1 **Genome engineering of *Nannochloropsis* with large deletions for constructing microalgal** 2 **minigenomes**

3 Qintao Wang^{1,2,4}, Yanhai Gong^{1,2,4}, Yuehui He^{1,2,4}, Yi Xin^{1,2,4}, Nana Lv^{1,2,4}, Xuefeng Du^{1,2,4}, Yun
4 Li^{1,2,4}, Byeong-ryool Jeong^{1,3}, Jian Xu^{1,2,4,*}

5 ¹Single-Cell Center, CAS Key Laboratory of Biofuels, Shandong Key Laboratory of Energy
6 Genetics and Shandong Institute of Energy Research, Qingdao Institute of BioEnergy and
7 Bioprocess Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, Shandong 266101, China

8 ²Qingdao National Laboratory of Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, Shandong 266237,
9 China

10 ³School of Energy and Chemical Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology,
11 Ulsan 44919, Korea

12 ⁴University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

13

14 *Corresponding author. Tel.: +(86) 532 8066 2651; fax: +(86) 532 8066 2654

15 E-mail address: xujian@qibebt.ac.cn (Jian Xu)

16 **Running title:** Cas9-based microalgal genome engineering

17 **Key words:** oleaginous microalgae, *Nannochloropsis* spp., CRISPR-Cas system, genome editing,
18 large genome fragment deletion

19

19 ABSTRACT

20 Industrial microalgae are promising photosynthetic cell factories, yet tools for targeted genome
 21 engineering are limited. Here for the model industrial oleaginous microalga *Nannochloropsis*
 22 *oceanica* we established a method to precisely and serially delete large genome fragments of ~100
 23 kb from its 30.01-Mb nuclear genome. We started by identifying the "non-essential" chromosomal
 24 regions (i.e., low-expression region or LER) based on minimal gene expression under N-replete and
 25 N-depleted conditions. The largest such LER (LER1) is ~98 kb in size, located near the telomere of
 26 the 502.09 kb-long Chromosome 30 (Chr 30). We deleted 81 kb and further distal and proximal
 27 deletions of up to 110 kb (21.9% of Chr 30) in LER1 by dual targeting the boundaries with the
 28 episome-based CRISPR/Cas9 system. The telomere-deletion mutants showed normal telomeres
 29 consisting of CCCTAA repeats, revealing telomere regeneration capability after losing distal part of
 30 Chr 30. Interestingly, the deletions caused no significant alteration in growth, lipid production or
 31 photosynthesis (transcript-abundance change for < 3% genes under N depletion). We also
 32 performed double-deletion of both LER1 and LER2 (from Chr 9) that totals ~214 kb, and
 33 phenotypes are essentially normal. Therefore, loss of the large yet "non-essential" regions does not
 34 necessarily sacrifice important traits. Such serial targeted deletions of large genomic regions have
 35 not been reported in plants or microalgae, and will accelerate crafting minimal genomes as chassis
 36 for photosynthetic production.

37

38 Introduction

39 Microalgae are photoautotrophic eukaryotic organisms that play a major role in the
40 biogeochemical carbon cycling of our biosphere by assimilation of atmospheric CO₂ (1, 2). In
41 addition, microalgae have tremendous potential for producing biofuels, biomaterials and other
42 platform chemicals in a renewable and sustainable manner while reducing greenhouse gas emission
43 (3). However, realization of the potential requires extensive engineering of metabolism at the
44 genetic and the genomic levels to maximize yields and minimize production costs (4, 5).

45 In general, genome is composed of many seemingly non-essential regions, which can be
46 removed to create a “minimal genome”. For example, in higher eukaryotes, "junk" regions and/or
47 unknown loci including transposons and repetitive elements can take up to 70% of the genome (6).
48 Even in the compact bacterial genomes, the minimal genomes can be reduced to ~50-70% of the
49 original size, based on the number of essential genes for normal growth if nutrients and stresses are
50 not limiting (7, 8). Such "minimal genomes" can be employed as a chassis for building production
51 strains, e.g., by introducing non-native biosynthetic pathways for target compounds (9). Notably,
52 although a “minimal” genome of *Mycoplasma mycoides* has been synthesized by the bottom-up
53 approach (10), *de novo* synthesis of eukaryotic genomes remain formidable due to their larger
54 genome size and complexity (11). Therefore, top-down strategies that rationally determine and then
55 delete non-essential regions from the native chromosome are attractive approaches for creating a
56 minimal eukaryotic genome (12).

57 Deletion of target genomic regions can be achieved by various techniques, including the λ-red
58 recombination system (13), Cre/loxP system (14), Flp/FRT system (15), Latour system (16), PCR-
59 mediated chromosome splitting (17-19), gene replacement with meganuclease (20) and
60 replacement-type recombination (21). In microalgae, however, such targeted deletions have hardly
61 been successful due to their intrinsic problems. *Firstly*, genome-wide understanding that underlies
62 rational selection and meaningful deletion of the target sites has been limited. *Secondly*, the

63 efficiency of recombination is generally very low for microalgae (4, 22), despite a few examples of
64 homologous recombination in microalgae (23-25), resulting in the lack of the aforementioned
65 genome-deletion techniques.

66 Development of nuclease-based techniques is opening new possibilities for genetic
67 manipulation of microalgae (4, 26). In particular, the clustered regularly interspaced short
68 palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/Cas9 has been successfully employed in the microalgae such as
69 *Chlamydomonas* (27-30), *Nannochloropsis* (26, 31-34), *Volvox carteri* (35), diatom (36-38),
70 *Coccomyxa* sp (39) and *Euglena gracilis* (40), for gene knockout, knock-in, multiple knockout,
71 homology-based small-fragment deletion of about 220 bp in *E. gracilis* (40). However, targeted
72 deletions of large genomic fragments or regions have not been reported in microalgae (or plants),
73 likely due to the generally low transformation efficiency of microalgae and the potentially harmful
74 or even lethal effects of such deletions.

75 *Nannochloropsis* spp. are a phylogenetically distinct group of unicellular photosynthetic
76 heterokonts that are widely distributed in sea, fresh and brackish waters. As Eustigmatophyceae
77 they are more closely related to diatoms than to green algae. These heterokont microalgae are of
78 industrial interest due to their ability to grow under a wide-range of conditions, and produce large
79 amounts of lipids and high-value polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), e.g., eicosapentaenoic acid
80 (41). Moreover, they are excellent research models for microalgal systems and synthetic biology,
81 due to their small genome size and simple gene structure (42-47), as well as recently demonstrated
82 genetic tools for *Nannochloropsis* (48), including overexpression (48-57), RNAi (58-60), multigene
83 expression enabled by bidirectional promoters and ribosome skipping 2A sequences (24, 48, 61-63),
84 markerless trait stacking through combined genome editing and marker recycling (34) and gene
85 targeting via homologous recombination (24, 63-65).

86 *Nannochloropsis* spp. also feature extensive omics resources for functional assessment of
87 chromosomal regions genome-wide. For example, in *Nannochloropsis oceanica* IMET1 which is an

industrial strain for both TAG and EPA, rich resources of genomic (42, 47), transcriptomic (42, 66-69), proteomic (68-72), lipidomic (66, 67) as well as physiological data (73-77). Taking advantage of these resources, we employed this strain to establish a method to precisely and serially delete large genome fragments of ~100 kb from its 30.01-Mb nuclear genome. We started by identifying the "non-essential" chromosomal regions based on minimal gene expression under N-replete and N-depleted conditions, called low expression regions (LERs). Out of ten such regions, we have deleted two largest LERs, LER1 and LER2. The LER1 deletion (~110 kb deletion) and the LER1-LER2 serial deletion (~214 kb in total) showed essentially normal growth, lipid contents, fatty acid saturation levels and photosynthesis. These findings raise an exciting and new possibility to build a minimal genome in *Nannochloropsis*, which can serve as the chassis strain for customized production of biomolecules via further metabolic engineering.

Results

Selection of genomic regions for targeted deletion

To determine the "non-essential" regions under a particular condition, we analyzed transcriptomic datasets of *Nannochloropsis oceanica* IMET1 that we previously published under nitrogen repletion (N+) and nitrogen depletion (N-) conditions ((66, 67); also available in the NanDeSyn database at <http://nandesyn.single-cell.cn/>; (Gong et al., 2020)). We identified ten such regions, named LER (for low or no expression regions), based on the threshold of mapped mRNA-Seq reads < 10 under N+ and N- conditions (average genome-wide sequence coverage of 53.3), i.e., LER1 through LER10 (**Table S1**). Among these, LER1 is the largest, and located at the distal end of Chr 30 (**Fig. 1A**). LER1 harbors 22 annotated genes (NO30G00010 - NO30G00220; details in NanDeSyn (78)) spanning over 98 kb of Chr 30 (**Table S1**). Among these, 4 genes encoded unknown functions, six membrane proteins, two PAS proteins, and so on (**Table 1**). These genes showed no or very low expression level under N+ or N- (**Fig. 1B**). Similar expression patterns of homologous genes are also found in the related strain *N. oceanica* CCMP1779 (45). Such a

conserved low-expression pattern suggests that they are not essential for normal growth, at least under the nitrogen-related conditions. Interestingly, homologs of these genes was absent in *N. gaditana* B-31 and *N. salina* CCMP1776 (**Fig. 1B**; (44)), corroborating their non-essentiality in *N. oceanica*. Therefore, we hypothesized that this Chr 30 region is non-essential to *N. oceanica* and could likely be removed without compromising growth or other key traits.

