TITLE: A combination of metagenomic and cultivation approaches reveals hypermutator phenotypes within *Vibrio cholerae* infected patients.

AUTHORS: Inès Levade¹, Ashraful I. Khan², Fahima Chowdhury², Stephen B. Calderwood^{3,4,5}, Edward T. Ryan^{3,4}, Jason B. Harris^{3,6}, Regina C. LaRocque^{3,4}, Taufiqur R. Bhuiyan², Firdausi Qadri², Ana A. Weil⁷, B. Jesse Shapiro^{1,8,9*}

¹Department of Biological Sciences, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

²Center for Vaccine Sciences, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Dhaka, Bangladesh

³Division of Infectious Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

⁴Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA USA

⁵Department of Microbiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA USA

⁶Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

⁷Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁸Department of Microbiology and Immunology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

⁹McGill Genome Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: jesse.shapiro@mcgill.ca

ABSTRACT

Vibrio cholerae can cause a range of symptoms in infected patients, ranging from severe diarrhea to asymptomatic infection. Previous studies using whole genome sequencing (WGS) of multiple bacterial isolates per patient have shown that *Vibrio cholerae* can evolve a modest amount of genetic diversity during symptomatic infection. Little is known about *V. cholerae* genetic diversity within asymptomatic infected patients. To achieve increased resolution in the detection of *Vibrio cholerae* diversity within individual infections, we applied culture-based population genomics and metagenomics to a cohort of symptomatic and asymptomatic cholera patients. While the metagenomic approach allowed us to detect more mutations in symptomatic patients compared to the culture-based approach, WGS of isolates was still necessary to detect *V. cholerae* diversity in asymptomatic carriers, likely due to their low *Vibrio cholerae* load. We found that symptomatic and asymptomatic patients contain similar levels of within-patient diversity, and discovered *V. cholerae* hypermutators in some patients. While hypermutators appeared to generate mostly selectively neutral mutations, non-mutators showed signs of convergent mutation across multiple patients, suggesting *V. cholerae* adaptation within hosts. Our results highlight the power of metagenomics combined with isolate sequencing to characterize within-patient diversity in acute *V. cholerae* infection and asymptomatic infection, while providing evidence for hypermutator phenotypes within cholera patients.

IMPORTANCE

Pathogen evolution within patients can impact phenotypes such as drug resistance and virulence, potentially affecting clinical outcomes. *V. cholerae* infection can result in life-threatening diarrheal disease, or asymptomatic infection. Here we describe whole-genome sequencing of *V. cholerae* isolates and culture-free metagenomic sequencing from stool of symptomatic cholera patients and asymptomatic carriers. Despite the acuteness of cholera infections, we found evidence for adaptive mutations in the *V. cholerae* genome that occur independently and repeatedly within multiple symptomatic patients. We also identified *V. cholerae* hypermutator phenotypes within 6 out of 14 patients, which appear to generate mainly neutral or deleterious mutations. Our work sets the stage for future studies of the role of hypermutators and within-patient evolution in explaining the variation from asymptomatic carriage to symptomatic cholera.

KEYWORDS: *Vibrio cholerae*, cholera, metagenomics, within-patient evolution, hypermutation, asymptomatic carriage

INTRODUCTION

Infection with *Vibrio cholerae*, the etiological agent of cholera, causes a clinical spectrum of symptoms that range from asymptomatic colonization of the intestine to severe watery diarrhea that can lead to death. Although absent from most resource-rich countries, this severe diarrheal disease still plagues many developing nations. According to the WHO, there are an estimated 1.3 to 4.0 million cases of cholera each year, with 21,000 to 143,000 deaths worldwide (Ali et al. 2015). Cholera predominantly occurs in endemic areas, but can also cause explosive outbreaks as seen in Haiti in 2010 or in Yemen, where over 2.2 million cases are suspected since 2016 (Weil, Ivers, et Harris 2011; Camacho et al. 2018). Although cholera vaccines have reduced disease in some areas, the increasing number of people lacking access to sanitation and safe drinking water, the emergence of a pandemic lineage of *V. cholerae* with increased virulence (Satchell et al. 2016), and environmental persistence of this waterborne pathogen underscore the need to understand and interrupt transmission of this disease.

Cholera epidemiology and evolutionary dynamics have been studied by high-throughput sequencing technologies and new modeling approaches, at a global and local scale (Weil et Ryan 2018; Domman et al. 2018). Yet, many questions remain regarding asymptomatic carriers of *V. cholerae*, including their role and importance in the transmission chain during an epidemic (King et al. 2008; Phelps, Simonsen, et Jensen 2019). Numerous observational studies have identified host factors that could impact the severity of symptoms, including lack of pre-existing immunity, blood group O status, age, polymorphisms in genes of the innate immune system, or variation in the gut microbiome (Harris et al. 2005; 2008; Weil, Khan, et al. 2009; Midani et al. 2018; Levade et al. 2020).

Recent studies have shown that despite the acute nature of cholera infection, which typically lasts only a few days, genetic diversity can appear and be detected in a *V. cholerae*

population infecting individual patients (Seed et al. 2014; Levade et al. 2017). In a previous study, we sampled multiple *V. cholerae* isolates from each of eight patients (five from Bangladesh and three from Haiti) and sequenced 122 bacterial genomes in total. Using stringent controls to guard against sequencing errors, we detected a few (0-3 per patient) within-patient intra-host single nucleotide variants (iSNVs), and a greater number of gene content variants (gene gain/loss events within patients) (Levade et al. 2017). This variation may affect adaptation to the host environment, either by resistance to phage predation (Seed et al. 2014) or by impacting biofilm formation (Levade et al. 2017), but it is not known how within-patient diversity affects disease severity.

Several pathogens are known to evolve within human hosts (Didelot et al. 2016), and hypermutation has been observed in some cases (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011; Lieberman et al. 2013; Marvig et al. 2013). Hypermutation is a phenotype whereby a strain loses the function of its mismatch repair machinery and thus becomes a hypermutator. While these hypermutators may quickly acquire adaptive mutations, they also bear a burden of deleterious mutations (Giraud et al. 2001). For the population to survive the burden of deleterious mutations, hypermutators may revert to a non-mutator state, or may recombine their adaptive alleles into the genomes of non-mutators in the population (Denamur et al. 2000; Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011). The hypermutator phenotype has been observed in vibrios in the aquatic environment (Chu et al. 2017), and induced in *V. cholerae* in an experimental setting (Wang et al. 2018), but not clearly documented within infected patients. There is some evidence for hypermutation in *V. cholerae* clinical strains isolated between 1961 and 1965 (Didelot et al. 2015); however, the authors recognized that these hypermutators could also have emerged during long-term culture (Eisenstark 2010). It therefore remains unclear if hypermutators readily emerge within cholera patients, nor their consequences for disease outcomes and transmission.

When within-patient pathogen populations are studied with culture-based methods, their diversity may be underestimated because the culture process can select isolates more suited to growth in culture, and due to undersampling of rare variants. In this study, we used a combination of culture-free metagenomics and WGS of cultured isolates to characterize the within-patient diversity of *V. cholerae* in individuals with different clinical syndromes ranging from symptomatic to asymptomatic infection. We found that previous culture-based analyses likely underestimated the true variation within infected hosts. However, asymptomatic persons yielded too few metagenomic reads to assess within-patient variation, and this could only be accessed using cultured *V. cholerae* isolates. Using this culture-based approach to compare symptomatic to asymptomatic contacts from three households, we found similar levels of within-patient diversity regardless of disease severity. Using both approaches, we also describe the presence of hypermutator *V. cholerae* within both symptomatic and asymptomatic infected patients. These hypermutators are characterized by a high mutation rate, and accumulation of an excess of likely neutral or deleterious mutations in the genome. Finally, we provide evidence of adaptive mutations occurring during non-mutator *V. cholerae* infections.

RESULTS

Taxonomic analyses of metagenomics sequences from *Vibrio cholerae* infected index cases and household contacts.

To evaluate the level of within-patient diversity of *Vibrio cholerae* populations infecting symptomatic and asymptomatic patients in a cohort in Dhaka, Bangladesh, we used both culture-based whole genome sequencing and culture-free shotgun metagenomic approaches (Fig. 1). We performed metagenomic sequencing of 22 samples from 21 index cases and 11 samples from 10 household contacts infected with *Vibrio cholerae*, of which two remained asymptomatic during the follow-up period (Table S1). After removal of reads mapping to the human genome, we used Kraken2 and MIDAS to taxonomically classify the remaining reads and identify samples with enough *Vibrio cholerae* reads to reconstruct genomes. Among symptomatic patients (index cases and household contacts), 15 samples from 14 patients contained enough reads to reconstruct the *Vibrio cholerae* genome with a mean coverage $> 5X$. Neither of the two asymptomatic patients had enough *Vibrio cholerae* reads in their metagenomic sequences to reconstruct genomes by mapping or *de novo* assembly (mean coverage $< 0.05X$). We also detected reads from two *Vibrio* phages (ICP1 and ICP3) in some of these samples (Table S1).

Figure 1. Summary of the culture-dependent and the culture-free metagenomics workflows for the characterization of the *Vibrio cholerae* within-patient diversity. Stool or rectal swab samples were collected from symptomatic and asymptomatic *Vibrio cholerae* infected individuals and processed using two different approaches: (A) Culture, DNA extraction and whole genome sequencing of multiple isolates per patient; (B) Genome-resolved metagenomics involves DNA extraction directly from a microbiome sample followed by DNA sequencing, assembly, genome binning and dereplication to generate metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), and within-host diversity profiling by mapping reads back to the MAGs.

