1 **Transcriptome analysis of *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* (Decapoda: Penaeidae)**
2 **after low-salinity exposure identifies differentially expressed genes in pathways**
3 **potentially related to osmoregulation**

4
5 Jun Liu^{1*}, Lei Zhang¹, Zhengfei Wang², Daizhen Zhang², Shiguang Shao¹ & Jie Shen^{1*}

6 ¹ Key Laboratory of Biotechnology in Lianyungang Normal College, Lianyungang, China

7 ² Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Bioresources of Saline Soils, Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of
8 Coastal Wetland Bioresources and Environmental Protection, Jiangsu Synthetic Innovation Center for
9 Coastal Bio-agriculture, Yancheng Teachers University, Yancheng, China

10

11 **Key words:** *ATPIA*; *AQP4*; Mineral absorption; Vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption

12

13

14 *Corresponding authors

15 Jun Liu, E-mail: liuj86@live.cn;

16 Jie Shen, E-mail: baiyueguang_5202@126.com

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Abstract

Ability to tolerate low salinity is a key factor affecting the distribution of the Chinese shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus chinensis*). Although previous studies have investigated the mechanisms underlying adaptations to low salinity in some crustaceans, little is known about low-salinity adaptations in *F. chinensis*, particularly at the molecular level. Here, to identify genes potentially associated with the molecular response of *F. chinensis* to low-salinity exposure, we compared the transcriptomes of *F. chinensis* in low-salinity (5 ppt) and normal-salinity (20 ppt) environments. In total, 45,297,936 and 44,685,728 clean reads were acquired from the low-salinity and control groups, respectively. De novo assembly of the clean reads yielded 159,130 unigenes, with an average length of 662.82 bp. Of these unigenes, only a small fraction (10.5% on average) were successfully annotated against six databases. We identified 3,658 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the low-salinity group and the control group: 1,755 DEGs were downregulated in the low-salinity group as compared to the control, and 1,903 were upregulated. Of these DEGs, 282 were significantly overrepresented in 38 KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathways. Notably, several DEGs were associated with pathways important for osmoregulation, including the mineral absorption pathway (*ATPIA*, Sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase subunit alpha; *CLCN2*, Chloride channel 2; *HMOX2*, Heme oxygenase 2; *SLC40A1/FPN1*, Solute carrier family 40 iron-regulated transporter, member 1), the vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption pathway (*AQP4*, Aquaporin-4; *VAMP2*, Vesicle-associated membrane protein 2; *RAB5*, Ras-related protein Rab-5) and the

ribosome pathway. Our results help to clarify the molecular basis of low-salinity adaptations in *F. chinensis*.

Key words: *ATP1A*; *AQP4*; Mineral absorption; Vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption

Introduction

To improve the low-salinity aquaculture of marine crustaceans, it is necessary to understand their adaptation ability to low salinity and mechanisms used by these organisms to tolerate low-salinity environments. As osmoregulators, some euryhaline marine and brackish crustaceans have a strong ability to adapt to environments with varying salinities (from almost 0 ppt up to 40 ppt) [1-4]. This ability to tolerate low salinity is a key factor affecting the distribution of such crustaceans in low-salinity environments [5-6].

Previous studies have explored the mechanisms underlying low-salinity tolerance in mariculture crustaceans at the organismal, cellular, and molecular levels [1, 7-10]. In general, the most common adaptive strategies for hyperosmoregulation aim to maintain hemolymph osmolarity above that of the ambient medium, both via salt absorption and via permeability reduction (i.e., reducing or limiting water inflow) [3]. However, most euryhaline crustaceans produce isosmotic urine, and thus considerable salt is lost in low-salinity environments [11-12]. Studies of low-salinity tolerance in crustaceans have shown that the gills also participate in osmoregulation. In detailed reviews, Péqueux (1995) and Henry (2012) assessed the specialized functions of gills and gill parts in various crustaceans and showed that both cuticle permeability and the

membrane characteristics of the salt-transporting gill epithelial cells were critical to osmoregulation [1, 3]. Some bio-molecules in the gill epithelial cells facilitate salt absorption and may also inhibit of water inflow, possibly compensating for passive salt loss and water gain; these biomolecules include Na^+/K^+ -ATPase, K^+ channels, Cl^- channels, carbonic anhydrase, aquaporins (AQPs), and various exchangers ($\text{Na}^+/\text{NH}_4^+$, Na^+/H^+ , and $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$) [3, 5, 13-16].

The mechanisms underlying low-salinity adaptations in crustaceans have been investigated with respect to salt absorption [1, 3]. However, although the water-permeability of epithelial cells is known to change rapidly based on the properties of some AQPs [17-18], the regulation of water inflow in invertebrates (particularly crustaceans) by AQPs remains unclear [16, 19]. During osmoregulation, it is also important to determine how energy is distributed in response to low salinity; various invertebrates have been shown to consume more energy during osmoregulation [1, 3].

The euryhaline Chinese shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus chinensis*), which has an isosmotic point of 25 ppt, is naturally distributed primarily in the Chinese Yellow Sea, the Bohai Sea, and along the western coast of the Korean Peninsula [20-21]. It is an important commercial shrimp along the coasts of China and Korea [20]. As these shrimp are cultured in much lower salinity (under the isosmotic point), they must manage or tolerate substantial changes in water osmolality. In crustaceans similarly exposed to salinity stress (e.g., the swimming crab, *Portunus trituberculatus*; the Chinese crab, *Eriocheir sinensis*; and the Pacific white shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei*),

several genes in pathways potentially associated with adaptations to low salinity have been reported [22-25]. Reports showed that *F. chinensis* has a poorer ability to maintain a stable hemolymph osmolarity (reflecting the weaker low-salinity adaptation), compared to *P. vannamei* [21, 26]. The poorer ability limits the farming development of *F. chinensis*. However, to date, studies on low-salinity adaptation in *F. chinensis* are still lacking on molecules. Recently Li (2019b) reported on *F. chinensis* that the group after exposure at low salinity (10 ppt) showed significantly elevated citrate synthase (CS) and cytochrome C oxidase (COX) activities in its gill when compared with the group subjected to 20 ppt salinity condition [21]. For this species, these proteins have also included Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase, phenoloxidase (PO), heat shock proteins (HSPs), ion-transport enzymes [27-29].