Deletion of LER1 and molecular validation of mutants

Episome-based CRISPR/Cas9 allowed removal of the circular extrachromosomal vector in the absence of selection pressure after stable mutagenesis was completed. Specifically, Cas9 and gRNAs were expressed under the endogenous ribosomal subunit bidirectional promoter (PriBI; **Fig. 2A**), which can drive dual expression of transgenes in *N. oceanica*. Two gRNAs were cloned for the deletion of LER1 (**Fig. 2B**, **Table S2**), and were separated by the hammerhead (HH) and hepatitis delta virus (HDV) self-cleaving ribozymes for their individual production (33). They were devised using chopchop (<http://chopchop.cbu.uib.no/>) (79), with gRNA1 located at ~20.5 kb distal to the telomere of Chr 30 (to avoid losing the telomere) and gRNA2 at ~81 kb proximal from gRNA1. Therefore, the two gRNAs were designed to cleave and delete ~81 kb inside from the Chr 30 telomere (**Fig. 2B**).

We employed episome-based delivery of Cas9 and gRNAs, and the circular vector was initially maintained under selection pressure, which can be removed by non-selective media. The transformed plasmid- Δ LER1 was selected on solid plates containing 300 μ g/ml hygromycin and 1.6 g/L NaHCO₃ for 25 days. Twelve colonies were cultured in selective liquid media, and their genomic DNAs were isolated and subjected to PCR for the presence of the plasmid- Δ LER1 and chromosomal deletions (**Fig. 2B**, **Table S3**). Transformants 3-12 (Δ LER1_3 to Δ LER1_12) were positive for the plasmid- Δ LER1, while Δ LER1_1 and Δ LER1_2 were negative (**Fig. 2C-a**), suggesting that Δ LER1_3 to Δ LER1_12 were true transformants. For the genomic status of chromosomal deletions, only Δ LER1_11 and Δ LER1_12 showed amplification of 0.66 kb using

138 primers F and R (**Fig. 2C-b**), suggesting correct deletion of the 81 kb between target sites cleaved
 139 by gRNA1 and gRNA2. We also checked for the status of flanking sequences around the cleavage
 140 site of gRNA1 (**Fig. 2C-c**) and gRNA2 (**Fig. 2C-d**) via primer pairs F1/R1 and F2/R2, respectively.
 141 Δ LER1_5, Δ LER1_6 and Δ LER1_10 were positive for these PCR reactions (similar to WT),
 142 suggesting that they contained cleavage sites of gRNA1 and gRNA2. Sanger sequencing of PCR
 143 products from T11 and T12 revealed correct deletion junction between the cleavage sites of gRNA1
 144 and gRNA2, despite the presence of small indel mutations at the cleavage sites (**Fig. 3A**).

145 Interestingly, Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_7- Δ LER1_9 were negative for all genomic PCR
 146 (**Fig. 2C-b, c, d**), suggesting that they lack all of the primer sites possibly by farther deletions on
 147 Chr 30. To confirm the exact nature of their chromosomal status, we sequenced their whole genome
 148 via NGS (**Fig. 3B; Methods**). We also sequenced the Δ LER1_11 and Δ LER1_12 genomes to probe
 149 whether they contained the distal part from the cleavage sites of Chr 30. The NGS data revealed that
 150 Δ LER1_11 and Δ LER1_12 contained correct distal sequences from the cleavage sites (**Fig. 3B**).
 151 However, Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_7- Δ LER1_9 lacked not only the distal sequence of Chr 30
 152 but also farther deletions (beyond the gRNA2 cleavage site) towards the 3' ends of Chr 30 (**Fig. 3B**).
 153 Their endpoints towards the 3' side varied in mutants, where up to 104900 bp were deleted for
 154 Δ LER1_3, 102564 bp for Δ LER1_4, 105648 bp for Δ LER1_7, 104361 bp for Δ LER1_8 and 110219
 155 bp for Δ LER1_9. Therefore, Δ LER1_9 contained the largest deletion of Chr 30, where 110 kb was
 156 deleted (leaving only 392 kb as Chr 30).

157 For the extended deletion mutants (Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4 and Δ LER1_7-9), we examined their 5'
 158 termini, since telomeres are important for chromosome stability. To determine whether the ends
 159 maintained their own telomere or were replaced with new telomeres, we amplified the termini of
 160 these deletion mutants (**Table S4**) and cloned them into pXJ70gb (GenBank MT134322).
 161 Sequencing revealed the variable length of new ends to gRNA2 sequence in the range of 1.0 - 8.6
 162 kb, which appeared as short CCCTAA repeats at the end of mutated Chr 30 (**Fig. 3B**), reminiscent

163 of telomeric repeat structures in other organisms (80). Therefore, the telomere can regenerate
164 randomly at the ends of chromosome in *N. oceanica*.

165 Finally, we probed the off-target effects in Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_7, Δ LER1_8,
166 Δ LER1_9, Δ LER1_11 and Δ LER1_12. The potential off-target sites were predicted genome-wide
167 for gRNA1 and gRNA2, by analyzing the assembled genome sequence of each of the mutants using
168 Cas-OFFinder (81). Fourteen likely off-target sites within five nucleotide mismatches to the
169 recognition site of gRNA1 (**Table S5**) were identified and 24 off-target sites were screened for
170 gRNA2 (**Table S6**). Importantly, none of these sites were mutated in the transformants, as
171 confirmed by their whole-genome sequences. The zero or very low off-target effects of
172 CRISPR/Cas9 deletion mutants here encourage further rational genome-wide deletions with
173 carefully selected gRNAs.

174 **Phenotypes of the LER1 deletion mutants**

175 *Growth, biomass, and photosynthesis* To probe the phenotype of these deletion mutations, we
176 grouped mutants into (i) Δ LER1_11 and Δ LER1_12 with precise 81 kb deletions, and (ii) Δ LER1_3
177 (~104.9 kb removed), Δ LER1_4 (~102.6 kb removed) and Δ LER1_9 (the largest deletion of ~110.2
178 kb) with larger deletions. Under f/2 medium in flasks, shaking with 120 rpm under 40 μ mol photos
179 $\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ at 23 °C, we measured their basic phenotypes including growth with OD750 (**Fig. 4A and**
180 **4G**), and biomass in $\mu\text{g}/10\text{ml}$ (**Fig. 4B and 4H**). We also estimated photosynthetic activity by
181 measuring Fv/Fm, as a ratio of variable to maximal fluorescence reflecting the optimal/maximal
182 photochemical efficiency of PS II in the dark (82) and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) which
183 plays a major role in response to changes in light intensity in plants (83) (**Fig. 4C and 4I**). Overall,
184 it was a surprise to find no or just subtle differences (e.g., Fv/Fm in T9 is 3% higher than that in WT;
185 see below) in these phenotypes with such large chromosomal deletions.

186 Growth measured via OD750 in the 81 kb-deletion mutants Δ LER1_11 and Δ LER1_12 was
187 basically identical to WT (**Fig. 4A**), even though their biomass yield slightly decreased (**Fig. 4B**).

188 Their photosynthetic parameters, Fv/Fm and NPQ, were identical, even though T12 showed
189 moderate but significant reduction in NPQ (**Fig. 4C**). Larger-deletion mutants T3, T4 and T9
190 showed similar phenotypes compared to the 81 kb deletion mutants, in terms of growth (**Fig. 4G**)
191 and biomass production (**Fig. 4H**). Photosynthetic parameters were also mostly equivalent, even
192 though T9 showed slight but significant increase in the photosynthetic efficiency (**Fig. 4I**). These
193 results suggest that genes included in the deleted areas of Chr 30 are not essential or critical for
194 growth and photosynthesis under condition tested.

195 *Lipid and degree of unsaturation in fatty acids via Ramanome* Via Single-cell Raman Spectra
196 (SCRS), a ramanome can unveil single-cell-resolution phenomes in a label-free and non-invasive
197 manner, e.g., characterize energy-storage molecules such as TAGs, starch and protein in *N.*
198 *oceanica* (57, 67, 74, 84, 85). Therefore, to test whether the deletion mutants are phenotypically
199 distinct from WT, we collected ramanome data of cells under N- condition (Batch1:
200 WT/ Δ LER1_11/ Δ LER1_12 at 0 h, 48 h; Batch2: WT/ Δ LER1_3/ Δ LER1_4/ Δ LER1_9 at 0 h, 48 h,
201 72 h; **Methods**). TAG content as predicted by the intensity of Raman band of 2881 cm⁻¹ showed no
202 obvious difference between WT and mutants (**Fig. 4D, J**), and so was the degree of unsaturation
203 (DU) for lipids, predicted by the ratio of 1656 cm⁻¹ and 1640 cm⁻¹ (**Fig. 4E, K**; (76)).

