Microbial Potlatch: Cell-level adaptation of leakiness of metabolites leads to resilient symbiosis among diverse cells

Jumpei F Yamagishi¹, Nen Saito²,³*, Kunihiko Kaneko¹,³*

¹Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan; ²Exploratory Research Center on Life and Living Systems, National Institutes of Natural Sciences, 5-1 Higashiyama, Myodaiji-cho, Okazaki, Aichi 444-8787, Japan; ³Research Center for Complex Systems Biology, Universal Biology Institute, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

*For correspondence: kaneko@complex.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp (KK); saito@ubi.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp (NS)

Abstract  Microbial communities display extreme diversity, facilitated by the secretion of chemicals that can create new niches. However, it is unclear why cells often secrete even essential metabolites after evolution. By noting that cells can enhance their own growth rate by leakage of essential metabolites, we show that such leaker cells can benefit from coexistence with cells that consume the leaked chemicals in the environment. This leads to an unusual form of mutualism between “leaker” and “consumer” cells, resulting in frequency-dependent coexistence of multiple microbial species, as supported by extensive simulations. Remarkably, such symbiotic relationships generally evolve when each species adapts its leakiness to optimize its own growth rate under crowded conditions and nutrient limitations, leading to ecosystems with diverse species exchanging many metabolites with each other. In addition, such ecosystems are resilient against structural and environmental perturbations. Thus, we present a new basis for diverse, complex microbial ecosystems.

Introduction
In microbial communities, extremely diverse species or strains coexist (Lozupone et al., 2012; Curtis et al., 2002; Datta et al., 2016), while secreting and exchanging hundreds of (essential) metabolites such as amino acids and sugars (Baran et al., 2015; Ponomarova et al., 2017; Embree et al., 2015), vitamins (Zengler and Zaramela, 2018), and nucleotides (D’Souza et al., 2014); an archaeon transfers lipids and possibly even ATP (Morris et al., 2013; Huber et al., 2012). Even when only a single resource is available, varieties of species coexist, and the dominance of a single fittest species that excludes all others is seldom observed (Rosenzweig et al., 1994; Goldford et al., 2018). In contrast, according to the competitive exclusion principle proposed by Gause (Gause, 1932), the number of coexisting species in a common environment cannot exceed the number of available resources in the environment (Hardin, 1960; MacArthur and Levins, 1964). Actually, the observed diversity may not be inconsistent with this principle because metabolite secretion and exchange can, in principle, create new niches and thereby allow for the coexistence of diverse microorganisms. This has been recognized both experimentally (Goldford et al., 2018; Zelezniak et al., 2015) and theoretically...
Nonetheless, the evolutionary origin(s) of secretion and exchange of essential metabolites that support microbial coexistence and symbiosis is still enigmatic. A constructive laboratory experiment revealed that stronger cells (i.e., cells with higher glutamine synthetase activity) coexist with weaker cells, via leakage of glutamine synthesized by the former (Kashihagi et al., 2001). Leakey et al. stressed the importance of chemical leakage by proposing the black queen hypothesis (BQH), a theory on the evolution of metabolic dependency based on gene loss (Morris et al., 2012; Morris, 2015). However, these studies did not examine whether leakage is beneficial for leaker cells. Leakage is simply assumed to be inevitable because of the permeability of their cell membranes (Morris, 2015; Großkopf et al., 2016; Zomorrodi and Segrè, 2017), albeit disadvantageous it may be. If this is the case, there is no reciprocity between the leaker cells and the other cells; then, why have the leaker cells not evolved to decrease the leakiness and not dominated the ecosystem?

A recent theoretical study showed that leakage of even essential metabolites can promote the growth of the leaker cells even in isolated conditions (Yamagishi et al., 2020). This phenomenon is termed as leak advantage. Indeed, microorganisms leak a variety of essential metabolites even in isolated conditions, including central metabolic intermediates (Paczia et al., 2012). This leak advantage can explain why leakage of even essential metabolites is preserved or acquired through evolution (Brakman et al., 2017), and may provide a new perspective on metabolite-mediated microbial ecology.

In the present paper, we examined whether and how cell-cell interactions mediated by secreted metabolites can lead to stable coexistence of diverse microbial species (or strains or mutants), rather than the dominance of a single fittest species. We first show that “leaker” and “consumer” species (i.e., cells that benefit by leaking some chemicals and those that benefit by consuming them, respectively) can immediately develop a mutualistic relationship. We then explore the conditions under which such “leaker-consumer mutualism” is observed and stable.

Based on the idea of leaker-consumer mutualism, we will further show that when each coexisting cell species optimizes its own growth (which may result from adaptation within a generation or evolution over generations), the coexistence of diverse species is achieved and the overall growth rate of the microbial community is enhanced. This novel scenario for symbiosis among diverse species will explain why the single “fittest” species does not dominate as a result of evolution. Furthermore, we will show that systems with exchange of metabolites among diverse species are resilient against external perturbations. Finally, we discuss the possible relevance of the present results for experimental characterization of the resilience of microbial ecosystems.

