1 **Increased population density depresses activity but does not influence** 2 **emigration in the snail *Pomatias elegans***

3 Maxime Dahirel^{1,2}, Loïc Menut¹, Armelle Ansart¹

4 ¹Univ Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO (Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution) - UMR 6553, F-35000 Rennes,
5 France

6 ²INRAE, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, ISA, France

7 **Abstract**

8 Dispersal is a key trait linking ecological and evolutionary dynamics, allowing organisms to optimize
9 fitness expectations in spatially and temporally heterogeneous environments. Some organisms can
10 either actively disperse or reduce activity in response to challenging conditions, and both responses
11 may be under a trade-off. To understand how such organisms respond to changes in environmental
12 conditions, we studied emigration (the first step of dispersal) and activity behaviour in the
13 gonochoric land snail *Pomatias elegans*, a litter decomposer that can reach very high local densities,
14 over most of the range of ecologically relevant densities. We found that crowding had no detectable
15 effect on emigration tendency in this species, contrary to previous results in many hermaphroditic
16 snails. *Pomatias elegans* is nonetheless able to detect population density; we show they reduce
17 activity rather than increase dispersal in response to crowding. We propose that limiting activity may
18 be more advantageous than moving away in species with especially poor movement abilities, even by
19 land mollusc standards, like *P. elegans*. Interestingly, emigration and activity were positively
20 correlated independently of density; this dispersal syndrome may reflect an underlying pace-of-life
21 syndrome, and is compatible with a dispersal-dormancy trade-off, which would require further
22 investigation. Additionally, we found snails with heavier shells relative to their size tended to be less
23 mobile, which may reflect physical and metabolic constraints on movement and/or survival during
24 inactivity. We finally discuss how the absence of density-dependent dispersal may explain why *P.*
25 *elegans* is often found at very high local densities, and the possible consequences of this behaviour
26 for ecosystem functioning and litter decomposition.

27 **Keywords**

28 Defence, dispersal syndromes, ecosystem functioning, gastropod, sex-biased dispersal

30 Introduction

31 Dispersal, i.e. movement potentially leading to gene flow in space, is a key organismal trait
 32 influencing and linking ecological and evolutionary dynamics in sometimes complex ways (Ronce,
 33 2007; Clobert *et al.*, 2012; Bonte & Dohirel, 2017). As the cost-benefit balance of dispersal is
 34 expected to vary depending on individual phenotype and experienced conditions, dispersal is often
 35 highly context- and phenotype-dependent (Bowler & Benton, 2005; Clobert *et al.*, 2012; Baines,
 36 Ferzoco & McCauley, 2019; Endriss *et al.*, 2019). Complexity is even added when one considers that
 37 dispersal can be seen as a three-step process (emigration, transfer, immigration), and that different
 38 factors can have different levels of influence at each step (Matthysen, 2012). It has been
 39 hypothesized (e.g. Matthysen, 2012; Bonte & Dohirel, 2017), that this multicausality of dispersal is
 40 why even well-studied environmental factors may lead to very different dispersal responses
 41 depending on populations and species. To understand the context-dependency of dispersal, it is thus
 42 necessary to study a broader range of life histories and contexts, including organisms that may have
 43 alternative strategies to escape negative environmental conditions, e.g. dormancy (Buoro & Carlson,
 44 2014).

45 The effects of population density on dispersal, and especially emigration, are likely among the most
 46 studied of all dispersal drivers (Bowler & Benton, 2005; Matthysen, 2005; Harman *et al.*, 2020).
 47 However, while emigration increasing with density and competition would be a straightforward
 48 expectation, and is supported by theory (Travis, Murrell & Dytham, 1999; Rodrigues & Johnstone,
 49 2014), empirical results are actually much more idiosyncratic both within and among species:
 50 positive density-dependent, negative density-dependent and density-independent emigration seem
 51 to occur at broadly similar frequencies (Jacob *et al.*, 2019; Harman *et al.*, 2020). Explanations for this
 52 variability typically invoke multicausality and interactions with other traits, such as sociality (with
 53 more social species/individuals in a species less likely to leave large groups, leading to negative
 54 density-dependent dispersal; Serrano *et al.*, 2003; Cote & Clobert, 2007), body size (as larger and
 55 smaller individuals do not feel competition with the same intensity; e.g. Baines *et al.*, 2019), or sex
 56 and sex-ratio (as competition for resources and for partners interact; De Meester & Bonte, 2010;
 57 Hovestadt, Mitesser & Poethke, 2014), among many others. Despite the large amount of studies, we
 58 are still far from integrating them into a general understanding of density-dependent dispersal: a
 59 possible reason is that invertebrates and especially non-arthropod invertebrates are under-
 60 represented in the published literature (Harman *et al.*, 2020), meaning we have only explored a
 61 narrow part of the trait combinations that may interact with, and shape, the density-dependence of
 62 dispersal.

63 The land winkle *Pomatias elegans* (Müller 1774)(Fig. 1A), is a common gastropod species in forests
64 and hedgerows across Europe (Kerney & Cameron, 1999; Falkner *et al.*, 2001; Welter-Schultes, 2012).
65 As a caenogastropod, it has separate sexes, contrary to the majority of land molluscs which are
66 hermaphrodite (Barker, 2001). Its active dispersal capacities are poorly studied but expected to be
67 very low, even by molluscan standards (Kramarenko, 2014); average dispersal distances after 42 days
68 are below 20 cm, according to one of the only (indirect) records available in the peer-reviewed
69 literature (Pfenninger, 2002). Like many other land snails (Cook, 2001; Falkner *et al.*, 2001), it is able
70 to survive up to several months under unfavourable conditions by strongly reducing its activity
71 (sometimes down to dormancy; Kilian, 1951; Falkner *et al.*, 2001). *P. elegans* is often distributed in a
72 very aggregated way across landscapes, and when a population is present, local densities can vary by
73 several orders of magnitude, from less than one to several hundred individuals.m⁻² (Pfenninger,
74 2002). When it is present, *P. elegans* plays a key role in ecosystem functioning, by decomposing litter
75 and altering its chemical and physical characteristics (Coulis *et al.*, 2009; De Oliveira, Hättenschwiler
76 & Handa, 2010).