Recovery of high quality *Vibrio cholerae* MAGs from metagenomic samples

To reconstruct *Vibrio cholerae* metagenomic assembled genomes (MAGs) from the 11 samples with coverage > 10X, we *de novo* assembled each sample individually except for patient

E, for whom we co-assembled two samples from two consecutive sampling days. High quality MAGs identified as *Vibrio cholerae* were obtained from each assembly, with no redundancy, and completeness ranging from 91 to 100% (Table S2). We dereplicated the set of bins and removed all but the highest quality genome from each redundant set, identifying the bin from patient J as the best quality MAG overall.

***Vibrio cholerae* within patient nucleotide diversity estimated from metagenomic data**

All metagenomes with *Vibrio cholerae* mean coverage >5X were mapped against the dereplicated genome set, and we assessed within-patient genetic diversity using inStrain (Olm et al. 2020). We identified both single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that varied between patients (Table S3), and intra patient single nucleotide variants (iSNVs) that varied within patients (Table S4). We found a total of 39 SNPs between patients, and a range of two to 207 iSNVs within each sample (Table 1, Fig. 2). Given the wide variation in coverage across samples, we checked for any bias toward detecting iSNVs in high-coverage samples. We observed no correlation between the number of detected iSNVs and coverage values ($\rho = -0.12$, $P > 0.05$, Pearson correlation), suggesting no coverage bias, and that diversity levels are comparable across samples.

Figure 2. Within-patient *Vibrio cholerae* diversity quantified from metagenomic data. (A) Minor

allele frequency and distribution of intergenic, synonymous and non-synonymous iSNVs across the two

Vibrio cholerae chromosomes for 14 patients with over 5X coverage of the *V. cholerae* genome. (B)

Numbers of intergenic, synonymous and non-synonymous iSNVs for each patient. (C) Spectrum of

within-patient mutation in hypermutators compared to non-mutators. Error bars represent standard error of

the mean across the group of hypermutators or non-mutators. Only samples with 6 or more iSNVs were included to reduce noise from low counts.

Several mechanisms could account for the origins of the observed iSNVs, including *de novo* mutation within a patient, co-infection by divergent *V. cholerae* strains, or homologous recombination. Most iSNVs had low-frequency minor alleles (Fig S1), consistent with recent mutations occurring within individual patients, rather than co-infection by a roughly equal mixture of distantly related strains. No iSNVs were observed at the exact same nucleotide position in different patients, suggesting that iSNVs rarely spread by homologous recombination, and are never precisely recurrent in our dataset. In patient E, sampled on two consecutive days, we detected eight iSNVs on the first day, of which four were again detected on the second day, along with 13 additional iSNVs. This suggests that iSNV allele frequencies can fluctuate significantly over time. Moreover, iSNVs were distributed across the genome (Fig. 2A), rather than clustered in hotspots, which would be expected if iSNVs arose from recombination events (Croucher et al. 2011). Although we cannot strictly exclude co-infection or recombination events as sources of diversity, most of the observed iSNVs are consistent with *de novo* mutation within patients.

Evidence for *V. cholerae* hypermutators within patients

In 5 of the 6 patients with a high number of iSNVs (>25), we identified non-synonymous (NS) mutations in genes involved in DNA mismatch repair pathways, including the DNA polymerase II in patient D, or proteins of the methyl-directed mismatch repair (MMR) system in patient F, I and K (Table 1). These NS variants could explain why these samples seem to have a higher level of within-host diversity, and suggest the presence of *Vibrio cholerae* with a

hypermutator phenotype (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011). In the patient harboring the highest number of variants (Patient F, 207 iSNVs), we detected two NS mutations in two different genes coding for proteins involved in DNA repair: the DNA mismatch repair endonuclease MutL (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011), and the nuclease SbcCD subunit C (Didelot et al. 2015)(Lovett 2011)(Darmon et al. 2007). The patient with the second highest number of iSNVs, patient A, contained a high number of intergenic variants (87 out of 96 iSNVs, Fig. 2B), but no apparent NS mutations in genes involved in DNA repair. This large number of intergenic iSNVs are unlikely due to read mapping errors, since the same iSNV calls were obtained when using the MAG from patient A as a reference genome. In patient I, where we also detected a high number of iSNVs, a NS mutation in the gene coding for the MutT/nudix protein, involved in the repair of oxidative DNA damage (Lu et al. 2001), could also cause a strong hypermutation phenotype. Patient D, H and K presented fewer iSNVs but also showed NS mutations in genes involved in DNA damage repair (Foster et al. 1995) (Lee, Sung, et Verdine 2019). However, some of these genes have been shown to play less critical roles in bacterial DNA repair than MutSLH (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011)(Kunkel et Erie 2005), which could lead to a weaker hypermutator phenotype.

Previous studies have noted mutational biases in hypermutators, such as an increase of transition over transversion mutations in a *Burkholderia dolosa* mutator with a defective MutL (Lieberman et al. 2013), or an excess of G:C→T:A transversions in a *Bacillus anthracis* hypermutator (Zeibell et al. 2007), and in members of the gut microbiome (Zhao et al. 2019). When we compared the spectrum of mutations observed in suspected hypermutators to non-mutator samples, we found a significance difference (Chi-square test, P<0.01) due to an apparent excess of G:C→T:A transversions in hypermutators (Fig. 2C).

Current theory suggests that hypermutators may be adaptive under novel or stressful environmental conditions because they more rapidly explore the mutational space and are the first

to acquire adaptive mutations. However, hypermutation comes at the cost of the accumulation of deleterious mutations. To test the hypothesis that hypermutation leads to fitness costs due to these deleterious mutations, we used iRep (Brown et al. 2016) to estimate *V. cholerae* replication rates in each sample, and test whether replication rate was negatively associated with the number of iSNVs. iRep infers replication rates from MAGs and metagenomic reads (Brown et al. 2016). For instance, an iRep value of 2 would indicate that most of the population is replicating one copy of its chromosome. In our data (Table 1), iRep values varied from 1.23 (patient E at day 2) to 5.43 (patient D), and we did not find any association between the replication rate of *Vibrio cholerae* and the number of iSNVs detected within each subject (Fig. S2B, Pearson correlation, $\rho = 0.15$, $P > 0.05$). This suggests that deleterious mutations in hypermutators could be counterbalanced by adaptive mutations that maintain growth. Alternatively, higher iRep values could be associated with larger *V. cholerae* population sizes, which could support greater genetic diversity and yield a positive correlation between iRep values and the number of iSNVs. These hypotheses merit testing in larger patient cohorts.

Convergent evolution suggests adaptation of non-mutator *V. cholerae* within patients

While none of the patients shared iSNVs at the exact same nucleotide position, some contained parallel (or convergent) mutations in the same gene (Table 2). To determine whether genes that acquired multiple mutations could be under positive selection within the host, we performed permutation tests for hypermutator and non-mutator samples separately (Methods). Among the hypermutator samples, we identified five genes with NS mutations in two or more patients (Table 2), which was not an unexpectedly high level of convergence given the large number of mutations in hypermutators (permutation test, $P=0.97$). That the P -value approaches 1

suggests either that the hypermutators are actually selected against mutating the same genes in different patients, or – more likely – that the permutation test is conservative. For the samples with no evidence of hypermutator phenotypes, we identified two genes with NS mutations in two patients. The first gene, *hlyA*, encodes a hemolysin that causes cytolysis by forming heptameric pores in human cell membranes (Olson et Gouaux 2005), while the second gene encodes a putative ABC transporter ferric-binding protein (Table 2). Observing convergent mutations in two different genes is unexpected (permutation test, $P=0.039$) in a test that is likely to be conservative. We also note that the three iSNVs in *hlyA* have relatively high minor allele frequencies (0.22-0.43) compared to other convergent NS mutations (median minor allele frequency of 0.11; Table 2) and to NS mutations overall (median of 0.12; Table S4). Together, these analyses suggest that *V. cholerae* hypermutators produce NS mutations that are predominantly deleterious or neutral, while evidence for within-patient positive selection on certain genes in non-mutators merits further investigation.

To further explore differential selection at the protein level within and between patients, we applied the McDonald-Kreitman test (McDonald et Kreitman 1991) to the 9 patients with no evidence for hypermutation, and to the five patients harboring potential hypermutators. Based on whole-genome sequences of *V. cholerae* isolates, we previously found an excess of NS mutations fixed between patients in Bangladesh, based on a small sample of five patients (Levade et al. 2017). Here, based on metagenomes from a larger number of patients, we found the opposite pattern of a slight excess of NS mutations segregating as iSNVs within patients, consistent with slightly deleterious mutations occurring within patients and purged over evolutionary time. However, the difference between NS:S ratios within and between patients was not statistically significant (Fisher's exact test, $P>0.05$; Table S5); thus, the evidence for differential selective pressures within versus between cholera patients remains inconclusive.

Many NS mutations occurred in genes involved in transmembrane transport, pathogenesis, response to antibiotics, secretion systems, chemotaxis, and metabolic processes (Figure S3). Both hypermutator samples (Fig. S3B) and non-mutators (Fig. S3C) have a high NS:S ratio in genes of unknown function, while hypermutators have many NS mutations in transmembrane proteins, which are absent in non-mutators. However, non-mutator samples have more NS mutations in genes involved in pathogenesis and secretion systems. Most of the NS mutations involved in pathogenesis were found in the gene *hlyA* (a target of convergent evolution, mentioned above).