**Model**

Let us consider a situation where cells that contain \( n \) kinds of chemical components (metabolites and enzymes) coexist in a common environment (Fig. 1A), as seen in previous studies (Furusawa and Kaneko, 1998; Kaneko and Yomo, 1994; Yamagishi et al., 2016). The state of cell \( i \) is expressed by the concentrations of the \( n \) components, \( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} = (x_0^{(i)}, x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_n^{(i)}) \). In each cell, chemical \( i \) is synthesized and decomposed by a set of intracellular reactions at the rate \( f_i^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \) and is exchanged with the environment at the rate \( f_i^{(e)}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, s_i^{(env)}), x_i^{(env)} \), where \( x_i^{(env)} \) represents the concentration of chemical \( i \) in the environment. Here, out of \( n \) chemical components in each network, \( N_{\text{enzyme}} \) chemicals are “enzymes” which could be a catalyst or product of each reaction, while the rest of the chemicals, termed as “metabolites”, could be diffusible (if \( D_i^{(e)} \) is positive) substrates or products of each reaction.

The temporal evolution of the concentration of chemical \( i \) in cell \( a \), \( x_i^{(a)} \), is generally written as

\[
\frac{d x_i^{(a)}}{d t} = f_i^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}^{(a)}) + f_i^{(e)}(\mathbf{x}^{(a)}, s_i^{(env)}), x_i^{(env)} - \mu_i^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}^{(a)}, \mathbf{D}^{(a)}, \mathbf{x}^{(env)}) x_i^{(a)}
\]

where \( \mu_i^{(a)}(\mathbf{x}^{(a)}, \mathbf{D}^{(a)}, \mathbf{x}^{(env)}) \) is the volume growth rate of \( a \)th cell, and the third term represents the dilution of each chemical owing to the increase in cellular volume. We here discuss the case of
passive diffusion, where the flow rate of chemical $i$ is given by $f_i^{(a)}(x^{(a)}; D_i^{(a)}, x_i^{(env)}) = D_i^{(a)}(x_i^{(env)} - x_i^{(a)})$. $D_i^{(a)}$ is a non-negative parameter characterizing the flow rate of each metabolite $i$, which we call the diffusion coefficient. If $f_i^{(a)}$ is positive, then chemical $i$ flows into cell $a$ from the environment, and if it is negative, $i$ is leaked out. Note that we define leak-advantage chemicals for species $a$ such that an (infinitesimal) increase in their leakage promotes the growth of species $a$ (Yamagishi et al., 2020).

To account for cell-cell interactions due to the transport of chemicals through the environment, the time evolution of the external concentrations $x_i^{(env)}$ is given as

$$
\dot{x}_i^{(env)} = -\sum_a p_{a} f_i^{(a)}(x^{(a)}; D_i^{(a)}, x_i^{(env)}) / V_{env} - R_{deg} x_i^{(env)}
$$

when chemical $i$ is not a nutrient. If chemical $i$ is a nutrient, it is supplied into the environment via simple diffusion, so that the term $D_i^{(env)}(S_{env} - x_i^{(env)})$ is added to the right-hand side of the above equation. In the external medium, the secreted components slowly degrade or flow out of the medium at the rate $R_{deg} x_i^{(env)}$. The volume of the environment relative to the total volume of all the coexisting cells is designated as $V_{env}$.

In addition, the population fraction of cell species $a$, given by $p_a$, evolves according to the equation

$$
\dot{p}_a = (\mu^{(a)} - \bar{\mu}) p_a
$$

where $\mu^{(a)}$ is the growth rate of each cell species, and $\bar{\mu} \equiv \sum_a p^{(a)} \mu^{(a)}$ is the average growth rate of all species (Kaneko, 2016).

We then investigated the steady state of the population dynamics of multiple species with different reaction networks, and examined whether they can coexist in a common environment.

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of the model for microbial community with metabolite exchange. Each cell species has a chemical reaction network that transforms a single nutrient $S$ transported from the environment for cell growth. The nutrient $S$ is supplied to the environment from the exterior at the rate $D_S^{(env)}(S_{env} - x_S^{(env)})$. Among $n$ chemicals, metabolites (orange circles) are diffusible and exchanged by coexisting species via the environment, while enzymes (green squares) are not.
Results

A simple example of leaker-consumer mutualism: symbiosis between two species

In order to exemplify the leaker-consumer mutualism, we first consider the simplest situation: symbiosis between two cell species in which the leaker cells secrete an essential metabolite and the consumer cells consume it to facilitate their growth.