204 In addition to the TAG content and DU prediction, SCRS can also estimate the ‘fingerprint’ of a
205 cell (86-88). Jensen-Shannon distances (JSD), which usually adapted for measuring the difference
206 of frequency spectra (89, 90), could be used to measure the phenotypic difference between pairwise
207 cells based on its SCRS. Moreover, to compare the phenotype difference among strains, here, we
208 proposed ‘strain-Ramanome’ to define a certain strain, which consists of ramanomes of one certain
209 strain at multiple inducing conditions and timepoints. For example, the Δ LER1_3-ramanome
210 includes ramanomes of 0 h/ 48 h/ 72 h at N- of Δ LER1_3 transformants.

211 We calculated pairwise Jensen-Shannon distances (JSD) of intra-strain and inter-strain
212 ramanomes based on JSD of the underlying SCRS (**Methods**). The results showed that JSDs of

inter-WT- Δ LER1_11/ Δ LER1_12/ Δ LER1_3/ Δ LER1_4/ Δ LER1_9 are significantly larger than intra-WT distance, which meant that Δ LER1_11/ Δ LER1_12/ Δ LER1_3/ Δ LER1_4/ Δ LER1_9 were all phenotypically different from WT strain (Wilcox test, $p < 0.001$, **Fig. 6F, L**). In conclusion, there is no apparent difference in TAG content or lipids unsaturation degree, while deletion mutants are phenotypically distinct from WT via strain-Ramanome analysis.

Temporal dynamics of transcriptome between WT and Δ LER1_9 To detect the gene-expression response of large fragment deletion, the transcriptomic profile of Δ LER1_9, the strain with the largest fragment (at 110 kb and harboring 24 genes) deleted among all mutants, were compared to that of WT by mRNA-Seq, over the three time points of 0 h, 48 h, 96 h (**Fig. S1; Methods**). In Δ LER1_9, the first 24 genes were deleted in Chr 30 and none of these transcripts was detected, although NO30G00230 was highly transcribed at each of the three timepoints in WT (which is consistent with the transcriptome data (67)). Thus, transcriptome results validated the large fragment deletion (**Fig. 5A, Fig. S2**).

Correlation analysis of Δ LER1_9 and WT transcriptomes revealed two clusters: (i) Δ LER1_9 N-48 h, Δ LER1_9 N-96 h and WT N-96 h, and (ii) WT N+, Δ LER1_9 N+ and WT N-48 h. Compared to WT N-48 h, Δ LER1_9 N-48 h is more similar to Δ LER1_9 N-96 h and WT N-96 h. Thus Δ LER1_9 responds more quickly to N- than WT (**Fig. 5B**). Specifically, ~300 genes are down-regulated under N-48 h in T9 (vs. WT; **Fig. 5C, S3**), with no significantly-changed GO terms identified (**Fig. S4**).

Notably, in Δ LER1_9, 16 genes are upregulated under each of N+, N-48 h and N-96 h, as compared to WT (**Fig. 5C**), with most of those located near the ends of Chr 9 and Chr18 (**Fig. 5D**). Therefore, the large fragment deletion has likely changed chromosome conformation, resulting in the change of gene expression and leading to the rapid response of Δ LER1_9 under N-.

Dual deletion of LER1 and LER2 in one round of transformation

To accelerate fragment deletion, we next tested the possibility to serially delete multiple

238 fragments via one transformation with one vector. Four gRNAs were designed for the deletion of
 239 LER1 and LER2, which were separately cleaved by HH and HDV self-cleaving ribozymes for their
 240 individual production, respectively. Specifically, gRNA1 and gRNA2 were designed for LER1
 241 deletion as described earlier (**Fig. 6A-a; Table S7**). LER2 was located at 1189260-1290044 in Chr 9,
 242 with very low gene expression (read depth<10). Therefore, gRNA3 were designed at ~100.7 kb
 243 (1189295 to 1189314) inside the 3' telomere of Chr 9. To avoid losing the telomere, gRNA4 was
 244 designed to be located at ~19.5 kb (1270540 to 1270559) inside the 3' telomere of Chr 9. Therefore,
 245 gRNA3 and gRNA4 were designed to cleave and delete ~81 kb inside from the telomere of Chr 9
 246 (**Fig. 6B-a; Table S7**).

247 Twenty colonies were cultured in selective liquid media, and their genomic DNAs were
 248 isolated and subjected to PCR for the presence of plasmid- Δ LER1 Δ LER2 and chromosomal
 249 deletions (**Table S8**). The PCR identification was positive for Δ LER1 Δ LER2_1- Δ LER1 Δ LER2_12,
 250 Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_20 (**data not shown**), suggesting that plasmid-
 251 Δ LER1 Δ LER2 was successfully transformed. No transformants showed amplification of 0.66 kb at
 252 LER1 (**Fig. 6A-b**), suggesting either lack of target deletion or the complete loss of the LER1 region.
 253 As for LER2, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 showed 1.12 kb amplification (**Fig. 6B-b**), consistent with correct
 254 deletion of the 81 kb between target sites of gRNA3 and gRNA4.

255 To discriminate between precise targeted-region deletion and loss of chromosomal regions that
 256 extend beyond the targeted region, the flanking sequences around the target sites of gRNA1 (**Fig.**
 257 **6A-c**), gRNA2 (**Fig. 6A-d**), gRNA3 (**Fig. 6B-c**) and gRNA4 (**Fig. 6B-d**) were assessed via primer
 258 pairs F1/R1, F2/R2, F3/R3 and F4/R4, respectively (**Table S8**). Δ LER1 Δ LER2_8,
 259 Δ LER1 Δ LER2_10 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_11 were positive for these PCR reactions (similar to WT),
 260 suggesting that they contain the flanking sequence of cleavage sites of gRNA1, gRNA2, gRNA3
 261 and gRNA4. Δ LER1 Δ LER2_20 were positive only at gRNA1 and gRNA2 PCR reactions, while
 262 Δ LER1 Δ LER2_1, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_2, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_3 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_6 were positive only at

gRNA3 and gRNA4 PCR reactions. Thus just one of the two targeted regions was deleted in each of these transformants. Δ LER1 Δ LER2_7 is positive only at gRNA4, which proved DNA sequence around gRNA4 was in the genome. Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_12 are negative for the above primers, suggesting that all of the regions were deleted.

To confirm deletions of LER1 and LER2 in Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_12 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17, their genomes were profiled by NGS (Δ LER1 Δ LER2_8 also sequenced as control). In Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_12 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17, LER1 and LER2 were both deleted and telomeres regenerated at the newly generated terminals of chromosomes (**Fig. 6A-e and 6B-e**). The new chromosomal terminals correspond to the coordinates of 101551-104679 in Chr 30 and those of 1180715-1189300 in Chr 9, suggesting regeneration of new chromosomal terminals near the cleavage sites.

For deletion related to LER2 in Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17, PCR results indicated accurate deletion of the ~81 kb target region in LER2 (1189312-1270546 at the original coordinate of WT). In addition, sequences of the PCR products are consistent with predicted sequence derived from accurate deletion of the target fragment in LER2 as designed (**6B-b**). However, the NGS results supported complete loss of the whole LER2 (the ~101 kb region from 1189300 to 1290044 at the original coordinate of WT) that extends beyond the original target region, as few NGS reads were mapped to the terminal 101 kb (from 1189300 to 1290044) in the 3' of Chr 9 (**Fig. 6B-e**). However, two of the NGS reads were found that support the presence of the junction that corresponds to precise deletion of the ~81 kb target portion of LER2 (i.e., deleting the 1189312-1270546 region at the original coordinate of WT). Thus Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 is genetically heterogeneous, with majority of the cells being the complete deletion of the whole LER2 (~101 kb deleted; 1189300-1290044 at the original coordinate) and ~2% being the 81 kb precise deletion of the target region (1189312-1270546 region at the original coordinate of WT) in LER2. Therefore, altogether, there is evidence for successful deletion of both LER1 and LER2 in 4 of the 14 transformants, which validated the method for serial

288 large-fragment deletion in *N. oceanica*.

289 **Phenotypes of the Δ LER1 Δ LER2 mutants with double deletion**

290 To probe phenotypes of the double-deletion mutations, growth, biomass and photosynthesis
291 were analyzed for in Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 under f/2 cultured
292 for 7days (N+), N-48 h and N-96 h, as described earlier for LER1. Growth and biomass of these
293 mutants were slightly elevated as compared to WT (**Fig. 7A and B**). For growth, under N+,
294 Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 increased by 10.7%, and under N-96 h, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17
295 increased by 10.1% and 4.8%, respectively. For biomass, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4 increased by 10.4%
296 under N+, and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 increased by 12.0% and 5.1%, under N-96
297 h, respectively. Their photosynthetic parameters, Fv/Fm and NPQ, were mostly unaffected, even
298 though T4 and T17 showed moderate but significant reduction in Fv/Fm (**Fig. 7C**). These results
299 suggested fragment deletions is feasible to remold *N. oceanica* as chassis cell without affection of
300 growth under specific environmental conditions.