77 We here tested the hypothesis that emigration (the first step of dispersal) and activity were density-
78 dependent in *P. elegans*. We tested both activity and actual emigration for two reasons. We
79 expected dispersers to be more active than residents, density being equal, in agreement with general
80 predictions on behavioural syndromes (Cote *et al.*, 2010; Réale *et al.*, 2010). However, the two traits
81 may not be interchangeable, and divergent responses between the two may help understand how *P.*
82 *elegans* cope with high densities. For instance, if activity merely is a correlate of dispersal, or vice-
83 versa (for instance if dispersal is just a “by-product” of routine movement; Van Dyck & Baguette,
84 2005), we would expect activity to respond to density in the same way as emigration. If reduced
85 activity is also an alternative coping strategy in the face of stress, we may expect instead a
86 depression of activity in response to density (Cameron & Carter, 1979). In accordance with the
87 phenotypic compensation hypothesis, which predicts that risk-taking behaviour should be associated
88 with morphological traits limiting vulnerability to predators (e.g. Ahlgren *et al.*, 2015), we also
89 expected dispersers/active individuals to be better defended, by having heavier shells. Finally, we
90 accounted for sex differences, given sex-biased dispersal is often observed in other taxa with
91 separated sexes (Trochet *et al.*, 2016).

92 **Material and methods**

93 We collected *Pomatias elegans* snails in spring 2017 in leaf litter and at the bottom of calcareous
94 walls (Falkner *et al.*, 2001) in Arcais, France (approximate location: 46°17'60"N, 0°41'21"W). They
95 were then kept under controlled conditions (20°C, L:D 16:8) in plastic boxes (25 × 25 × 9 cm, about

500 snails.m⁻²) with a 1 cm layer of soil and a roughly 2-3 cm thick layer of leaf litter collected in urban and suburban woodlots and hedgerows on and close to the University of Rennes Beaulieu campus (predominantly oaks *Quercus robur* L. 1753 and *Q. petraea* (Matt.) Liebl. 1784, and beech *Fagus sylvatica* L. 1753). We provided humidity by watering soil and litter every 10 to 15 days.

Dispersal experiment

In June 2017, we created two-patch systems by adapting the system we developed for slugs in Fronhofer et al. (2018), but with a shorter inter-patch gap and longer dispersal period to account for the more limited dispersal capacities of *P. elegans* (Fig. 1B). We divided 32 × 12 cm² transparent plastic boxes (height: 9 cm) in two 12 × 12 cm² patches (“release” and “arrival”) and one central 10 × 12 cm matrix, using plastified cardboard walls. A 2 × 12 cm² slot was left open in each wall to allow snails to leave and enter patches. Both patches were filled with watered soil and litter as in maintenance boxes (see above), while the matrix was kept dry and empty. Experiments were done under controlled conditions (20°C, L:D 16:8).

Figure 1. (A) *Pomatias elegans* shell showing the measurements used in the present study. (B) Diagram of the experimental two-patch system, showing (to scale) the release and arrival patches containing litter and soil, and the empty matrix in-between. Top: viewed from above; bottom: side view. Credits: snail photograph – Armelle Ansart; derived snail silhouette – Maxime Dahirel (deposited in phylopic.org); *Quercus robur* leaves silhouette – Ferran Sayol, available in phylopic.org under Public Domain Dedication 1.0 license.

118

119 We then placed between 1 and 30 snails in each “release” patch, and first closed the patches for 24 h
 120 to let the snails habituate. We then let them free to move for 4 days, and recorded which snails
 121 stayed in their release patch (hereafter “residents”) and which snails emigrated to the arrival patch.
 122 No snails were found in the matrix between the two patches, and no snails died during the
 123 experiment. We tested the following group sizes: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 snails per box, with
 124 respectively 30, 20, 10, 9, 6, 4, 3, 3, and 2 replicates (total: 371 snails in 87 replicates). We chose
 125 these replicate numbers to have at least 30 individuals and 2 replicates per group size. We focused
 126 our replication effort more on individuals than boxes (although we did both to some extent), as we
 127 were interested in individual traits both as responses and covariates (see Statistical analyses), so a
 128 substantial number of individuals at each density was needed. The selected group sizes correspond
 129 to initial densities ranging from 69.4 to 2083.3 snails.m⁻² (taking only release patch surface area into
 130 account), and likely provide a good coverage of natural densities. Indeed, densities of up to 1072
 131 detected snails.m⁻² have been found using 25 × 25 cm² quadrats in natural populations (Pfenninger,
 132 2002), and a separate study indicates this species has a field detectability in the 0.5 to 0.9 range
 133 when hand collected (Albano *et al.*, 2015), possibly because many individuals may have buried
 134 themselves in the substrate at any one time (Falkner *et al.*, 2001). We aimed and managed to ensure
 135 balanced sex ratios across densities (in line with natural populations; Boycott, 1916); see
 136 **Supplementary Material 1** for a description of the sexing procedure, including both (shell
 137 morphology-based) putative sexing before the experiment and (dissection-based) confirmatory
 138 sexing after. Tests were spread haphazardly over the month due to logistical constraints (start dates
 139 ranging from June 2 to June 26); no reproduction was observed during this interval.

