe.1999.1318
- 888 Grafen A. 1990. Sexual selection unhandicapped by the Fisher process. J Theor Biol 144:473–
- 889 516. doi:10.1016/S0022-5193(05)80087-6
- Grafen A. 1984. Natural selection, kin selection and group selection In: Krebs JR DN, editor.
- 891 Behavioural Ecology: An Evolutionary Approach. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- 892 pp. 62–84.
- Grant BR, Grant PR. 1993. Evolution of Darwin's finches caused by a rare climatic event. *Proc*

894 *R Soc B Biol Sci* **251**:111–117. doi:10.1098/rspb.1993.0016

- Grant PR, Grant BR. 1995. Predicting microevolutionary responses to directional selection on
 heritable variation. *Evolution (N Y)* 49:241. doi:10.2307/2410334
- Hamilton WD, Zuk M. 1982. Heritable true fitness and bright birds: a role for parasites? *Science*218:384–7.
- Harrison XA. 2014. Using observation-level random e ff ects to model overdispersion in count
 data in ecology and evolution. doi:10.7717/peerj.616
- 901 Havel PJ. 2001. Peripheral signals conveying metabolic information to the brain: short-term and
- 902 long-term regulation of food intake and energy homeostasis. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*
- **226**:963–977.
- 904 Hedrick A, Bunting J. 2014. An attractive male trait and aggressiveness are negatively
- 905 correlated in wild field crickets, but uncorrelated in lab-reared crickets. *Behav Ecol*

906 Sociobiol **68**:233–238. doi:10.1007/s00265-013-1638-y

Henderson C. 1950. Estimation of genetic parameters. *Ann Math Stat* **21**:309–210.

Λ	5
т	J

908	Hill WG, Kirkpatrick M.	2010. What Animal	Breeding Has	Taught Us about Evolution.	Annu Rev
-----	-------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------

- 909 *Ecol Evol Syst* **41**:1–19. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144728
- Hooper ET. 1972. A synopsis of the rodent genus Scotinomys. Occas Pap Museum Zool Univ
 Michigan 1–32.
- Hooper ET, Carleton MDM. 1976. Reproduction, growth and development in two contiguously
- 913 allopatric rodent species, genus Scotinomys. *Misc Publ Museum Zool Univ Michigan*
- 914 **151**:1–52.
- Houtman AM. 1992. Female zebra finches choose extra-pair copulations with genetically
- 916 attractive males. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* **249**:3–6. doi:10.1098/rspb.1992.0075
- 917 Ingram T, Harrison A, Mahler DL, Castañeda MDR, Glor RE, Herrel A, Stuart YE, Losos JB.
- 2016. Comparative tests of the role of dewlap size in Anolis lizard speciation. *Proc R Soc B*
- 919 Biol Sci 283. doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.2199
- 920 Izzo A, Tibbetts EA. 2015. Heightened Condition Dependence of a Sexually Selected Signal in
- Male Polistes dominulus Paper Wasps. *Ethology* **121**:586–592. doi:10.1111/eth.12371
- Jensen H, Sæther BE, Ringsby TH, Tufto J, Griffith SC, Ellegren H. 2003. Sexual variation in
- 923 heritability and genetic correlations of morphological traits in house sparrow (Passer
- 924 domesticus). *J Evol Biol* **16**:1296–1307. doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003.00614.x
- Johnstone RA. 1993. Chapter 7 The Evolution of Animal Signals. *Behav Ecol An Evol approach*155–78.
- Jolliffe IT. 2002. Principal Component Analysis, Second Edition, Encyclopedia of Statistics in
 Behavioral Science. doi:10.2307/1270093
- Jürgens U. 2002. Neural pathways underlying vocal control. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 26:235–
 258.

