1 Metagenomics workflow for hybrid assembly, differential 2 coverage binning, transcriptomics and pathway analysis 3 (MUFFIN)

4 Renaud Van Damme^{1,2}, Martin Hölzer⁴, Adrian Viehweger^{3,4}, Bettina Müller¹, Erik Bongcam-
5 Rudloff², Christian Brandt^{2,5}

6
7 1. Department of Molecular Sciences, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

8 2. Dept. Animal Breeding and Genetics, Bioinformatics section. Swedish University of Agricultural,
9 Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

10 3. Department of Medical Microbiology, University Hospital Leipzig, Germany

11 4. RNA Bioinformatics and High-Throughput Analysis, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Jena,
12 Germany

13 5. Institute for Infectious Diseases and Infection Control, Jena University Hospital, Jena, Germany
14

15 Abstract

16 Metagenomics has redefined many areas of microbiology. However, metagenome-
17 assembled genomes (MAGs) are often fragmented, primarily when sequencing was
18 performed with short reads. Recent long-read sequencing technologies promise to improve
19 genome reconstruction. However, the integration of two different sequencing modalities
20 makes downstream analyses complex. We, therefore, developed MUFFIN, a complete
21 metagenomic workflow that uses short and long reads to produce high-quality bins and their
22 annotations. The workflow is written by using Nextflow, a workflow orchestration software, to
23 achieve high reproducibility and fast and straightforward use. This workflow also produces
24 the taxonomic classification and KEGG pathways of the bins and can be further used by
25 providing RNA-Seq data (optionally) for quantification and annotation. We tested the
26 workflow using twenty biogas reactor samples and assessed the capacity of MUFFIN to
27 process and output relevant files needed to analyze the microbial community and their
28 function. MUFFIN produces functional pathway predictions and if provided *de novo* transcript
29 annotations across the metagenomic sample and for each bin.

30 Author Summary

31 RVD did the development and design of MUFFIN and wrote the first draft; BM and EBR did
32 the critical reading and correction of the manuscript; MH did the critical reading of the
33 manuscript and the general adjustments for the metagenomic workflow; AV did the critical
34 reading of the manuscript and adjustments for the taxonomic classifications. CB supervised
35 the project, did the workflow design, helped with the implementation, and revised the
36 manuscript.

37 Introduction

38 Metagenomics is widely used to analyze the composition, structure, and dynamics of
39 microbial communities, as it provides deep insights into uncultivable organisms and their
40 relationship to each other ¹⁻⁵. In this context, whole metagenome sequencing is mainly
41 performed using short-read sequencing technologies, predominantly provided by Illumina.
42 Not surprisingly, the vast majority of tools and workflows for the analysis of metagenomic
43 samples are designed around short reads. However, long-read sequencing technologies
44 such as provided by PacBio or Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) retrieve genomes from
45 metagenomic datasets with higher completeness and less contamination ⁶. The long-read
46 information bridges gaps in a short-read-only assembly that often occur due to intra- and
47 interspecies repeats ⁶. Complete viral genomes can be already identified from environmental
48 samples without any assembly step via nanopore-based sequencing ⁷. Combined with a
49 reduction in cost per gigabase ⁸ and an increase in data output, the technologies for
50 sequencing long reads quickly became suitable for metagenomic analysis ⁹⁻¹². In particular,
51 with the MinION, ONT offers mobile and cost-effective sequencing device for long reads that
52 paves the way for the real-time analysis of metagenomic samples. Currently, the combination
53 of both worlds (long reads and high-precision short reads) allows the reconstruction of more
54 complete and more accurate metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) ⁶.