For the sake of simplicity, the network structure of the example in Yamagishi et al. (2020)—a simple reaction network that consists of substrate $S$, enzyme $E$, ribosome $rb$, metabolites $M_1$ and $M_2$, biomass $BM$—is adopted both for the leaker and consumer cells (Fig. 2A). The equations for the reactions are given below, and the rate constants given below are different for the leaker and consumer cells:

$$
\dot{x}^{(a)}_S = -k^{(a)}_{s \rightarrow M_1} x^{(a)}_S x^{(a)}_E - k^{(a)}_{s \rightarrow M_2} x^{(a)}_S + D_S (S_{200} - x^{(a)}_S) - \mu x^{(a)}_S
$$

$$
\dot{x}^{(a)}_{M_1} = k^{(a)}_{s \rightarrow M_1} x^{(a)}_S x^{(a)}_E - (k^{(a)}_{M_1 \rightarrow rb} + k^{(a)}_{M_1 \rightarrow E}) x^{(a)}_{M_1} x^{(a)}_{rb} - D_{M_1} x^{(a)}_{M_1} - \mu x^{(a)}_{M_1}
$$

$$
\dot{x}^{(a)}_{E} = k^{(a)}_{M_1 \rightarrow E} x^{(a)}_{M_1} - \mu x^{(a)}_{E}
$$

$$
\dot{x}^{(a)}_{M_2} = k^{(a)}_{M_2 \rightarrow BM} x^{(a)}_{M_2} x^{(a)}_E - D_{M_2} x^{(a)}_{M_2} - \mu x^{(a)}_{M_2}
$$

where the growth rate is defined as the ratio of synthesis of biomass BM from its precursor $M_2$, such that $\mu^{(a)}(M^{(a)}_2) \equiv k^{(a)}_{M_2 \rightarrow BM} x^{(a)}_E$. Although all chemicals—$S$, $E$, $rb$, $M_1$, and $M_2$—are necessary for the growth of cells with this reaction network, certain levels of leakage of metabolite $M_1$ can promote the growth of cell $a$ with a relatively large rate constant $k^{(a)}_{s \rightarrow E}$. In this example of symbiosis between two cells, the rate constants of the leaker and consumer cells are set at $k^{(a)}_{s \rightarrow E}_{leaker} = 1.0 > k^{(a)}_{s \rightarrow E}_{consumer} = 0.4$; thus, the leakage (uptake) of $M_1$ is beneficial only for the former (latter) (Fig. 2A).

Our numerical simulations show that the mutualism between the leaker and consumer cells is achievable under certain conditions, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 2B). When only the leaker cells that gain a leak advantage by secreting a chemical are present in the system, the secreted chemical accumulates in the environment so that further secretion becomes difficult due to the loss of the concentration gradient (see the right panel in Fig. 2B). Since the leaked chemical is an essential metabolite and not a waste product, other species can use them for their own growth in most cases. This consumption of the leaked chemical by other cell species is also beneficial for the leaker cells, as it reduces the accumulation of the leaked chemicals in the medium (see the left panel in Fig. 2B).

Hence, the leaker and consumer cells coexist through this leaker-consumer mutualism, when diffusion coefficients range within certain values indicated as Region M (Mutualism) in Fig. 2C. Under such conditions, the growth rates of the two cells are equal, which is higher than that of each cell species put in an isolation condition (see $D^{(consumer)}_{M_1} = 0$ in Fig. 2C which correspond to the growth rates of the leaker cells in isolation). However, when $D^{(leaker)}_{M_1}$ and/or $D^{(consumer)}_{M_1}$ are small, the growth rate of the consumer cells cannot increase to that of the leaker cells, and thus, only the leaker cells exist in the environment (Noncoexistence; Region NC in Fig. 2C). In contrast, when $D^{(leaker)}_{M_1}$ and $D^{(consumer)}_{M_1}$ are large, the leaker and consumer cells can still coexist, but their growth rate is lower than that observed when leaker cells grow in isolation. Thus, parasitism, rather than mutualism, is realized in such cases (Region P in Fig. 2C). This is because excess leakage of necessary chemical $M_1$ is disadvantageous to the leaker. Figure 2C, however, also indicates that the fastest growth is achieved by mutualism between the two types of cells. Accordingly, if both cells adaptively alter their diffusion coefficients, parasitic coexistence is excluded, and mutualistic coexistence is expected to emerge. Notably, such cell/individual-level growth optimization via adaptive changes of diffusion coefficients of each cell spontaneously leads to optimal growth at the community/ecosystem level.

Additionally, Fig. 2D reveals that the leaker-consumer symbiosis is achieved if $R_{deg}$ and $V_{env}$ are not very large, that is, if the secreted chemical is efficiently transported to the other cell. However,
when the degradation rate $R_{deg}$ or environment size $V_{env}$ is too large to allow for sufficient accumulation of the secreted metabolite in the environment, the cells no longer coexist, and only the leaker cell survives (see also Kashiwagi et al., 2001).

