Andean uplift, drainage basin formation, and the evolution of riverweeds (*Marathrum*, Podostemaceae) in northern South America

Ana M. Bedoya, Adam D. Leaché and Richard G. Olmstead

Department of Biology and Burke Museum, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

Author for correspondence:

Ana M. Bedoya

Tel: +1 2063104972

Email: ambedoya@uw.edu

Summary

- Northern South America is a geologically dynamic and species-rich region. While fossil and stratigraphic data show that reconfiguration of river drainages resulted from mountain uplift in the tropical Andes, investigations of the impact of landscape change on the evolution of the flora in the region have been restricted to terrestrial taxa.
- We explore the role of landscape change on the evolution of plants living strictly in rivers across drainage basins in northern South America by conducting population structure, phylogenomic, phylogenetic networks, and divergence-dating analyses for populations of riverweeds (*Marathrum*, Podostemaceae).
- We show that mountain uplift and drainage basin formation isolated populations of *Marathrum* and created barriers to gene flow across rivers drainages. Sympatric species hybridize and the hybrids show the phenotype of one parental line. We propose that the pattern of divergence of populations reflect the formation of river drainages, which was not complete until <4 Ma
- Our study provides a clear picture of the role of landscape change in shaping the evolution of riverweeds in northern South America, advances our understanding of the reproductive biology of this remarkable group of plants, and spotlights the impact of hybridization in phylogenetic inference.

Key words: Andean uplift, *Marathrum*, next-generation sequencing, northern South America, phylogenomics, rivers, target enrichment

Introduction

Landscape change has been recognized as a major driver of diversification of lineages (Hoorn *et al.*, 2013). In the Neotropics, the most species-rich region in the world, the uplift of the Andean Cordillera is thought to have contributed directly and indirectly to the assembly of the terrestrial biota (Janzen, 1967; Kattan *et al.*, 2004; Antonelli & Sanmartín, 2011; Sklenář *et al.*, 2011; Smith *et al.*, 2014; Hoorn *et al.*, 2018; Quintero & Jetz, 2018). However, by shifting the physical locations of watershed boundaries, connecting and disconnecting adjacent river basins, (Albert & Crampton, 2010; Hoorn *et al.*, 2010; Tagliacollo *et al.*, 2015; Ruokolainen *et al.*, 2019) the uplift of the Andean Cordillera has also impacted the evolution of organisms living in rivers as has been shown in fish (Albert *et al.*, 2006, 2020; Picq *et al.*, 2014; Tagliacollo *et al.*, 2015). The role of Andean uplift in Neotropical plant evolution has been investigated in terrestrial groups living in mountains (Richardson *et al.*, 2018), where a correlation of Andean orogeny with increased diversification rates (Lagomarsino *et al.*, 2016; Pérez-Escobar *et al.*, 2017; Testo *et al.*, 2019), and explosive radiation in taxa in high-elevation tropical ecosystems (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; Madriñán *et al.*, 2013; Nürk *et al.*, 2013; Nevado *et al.*, 2018) have been inferred. However, the impact of Andean uplift and landscape change in the region on plants in rivers remains unaddressed.

Here, we investigate the role of drainage basin formation linked to Andean uplift in shaping the evolution of river plants using riverweeds in the genus *Marathrum* (Podostemaceae) as a model system. Unlike most other angiosperms, except for the Hydrostachyaceae which are restricted to Africa and Madagascar, riverweeds are herbs that live attached to rocks partially or completely submerged in fast-flowing water ecosystems like river-rapids and waterfalls (Fig. 1b) (van Royen, 1951; Philbrick & Novelo, 1995). The family has a Pantropical distribution and is the only group of aquatic plants in the Neotropics to live strictly in rivers (van Royen, 1951; Tippery *et al.*, 2011). Riverweeds are mostly annuals with short generation times and have flowers with a reduced perianth and capsular fruits that are produced and project above the water surface in the dry season (Willis, 1915; Philbrick & Novelo, 1998; Koi *et al.*, 2015). Hundreds of seeds are shed prior to the onset of the wet season when the water level is still low, and attach to the rock with a sticky mucilage (Reyes-Ortega *et al.*, 2009). The adult plants remain vegetative and attached to rocks via adhesive hairs and a biofilm of cyanobacteria (Rutishauser *et al.*, 1999; Jäger-Zürn & Grubert, 2000). Therefore, it has been suggested that the Podostemaceae have a reduced dispersal ability and are highly endemic (Philbrick *et al.*, 2010).