- 931 Kagawa H, Soma M. 2013. Song performance and elaboration as potential indicators of male
- 932 quality in Java sparrows. *Behav Processes* **99**:138–144. doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2013.07.012
- 933 Karin BR, Cicero C, Koo MS, Bowie RCK. 2018. The role of history and ecology as drivers of
- song divergence in Bell's and Sagebrush sparrows (Artemisiospiza, Aves: Passerellidae).
- 935 Biol J Linn Soc **125**:1–20. doi:10.1093/biolinnean/bly090
- Wirkpatrick M, Ryan M. 1991. The evolution of mating preferences and the paradox of the lek.*Nature*.
- 938 Korneliussen TS, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R. 2014. ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation
- 939 Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15**. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-1174-1_8
- 940 Korneliussen TS, Moltke I. 2015. NgsRelate: A software tool for estimating pairwise relatedness
- from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**:4009–4011.
- 942 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv509
- 943 Kruschke JK, Liddell TM. 2018. The Bayesian New Statistics: Hypothesis testing, estimation,
- 944 meta-analysis, and power analysis from a Bayesian perspective. *Psychon Bull Rev*
- 945 **25**:178–206. doi:10.3758/s13423-016-1221-4
- 946 Kruuk LEB. 2004. Estimating genetic parameters in natural populations using the "animal
- 947 model." *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* **359**:873–890. doi:10.1098/rstb.2003.1437
- Lampe HMH, Espmark YYO. 1994. Song structure reflects male quality in pied flycatchers,
- 949 Ficedula hypoleuca. Anim Behav. doi:10.1006/anbe.1994.1118
- Lande R. 1976. Natural Selection and Random Genetic Drift in Phenotypic Evolution. *Evolution* (N Y) 30:314. doi:10.2307/2407703
- Langin KM, Sillett TS, Morrison SA, Ghalambor CK. 2017. Bill morphology and neutral genetic
- 953 structure both predict variation in acoustic signals within a bird population. Behav Ecol

954 **28**:866–873. doi:10.1093/beheco/arx051

- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods*956 9:357–359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923
- 957 Lee SH, Ripke S, Neale BM, Faraone S V., Purcell SM, Perlis RH, et al. 2013. Genetic
- 958 relationship between five psychiatric disorders estimated from genome-wide SNPs. Nat
- 959 Genet **45**:984–994. doi:10.1038/ng.2711
- Lehtovirta M, Pietiläinen KH, Levälahti E, Heikkilä K, Groop L, Silventoinen K, Koskenvuo M,
- 961 Kaprio J. 2010. Evidence that BMI and type 2 diabetes share only a minor fraction of
- genetic variance: A follow-up study of 23,585 monozygotic and dizygotic twins from the
- 963 Finnish twin cohort Study. *Diabetologia* **53**:1314–1321. doi:10.1007/s00125-010-1746-4
- Lenth R. 2020. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package
 version 1.4.8.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R.
- 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**:2078–2079.
- 968 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Lynch M, Walsh B, B. LM& W. 1998. Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Sunderland;
 MA: Sinauer Associates. doi:10.1086/318209
- 971 Mager JN, Walcott C, Piper WH. 2007. Male common loons, Gavia immer, communicate body
- 972 mass and condition through dominant frequencies of territorial yodels. *Anim Behav*
- 973 **73**:683–690. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.10.009
- Martin K, Tucker MA, Rogers TL. 2017. Does size matter? Examining the drivers of mammalian
 vocalizations. *Evolution (N Y)* **71**:249–260. doi:10.1111/evo.13128
- 976 Matos CAP, Thomas DL, Gianola D, Tempelman RJ, Young LD. 1997. Genetic Analysis of

- 977 Discrete Reproductive Traits in Sheep Using Linear and Nonlinear Models: I. Estimation of
- 978 Genetic Parameters. *J Anim Sci* **75**:76–87. doi:10.2527/1997.75176x
- 979 Miller JJRJ, Engstrom MMD. 2007. Vocal Stereotypy and Singing Behavior in Baiomyine Mice. J