Figure 2. Example of leaker-consumer mutualism between two cell species. (A) Schematic illustration of the mutualism between the leaker (left) and consumer (right) cells. Both have the same network structure as shown in Yamagishi et al. (2020) with different rate constants. (B) Schematic illustration of leaker-consumer mutualism. When only a leaker cell is present, the secreted chemical accumulates in the environment and inhibits further secretion (left). The coexistence of other consumer cells that consume the leaked chemicals is beneficial for both cells because it relaxes the accumulation of the chemical in the environment (right). (C) Phase diagram of symbiosis depending on $D_{M_1}^{(leaker)}$ and $D_{M_1}^{(consumer)}$. Regions M (red), P (green), and NC (black) are delineated by gray lines and represent mutualism, parasitism, and noncoexistence, respectively. The environmental parameters are set as $V_{env} = 1$ and $R_{deg} = 1$. The color denotes the growth rate $\mu$, where a brighter color corresponds to a higher $\mu$. $\mu$ with $D_{M_1}^{(consumer)} = 0$ is just the growth rate of the leaker cells in isolated conditions, $\mu^{(leaker)}_{iso}$, in region M, it is smaller than $\mu$ at the corresponding $D_{M_1}^{(leaker)}$ value in the panel. (D) Phase diagram of symbiosis depending on the environmental parameters, $R_{deg}$ and $V_{env}$. The diffusion coefficients of $M_1$ are fixed as $D_{M_1}^{(leaker)} = D_{M_1}^{(consumer)} = 4$. Red and black diamonds are delineated by gray lines and represent mutualism and noncoexistence, respectively. The rate constants are set as: $k_{S_{M_1} \rightarrow M_1}^{(leaker)} = 1, k_{S_{M_1} \rightarrow M_1}^{(consumer)} = 0.4, k_{M_1 \rightarrow S}^{(leaker)} = k_{M_1 \rightarrow E}^{(leaker)} = k_{S_{M_1} \rightarrow M_1}^{(consumer)} = k_{M_1 \rightarrow E}^{(consumer)} = 1, k_{M_1 \rightarrow S}^{(consumer)} = k_{M_1 \rightarrow E}^{(consumer)} = 0.0575, k_{E \rightarrow BM}^{(leaker)} = k_{E \rightarrow BM}^{(consumer)} = 0.01$, so that the leaker's growth rate in isolation $\mu_{iso}^{(leaker)}$ with optimal diffusion coefficient $D_{M_1}$ is higher than the consumer's growth rate in isolation $\mu_{iso}^{(consumer)}$ with $D_{M_1}^{(consumer)} = 0$. The other parameters are set as $S_{env} = 1, D_{S}^{env} = 10, D_S = 1$. 

---
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Symbiosis among randomly generated networks because of cell-level adaptation

To investigate the possibility of leaker-consumer mutualism and symbiosis among more cell species with diverse chemicals, we further considered a model including a variety of cell species with randomly chosen catalytic networks. The transport of chemicals from one cell species to another can be bidirectional if their membranes are permeable to diverse chemicals, which may lead to a complex symbiotic relationship. For simplicity, we mainly considered reaction networks including only catalytic reactions such as $i + k \rightarrow j + k$ with a catalyst $k$ (the simplest multibody reactions; see also Yamagishi et al. (2020)) and equal rate constants (set at unity), and only a single nutrient (chemical 0) is supplied externally.

We first generated a “species pool” containing $N = 50$ randomly generated networks, and these species were added into the environment one by one. At the time of the addition, the invading species optimizes the diffusion coefficients of non-nutrient metabolites to maximize its growth rate under the environmental state $x^{(env)}$, where other cell species exist before the invasion. After the addition of the new species, the population dynamics of Eq. [1] are computed over a sufficiently long period $T$, until the population distribution reaches a steady state (species with population ratio smaller than the threshold value $p_{\text{min}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ will be eliminated). After this procedure, each surviving species gradually and simultaneously alters its diffusion coefficients of non-nutrient metabolites over period $T$ so that its own growth rate increases. This process of invasion and cell-level adaptation is repeated for all $N$ species (see Supplementary Material for details).

To examine whether symbiosis among cells with randomly chosen networks can be achieved as a consequence of cell-level adaptation of leakage and uptake, the above model was numerically studied. As shown in Fig. 3A, invasions can occasionally reduce the number of cell species in the environment, but generally, the number and the growth rate of coexisting species increase. Consequently, multiple cell species could steadily coexist by exchanging multiple metabolites even under a single-nutrient condition, even though the cell species have different growth rates in isolation conditions (Fig. 3BC). Because of this adaptive metabolite exchange, the growth rates of the different species become equal, and higher than that of each species in isolated conditions (Fig. 3C). Thus, symbiosis at the community level is achieved by cell-level adaptation.

Fig. 3BC also shows that every cell species leaks some metabolites and consumes others, and metabolites are exchanged between all cells as a result of cell-level adaptation. Unlike the assumptions of food chains or BQH (Morris et al., 2012; Morris, 2015; Goyal and Maslov, 2018), the leaker-consumer relations via metabolic exchanges are entangled, and not hierarchical or cyclic. Hence, no clear trophic levels are observed.
Figure 3. An example of symbiosis with metabolic exchange via the environment. (A) Time series of the number of coexisting species through successful invasions by new species and the growth rate of coexisting cell species. (B) Plot of leakage (blue) and uptake (red) fluxes of non-nutrient chemicals from each cell species A-F. (C) Structure of metabolic exchange among six cell species that have different growth rates in isolation. The vertical axis represents the growth rate of each cell species in isolation, $\mu_{\text{iso}}$. Cyan and pink arrows indicate the leakage and uptake of each chemical component, respectively. Symbiosis among multiple species increases the growth rate to $\mu_{\text{symbio}}$ (as indicated on the top), which is higher than the growth rate of each cell species in isolation, $\mu_{\text{iso}}$. In the numerical simulation, the parameters were set to $n = 20$, $S_{\text{env}} = 0.03$, $V_{\text{env}} = 3$, $D_{S}^{(\text{env})} = 10$, $D_{S} = 1$, $R_{\text{deg}} = 5 \times 10^{-5}$, $N_{\text{enzyme}} = n/5$. 
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**Cell-level adaptation via leak advantage frequently leads to symbiosis**

