1 Status and targets for rebuilding the three major fish stocks in 2 Lake Victoria

3 Laban Musinguzi*, Mark Olokotum & Vianny Natugonza

4 National Fisheries Resources Research Institute, P.O. Box, 343,
5 Jinja, Uganda

6 *Corresponding author: labanmusinguzi@firi.go.ug

7 8 Abstract

9 We determined fisheries management reference points for three major
10 fish stocks in Lake Victoria (Nile tilapia, Nile perch and Dagaa)
11 for Uganda and the whole lake. The aim was to ascertain stock status
12 and define reasonable objectives and targets for rebuilding to
13 sustainable levels. Dagaa was found to be healthy in Uganda and the
14 whole lake but tending to overfished status. In Uganda, the stock
15 status of Nile tilapia and Nile perch was recruitment impaired but
16 tending more towards collapsed and overfished status respectively.
17 In the whole lake, the stock status of Nile tilapia and Nile perch
18 was collapsed and overfished respectively with the latter tending
19 more towards recruitment impaired. Estimates of maximum sustainable
20 yield (MSY) showed that catches could be increased under good
21 management. Rebuilding the Nile tilapia and Nile perch stock
22 biomasses to MSY level (B_{msy}) could respectively increase the catches
23 above the current level by 9.2% and 29.5% in Uganda and by 72.8% and
24 15.1% in the whole lake. The immediate objective for fisheries
25 management should be to rebuild biomass for the Nile tilapia and
26 Nile perch stocks to B_{msy} . Elimination of illegal fishing practices
27 has proved to be effective. In addition, management needs to keep
28 catches at low levels until biomass for the stocks is $\geq B_{msy}$ for at
29 least three consecutive years.

30 Introduction

31 Uganda and Tanzania have since 2017 strengthened enforcement of
32 fisheries regulations to end illegal fishing and improve stocks of
33 Lake Victoria. With ~1 million tons of fish produced annually, Lake
34 Victoria supports the world's biggest inland fishery useful for
35 foreign exchange, employment and direct sustenance of >4 million
36 people (Marshall & Mkumbo 2011). These benefits have for a long time
37 been threatened by high fishing pressure (Njiru et al, 2007;
38 Nyamweya et al. 2020), justifying the strengthened enforcement.
39

40 The countries strengthened the enforcement by deploying their
41 respective defense forces, Fish Protection Unit (FPU) in Uganda and
42 the multisector task force in Tanzania (Mudliar, 2018; NPA, 2019).
43 These partially or fully replaced previous institutional
44 arrangements such as beach management units which were considered
45 ineffective because of corruption (Nunan et al. 2018). In Uganda,
46 the enforcement demonstrated determination to end illegal fishing
47 practices as the deployment was followed by a total stop on all
48 forms of illegalities. The ineffective institutional arrangements
49 were replaced, illegal gears and crafts destroyed, fishers forced
50 out of near shore areas.

51
52 Positive outcomes have been observed from the enforcement. Data from
53 fishery independent surveys conducted since 2017 show that the
54 biomass of Nile perch (*Lates nilotics* (Linnaeus, 1758)), the most
55 important commercial fish species in the lake has improved and was
56 at its record high since 2010 (Hydro-acoustics Regional Working
57 Group, 2019). Interestingly, 48% of the increase was recorded
58 between 2018 and 2019, with the largest increase recorded in the
59 Ugandan part followed by Tanzania. The increase in biomass was least
60 in Kenya where enforcement was not strengthened. The surveys further
61 showed that although Nile perch was still dominated by individuals
62 under the size at which recruitment to the fishery occurs, there was
63 a record increase in the proportion of fish at the preferred size.
64 These observations suggest that good management in Lake Victoria
65 can pay off.

66
67 With all due respect, the enforcement is ongoing with no
68 consideration of fisheries management reference points, lacking
69 clear management objectives and targets beyond the elimination of
70 the illegal fishing gears and practices. To contribute to effective
71 enforcement, we estimated fisheries management reference points for
72 major commercial fish species to act as a basis of adopting
73 evidence-based fisheries management objectives and targets.
74 The reference points determined for the whole lake and the Ugandan
75 part of the lake clarify on the status of the stocks before the
76 commencement of the enforcement. They are not only useful for
77 substantiating objectives and targets for the enforcement but are
78 also indispensable for evaluating its effectiveness. At the global
79 scale, this assessment is commensurate with calls to increase
80 assessment of inland fish stocks to support responsible inland
81 fisheries (Cooke et al. 2016, FAO & MSU, 2015; FAO, 2020).