140 *Post-dispersal phenotyping*

141 Within 24h after dispersal tests, we placed each snail on a small (8 cm diameter) clean patch of wet
 142 substrate (synthetic upholstery foam) and recorded the latency to activity, snails being defined as
 143 active if their operculum was open and both their foot and tentacles were visible out of the shell.
 144 Experiments were done under controlled (20°C) temperature conditions, always during the “light”
 145 period. All snails that had not moved after 20 minutes remained inactive, operculum closed, for at
 146 least two hours, indicating they were not simply slower individuals, but actually behaved differently
 147 from the active ones. Indeed, a limited number was further monitored and remained fully inactive
 148 even after 7 hours. We therefore analysed activity as a binary variable (active within 20 minutes/ not
 149 active for at least two hours). After sexing by dissection (see **Supplementary Material 1**), shells were
 150 dried at room temperature before being weighed twice (to the nearest 0.1 mg; CP224S scale,

Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Twenty-four out of 371 shells were partly broken during dissection and could not be weighed.

Statistical analyses

All analyses were done in a Bayesian framework, using the probabilistic language Stan, which uses a variant of Hamiltonian Monte Carlo (NUTS) as an MCMC method to obtain satisfactory posterior samples in a much lower number of iterations than other methods (Carpenter *et al.*, 2017; Stan Development Team, 2018). We created our Stan models using R (R Core Team, 2020) and the *brms* package (Bürkner, 2017) as front-ends; this allows models to be specified using R formula syntax. Scripting, analysis and plotting relied on the *tidybayes*, *bayesplot*, and *patchwork* packages, as well as the *tidyverse* family of packages (Gabry *et al.*, 2019; Kay, 2019; Pedersen, 2019; Wickham *et al.*, 2019).

We used a multivariate generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) framework (Dingemanse & Doctermann, 2013), which allowed us not only to draw inferences on dispersal and activity, but also on their correlations with each other and with (relative) shell mass, while handling missing shell mass data by in-model imputation (McElreath, 2020). A full write-up of the model is given in **Supplementary Material 2**. Briefly, we analysed the probability of dispersing and the probability of activity as individual-level binary variables (dispersed/did not disperse; active/not active) using Bernoulli GLMMs with a logit link. We tested whether dispersal or activity state depended on experienced population density, sex and body size (defined as $\ln(\text{shell height})$; **Fig. 1**). The models also included random effects of date, experimental box, as well as a random effect of individual identity. Importantly, the latter was set to be identical (same values) in both the dispersal and activity models. In effect, this means it corresponds to a latent individual “behaviour” variable that would influence both dispersal and activity. This allowed us to estimate the effect of dispersal on activity (and vice-versa), while accounting for the fact both are estimated with uncertainty and the causal path from one to the other is unknown. We can then easily obtain the (logit) activity probability for, for instance, an average disperser by adding (on the logit scale) the average value of the latent behavioural variable for a disperser to the overall average logit(activity probability). The same principle applies to get the average dispersal probability of an active individual. Note that using instead dispersal status as a covariate in a univariate activity model leads to the exact same inferences (**Supplementary Material 3**); it however prevents us from doing the analyses described in the next few sentences. To be able to separate the effects of relative shell mass from those of body size, we used a third submodel. We fitted a linear mixed model in which $\ln(\text{Shell mass})$ was a function of $\ln(\text{Shell height})$, sex, and a random effect of individual identity. The use of repeated measures (see

“Post-dispersal phenotyping”) of shell mass allowed us here to separate individual variation from residual error, and facilitated the estimation of the former. Finally, individual-level random effects were included in a variance-covariance matrix, allowing us to evaluate the correlation between “relative” shell mass (i.e. after accounting for body size and sex) and the latent behavioural variable. All continuous variables were centred and scaled to unit standard deviation (**Supplementary Material 4**), and the “sex” variable was converted to a centred dummy numerical variable (-0.5 = “Male”; +0.5 = “Female”)(Schielzeth, 2010).

We set weakly informative priors by following McElreath (2020)’s suggestions for continuous and proportion variables. We used Normal($\mu = 0$, $\sigma = 1.5$) priors for the dispersal and activity fixed effects intercepts, and Normal(0, 1) priors for all the other fixed effects. We set half-Normal(0, 1) priors for all standard deviations (random effects as well as residual variance of shell mass), and LKJ($\eta = 2$) priors for the random effects correlation matrices.

We ran four chains for 25000 iterations, with the first 5000 of each chain used for warmup. We checked mixing graphically and confirmed chain convergence using the improved $\hat{R}$ statistic (Vehtari *et al.*, 2020). The chains were run longer than the default number of iterations (2000), to ensure effective sample size was satisfactory for all parameters (bulk- and tail-ESS sensu Vehtari *et al.*, 2020 > 1000). All posterior summaries are given as: mean [95% Higher Posterior Density Intervals].

Results

144 snails out of 371 (38.81%) dispersed across all test boxes. There was no detectable effect of box population density (**Fig. 2A**), sex or shell height on emigration probability (**Table 1**).

Snails exposed to higher population densities were less likely to be active afterwards (**Table 1, Fig. 2B**). There were no clear effects of sex or size on activity probability (**Table 1**). The latent behavioural variable was positively associated with both emigration and activity (**Supplementary Material 5**): accordingly, dispersers were 1.23 [1.02; 1.47] times more likely to be active on average, and conversely active individuals were 1.54 [1.04; 2.16] times more likely to have emigrated (**Fig. 3**). This activity-emigration correlation was confirmed by analysing activity in a univariate model with dispersal status as a covariate (**Supplementary Material 3**). After accounting for shell length, sex and measurement error, individual shell mass was negatively correlated with the behavioural latent variable: dispersers/active snails tended to have lighter shells, given their size and sex, than residents/inactive individuals (**Fig. 4, Table 2**).