In addition, here, we hypothesize that several other proteins, including channel transporters, AQPs, and proteins associated with energy consumption, are involved in low-salinity resistance in *F. chinensis*. To test this hypothesis, we aimed to use transcriptome analysis to identify and annotate the genes differentially expressed in *F. chinensis* exposed to low-salinity conditions, and to explore the molecular pathways associated with osmoregulation or energy consumption that were overrepresented in these genes. Our results will clarify the mechanisms underlying low-salinity tolerance in *F. chinensis* and further help to explain the adaptation ability during osmoregulation in this species.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and treatment

Live shrimp were obtained from a local market near their farm of origin (in Lianyungang, N 34°48'52.47", E 119°12'19.08"). Shrimp were transported to our laboratory at Lianyungang Normal College. Before experimentation, all shrimp were acclimated to a salinity of 20 ppt at 25°C for 5 days. This salinity was similar to the natural isosmotic point of this species (25 ppt) [20, 30], as well as to the salinity at the shrimp farm (23 ppt). At late stage of the acclimation period, survival rates were consistently high. We then randomly divided the shrimp into two groups (n = 20 per group) by two 22 L (liters) of transparent plastic tanks: the low-salinity group (LS) was exposed to low salinity levels (5 ppt) for 24 h, while the control group remained at 20 ppt salinity as salinities ranging from 20 to 32 ppt are considered optimal survival rates [20]. *F. chinensis* in this salinity range (20 to 32 ppt) should suffer less salinity stress. In the study, salinity was maintained using sea salt and pure water and measured by a portable salinity meter (Arcevoos® ST6). In each tank, 15 L of water was used and one-third of it was replaced every 12 hours (7:00-19:00). At the end of the 24 h experimental period, the gills of three randomly selected surviving individuals per group (mean body length: 9.13 ± 0.47 cm; mean body weight 5.13 ± 0.65 g) were harvested and stored at -70°C for transcriptome and real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis.

RNA isolation, library construction, and sequencing

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen Corp., USA). RNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA), and RNA integrity was assessed using 1.5% agarose gel

electrophoresis. Magnetic oligo (dT) beads were used to isolate mRNA from total RNA. The mRNA was then fragmented into fragments approximately 200 bp long using fragmentation buffer (Tris-acetate, KOAc, and MgOAc) at 94°C for 35 min. The fragmented mRNA was used to construct the cDNA libraries. At least 5 µl of mRNA solution (≥ 200 ng/µl) was used to construct each library. Sequencing libraries for each sample were generated using the TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, USA). Libraries were paired-end sequenced using a HiSeq X Ten platform (Illumina, USA). The read length was 200bp.

Transcriptome assembly and unigene annotation

Raw sequence data were processed using FastqStat.jar V1.0 [31], with default parameters. We then used Cutadapt v1.16 (<http://cutadapt.readthedocs.io>; [32]) with parameters -q 20 -m 20 to clean the raw sequence data by deleting adapter sequences, deleting poly-N sequences, trimming low-quality sequence ends ($<Q20$), deleting sequences with N ratios $>10\%$, and removing reads less than 25 bp long. We used Trinity (<http://trinityrnaseq.github.io>; [33]) to assemble the clean reads. Subsequently, paired-end reads were used to fill the gaps when sequence scaffolds could not be extended on either end. These sequences were defined as transcripts and were subsequently assembled into unigenes based on clustering patterns using Corset [24, 34].

The identified unigenes were annotated against six databases: NCBI nonredundant protein sequences (NR), Protein Families (PFAM), Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING), KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and

Genomes) Ortholog (KO), Gene Ontology (GO), and SWISS-PROT. We searched the unigenes against these databases using BlastX v2.2.25 [35] with a cutoff E-value of 10^{-5} . Functional unigenes were classified based on GO terms using Blast2GO (<http://www.blast2go.com/b2ghome>) [36].

Identification and enrichment of differentially expressed unigenes (DEGs)

We used Kallisto v0.43.1 (<http://kallisto.com>) to evaluate the expression levels of the unigenes based on transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) values; higher TPM values reflect higher levels of unigene expression [37]. We used edgeR v3.24 to identify unigenes where $|\log_2 \text{fold-change (FC)}|$ was >1 and the false discovery rate (FDR) was <0.05 [38-39]; these unigenes were considered DEGs. We then identified the KEGG pathways significantly enriched in the DEGs ($P < 0.05$) using hypergeometric test [40].

Verification of DEGs using RT-qPCR

We selected four RNA-Seq DEGs (three upregulated and one downregulated) for RT-qPCR validation, which belong to the significant pathways (Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, Mineral absorption and Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis). We used the *β -actin* gene as the internal reference gene, against which to normalize the expression levels of the target genes. Gene-specific primers were designed based on sequences derived from the transcriptome assembly and annotation using Primer Premier 5.0 [41] (Table 1). Each RT-qPCR (25 μ l) contained 12.5 μ l of $2 \times$ SYBR qPCR Mix, 1 μ l each of forward and reverse primers, 1 μ l of cDNA, and 10.5 μ l of

RNase-free H₂O. RT-qPCRs were performed on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), with the following cycling conditions: an initial denaturation step of 3 min at 95°C; 40 cycles of 15 s at 94°C, 15 s at 55°C, and 25 s at 72°C; and a standard dissociation cycle. Three technical replicates were performed per gene, and the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT method [42] was used to calculate relative expression levels. We considered genes differentially expressed between groups if $|\log_2FC|$ was >1 and the FDR was <0.05 .