301 To test whether the TAG content and DU of deletion mutants are distinct from WT, ramanome
302 data of Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 and WT were collected under N-
303 condition for 0 h, 48 h and 72 h. TAG content and DU was predicted as described earlier for LER1,
304 and no apparent difference in TAG content or DU was detected between WT and mutants (**Fig. 7D**
305 **and E**). JSD also was used to measure the phenotypic difference between mutants and WT based on
306 its SCRS. The results showed that JSD of inter-WT/ Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 were significantly larger
307 than intra-WT distance, which meant that Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 were phenotypically different from
308 WT strain (Wilcox test, $p < 0.001$, **Fig. 7F**). However, JSD of inter-
309 WT/ Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4/ Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5 distance were similar with intra-WT distance, indicating no
310 significant different between Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4/ Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5 and WT strain. In conclusion,
311 there is no apparent difference in TAG content or lipids unsaturation degree, while dual-deletion
312 mutant Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 is phenotypically distinct from WT via strain-Ramanome analysis. These

313 results demonstrated that large-fragment deletions, i.e., removal of both LER1 and LER2, exerts no
314 effects on the *N. oceanica* phenotypes.

315 Discussion

316 Microalgae have great potential as the next-generation feedstock for biofuels and chemicals in
317 an eco-friendly manner; however, for most microalgae, the genetic toolboxes have been lagging
318 behind crop plants and other microorganisms partly due to late development and inherent technical
319 difficulties (91). This has hindered the exploitation of the extensive microalgal genomic resources
320 for crafting a minimal microalgal genome with uncompromised functionality that can serve as a
321 solar-energy driven, CO₂-fixing chassis for green biomanufacturing. In animal and plants, the
322 CRISPR system can produce deletions ranging between several hundred bp and a few hundred kb
323 (92-95), however, target deletion of chromosomal regions has not been demonstrated in microalgae,
324 one of the most diverse groups of organisms on Earth. In fact, whether and to what extent
325 microalgal genomes can be molded is unknown.

326 To tackle this challenge, we exploited the rich functional genomic resources of *N. oceanica* to
327 identify the “dispensable” chromosomal regions for targeted deletion, and also took advantage of its
328 highly efficient DNA transformation system to generate deletion of designated chromosomal
329 regions via CRISPR/Cas9. Specifically, bidirectional promoters were newly developed for both
330 Cas9 and the dual gRNAs, and individual gRNAs were separately produced by ribozymes, all of
331 which contained in a plasmid. We demonstrated one-time deletion of up to ~214 kb from *N.*
332 *oceanica* Chr 30, which is 973 times longer than the genome fragments deleted in microalgae
333 previously reported (the removal of 220 bp in *E. gracilis* genome using ribonucleoprotein or RNP
334 (40)). Notably, our episome-based genome engineering did not leave any traces of foreign DNA,
335 potentially avoiding the GMO conflict in the future (33, 96).

336 In the LER1 fragment deletion, among 10 positive transformants, 7 transformants deleted
337 LER1 fragment. In LER1 and LER2 dual fragment deletions, among 14 positive transformants, 4

transformants deleted LER1 and LER2 by one transformation. These results indicated the deletion efficiency is enough to construct minimal genome. However, only 2/7 transformants with LER1 fragment deletion is accurate deletion and no accurate deletion transformants were found among LER1 and LER2 dual fragment deletions transformants. We speculated that the losing of untargeted sequence near the telomere is for its “unimportant”. If more accurate deletion transformants required, more transformants need to be screened.

Moreover, fidelity of our Cas9-mediated deletion of chromosomal segments is very high, since no off-targeting events were detected based on Cas-OFFinder (81) and whole genome sequencing for the deletion mutants (**Tables S5 and S6**). However, even though we successfully obtained two mutants (Δ LER1_11 and Δ LER1_12) with precise deletions at gRNA1 and gRNA2, a number of mutants contained deletions beyond the cleavage sites by gRNAs, e.g., in Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_7, Δ LER1_8 and Δ LER1_9, the whole distal segments were deleted (**Fig. 3B**), probably due to failure of correct ligation between the two cleavage sites by gRNA1 and gRNA2. Interestingly, sequencing of the deleted ends (**Fig. 3B**) revealed that the telomere appeared to be regenerated, containing repeats of CCCTAA which are typical telomeric repeats found in other organisms (80, 97, 98). The *de novo* addition of telomere to the end of DSBs protected the Chr 30 from shortening and maintained stability of the whole genome, as reported in yeasts (99). While mechanisms of *Nannochloropsis* telomere maintenance is unknown, our accidental discovery of autonomous telomere regeneration in *N. oceanica* is important, as this would guide artificial chromosomes construction (100) and telomere-mediated chromosomal truncation (101) in this and related organisms, and greatly expand the scope of genome engineering in microalgae. Notably, although their transcript level of the genes in LER1 at Chr 30 was low at f/2 medium under both N+ and N- conditions, a few were induced by the high CO₂ level (50,000 ppm) (68). While no functional links with carbon metabolic pathways are apparent (**Table 1**), the LER1-harboring genes might be important to *N. oceanica* under other conditions. Nevertheless, they seemed to be

unrelated to nitrogen-related metabolic pathways, consistent with our results showing only minimal or no phenotype change in growth, photosynthesis and lipids in the deletion mutants. Thus it would be encouraging to continue deleting other regions of the genome until we achieve the minimal yet functional *N. oceanica* genome, which can then be employed as a solar-energy driven, CO₂-fixing chassis for green biomanufacturing.

Materials and Methods

Genome-wide screening/selection of candidate regions for genomic-region deletion To select the LERs, we scanned the whole genome and N⁺/N⁻ transcriptome of *N. oceanica* IMET1 from the NanDeSyn database (<http://nandesyn.single-cell.cn>). Low-expression genomic regions (local coverage <10) were detected using transcriptome dataset SRP017310 (67). Synteny blocks between different *Nannochloropsis* species were retrieved from NanDeSyn website (<http://nandesyn.single-cell.cn/synview/search>). Comparison of the gene expression of all chromosomes revealed a 98 kb genomic fragment with almost no genes expression in the beginning of Chr 30. Potential functions of genes within this fragment were manually checked according to gene feature pages on NanDeSyn website (e.g. <http://nandesyn.single-cell.cn/feature/gene/NO30G00150>). Multi-omics information was visualized using genome browser deposited in NanDeSyn website (<http://nandesyn.single-cell.cn/browser>) or plotted using pyGenomeTracks package (102).

Construction of CRISPR/Cas expression vectors An episome-based CRISPR/Cas system (pNOC-ARS-CRISPR-v2) was employed in this study (48). A pair of gRNAs were designed (**Table S2**) with the distance about 81 kb in Chr 30 at the positions of 20548 to 20567 and 101535 to 101554, respectively. The two gRNAs were expressed in one RNA molecule promoted by Pribi, and each of the gRNA was flanked by HH and HDV to allow precise cleavage. For the dual-fragment deletion, gRNA3 (1189295 to 1189314) and gRNA4 (1270540 to 1270559) that target Chr 30 were

expressed together with gRNA1 and gRNA2 that targets Chr 9, via one single vector.

Microalgal culture growth and transformation *N. oceanica* strain IMET1 was maintained in the dark on solid f/2 medium (67), which contains 15 g/liter agar and 1.6 g/L NaHCO₃ at 4 °C. For use in transformation experiments, cells were inoculated into liquid cultures of the f/2 medium and maintained under light at 50 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ at 23 °C. The episome with CRISPR/Cas system was transformed into *N. oceanica* using the electrophoresis protocol we previously described (26). The growth curve was detected with f/2 medium in flasks, shaking with 120 rpm under 40 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ at 23 °C.

Validation of the transformants with large fragment deletion. The genomic DNA of transgenic and wild-type *N. oceanica* cells was extracted. Episome PF and Episome PR were used to amplify the extracted DNA to detect the existence of episome. Primer F and Primer R were designed to detect the large fragment deletion in transformants. Primer F1, R1 and Primer F2, R2 were designed to amplify the gRNA target site 1 and gRNA target site 2, respectively (**Table S3**). PCR products were detected with Sanger sequencing to obtain the mutation sequence of the target sites. Moreover, to detect the terminal of ΔLER1_3, ΔLER1_4, ΔLER1_7, ΔLER1_8, ΔLER1_9, primers were designed according to the NGS results and telomere sequence. PCR products were ligated to the *Kpn* I digested pXJ70gb (GenBank MT134322), and the clones were sequenced with the Sanger method.

Genome-wide mutation mapping of the transformants for detecting deletion events and potential off-target sequences The genomic DNA was extracted with HP DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, America). DNA was sheared to 300 bp and sequencing libraries were deep sequenced on Illumina HiSeq platform. Whole-genome sequencing libraries of eight samples were prepared using standard protocols for the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform, generating about 3 gigabytes of raw data for each sample. The Illumina raw reads were trimmed using TrimGalore to remove adaptors and bases of low quality. Then, the cleaned reads were mapped to the reference genome from NanDeSyn

413 database (<http://nandesyn.single-cell.cn>; IMET1v2) using the BWA mem program (103), resulting
414 BAM files were visualized using Jbrowse genome browser (<http://nandesyn.single-cell.cn/jbrowse>).
415 Clean reads were assembled using SPAdes (104) in multi-cell mode, with parameters to
416 automatically compute coverage threshold (“--cov-cutoff auto”). Sequence variants were called for
417 all samples using GATK. Variant calling and filtration using GATK software were performed with
418 the HaplotypeCaller and VariantFiltration commands, respectively. The WGS data used in this study
419 can be accessed at NanDeSyn database (<ftp://nandesyn.single-cell.cn/pub/tracks/>).