980 *Mammal* 88:1447–1465. doi:10.1644/06-MAMM-A-386R.1

- 981 Miller JR, Engstrom MD. 2012. Vocal stereotypy in the rodent genera Peromyscus and
- 982 Onychomys (Neotominae): taxonomic signature and call design. *Bioacoustics* **21**:193–213.
- 983 doi:10.1080/09524622.2012.675176
- 984 Morrissey MB, de Villemereuil P, Doligez B, Gimenez O. 2014. Bayesian approaches to the
- 985 quantitative genetic analysis of natural populations. *Quant Genet Wild* 228–253.
- 986 doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199674237.003.0014
- Mousseau T, Ritland K, Heath D. 1998. A novel method for estimating heritability using
 molecular markers. *Heredity (Edinb)* 80:218–224.
- Mousseau TA, Roff DA. 1987. Natural selection and the heritability of fitness components.
- 990 *Heredity (Edinb)* **59**:181–197. doi:10.1038/hdy.1987.113
- 991 Nelson BS, Beckers GJL, Suthers RA. 2005. Vocal tract filtering and sound radiation in a
- 992 songbird. *J Exp Biol* **208**:297–308. doi:10.1242/jeb.01378
- Okobi DE, Banerjee A, Matheson AMMM, Phelps SM, Long MA. 2019. Motor cortical control of
- vocal interaction in neotropical singing mice. *Science (80-)* **363**:983–988.
- 995 doi:10.1126/science.aau9480
- 996 Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, Mcglinn D, Minchin PR, O'hara RB,
- 997 Simpson GL, Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, Szoecs E, Maintainer HW. 2019. Package
- 998 "vegan" Title Community Ecology Package.
- 999 Ophir a. G, Schrader SB, Gillooly JF. 2010. Energetic cost of calling: general constraints and

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- 1000 species-specific differences. J Evol Biol 23:1564–1569. doi:10.1111/j.1420-
- 1001 9101.2010.02005.x
- 1002 Orengo DJ, Prevosti A. 1999. Wing-size heritability in a natural population of Drosophila
- 1003 subobscura. *Heredity (Edinb)* 82:100–106. doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6884390
- 1004 Pasch B, Bolker BM, Phelps SM. 2013. Interspecific dominance via vocal interactions mediates
- altitudinal zonation in neotropical singing mice. *Am Nat* **182**:E161-73. doi:10.1086/673263
- 1006 Pasch B, George AS, Campbell P, Phelps SM. 2011a. Androgen-dependent male vocal
- 1007 performance influences female preference in Neotropical singing mice. *Anim Behav*
- 1008 **82**:177–183. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.04.018
- 1009 Pasch B, George AS, Hamlin HJ, Guillette LJ, Phelps SM. 2011b. Androgens modulate song
- 1010 effort and aggression in Neotropical singing mice. *Horm Behav* **59**:90–97.
- 1011 doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.10.011
- 1012 Perrier C, Delahaie B, Charmantier A. 2018. Heritability estimates from genomewide
- 1013 relatedness matrices in wild populations: Application to a passerine, using a small sample
- 1014 size. *Mol Ecol Resour* **18**:838–853. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12886
- 1015 Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE. 2012. Double digest RADseq: an
- 1016 inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in model and non-model
- 1017 species. *PLoS One* **7**:e37135. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037135
- Pettersen AK, Marshall DJ, White CR. 2018. Understanding variation in metabolic rate. *J Exp Biol* 221. doi:10.1242/jeb.166876
- 1020 Pisanski K, Fraccaro PJ, Tigue CC, O'Connor JJM, Röder S, Andrews PW, Fink B, DeBruine
- 1021 LM, Jones BC, Feinberg DR. 2014. Vocal indicators of body size in men and women: A
- 1022 meta-analysis. Anim Behav 95:89–99. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.06.011