55 One of the main challenges and bottlenecks of current metagenome sequencing studies is
56 the orchestration of various computational tools into stable and reproducible workflows to

analyze the data. A recent study from 2019 involving 24,490 bioinformatics software resources showed that 26 % of all these resources are not currently online accessible¹³. Among 99 randomly selected tools, 49 % were deemed 'difficult to install,' and 28 % ultimately failed the installation procedure. For a large-scale metagenomics study, various tools are needed to analyze the data comprehensively. Thus, already during the installation procedure, various issues arise related to missing system libraries, conflicting dependencies and environments or operating system incompatibilities. Even more complicating, metagenomic workflows are computing intense and need to be compatible with high-performance compute clusters (HPCs), and thus different workload managers such as SLURM or LSF. We combined the workflow manager Nextflow¹⁴ with virtualization software (so-called 'containers') to generate reproducible results in various working environments and allow full parallelization of the workload on a higher degree.

Several workflows for metagenomic analyses have been published, including MetaWRAP(v1.2.1)¹⁵, Anvi'o¹⁶, SAMSA2¹⁷, Humann¹⁸, or MG-Rast¹⁹. Unlike those, MUFFIN allows for a hybrid metagenomic approach combining the strengths of short and long reads. It ensures reproducibility through the use of a workflow manager and reliance on either install recipes (Conda²⁰) or containers (Docker²¹).

Design and implementation

MUFFIN integrates state-of-the-art bioinformatic tools via Conda recipes or Docker containers for the processing of metagenomic sequences in a Nextflow workflow environment (Figure 1). MUFFIN executes three steps subsequently or separately if intermediate results, such as MAGs, are available. As a result, a more flexible workflow execution is possible. The three steps represent common metagenomic analysis tasks and are summarized in Figure 1:

1. Assemble: Hybrid assembly and binning
2. Classify: Bin quality control and taxonomic assessment

83 3. Annotate: Bin annotation and KEGG pathway summary

84 The workflow takes paired-end Illumina reads (short reads) and nanopore-based reads (long
85 reads) as input for the assembly and binning and allows for additional user-provided read
86 sets for differential coverage binning. Differential coverage binning facilitates genome bins
87 with higher completeness than other currently used methods²². Step 2 will be executed
88 automatically after the assembly and binning procedure or can be executed independently by
89 providing MUFFIN a directory containing MAGs in FASTA format. In step 3, paired-end RNA-
90 Seq data can be optionally supplemented to improve the annotation of bins.

91 On completion, MUFFIN provides various outputs such as the MAGs, KEGG pathways, and
92 bin quality/annotations. Additionally, all mandatory databases are automatically downloaded
93 and stored in the working directory or can be alternatively provided via an input flag.

94

95 *Figure 1: Simplified overview of the MUFFIN workflow. All three steps (Assemble, Classify, Annotate) from top to*
 96 *bottom are shown. The RNA-Seq data for Step 3 (Annotate) is optional.*

97 Step 1 - Assemble: Hybrid assembly and binning

98 The first step (**Assembly and binning**), uses metagenomic nanopore-based long reads and

99 Illumina paired-end short reads to obtain high-quality and highly complete bins. The short-

100 read quality control is operated using fastp (v0.20.0)²³. Optionally, Filtlong (v0.2.0)²⁴ can be

used to discard long reads below a length of 1000 bp²⁴. The hybrid assembly can be performed according to two principles, which differ substantially in the read set to begin with. The default approach starts from a short-read assembly where contigs are bridged via the long reads using metaSPAdes (v3.13.1)²⁵⁻²⁷. Alternatively, MUFFIN can be executed starting from a long-read-only assembly using metaFlye (v2.6)^{28,29} followed by polishing the assembly with the long reads using Racon (v1.4.7)³⁰ and medaka (v0.11.0)³¹ and finalizing the error correction by incorporating the short reads using multiple rounds of Pilon (v1.23)³².

Binning is the most crucial step during metagenomic analysis. Therefore, MUFFIN combines three different binning software tools, respectively CONCOCT (v1.0.0)³³, MaxBin2 (v2.2.4)³⁴, and MetaBAT2 (v2.14)³⁵ and refine these bins via MetaWRAP (v1.2.1)¹⁵. The user can provide additional read data sets (short or long reads) to perform automatically differential coverage binning to assign contigs to their bins better.