420 To identify any potential off-target sequences in the whole-genome sequence of Cas9/gRNA
421 transformants, Cas-OFFinder (81) was used to find potential gRNA-DNA mismatch pairs in the
422 whole genome where mismatched bases in each pair are less than or equal to 5. The 38 potential
423 off-target sites were manually checked based on WGS data (variant calling results and reads
424 alignment visualization).

425 To further characterize the deletion events, a pair of primers was designed to amplify the
426 terminals (Primer F based on the sequence of telomere and primer R based on the NGS results;
427 **Table S4**). The PCR products were cloned into pXJ70gb (GenBank MT134322), and subjected to
428 Sanger sequencing.

429 ***Photosynthesis parameter monitoring*** Cells were grown in culture flasks for 4 days to the
430 exponential phase on a shaker (125 rpm/min) at 23 °C under continuous light (40 $\mu\text{mol photons}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$). Chlorophyll fluorescence of WT and mutants were measured using a pulse-amplitude
431 modulated fluorometer (Image PAM, Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) after 20-min dark treatment of
432 cells. PSII maximum quantum yield (Fv/Fm) and NPQ were measured according to a previous
433 report (59, 105).
434

435 ***Ramanome-based phenotyping of the transformants*** Cell aliquots were collected right before
436 re-inoculation (i.e. 0 h), and from each triplicate of Group N: 48 h, and 96 h. Before measurement,
437 each cell sample was washed three times and resuspended in ddH₂O to remove the culture media,

and then loaded in a capillary tube (50 mm length $\times$ 1 mm width $\times$ 0.1 mm height, Camlab, UK). Raman spectra of individual cells were acquired using a Raman Activated Cell Sorting system (RACS, Wellsens Inc, China), which was equipped with a confocal microscope with a 50 $\times$ PL magnifying dry objective (NA=0.55, BX41, Olympus, UK) and a 532 nm Nd:YAG laser (Ventus, Laser Quantum Ltd, UK). The power out of the objective was 200 mW and the acquisition time was 2 seconds per cell. Each Raman spectrum was acquired between the range 3340.9036 cm^{-1} and 394.11472 cm^{-1} . About 20 cells were measured in each of the samples. For background spectrum, the average of three spectra acquired from the liquid around the cell was used.

Pre-processing of raw spectra was performed with LabSpec 6 (HORIBA Scientific), including background subtraction and the baseline correction by a polynomial algorithm with degree of seven. The whole spectra were normalized for further analyses. Moreover, pairwise Jensen-Shannon distances (JSD) of single-cell Raman spectrum (SCRS) were first calculated, and then JSD of inter-strain-Ramanome and intra-WT-Ramanome was derived.

Transcriptome sampling, sequencing and analysis To compare the temporal dynamics of transcriptome between the mutants and WT, Δ LER1_9 and WT were cultured in f/2 medium for 7 days and induced with N-deplete f/2 medium for 4 days. The samples were collected at 7 days (N-0 h), N-48 h, N-96 h. *N. oceanica* cells were harvested by centrifugation for 5 min at 2500 g and then were immediately quenched with liquid N₂ and stored in - 80 °C freezer. Total algal RNA was extracted using Trizol reagents (Tiangen, Beijing, China). The concentration and purity of the RNA were determined spectrophotometrically (IMPLEN, CA, USA) and RNA integrity was assessed using the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit of the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). A total amount of 2 μ g RNA per sample was used as input material for the RNA sample preparations. Sequencing libraries were generated using NEBNext Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA) following manufacturer's recommendations and index codes were added to attribute sequences to each sample.

The clustering of the index-coded samples was performed on a cBot Cluster Generation System using the HiSeq 3000/4000 PE Cluster Kit Box1 from Illumina. After cluster generation, the library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform and 150bp paired-end reads were generated. Raw data (raw reads) of fastq format were quality controlled, aligned to the reference genome (IMET1v2) and generated gene abundances using nfcore/rnaseq pipeline (v1.2; <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1400710>).

Scripts bundled with Trinity software v2.4.0 (106) were mainly adopted to normalize gene abundances and find the differentially expressed subset. A table of TMM-normalized TPM expression matrix and a separate table of raw fragment counts were generated for further analysis and visualization. Differentially expressed (DE) genes were identified from raw counts with the Bioconductor package EdgeR v.3.16.5 (107). Three biological replicates for each condition were provided. The most significant differentially expressed genes ($FDR < 0.001$ and $FC > 4$) were extracted for further analysis. A hierarchically clustered heatmap was generated from the Pearson correlation matrix of pairwise sample comparisons based on the most significant DE subset.

Author contribution

Q.W. and J.X. conceived the project. Y.G. conducted bioinformatics analysis. Y.H. performed Ramanome analysis. Q.W., Y.X., N.L., X.D., Y.L. generated mutants. Q.W. phenotypically characterized mutants. Q.W., J.X., BrJ interpreted phenotypic data. Q. W., Y.G. and J.X. analyzed transcriptomes of mutant and wild-type strains. J.X., Q.W., Y.G., BrJ and Y.H. wrote the paper.

Acknowledgement

The work was supported by National Key Research and Development Program (2018YFA0902500 for J.X., Q.W. and Y.X.), Natural Science Foundation of China (31425002 for J.X.; 31800071 for Q.W.) and Chinese Academy of Sciences President's International Fellowship Initiative (2020VBA0032 for BrJ).

488   **Competing interests**

489   The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

490

References

1. Y. Zhu *et al.*, Characterization of organic phosphorus in lake sediments by sequential fractionation and enzymatic hydrolysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **47**, 7679-7687 (2013).
2. J. J. Piggott *et al.*, Climate warming and agricultural stressors interact to determine stream periphyton community composition. *Global Change Biol.* **21**, 206-222 (2015).
3. Q. Hu *et al.*, Microalgal triacylglycerols as feedstocks for biofuel production: perspectives and advances. *Plant J.* **54**, 621-639 (2008).
4. S. Jeon *et al.*, Current status and perspectives of genome editing technology for microalgae. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **10**, 267 (2017).
5. Y. Chisti, Constraints to commercialization of algal fuels. *J. Biotechnol.* **167**, 201-214 (2013).
6. S. R. Wessler, Transposable elements and the evolution of eukaryotic genomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103**, 17600-17601 (2006).
7. C. M. Fraser *et al.*, The minimal gene complement of *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *Science* **270**, 397-403 (1995).
8. E. V. Koonin, How many genes can make a cell: the minimal-gene-set concept. *Annu. Rev. Genom. Hum. G.* **1**, 99-116 (2000).
9. M. Breuer *et al.*, Essential metabolism for a minimal cell. *Elife* **8**, e36842 (2019).
10. C. A. Hutchison, 3rd *et al.*, Design and synthesis of a minimal bacterial genome. *Science* **351**, aad6253 (2016).
11. L. Wang *et al.*, Synthetic genomics: from DNA synthesis to genome design. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* **57**, 1748-1756 (2018).
12. Y. Giga-Hama, H. Tohda, K. Takegawa, H. Kumagai, Schizosaccharomyces pombe minimum genome factory. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* **46**, 147-155 (2007).
13. Z. Yu *et al.*, A precise excision of the complete Epstein-Barr virus genome in a plasmid based on a bacterial artificial chromosome. *J. Virol. Methods* **176**, 103-107 (2011).
14. Z. Liu *et al.*, Efficient construction of large genomic deletion in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* by combination of Cre/loxP system and triple recombineering. *Curr. Microbiol.* **72**, 465-472 (2016).
15. A. L. Parks *et al.*, Systematic generation of high-resolution deletion coverage of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. *Nat. Genet.* **36**, 288-292 (2004).
16. K. Hirashima *et al.*, A simple and effective chromosome modification method for large-scale deletion of genome sequences and identification of essential genes in fission yeast. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, e11 (2006).
17. Y. Kim *et al.*, A versatile and general splitting technology for generating targeted YAC subclones. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **69**, 65-70 (2005).
18. Y. Kim *et al.*, A yeast artificial chromosome-splitting vector designed for precise manipulation of specific plant chromosome region. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **99**, 55-60 (2005).
19. Y. Ueda *et al.*, Large-scale genome reorganization in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* through combinatorial loss of mini-chromosomes. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* **113**, 675-682 (2012).
20. G. Posfai, V. Kolisnychenko, Z. Berczki, F. R. Blattner, Markerless gene replacement in *Escherichia coli* stimulated by a double-strand break in the chromosome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, 4409-4415 (1999).
21. T. Takahashi, F. J. Jin, Y. Koyama, Nonhomologous end-joining deficiency allows large chromosomal deletions to be produced by replacement-type recombination in *Aspergillus oryzae*. *Fungal. Genet. Biol.* **46**, 815-824 (2009).
22. J. D. Rochaix, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* as the photosynthetic yeast. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **29**, 209-230 (1995).
23. J. A. Nelson, P. A. Lefebvre, Targeted disruption of the *NIT8* gene in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **15**, 5762-5769 (1995).
24. O. Kilian, C. S. Benemann, K. K. Niyogi, B. Vick, High-efficiency homologous recombination in the oil-producing alga *Nannochloropsis* sp. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 21265-21269 (2011).
25. S. Imamura *et al.*, R2R3-type MYB transcription factor, CmMYB1, is a central nitrogen assimilation regulator in *Cyanidioschyzon merolae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 12548-12553 (2009).
26. Q. Wang *et al.*, Genome editing of model oleaginous microalgae *Nannochloropsis* spp. by CRISPR/Cas9. *Plant J.* **88**, 1071-1081 (2016).