Figure 2. Effect of population density on *Pomatias elegans* dispersal rate (A) and probability of activity (B) ($N = 371$ snails). Grey points are observed proportions for each test box, black points averages for each density; black curves give posterior predicted means, grey bands 66, 84 and 95% credible intervals.

Figure 3. Relationship between dispersal status and activity post-dispersal. White bars correspond to observed frequencies, grey “eyes” to posterior predictions based on model intercepts and individual-level random effects, i.e. averaging out the effects of sex, size and population density (black dots: posterior means; segments: 95% credible intervals).

Figure 4. Posterior predicted relationship between dispersal status, activity post-dispersal, and mean predicted shell mass, that is relative to the shell mass predicted for a snail of same shell height and sex (black dots: posterior means; segments: 95% credible intervals). Posterior pairwise mean differences are presented in **Supplementary Material 6**.

Table 1. Posterior means [and 95% credible intervals] for the parameters of the multivariate model of dispersal, activity and shell mass. Individual-level random effect covariance matrices are in **Table 2**. See **Supplementary Material 2** for the full write-up of the model, and **Supplementary Material 4**, for original means and SDs of transformed variables ($N = 371$ snails, except for Shell mass, $N = 347$ snails, each measured twice)

	Dispersal probability	Activity probability	Shell mass (ln-transformed then scaled)
<i>Fixed effects</i>			
Intercept	-0.54 [-1.04 ; -0.26]	0.74 [0.05 ; 1.49]	-0.01 [-0.09 ; 0.06]
Population density (scaled)	0.04 [-0.38 ; 0.46]	-0.55 [-1.01 ; -0.10]	--
Sex (effect of being female)	-0.16 [-0.79 ; 0.46]	0.23 [-0.42 ; 0.86]	0.18 [-0.02 ; 0.38]
Body size (shell height, ln-transformed then scaled)	0.01 [-0.31 ; 0.33]	0.16 [-0.17 ; 0.51]	0.62 [0.52 ; 0.72]
<i>Random effects (see Table 2 for individual-level effects)</i>			
Date-level variance	0.13 [0.00 ; 0.59]	0.56 [0.00 ; 1.75]	--
Box-level variance	0.52 [0.00 ; 1.33]	0.38 [0.00 ; 1.04]	--
Date-level dispersal-activity correlation	0.13 [-0.69 ; 0.90]		--
Box-level dispersal-activity correlation	0.03 [-0.74 ; 0.73]		--
<i>Residual variance</i>	--	--	8.00×10^{-4} [6.85×10^{-4} ; 9.23×10^{-4}]

Table 2. Individual-level variance-covariance matrix between the latent behavioural variable (positively correlated with dispersal and activity, see **Fig. 3** and **Supplementary Material 3**) and shell mass. Posterior means [95% credible interval] are depicted; random effects variances are given on the diagonal, correlation/covariance on the off diagonal

	Behaviour latent variable	Shell mass
Behaviour latent variable	0.90 [0.08 ; 1.81]	
Shell mass	-0.39 [-0.67 ; -0.12] / -0.25 [-0.41 ; -0.09]	0.54 [0.46 ; 0.63]

Discussion

We studied the relationship between *Pomatias elegans* dispersal and population density over most of the known range of natural densities (Pfenninger, 2002; Albano *et al.*, 2015), yet found no evidence of density-dependent emigration. There was however a negative relationship between

density and activity. Furthermore, we found that emigration, activity and (relative) shell mass co-vary in this species, once density variation is accounted for.

We additionally found no evidence of sex bias in dispersal probability or activity. While sex-biased dispersal is abundantly documented in non-molluscan groups (Bowler & Benton, 2005; Trochet *et al.*, 2016), there are numerous species with no bias. Broadly, sex-dependent dispersal is predicted to arise when there are sex-differences in spatio-temporal fitness variation due to e.g. sex differences in resource use and/or in local mate competition (Hovestadt *et al.*, 2014; Trochet *et al.*, 2016; Li & Kokko, 2019). Our results, in light of dispersal theory, imply that male and female *P. elegans* use resources similarly and that they do not present polygyny or any mating system leading to predictable sex differences in spatio-temporal fitness variation. While sex ratio is, overall, balanced in this species (Boycott, 1916), the other predictions remain to be tested by the collection of further natural history data. We should additionally note that our experimental setup (one measure of dispersal, after 4 days, and groups that were sex-balanced on average) may not have allowed us to detect finer sex differences in dispersal, i.e. in emigration timing (Li & Kokko, 2019), or conditional strategies dependent on locally experienced sex-ratio (Hovestadt *et al.*, 2014).