Table 1. Primers used for real-time quantitative PCR.

Gene name	Forward primer sequence (5'-3')	Reverse primer sequence (5'-3')
<i>β-actin</i>	GCGAGAAATCGTGCGT GAC	AGGGTGCGAGGGCAGT GAT
<i>ATP1A</i>	AGAAGGCCGATATTGG TG	CAGGGATGTTAGATGTC AGG
<i>CLCN2</i>	AACAACACCCATTGCC TCAC	TCCACCAACTCCCAGAC G
<i>HK</i>	GCAGACGCAGTTGACG AT	ACTCCTTGCGAGACCT T
<i>glyA</i>	ACAAATCTGCCCTGAA TC	CCTTGAACCTCTGCGTCT C

Results

Sequence quality and de novo assembly

After filtering the raw reads, we obtained 45,297,936 clean reads for group LS and 44,685,728 for the control group. Base call accuracy was acceptable based on Q20 values, which correspond to a 99% accuracy rate for each nucleotide base (i.e., A,

C, T and G) in a sequence ([43]: 97.64% and 97.16% of the bases in the LS and control groups, respectively, had quality scores >20 (Q20) (Table 2). De novo assembly yielded 211,955 transcripts and 159,130 unigenes. The average lengths of the transcripts and unigenes were 1,028.64 bp and 662.82 bp, respectively. The N50 values (length of the smallest transcript/unigene in the set that contains the fewest (largest) transcripts/unigenes whose combined length represents at least 50% of the assembly) [44] for the transcripts and unigenes were 2,503 bp and 1,004 bp, respectively (Table 3). The raw data have been uploaded to SRA database and the BioProject ID is PRJNA669213.

Table 2. Clean-read statistics.

Group	Total reads	Total bases	Error%	Q20%	GC%
Low-salinity (LS)	45,297,936	6,725,104,745	0.03	97.14	45.99
Control	44,685,728	6,634,797,034	0.03	97.16	47.40

Table 3. De novo assembly statistics.

Type	Unigenes	Transcripts
Total sequence num	159,130	211,955
Total sequence base	105,474,756	218,024,931
Percent GC	42.42	43.36
Largest (bp)	38,633	38,633
Smallest (bp)	201	186
Average (bp)	662.82	1028.64
N50	1,004	2,503
N90	269	341

Annotation and classification of the transcriptome

We were able to annotate only a small fraction of the 159,130 unigenes against the six databases (10.50% on average). The greatest proportion of unigenes (13.99%; 22,256 unigenes) was annotated against the NR database, followed by the STRING and SWISS-PROT databases (10.89% and 10.94%, respectively; Table 4). GO analysis of the annotated unigenes showed that, in the biological process category, the three terms annotated in the most unigenes were macromolecule metabolic process (10,578 unigenes), organonitrogen compound metabolic process (10,297 unigenes), and regulation of cellular process (9,871 unigenes); in the cellular components category, the three terms annotated in the most unigenes were intracellular (14,934 unigenes), intracellular part (14,883 unigenes), and cytoplasm (14,078 unigenes); and in the molecular function category, the three terms annotated in the most unigenes were cation binding (5,800 unigenes), nucleic acid binding (5,521 unigenes), and anion binding (5,322 unigenes) (Fig 1).

Table 4. Annotation statistics of 159 130 Unigenes on *F. chinensis*.

Database	No. of unigenes	% of total
GO	16,578	10.42%
KEGG	13,975	8.78%
NR	22,256	13.99%
PFAM	13,360	8.40%
STRING	17,325	10.89%
SWISS-PROT	16,700	10.49%
Average	16,699	10.50%

DEG identification and enrichment

We identified 3,658 unigenes as DEGs (i.e., $|\log_2FC| > 1$ and $FDR < 0.05$). Of

these, 1,755 were downregulated in the LS group as compared to the control, and 1,903 were upregulated (Fig 2). We identified 38 KEGG pathways as significantly enriched in the 3,658 DEGs ($P < 0.05$, Fig 3). Of these, 13 were metabolic pathways, including tryptophan metabolism, lysine degradation, drug metabolism-cytochrome P450, and tyrosine metabolism; 13 were organismal systems pathways, including salivary secretion, insulin secretion, proximal tubule bicarbonate reclamation, and the TOLL and IMD signaling pathways; and two were cellular process pathways, namely phagosome and regulation of the actin cytoskeleton (Fig 3). The remaining 10 pathways were associated with environmental information processing, drug development, and human diseases (Fig 3). Across all 38 pathways, three were potentially related to osmoregulation: mineral absorption (ko04978), four DEGs; vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption (KEGG: ko04962), three DEGs; and ribosome (ko03010), 29 DEGs (Table 5). The DEGs in these pathways included *ATPIA* (Sodium/potassium-transporting ATPase subunit alpha), *CLCN2* (Chloride channel 2), *VAMP2* (Vesicle-associated membrane protein 2), and *AQP4* (Aquaporin-4), *HMOX2* (Heme oxygenase 2), *SLC40A1/FPN1* (Solute carrier family 40 (iron-regulated transporter), member 1), *RAB5* (Ras-related protein Rab-5), etc. (Table 5).