Whole genome sequencing of *Vibrio cholerae* isolates confirms hypermutator phenotypes and suggests similar diversity levels in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients

In addition to metagenomic analyses, we performed whole genome sequencing of multiple *Vibrio cholerae* clinical isolates from index cases and asymptomatic contacts (Fig. 1A) from three households (56, 57, and 58, Table S1). As noted above, asymptomatic infected contacts did not yield sufficient metagenomic reads to assemble the *V. cholerae* genome or call iSNVs, but their stool cultures yielded colonies for whole-genome sequencing. The first asymptomatic contact, 58.01, tested positive for *Vibrio cholerae* on day 4 after the presentation of the index case to the hospital, and *Vibrio cholerae* was cultured from the stool on days 4, 6, 7 and 8. We sequenced five isolates respectively from day 4 and 6 samples, and four isolates from each of the subsequent days. For households 56 and 57, five isolates were sequenced from each sample, at day 1 for the index cases and day 2 for the asymptomatic carriers (Table S6).

The index case from household 58 (called 58.00 or patient N) was also included in the metagenomic analysis described above, allowing a comparison between culture-dependent and -independent assessments of within-patient diversity. We did not detect any iSNVs in patient

58.00, as the five isolates sequenced were isogenic. In contrast, the metagenomic analysis of patient N revealed seven iSNVs (Table 1), suggesting a higher sensitivity for the detection of rare variants.

In contrast to metagenomes consisting of many unlinked reads, whole-genome sequencing allows the reconstruction of a phylogeny describing the evolution of *V. cholerae* within and between patients (Fig. 3). As described previously (Domman et al. 2018), isolates from members of the same household tended to cluster together. In index case 57.00, four of the isolates were isogenic, and one isolate was identical to the five isolates sequenced from the asymptomatic contact from the same household, patient 57.01 (Table 3, Fig. 3). This shared genotype between the two individuals was unexpected, and could suggest a potential transmission event from the asymptomatic contact to the index case, followed by a mutational event and the spreading of the new variant in the index case. The only mutation found in four of the five isolates from the index case was a non-synonymous mutation in a gene coding for a cyclic-di-GMP-modulating response regulator, which could have an impact on the regulation of biofilm formation in the host (Tischler et Camilli 2004). However, the hypothesis that this was a transmission event is only supported by one mutation, and therefore remains uncertain. Among the other index cases, we found no iSNVs in patient 58.00 and two iSNVs in patient 56.00. One isolate from this patient had a synonymous mutation in a hypothetical protein, and another isolate had a non-synonymous mutation in a UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 4,6-dehydratase gene (Table 3). We detected iSNVs in the other asymptomatic contacts, with one synonymous and one intergenic mutation in contact 58.02, and one non-synonymous mutation in one isolate from contact 56.01 (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Notably, we also found evidence for a hypermutator in contact 58.01. One isolate sampled from this contact had the highest number of mutations seen in any branch in the phylogeny (five NS mutations) which could be explained by a NS mutation in the gene encoding MutS, another key component of the methyl-directed mismatch repair (MMR) system (Table 3, Fig. 3). The mutation in this gene could explain the accumulation of a surprising number of mutations in this isolate, which is likely a hypermutator. This contact presented no variants in the isolates sampled at day 4 and 6, but we found this hypermutator isolate on day 7. However, this genotype was not found at day eight, either due to the lower resolution in the detection of variants with the WGS of cultured isolates, or the disappearance of this mutant from the population.

Pan-genome analyses

Whole-genome isolate sequencing also provides the opportunity to study variation in gene content (the pangenome) within and between patients. We identified a total of 3523 core genes common to all *V. cholerae* genomes, and 102 flexible genes present in some but not all genomes (Figure 3; Table S7). We also found an additional 214 genes present uniquely in isolate 56.00C4, assembled into one single contig identified as the genome of the lytic Vibrio phage ICP1, which was assembled alongside the *Vibrio cholerae* genome. This phage contig contained the ICP1 CRISPR/Cas system, which consists of two CRISPR loci (designated CR1 and CR2) and six *cas* genes, as previously described (Seed et al. 2011; 2013). These genes were excluded from subsequent *V. cholerae* pan genome analyses.

Among the 102 flexible genes, some varied in presence/absence within a patient, ranging from twelve to 53 genes gained or lost per patient (Table S7; Fig. 3). The majority of these flexible genes (78%) were annotated as hypothetical, and several were transposases or prophage genes. One gene known to be critical for streptogramin antibiotic resistance, a streptogramin A

acetyltransferase, was absent in five isolates from contact 58.01 (Alcala et al. 2020). A large deletion of 24 genes was detected in patient 58.00, in an 18kb phage-inducible chromosomal island (PICI) previously shown to prevent phage reproduction, and which is targeted by the ICP1 CRISPR/Cas system (Seed et al. 2013). These PICI-like elements are induced during phage infection, and interfere with phage reproduction via multiple mechanisms (Ram et al. 2012; O'Hara et al. 2017). The deletion of this PICI element in the *V. cholerae* genome may be a consequence of an ongoing evolutionary arms race between *V. cholerae* and its phages.

DISCUSSION

Although within-patient *Vibrio cholerae* genetic diversity has been reported previously (Seed et al. 2012; 2014; Kendall et al. 2010; Levade et al. 2017), our results confirmed that within-patient diversity is a common feature observed in both symptomatic patients with cholera but also in asymptomatically infected individuals. In this study, we used a combination of metagenomic and WGS sequencing technologies to characterize this within-patient diversity, revealing evidence for hypermutator phenotypes in both symptomatic and asymptomatic infections.

We showed that metagenomics has a higher sensitivity to detect rare genetic variants in the within-patient *Vibrio cholerae* population. In our previous study, we detected between zero and three iSNVs in cultured isolates from patients with acute infection (Levade et al. 2017). In contrast, metagenomic analyses allowed us to detect two iSNVs in the patient with the lowest level of diversity, but up to 207 iSNVs in another individual (Table 1). In the only patient for which we were able to characterize *Vibrio cholerae* intra-host diversity both from the metagenome and from cultured isolates, we did not identify any iSNVs in the isolates, but detected 7 iSNVs from the metagenomic analyses, even with a coverage <10X. These results

highlight one of the potential limitations of the colony sequencing-based approach for the study of within-host diversity: the difficulty of recovering rare members of the population (Brenzinger et al. 2019).

Despite better sensitivity to detect rare variants, metagenomics has limitations. Within-sample diversity profiles cannot be established for low-abundance microbes with insufficient sequence coverage ($< 5X$) and depth, and this level of coverage is difficult to obtain in diverse microbial communities. In this study, only 48% of the samples from patients with acute symptoms, known to harbor a high fraction of vibrios in their stool (10^{10} - 10^{12} vibrios per liter of stool), contained enough reads to reconstruct *Vibrio cholerae* MAGs and to quantify within-patient diversity. Asymptomatic patients typically shed even less *V. cholerae* in their stool (Nelson et al. 2009), making it even more challenging to assemble their genomes using metagenomics without depletion of host DNA or targeted sequence capture techniques (Bachmann et al. 2018; Vezzulli et al. 2017).

Hypermutation has been defined as an excess of mutations due to deficiency in DNA mismatch repair, and hypermutator strains have been described in diverse pathogenic infections and *in vivo* experiments, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in cystic fibrosis patients, or *E. coli* in diverse habitats (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011; Oliver et Mena 2010; Labat et al. 2005). In *Vibrio cholerae*, a previous study of 260 clinical isolate genomes identified 17 isolates with an unusually high number of SNPs uniformly distributed along the genome (Didelot et al. 2015). Most of these genomes contained mutations in one or more of four genes (*mutS*, *mutH*, *mutL* and *uvrD*) that play key roles in DNA mismatch repair (Didelot et al. 2015). These authors cautiously suggested that this apparent high frequency of hypermutators could be associated with the rapid spread of the seventh cholera pandemic, particularly because hypermutators may be a sign of population

bottlenecks and recent selective pressure. However, they also hypothesized that these high mutation rates could be artefactual because the *V. cholerae* isolates had been maintained in stab cultures for many years. It thus remains unclear if a hypermutator phenotype was derived within patients or during culture (Didelot et al. 2015; Eisenstark 2010). Using our metagenomic approach, we showed that hypermutators can indeed emerge during infection. Using culture-based whole genome sequencing, using only a brief overnight culture, we confirmed that hypermutators occur in asymptomatic patients as well. Future work will be required to determine any impacts of hypermutation on cholera disease severity or transmission.

Hypermutator phenotypes are believed to be advantageous for the colonization of new environments or hosts, allowing the hypermutator bacteria to generate adaptive mutations more quickly, which leads to the more efficient exploitation of resources or increased resistance to environmental stressful conditions, such as antibiotics (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011; Oliver et Mena 2010; Labat et al. 2005; Giraud et al. 2001). However, this high mutation rate can have a negative impact on fitness in the long term, with most of the mutations being neutral or deleterious (Funchain et al. 2000; Giraud et al. 2001; Chu et al. 2017). A mouse model study showed that hypermutation can be an adaptive strategy for *V. cholerae* to resist host-produced reactive oxygen induced stress, and lead to a colonization advantage by increased catalase production and increased biofilm formation (Wang et al. 2018). In our study of convergent evolution, we found no evidence for adaptive mutations in the hypermutators. This could be because the signal from a small number of adaptive mutations are obscured by overwhelming noise from a large number of neutral or deleterious mutations. Further work is therefore needed to determine if *V. cholerae* mutators produce adaptive mutations during human infection.

In contrast, we did find evidence for an excess of convergent mutations occurring independently in the same genes in different patients, suggesting parallel adaptation in non-

mutator *V. cholerae* infections. Specifically, two patients contained mutations in the same hemolysin gene, *hlyA*, which codes for a toxin that has both vacuolating and cytotoxic activities against a number of cell lines, including human intestinal cells (Tsou et al. 2010), and is known to be an important virulence factor in *Vibrio cholerae* El Tor O1 and a major target of immune responses during acute infection (Olivier et al. 2007; Weil, Arifuzzaman, et al. 2009). Previous studies of within-patient *V. cholerae* evolution did not identify mutations in *hlyA*, and instead identified different mutations possibly under selection for biofilm formation (Levade et al. 2017) or phage resistance phenotypes (Seed et al. 2014). This lack of concordance could be explained by relatively modest sample sizes of cholera patients in these studies but could also suggest that selective pressures may be idiosyncratic and person-specific across *Vibrio cholerae* infections.