The absence of density-dependent emigration was more surprising, as many other land mollusc species have been shown to increase emigration and/or dispersal distances in response to population density (Cain & Currey, 1968; Oosterhoff, 1977; Hamilton & Wellington, 1981; Livshits, 1985; Baur, 1993; Shachak, Leeper & Degen, 2002; Dahirel *et al.*, 2016). In addition, our experimental design included a (supposedly) costly matrix, which should have made the detection of a positive emigration-density link easier, if it exists (as the dispersal-density reaction norm is predicted to become steeper as costs of dispersal increase; Travis *et al.*, 1999). The costs of competition, which can trigger density-dependent dispersal, are well-documented in land molluscs (Oosterhoff, 1977; Hamilton & Wellington, 1981; Dan & Bailey, 1982). However, density-independent emigration also exists in some mollusc species, in at least some contexts (e.g. Hamilton & Wellington, 1981; Baur, 1993). The traditionally expected positive relationship between density and dispersal can be dampened, or even reversed, if for instance species/individuals benefit from group-living (Serrano *et al.*, 2003; Bowler & Benton, 2005; Cote & Clobert, 2007). In terrestrial gastropods, individuals may aggregate actively in shelters (and get benefits from this aggregation that go beyond the shelter itself, as the presence of conspecifics may create a favourable microclimate), sometimes following trails to do so (Cook, 2001). However, it is unknown whether *Pomatias elegans* follows trails, and this aggregation behaviour is anyway common to many land molluscs, including species we know show positive density-dependent emigration (Cook, 2001), so it is unlikely to explain alone the density-independence seen in the present study. *P. elegans*, contrary to most land molluscs has separate

sexes, and differences in reproductive systems may drive the evolution of different dispersal strategies (Eppley & Jesson, 2008). Indeed, all things equal, hermaphrodites are *a priori* more likely than species with separate sexes to find a mate in small populations (Tomlinson, 1966). This means the density threshold at which the costs of competition outweigh the benefits of grouping (Kim, Torres & Drummond, 2009; Fronhofer, Kropf & Altermatt, 2015) and the costs of dispersal (Bonte *et al.*, 2012) is likely lower for hermaphrodites. We hypothesize that context being equal (including e.g. movement costs, or group shelter benefits), this may make hermaphrodites more likely than gonochoric species to exhibit positive density-dependent dispersal over a range of natural densities. In any case, our study shows that further comparisons between hermaphroditic and gonochoric species sharing ecological and life-history characteristics are crucially needed to clarify the role of reproductive system in shaping context-dependent dispersal.

Alternatively (but not exclusively), the absence of density response in dispersal may reflect the fact *Pomatias* snails possess other mechanisms to respond to unfavourable densities. Indeed, by contrast with dispersal, activity propensity did decrease with increasing population density (**Fig. 2B**). Like many other shelled land gastropods, *P. elegans* can reduce its activity and even enter dormancy when context is unfavourable (Cook, 2001; Falkner *et al.*, 2001). Activity reduction in response to increased competition has been observed in several species (Oosterhoff, 1977; Cameron & Carter, 1979; Dan & Bailey, 1982). This is generally thought to result from interference (mediated through mucus and/or faeces) by the most competitive individuals, which remain active. However, when the experimental/observational setup also allowed for dispersal, species that reduced activity in response to density (e.g. *Cepaea nemoralis*, *Cornu aspersum*, *Xerocrassa seetzenii*) did however also exhibit density-dependent dispersal (Oosterhoff, 1977; Shachak *et al.*, 2002; Dahirel *et al.*, 2016). Given the very limited dispersal capacities of *P. elegans* (Pfenninger, 2002) even compared to other snails (Kramarenko, 2014), the least competitive *Pomatias* snails may well be more successful reducing activity to wait out more favourable conditions, than by attempting to find better by moving away. Indeed, in snails, starvation, a possible result of inactivity, may trigger entry into actual dormancy (Wells, 1944), which can be seen as a form of “dispersal in time” (Buoro & Carlson, 2014). The fact there was no effect of body size on activity suggests the loss of activity is not simply an inhibition of activity by competitive individuals, leading to competitive exclusion. Indeed, in that case we would have expected larger individuals to stay active at high densities while smaller less competitive snails are inhibited (Dan & Bailey, 1982). Given this, and while we need more information to conclude that strongly reduced activity in short-term tests is correlated with long-term dormancy propensity, it is possible that *Pomatias* snails use dormancy as a general “dispersal in time” mechanism, rather than moving away in response to high densities. Note however that we

only studied emigration, the first step of dispersal, and density-dependent responses may also happen during transience (Shachak *et al.*, 2002; Poethke, Gros & Hovestadt, 2011; Dahirel *et al.*, 2016). In addition, we set within-patch conditions to be standard and favourable; food availability may interact with density and shape dispersal (e.g. Endriss *et al.*, 2019).

All three phenotypic traits we studied were linked. First, density being held equal, dispersers were more likely to be active post-dispersal test, and vice versa (**Fig. 3, Supplementary Material 3**). These results line up with predictions on dispersal syndromes and the pace-of-life hypothesis (Cote *et al.*, 2010; Réale *et al.*, 2010), which predicts among other things a metabolically-underpinned link between activity and risk taking behaviour (such as dispersal). While, again, more extended assessments of activity are needed to confirm that low activity is correlated to true dormancy, the observed syndrome can also be interpreted as a trade-off between dispersal in space and in time (Buoro & Carlson, 2014), in which individuals that do not disperse are more likely to be dormant at any point in time, even after accounting for density effects. Second, we found that more mobile snails (higher activity and/or dispersal) had on average lighter shells (**Fig. 4, Table 2**), compared to inactive/resident snails. This result goes against our predictions based on the phenotypic compensation hypothesis, which states that risk-taking individuals should have better morphological defences against predators (Hulthén *et al.*, 2014; Ahlgren *et al.*, 2015; Kuo, Irschick & Lailvaux, 2015). However, phenotypic compensation is not a hard rule (De Winter *et al.*, 2016), and while thicker/heavier shells are harder to break (see e.g. Rosin *et al.*, 2013), variation in shell characteristics depends on many abiotic and biotic parameters (Goodfriend, 1986). Indeed, thicker shells may also better conserve humidity (Goodfriend, 1986), which may be adaptive for snails more prone to be inactive for extended periods of time. Heavier shells are likely to increase the costs of movement (Herreid & Full, 1986); given the already high costs of movement in land molluscs (Denny, 1980), this may explain why mobile individuals have lighter shells, despite the potentially increased predation risk. Experimental manipulations of the costs and benefits of heavier shells (by e.g. testing snails under varying humidity conditions, and/or by adding weights to shells), may help disentangle the underpinnings of this dispersal/shell mass syndrome.