82

83 **Methods**

84 **Approach and stocks assessed**

85 The reference points were based on two methods, a Monte Carlo method
 86 (CMSY) and a Bayesian state-space implementation of the Schaefer
 87 production model (BSM). A brief background to these methods is
 88 provided here while more details are available in Froese et al.
 89 (2017). The methods are built on a principal that catch from a
 90 species is produced by its biomass and productivity such that if two
 91 of the three parameters are known, production models can be used to
 92 estimate the other. The CMSY uses catch and productivity to estimate
 93 biomass. The method uses prior ranges of productivity and current
 94 biomass (B) relative to unexploited biomass (k) (B/k) at the start,
 95 intermediate and end of a time series to detect productivity and
 96 unexploited biomass pairs with corresponding biomass estimates that
 97 are compatible with observed catches. The BSM on the other hand uses
 98 catch and biomass data to estimate productivity. The methods are
 99 integrated with other empirical formulae to estimate the reference
 100 points including maximum sustainable yield (MSY), fishing mortality
 101 rate F at MSY (F_{msy}), biomass required to support MSY (B_{msy}), relative
 102 stock size (B/B_{msy}) and exploitation (F/F_{msy}).

103

104 We estimated reference points for three major stocks in Lake
 105 Victoria at two spatial scales: the whole lake and the Ugandan part
 106 of the lake. Nile perch, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758)
 107 (Nile tilapia) and *Rastrineobola argentea* (Pellegrin, 1904) locally
 108 known as Dagaa are the three major fish species supporting
 109 commercial fisheries in Lake Victoria. The species are responsible
 110 for >88.7% (estimated from catch used in this study) of catches from
 111 Lake Victoria. Dagaa is the most important by weight, followed by
 112 Nile perch and Nile tilapia. Nile perch supports fish processing
 113 industries that export to foreign markets including the European
 114 Union and is the most important by value.

Data requirements, sources and application to CMSY

To estimate the reference points, abundance and catch data were required at the two spatial scales. For the whole lake, we estimated the reference points using two indices of abundance i.e. absolute biomass and fishery independent catch per unit effort (CPUE). This provided an opportunity to evaluate the usefulness of both sets of data which are available for Lake Victoria. The reference points for the Ugandan part of the lake were based on CPUE only.

The absolute biomass was obtained from Nyamweya et al. (2016) who simulated the biomass based on catches and hydrodynamics of the lake using ecosystem models. This was available to 2015, starting from 1965, 1968 and 1971 for Nile perch, Dagaa and Nile tilapia respectively. The CPUE was from hydroacoustic (Nile perch and Dagaa) and trawl (Nile tilapia) surveys and was only consistent for the species since 1999. The CPUE was restricted to 2015 beyond which no catch data are available.

Catch data used for the whole lake was partly available from Nyamweya et al. (2016) and was supplemented with data from the archives of the National Fisheries Resources Research Institute. The archives were the sources of the catch data for the Ugandan part.

Productivity of a stock is reflected in CMSY as prior ranges of intrinsic rate of population increase (r) which are derived by classifying resilience of species available in FishBase into r values (Froese & Pauly, 2015; Froese & Pauly, 2019). The resilience of Dagaa is high and that of Nile tilapia and Nile perch is medium. Their respective r ranges are 0.6-1.5, 0.2-0.8 and 0.2-0.8 (Froese & Pauly, 2019; Froese et al. 2017).

The B/k prior ranges depend on depletion status of stocks: very strong depletion (0.01 - 0.2), strong depletion (0.01 - 0.4), medium depletion (0.2 - 0.6), low depletion (0.4 - 0.8), and nearly unexploited (0.75 - 1.0) (Froese et al. 2019). These are required for the start, intermediate and end year of the time series. We harnessed trends in biomass and catches over the time series to set the B/k ranges for the species (Figure 1).