Moreover, an additional reassembly of bins has shown the capacity to increase the completeness and N50 while decreasing the contamination of the bins¹⁵. Therefore, MUFFIN allows for an optional reassembly to improve the continuity of the MAGs further. This reassembly is performed by retrieving the reads belonging to one bin and doing an assembly with Unicycler (v0.4.8)³⁶.

To support a transparent and reproducible metagenomics workflow, all reads that cannot be mapped back to the existing high-quality bins (after the refinement) are available as an output for further analysis. These reads could be further analyzed by other tools or, e.g., used as a new input to run MUFFIN while providing other read sets for the differential coverage binning to extract additional high-quality bins.

Step 2 - Classify: Bin quality control and taxonomic assessment

In the second step (**Bin quality control and taxonomic assessment**), the quality of the bins is evaluated with CheckM (v1.0.18)³⁷ followed by assigning a taxonomic classification to the bins using sourmash (v2.0.0a10)³⁸ and the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB

release r89)³⁹. The GTDB was chosen as it contains many unculturable bacteria and archaea – this allows for monophyletic species assignments, which other databases do not assure^{40,41}. GTDB substantially improved overall downstream results⁴⁰. The user can also analyze other bin sets in this step regardless of their origin by providing a directory with multiple FASTA files (bins).

Step 3 - Annotate: Bin annotation and KEGG pathway summary

The last step of MUFFIN (**Bin annotation and output summary**) comprises the annotation of the bins using eggNOG-mapper (v2.0.1)⁴² and the eggNOG database (v5)⁴³. If RNA-Seq data of the metagenome sample is provided (Illumina, paired-end), quality control using fastp (v0.20.0)²³ and a *de novo* transcript assembly using Trinity (v2.8.5)⁴⁴ followed by a quasi-mapping transcript quantification using Salmon (v0.15.0)⁴⁵ are performed. Lastly, the transcripts are annotated using eggNOG-mapper (v2.0.1)⁴² again, followed by a parser to output the activity of the pathway graphically in relation to the sample level. The expression of low and high abundant genes present in the bins is shown. If only bin sets are provided without any RNA-Seq data, the pathways of all the bins are created based on gene presence alone. The KEGG pathway results are summarized in detail as interactive HTML files (example snippet: Figure 2).

Like step 2, this step can be directly performed with a bin set created via another workflow.

Results

We chose Nextflow for the development of our metagenomic workflow because of its direct cloud computing support (Amazon AWS, Google Life Science, Kubernetes), various ready-to-use batch schedulers (SGE, SLURM, LSF), state-of-the-art container support (Docker, Singularity) and accessibility of a widely used software package manager (Conda). Moreover, Nextflow¹⁴ provides a practical and straightforward intermediary file handling with process-specific work directories and the possibility to resume failed executions where the work ceased. Additionally, the workflow code itself is separated from the 'profile' code (which contains Docker, Conda, or cluster related code), which allows for a convenient and fast workflow adaptation to different computing clusters without touching or changing the actual workflow code.

The entire MUFFIN workflow was executed on 20 samples from the Bioproject PRJEB34573 (available at ENA or NCBI) using the Cloud Life Sciences API (google cloud) with docker containers. This metagenomic bioreactor study provides paired-end Illumina and nanopore-based data for each sample⁴¹. We used five different Illumina read sets of the same project for differential coverage binning, and the workflow runtime was less than two days for all samples. MUFFIN was able to retrieve 1122 MAGs with genome completeness of at least 70 % and contamination of less than 10 % (Figure 3). In total, MUFFIN retrieved 654 MAGs with genome completeness of over 90 %, of which 456 have less than 2% contamination out of the 20 datasets. For comparison, a recent study was using 134 publicly available datasets from different biogas reactors and retrieved 1,635 metagenome-assembled genomes with genome completeness of over 50%⁴⁶.