Table 5. Unigenes in osmoregulation-related pathways differentially expressed in response to low salinity. OS: Organismal Systems; GIP: Genetic Information Processing

Gene Symbol	Up/Down-regulation (log2FC), LS	Description	KEGG ID
-------------	---------------------------------	-------------	---------

vs Control				
Mineral absorption-KEGG pathway (OS)				
<i>ATP1A</i>	Upregulated (2.49)	Sodium/potassium-transporting subunit alpha	ATPase	ATPase
<i>CLCN2</i>	Upregulated (1.87)	Chloride channel 2		ClC-2
<i>HMOX2</i>	Upregulated (1.10)	Heme oxygenase 2		HMOX
<i>SLC40A1/FPN1</i>	Downregulated (-1.18)	Solute carrier family 40 (iron-regulated transporter), member 1		FPN1
Vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption- KEGG pathway (OS)				
<i>AQP4</i>	Downregulated (-1.14)	Aquaporin-4;		AQP4
<i>RAB5</i>	Downregulated (-1.26)	Ras-related protein Rab-5		Rab5
<i>VAMP2</i>	Downregulated (-1.20)	Vesicle-associated membrane protein 2		VAMP2
Ribosome- KEGG pathway (GIP)				
<i>RP-L40e</i>	Upregulated (1.30)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L40e		L40e
<i>RP-L36</i>	Upregulated (1.22)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L36e		L36e
<i>RP-L7</i>	Upregulated (1.21)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L7/L12		L7/L12
<i>RP-S3e</i>	Downregulated (-1.10)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S3e		S3e
<i>RP-S4e</i>	Downregulated (-1.03)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S4e		S4e
<i>RP-S9</i>	Downregulated (-1.57)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S9		S9
<i>RP-S14e</i>	Downregulated (-1.06)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S14e		S14e
<i>RP-S15e</i>	Downregulated (-1.13)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S15e		S15e
<i>RP-S15Ae</i>	Downregulated (-1.20)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S15Ae		S15Ae
<i>RP-S19e</i>	Downregulated (-1.07)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S19e		S19e
<i>RP-S21e</i>	Downregulated (-1.38)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S21e		S21e
<i>RP-S23e</i>	Downregulated (-1.06)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S23e		S23e
<i>RP-S27e</i>	Downregulated (-1.24)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S27e		S27e

<i>RP-S29e</i>	Downregulated (-1.06)	Small subunit ribosomal protein S29e	S29e
<i>RP-L5e</i>	Downregulated (-1.10)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L5e	L5e
<i>RP-L7e</i>	Downregulated (-1.05)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L7e	L7e
<i>RP-L9e</i>	Downregulated (-1.27)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L9e	L9e
<i>RP-L10Ae</i>	Downregulated (-1.15)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L10Ae	L10Ae
<i>RP-L13e</i>	Downregulated (-2.71)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L13e	L13e
<i>RP-L14e</i>	Downregulated (-1.00)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L14e	L14e
<i>RP-L17e</i>	Downregulated (-1.10)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L17e	L17e
<i>RP-L18e</i>	Downregulated (-1.40)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L18e	L18e
<i>RP-L21e</i>	Downregulated (-1.07)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L21e	L21e
<i>RP-L23e</i>	Downregulated (-2.59)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L23e	L23e
<i>RP-L28e</i>	Downregulated (-1.07)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L28e	L28e
<i>RP-L29e</i>	Downregulated (-1.02)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L29e	L29e
<i>RP-L31e</i>	Downregulated (-1.11)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L31e	L31e
<i>RP-L35Ae</i>	Downregulated (-1.12)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L35Ae	L35Ae
<i>RP-L35e</i>	Downregulated (-1.10)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L35e	L35e
<i>RP-L37Ae</i>	Downregulated (-1.92)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L37Ae	L37Ae
<i>RP-L37e</i>	Downregulated (-1.04)	Large subunit ribosomal protein L37e	L37e

253

254 RT-qPCR verification

255 We used RT-qPCR to verify four DEGs: two DEGs from osmoregulation-related
256 pathways (*ATPIA* and *CLCN2*; Table 5) and two random DEGs in other pathways

(*HK* and *glyA*). Consistent with the RNA-seq results, RT-qPCR identified *ATP1A*, *CLCN2*, and *HK* (hexokinase) as significantly upregulated in the LS group as compared to the control ($|\log_2FC| > 1$ and $FDR < 0.05$; Fig 4). Although *glyA* (glycine hydroxymethyltransferase) was not significantly downregulated between the LS and control groups in the qRT-PCR analysis, this gene had similar patterns of expression in both the qRT-PCR and the RNA-Seq analyses (Fig 4).

Discussion

Assembly quality and GO classification

The *F. chinensis* transcriptome assembled in this study had an N50 of 1,004 bp, which was similar to, but slightly better than, those previously obtained for *P. vannamei* (448 bp; [23]) and *Oratosquilla oratoria* (798 bp; [45]). This indicated that our assembly was of acceptable quality. Consistent with previous studies of osmoregulation ([23-24]), the unigenes identified in this study were primarily associated with cation binding, macromolecule metabolic process, and organonitrogen compound metabolic process. This suggested that genes with functions in these categories are potentially important to adaptation to low-salinity environments. The result also partially supports the finding from Yuan (2017), who showed that 15.02 % and 16.24 % of positively selected genes in seawater and freshwater shrimps, respectively, enriched in the functions of cation binding; 22.71% and 18.80% positively selected genes in seawater and freshwater shrimps, respectively, enriched in the functions of cellular macromolecule metabolic process [46]. In their study, however, no specific and osmoregulation-related data for *F. chinensis* is available,

thus genes used in this study are not identical compared to that in their study. Whether unigens enriched in other GO terms mentioned by this study (Fig 1) have positive selection sites to adapt the low-salinity environments, which is another question to answer in future.

DEGs and pathways adapted to low salinity

Minerals not only serve as nutrients, but also are essential components of osmoregulation for crustaceans [3]. In the LS group, three genes in the mineral absorption pathway (ko04978) were differentially expressed as compared to the control: three were upregulated (*ATP1A*, *CLCN2*, and *HMOX2*), and one was downregulated (*SLC40A1/FPN1*; Table 5). Of these, *ATP1A*, *CLCN2*, and *SLC40A/FPN1* encode channel transporter proteins, while *HMOX2* encodes an intracellular protein. Our identification of these DEGs in this important pathway suggested that they may play a role in osmoregulation in response to low-salinity exposure.