We then statistically examined how frequently such symbiosis was realized (Fig. 4). Figure 4A shows the frequency of symbiotic coexistence of multiple species in a single-nutrient condition. As the number of chemical components $n$ increases, the coexistence of more species is more likely. For $n = 30$, symbiotic coexistence is achieved for almost all the trials, as long as the cell species with the fastest growth in isolation has at least one leak-advantage chemical. Here, recall that the frequency of leak advantage in isolation increases with $n$ (Yamagishi et al., 2020).

In addition, symbiosis is achieved frequently with a wide range of environmental parameters $S_{env}$ and $V_{env}$ (and $R_{deg}$) (Fig. 4BC and Fig. S4). Notably, Fig. 4B demonstrates that the frequency of symbiosis decreases as the size of the environment $V_{env}$ increases, unless the environment is too small ($V_{env} \approx 1$, i.e., the total volume of cells equals that of the environment). Note here that increase in $V_{env}$ (and/or $R_{deg}$) weakens cell-cell interactions because the secreted chemicals are diluted; consequently, the growth change due to consumption is suppressed while that due to leakage is little affected. Hence, $1/V_{env}$ (and $1/R_{deg}$) serves as an indicator of the strength of cell-cell interactions (or the efficiency of exchange of secreted metabolites). Indeed, for larger $V_{env}$, symbiosis is achieved less frequently by metabolite exchange via the environment. Note that when $V_{env}$ is too small ($V_{env} \approx 1$), the environmental concentration of chemicals is sensitive to addition of new species, and therefore, the coexistence of multiple species becomes unstable.

Figure 4C shows that decrease in the nutrient supply $S_{env}$ results in increase in the frequency of symbiosis and the average number of coexisting species. This may be explained by the increasing importance of metabolic efficiency of converting the nutrient to biomass on growth rate in large $S_{env}/V_{env}$, relative to the efficiency of the exchange of non-nutrient metabolites. Hence, cell species with slower growth in isolation (which is based on metabolic efficiency of the nutrient) may not be able to achieve the same growth rate as those with faster growth in isolation.

In short, the results shown in Fig. 4A-C suggest that symbiosis among multiple species via adaptive metabolite exchange is commonly achievable because microbes contain many chemical components and often exist in crowded and nutrient-poor environments.

**Decrease in species diversity in the absence of cell-level adaptation**

Furthermore, to ascertain the contribution of cell-level adaptation to symbiosis (via leak advantage), we also considered situations where the leakage and uptake of metabolites occur simply because of the inevitable permeability of cellular membranes, and compared them with the results under the assumption of cell-level adaptation. In this case, the diffusion coefficients of invading cells are given fixed, random positive values for all metabolites (Fig. S5). Interestingly, the frequency of coexistence of multiple species is much smaller (Fig. 4D).

Notably, when adaptive changes in the diffusion coefficients at the cell level are allowed, leakage occurs only when it promotes the growth of the leaker cell species (otherwise, the leaker cells would decrease their diffusion coefficient to zero). A mutualistic relationship is thus necessarily established between leaker and consumer cells. Mutualistic cell-cell interaction usually leads to stable coexistence, as discussed in previous studies (Tokita and Yasutomi, 2003; Pande et al., 2014; Giri et al., 2020). In contrast, leakage of the metabolites by random fixed diffusion coefficients does not necessarily benefit leaker cells, thereby making leaker-consumer interactions often parasitic or even competitive (see Fig. S6B). Such parasitic or competitive interactions often make the steady state (linearly) unstable (May, 1971; Hassell and May, 1973). Consistently, for the case with randomly pre-fixed diffusion coefficients, the frequency of parasitic (symbiotic) relationships decreases (increases) as the number of coexisting species increases (Fig. S6B). Hence, the likelihood of coexistence is lower when the diffusion coefficients are fixed.

To further corroborate these results, we also generated a model with null diffusion coefficients for chemicals that confer leak advantage (in isolated conditions) and positive random coefficients for chemicals that do not. In this situation, leakage is always disadvantageous in isolated conditions.

In the absence of leakage of leak-advantage chemicals, the frequency of symbiosis and the average...
number of coexisting species were further reduced (Fig. 4D), even though growth promotion due to the uptake of metabolites could still occur.