The start years for the Nile perch, Nile tilapia and Dagaa were 1965, 1971, 1968 respectively in the first scenario for the whole lake

using absolute biomass, and 1999 for the second whole lake scenario using CPUE and the Ugandan part. The end year was 2015 in both scenarios.

For the first whole lake scenario, the B/K ranges at the start years were set at low depletion for all the stocks (Table 1). In these years, the stocks were at the initiation fishery development phase and the low depletion enabled the future development of their fisheries (Hilborn & Walters, 1992; Figure 1). At the end, the Nile perch fishery had reached the decline phase of fishery development (Hilborn & Walters, 1992; Figure 1) which was characterized by high fishing effort (Nyamweya et al. 2020). For this reason, we set the B/k priors to strong depletion. For Nile tilapia, by 2015, although the catch in the whole lake was not the lowest ever, it was 10.3% of the historical maximum, guiding us to set the B/K prior to very strong depletion. For Dagaa, the catch was increasing albeit decreasing biomass. Given its high turnover rate and high fishing pressure (Mangeni-Sande et al. 2019), we set its B/K priors to medium.

The intermediate year is a year in the development of a fish stock such as when biomass, exploitation or recruitment was low or high (Froese et al. 2019). We set the intermediate years for the stocks at years when biomass was highest i.e. 1989 for Nile perch, 1991 for Nile tilapia and 2000 for Dagaa. For the Nile perch and Nile tilapia stocks, the intermediate years were at the time fishery development was declining (Figure 1), prompting us to set the B/k priors to strong depletion (Table 1. For Dagaa, medium depletion was selected.

In the scenarios of CPUE as the index of abundance, we set the B/k priors at the start (1999) for the whole lake and Ugandan part at strong depletion for Nile perch and Nile tilapia, and medium depletion for Dagaa based on guidance from trends in biomass. The end year B/K priors were maintained as above for both spatial scales. The intermediate years for the Ugandan part were set at 2005 for all the stocks, corresponding to a period when fishing effort, catch, and CPUE were highest or lowest. The corresponding intermediate B/k priors were set at strong depletion for Nile perch and Nile tilapia, and medium depletion for Dagaa. This was similar for the whole lake only that the intermediate years were 2005 for Nile tilapia, 2008 for Nile perch and 2007 for Dagaa.

Finally, a recent period of at least 5 years when catch and abundance were relatively stable or had similar trends is required for determining catchability coefficient to relate CPUE to biomass. The default of the last 5 years was selected for all the stocks except Dagaa in the whole lake whose catches and abundance had different trends (Figure 1). We chose a period from 2000-2004 when biomass and catches for Dagaa in the whole lake were stable.

The CMSY/BSY were implemented in R using the code for the methods (Froese et al. 2019). Data used are available online (Musinguzi, 2020). Palomares et al. (2018) established an approach of classifying fish stocks as collapsed, recruitment impaired, overfished, or healthy, basing on estimates of B/B_{msy} . This was used to define the status of the stocks assessed.

Results and discussion

For the whole lake, the two indices of abundance used returned comparable estimates of the fisheries reference points because values in both scenarios were largely overlapping and falling within each other's confidence intervals (Table 2; supplementary table 1). For this reason estimates with CPUE as the index of abundance were adopted for further consideration. In addition, CPUE is the most preferred for the methods used (Froese et al. 2017) and its results were more precautionary for most of the stocks. Tables 2 and 3 present the estimates of the reference points and stock status determined for the whole lake and Ugandan part respectively. Figures 2, 3 and 4 illustrate the reference points and status for Nile perch in Uganda as an example, with illustrations of other stocks available in supplementary material 1.

In Uganda, the stock status of Nile tilapia and Nile perch was recruitment impaired, and Dagaa health. Nile tilapia stock was tending more towards collapsed status while Nile perch and Dagaa stocks were tending more towards overfished status (Table 4). For the whole lake, the stock status of Nile tilapia, Nile perch and Dagaa was collapsed, overfished and health respectively. The Nile perch and Dagaa stocks were respectively tending more towards recruitment impaired and overfished status (Table 4).