ATP1A is involved in encoding a Na^+/K^+ -ATPase that controls the movements of the Na^+ and K^+ ions between the hemolymph and the intracellular fluid [1]. The upregulation of Na^+/K^+ -ATPase on the basolateral membrane causes more Na^+ ions to be transported out than K^+ ions taken in [47]. The upregulation of the chloride channel protein (encoded by *CLCN2*) has a similar effect on osmoregulation, increasing the amount of Cl^- leaving the cell and entering the hemolymph space. This process thus facilitates the rapid adaptation of *F. chinensis* to low-salinity environments by increasing salt concentration in hemolymph [1]. Indeed, previous studies have shown

that *F. chinensis* hemolymph is hyperosmotic to the external medium at low salinities (e.g., 5 ppt) [10, 30]. On this point, the results support the opinion reviewed by Péqueux (1995) and Henry (2012) that osmoregulators in low-salinity environment (below 26 ppt) will turn on mechanisms of anisomotic extracellular regulation to stabilize hemolymph osmotic and ionic concentrations [1, 3]. Although the control group (20 ppt) is already in low-salinity environment according to reference salinity of 26 ppt, the result has implied that the salinity difference between 5 ppt and 20 ppt is too huge enough to make their gene expression difference, as well as the hemolymph osmolality [21].

We also observed that two genes associated with iron levels were differentially expressed in the LS group as compared to the control: *HMOX2*, which encodes heme oxygenase 2, was upregulated, and *SLC40A1/FPN1*, which encodes an iron-regulated transporter, was downregulated. The differential expression of these genes may lead to the increased production of ferrous irons and reduced iron outflow (ko04978) in the LS group. A previous study had showed that the decreased iron concentration in blood of *Cacinus maenas* was associated with their adaptation to osmotic stress [48]. The downregulation of the iron-regulated transporter (DIRT) in this study has provided a new interpretation that how the iron concentration in blood was decreased at the molecular level. Besides the crustaceans, the DIRT even occurred in fish species like steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). However, fewer reports clearly showed the function of the iron in osmoregulation [48-49]. Decreasing blood iron concentration in crustaceans under salinity stress was interpreted by the sortation of iron from blood to

other tissues [48]. Moreover, extreme salinity stress will generates an increase in the ROS (reactive oxygen species) which is harmful to crustaceans [3]. Iron in tissues plays a role in oxidative metabolism by the form of a key competent in cytochromes and enzymes [50]. Thus, taken together, it may be the way for *F. chinensis* in low salinity in response to salinity stress that the iron in gill cells is prone to be used for synthesis of bio-molecules (cytochromes and enzymes) involved in oxidative metabolism.

In addition regulating salt and mineral levels, crustaceans maintain an approximately constant osmotic concentration of extracellular fluid (hemolymph) regardless of the salinity of the surrounding medium, by regulating water flow in and out of the hemolymph [1]. Here, three unigenes in the vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption pathway (ko04962; *AQP4*, *VAMP2*, and *RAB5*) were downregulated in the LS group. We expect that this downregulation will reduce water inflow to the hemolymph, helping to maintain a constant osmotic concentration. In particular, because the primary function of *AQP4* is to transport water across the plasma membrane into hemolymph [19, 51], thus, the downregulation of the *AQP4* gene will facilitate reduction of hemodilution. Similarly, the downregulation of *VAMP2* will strongly inhibit *AQP2* fusion at the apical membrane, which has been shown to decrease water flow into the hemolymph in vertebrates [18, 52]. Finally, the downregulation of *RAB5* may also inhibit the fusion of *AQP2* at the endosomal apical membrane; *RAB5* is also one of the components implicated in early endosome fusion [53], particularly, which is predicted to be involved in the regulation of *AQP2*

trafficking to and from the plasma membrane [54]. Thus, this study reports, for the first time, that the genes (*AQP4*, *VAMP2*, and *RAB5*) are associated with the reduction of water-permeability in *F. chinensis* in response to low-salinity environments.

In the study, we expected that the allostatic load on *F. chinensis* would increase when salinity decreased from 20 ppt to 5 ppt. All forms of allostasis require energy, as allostatic load increases, the amount of energy available for other biological functions decreases [6, 21, 23, 25, 55]. We found that most of the DEGs in the ribosome pathway (ko03010) were downregulated in the LS group as compared to the control (Table 5). Ribosome is the location of polypeptide synthesis. Downregulation of the structural macromolecular components in ribosome could decrease polypeptide synthesis. The previous study showed proteins L4, L22, L39e, L19, L23, L24, L29 and L31e are important to polypeptide synthesis [56]. Downregulation of these genes in this study implies the synthesis of proteins will be affected in *F. chinensis* exposed to low salinities. Notably, it seems that proteins not involved in low-salinity resistance more likely decrease, concomitant with the diversion of energy resources to osmoregulation. Similarly, *P. vannamei* subjected to chronic low-salinity stress upregulated the expression of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) to maintain energy balance by increasing catabolism to generate ATP and decreasing anabolism to conserve ATP [57]. However, *AMPK* was not significantly differentially expressed in *F. chinensis*. This suggested that, unlike *P. vannamei* (another common shrimp species cultured in China), *F. chinensis* may not have a strong ability to adapt to low-salinity conditions in maintaining energy balance. Otherwise, another evidence

implying the weaker adaption of *F. chinensis* to low salinity compared to *P. vannamei* can be found in studies from Tang (2016) and Li (2019b) [21, 26]. Hemolymph osmolality of *F. chinensis* was significantly reduced when salinity decreased from 15 ppt to 10 ppt [21], while that of *P. vannamei* did not significantly reduced even when environmental salinity decreased from 12 ppt to 0 ppt [26]. Thus, successfully low-salinity aquaculture of *F. chinensis* deserves more attention.