In conclusion, our results illustrate the potential and limitations of metagenomics as a culture-independent approach for the characterization of within-host pathogen diversity, and that this diversity is likely to be underestimated by traditional culture-based techniques. We also provide evidence that hypermutators emerge within human *V. cholerae* infection, and their evolutionary dynamics and relevance to disease progression merits further study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection, clinical outcomes and metagenomic sequencing

To study within-host diversity of *V. cholerae* during infection, we used stool and rectal swab samples collected from cholera patients admitted to the icddr,b (International Center for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh) Dhaka Hospital, and from their household contacts, as previously described (Midani et al. 2018). Index cases were defined as patients presenting to the hospital with severe acute diarrhea and a stool culture positive for *V. cholerae*. Individuals who shared the same cooking pot with an index patient for three or more days are considered household contacts and were enrolled within 6 hours of the presentation of the index patient to the hospital. Rectal swabs were collected each day during a ten-day follow up period after presentation of the index case. Household contacts underwent daily clinical assessment of symptoms and collection of blood for serological testing. Contacts were determined to be infected if any rectal swab culture was positive for *V. cholerae* or if the contact developed diarrhea and a 4-fold increase in vibriocidal titer during the follow-up period (Harris et al. 2008; Weil, Khan, et al. 2009). If they developed watery diarrhea during the follow up period, contacts with positive rectal swabs were categorized as symptomatic and those without diarrhea were considered asymptomatic. We excluded patients with age below two and above 60 years old, or with major comorbid conditions (Harris et al. 2008; Weil et al. 2009).

Fecal samples and rectal swabs from the day of infection and follow up timepoints were collected and immediately placed on ice after collection and stored at -80°C until DNA extraction. DNA extraction was performed with the PowerSoil DNA extraction kits (Qiagen) after pre-heating to 65°C for 10 min and to 95°C for 10 min. Sequencing libraries were constructed for 33 samples from 31 patients, for which we obtained enough DNA. We used the

NEBNext Ultra II DNA library prep kit and sequenced the libraries on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 (paired-end 125 bp) and the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 S4 (paired-end 150 bp) platforms at the Genome Québec sequencing platform (McGill University).

Metagenomic analyses

Sequence preprocessing and assembly

Sequencing fastq files were quality checked with FastQC (<https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>). We removed human and technical contaminant DNA by aligning reads to the PhiX genome and the human genome (hg19) with Bowtie2 (Langmead et Salzberg 2012) , and used the iu-filter-quality-minoche script of the illumina-utils program with default parameters to filter the reads (Eren et al. 2013).

Taxonomic assignment

Processed paired-end metagenomics sequences were classified using two taxonomic profilers: Kraken2 v.2.0.8_beta (a k-mer matching algorithm) (Wood, Lu, et Langmead 2019) and MIDAS v.1.3.0 (a read mapping algorithm) (Nayfach et al. 2016). Kraken 2 examines the k-mers within a query sequence and uses the information within those k-mers to query a database, then maps k-mers to the lowest common ancestor (LCA) of all genomes known to contain a given k-mer. Kraken2 was run against a reference database containing all RefSeq viral, bacterial and archaeal genomes (built in May 2019), with default parameters. MIDAS uses a panel of 15 single-copy marker genes present in all of ~31,000 bacterial species included in its database to perform taxonomic classification, and maps metagenomic reads to this database to estimate the read depth and relative abundance of 5,952 bacterial species. We identified metagenomic samples

containing *V. cholerae* and vibriophage reads, and computed the mean coverage (number of reads per base-pair) of the *V. cholerae* pangenome in the MIDAS database (Table 1).

Assembly and binning of Vibrio cholerae genomes

To recover good quality metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) of *V. cholerae*, we selected metagenomic samples with coverage >10X against the *V. cholerae* pangenome in the MIDAS database, and used MEGAHIT v.1.2.9 (Li et al. 2016) to perform *de novo* assembly. For 9 of the 11 selected samples, we independently assembled the genome of each sample, and co-assembled the two remaining samples, which belong to the same patient (a symptomatic infected contact on days 9 and 10). Contigs of <1.5 kb were discarded.

We extracted MAGs by binning of our metagenomic assemblies. Because no single binning approach is superior in every case, with performance of the algorithms varying across samples, we used different binning tools to recover MAGs. The quality of a metagenomic bin is evaluated by its completeness (the level of coverage of a population genome), and the contamination level (the amount of sequence that does not belong to this population from another genome). These metrics can be estimated by counting the frequency of single-copy marker genes within each bin (Parks et al. 2015). We inferred bins using CONCOCT v.1.1.0 (Alneberg et al. 2014), MaxBin 2 v.2.2.7 (Wu, Simmons, et Singer 2016) and MetaBAT 2 v.2.12.1 (Kang et al. 2019), with default parameters. We then used DAS_Tool v.1.1.1 on the results of these three methods, to select a single set of non-redundant, high-quality bins per sample (Sieber et al. 2018). DAS_Tool is a bin consolidation tool, which predicts single-copy genes in all the provided bin sets, aggregates bins from the different binning predictions, and extracts a more complete consensus bin from each aggregate such that the resulting bin has the most single-copy genes while having a reasonably low number of duplicate genes (Sieber et al. 2018). We then used

Anvi'o v.6.1 (Eren et al. 2015) to manually refine the bins with contamination higher than 10% and Centrifuge v.1.0.4_beta (Kim et al. 2016) to determine the taxonomy of all bins in each sample, in order to identified *V. cholerae* MAGs.

Bins with completeness > 60% and contamination <10% were first selected, and those assigned to *V. cholerae* were further filtered (completeness > 90% and contamination <1% for the *V. cholerae* bins). We dereplicated the entire set of bins with dRep v.2.2.3 using a minimum completeness of 60%, the ANImf algorithm, 99% secondary clustering threshold, maximum contamination of 10%, and 25% minimum coverage overlap, and obtained 79 MAGs displaying the best quality and representing individual metagenomic species (MGS).

Detection of Vibrio cholerae genetic diversity within and between metagenomic samples

We created a bowtie2 index of the 79 representative genomes from the dereplicated set, including a single high-quality *Vibrio cholerae* MAG, and mapped reads from each sample to this set. By including many diverse microbial genomes in the bowtie2 index, we aimed to avoid the mismapping of reads from other species to the *V. cholerae* genome, and to reduce potential false positive intra-host single nucleotide variant (iSNV) calls. We mapped the metagenomics reads of each sample with a *V. cholerae* coverage value >5X (obtained with MIDAS) against the set of 79 MAGs, using Bowtie2 (Langmead et Salzberg 2012) with the --very-sensitive parameters. We also used Prodigal (Hyatt et al. 2010) on the concatenated MAGs, in order to predict open reading frames using default metagenomic settings.

We then used inStrain on the 15 selected samples (<https://instrain.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html>). This program aims to identify and compare the genetic heterogeneity of microbial populations within and between metagenomic samples (Olm et al. 2020). “InStrain profile” was run on the mapping results, with the minimum percent

identity of read pairs to consensus set to 99%, minimum coverage to call a variant of 5X, and minimum allele frequency to confirm a SNV equal to 0.05. All non-paired reads were filtered out, as well as reads with an identity value below 0.99. Coverage and breadth of coverage (percentage of reference base pairs covered by at least one read) were computed for each genome. InStrain identified both biallelic and multiallelic SNV frequencies at positions where phred30 quality filtered reads differ from the reference genome and at positions where multiple bases were simultaneously detected at levels above the expected sequencing error rate. SNVs were classified as non-synonymous, synonymous, or intergenic based on gene annotations, and gene functions were recovered from the Uniprot database (The UniProt Consortium 2019) and BLAST (Madden 2003). Then, similar filters to those described in (Garud et al. 2019) were applied to the detected SNVs. We excluded from the analysis positions with very low or high coverage value D compared to the median coverage $\bar{D}$, and positions within 100 bp of contig extremities. As sites with very low coverage could result from a bias in sequencing or library preparation, and sites with higher coverage could arise from mapping error or be the result of repetitive region or multi-copy genes not well assembled, we masked sites in all the samples if D was $< 0.3\bar{D}$ and if D was $> 3\bar{D}$ in at least two samples.

Mutation spectrum of hypermutator and non-mutator samples

For each sample, iSNVs were categorized into six mutation types based on the chemical nature of the nucleotide changes (transitions or transversions). We combined all the samples with hypermutators and compared them to the mutation spectrum of the non-mutators. The mutation spectrum was significantly different between the hypermutator samples and the non-hypermutator samples (Chi-squared test, $P < 0.01$). We then computed the mutation mean and standard error of each of the six mutation types and compared the two groups (Figure 2C).

558

559 ***Bacterial replication rate estimation***

560 Replication rates were estimated with the metric iRep (index of replication), which is
561 based on the measurement of the rate of the decrease in average sequence coverage from the
562 origin to the terminus of replication. iRep values (Brown et al. 2016) were calculated by mapping
563 the sequencing reads of each sample to the *V. cholerae* MAG assembled from that sample.