Our results confirm widespread overfishing for Nile tilapia and Nile perch. The poor status corresponds to poor fishing practices and intensive fishing pressure that have characterized the fisheries of

the stocks for a longtime (Nyamweya et al. (2020). Njiru et al. (2007) assessed the two stocks in Kenya and observed high recruitment overfishing with 98% of Nile perch and 60% of Nile tilapia landed immature, high fishing mortality rate and degradation in life history. The degradation in life history in response to intensive fishing was found to be lake wide (Njiru et al. 2008).

The high fishing pressure and its persistence in the lake are consistent with our estimates of exploitation. In Uganda, exploitation has been above the reference level since 2006 for Nile perch (Figure 2 bottom right; Figure 3E) and 2003 for Nile tilapia (supplementary Figure 1 bottom right; supplementary Figure 2E). At around the same time, exploitation has since been above reference level for the stocks in the whole lake. As a result, we observed degradation in stock size depicted in declining B/B_{msy} (Figure 2 top right) and B/k (Figure 3D). See corresponding supplementary figures for other stocks.

Exploitation was higher for Nile tilapia stocks hence its worse status compared to Nile perch (Tables 2, 3 & 4). We presume that the Nile perch stock status would be worse than it is were it not for its high fecundity and pseudo protected areas offshore where fishing is probably restricted by distance and severity of weather. Nile perch individuals can obtain absolute fecundity of 16.8 million depending on size (Ogutu-ohwayo, 1988). This contrasts with the Nile tilapia whose mean absolute fecundity is 837 (Natugonza et al. 2016). The poor status of the two stocks is collectively illustrated in the Kobe plots which indicate that the stocks are 97.8% (Nile perch) and 100% (Nile tilapia) unsustainable in Uganda (Figure 4; supplementary figure 3) and 99.9% (Nile tilapia) and 99.1% (Nile perch) in the whole lake, requiring urgent management interventions

Dagaa, unlike the other stocks had health stock status in Uganda and the whole lake. The health status is depicted in low exploitation which has mainly been below the reference level (supplementary Figure 4 bottom right; supplementary Figure 5E; supplementary Figure 13 bottom right; supplementary Figure 14E). The health stock status cannot be attributed to good management which has been limited in the lake (Njiru et al. 2007). Indeed, fishing pressure on the stock has intensified because the number and panels of seines used to target it have increased while mesh sizes have declined (Mangeni-Sande et al. 2019). We attribute the better status of the

stock to the high resilience of the species (Froese & Pauly, 2019).
Dagaa has ability to double its biomass in <15 months and this is
likely the reason it can bounce back from the high fishing pressure.
Nevertheless, management needs to pay attention because the fishery
is 17.7–30.2% unsustainable (supplementary figures 6 & 15) and
tending more towards overfished status in both the whole lake and
Ugandan part (Table 4).

Our estimates of MSY provide with managers, the fisheries potential
of the stocks under good management. The MSY estimates for Nile
perch and Nile tilapia were more than the most recent catches in the
Ugandan part and the whole lake (Table 5). In Uganda, rebuilding the
Nile tilapia and Nile perch stocks to MSY level could increase the
catches of the stocks by 9.2% and 29.5% above the most recent
catches respectively. At the whole lake level, the same intervention
could increase the catches of the two stocks by 72.8% and 15.1%
respectively (Table 5). To realize these benefits, managers should,
in management objectives include rebuilding biomass of Nile tilapia
and Nile perch to B_{msy} levels which were in all cases more than the
current (2015) biomass (Table 2, 3 & 4). Estimates of biomass
available for Nile perch since 2017 when enforcement was
strengthened show that this is possible through eliminating illegal
fishing practices (Hydro-acoustics Regional Working Group, 2019).
The mean biomass of Nile perch in the whole lake in 2019 was 816,694
tonnes, with 705,458 tonnes as the lower limit and 940,922 tonnes as
the upper limit. This was more than the biomass in 2015 and 24.4%
less than B_{msy} (Table 3). In Uganda, the mean biomass was 422,076
tonnes with lower and upper limits of 366,757 and 485,694 tonnes
respectively which was also more than the 2015 biomass and only
11.3% less than B_{msy} (Table 2). These values indicate that the
current biomass B_{msy} gap is closing faster in Uganda compared to the
whole lake. The recovery for the whole lake is probably constrained
by Kenya which unlike Uganda and Tanzania, has not strengthened
enforcement. Kenya should copy.