- 545 27. S. E. Shin *et al.*, CRISPR/Cas9-induced knockout and knock-in mutations in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.
546 *Sci. rep.* **6**, 27810 (2016).
- 547 28. K. Baek *et al.*, DNA-free two-gene knockout in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* via CRISPR-Cas9
548 ribonucleoproteins. *Sci. rep.* **6**, 30620 (2016).
- 549 29. A. Ferenczi, D. E. Pyott, A. Xipnitou, A. Molnar, Efficient targeted DNA editing and replacement in
550 *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* using Cpf1 ribonucleoproteins and single-stranded DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
551 *Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, 13567-13572 (2017).
- 552 30. A. Greiner *et al.*, Targeting of photoreceptor genes in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* via zinc-finger
553 nucleases and CRISPR/Cas9. *Plant cell* **29**, 2498-2518 (2017).
- 554 31. I. Ajjawi *et al.*, Lipid production in *Nannochloropsis gaditana* is doubled by decreasing expression of a
555 single transcriptional regulator. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 647-652 (2017).
- 556 32. M. I. S. Naduthodi *et al.*, CRISPR-Cas ribonucleoprotein mediated homology-directed repair for efficient
557 targeted genome editing in microalgae *Nannochloropsis oceanica* IMET1. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **12**, 66
558 (2019).
- 559 33. E. Poliner *et al.*, Nontransgenic marker-free gene disruption by an episomal CRISPR system in the
560 oleaginous microalga, *Nannochloropsis oceanica* CCMP1779. *ACS synth. biol.* **7**, 962-968 (2018).
- 561 34. J. Verruto *et al.*, Unrestrained markerless trait stacking in *Nannochloropsis gaditana* through combined
562 genome editing and marker recycling technologies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, E7015-E7022
563 (2018).
- 564 35. J. A. Ortega-Escalante, R. Jasper, S. M. Miller, CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis in *Volvox carteri*. *Plant J.* **97**,
565 661-672 (2019).
- 566 36. A. Hopes, V. Nekrasov, S. Kamoun, T. Mock, Editing of the urease gene by CRISPR-Cas in the diatom
567 *Thalassiosira pseudonana*. *Plant methods* **12**, 49 (2016).
- 568 37. M. Nymark *et al.*, A CRISPR/Cas9 system adapted for gene editing in marine algae. *Sci. rep.* **6**, 24951
569 (2016).
- 570 38. M. T. Russo, R. Aiese Cigliano, W. Sanseverino, M. I. Ferrante, Assessment of genomic changes in a
571 CRISPR/Cas9 *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* mutant through whole genome resequencing. *PeerJ* **6**, e5507
572 (2018).
- 573 39. Y. Yoshimitsu, J. Abe, S. Harayama, Cas9-guide RNA ribonucleoprotein-induced genome editing in the
574 industrial green alga *Coccomyxa* sp. strain KJ. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **11**, 326 (2018).
- 575 40. T. Nomura *et al.*, Highly efficient transgene-free targeted mutagenesis and single-stranded
576 oligodeoxynucleotide-mediated precise knock-in in the industrial microalga *Euglena gracilis* using Cas9
577 ribonucleoproteins. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **17**, 2032-2034 (2019).
- 578 41. D. Wang, Y. Lu, H. Huang, J. Xu, Establishing oleaginous microalgae research models for consolidated
579 bioprocessing of solar energy. *Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biot.* **128**, 69-84 (2012).
- 580 42. J. Hu *et al.*, Genome-wide identification of transcription factors and transcription-factor binding sites in
581 oleaginous microalgae *Nannochloropsis*. *Sci. rep.* **4**, 5454 (2014).
- 582 43. R. Radakovits *et al.*, Draft genome sequence and genetic transformation of the oleaginous alga
583 *Nannochloropsis gaditana*. *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 686 (2012).
- 584 44. E. C. Carpinelli *et al.*, Chromosome scale genome assembly and transcriptome profiling of
585 *Nannochloropsis gaditana* in nitrogen depletion. *Mol. Plant* **7**, 323-335 (2014).
- 586 45. A. Vieler *et al.*, Genome, functional gene annotation, and nuclear transformation of the heterokont
587 oleaginous alga *Nannochloropsis oceanica* CCMP1779. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1003064 (2012).
- 588 46. K. Pan *et al.*, Nuclear monoploidy and asexual propagation of *Nannochloropsis oceanica*
589 (eustigmatophyceae) as revealed by its genome sequence. *J. Phycol.* **47**, 1425-1432 (2011).
- 590 47. L. Wei *et al.*, *Nannochloropsis* plastid and mitochondrial phylogenomes reveal organelle diversification
591 mechanism and intragenus phylotyping strategy in microalgae. *BMC genomics* **14**, 534 (2013).
- 592 48. E. Poliner, E. M. Farre, C. Benning, Advanced genetic tools enable synthetic biology in the oleaginous
593 microalgae *Nannochloropsis* sp. *Plant Cell Rep.* **37**, 1383-1399 (2018).
- 594 49. J. W. Chen *et al.*, Identification of a malonyl CoA-acyl carrier protein transacylase and its regulatory role
595 in fatty acid biosynthesis in oleaginous microalga *Nannochloropsis oceanica*. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.*
596 **64**, 620-626 (2017).
- 597 50. N. K. Kang *et al.*, Heterologous overexpression of sfCherry fluorescent protein in *Nannochloropsis*
598 *salina*. *Biotechnol. Rep.* **8**, 10-15 (2015).
- 599 51. N. K. Kang *et al.*, Increased lipid production by heterologous expression of AtWRI1 transcription factor

- 600 in *Nannochloropsis salina*. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **10**, 231 (2017).
- 601 52. N. K. Kang *et al.*, Increased biomass and lipid production by continuous cultivation of *Nannochloropsis*
602 *salina* transformant overexpressing a bHLH transcription factor. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **116**, 555-568
603 (2019).
- 604 53. H. G. Koh *et al.*, Heterologous synthesis of chlorophyll b in *Nannochloropsis salina* enhances growth and
605 lipid production by increasing photosynthetic efficiency. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **12**, (2019).
- 606 54. S. Kwon *et al.*, Enhancement of biomass and lipid productivity by overexpression of a bZIP transcription
607 factor in *Nannochloropsis salina*. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **115**, 331-340 (2018).
- 608 55. K. Zienkiewicz *et al.*, *Nannochloropsis*, a rich source of diacylglycerol acyltransferases for engineering
609 of triacylglycerol content in different hosts. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **10**, 8 (2017).
- 610 56. Y. Xin *et al.*, Producing designer oils in industrial microalgae by rational modulation of co-evolving type-
611 2 diacylglycerol acyltransferases. *Mol. Plant* **10**, 1523-1539 (2017).
- 612 57. Y. Xin *et al.*, Biosynthesis of triacylglycerol molecules with a tailored PUFA profile in industrial
613 microalgae. *Mol. Plant* **12**, 474-488 (2019).
- 614 58. X. Ma *et al.*, RNAi-mediated silencing of a pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase enhances triacylglycerol
615 biosynthesis in the oleaginous marine alga *Nannochloropsis salina*. *Sci. rep.* **7**, 11485 (2017).
- 616 59. L. Wei *et al.*, RNAi-based targeted gene knockdown in the model oleaginous microalgae
617 *Nannochloropsis oceanica*. *Plant J.* **89**, 1236-1250 (2017).
- 618 60. L. Wei *et al.*, Knockdown of carbonate anhydrase elevates *Nannochloropsis* productivity at high CO₂
619 level. *Metab. Eng.* **54**, 96-108 (2019).
- 620 61. R. E. Jinkerson, R. Radakovits, M. C. Posewitz, Genomic insights from the oleaginous model alga
621 *Nannochloropsis gaditana*. *Bioengineered* **4**, 37-43 (2013).
- 622 62. D. Moog *et al.*, In vivo localization studies in the stramenopile alga *Nannochloropsis oceanica*. *Protist*
623 **166**, 161-171 (2015).
- 624 63. T. Nobusawa *et al.*, Differently localized lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferases crucial for triacylglycerol
625 biosynthesis in the oleaginous alga *Nannochloropsis*. *Plant J.* **90**, 547-559 (2017).
- 626 64. L. J. Dolch *et al.*, A palmitic acid elongase affects eicosapentaenoic acid and plastidial
627 monogalactosyldiacylglycerol levels in *Nannochloropsis*. *Plant physiol.* **173**, 742-759 (2017).
- 628 65. C. W. Gee, K. K. Niyogi, The carbonic anhydrase *CAH1* is an essential component of the carbon-
629 concentrating mechanism in *Nannochloropsis oceanica*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, 4537-4542
630 (2017).
- 631 66. J. Jia *et al.*, Molecular mechanisms for photosynthetic carbon partitioning into storage neutral lipids in
632 *Nannochloropsis oceanica* under nitrogen-depletion conditions. *Algal Res.* **7**, 66-77 (2015).
- 633 67. J. Li *et al.*, Choreography of transcriptomes and lipidomes of *Nannochloropsis* Reveals the mechanisms
634 of oleaginousness in microalgae. *Plant cell* **26**, 1645-1665 (2014).
- 635 68. L. Wei *et al.*, Transcriptomic and proteomic responses to very low CO₂ suggest multiple carbon
636 concentrating mechanisms in *Nannochloropsis oceanica*. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **12**, 168 (2019).
- 637 69. L. Wei *et al.*, Transcriptomic and proteomic choreography in response to light quality variation reveals
638 key adaption mechanisms in marine *Nannochloropsis oceanica*. *Sci. Total Environ.* **720**, 137667 (2020).
- 639 70. H. P. Dong *et al.*, Responses of *Nannochloropsis oceanica* IMET1 to long-term nitrogen starvation and
640 recovery. *Plant physiol.* **162**, 1110-1126 (2013).
- 641 71. C. Chen *et al.*, Proteomic study uncovers molecular principles of single-cell-level phenotypic
642 heterogeneity in lipid storage of *Nannochloropsis oceanica*. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **12**, 21 (2019).
- 643 72. W. X. You *et al.*, Integration of proteome and transcriptome refines key molecular processes underlying
644 oil production in *Nannochloropsis oceanica*. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **13**, (2020).
- 645 73. Y. Y. Xiao, C. De Araujo, C. C. Sze, D. C. Stuckey, Controlling a toxic shock of pentachlorophenol (PCP)
646 to anaerobic digestion using activated carbon addition. *Bioresour. Technol.* **181**, 303-311 (2015).
- 647 74. Y. He *et al.*, Label-free, simultaneous quantification of starch, protein and triacylglycerol in single
648 microalgal cells. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **10**, 275 (2017).
- 649 75. D. X. Han *et al.*, Metabolic remodeling of membrane glycerolipids in the microalga *Nannochloropsis*
650 *oceanica* under nitrogen deprivation. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **4**, (2017).
- 651 76. T. Wang *et al.*, Quantitative dynamics of triacylglycerol accumulation in microalgae populations at
652 single-cell resolution revealed by Raman microspectroscopy. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **7**, 58-70 (2014).
- 653 77. Y. Lu *et al.*, Antagonistic roles of abscisic acid and cytokinin during response to nitrogen depletion in
654 oleaginous microalga *Nannochloropsis oceanica* expand the evolutionary breadth of phytohormone
655 function. *Plant J.* **80**, 52-68 (2014).
- 656 78. Y. Gong *et al.*, The NanDeSyn Database for *Nannochloropsis* systems and synthetic biology. *Plant J.*