564

565 ***Tests for natural selection***

566 First, we identified signals of convergent evolution in the form of nonsynonymous iSNVs
567 occurring independently in the same gene in multiple patients. To assess the significance of
568 convergent mutations, we compared their observed frequencies to expected frequencies in a
569 simple permutations model. We ran separate permutations for non-mutators (two genes with
570 convergent mutations in at least two out of eight non-mutator samples, including only one time
571 point from the patient sampled twice, and excluding the outlier patient A with a large number of
572 intergenic iSNVs) and possible hypermutators (five genes with convergent mutations in at least
573 two out of five possible hypermutator samples). In each permutation, we randomized the
574 locations of the nonsynonymous mutations, preserving the observed number of nonsynonymous
575 mutations in each sample, and the observed distribution of gene lengths. For simplicity, we
576 assumed that 2/3 of nucleotide sites in coding regions were nonsynonymous. We repeated the
577 permutations 1000 times and estimated a *P*-value as the fraction of permutations yielding greater
578 than or equal to the observed number of genes mutated in two or more samples.

579 Second, we compared natural selection at the protein level within versus between patients,
580 using the McDonald-Kreitman test (McDonald et Kreitman 1991). We again considered
581 hypermutators separately. Briefly, the four counts (Pn, Ps, Dn, Ds) of between-patient divergence

(D) vs. within-patient polymorphism (P), and non-synonymous (n) vs synonymous (s) mutations were computed and tested for neutrality using a Fisher's exact test (FDR corrected P -values < 0.05).

Whole genome sequencing analyses

Culture of Vibrio cholerae isolates

We selected three of the households with asymptomatic infected contacts (households 56, 57, and 58) for within-patient diversity analysis using multiple *V. cholerae* colonies per individual. Each index case was sampled on the day of presentation to the icddr, and asymptomatic contacts positive for *V. cholerae* were sampled on the following day, except for one contact (household 58, contact 02). This individual was only positive on day 4 following presentation of the index case, and we collected samples and cultured isolates from day 4 to day 8. Stool samples collected from three index cases and their respective infected contacts were streaked onto thiosulfate-citrate-bile salts-sucrose agar (TCBS), a medium selective for *V. cholerae*. After overnight incubation, individual colonies were inoculated into 5 ml Luria-Bertani broth and grown at 37 °C overnight. For each colony, 1 ml of broth culture was stored at -80 °C with 30% glycerol until DNA extraction. We used the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit, using 1.5 ml bacteria grown in LB media, to extract the genomic DNA. In order to obtain pure gDNA templates, we performed a RNase treatment followed by a purification with the MoBio PowerClean Pro DNA Clean-Up Kit.

Whole genome sequencing and preprocessing

We prepared 48 sequencing libraries using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA library prep kit (New England Biolabs) and sequenced them on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 (paired-end 125 bp)

platform at the Genome Québec sequencing platform (McGill University). Sequencing fastq files were quality checked with FastQC, and Kraken2 was used to test for potential contamination with other bacterial species (Wood, Lu, et Langmead 2019).

Variant calling and phylogeny

We mapped the reads for each sample to the MJ-1236 reference genome and called single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs, fixed within patients) and single nucleotide variants (SNVs, variable within patients) using Snippy v.4.6.0 (Seemann 2015), with default parameters. A concatenated alignment of these core variants was generated, and an unrooted phylogenetic tree was inferred using maximum parsimony (MP) in MEGA X (Stecher, Tamura, et Kumar 2020). The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The MP tree was obtained using the Subtree-Pruning-Regrafting (SPR) algorithm with search level 1 in which the initial trees were obtained by the random addition of sequences (10 replicates).

De novo assembly and pan genome analyses

We *de novo* assembled genomes from each isolate using SPAdes v.3.11.1 on the short reads, with default parameters (Bankevich et al. 2012) and used Prokka v1.5 (Seemann 2014) to annotate them. We constructed a pan-genome from the resulting annotated assemblies using Roary v.3.13.0 (Page et al. 2015), identifying genes present in all isolates (core genome) and genes only present in some isolates (flexible genome). The flexible genome and the phylogenetic tree were visualized with Phandango v.1.1.0 (Hadfield et al. 2018).

DATA AVAILABILITY

All metagenomic sequence data are available in NCBI GenBank under BioProject PRJNA668607, and isolate genome sequences under BioProject PRJNA668606.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Operating Grant to BJS, the icddr,b Centre for Health and Population Research, grants AI103055 (J.B.H and F.Q), AI106878 (E.T.R and F.Q.), AI058935 (E.T.R, S.B.C and F.Q.), T32A1070611976 and K08AI123494 (A.A.W.), and Emerging Global Fellowship Award TW010362 (T.R.B.), from the National Institutes of Health, and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Harold Amos Medical Faculty Development Program (R.C.C.).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the people of Dhaka where our study was undertaken; to the field, laboratory and data management staff who provided a tremendous effort to make the study successful; and to the people who provided valuable support in our study. The icddr,b gratefully acknowledges the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh; Global Affairs Canada (GAC); Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida) and the Department for International Development, (UKAid). We declare that we have no competing financial interest.

ETHICAL STATEMENT

The Ethical and Research Review Committees of the icddr,b and the Institutional Review Board of MGH reviewed the study. All adult subjects provided informed consent and parents/guardians of children provided informed consent. Informed consent was written.

660 **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

661 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

662 TABLES

Patient	Total number of iSNVs	Number of non-synonymous iSNVs	Number of synonymous iSNVs	Number of intergenic iSNVs	Mean coverage	iRep value	DNA repair and proofreading genes with NS mutation
Patient A	93	6	0	87	451.3X	3.34	-
Patient B	18	7	5	6	111.4X	1.7	-
Patient C	6	0	1	5	111.8X	1.7	-
Patient D	41	22	9	10	10X	5.43	DNA polymerase II
Patient E day 1	8	2	1	5	351X	3.25	-
Patient E day 2	21	7	1	13	258X	1.23	-
Patient F	207	133	47	27	18.2X	2.48	DNA mismatch repair endonuclease MutL Nuclease SbcCD subunit C
Patient G	16	12	3	1	7.7X	1.73	-
Patient H	32	21	11	0	98.5X	4.75	Excinuclease ABC subunit UvrB
Patient I	75	55	20	0	13X	2.79	MutT/nudix family protein
Patient J	6	1	0	5	424.6X	1.84	-
Patient K	25	13	6	6	18X	1.69	Formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase mutM
Patient L	13	9	1	3	164.4X	2.67	-
Patient M	2	0	1	1	113X	2.65	-
Patient N	7	2	1	3	6.7X	2.27	-

Table 1. Within-patient *Vibrio cholerae* diversity profiles from 15 metagenomes. Mutations segregating within patients are denoted iSNVs. The number of iSNVs and mean coverage values were computed with InStrain (Olm et al. 2020) and replication rate with iRep (Brown et al. 2016).

Protein	Patient A	Patient B	<u>Patient D</u>	Patient E	<u>Patient F</u>	<u>Patient H</u>	<u>Patient I</u>	<u>Patient K</u>
Hemolysin (VC cytolsin)	NS (0.22)	-	-	3 NS (0.22-0.43)	-	-	-	-
2-aminoethylphosphonate ABC transporter ferric-binding protein	-	NS (0.05)	-	NS (0.05)	-	-	-	-
Peptidase B	-	-	NS (0.33)	-	-	-	NS (0.09)	-
Nuclease SbcCD subunit C	-	-	S (0.28)	-	NS (0.09)	-	-	-
C4-dicarboxylate transport sensor protein	-	-	-	-	NS (0.08)	-	NS (0.11)	-
zinc/cadmium/mercury/lead-transporting ATPase	-	-	-	-	NS (0.08)	-	-	NS (0.06)
hypothetical protein	-	-	-	-	NS (0.14)	-	-	NS (0.14)
hypothetical protein	-	-	-	-	NS (0.33)	NS (0.11)	-	-
Formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase mutM	-	-	-	-	S (0.18)	-	-	NS (0.08)
Phosphoribosylformylglycinamide synthase	-	-	-	-	-	-	NS (0.06)	S (0.08)

668

669 **Table 2. Set of genes with convergent mutations identified in more than one patient.** The
670 presence of a synonymous or non-synonymous iSNV in each gene and each patient is indicated
671 with S or NS, respectively, and the minor allele frequency is shown in parentheses. None of the
672 mutations were found at the same nucleotide or codon position. Patients containing possible or
673 likely hypermutators are underlined. Only genes and patients containing more than one mutated
674 gene are shown.

Type	Isolates	Mutation type	Nucleotide position in MJ-1236	Ref. nucleotide	Alt. nucleotide	Gene annotation	Metagenomic samples with same variant
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1,53054	G	A	DNA mismatch repair protein MutS	-
SNP	Households 56 and 57	S	Chr1, 198988	G	A	MSHA biogenesis protein MshQ	-
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1, 209665	G	A	MSHA biogenesis protein MshN	-
iSNV	56.00C4	NS	Chr1,374172	C	T	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 4,6-dehydratase	-
SNP	Household 58	NS	Chr1,410638	G	A	Phosphopantetheine adenylyltransferase	Patients M, N
SNP	Households 56 and 57	NS	Chr1,754154	C	T	1,4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoate polyprenyltransferase	-
SNP	Household 58	S	Chr1,841538	C	T	SSU ribosomal protein S4p	Patients L, M, N
SNP	Household 58	S	Chr1,1315021	T	G	Exported zinc metalloprotease YfgC precursor	Patients L, M, N
iSNV	58.02C1	S	Chr1,1576083	C	A	Periplasmic thiol:disulfide oxidoreductase DsbB	-
SNP	Patient 58.00	NS	Chr1,1689779	A	C	Sigma-54 dependent transcriptional regulator	-
SNP	Contacts 58.01 and 58.02	NS	Chr1,2301641	G	A	Putative membrane protein	-
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1,1744854	C	T	Hypothetical protein	-
SNP	Contacts 58.01 and 58.02	NS	Chr1,2262202	A	G	Serine transporter	-
SNP	Households 56 and 57	NS	Chr1,2301641	C	T	LacI family DNA-binding transcriptional regulator	Patients D, J, K
iSNV	57.00C5	NS	Chr1,2509468	C	T	cyclic-di-GMP-modulating response regulator	-
iSNV	56.01C1	NS	Chr1,2588496	C	T	Amidophosphoribosyltransferase	-
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1,2693815	C	T	PTS system, trehalose-specific IIB component	-
SNP	Household 58	NS	Chr1,2806858	A	T	Citrate lyase alpha chain	Patients L, M, N
iSNV	56.00C1	S	Chr1,3037471	A	G	Hypothetical protein	-
SNP	Patient 58.00	NS	Chr1,3059131	C	T	DNA polymerase V (UmuC)	-
SNP	Households 56 and 57	NS	Chr1,3095039	G	A	Outer membrane protein OmpU	Patients D, F, G, I, J, K
SNP	Contacts 58.01 and 58.02	S	Chr1,3105102	C	T	Glutamate-1-semialdehyde aminotransferase	-
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1,528409	C	T	Vibriolysin, extracellular zinc protease	-