Within the Podostemaceae, the genus *Marathrum* has 22 recognized species (van Royen, 1951; Novelo & Philbrick, 1997; Novelo *et al.*, 2009; Tippery *et al.*, 2011). The genus is distributed in the West Indies,

Central America, and across the Andes in northern South America (nSA) (van Royen, 1951), making it the ideal model system for this study. The taxonomic classification of the group is confounded by the high degree of modification of vegetative organs (Rutishauser, 1995, 1997; Rutishauser *et al.*, 1999), as well as the reduced number of reproductive characters useful for classification (Philbrick, pers. comm.), which has resulted in multiple species proposed to be synonyms (Novelo *et al.*, 2009; Tippery *et al.*, 2011). Two species in particular are distinct from one another in their leaf type; *Marathrum utile* Tul. is the only species in the group with entire, laminar leaves (Fig. 1b) (van Royen, 1951), whereas *Marathrum foeniculaceum* Bonpl. has highly dissected leaves (Fig. 1b) and includes several other recognized species that have been proposed to be synonyms to it (Novelo *et al.*, 2009; Tippery *et al.*, 2011).

Palynological, stratigraphic, and macrofossil data (Latrubesse *et al.*, 2010; Hoorn *et al.*, 2010) support a landscape evolution model where from the Late Miocene (*ca.* 11Ma) to present, exceptionally rapid uplift of the Andes transformed the aquatic ecosystems in the region by causing a shift from a wetland-dominated environment, *Pebas Lake*, to the formation of current drainage basins interrupted by the Andes (*i.e.* Pacific, Magdalena, Orinoco, and Amazon; Fig. 1a). Important features in the landscape of nSA include the Andean Cordillera, which in the region is divided into three ranges, *i.e.* the Western Cordillera (WC), Central Cordillera (CC), and Eastern Cordillera (EC) (Fig. 1a). Another important topographic element is the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta (SNSM) (Fig. 1a), which is a separate formation from the Andes and is the highest coastal relief on earth (Duque-Caro, 1979; Villagómez *et al.*, 2011; Parra *et al.*, 2019). Multiple rivers originate in the SNSM (Fig. 1b), with evidence for rapid and recent uplift of this mountain system (Villagómez *et al.*, 2011; Parra *et al.*, 2019). The rivers on the southern slopes of the SNSM are part of the Magdalena drainage basin (Abell *et al.*, 2008). However, these rivers are not connected through fast-flowing water currents to the other tributaries of the Magdalena drainage basin.

Given the dynamic nature of the landscape in northern South America and its role in shaping rivers, in this study we investigate how landscape change shaped the evolution of *Marathrum* in the region. We generate target enrichment and ddRADseq data and infer the genetic constitution of populations of *Marathrum* located across the Andes and the SNSM. We determine if there is gene flow across drainage basins and reconstruct the evolutionary relationships of populations of *Marathrum* using data concatenation, species tree, and phylogenetic network approaches. Using a species tree and a time-calibrated tree, we provide a hypothesis for the pattern and timing of divergence of populations of *Marathrum* currently disconnected by the Andes, the SNSM, and inter-Andean valleys. We propose that this pattern reflects the evolution of drainage basins in northern South America. Furthermore, this study

provides an insight into the reproductive biology of *Marathrum* and shows that hybridization confounds phylogenetic inference of its populations.

Materials and Methods

Data collection, library preparation and sequencing

We collected 118 individuals; 115 of *Marathrum* (75 individuals of *M. foeniculaceum* and 40 individuals of *M. utile*. See Fig. 1b) and three of *Weddellina squamulosa* Tul. from Antioquia, (eastern slope of the CC), Boyacá (eastern slope of the EC), and Caribe (SNSM) (Fig. 1a). These populations are currently disconnected by the Andes, the SNSM, inter-Andean valleys, and the lowlands between the Andes and the SNSM (Fig. 1a). Samples were preserved as vouchers, and tissues were taken from leaf material and stored in silica gel. Voucher information is shown in Table S1. We extracted DNA from silica-dried leaf material using a modified CTAB protocol (Doyle & Doyle, 1987) and purified the DNA extracts with isopropanol precipitation. We assessed DNA concentration using a Qubit fluorometer and DNA fragmentation levels using gel electrophoresis (1% agarose gels).

Double digest Restriction Associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq)

We prepared ddRADseq libraries for 115 samples following the protocol described by Peterson *et al.*, 2012. In brief, we used *PstI* and *MspI* to double digest *ca.* 500 ng high-molecular DNA and purified fragments with Sera-Mag SpeedBeads prior to ligation of barcoded Illumina adapters. Libraries were size selected to 415–515 bp on a Pippin prep (Sage Science) using a modified protocol where pooled samples were each run in a separate lane and size selected in reference to one lane running only the R2 marker reagent. Final library amplification used Illumina indexed primers and HF-Phusion TAQ. We determined library size distributions and concentrations on an Agilent 2200 TapeStation. Samples were sent to the QB3 sequencing facility at UC Berkeley, where qPCR was performed to determine sequenceable library concentrations prior to multiplexing equimolar amounts of each library and sequencing 150 single-end reads in two lanes of an Illumina HiSeq 4000.