In this study, we used two different salinities to identify DEGs potentially associated with the response of *F. chinensis* to low salinity. Because we only compared two salinities, our results do not reflect the adaptation process. To better understand the mechanisms associated with gradual or continuous changes in salinity, we aim to investigate the responses of this species to additional salinities in future studies, using both RNA-Seq and RT-qPCR.

In summary, our results indicated that four unigenes in the mineral absorption pathway (*ATP1A*, *CLCN2*, *HMOX2*, and *SLC40A1/FPN1*), as well as three unigenes in the vasopressin-regulated water reabsorption pathway (*AQP4*, *VAMP2*, and *RAB5*), were differentially expressed in *F. chinensis* in response to low-salinity exposure. These pathways, in conjunction with the ribosome pathway, may be important for osmoregulation in *F. chinensis* under low-salinity conditions. Although the associated mechanisms require further investigation, our results help to clarify the molecular responses of *F. chinensis* to low-salinity environments. This study suggests that *F. chinensis* could be an evolutionary model of weak osmoregulator, combining with patterns of hemolymph osmoregulation (include the strong osmoregulator, weak

osmoregulator and osmoconformer, etc.) in aquatic crustaceans viewed by Péqueux (1995) [1].

Data Availability Statement

The raw data have been uploaded to SRA database (PRJNA669213).

Funding

The work was funded by Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (19KJD180001); and sponsored by “Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province of China”; Young Talents Support Program in Lianyungang Normal College (LSZQNXM201702); Open Foundation of Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Bioresources of Saline Soils (JKLBS2016008).

Acknowledgements

We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance and scientific consultation during the preparation of this manuscript.

Author Contributions

Data curation: Lei Zhang, Zhengfei Wang.

Funding acquisition: Jun Liu.

Resources: Shiguang Shao, Lei Zhang.

Writing – original draft: Jun Liu, Jie Shen.

Writing – review & editing: Jun Liu, Jie Shen, Daizhen Zhang.

Competing Interests

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

1. Péqueux A. Osmotic regulation in crustaceans. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*. 1995; 15(1): 1-60. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1549010>
2. Foster C, Amado EM, Souza MM, Freire CA. Do osmoregulators have lower capacity of muscle water regulation than osmoconformers? A study on decapod crustaceans. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological Genetics and Physiology*. 2010; 313A(2): 80-94. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.575>
3. Henry RP, Lucu C, Onken H, Weihrauch D. Multiple functions of the crustacean gill: osmotic/ionic regulation, acid-base balance, ammonia excretion, and bioaccumulation of toxic metals. *Frontiers in physiology*. 2012; 3: 1-33. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2012.00431>
4. McNamara JC, Faria SC. Evolution of osmoregulatory patterns and gill ion transport mechanisms in the decapod Crustacea: a review. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic & Environmental Physiology*. 2012; 182(8): 997-1014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-012-0665-8>
5. Henry RP. Environmentally mediated carbonic anhydrase induction in the gills of euryhaline crustaceans. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2001; 204(5): 991-1002.
6. Sundell K, Wrange AL, Jonsson PR, Blomberg A. Osmoregulation in barnacles: An evolutionary perspective of potential mechanisms and future research directions. *Frontiers in Physiology*. 2019; 10: 877. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00877>
7. Li E, Chen L, Zeng C, Yu N, Xiong Z, Chen X, et al. Comparison of digestive and antioxidant enzymes activities, haemolymph oxyhemocyanin contents and

- hepatopancreas histology of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, at various salinities. Aquaculture, 2008; 274(1): 80-86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.11.001>
8. Bonilla-Gómez JL, Chiappa-Carrara X, Galindo C, Jeronimo G, Cuzon G, Gaxiola G. Physiological and biochemical changes of wild and cultivated juvenile pink shrimp *Farfantepenaeus duorarum* (Crustacea: Penaeidae) during molt cycle. Journal of Crustacean Biology. 2012; 32(4): 597-606. <https://doi.org/10.1163/193724012X630679>
9. Havird JC, Mitchell RT, Henry RP, Santos SR. Salinity-induced changes in gene expression from anterior and posterior gills of *Callinectes sapidus* (Crustacea: Portunidae) with implications for crustacean ecological genomics. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics. 2016; 19(C), 34-44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbd.2016.06.002>
10. Li J, Li W, Zhang X. Effects of dissolved oxygen, starvation, temperature, and salinity on the locomotive ability of juvenile Chinese shrimp *Fenneropenaeus chinensis*. Ethology Ecology & Evolution. 2019a; 31(2): 155-172. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03949370.2018.1526215>
11. Cameron JN, Batterton CV. Antennal gland function in the freshwater bluecrab, *Callinectes sapidus*: water, electrolyte, acid-base and ammonia excretion. Journal of Comparative Physiology. 1978a; 123: 143-148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00687842>
12. Cameron JN, Batterton CV. Temperature and blood acid-base status in the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. Respiration physiology. 1978b; 35(2): 101-110. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-5687\(78\)90015-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-5687(78)90015-4) PMID: 33428
13. Neufeld GJ, Pritchard JB. Osmoregulation and gill Na, K-ATPase in the rock crab,