675 **Table 3. Nucleotide changes identified in core genes of the *V. cholerae* isolates from index**

676 **cases (56.00, 57.00 and 58.00) and their asymptomatic contacts. Genome position is according**

677 to the MJ-1236 reference genome (CP001485.1, CP001486.1). Mutations segregating within
678 patients are denoted iSNVs; mutations fixed between patients are denoted SNP. Patient allele
679 frequency shows the allele frequency of the alternative (minor) allele. Ref=Reference allele;
680 Alt=Alternative allele. NS=non-synonymous; S=synonymous. Chr1=chromosome 1;
681 Chr2=chromosome
682

683 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**

684 **Figure S1. Minor allele frequency distributions for iSNVs in 15 metagenomic samples.**

685 Allele frequencies and mean coverage values (cov) were computed with Instrain (Olm et al.
686 2020)

687

Figure S2. iSNVs numbers are not linked to depth of metagenomic read coverage (A) or replication rate (B). Patients with strong hypermutation phenotypes are represented in red, weak hypermutation phenotypes in orange, and other samples in yellow.

693

Figure S3. Functional categories of genes containing within-patient variants (iSNVs). The total number of synonymous and non-synonymous iSNVs in different functional categories was represented for all patients (A), for the 5 patients with hypermutation phenotypes (B), and for the 9 patients without hypermutation phenotypes (C). Categories are ranked in descending order of the number of non-synonymous iSNVs.

REFERENCES

- Alcala A, Ramirez G, Solis A, Kim Y, Tan K, Luna O, Nguyen K, et al. 2020. « Structural and Functional Characterization of Three Type B and C Chloramphenicol Acetyltransferases from *Vibrio* Species ». *Protein Science : A Publication of the Protein Society*. Protein Sci. mars 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3793>.
- Ali, Mohammad, Allyson R. Nelson, Anna Lena Lopez, et David A. Sack. 2015. « Updated Global Burden of Cholera in Endemic Countries ». Édité par Justin V. Remais. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 9 (6): e0003832. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003832>.
- Alneberg, Johannes, Brynjar Smári Bjarnason, Ino de Bruijn, Melanie Schirmer, Joshua Quick, Umer Z Ijaz, Leo Lahti, Nicholas J Loman, Anders F Andersson, et Christopher Quince. 2014. « Binning Metagenomic Contigs by Coverage and Composition ». *Nature Methods* 11 (11): 1144–1146. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3103>.
- Bachmann, Nathan L., Rebecca J. Rockett, Verlaïne Joy Timms, et Vitali Sintchenko. 2018. « Advances in Clinical Sample Preparation for Identification and Characterization of Bacterial Pathogens Using Metagenomics ». *Frontiers in Public Health* 6 (décembre). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00363>.
- Bankevich, Anton, Sergey Nurk, Dmitry Antipov, Alexey A Gurevich, Mikhail Dvorkin, Alexander S Kulikov, Valery M Lesin, et al. 2012. « SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing ». *Journal of Computational Biology* 19 (5): 455–477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>.
- Brenzinger, Susanne, Lizah T. van der Aart, Gilles P. van Wezel, Jean-Marie Lacroix, Timo Glatter, et Ariane Briegel. 2019. « Structural and Proteomic Changes in Viable but Non-culturable *Vibrio cholerae* ». *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00793>.
- Brown, Christopher T., Matthew R. Olm, Brian C. Thomas, et Jillian F. Banfield. 2016. « Measurement of Bacterial Replication Rates in Microbial Communities ». *Nature Biotechnology* 34 (12): 1256–63. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3704>.
- Camacho, Anton, Malika Bouhenia, Reema Alyusfi, Abdulhakeem Alkohani, Munna Abdulla Mohammed Naji, Xavier de Radiguès, Abdinasir M Abubakar, et al. 2018. « Cholera Epidemic in Yemen, 2016–18: An Analysis of Surveillance Data ». *The Lancet Global Health* 6 (6): e680–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30230-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30230-4).
- Chu, Nathaniel D., Sean A. Clarke, Sonia Timberlake, Martin F. Polz, Alan D. Grossman, et Eric J. Alm. 2017. « A Mobile Element in MutS Drives Hypermutation in a Marine *Vibrio* ». *MBio* 8 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02045-16>.
- Croucher, Nicholas J., Simon R. Harris, Christophe Fraser, Michael A. Quail, John Burton, Mark van der Linden, Lesley McGee, et al. 2011. « Rapid Pneumococcal Evolution in Response to Clinical Interventions ». *Science* 331 (6016): 430–34. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1198545>.

- Darmon, Elise, Manuel A. Lopez-Vernaza, Anne C. Helness, Amanda Borking, Emily Wilson, Zubin Thacker, Laura Wardrope, et David R. F. Leach. 2007. « SbcCD Regulation and Localization in Escherichia Coli ». *Journal of Bacteriology* 189 (18): 6686-94. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00489-07>.
- Denamur, Erick, Guillaume Lecointre, Pierre Darlu, Olivier Tenaillon, Cécile Acquaviva, Chalom Sayada, Ivana Sunjevaric, et al. 2000. « Evolutionary Implications of the Frequent Horizontal Transfer of Mismatch Repair Genes ». *Cell* 103 (5): 711-21. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)00175-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00175-6).
- Didelot, Xavier, Christophe Fraser, Jennifer Gardy, et Caroline Colijn. 2017. « Genomic Infectious Disease Epidemiology in Partially Sampled and Ongoing Outbreaks ». *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34 (4): 997-1007. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw275>.
- Didelot, Xavier, Bo Pang, Zheming Zhou, Angela McCann, Peixiang Ni, Dongfang Li, Mark Achtman, et Biao Kan. 2015. « The Role of China in the Global Spread of the Current Cholera Pandemic ». *PLOS Genetics* 11 (3): e1005072. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005072>.
- Didelot, Xavier, A Sarah Walker, Tim E Peto, Derrick W Crook, et Daniel J Wilson. 2016. « Within-Host Evolution of Bacterial Pathogens. » *Nature Publishing Group* 14 (3): 150–162. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2015.13>.
- Domman, Daryl, Fahima Chowdhury, Ashraful I. Khan, Matthew J. Dorman, Ankur Mutreja, Muhammad Ikhtear Uddin, Anik Paul, et al. 2018. « Defining Endemic Cholera at Three Levels of Spatiotemporal Resolution within Bangladesh ». *Nature Genetics* 50 (7): 951-55. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0150-8>.
- Eisenstark, Abraham. 2010. « Genetic Diversity among Offspring from Archived Salmonella enterica ssp. enterica Seroovar Typhimurium (Demerec Collection): In Search of Survival Strategies ». *Annual Review of Microbiology* 64 (1): 277-92. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.091208.073614>.
- Eren, A. Murat, Özcan C. Esen, Christopher Quince, Joseph H. Vineis, Hilary G. Morrison, Mitchell L. Sogin, et Tom O. Delmont. 2015. « Anvi'o: An Advanced Analysis and Visualization Platform for 'omics Data ». *PeerJ* 3: e1319. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1319>.
- Eren, A. Murat, Joseph H. Vineis, Hilary G. Morrison, et Mitchell L. Sogin. 2013. « A Filtering Method to Generate High Quality Short Reads Using Illumina Paired-End Technology ». *PLOS ONE* 8 (6): e66643. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066643>.
- Foster, P. L., G. Gudmundsson, J. M. Trimarchi, H. Cai, et M. F. Goodman. 1995. « Proofreading-Defective DNA Polymerase II Increases Adaptive Mutation in Escherichia Coli ». *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 92 (17): 7951-55. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.17.7951>.
- Funchain, Pauline, Annie Yeung, Jean Lee Stewart, Rose Lin, Malgorzata M. Slupska, et Jeffrey