657 **accepted**, (2020).

658 79. K. Labun *et al.*, CHOPCHOP v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. *Nucleic*
659 *Acids Res.* **47**, W171-W174 (2019).

660 80. S. Pramanik, S. Nagatoishi, N. Sugimoto, DNA tetraplex structure formation from human telomeric
661 repeat motif (TTAGGG):(CCCTAA) in nanocavity water pools of reverse micelles. *Chem. Commun.* **48**,
662 4815-4817 (2012).

663 81. S. Bae, J. Park, J. S. Kim, Cas-OFFinder: a fast and versatile algorithm that searches for potential off-
664 target sites of Cas9 RNA-guided endonucleases. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1473-1475 (2014).

665 82. N. R. Baker, Chlorophyll fluorescence: a probe of photosynthesis in vivo. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **59**, 89-
666 113 (2008).

667 83. J. Kromdijk *et al.*, Improving photosynthesis and crop productivity by accelerating recovery from
668 photoprotection. *Science* **354**, 857-861 (2016).

669 84. Y. Ji *et al.*, Raman spectroscopy provides a rapid, non-invasive method for quantitation of starch in live,
670 unicellular microalgae. *Biotechnol. J.* **9**, 1512-1518 (2014).

671 85. M. J. Llansola-Portoles *et al.*, Pigment structure in the violaxanthin-chlorophyll-a-binding protein VCP.
672 *Photosynth. Res.* **134**, 51-58 (2017).

673 86. W. E. Huang *et al.*, Raman microscopic analysis of single microbial cells. *Anal. Chem.* **76**, 4452-4458
674 (2004).

675 87. H. J. Butler *et al.*, Using Raman spectroscopy to characterize biological materials. *Nat. Protoc.* **11**, 664-
676 687 (2016).

677 88. B. Lorenz *et al.*, Cultivation-free Raman spectroscopic investigations of bacteria. *Trends Microbiol.* **25**,
678 413-424 (2017).

679 89. A. Barcaru, G. Vivo-Truyols, Use of bayesian statistics for pairwise comparison of megavariate data sets:
680 extracting meaningful differences between GCxGC-MS chromatograms using jensen-shannon
681 divergence. *Anal. Chem.* **88**, 2096-2104 (2016).

682 90. Y. Iwasaki, A. G. Kusne, I. Takeuchi, Comparison of dissimilarity measures for cluster analysis of X-ray
683 diffraction data from combinatorial libraries. *NPJ Comput. Mater.* **3**, (2017).

684 91. S. S. Merchant *et al.*, TAG, you're it! Chlamydomonas as a reference organism for understanding algal
685 triacylglycerol accumulation. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **23**, 352-363 (2012).

686 92. M. H. Jin *et al.*, Chromosomal deletions mediated by CRISPR/Cas9 in *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Insect Sci.*
687 **26**, 1029-1036 (2019).

688 93. S. J. Gratz *et al.*, Genome engineering of *Drosophila* with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease.
689 *Genetics* **194**, 1029-1035 (2013).

690 94. J. Ordon *et al.*, Generation of chromosomal deletions in dicotyledonous plants employing a user-friendly
691 genome editing toolkit. *Plant J.* **89**, 155-168 (2017).

692 95. H. Kim, J. S. Kim, A guide to genome engineering with programmable nucleases. *Nature Reviews.*
693 *Genetics* **15**, 321-334 (2014).

694 96. J. Kim, J. S. Kim, Bypassing GMO regulations with CRISPR gene editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34**, 1014-
695 1015 (2016).

696 97. R. J. O'Sullivan, J. Karlseder, Telomeres: protecting chromosomes against genome instability. *Nat. Rev.*
697 *Mol. Cell Biol.* **11**, 171-181 (2010).

698 98. J. Fulneckova *et al.*, A broad phylogenetic survey unveils the diversity and evolution of telomeres in
699 eukaryotes. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **5**, 468-483 (2013).

700 99. K. Myung, C. Chen, R. D. Kolodner, Multiple pathways cooperate in the suppression of genome
701 instability in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature* **411**, 1073-1076 (2001).

702 100. J. A. Birchler *et al.*, Plant minichromosomes. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **37**, 135-142 (2016).

703 101. W. Yu, J. C. Lamb, F. Han, J. A. Birchler, Telomere-mediated chromosomal truncation in maize. *Proc.*
704 *Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103**, 17331-17336 (2006).

705 102. F. Ramirez *et al.*, High-resolution TADs reveal DNA sequences underlying genome organization in flies.
706 *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 189 (2018).

707 103. H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
708 *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).

709 104. A. Bankevich *et al.*, SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell
710 sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**, 455-477 (2012).

711 105. K. Maxwell, G. N. Johnson, Chlorophyll fluorescence--a practical guide. *J. Exp. Bot.* **51**, 659-668 (2000).

712 106. B. J. Haas *et al.*, De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for
713 reference generation and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 1494-1512 (2013).

- 714 107. D. J. McCarthy, Y. S. Chen, G. K. Smyth, Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq
715 experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, 4288-4297 (2012).

716

717 Tables

718 **Table 1 . The genes annotation in the deleted region of Chr 30 (0-98305 bp).**

Gene ID	Annotation
NO30G00010	zinc metalloendopeptidase, partial
NO30G00020	unknown
NO30G00030	hypothetical protein Naga_100716g2
NO30G00040	hypothetical protein SELMODRAFT (integral component of membrane)
NO30G00050	unknown
NO30G00060	unknown
NO30G00070	hypothetical protein Naga_100209g9
NO30G00080	unknown
NO30G00090	putative transmembrane protein (integral component of membrane)
NO30G00100	putative transmembrane protein (integral component of membrane)
NO30G00110	U6 snRNA-associated Sm-like protein Lsm3 (IPR040002)
NO30G00120	hypothetical protein FisN_34Hu042
NO30G00130	hypothetical protein Naga_100380g2 (integral component of membrane)
NO30G00140	hypothetical protein DRE_02121 (integral component of membrane)
NO30G00150	hypothetical protein SD81_20880
NO30G00160	hypothetical protein Naga_100561g2
NO30G00170	hypothetical protein Naga_100561g2
NO30G00180	hypothetical protein Naga_100561g2
NO30G00190	collagen triple helix repeat protein
NO30G00200	hypothetical protein Naga_100380g2 (integral component of membrane)
NO30G00210	conserved unknown protein (with PAS-like domain)
NO30G00220	conserved unknown protein (with PAS-like domain)

719

720

721 **Figure legends**

722 **Figure 1. Rational selection of specific *N. oceanica* genomic regions targeted for deletion. (A)**
 723 Transcriptome (N- 24 h) and genomic landscape of the Chr 30. Genomic fragments with low RNA-
 724 Seq expression (coverage < 10) were marked in blue. Synteny blocks between *N. oceanica* IMET1
 725 and *N. oceanica* CCMP1779 (green), *N. gaditana* B-31 (red), *N. salina* CCMP1776 (light-blue)
 726 were also shown. **(B)** Transcriptome expression and potential function of 22 genes located in first
 727 100 kb of the Chr 30 under N- and N+. For function row, genes with definite annotations were
 728 shown in blue; genes without any homologous genes were shown in red; genes with putative
 729 functions were shown in light-blue. The last three rows showed the existence of homologous genes
 730 (blastn, e-value < 1e-10) in *N. oceanica* CCMP179, *N. gaditana* B-31 and *N. salina* CCMP1776,
 731 with pink for existence, gray for non-existence.