- 1023 Podos J, Cohn-Haft M. 2019. Extremely loud mating songs at close range in white bellbirds.
- 1024 *Curr Biol* **29**:R1068–R1069. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.09.028
- 1025 Pomiankowski a. 1987. Sexual Selection: The Handicap Principle Does Work -- Sometimes.
- 1026 Proc R Soc B Biol Sci **231**:123–145. doi:10.1098/rspb.1987.0038
- 1027 Price T, Schluter D, Jolla L, Group TE. 1991. On the low heritability of life-history traits.
- 1028 Evolution (N Y) **45**:853–861.
- 1029 Pultorak JD, Alger SJ, Loria SO, Johnson AM, Marler CA. 2018. Changes in behavior and
- 1030 ultrasonic vocalizations during pair bonding and in response to an infidelity challenge in
- 1031 monogamous California mice. *Front Ecol Evol* **6**:1–15. doi:10.3389/fevo.2018.00125
- 1032 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, De
- 1033 Bakker PIW, Daly MJ, Sham PC. 2007. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association
- and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**:559–575.
- 1035 doi:10.1086/519795
- 1036 RA. F. 1954. Statistical methods for research. Edinburgh.
- 1037 Reby D, McComb K. 2003. Anatomical constraints generate honesty: Acoustic cues to age and
 1038 weight in the roars of red deer stags. *Anim Behav* 65:519–530.
- 1039 doi:10.1006/anbe.2003.2078
- 1040 Riede T, Borgard HL, Pasch B. 2017. Laryngeal airway reconstruction indicates that rodent
- 1041 ultrasonic vocalizations are produced by an edge-tone mechanism. *R Soc Open Sci* 4.
 1042 doi:10.1098/rsos.170976
- 1043 Riede T, Brown C. 2013. Body Size , Vocal Fold Length, and Fundamental Frequency –
- 1044 Implications for Mammal Vocal Communication. Nov Acta Leopoldina NF 111 380:295-
- 1045 314.

- 1046 Riede T, Pasch B. 2020. Pygmy mouse songs reveal anatomical innovations underlying
- acoustic signal elaboration in rodents. *J Exp Biol* **223**:jeb223925. doi:10.1242/jeb.223925
- 1048 Ritland K. 1996. Marker-based method for inferences about quantitative inheritance in natural

1049 populations. *Evolution* **50**:1062–1073. doi:10.2307/2410647

- 1050 Rittschof CC, Robinson GE. 2014. Genomics: moving behavioural ecology beyond the
- 1051 phenotypic gambit. *Anim Behav* **92**:263–270. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.02.028
- 1052 Rochette NC, Catchen JM. 2017. Deriving genotypes from RAD-seq short-read data using
- 1053 Stacks. *Nat Protoc* **12**:2640–2659. doi:10.1038/nprot.2017.123
- 1054 Roff DA, Simons AM. 1997. The quantitative genetics of wing dimorphism under laboratory and
- 1055 "field" conditions in the cricket Gryllus pennsylvanicus. *Heredity (Edinb)* **78**:235–240.
- 1056 doi:10.1038/hdy.1997.37
- 1057 Rolff J, Joop G. 2002. Estimating condition: Pitfalls of using weight as a fitness correlate. *Evol* 1058 *Ecol Res* 4:931–935.
- 1059 Rowe L, Houle D. 1996. The lek paradox and the capture of genetic variance by condition
- 1060 dependent traits. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 263:1415–1421. doi:10.1098/rspb.1996.0207
- Ryan M. 1985. The Tungara Frog. A Study in Sexual Selection and Communication. University
 of Chicago Press. doi:10.1086/415117
- 1063 Ryan MJ, Brenowitz EA. 1985. The role of body size, phylogeny, and ambient noise in the 1064 evolution of bird song. *Am Nat* **126**:87. doi:10.1086/284398
- 1065 Santori C, Bussière LF, Houslay TM. 2020. Heightened perception of competition hastens
- 1066 courtship. *Behav Ecol* **31**:239–246. doi:10.1093/beheco/arz183
- 1067 Sauter DA, Eisner F, Calder AJ, Scott SK. 2010. Perceptual cues in nonverbal vocal
- 1068 expressions of emotion. Q J Exp Psychol **63**:2251–2272. doi:10.1080/17470211003721642