- Cancer irroratus*: response to DDT. Comparative biochemistry and physiology.
- C: Comparative pharmacology. 1979; 62c(2): 165-172. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4492\(79\)90005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4492(79)90005-4)
- 14.** Henry RP, Cameron JN. The distribution and partial characterization of carbonic anhydrase in selected aquatic and terrestrial decapod crustaceans. Journal of Experimental Zoology. 1982; 221: 309-321. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402210306>
- 15.** Varley DG, Greenaway P. Nitrogenous excretion in the terrestrial carnivorous crab *geograpsus grayi*: site and mechanism of excretion. The Journal of Experimental Biology. 1994; 190(1): 179-193.
- 16.** Chung JS, Maurer L, Bratcher M, Pitula JS, Ogburn MB. Cloning of aquaporin-1 of the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*: its expression during the larval development in hyposalinity. Aquatic Biosystems. 2012; 8(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-9063-8-21>
- 17.** Nejsum LN, Zelenina M, Aperia A, Frokiaer J, Nielsen S. Bidirectional regulation of AQP2 trafficking and recycling: involvement of AQP2-S256 phosphorylation. American Journal of Physiology: Renal Physiology. 2005; 288: F930-F938. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00291.2004>
- 18.** Campbell EM, Ball A, Hoppler S, Bowman AS. Invertebrate aquaporins: a review. Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology. 2008; 178(8): 935-955. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-008-0288-2>
- 19.** Ishibashi K, Sasaki S. Aquaporin water channels in mammals. Clinical and Experimental Nephrology. 1997; 1(4): 247-253. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02480636>

20. Chen JC, Lin JN. Osmolality and chloride concentration in the hemolymph of subadult *Penaeus chinensis* subjected to different salinity levels. *Aquaculture*. 1994; 125(1-2): 167-174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486\(94\)90293-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(94)90293-3)
21. Li J, Xu X, Li W, Zhang X. Linking energy metabolism and locomotor variation to osmoregulation in Chinese shrimp *Fenneropenaeus chinensis*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part - B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2019b; 234: 58-67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2019.05.006>
22. Péqueux A, Gilles R. NaCl transport in gills and related structures. Part I: Invertebrates. In: *Advances in Comparative and Environmental Physiology* (Gerger R, ed.), 1988; pp 2-47. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
23. Zhang D, Wang F, Dong S, Lu Y. De novo assembly and transcriptome analysis of osmoregulation in *Litopenaeus vannamei* under three cultivated conditions with different salinities. *Gene*. 2016; 578(2): 185-193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2015.12.026>
24. Zhang D, Liu J, Qi T, Ge B, Liu Q, Jiang S, et al. Comparative transcriptome analysis of *Eriocheir japonica sinensis* response to environmental salinity. *PLoS ONE*, 2018a; 13(9): e0203280. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203280> PMID: 30192896
25. Gao B, Sun D, Lv J, Ren X, Liu P, Li J. Transcriptomic analysis provides insight into the mechanism of salinity adjustment in swimming crab *Portunus trituberculatus*. *Genes and Genomics*. 2019; 41(8): 961-971. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13258-019-00828-4>
26. Tang JZ, Liu Z, Wang XL, Qi SW, Bai LY, Zhang JC, et al. Effects of desalination on survival rate, osmolality and Na⁺ / K⁺-ATPase activity of *Penaeus vannamei*. *Freshwater Fisheries*. 2016; 46(3): 82-86.

- 511 **27.** Liu B, Yu Z, Song X, Guan Y, Jian X, He J. The effect of acute salinity change on
512 white spot syndrome (WSS) outbreaks in *Fenneropenaeus chinensis*.
513 Aquaculture. 2006; 253(1-4): 163-170.
514 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.08.022>
- 515 **28.** Sen D, Fang W, Hao S, Biao G, Shuanglin D. Effects of salinity fluctuation
516 frequency on the osmolarity, Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase activity and hsp70 expression in
517 juvenile chinese shrimp, *Fenneropenaeus chinensis*. Journal of Ocean University
518 of China. 2009; 8(1): 71-76. 10.1007/s11802-009-0071-3
- 519 **29.** Pan L, Li L, Zhang L. Variations of ion-transport enzyme activities during early
520 development of the shrimps *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* and *Marsupenaeus*
521 *japonicus*. Journal of Ocean University of China, 2010; 9(1): 76-80.
522 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11802-010-0076-y>
- 523 **30.** Chen JC, Lin MN, Ting YY, Lin JN. Survival, haemolymph osmolality and tissue
524 water *Penaeus chinensis* juveniles acclimated to different salinity and
525 temperature levels. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Physiology.
526 1995; 110(3): 253-258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629\(94\)00164-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0300-9629(94)00164-O)
- 527 **31.** Cock PJA, Fields CJ, Goto N, Heuer ML, Rice PM. The Sanger FASTQ file
528 format for sequences with quality scores, and the Solexa/Illumina FASTQ
529 variants. Nucleic Acids Research. 2010; 38(6): 1767-1771.
530 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp1137> PMID: 20015970
- 531 **32.** Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
532 reads. *Embnet Journal*, 2011; 17: 10-12. <https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200>
- 533 **33.** Haas BJ, Papanicolaou A, Yassour M, Grabherr M, Blood PD, Bowden J, et al.
534 De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the Trinity
535 platform for reference generation and analysis. Nature Protocols. 2013; 8(8):

- 1494-512. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.084>
- 34.** Nadia M, Davidson AO. Corset: enabling differential gene expression analysis for
de novo assembled transcriptomes. *Genome Biology*. 2014; 15(7): 410.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0410-6>
- 35.** Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipmanl DJ. Basic local alignment
search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 1990; 215(3): 403-410.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
- 36.** Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Rob-les M. Blast2GO: a
universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics
research. *Bioinformatics*. 2005; 21(18): 3674-3676.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610>
- 37.** Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-seq data
with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2011; 12(1): 323.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-323>
- 38.** Reiner A, Yekutieli D, Benjamini Y. Identifying differentially expressed genes
using false discovery rate controlling procedures. *Bioinformatics*. 2003; 19(3):
368-375. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btf877>
- 39.** Trapnell C, Hendrickson DG, Sauvageau M, Goff L, Rinn JL, Pachter L.
Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq.
Nature Biotechnology. 2013; 31(1): 46-53. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2450>
PMID: 23222703
- 40.** Li Y, Wang X, Li C, Hu S, Yu J, Song S. Transcriptome-wide
n6-methyladenosine profiling of rice callus and leaf reveals the presence of
tissue-specific competitors involved in selective mRNA modification. *RNA
Biology*. 2014; 11(9): 1180-1188. <https://doi.org/10.4161/rna.36281>