Although *P. elegans* is overall much less abundant than other macroinvertebrate decomposers at the landscape scale (David, 1999), it can locally reach very high densities (Pfenninger, 2002). Our study shows that increased densities do not trigger increased emigration from crowded into empty habitats in this species. This helps explain the maintenance of this strong spatial heterogeneity and high local densities, as dispersal cannot play its “density-equalizing” role as well. Given decomposition by *P. elegans* can have marked effects on litter characteristics (Coulis *et al.*, 2009; De Oliveira *et al.*, 2010), and facilitate decomposition by other co-occurring macroinvertebrates (De

Oliveira *et al.*, 2010), this absence of a common context-dependency in dispersal may have broader implications for local heterogeneity in soil ecosystem functioning and soil communities.

Acknowledgements

We thank Valérie Briand for her help in obtaining some of the cited scientific articles, as well as the editor and two anonymous reviewers, for helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

Data availability

Data and analysis script are archived on GitHub (<https://github.com/mdahirel/pomatias-dispersal-2017>) and Zenodo (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3691977) (version v1.2).

References

- Ahlgren, J., Chapman, B.B., Nilsson, P.A. & Brönmark, C. (2015). Individual boldness is linked to protective shell shape in aquatic snails. *Biol. Lett.* **11**, 20150029.
- Albano, P.G., Strazzari, G., D’Occhio, P. & Succetti, F. (2015). Field estimates of detectability and site occupancy show that northern Italy forest molluscs are spatially rare and poorly detectable. *Ital. J. Zool.* **82**, 592–608.
- Baines, C.B., Ferzoco, I.M.C. & McCauley, S.J. (2019). Phenotype-by-environment interactions influence dispersal. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **88**, 1263–1274.
- Barker, G.M. (2001). Gastropods on land: phylogeny, diversity and adaptive morphology. In *The biology of terrestrial molluscs*: 1–146. Barker, G.M. (Ed.). Wallingford, UK: CABI.
- Baur, A. (1993). Effects of food availability and intra-and interspecific interaction on the dispersal tendency in the land snail *Chondrina clienta*. *J. Zool.* **230**, 87–100.
- Bonte, D. & Dahirel, M. (2017). Dispersal: a central and independent trait in life history. *Oikos* **126**, 472–479.
- Bonte, D., Van Dyck, H., Bullock, J.M., Coulon, A., Delgado, M., Gibbs, M., Lehouck, V., Matthysen, E., Mustin, K., Saastamoinen, M., Schtickzelle, N., Stevens, V.M., Vandewoestijne, S., Baguette, M., Barton, K., Benton, T.G., Chaput-Bardy, A., Clobert, J., Dytham, C., Hovestadt, T., Meier, C.M., Palmer, S.C.F., Turlure, C. & Travis, J.M.J. (2012). Costs of dispersal. *Biol. Rev.* **87**, 290–312.
- Bowler, D.E. & Benton, T.G. (2005). Causes and consequences of animal dispersal strategies: relating individual behaviour to spatial dynamics. *Biol. Rev.* **80**, 205–225.
- Boycott, A.E. (1916). On sexual characteristics in the shell and radula of *Pomatias elegans* (Müller). *Proc. Malacol. Soc. Lond.* **v.12-13 (1916-1919)**, 127–132.
- Buoro, M. & Carlson, S.M. (2014). Life-history syndromes: Integrating dispersal through space and time. *Ecol. Lett.* **17**, 756–767.

- 382 Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *J. Stat. Softw.*
383 **80**, 1–28.
- 384 Cain, A.J. & Currey, J.D. (1968). Ecogenetics of a population of *Cepaea nemoralis* (L.) subject to strong
385 area effects. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **253**, 447–482.
- 386 Cameron, R.A.D. & Carter, M.A. (1979). Intra- and interspecific effects of population density on
387 growth and activity in some helicid land snails (Gastropoda: Pulmonata). *J. Anim. Ecol.* **48**,
388 237–246.
- 389 Carpenter, B., Gelman, A., Hoffman, M.D., Lee, D., Goodrich, B., Betancourt, M., Brubaker, M., Guo,
390 J., Li, P. & Riddell, A. (2017). Stan: a probabilistic programming language. *J. Stat. Softw.* **76**, 1–
391 32.
- 392 Clobert, J., Baguette, M., Benton, T.G. & Bullock, J.M. (Eds.). (2012). *Dispersal ecology and evolution*.
393 Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- 394 Cook, A. (2001). Behavioural ecology: on doing the right thing, in the right place at the right time. In
395 *The biology of terrestrial molluscs*: 447–488. Barker, G.M. (Ed.). Wallingford, UK: CABI.
- 396 Cote, J. & Clobert, J. (2007). Social personalities influence natal dispersal in a lizard. *Proc. Royal Soc. B*
397 **274**, 383–390.
- 398 Cote, J., Clobert, J., Brodin, T., Fogarty, S. & Sih, A. (2010). Personality-dependent dispersal:
399 characterization, ontogeny and consequences for spatially structured populations. *Phil.*
400 *Trans. R. Soc. B* **365**, 4065–4076.
- 401 Coulis, M., Hättenschwiler, S., Rapior, S. & Coq, S. (2009). The fate of condensed tannins during litter
402 consumption by soil animals. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **41**, 2573–2578.
- 403 Dahirel, M., Vardakis, M., Ansart, A. & Madec, L. (2016). Density-dependence across dispersal stages
404 in a hermaphrodite land snail: insights from discrete choice models. *Oecologia* **181**, 1117–
405 1128.
- 406 Dan, N. & Bailey, S.E.R. (1982). Growth, mortality, and feeding rates of the snail *Helix aspersa* at
407 different population densities in the laboratory, and the depression of activity of helicid
408 snails by other individuals, or their mucus. *J. Mollus. Stud.* **48**, 257–265.
- 409 David, J.-F. (1999). Abundance, biomass and functional structure of the saprophagous macrofauna in
410 the litter and soil of Mediterranean oak forests. *Pedobiologia* **43**, 319–327.
- 411 De Meester, N. & Bonte, D. (2010). Information use and density-dependent emigration in an
412 agrobiont spider. *Behav. Ecol.* **21**, 992–998.
- 413 De Oliveira, T., Hättenschwiler, S. & Handa, I.T. (2010). Snail and millipede complementarity in
414 decomposing Mediterranean forest leaf litter mixtures. *Funct. Ecol.* **24**, 937–946.
- 415 De Winter, G., Ramalho Martins, H., Trovo, R.A. & Chapman, B.B. (2016). Knights in shining armour
416 are not necessarily bold: defensive morphology correlates negatively with boldness, but
417 positively with activity, in wild threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Evol. Ecol. Res.*
418 **17**, 1–12.
- 419 Denny, M. (1980). Locomotion: the cost of gastropod crawling. *Science* **208**, 1288–1290.