Unlike Nile tilapia and Nile perch, the MSY estimates for Dagaa were
lower than recent catch. This means that much more is being taken
than is supported by standing biomass, the same process that
gradually brought about the observed poor status of the Nile tilapia
and Nile perch stocks.

Conclusion

The major objective of management on Lake Victoria should be rebuilding biomass of Nile tilapia and Nile perch to a level that can support catches at MSY. Eliminating illegal fishing practices has proved to be an effective way to achieve this because of the observed increase in biomass of Nile perch since 2017 when enforcement in Uganda and Tanzania was strengthened (Hydro-acoustics Regional Working Group, 2019). Kenya should do the same while Tanzania and Uganda should strengthen to close the gap between the current biomass and B_{msy} .

After elimination of illegal fishing practices, the next task of management should be to ensure that catches remain low until biomass is $\geq B_{msy}$ for at least three consecutive years (Froese et al. 2017).

After rebuilding, catches could be increased to MSY although a precautionary measure according to FAO is to exploit at the lower boundary of MSY (Tables 2 & 3) to guard against inefficiencies in enforcement and natural dynamics of fish stocks (Caddy et al. 1984). The precautionary measure could also cater for uncertainties in data due to unreported catches and cross border fishing and fish trade which are common on Lake Victoria (Heck et al. 2004). Cross border fishing and trade could lead to uncertainties in estimates of reference points especially at country level. For example, Kenyan fishers and traders who confessed to extensive cross-border fishing and trade give Kenya more catches than expected, a source of uncertainty (Geheb, 1997; Matsuishi et al. 2006). Indeed, our MSY estimates for Nile perch and Nile tilapia in Uganda (Table 3) are trumped by MSY estimates of 86,096 tonnes and 27,892 tonnes for the stocks respectively in Kenya (Aura et al. 2020). Cross border fishing and trade is the only plausible explanation for this.

To facilitate these interventions, routine data collection, preferably at an annual scale is indispensable to monitor biomass and catches to support stock assessments such as this to guide and evaluate management measures. Data collection has been a challenge particularly in Uganda. For instance, since 2015, no catch assessment surveys have been done in the Ugandan part of Lake Victoria which is regrettable.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to funders who supported projects from which the data used in this study were generated.

References

- Aura, C. M., Nyamweya, C. S., Owili, M., Gichuru, N., Kundu, R., Njiru, J. M., & Ntiba, M. J. (2020). Checking the pulse of the major commercial fisheries of Lake Victoria Kenya, for sustainable management. *Fisheries Management and Ecology*, 27(4), 314-324.
- Caddy, J.F. (1984) An Alternative to Equilibrium Theory for Management of Fisheries. In FAO (1984) Papers presented at the Expert Consultation on the regulation of fishing effort (fishing mortality). Rome, 17-26 January 1983. A preparatory meeting for the FAO World Conference on fisheries management and development. FAO Fisheries Report No. 289 Suppl. 2, 214 p.
- Cooke, S. J., Allison, E. H., Beard, T. D., ... & Welcomme, R. L. (2016). On the sustainability of inland fisheries: Finding a future for the forgotten. *Ambio*, 45(7), 753-764.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-016-0787-4>
- FAO, MSU. (2015). The Rome Declaration: Ten Steps to Responsible Inland Fisheries. <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i5735e.pdf>. Rome, Italy.
- FAO. (2020). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome.
<https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en>
- Froese, R. and D. Pauly. Editors. 2019. FishBase. World wide web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org, version (12/2019).
- Froese, R., Demirel, N., Coro, G. and Winker, H. 2019. A Simple User Guide for CMSY+ and BSM (CMSY_2019_9f.R).
<http://oceanrep.geomar.de/33076/> in December 2019. Accessed February 2020.
- Froese, R., Demirel, N., Coro, G., Kleisner, K. M., & Winker, H. (2017). Estimating fisheries reference points from catch and resilience. *Fish and Fisheries*, 18(3), 506-526.
- Geheb K. (1997) The Regulators and the Regulated: Fisheries Management, Options and Dynamics in Kenya's Lake Victoria Fishery. D. Phil. Thesis, Brighton: University of Sussex, 287 pp.
- Heck, S., Ikwaput, J., Kirema-Mukasa, C. T., Lwenya, C., Murakwa, D. N., Odongkara, K., ... & Sobo, F. (2004). Cross-border Fishing

405 and Fish Trade on Lake Victoria. Fisheries Management Series,
406 Vol 1, 82pp.