- 807 H. Miller. 2000. « The Consequences of Growth of a Mutator Strain of Escherichia Coli as
808 Measured by Loss of Function Among Multiple Gene Targets and Loss of Fitness ». *Genetics*
809 154 (3): 959-70.
- 810
- 811 Gardy, Jennifer L., et Nicholas J. Loman. 2018. « Towards a Genomics-Informed, Real-Time,
812 Global Pathogen Surveillance System ». *Nature Reviews Genetics* 19 (1): 9-20.
813 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.88>.
- 814
- 815 Garud, Nandita R., Benjamin H. Good, Oskar Hallatschek, et Katherine S. Pollard. 2019.
816 « Evolutionary Dynamics of Bacteria in the Gut Microbiome within and across Hosts ». *PLOS*
817 *Biology* 17 (1): e3000102. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000102>.
- 818
- 819 Giraud, Antoine, Ivan Matic, Olivier Tenaillon, Antonio Clara, Miroslav Radman, Michel Fons,
820 et François Taddei. 2001. « Costs and Benefits of High Mutation Rates: Adaptive Evolution of
821 Bacteria in the Mouse Gut ». *Science* 291 (5513): 2606-8.
822 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1056421>.
- 823
- 824 Hadfield, James, Nicholas J. Croucher, Richard J. Goater, Khalil Abudahab, David M. Aanensen,
825 et Simon R. Harris. 2018. « Phandango: An Interactive Viewer for Bacterial Population
826 Genomics ». *Bioinformatics* 34 (2): 292-93. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx610>.
- 827
- 828 Harris, Jason B., Ashraful I. Khan, Regina C. LaRocque, David J. Dorer, Fahima Chowdhury,
829 Abu S. G. Faruque, David A. Sack, Edward T. Ryan, Firdausi Qadri, et Stephen B. Calderwood.
830 2005. « Blood Group, Immunity, and Risk of Infection with Vibrio Cholerae in an Area of
831 Endemicity ». *Infection and Immunity* 73 (11): 7422-27. [https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.11.7422-](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.11.7422-7427.2005)
832 [7427.2005](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.11.7422-7427.2005).
- 833
- 834 Harris, Jason B., Regina C. LaRocque, Fahima Chowdhury, Ashraful I. Khan, Tanya
835 Logvinenko, Abu S. G. Faruque, Edward T. Ryan, Firdausi Qadri, et Stephen B. Calderwood.
836 2008. « Susceptibility to Vibrio cholerae Infection in a Cohort of Household Contacts of Patients
837 with Cholera in Bangladesh ». *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2 (4).
838 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000221>.
- 839
- 840 Hyatt, Doug, Gwo-Liang Chen, Philip F. LoCascio, Miriam L. Land, Frank W. Larimer, et Loren
841 J. Hauser. 2010. « Prodigal: Prokaryotic Gene Recognition and Translation Initiation Site
842 Identification ». *BMC Bioinformatics* 11 (1): 119. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119>.
- 843
- 844 Jolivet-Gougeon, Anne, Bela Kovacs, Sandrine Le Gall-David, Hervé Le Bars, Latifa
845 Bousarghin, Martine Bonnaure-Mallet, Bernard Lobel, François Guillé, Claude-James Soussy, et
846 Peter Tenke. 2011. « Bacterial hypermutation: clinical implications ». *Journal of Medical*
847 *Microbiology*, 60 (5): 563-73. <https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.024083-0>.
- 848
- 849 Kang, Dongwan, Feng Li, Edward S Kirton, Ashleigh Thomas, Rob S Egan, Hong An, et Zhong
850 Wang. 2019. « MetaBAT 2: An Adaptive Binning Algorithm for Robust and Efficient Genome
851 Reconstruction from Metagenome Assemblies ». Preprint. PeerJ Preprints.
852 <https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27522v1>.
- 853 Kendall, Emily A, Fahima Chowdhury, Yasmin Begum, Ashraful I Khan, Shan Li, James H

- Thierer, Jason Bailey, et al. 2010. « Relatedness of *Vibrio Cholerae* O1/O139 Isolates from Patients and Their Household Contacts, Determined by Multilocus Variable-Number Tandem-Repeat Analysis. » *Journal of Bacteriology* 192 (17): 4367–4376. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00698-10>.
- Kim, Daehwan, Li Song, Florian P. Breitwieser, et Steven L. Salzberg. 2016. « Centrifuge: Rapid and Sensitive Classification of Metagenomic Sequences ». *Genome Research* 26 (12): 1721–1729. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.210641.116>.
- King, Aaron A., Edward L. Ionides, Mercedes Pascual, et Menno J. Bouma. 2008. « Inapparent Infections and Cholera Dynamics ». *Nature* 454 (7206): 877-80. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07084>.
- Kunkel, Thomas A., et Dorothy A. Erie. 2005. « Dna mismatch repair ». *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 74 (1): 681-710. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.74.082803.133243>.
- Labat, Françoise, Olivier Pradillon, Louis Garry, Michel Peuchmaur, Bruno Fantin, et Erick Denamur. 2005. « Mutator Phenotype Confers Advantage in *Escherichia Coli* Chronic Urinary Tract Infection Pathogenesis ». *FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology* 44 (3): 317-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsim.2005.01.003>.
- Langmead, Ben, et Steven L Salzberg. 2012. « Fast Gapped-Read Alignment with Bowtie 2. » *Nature Methods* 9 (4): 357–359. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923>.
- Lee, Seung-Joo, Rou-Jia Sung, et Gregory L. Verdine. 2019. « Mechanism of DNA Lesion Homing and Recognition by the Uvr Nucleotide Excision Repair System ». Research article. Research. 2019. <https://spj.sciencemag.org/research/2019/5641746/>.
- Levade, Inès, Morteza M. Saber, Firas Midani, Fahima Chowdhury, Ashraful I. Khan, Yasmin A. Begum, Edward T. Ryan, et al. 2020. « Predicting *Vibrio Cholerae* Infection and Disease Severity Using Metagenomics in a Prospective Cohort Study ». *BioRxiv*, février, 2020.02.25.960930. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.25.960930>.
- Levade, Inès, Yves Terrat, Jean-Baptiste Leducq, Ana A. Weil, Leslie M. Mayo-Smith, Fahima Chowdhury, Ashraful I. Khan, et al. 2017. « *Vibrio cholerae* genomic diversity within and between patients ». *Microbial Genomics* 3 (12). <https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000142>.
- Li, Dinghua, Ruibang Luo, Chi-Man Liu, Chi-Ming Leung, Hing-Fung Ting, Kunihiko Sadakane, Hiroshi Yamashita, et Tak-Wah Lam. 2016. « MEGAHIT v1.0: A Fast and Scalable Metagenome Assembler Driven by Advanced Methodologies and Community Practices ». *Methods (San Diego, Calif.)* 102: 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.02.020>.
- Lieberman, Tami D, Kelly B Flett, Idan Yelin, Thomas R Martin, Alexander J McAdam, Gregory P Priebe, et Roy Kishony. 2013. « Genetic variation of a bacterial pathogen within individuals with cystic fibrosis provides a record of selective pressures ». *Nature Genetics* 46 (1): 82-87. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2848>.

- 901 Lovett, Susan T. 2011. « The DNA exonucleases of Escherichia coli ». *EcoSal Plus* 4 (2).
- 902 <https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.4.4.7>.
- 903
- 904 Lu, A.-Lien, Xianghong Li, Yesong Gu, Patrick M. Wright, et Dau-Yin Chang. 2001. « Repair of
- 905 Oxidative DNA Damage ». *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics* 35 (2): 141-70.
- 906 <https://doi.org/10.1385/CBB:35:2:141>.
- 907
- 908 Madden, Tom. 2003. *The BLAST Sequence Analysis Tool. The NCBI Handbook [Internet]*.
- 909 National Center for Biotechnology Information (US).
- 910 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK21097/>.
- 911
- 912 Marvig, Rasmus Lykke, Helle Krogh Johansen, Søren Molin, et Lars Jelsbak. 2013. « Genome
- 913 Analysis of a Transmissible Lineage of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Reveals Pathoadaptive
- 914 Mutations and Distinct Evolutionary Paths of Hypermutators ». *PLOS Genetics* 9 (9): e1003741.
- 915 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003741>.
- 916
- 917 McDonald, John H., et Martin Kreitman. 1991. « Adaptive Protein Evolution at the Adh Locus in
- 918 Drosophila ». *Nature* 351 (6328): 652-54. <https://doi.org/10.1038/351652a0>.
- 919
- 920 Midani, Firas S., Ana A. Weil, Fahima Chowdhury, Yasmin A. Begum, Ashraful I. Khan, Meti
- 921 D. Debela, Heather K. Durand, et al. 2018. « Human Gut Microbiota Predicts Susceptibility to
- 922 Vibrio Cholerae Infection ». *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 218 (4): 645-53.
- 923 <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy192>.
- 924
- 925 Nayfach, Stephen, Beltran Rodriguez-Mueller, Nandita Garud, et Katherine S. Pollard. 2016.
- 926 « An Integrated Metagenomics Pipeline for Strain Profiling Reveals Novel Patterns of Bacterial
- 927 Transmission and Biogeography ». *Genome Research* 26 (11): 1612-25.
- 928 <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.201863.115>.
- 929
- 930 Nelson, Eric J., Jason B. Harris, J. Glenn Morris, Stephen B. Calderwood, et Andrew Camilli.
- 931 2009. « Cholera transmission: the host, pathogen and bacteriophage dynamic ». *Nature Reviews*
- 932 *Microbiology* 7 (10): 693-702. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2204>.
- 933
- 934 O'Hara, Brendan J., Zachary K. Barth, Amelia C. McKitterick, et Kimberley D. Seed. 2017. « A
- 935 Highly Specific Phage Defense System Is a Conserved Feature of the Vibrio Cholerae
- 936 Mobilome ». *PLOS Genetics* 13 (6): e1006838. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006838>.
- 937
- 938 Oliver, A., et A. Mena. 2010. « Bacterial Hypermutation in Cystic Fibrosis, Not Only for
- 939 Antibiotic Resistance ». *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 16 (7): 798-808.
- 940 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03250.x>.
- 941
- 942 Olivier, Verena, G. Kenneth Haines, Yanping Tan, et Karla J. Fullner Satchell. 2007.
- 943 « Hemolysin and the Multifunctional Autoprocessing RTX Toxin Are Virulence Factors during
- 944 Intestinal Infection of Mice with Vibrio Cholerae El Tor O1 Strains ». *Infection and Immunity* 75
- 945 (10): 5035-42. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00506-07>.
- 946