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.08.321141; this version posted October 9, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- 1069 Schleinitz D, Böttcher Y, Blüher M, Kovacs P. 2014. The genetics of fat distribution.
- 1070 *Diabetologia* **57**:1276–1286. doi:10.1007/s00125-014-3214-z
- 1071 Schneider JR, Chadee DD, Mori A, Romero-Severson J, Severson DW. 2011. Heritability and
- adaptive phenotypic plasticity of adult body size in the mosquito Aedes aegypti with
- implications for dengue vector competence. *Infect Genet Evol.* doi:10.1038/jid.2014.371
- 1074 Schousboe K, Visscher PM, Erbas B, Kyvik KO, Hopper JL, Henriksen JE, Heitmann BL,
- 1075 Sørensen TIA. 2004. Twin study of genetic and environmental influences on adult body
- 1076 size, shape, and composition. *Int J Obes* **28**:39–48. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0802524
- 1077 Schweiger R, Fisher E, Weissbrod O, Rahmani E, Müller-Nurasyid M, Kunze S, Gieger C,
- 1078 Waldenberger M, Rosset S, Halperin E. 2018. Detecting heritable phenotypes without a
- 1079 model using fast permutation testing for heritability and set-tests. *Nat Commun* **9**.
- 1080 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07276-w
- 1081 Sheehan MJ, Tibbetts EA. 2010. Selection for individual recognition and the evolution of
- polymorphic identity signals in polistes paper wasps. *J Evol Biol* **23**:570–577.
- 1083 doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01923.x
- Simons AM, Roff DA. 1994. The effect of environmental variability on the heritabilities of traits of
 a field cricket. *Evolution (N Y)* 48:1637–1649. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1994.tb02201.x
- Smith SK, Burkhard TT, Phelps SM. 2020. A comparative characterization of laryngeal anatomy
 in the singing mouse. *J Anat* 1–13. doi:10.1111/joa.13315
- 1088 St-Hilaire É, Réale D, Garant D. 2017. Determinants, selection and heritability of docility in wild
- 1089 eastern chipmunks (Tamias striatus). *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* **71**. doi:10.1007/s00265-0171090 2320-6
- Stirling DG, Réale D, Roff DA. 2002. Selection, structure and the heritability of behaviour. *J Evol Biol* 15:277–289. doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2002.00389.x

- 1093 Stoddard PK, Beecher MD. 1983. Parental Recognition of Offspring in the Cliff Swallow. Auk
- 1094 **100**:795–799. doi:10.1093/auk/100.4.795
- 1095 Stoffel MA, Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H. 2017. rptR: repeatability estimation and variance
- 1096 decomposition by generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods Ecol Evol 8:1639–
- 1097 1644. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12797
- 1098 Story BH, Titze IR, Hoffman EA. 2001. The relationship of vocal tract shape to three voice
- 1099 qualities. J Acoust Soc Am **109**:1651–1667. doi:10.1121/1.1352085
- 1100 Thomas RJ, Cuthill IC. 2002. Body mass regulation and the daily singing routines of European
- 1101 robins. Anim Behav 63:285–295. doi:10.1006/anbe.2001.1926
- 1102 Thomson IR, Darveau CA, Bertram SM. 2014. Body morphology, energy stores, and muscle
- 1103 enzyme activity explain cricket acoustic mate attraction signaling variation. *PLoS One* **9**:1–
- 1104 16. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090409
- 1105 Tomkins JL, Radwan J, Kotiaho JS, Tregenza T. 2004. Genic capture and resolving the lek
- 1106 paradox. *Trends Ecol Evol* **19**:323–8. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2004.03.029
- 1107 Van de Schoot R, Broere JJ, Perryck KH, Loey NE Van. 2015. Analayzing small data sets using
 1108 Bayesian estimation. *Eur J Psychotraumatol* 1:1–13.
- 1109 Vanhooydonck B, Herrel A, Meyers JJ, Irschick DJ. 2009. What determines dewlap diversity in
- 1110 Anolis lizards? An among-island comparison. *J Evol Biol* **22**:293–305. doi:10.1111/j.1420-
- 1111 9101.2008.01643.x
- 1112 Villemereuil P De, Gimenez O, Doligez B, Lyon D, De Villemereuil P, Gimenez O, Doligez B.
- 1113 2013. Comparing parent-offspring regression with frequentist and Bayesian animal models
- to estimate heritability in wild populations: A simulation study for Gaussian and binary
- 1115 traits. *Methods Ecol Evol* **4**:260–275. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12011