Exemplarily, we investigated the impact of additional re-assembly of each bin for five samples (Figure 3). The N50 was increased by an average of 6-7 fold across all samples. Twenty-six bins of the five samples had an N50 ranging between 1 to 3 Mbases. Some bins benefit more of this step as the re-assembly performance depends on the number of reads available for each bin.

184

185

186 *Figure 3: A: Quality overview of 1122 meta-assembled genomes (MAGs) by plotting size to completeness and*
 187 *coloring based on contamination level. B: N50 comparison between each bin of five selected samples from the*
 188 *Bioproject PRJEB34573 before and after individual bin reassembly.*

189 Discussion

190 The analysis of metagenomic sequencing data evolved as an emerging and promising
 191 research field to retrieve, characterize, and analyze organisms that are difficult to cultivate.
 192 There are numerous tools available for individual metagenomics analysis tasks, but they are
 193 mainly developed independently and are often difficult to install and run. The MUFFIN
 194 workflow gathers the different steps of a metagenomics analysis in an easy-to-install, highly
 195 reproducible, and scalable workflow using Nextflow which makes them easily accessible to
 196 researchers.

197

198 MUFFIN utilizes the advantages of two sequencing technologies, whereas short reads can
199 provide a better representation of low abundant species due to their higher coverage. This
200 aspect is further utilized via the final re-Assembly step after binning, which is an optional step
201 due to the additional computational burden which solely aims to improve genome continuity.
202 Another critical aspect is the full support of differential binning, for both long and short reads,
203 via a single input option. The additional coverage information from other read sets of similar
204 habitats allows for the generation of more concise bins with higher completeness and less
205 contamination because more coverage information is available for each binning tool to
206 decide which bin each contig belongs.

207 With supplied RNA-Seq data, MUFFIN is capable of enhancing the pathway results present
208 in the metagenomic sample by incorporating this data as well as the general expression level
209 of the genes. Such information is essential to further analyze a metagenomic data sets in-
210 depth, for example, to define the origin of a sample or to improve environmental parameters
211 for production reactors such as biogas reactors. Knowing whether an organism expresses a
212 gene is a crucial element in deciding whether a more detailed analysis of that organism in the
213 biotope where the sample was taken is necessary or not.

214 [Availability and future directions](#)

215 MUFFIN is an ongoing workflow project that gets further improved and adjusted. The
216 modular workflow setup of MUFFIN using Nextflow allows for fast adjustments as soon as
217 future developments in hybrid metagenomics arise, including the pre-configuration for other
218 workload managers. MUFFIN can directly benefit from the addition of new bioinformatics
219 software such as for differential expression analysis and short-read assembly that can be
220 easily plugged into the modular system of the workflow. Another improvement is the creation
221 of an advanced user and wizard user configuration file, allowing experienced users to tweak
222 all the different parameters of all the different software as desired.

MUFFIN will further benefit from different improvements, in particular by graphically comparing the generated MAGs via a phylogenetic tree. Furthermore, a convenient approach to include negative controls is under development to allow the reliable analysis of super-low abundant organisms in metagenomic samples.

MUFFIN is publicly available at <https://github.com/RVanDamme/MUFFIN> under the GNU general public license v3.0. Detailed information about the program versions used and additional information can be found in the GitHub repository. All tools used by MUFFIN are listed in the supplementary table S1. The Docker images used in MUFFIN are prebuilt and publicly available at <https://hub.docker.com/u/nanozoo>, and the GTDB formatted for sourmash(v2.0.0a10)³⁸ usage is publicly available at <https://osf.io/wxf9z/> and was created by C. Titus Brown (associate professor at UC DAVIS, <http://ivory.idyll.org/blog/2019-sourmash-lca-db-gtdb.html>).