420 Dingemanse, N.J. & Dochtermann, N.A. (2013). Quantifying individual variation in behaviour: mixed-
421 effect modelling approaches. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **82**, 39–54.

422 Endriss, S.B., Vahsen, M.L., Bitume, E.V., Monroe, J.G., Turner, K.G., Norton, A.P. & Hufbauer, R.A.
423 (2019). The importance of growing up: juvenile environment influences dispersal of
424 individuals and their neighbours. *Ecol. Lett.* **22**, 45–55.

425 Eppley, S.M. & Jesson, L.K. (2008). Moving to mate: the evolution of separate and combined sexes in
426 multicellular organisms. *J. Evol. Biol.* **21**, 727–736.

427 Falkner, G., Obrdlik, P., Castella, E. & Speight, M.C.D. (2001). *Shelled Gastropoda of western Europe*.
428 München, Germany: Friedrich Held Gesellschaft.

429 Fronhofer, E.A., Kropf, T. & Altermatt, F. (2015). Density-dependent movement and the
430 consequences of the Allee effect in the model organism *Tetrahymena*. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **84**, 712–
431 722.

432 Fronhofer, E.A., Legrand, D., Altermatt, F., Ansart, A., Blanchet, S., Bonte, D., Chaine, A., Dahirel, M.,
433 Laender, F.D., Raedt, J.D., Gesu, L. di, Jacob, S., Kaltz, O., Laurent, E., Little, C.J., Madec, L.,
434 Manzi, F., Masier, S., Pellerin, F., Pennekamp, F., Schtickzelle, N., Therry, L., Vong, A.,
435 Winandy, L. & Cote, J. (2018). Bottom-up and top-down control of dispersal across major
436 organismal groups. *Nature Ecol. Evol.* **2**, 1859–1863.

437 Gabry, J., Simpson, D., Vehtari, A., Betancourt, M. & Gelman, A. (2019). Visualization in Bayesian
438 workflow. *J. Royal Stat. Soc. A* **182**, 389–402.

439 Goodfriend, G.A. (1986). Variation in land-snail shell form and size and its causes: a review. *Syst. Zool.*
440 **35**, 204–223.

441 Hamilton, P.A. & Wellington, W.G. (1981). The effects of food and density of the movement of *Arion*
442 *ater* and *Ariolimax columbianus* (Pulmonata: Stylommatophora) between habitats. *Res.*
443 *Popul. Ecol.* **23**, 299–308.

444 Harman, R.R., Goddard, J., Shivaji, R. & Cronin, J.T. (2020). Frequency of occurrence and population-
445 dynamic consequences of different forms of density-dependent emigration. *Am. Nat.* **195**,
446 851–867.

447 Herreid, C.F. & Full, R.J. (1986). Energetics of hermit crabs during locomotion: the cost of carrying a
448 shell. *J. Exp. Biol.* **120**, 297–308.

449 Hovestadt, T., Mitesser, O. & Poethke, H.-J. (2014). Gender-specific emigration decisions sensitive to
450 local male and female density. *Am. Nat.* **184**, 38–51.

451 Hulthén, K., Chapman, B.B., Nilsson, P.A., Hollander, J. & Brönmark, C. (2014). Express yourself: bold
452 individuals induce enhanced morphological defences. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **281**, 20132703.

453 Jacob, S., Chaine, A.S., Huet, M., Clobert, J. & Legrand, D. (2019). Variability in dispersal syndromes is
454 a key driver of metapopulation dynamics in experimental microcosms. *Am. Nat.* **194**, 613–
455 626.