Figure 4. Statistics of symbiosis among randomly generated networks. 50 independent trials were conducted for each set of parameters. (A) Dependence of the frequency of coexisting species on the number of chemical components \( n \). \( S_{\text{env}} = 0.03, V_{\text{env}} = 3 \). (B) Dependence of the frequency of coexisting species upon \( V_{\text{env}} \). \( n = 20, S_{\text{env}} = 0.03 \). (C) Dependence of the frequency of coexisting species upon \( S_{\text{env}} \). \( n = 20, V_{\text{env}} = 3 \). (D) The frequency of coexisting species for random fixed diffusion coefficients (with and without leakage of chemicals that confer leak advantage) without cell-level adaptation. \( n = 20, S_{\text{env}} = 0.03, V_{\text{env}} = 3 \). In the “random” case, the diffusion coefficients of chemicals \( N_{\text{enzyme}} + 1 \) to \( n - 1 \) are chosen randomly from a uniform distribution \([0.0 : 1.0]\) (see also Fig. S6). In all the figures, the colored bars show the frequency of symbiosis among two to eight species (with different colors), whereas the black bars show noncoexistence. The frequency for each parameter set was calculated from 50 independent samples of \( N \) catalytic networks where the species with the fastest growth in isolation has a leak-advantage chemical in its reaction network. In all the numerical simulations, the other parameters are fixed: \( D_S^{(\text{env})} = 10, D_S = 1, R_{\text{deg}} = 5 \times 10^{-5}, N_{\text{enzyme}} = n/5 \).
Resilience of symbiosis mediated by metabolite exchange

Thus far, we investigated if and how symbiosis of diverse cell species with tangled forms of metabolite exchange is achieved as a result of cell-level adaptation of diffusion coefficients. Lastly, we examined the stability of communities consisting of diverse cell species that exchange metabolites.

In particular, we focused on the resilience of symbiotic relationships against the removal of a species that coexisted. In most cases, the removal of one species from the community does not cause the successive extinction of any other species in the community (Fig. 5A). In contrast to the extinction of species in a hierarchical ecosystem with trophic levels, where the removal of some keystone/core species leads to an avalanche of extinctions of downstream species in the hierarchy (Paine, 1969; Mills et al., 1993; Goyal and Maslov, 2018), such an avalanche of species extinctions hardly occurs in our model (Fig. 5A). In other words, we rarely observe the existence of keystone/core species whose absence prevents many other species from coexisting, and removal of which leads to the extinction of all other species. Hence, the present system with metabolite exchange has a high degree of resilience.

As shown in Fig. 5A, we did observe a few cases in which removal of one species causes the extinction of most species. In such non-resilient cases, the removed species tended to be ones that dominantly leaked chemicals into the environment. In general, the resilience of the system to removal of species increased with the extent of entanglement of metabolite exchange across cells (i.e., many cell species leak many chemical components) (see also Fig. S7). To quantitatively characterize this tendency, we introduced the indices of the effective “entropies” that characterize the diversity of leaking cell species and leaked chemical components as

\[ S_{\text{Cell}} = \sum_{\text{Cell}_i} P_{\text{Leak}_i} \log P_{\text{Leak}_i}, \quad S_{\text{Chem}} = \sum_{\text{Chem}_i} P_{\text{Leaked}_i} \log P_{\text{Leaked}_i}, \]

where the total flux of all chemicals from cell species \( \alpha \) and the total flux of chemical \( i \) from all cell species to the environment are defined as \( P_{\text{Leak}_i} = \sum \rho_i j_i^{(\alpha)} / L_{\text{tot}} \) and \( P_{\text{Leaked}_i} = \sum \rho_i j_i^{(\alpha)} / L_{\text{tot}} \), respectively, with \( L_{\text{tot}} = \sum \rho_i j_i^{(\alpha)} \), where the summation \( \sum \) is taken only for leakage (not for uptake), that is \( j_i^{(\alpha)} > 0 \). If \( N \) cell species leak chemicals evenly, \( S_{\text{Cell}} \) takes \( \log N \) (maximal value), and if \( n \) chemicals are leaked evenly, \( S_{\text{Chem}} \) takes \( \log n \). In contrast, if only one cell species leaks or only one chemical is leaked, \( S_{\text{Cell}} \) or \( S_{\text{Chem}} \) is equal to 0.

Indeed, when a large number of cells leak and exchange a large number of chemical components (i.e., both \( S_{\text{Cell}} \) and \( S_{\text{Chem}} \) are large), the system tends to be resilient (Fig. 5B). \( S_{\text{Cell}} \) and \( S_{\text{Chem}} \) are also strongly correlated (Fig. 5B), such that when many cell species contribute to leakage, many components are leaked, and vice versa. We now consider two extreme situations to illustrate this correlation: if \( S_{\text{Chem}} = 0 \) (i.e., only one chemical is leaked to the environment), then \( S_{\text{Cell}} \) must be 0 due to Gause’s rule. In contrast, when \( S_{\text{Chem}} \) is large, \( S_{\text{Cell}} \) is unlikely to be zero because a large \( S_{\text{Chem}} \) allows the coexistence of many cells, which in turn can leak more chemicals, leading to large \( S_{\text{Cell}} \) in steady states.
Figure 5. Resilience of symbiotic coexistence against the removal of one species. (A) The upper panel shows the frequency distribution of the number of cell species (0-3) that become extinct when one species is removed. The lower panel shows the ratio of leakage of chemicals from the removed cell species to the total leakage from all cell species to the environment. Each point corresponds to samples shown in the upper panel. (B) Average survival ratio (color) against the indices that characterize the diversity of leaking cell species ($S_{Cell}$) and leaked chemical components ($S_{Chem}$). The survival ratio is the number of surviving species after removal of one species, divided by the number of species before the extinction successive to the removal. (If no additional cell species become extinct, this ratio equals one.) The multiple correlation coefficient between survival ratio and ($S_{Cell}$, $S_{Chem}$) is 0.49, while the correlation coefficients between the survival ratio and $S_{Cell}$, between the survival ratio and $S_{Chem}$, and between $S_{Cell}$ and $S_{Chem}$ are 0.46, 0.43, and 0.65, respectively. For these calculations, we used 55 samples of coexistence of five or six species with $n = 20$. 
Discussion