407 Hilborn, R., & Walters, C. J. (Eds.). (1992). Quantitative fisheries
408 stock assessment: choice, dynamics and uncertainty. Springer
409 Science & Business Media.

410 Hydro-acoustics Regional Working Group, 2019. A report of the
411 lake-wide hydro-acoustic survey. LVFO, Jinja, Uganda

412 Mangeni-Sande, R., Taabu-Munyaho, A., Ogutu-Ohwayo, R., Nkalubo, W.,
413 Natugonza, V., Nakiyende, H., ... & Muwanika, V. B. (2019).
414 Spatial and temporal differences in life history parameters of
415 *Rastrineobola argentea* (Pellegrin, 1904) in the Lake Victoria
416 basin in relation to fishing intensity. Fisheries Management
417 and Ecology, 26(5), 406-412.

418 Marshall, B. E., & Mkumbo, O. C. (2012). The fisheries of Lake
419 Victoria: past, present and future. Nature & Faune, 26(1), 8-
420 13.

421 Matsuishi, T., Muhoozi, L., Mkumbo, O., Budeba, Y., Njiru, M.,
422 Asila, A., ... & Cowx, I. G. (2006). Are the exploitation
423 pressures on the Nile perch fisheries resources of Lake
424 Victoria a cause for concern? Fisheries Management and Ecology,
425 13(1), 53-71.

426 Mudliar, P. 2018. Fire on the Water: Militarization of Fisheries
427 Management on Lake Victoria. Retrieved from
428 [https://securefisheries.org/news/militarization-fisheries-lake-](https://securefisheries.org/news/militarization-fisheries-lake-victoria)
429 [victoria](https://securefisheries.org/news/militarization-fisheries-lake-victoria)

430 Musinguzi, Laban (2020): Data used for determining status and
431 targets for rebuilding the three major fish stocks in Lake
432 Victoria. figshare. Dataset.
433 <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13108289.v1>

434 Natugonza, V., Ogutu-Ohwayo, R., Efitre, J., ... & Sharon, N.
435 (2015). The responses of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*
436 (Linnaeus, 1758) in Lake Wamala (Uganda) to changing
437 climatic conditions. Lakes & Reservoirs: Research & Management,
438 20(2), 101-119.

439 Njiru, M., Kazungu, J., Ngugi, C. C., Gichuki, J., & Muhoozi, L.
440 (2008). An overview of the current status of Lake Victoria
441 fishery: Opportunities, challenges and management strategies.
442 Lakes & Reservoirs: Research & Management, 13(1), 1-12.

443 Njiru, M., Nzungi, P., Getabu, A., Wakwabi, E., Othina, A., Jembe,
444 T., & Wekesa, S. (2007). Are fisheries management measures in
445 Lake Victoria successful? The case of Nile perch and Nile
446 tilapia fishery. African Journal of ecology, 45(3), 315.