456 Kay, M. (2019). *tidybayes: tidy data and geoms for Bayesian models*.

- 457 Kerney, M.-P. & Cameron, R.-A.-D. (1999). *Guide des escargots et limaces d'Europe*. Lonay (Suisse):
458 Delachaux et Niestlé.
- 459 Kilian, E.F. (1951). Untersuchungen zur Biologie von *Pomatias elegans* (Müller) und ihrer
460 'Konkrementdrüse.' *Arch. Molluskenkd.* **80**, 1–16.
- 461 Kim, S.-Y., Torres, R. & Drummond, H. (2009). Simultaneous positive and negative density-dependent
462 dispersal in a colonial bird species. *Ecology* **90**, 230–239.
- 463 Kramarenko, S. (2014). Active and passive dispersal of terrestrial mollusks: a review. *Ruthenica* **24**, 1–
464 14.
- 465 Kuo, C.-Y., Irschick, D.J. & Lailvaux, S.P. (2015). Trait compensation between boldness and the
466 propensity for tail autotomy under different food availabilities in similarly aged brown anole
467 lizards. *Funct. Ecol.* **29**, 385–392.
- 468 Li, X.-Y. & Kokko, H. (2019). Intersexual resource competition and the evolution of sex-biased
469 dispersal. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 111.
- 470 Livshits, G.M. (1985). Ecology of the terrestrial snail *Brephulopsis bidens* (Pulmonata: Enidae):
471 mortality, burrowing and migratory activity. *Malacologia* **26**, 213–223.
- 472 Matthysen, E. (2005). Density-dependent dispersal in birds and mammals. *Ecography* **28**, 403–416.
- 473 Matthysen, E. (2012). Multicausality of dispersal: a review. In *Dispersal ecology and evolution*: 3–18.
474 Clobert, J., Baguette, M., Benton, T.G. & Bullock, J.M. (Eds.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University
475 Press.
- 476 McElreath, R. (2020). *Statistical rethinking: a Bayesian course with examples in R and Stan*. 2nd
477 edition. Boca Raton, USA: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- 478 Oosterhoff, L.M. (1977). Variation in growth rate as an ecological factor in the landsnail *Cepaea*
479 *nemoralis* (L.). *Neth. J. Zool.* **27**, 1–132.
- 480 Pedersen, T.L. (2019). *patchwork: the composer of plots*.
- 481 Pfenninger, M. (2002). Relationship between microspatial population genetic structure and habitat
482 heterogeneity in *Pomatias elegans* (O.F. Müller 1774) (Caenogastropoda, Pomatiasidae). *Biol.*
483 *J. Linn. Soc.* **76**, 565–575.
- 484 Poethke, H.J., Gros, A. & Hovestadt, T. (2011). The ability of individuals to assess population density
485 influences the evolution of emigration propensity and dispersal distance. *Theor. Biol.* **282**,
486 93–99.
- 487 R Core Team. (2020). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R
488 Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 489 Réale, D., Garant, D., Humphries, M.M., Bergeron, P., Careau, V. & Montiglio, P.-O. (2010).
490 Personality and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome concept at the population level.
491 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **365**, 4051–4063.
- 492 Rodrigues, A.M.M. & Johnstone, R.A. (2014). Evolution of positive and negative density-dependent
493 dispersal. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **281**, 20141226.

- 494 Ronce, O. (2007). How does it feel to be like a rolling stone? Ten questions about dispersal evolution.
495 *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **38**, 231–253.
- 496 Rosin, Z.M., Kobak, J., Lesicki, A. & Tryjanowski, P. (2013). Differential shell strength of *Cepaea*
497 *nemoralis* colour morphs—implications for their anti-predator defence. *Naturwissenschaften*
498 **100**, 843–851.
- 499 Schielzeth, H. (2010). Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients.
500 *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 103–113.
- 501 Serrano, D., Tella, J.L., Donazar, J.A. & Pomarol, M. (2003). Social and individual features affecting
502 natal dispersal in the colonial lesser kestrel. *Ecology* **84**, 3044–3054.
- 503 Shachak, M., Leeper, A. & Degen, A. (2002). Effect of population density on water influx and
504 distribution in the desert snail *Trochoidea seetzenii*. *Ecoscience* **9**, 287–292.
- 505 Stan Development Team. (2018). *RStan: the R interface to Stan*.
- 506 Tomlinson, J. (1966). The advantages of hermaphroditism and parthenogenesis. *J. Theor. Biol.* **11**, 54–
507 58.
- 508 Travis, J.M.J., Murrell, D.J. & Dytham, C. (1999). The evolution of density-dependent dispersal. *Proc.*
509 *R. Soc. B* **266**, 1837–1842.
- 510 Trochet, A., Courtois, E.A., Stevens, V.M., Baguette, M., Chaine, A., Schmeller, D.S., Clobert, J. &
511 Wiens, J.J. (2016). Evolution of sex-biased dispersal. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **91**, 297–320.
- 512 Van Dyck, H. & Baguette, M. (2005). Dispersal behaviour in fragmented landscapes: routine or special
513 movements? *Basic Appl. Ecol.* **6**, 535–545.
- 514 Vehtari, A., Gelman, A., Simpson, D., Carpenter, B. & Bürkner, P.-C. (2020). Rank-normalization,
515 folding, and localization: an improved $\widehat{R}$ for assessing convergence of MCMC.
516 *Bayesian Anal.*
- 517 Wells, G.P. (1944). The water relations of snails and slugs: III. Factors determining activity in *Helix*
518 *pomatia* L. *J. Exp. Biol.* **20**, 79–87.
- 519 Welter-Schultes, F. (2012). *European non-marine molluscs, a guide for species identification*.
520 Göttingen: Planet Poster Editions.
- 521 Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A.,
522 Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T., Miller, E., Bache, S., Müller, K., Ooms, J.,
523 Robinson, D., Seidel, D., Spinu, V., Takahashi, K., Vaughan, D., Wilke, C., Woo, K. & Yutani, H.
524 (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J. Open Source Softw.* **4**, 1686.

525

526

A

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.28.970160>;

CC-BY 4.0 International license

Shell width

Shell
height

Aperture
height

1 mm

B

release patch

arrival patch

inter-patch
matrix

5 cm

A

B