In this paper, we elucidated how symbiosis mediated by the exchange of various metabolites among diverse cell species is possible, based on the advantages of metabolite leakage for leaker cells. Resilient symbiosis among diverse species can be achieved when each cell species is allowed to adaptively change the degree of leakage and uptake of metabolites.

First, we described the mechanism and conditions for mutualism between leaker and consumer cells. As the density of cells (with leak advantage) is increased, the metabolites secreted by the leaker cells accumulate in the environment, thereby preventing further leakage. Consequently, coexistence with a different species that consumes the leaked metabolite for its own growth brings about further leak advantage for the leaker cells, while the consumption of the leaked metabolite is beneficial for the growth of the consumer cells. In this leaker-consumer mutualism, both cells increase their growth rates through cell-cell interactions mediated by secreted metabolites.

In contrast, whether the leakage is beneficial for the leaker cells is not fully addressed in the previous studies on microbial ecology (Morris et al., 2012; Morris, 2015; Großkopf et al., 2016; Zomorrodi and Segrè, 2017). Though the BQH also discusses the evolution of cooperation (Morris, 2015; Sachs and Hollowell, 2012), it often (implicitly) assumes that metabolic secretion leads to parasitism or free riding, as the leakage is just an inevitable consequence of a permeable membrane and thus the leakage is not necessarily advantageous for the leaker cells. Although this assumption is not unreasonable and is consistent with some empirical observations (Morris, 2015; Gore et al., 2009; Wang and Goldenfeld, 2011), it is not clear why the leaker cells have not evolved mechanisms to suppress such leakage that may be disadvantageous. In this respect, our results will complement the BQH: some microbial cells secrete chemicals just because this process is beneficial for them. In this sense, the “richer” cells “donate” their products to “poorer” cells for the sake of the former cells themselves, as if the cells are practicing “potlatch” often seen in human societies (Mauss, 1970; Bataille and Hurley, 1988).

This “microbial potlatch” generally emerges as a result of individual-level adaptation under conditions of complex intracellular metabolic networks, crowded environments, and limited nutrient supply. Indeed, facilitation of growth due to the coexistence of different strains or species has been reported in several experiments (Ponomarova et al., 2017; Winternute and Silver, 2010; Kosina et al., 2016; Pande et al., 2014) and in laboratory evolution under nutrient depletion (Hillesland and Stahl, 2010). Although some studies have reported that lower resource availability leads to a more diverse community (Zhou et al., 2002; Rajaniemi, 2003), other studies have reported contradictory results (Waldrop et al., 2006; Övreås and Torsvik, 1998). Of note, the existence of waste byproducts and inhibitory chemicals, which was not assumed, could lead to greater diversity under nutrient-rich environments, as greater nutrient supply will increase the waste byproducts (Pfeiffer and Bonhoeffer, 2004; Marsland III et al., 2019). Indeed, the actual relationship between diversity and resource availability is sometimes non-monotonic (Claire Horner-Devine et al., 2003; Mancuso et al., 2020) and can depend on the cultivation conditions (Zhou et al., 2002). This complex relationship may be a consequence of the competing effects of essential metabolites and waste byproducts.

Moreover, the symbiotic coexistence of species is seen more often when diffusion coefficients are not fixed and cell-level adaptation is allowed. When diffusion coefficients are fixed, even though all metabolites are leaked into the environment and thus the number of niches is larger, we found that the actual number of coexisting species in our simulations is much lower than that in the case with adaptive changes in diffusion coefficients (and is also lower than the Gause’s limit). Leaker-consumer mutualism by cell-level adaptation spontaneously reaches optimal values of diffusion coefficients that facilitate high growth rates of all cell species and allow for stable coexistence.

Furthermore, we examined the ecological resilience of microbial communities against the removal of a coexisting species. As more cells leak and exchange more chemical components in an ecosystem (i.e., $S_{\text{Cell}}$ and $S_{\text{Chem}}$ are large), the microbial community becomes more resilient to the...
removal of its members. In contrast, if only few cell species leak a few chemicals, the ecosystem
would have a unidirectional structure similar to a “food chain.” In such cases, keystone/core species
could exist, and the system would not be resilient to removal of such species. Empirical studies sug-
gest that diverse microbial communities are more resistant to environmental disturbances than
monocultures (Giri et al., 2020). Experimentally estimating $S_{\text{Cau}}$ or $S_{\text{Chau}}$ in these microbial commu-
nities and investigating their relationship with their resilience could be interesting.