447 NPA, 2019. Mid-term review of the NRM manifesto 2016 – 2021.
 448 [http://www.npa.go.ug/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/NRM-Manifesto-](http://www.npa.go.ug/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/NRM-Manifesto-MTR-Report-2016-2021.pdf)
 449 [MTR-Report-2016-2021.pdf](http://www.npa.go.ug/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/NRM-Manifesto-MTR-Report-2016-2021.pdf). Accessed 10th September 2020.
 450 Nunan, F., Cepić, D., Yongo, E., Salehe, M., Mbilingi, B.,
 451 Odongkara, K., ... & Owili, M. (2018). Compliance, corruption
 452 and co-management: how corruption fuels illegalities and
 453 undermines the legitimacy of fisheries co-management.
 454 International Journal of the Commons, 12(2).
 455 Nyamweya, C. S., Natugonza, V., Taabu-Munyaho, A., Aura, C. M.,
 456 Njiru, J. M., Ongore, C., ... & Kayanda, R. (2020). A century
 457 of drastic change: Human-induced changes of Lake Victoria
 458 fisheries and ecology. Fisheries Research, 230, 105564.
 459 Nyamweya, C., Sturludottir, E., Tomasson, T., Fulton, E. A., Taabu-
 460 Munyaho, A., Njiru, M., & Stefansson, G. (2016). Exploring Lake
 461 Victoria ecosystem functioning using the Atlantis modeling
 462 framework. Environmental Modelling & Software, 86, 158-167.
 463 Ogutu-Ohwayo, R. (1988). Reproductive potential of the Nile perch,
 464 Lates niloticus L. and the establishment of the species in
 465 Lakes Kyoga and Victoria (East Africa). Hydrobiologia, 162(3),
 466 193-200.
 467 Palomares, M. L., Froese, R., Derrick, B., ... & Pauly, D. (2018). A
 468 preliminary global assessment of the status of exploited marine
 469 fish and invertebrate populations.
 470 Trewavas, E (ed.). 1983. Tilapiine fishes of the genera
 471 Sarotherodon, Oreochromis and Danakilia. British Museum
 472 (Natural History). London. 583p.

473 Tables

474 Table 1 Relative stock biomass (B/K) prior ranges used for the
 475 stocks. The ranges depend on the depletion status of stocks:
 476 very strong depletion (0.01 – 0.2), strong depletion (0.01 –
 477 0.4), medium depletion (0.2 – 0.6), low depletion (0.4 – 0.8),
 478 and nearly unexploited (0.75 – 1.0) (Froese et al. 2019)

Spatial scale	stock	B _{start} /k	B _{int} /k	B _{end} /k
whole lake (biomass)	Nile tilapia	0.4 – 0.8	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.2
	Nile perch	0.4 – 0.8	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.4
	Dagaa	0.4 – 0.8	0.2 – 0.6	0.2 – 0.6
whole lake (CPUE)	Nile tilapia	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.2
	Nile perch	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.4
	Dagaa	0.2 – 0.6	0.2 – 0.6	0.2 – 0.6
Uganda	Nile tilapia	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.2

	Nile perch	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.4	0.01 – 0.4
	Dagaa	0.2 – 0.6	0.2 – 0.6	0.2 – 0.6

479

480 Table 2. Lake wide estimates when abundance is fishery independent CPUE. Estimates are on based BSM with
 481 approximate 95% confidence limits in parentheses. Estimates for F_{msy} , MSY and B_{msy} are long-term averages
 482 while others are for the last year in the dataset (2015).

Stock	F_{msy} (1/year)	MSY (1000 tonnes/year)	B_{msy} (1000 tonnes)	B (1000 tonnes)	F (1/year)	Exploitation (F/F_{msy})
Nile tilapia	0.0514(0.0308-0.0858)	72.8(52 - 102)	446(297-668)	70.1(36.6-170)	0.531(0.219-1.02)	10.3(1.79-39.9)
Nile perch	0.228(0.135-0.384)	246(206-294)	1080(675-1727)	547(365-774)	0.37(0.262-0.555)	1.66(1.09-3.65)
Dagaa	0.474(0.344-0.652)	461(396 - 536)	973(700-1351)	1112(809-1361)	0.484(0.395-0.665)	1.03(0.781-1.46)

487 Table 3. Estimates for the Ugandan part of the lake. Estimates are based on BSM with approximate 95%
 488 confidence limits in parentheses. Estimates for F_{msy} , MSY and B_{msy} are long-term averages while others are
 489 for the last year in the dataset (2015).

Stock	F_{msy} (1/year)	MSY (1000 tonnes/year)	B_{msy} (1000 tonnes)	B (1000 tonnes)	F (1/year)	Exploitation (F/F_{msy})
Nile tilapia	0.082(0.0501-0.134)	19.9((15.7-25.4)	121(80.5-183)	30.4(16.3-53.4)	0.556(0.316-1.03)	6.77(2.09-24.4)
Nile perch	0.136(0.0846-0.219)	74.8(58-96.4)	476(316-716)	206(130-302)	0.25(0.171-0.396)	1.86(1.01-4.52)
Dagaa	0.433(0.312-0.6)	95.4(80.6-113)	220(158-306)	242(164-310)	0.446(0.348-0.656)	1.04(0.738-1.62)

490

491 Table 4 Stock status based on the classification of B/B_{msy} values by
 492 Palomares et al. 2018. In parentheses is the stock status each of
 493 the stocks is tending more towards.