- 1116 Visscher PM, Hill WG, Wray NR. 2008. Heritability in the genomics era concepts and
- 1117 misconceptions. *Nat Rev Genet* **9**:255–266. doi:10.1038/nrg2322
- 1118 Voeten CC. 2020. buildmer: Stepwise Elimination and Term Reordering for Mixed-Effects
- 1119 Regression. R package version 1.6.
- 1120 Waldmann P. 2001. Additive and non-additive genetic architecture of two different-sized
- 1121 populations of Scabiosa canescens. *Heredity (Edinb)* 86:648–657. doi:10.1046/j.1365-
- 1122 2540.2001.00873.x
- 1123 Ward S, Speakman JR, Slater PJB. 2003. The energy cost of song in the canary, Serinus
- 1124 canaria. *Anim Behav* **66**:893–902. doi:10.1006/anbe.2003.2250
- 1125 Wiens JJ, Tuschhoff E. 2020. Songs versus colours versus horns: what explains the diversity of 1126 sexually selected traits? . *Biol Rev* **7**. doi:10.1111/brv.12593
- 1127 Wilson AJ, Réale D, Clements MN, Morrissey MM, Postma E, Walling CA, Kruuk LEBB, Nussey
- 1128 DH. 2010. An ecologist's guide to the animal model. *J Anim Ecol* **79**:13–26.
- 1129 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2009.01639.x
- 1130 Wolak ME, Fairbairn DJ, Paulsen YR. 2012. Guidelines for estimating repeatability. Methods
- 1131 Ecol Evol **3**:129–137. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00125.x
- 1132 Wuschke S, Dahm S, Schmidt C, Joost HG, Al-Hasani H. 2007. A meta-analysis of quantitative
- trait loci associated with body weight and adiposity in mice. *Int J Obes* **31**:829–841.
- 1134 doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0803473
- 1135 Yang J, Benyamin B, McEvoy BP, Gordon S, Henders AK, Others. 2010. Common {SNPs}
- explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height. *Nat Gen* **42**:565–569.
- 1137 doi:10.1038/ng.608.Common
- 1138 Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard MEM, Visscher PM, Analysis CT, Yang J, Lee H, Goddard MEM,

- 1139 Visscher PM, Lee SH, Goddard MEM, Visscher PM. 2011. GCTA: A tool for genome-wide
- 1140 complex trait analysis. *Am J Hum Genet* **88**:76–82. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.11.011
- 1141 Zahavi A. 1975. Mate selection-A selection for a handicap. *J Theor Biol* **53**:205–214.
- 1142 doi:10.1016/0022-5193(75)90111-3
- 1143 Zheng D. 2020. Androgen modulation of vocal circuits in Alston's singing mouse. *Doctoral*
- 1144 thesis, University of Texas at Austin
- 1145 Zuberbühler K. 2008. Audience effects. Curr Biol. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.12.041
- 1146 Zuk M, Kolluru GR. 1998. Exploitation of Sexual Signals by Predators and Parasitoids. *Q Rev*
- 1147 *Biol* **73**:415–438. doi:10.1086/420412