732
 733 **Figure 2. Vector design and PCR identification for Cas9/gRNA-mediated large fragment**
 734 **genome editing in IMET1. (A)** Vector design for Cas9/gRNA mediated large fragment deletion in
 735 *Nannochloropsis oceanica* IMET1. The Cas9/gRNA constructs expressed two gRNAs and Cas9
 736 from the Ribi promoter (P_{ribi}). The gRNAs were cleaved by the HH and HDV ribozymes, once
 737 they were transcribed. **(B)** Design of gRNA target sites and target regions detection. The sites
 738 located at 20548 to 20567 and 101535 to 101554 of Chr 30, respectively, with 17 genes between
 739 them. PCR primers for the amplification of flanking region of target site 1 and target site 2
 740 chromosomal deletions with F1 and R1, and F2 and R2, respectively. Deletion of the 81 kb internal
 741 fragment was confirmed by F and R primers. **(C)** Genomic DNA PCR results. **(a)** Gel image of the
 742 PCR products for detection of the plasmid-ΔLER1. **(b)** Genomic DNA PCR for correct deletion
 743 between the cleavage sites of gRNA 1 and gRNA2. **(c, d)** Genomic DNA PCR for intact flanking
 744 regions around cleavage sites of gRNA1 and gRNA2, respectively. M, DNA marker.

745

746

747 **Figure 3. Genotypic validation of the mutants via both targeted and whole-genome shotgun**
 748 **sequencing. (A)** Sanger sequence of the PCR products amplified from cleavage site 1, cleavage site
 749 2 and the deletion in-between. **(B)** Summary of the whole genome sequencing of Δ LER1_3,
 750 Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_7, Δ LER1_8, Δ LER1_9, Δ LER1_11 and Δ LER1_12 for their 5' end of Chr 30.
 751 The new telomere was shown in red; genome sequence was shown in black; the indel was shown in
 752 green; the number indicates the original coordinate (in the WT chromosome) that corresponds to the
 753 first base of the newly formed terminal.

754

755 **Figure 4. Phenotypic characterization of Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_9, e11 and Δ LER1_12.**
 756 **(A)** The growth curve for Δ LER1_11, Δ LER1_12 and WT under N+ and N- 48 h. **(B)** The biomass
 757 for Δ LER1_11, Δ LER1_12 and WT under N+ and N- 48 h. **(C)** Optometric measurement of
 758 photosynthetic efficiency (Fv/Fm) and photoprotection in terms of NPQ for Δ LER1_11 and
 759 Δ LER1_12. **(D)** TAG content predicted by Raman band of 2851 cm^{-1} for Δ LER1_11, Δ LER1_12
 760 and WT. **(E)** Degree of lipids unsaturation predicted by the ratio of 1656 cm^{-1} and 1640 cm^{-1} for
 761 Δ LER1_11, Δ LER1_12 and WT. **(F)** Comparison of inter-strain-Ramanome (WT- Δ LER1_11 and
 762 WT- Δ LER1_12) and intra-WT-Ramanome via Jensen-Shannon distance. **(G)** The growth curve for
 763 Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_9 and WT. **(H)** The biomass for Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_9 and
 764 WT under N+, N- 48h and N- 96h. **(I)** Photosynthetic efficiency (Fv/Fm) and NPQ for Δ LER1_3,
 765 Δ LER1_4 and Δ LER1_9. **(J)** TAG content predicted by Raman band of 2851 cm^{-1} for Δ LER1_3,
 766 Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_9 and WT. **(K)** Lipids unsaturation degree predicted by the ratio of 1656 cm^{-1}
 767 and 1640 cm^{-1} for Δ LER1_3, Δ LER1_4, Δ LER1_9 and WT. **(L)** Comparison of inter-strain-
 768 Ramanome (WT- Δ LER1_3, WT- Δ LER1_4 and WT- Δ LER1_9) and intra-WT-Ramanome via
 769 Jensen-Shannon distance. Pairwise Jensen-Shannon distances (JSD) of SCRS were calculated, and
 770 then JSD of inter-strain-Ramanome and intra-WT-Ramanome was stated. *: p value (t.test) <0.05 ;

771 **: p value (t.test) <0.01 ;***: p value (wilcox.test) <0.001 .

772

773 **Figure 5. RNA-Seq analysis of Δ LER1_9 and WT.** (A) RNA-Seq read mapping for Δ LER1_9 and
 774 WT under N+ 0 h, N- 48 h and N- 96 h. For Δ LER1_9, almost no reads were mapped to the 0-
 775 110000 region of Chr 30, suggesting the successful deletion of this large genomic region. (B)
 776 Clustered heatmap illustrating similarities of gene expression between different samples. Samples
 777 of Δ LER1_9 and WT were similar at N+ 0 h (Cluster 1, red branches) and N- 96 h (Cluster 3, blue
 778 branches). For N- 48 h, samples of Δ LER1_9 were similar to N- 96 h (all in Cluster 3), but samples
 779 of WT (N- 48 h) were still in an intermediate state (Cluster 2, green branches). (C) Venn diagram
 780 showing the numbers and overlap of differential expressed genes at 0 h, 48 h, and 96 h under N-. It's
 781 remarkable that, under N- 48 h, 320 genes were down-regulated for Δ LER1_9 (compared to 24
 782 genes under N+ and 16 genes under N- 96 h). (D) The differential expressed genes (at 0 h, 48 h, and
 783 96 h under N-) are concentrated on the ends of Chr 9 and Chr 18. Besides, for N- 48 h, the
 784 remaining differential expressed genes spread over whole genome.

785

786 **Figure 6. gRNAs design and transformant identification with genomic PCR and NGS for**
 787 **double large fragments deletion.** (A) and (B) is the gRNAs design and transformants identification
 788 with genomic PCR and NGS for LER1 deletion and LER2 deletion, respectively. (a) Design of
 789 gRNA target sites and target regions detection. The sites located at 20548 to 20567 (gRNA1),
 790 101535 to 101554 (gRNA2) of Chr 30 and 1189295 to 1189314 (gRNA3), 1270540 to 1270559
 791 (gRNA4) of Chr 9, respectively. PCR primers for the amplification of flanking region of target sites
 792 1, target site 2, target site 3 and target site 4 chromosomal deletions with F1/ R1, F2/ R2, F3/R3 and
 793 F4/R4, respectively. Deletion of the 81kb internal fragments in Chr 30 and Chr 9 were confirmed by
 794 LER1F/ LER1R and LER2F/ LER2R, respectively. Target sites by gRNAs were marked on the
 795 chromosomes and the distances of gRNA1, gRNA2, gRNA3 and gRNA4 to the nearest telomeres

were 20.5 kb, 101.5 kb, 100.7 kb and 19.5 kb, respectively. **(b)** Genomic DNA PCR for correct deletion between the cleavage sites. **(c, d)** Genomic DNA PCR for intact flanking regions around cleavage sites of gRNA1 and gRNA2 or gRNA3 and gRNA4, respectively. **(e)** Summary of the whole genome sequencing of Δ LER1 Δ LER2_12, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5 and Δ LER1 Δ LER2_8 for their 5' end of Chr 30 and 3' end of Chr 9. The new telomere was shown in red; genome sequence was shown in black; the indel was shown in green; the number indicates the original coordinate (in the WT chromosome) that corresponds to the first base of the newly formed terminal.

804

Figure 7. Phenotypic characterization of Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 and WT. **(A)** The growth curve under f/2 medium cultured for 7 days and N-induced for 48 h and 96 h. **(B)** The biomass for Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 and WT. **(C)** Optometric measurement of photosynthetic efficiency (F_v/F_m) and photoprotection in terms of NPQ for Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 and WT. **(D)** TAG content predicted by Raman band of 2851 cm^{-1} for Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 and WT **(E)** Degree of lipids unsaturation predicted by the ratio of 1656 cm^{-1} and 1640 cm^{-1} for Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5, Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17 and WT. *: p value (t.test) <0.05 ; **: p value (t.test) <0.01 . **(F)** Comparison of inter-strain (WT vs. Δ LER1 Δ LER2_4; WT vs. Δ LER1 Δ LER2_5; WT vs. Δ LER1 Δ LER2_17) and intra-strain similarity of the ramanomes via the Jensen-Shannon distance. Pairwise JSDs of SCRS were calculated. ***: p value (wilcox.test) <0.001 .

Figure 1

A

B

Figure 2

A

B

C

Figure 3

A

B

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

B

C

D

Figure 6

Figure 7