Stock	B/B_{msy}	Stock status
Uganda		
Nile tilapia	0.25(0.134-0.44)	Recruitment impaired (collapsed)
Nile perch	0.433(0.274-0.635)	Recruitment impaired (overfished)
Dagaa	1.1(0.745-1.4)	Healthy (overfished)
whole lake		
Nile tilapia	0.157(0.0821-0.381)	Collapsed
Nile perch	0.507(0.338-0.717)	Overfished (recruitment impaired)
Dagaa	1.14(0.832-1.4)	Healthy (overfished)

494

495 Table 5 Estimates of MSY for the stocks in relation to recent
496 catches (average for last three years (2013-2015)).

Stock	MSY (1000 tonnes/year)	Recent catch (1000 tonnes/year)	Change relative to MSY (1000 tonnes/year)	Change relative to recent catch (%)
Nile tilapia_UG	19.9	18.2	1.7	9.2
Nile perch_UG	74.8	57.8	17.0	29.5
Dagaa_UG	95.4	119.3	-23.9	-20.0
Nile tilapia	72.8	42.1	30.7	72.8
Nile perch	246	213.6	32.4	15.1
Dagaa	461	519.8	-58.8	-11.3

497

Figure captions

Figure 1. Trends in catches and absolute biomass for the three major commercial fish species on Lake Victoria. Catches are for the whole lake. Absolute biomass from Nyamweya et al. (2016).

Figure 2. Trends in key management aspects of the Nile perch fishery in Lake Victoria, Uganda. The graphs show catches relative to MSY, with 95% confidence limits in grey (upper left); predicted relative total biomass (B/B_{msy}) with the grey area indicating uncertainty (upper right); relative exploitation (F/F_{msy}) and corresponding 95% confidence limits in grey (lower left); and stock status in relation to B/B_{msy} as a function of F/F_{msy} for the first (1965), intermediate (1989) and final (2015) years of assessment. The 50, 80 and 95% are Confidence levels around the assessment of the final year.

Figure 3. Results of the Nile perch fishery in Lake Victoria, Uganda. A shows time series in catch (black curve) and smoothed data with indication of highest and lowest catch (red curve). In B to F, red refers to estimates of BSM and blue to estimates of CMSY+. The crosses in B show the best r-k estimate of either methods (point in the center) and their 95% confidence limits (horizontal and vertical error bars). In dark grey are the pairs found to be compatible with the catches and biomass. In C, the black and dark grey dots are the viable r-k pairs found by BSM and CMSY respectively, with indication of crosses for best estimates with 95% confidence limits. Curves in D show the BSM and CMSY+ predictions of biomass, the dots the biomass data scaled by BSM, the vertical blue lines the prior biomass ranges. E shows the predictions for exploitation and catch per biomass as scaled by BSM (dots). The curves in F show the BSM and CMSY+ predictions of Schaefer equilibrium curves for catch/MSY relative to stock size (B/k) from the first (square) to the last year (triangle) of assessment, with the dots showing predicted catch per predicted biomass as scaled by BSM.

Figure 4. A Kobe plot for the Nile perch in the Lake Victoria, Uganda based on CMSY+ estimates of B/B_{msy} and F/F_{msy} . A stock in the orange area is health but vulnerable to depletion by overfishing. In the red area, a stock is overfished and is undergoing overfishing, with too low biomass levels to produce maximum sustainable yields (MSY). In the yellow area, a stock is under reduced fishing pressure but recovering from too low biomass levels. The green area is the target area for management, indicating sustainable fishing pressure

540 and healthy stock size capable of producing high yields close to
 541 MSY. The probabilities of the Nile perch stock being in any of these
 542 areas are given. the last year falling into one of the colored
 543 areas. The 50, 80 and 95% are confidence levels around the year of
 544 final assessment. The legend in the upper right graph also
 545 indicates.

Figures

Figure 1

549 Figure 2

550

551 Figure 3

552

553 Figure 4
554

555