41. Lalitha S. Primer premier 5. Biotech Software & Internet Report. 2000; 1: 270-272. <https://doi.org/10.1089/152791600459894>
42. Wang S, Wang Y, Wu H, Hu L. Rbp2 induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in non-small cell lung cancer. Plos One. 2013; 8(12): e84735. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084735>
43. Liao P, Satten GA, Hu YJ. PhredEM: a phred-score-informed genotype-calling approach for next-generation sequencing studies. Genetic Epidemiology. 2017; 7(5): 1393-1404. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.22048>
44. Miller JR, Koren S, Sutton G. Assembly algorithms for next-generation sequencing data. Genomics. 2010; 95(6): 315-327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2010.03.001>
45. Zhang D, Liu J, Qi T, Ge B, Wang Z, Jiang S, et al. Transcriptome analysis of hepatopancreas from the Cr (VI)-stimulated mantis shrimp (*Oratosquilla oratoria*) by illumina paired-end sequencing: Assembly, annotation, and expression analysis. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2018b; 66(11): 2598-2606. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b05074>
46. Yuan J, Zhang X, Liu C, Duan H, Li F, Xiang J. Convergent evolution of the osmoregulation system in decapod shrimps. Marine Biotechnology. 2017; 19(1): 76-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-017-9729-9>
47. Lingrel JB. Na, K-ATPase: isoform structure, function, and expression. Journal of Bioenergetics & Biomembranes. 1992; 24(3): 263-270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00768847>
48. Spaargaren DH. Osmotically induced changes in copper and iron concentrations in three euryhaline crustacean species. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research. 1983; 17: 96-105.

49. Xiong Y, Dong S, Huang M, Li Y, Zhou Y. Growth, osmoregulatory response, adenine nucleotide contents, and liver transcriptome analysis of steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) under different salinity acclimation methods. *Aquaculture*. 2020; 520: 734937. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.734937>
50. King EN. Oxidative activity of crab gill mitochondria as a function of osmotic concentration. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*. 1966; 17(1): 245-258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-406X\(66\)90024-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-406X(66)90024-7)
51. Ishibashi K, Hara S, Kondo S. Aquaporin water channels in mammals. *Clinical and Experimental Nephrology*. 2009; 13(4): 107-117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02480636>
52. Procino G, Barbieri C, Tamma G, De Benedictis L, Pessin JE, Svelto M. et al. AQP2 exocytosis in the renal collecting duct - involvement of SNARE isoforms and the regulatory role of Munc18b. *Journal of Cell Science*. 2008; 121(12): 2097-2106. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.022210>
53. Navaroli DM, Bellvé KD, Standley C, Lifshitz LM, Cardia J, Lambright D, et al. Rabenosyn-5 defines the fate of the transferrin receptor following clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2012; 109(8): E471–E480. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115495109>
54. Procino G, Barbieri C, Carmosino M, Tamma G, Milano S, De Benedictis L, et al. Fluvastatin modulates renal water reabsorption in vivo through increased AQP2 availability at the apical plasma membrane of collecting duct cells. *Pflügers Archiv - European Journal of Physiology*, 2011; 462(5): 753-766. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-011-1007-5>

55. Bett C, Vinatea L. Combined effect of body weight, temperature and salinity on shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* oxygen consumption rate. Brazilian Journal of Oceanography. 2009; 57(4): 305-314. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-87592009000400005>
56. Nissen P, Hansen J, Ban N, Moore PB, Steitz TA. The structural basis of ribosome activity in peptide bond synthesis. Science, 2000; 289(5481): 920-930. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5481.920>
57. Xu C, Li E, Xu Z, Wang S, Chen K, Wang X, et al. Molecular characterization and expression of AMP-activated protein kinase in response to low-salinity stress in the Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part - B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2016; 198: 79-90.

Fig 1. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of the unigenes in the *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* genome, showing the GO terms most overrepresented in the GO categories (A) biological processes, (B) cellular components, and (C) molecular function. The colors in each pie chart correspond to GO terms, and the size of each slice represents the proportion of unigenes associated with each GO term.

Fig 2. Unigene expression patterns. The horizontal and vertical axes present the expression levels of unigenes in the two groups (TPM, transcripts per kilobase million, values); each value was logarithmically transformed. DEGs, i.e., unigenes with expression fold changes $|\log_2FC| > 1$ and $FDR < 0.05$, are marked with red and blue. Red dots represent unigenes that were significantly upregulated under low salinity, blue dots represent those that were significantly downregulated under low salinity, and black dots represent genes that are not DEGs. The greater the deviation of a dot from the diagonal, the greater the difference in the unigene expression between the two groups. Dots near 0 represent unigenes with low expression.

Fig 3. KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) pathways significantly enriched in the DEGs. Each bar represents a pathway, and the height of bar reflects the enrichment ratio (equal to Sample Number / Background Number). *: $FDR < 0.05$, **: $FDR < 0.01$.

Fig 4. RT-qPCR verification of four representative genes (*ATP1A*, *CLCN2*, *HK*, and *glyA*) identified as differentially expressed between low-salinity and control groups of *Fenneropenaeus chinensis*. *: $FDR < 0.05$. Each bar with standard error represents three replicates.

(A)

(B)

(C)

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4