Acknowledgment

We want to thank Hadrien Gourelé and Moritz Buck for the valuable insights into metagenomic analysis and Annotation.

References

1. Handelsman, J., Rondon, M. R., Brady, S. F., Clardy, J. & Goodman, R. M. Molecular biological access to the chemistry of unknown soil microbes: a new frontier for natural products. *Chemistry & Biology* **5**, R245–R249 (1998).
2. De, R. Metagenomics: aid to combat antimicrobial resistance in diarrhea. *Gut Pathogens* **11**, 47 (2019).
3. Mukherjee, A. & Reddy, M. S. Metatranscriptomics: an approach for retrieving novel eukaryotic genes from polluted and related environments. *3 Biotech* **10**, 71 (2020).
4. Grossart, H.-P., Massana, R., McMahon, K. D. & Walsh, D. A. Linking metagenomics to aquatic microbial ecology and biogeochemical cycles. *Limnology and Oceanography* **65**, S2–S20 (2020).

5. Carabeo-Pérez, A., Guerra-Rivera, G., Ramos-Leal, M. & Jiménez-Hernández, J. Metagenomic approaches: effective tools for monitoring the structure and functionality of microbiomes in anaerobic digestion systems. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **103**, 9379–9390 (2019).
6. Overholt, W. A. *et al.* Inclusion of Oxford Nanopore long reads improves all microbial and phage metagenome-assembled genomes from a complex aquifer system. *bioRxiv* 2019.12.18.880807 (2019) doi:10.1101/2019.12.18.880807.
7. Beaulaurier, J. *et al.* Assembly-free single-molecule nanopore sequencing recovers complete virus genomes from natural microbial communities. *bioRxiv* 619684 (2019) doi:10.1101/619684.
8. Wetterstrand, K. A. DNA Sequencing Costs: Data. www.genome.gov/sequencingcostsdata
9. Somerville, V. *et al.* Long-read based de novo assembly of low-complexity metagenome samples results in finished genomes and reveals insights into strain diversity and an active phage system. *BMC Microbiol* **19**, 143 (2019).
10. Warwick-Dugdale, J. *et al.* Long-read viral metagenomics captures abundant and microdiverse viral populations and their niche-defining genomic islands. *PeerJ* **7**, (2019).
11. Driscoll, C. B., Otten, T. G., Brown, N. M. & Dreher, T. W. Towards long-read metagenomics: complete assembly of three novel genomes from bacteria dependent on a diazotrophic cyanobacterium in a freshwater lake co-culture. *Stand Genomic Sci* **12**, (2017).
12. Suzuki, Y. *et al.* Long-read metagenomic exploration of extrachromosomal mobile genetic elements in the human gut. *Microbiome* **7**, 119 (2019).
13. Mangul, S., Martin, L. S., Eskin, E. & Blekhman, R. Improving the usability and archival stability of bioinformatics software. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 47 (2019).
14. Tommaso, P. D. *et al.* Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**, 316–319 (2017).

15. Uritskiy, G. V., DiRuggiero, J. & Taylor, J. MetaWRAP—a flexible pipeline for genome-resolved metagenomic data analysis. *Microbiome* **6**, 158 (2018).
16. Eren, A. M. *et al.* Anvi'o: an advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. *PeerJ* **3**, e1319 (2015).
17. Westreich, S. T., Treiber, M. L., Mills, D. A., Korf, I. & Lemay, D. G. SAMSA2: a standalone metatranscriptome analysis pipeline. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**, 175 (2018).
18. Abubucker, S. *et al.* Metabolic Reconstruction for Metagenomic Data and Its Application to the Human Microbiome. *PLOS Computational Biology* **8**, e1002358 (2012).
19. Meyer, F. *et al.* The metagenomics RAST server – a public resource for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of metagenomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**, 386 (2008).
20. Anaconda Software distribution. Anaconda | The World's Most Popular Data Science Platform. <https://anaconda.com> <https://www.anaconda.com/>.
21. Boettiger, C. An introduction to Docker for reproducible research. *SIGOPS Oper. Syst. Rev.* **49**, 71–79 (2015).
22. Albertsen, M. *et al.* Genome sequences of rare, uncultured bacteria obtained by differential coverage binning of multiple metagenomes. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**, 533–538 (2013).
23. Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y. & Gu, J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i884–i890 (2018).
24. Wick, R. *rrwick/Filtlong*. (2020).
25. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology* **19**, 455–477 (2012).
26. Antipov, D., Korobeynikov, A., McLean, J. S. & Pevzner, P. A. hybridSPAdes: an algorithm for hybrid assembly of short and long reads. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 1009–1015 (2016).
27. Nurk, S., Meleshko, D., Korobeynikov, A. & Pevzner, P. A. metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Res.* **27**, 824–834 (2017).

28. Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y. & Pevzner, P. A. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 540–546 (2019).
29. Kolmogorov, M., Rayko, M., Yuan, J., Pevzner, E. & Pevzner, P. metaFlye: scalable long-read metagenome assembly using repeat graphs. *bioRxiv* 637637 (2019) doi:10.1101/637637.
30. Vaser, R., Sović, I., Nagarajan, N. & Šikić, M. Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly from long uncorrected reads. *Genome Res.* **27**, 737–746 (2017).
31. *nanoporetech/medaka*. (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, 2020).
32. Pilon: An Integrated Tool for Comprehensive Microbial Variant Detection and Genome Assembly Improvement. <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0112963>.
33. Alneberg, J. *et al.* Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. *Nat Methods* **11**, 1144–1146 (2014).
34. Wu, Y.-W., Tang, Y.-H., Tringe, S. G., Simmons, B. A. & Singer, S. W. MaxBin: an automated binning method to recover individual genomes from metagenomes using an expectation-maximization algorithm. *Microbiome* **2**, 26 (2014).
35. MetaBAT, an efficient tool for accurately reconstructing single genomes from complex microbial communities [PeerJ]. <https://peerj.com/articles/1165/>.
36. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. <https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595>.
37. Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.* **25**, 1043–1055 (2015).
38. Brown, C. & Irber, L. sourmash: a library for MinHash sketching of DNA. *Journal of Open Source Software* <https://joss.theoj.org> (2016) doi:10.21105/joss.00027.
39. Parks, D. H. *et al.* A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 996–1004 (2018).

40. Méric, G., Wick, R. R., Watts, S. C., Holt, K. E. & Inouye, M. Correcting index databases improves metagenomic studies. *bioRxiv* 712166 (2019) doi:10.1101/712166.
41. Brandt, C., Bongcam-Rudloff, E. & Müller, B. *Abundance tracking by long-read nanopore sequencing of complex microbial communities in samples from 20 different biogas/wastewater plants*. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/10/21/7518> (2020) doi: 10.3390/app10217518.
42. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology Assignment by eggNOG-Mapper. *Mol Biol Evol* **34**, 2115–2122 (2017).
43. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D309–D314 (2019).
44. Haas, B. J. *et al.* De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 1494–1512 (2013).
45. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat Methods* **14**, 417–419 (2017).
46. Campanaro, S. *et al.* The anaerobic digestion microbiome: a collection of 1600 metagenome-assembled genomes shows high species diversity related to methane production. *bioRxiv* 680553 (2019) doi:10.1101/680553.

Funding Disclosure

This study was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – BR 5692/1-1 and BR 5692/1-2. This material is based upon work supported by Google Cloud.

BM was funded by FORMAS, grant number 942-2015-1008. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

357 MH is supported by the Collaborative Research Centre AquaDiva (CRC 1076 AquaDiva) of
358 the Friedrich Schiller University Jena, funded by the DFG. MH appreciates the support of the
359 Joachim Herz Foundation by the add-on fellowship for interdisciplinary life science.

360