- 947 Olm, Matthew R., Alexander Crits-Christoph, Keith Bouma-Gregson, Brian Firek, Michael J.
948 Morowitz, et Jillian F. Banfield. 2020. « InStrain Enables Population Genomic Analysis from
949 Metagenomic Data and Rigorous Detection of Identical Microbial Strains ». *BioRxiv*, janvier,
950 2020.01.22.915579. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.22.915579>.
951
- 952 Olson, Rich, et Eric Gouaux. 2005. « Crystal Structure of the Vibrio Cholerae Cytolysin (VCC)
953 Pro-Toxin and Its Assembly into a Heptameric Transmembrane Pore ». *Journal of Molecular*
954 *Biology* 350 (5): 997-1016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.05.045>.
955
- 956 Page, Andrew J., Carla A. Cummins, Martin Hunt, Vanessa K. Wong, Sandra Reuter, Matthew
957 T.G. Holden, Maria Fookes, Daniel Falush, Jacqueline A. Keane, et Julian Parkhill. 2015.
958 « Roary: Rapid Large-Scale Prokaryote Pan Genome Analysis ». *Bioinformatics* 31 (22):
959 3691-93. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421>.
960
- 961 Parks, Donovan H., Michael Imelfort, Connor T. Skennerton, Philip Hugenholtz, et Gene W.
962 Tyson. 2015. « CheckM: Assessing the Quality of Microbial Genomes Recovered from Isolates,
963 Single Cells, and Metagenomes ». *Genome Research* 25 (7): 1043-55.
964 <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114>.
965
- 966 Phelps, Matthew D., Lone Simonsen, et Peter K. M. Jensen. 2019. « Individual and Household
967 Exposures Associated with Cholera Transmission in Case–Control Studies: A Systematic
968 Review ». *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 24 (10): 1151-68.
969 <https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13293>.
970
- 971 Quince, Christopher, Alan W Walker, Jared T Simpson, Nicholas J Loman, et Nicola Segata.
972 2017. « Shotgun Metagenomics, from Sampling to Analysis ». *Nature Biotechnology* 35 (9):
973 833-44. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3935>.
974
- 975 Ram, Geeta, John Chen, Krishan Kumar, Hope F. Ross, Carles Ubeda, Priyadarshan K. Damle,
976 Kristin D. Lane, José R. Penadés, Gail E. Christie, et Richard P. Novick. 2012. « Staphylococcal
977 Pathogenicity Island Interference with Helper Phage Reproduction Is a Paradigm of Molecular
978 Parasitism ». *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (40): 16300-305.
979 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204615109>.
980
- 981 Satchell, Karla J. F., Christopher J. Jones, Jennifer Wong, Jessica Queen, Shivani Agarwal, et
982 Fitnat H. Yildiz. 2016. « Phenotypic Analysis Reveals That the 2010 Haiti Cholera Epidemic Is
983 Linked to a Hypervirulent Strain ». *Infection and Immunity* 84 (9): 2473-81.
984 <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00189-16>.
985
- 986 Scholz, Matthias, Doyle V. Ward, Edoardo Pasolli, Thomas Tolio, Moreno Zolfo, Francesco
987 Asnicar, Duy Tin Truong, Adrian Tett, Ardythe L. Morrow, et Nicola Segata. 2016. « Strain-
988 Level Microbial Epidemiology and Population Genomics from Shotgun Metagenomics ». *Nature*
989 *Methods* 13 (5): 435-38. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3802>.
990
- 991 Seed, Kimberley D., Kip L. Bodi, Andrew M. Kropinski, Hans-Wolfgang Ackermann, Stephen
992 B. Calderwood, Firdausi Qadri, et Andrew Camilli. 2011. « Evidence of a Dominant Lineage of

Vibrio Cholerae-Specific Lytic Bacteriophages Shed by Cholera Patients over a 10-Year Period in Dhaka, Bangladesh ». *MBio* 2 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00334-10>.

Seed, Kimberley D., Shah M. Faruque, John J. Mekalanos, Stephen B. Calderwood, Firdausi Qadri, et Andrew Camilli. 2012. « Phase Variable O Antigen Biosynthetic Genes Control Expression of the Major Protective Antigen and Bacteriophage Receptor in *Vibrio cholerae* O1 ». Édité par Karla J. F. Satchell. *PLoS Pathogens* 8 (9): e1002917. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002917>.

Seed, Kimberley D., David W. Lazinski, Stephen B. Calderwood, et Andrew Camilli. 2013. « A Bacteriophage Encodes Its Own CRISPR/Cas Adaptive Response to Evade Host Innate Immunity ». *Nature* 494 (7438): 489-91. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11927>.

Seed, Kimberley D., Minmin Yen, B. Jesse Shapiro, Isabelle J. Hilaire, Richelle C. Charles, Jessica E. Teng, Louise C. Ivers, Jacques Boncy, Jason B. Harris, et Andrew Camilli. 2014. « Evolutionary Consequences of Intra-Patient Phage Predation on Microbial Populations. » *ELife* 3 (août): e03497. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03497>.

Seemann, Torsten. 2014. « Prokka: Rapid Prokaryotic Genome Annotation ». *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 30 (14): 2068–2069. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153>.
———. 2015. « Snippy: fast bacterial variant calling from NGS reads ». 2015. <https://github.com/tseemann/snippy>.

Sieber, Christian M. K., Alexander J. Probst, Allison Sharrar, Brian C. Thomas, Matthias Hess, Susannah G. Tringe, et Jillian F. Banfield. 2018. « Recovery of Genomes from Metagenomes via a Dereplication, Aggregation and Scoring Strategy ». *Nature Microbiology* 3 (7): 836-43. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0171-1>.

Stecher, Glen, Koichiro Tamura, et Sudhir Kumar. 2020. « Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) for MacOS ». *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 37 (4): 1237-39. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz312>.

The UniProt Consortium. 2019. « UniProt: A Worldwide Hub of Protein Knowledge ». *Nucleic Acids Research* 47 (D1): D506-15. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049>.

Tischler, Anna D., et Andrew Camilli. 2004. « Cyclic diguanylate (c-di-GMP) regulates *Vibrio cholerae* biofilm formation ». *Molecular microbiology* 53 (3): 857-69. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04155.x>.

Vezzulli, L., C. Grande, G. Tassistro, I. Brettar, M. G. Höfle, R. P. A. Pereira, D. Mushi, A. Pallavicini, P. Vassallo, et C. Pruzzo. 2017. « Whole-Genome Enrichment Provides Deep Insights into *Vibrio Cholerae* Metagenome from an African River ». *Microbial Ecology* 73 (3): 734-38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0902-x>.

Wang, Hui, Xiaolin Xing, Jipeng Wang, Bo Pang, Ming Liu, Jessie Larios-Valencia, Tao Liu, et al. 2018. « Hypermutation-Induced in Vivo Oxidative Stress Resistance Enhances *Vibrio Cholerae* Host Adaptation ». *PLOS Pathogens* 14 (10): e1007413. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007413>.

- 1040
- 1041 Weil, Ana A., Mohammad Arifuzzaman, Taufiqur R. Bhuiyan, Regina C. LaRocque, Aaron M.
- 1042 Harris, Emily A. Kendall, Azim Hossain, et al. 2009. « Memory T-Cell Responses to Vibrio
- 1043 Cholerae O1 Infection ». *Infection and Immunity* 77 (11): 5090-96.
- 1044 <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00793-09>.
- 1045
- 1046 Weil, Ana A., Louise C. Ivers, et Jason B. Harris. 2011. « Cholera: Lessons from Haiti and
- 1047 Beyond ». *Current Infectious Disease Reports* 14 (1): 1-8. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-011-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-011-0221-9)
- 1048 [0221-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-011-0221-9).
- 1049
- 1050 Weil, Ana A., Ashraful I. Khan, Fahima Chowdhury, Regina C. LaRocque, A. S. G. Faruque,
- 1051 Edward T. Ryan, Stephen B. Calderwood, Firdausi Qadri, et Jason B. Harris. 2009. « Clinical
- 1052 Outcomes in Household Contacts of Patients with Cholera in Bangladesh ». *Clinical Infectious*
- 1053 *Diseases* 49 (10): 1473-79. <https://doi.org/10.1086/644779>.
- 1054
- 1055 Weil, Ana A., et Edward T. Ryan. 2018. « Cholera: Recent Updates ». *Current Opinion in*
- 1056 *Infectious Diseases* 31 (5): 455–461. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000474>.
- 1057 Wood, Derrick E., Jennifer Lu, et Ben Langmead. 2019. « Improved Metagenomic Analysis with
- 1058 Kraken 2 ». *Genome Biology* 20 (1): 257. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0>.
- 1059
- 1060 Wu, Yu-Wei, Blake A. Simmons, et Steven W. Singer. 2016. « MaxBin 2.0: An Automated
- 1061 Binning Algorithm to Recover Genomes from Multiple Metagenomic Datasets ». *Bioinformatics*
- 1062 32 (4): 605–607. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv638>.
- 1063
- 1064 Zeibell, Krystle, Sharon Aguila, Vivian Yan Shi, Andrea Chan, Hanjing Yang, et Jeffrey H.
- 1065 Miller. 2007. « Mutagenesis and Repair in Bacillus Anthracis: The Effect of Mutators ». *Journal*
- 1066 *of Bacteriology* 189 (6): 2331-38. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01656-06>.
- 1067
- 1068 Zhao, Shijie, Tami D. Lieberman, Mathilde Poyet, Kathryn M. Kauffman, Sean M. Gibbons,
- 1069 Mathieu Groussin, Ramnik J. Xavier, et Eric J. Alm. 2019. « Adaptive Evolution within Gut
- 1070 Microbiomes of Healthy People ». *Cell Host & Microbe* 25 (5): 656-667.e8.
- 1071 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2019.03.007>.
- 1072
- 1073