Theoretically, we find that coexistence of diverse species is achieved by incorporating multilevel
dynamics at the intercellular (population) and intracellular (metabolic) levels and cannot be cap-
tured by standard Lotka–Volterra-type population dynamics. Microbial ecosystems with metabo-
lite exchange via the environment are expected to behave differently from those with simple food
chain or food web structures that are often considered in Lotka–Volterra-type population dynam-
ics, as the interactions between different cell species depend not only on their populations but
also on the exchanged chemicals, which depend on their intracellular states (Liao et al., 2020). For
example, in leaker-consumer mutualism, the benefit for leaker cells is indirect; the leaker cells ben-
efit from the consumption of accumulated chemicals only when the density of leaker cells is high
enough to cause excess accumulation of these chemicals. The leaker-consumer mutualism is thus
frequency-dependent and depends on the degree of interaction between cells via secreted chemi-
cals. In the present model, this degree of cell-cell interaction depends on the relative volume of the
medium to that of a cell $V_{\text{env}}$ (i.e., the inverse of cell density) and the degradation rate of chemicals
in the medium $R_{\text{deg}}$. If $V_{\text{env}}$ and $R_{\text{deg}}$ are sufficiently large, the leaker cells can continue to leak chem-
icals and grow efficiently without consumer cells. In this sense, leaker-consumer mutualism with
unidirectional flow is different from ordinary forms of cooperation or division of labor (Yamagishi
et al., 2016; Flores and Herrero, 2010). Still, in a system with multiple cell species we studied here,
each cell can simultaneously be a leaker and a consumer for different chemicals, thus achieving
metabolic division of labor with bidirectional exchange.

The premise of the present study was that the leakage of even essential metabolites can be ben-
eficial for cellular growth under certain conditions, and the control of leakage provides a possible
means of adaptation. In principle, this theory of leak advantage is testable through experiments
on microbes where the concentration of some secreted chemicals in the culture medium is fixed
by means of a chemostat and the dependence of the cellular growth rate on the extracellular concen-
tration is measured.

Indeed, the coexistence of multiple species via active secretion of chemicals is considered in
the context of classical syntrophy in microbial communities (Morris et al., 2013; Cavaliere et al.,
2017), where it is generally assumed that the leaked chemicals are non-essential or inhibitory to the
leaker itself, but are useful for the other species. Such chemicals could surely exist but the leaked
essential chemicals would be likely to be useful for more diverse species (which would allow for the
coeexistence of more diverse species). Moreover, the leaked chemicals in classical syntrophy are
thought to be located at a lower level of a chemical hierarchy according to energetics (Embree et al.,
2015; Morris et al., 2013), which would also determine a trophic hierarchy. In contrast, chemicals
that confer leak advantage are often essential, and lead to entangled networks of metabolite ex-
changes between different cell species, as often observed in actual microbial ecosystems (Goldford
et al., 2018; Baran et al., 2015; Zengler and Zaramela, 2018).

Finally, let us discuss whether a leak advantage would be eliminated through the course of evo-
lution by incorporating appropriate gene-regulation of enzymatic activity, which is a well-known
means to optimize cell growth (Jacob and Monod, 1961). If only a single cell species exists, such
optimization of gene regulation might be possible to eliminate the leak advantage by evolution.
However, if cells interact with other cells, it would be difficult to reach such optimized growth state
without leakage, through evolutionary optimization in enzymatic activity. As the cell numbers in-
crease, the environment inevitably becomes crowded, and cell-cell interactions through secreted
chemicals cannot be disregarded. Hence, optimization cannot occur under isolated conditions
unless cells find an optimized solution without any secretion of chemicals. Once the evolution pro-
gresses under the environmental conditions with interacting cells, finding such a solution, even if it exists, would take many generations. Before such isolated optimization is reached, other cells that consume secreted chemicals could either emerge through mutation or invade from elsewhere, thereby enhancing the growth of the leakier species. Then, symbiotic relationships with different cell species will develop and result in further entanglement of chemical exchange networks, as described in this paper. Indeed, some experiments have shown the de novo emergence of coexistence via metabolite secretion (Ponomarova et al., 2017; Le Gac et al., 2012; Hom and Murray, 2014), and nonspecific metabolic cross feeding has been reported to lead to the coexistence of different phenotypes in such a community (Goldford et al., 2018; Ponomarova and Patil, 2015). Moreover, a recent study on phylogeny suggested that such leakage of essential metabolites has been promoted through evolution and adaptation (Braakman et al., 2017).

In summary, we have shown that cell-level adaptation of leakiness of (essential) metabolites spontaneously establishes symbiotic relationships. This "microbial potlatch" generally emerges when the intracellular metabolic network is complex, the environment is crowded, and nutrient supply is limited. The present study provides a basis for complex microbial ecosystems with diverse species.
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