Low-depth reference genome of Marathrum

To identify single-copy orthologs for phylogenomic analyses, we collected genome-skimming data for one individual of *Marathrum utile* (Table S1) generated at the QB3 sequencing facility (Berkeley, CA). Total DNA was sheared to a target size of 300–500 bp and 150 paired-end reads were sequenced in an Illumina HiSeq 4000. In order to select a set of target sequences that are nuclear, single-copy, and have orthologs across *Marathrum*, we applied the methods in Chau *et al.*, 2018. Briefly, the *de novo* assembled

genome of *Marathrum utile*, a transcriptome of *Hypericum perforatum* L. (Hypericaceae) (assembly generated by the 1kp project, sample code No. BNDE) (One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative, 2019; Carpenter *et al.*, 2019), a plastome of *Garcinia mangostana* L. (Calophyllaceae), and a mitochondriome of *Populus tremula* L. (Salicaceae) (see Table S1 for sample information and accession numbers) were used as input for the pipeline Sondovac (Schmickl *et al.*, 2016). Sondovac removes reads that map to the plastome or mitochondriome, and duplicated transcripts from the transcriptome and finds genome reads matching the remaining unique transcripts, which are *de novo* assembled. The pipeline filters the targets by length (minimum 600 bp for all contigs for a transcript, and 120 bp for each contig) and uniqueness.

We complemented the set with the APVO SSC and pentatricopeptide repeat genes, which are shared across angiosperms and have been found to be useful for resolution of inter-specific relationships in some plant groups (Yuan *et al.*, 2009, 2010; Duarte *et al.*, 2010). The sequences were downloaded from <http://www.arabidopsis.org> and used to conduct searches against the *M. utile* contigs in the draft genome with BLASTN. Up to five of the top hits with a bit score >70 were retained. When hits for the same loci overlapped from different contigs, we assembled the contigs using *de novo* assembly in Geneious v9.1.8. We removed all hits matching the same locus with <95% pairwise identity as they could represent paralogs. We retained individual sequences of at least 120 bp, and loci with at least 600 bp length.

Target enrichment

Taxon sampling for the target enrichment experiment was guided by a phylogenetic analysis of all the ddRADseq data using SVDQuartets (Fig. S1) (Chifman & Kubatko, 2014). Of the 118 samples collected, we selected 46 individuals for target enrichment with the aims of 1) including specimens with high-quality genomic DNA and high coverage across the ddRADseq assembly, 2) sampling multiple individuals per populations in each drainage basin, 3) providing equal representation of the clades identified by the ddRADseq data. Probe design and sequencing were performed by RapidGenomics (Gainesville, Florida, US). Briefly, 250–1000 ng of high-molecular DNA was fragmented to a target size of 400 bp. Enriched pools were combined in equimolar ratios and probes were hybridized to pools to enrich for targets. Probes targeting a loci space of 214,484 bp for 536 exons in 218 loci with 2x tiling density were designed and 150 paired-end reads were sequenced in 25% of a lane of an Illumina HiSeq 3000. All raw sequence reads from the low-coverage genome, target enrichment experiment, and ddRADseq datasets are deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (Table S1).

Data processing:

ddRADseq

Following the exclusion of 20 samples that failed sequencing or had low coverage, ddRADseq data for the remaining 95 samples were quality checked with FastQC (<https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>) and demultiplexed and assembled in ipyrad v0.9.42 (Eaton & Overcast, 2020) using a clustering threshold of 0.88. We removed consensus sequences that had low coverage (6 reads), excessive undetermined or heterozygous sites (>12), an excess of shared heterozygosity among samples (paralog filter=0.5), and included loci present in at least four individuals. Preliminary inspection of our resulting assemblies showed that there were high levels of missing data in our ddRADseq dataset with no loci recovered that are shared across $\geq 90\%$ of the samples and only 70 loci shared across half of the samples (Table S2). In order to increase the number of shared loci across samples and allow for direct comparison of our two genomic datasets, we selected the ddRADseq samples that were also successfully sequenced in our target enrichment dataset (n=34). Reads from this subset were assembled in ipyrad v0.9.42 (Eaton & Overcast, 2020) with parameters specified as described above for the complete ddRADseq dataset, and we generated two alignments that included loci that were present in at least 17 individuals (*min17*; 50% missing data) and at least 4 individuals (*min4*; ~88% missing data).

Low-depth genome

Genome-skimming reads were loaded in FastQC to assess sequence quality. We used Trimmomatic v0.39 (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) to remove adapter sequences. *De novo* assembly was performed with the CLC Genomics Workbench 8 (<https://digitalinsights.qiagen.com>).

Target enrichment

We quality checked the demultiplexed target enrichment reads with FastQC and removed adapter sequences with Trimmomatic. Assembly of loci into genotypes with ambiguity codes may affect the accuracy of phylogenetic inference under the multispecies coalescent model (Andermann *et al.*, 2018). We assembled our target enrichment dataset in order to obtain alleles and not genotypes with ambiguity codes. Resulting paired and unpaired reads were assembled with the pipeline HybPiper to align, assemble mapped reads for each target sequence, and extract the coding sequence from the assembled contigs respectively. The best full-length contig is chosen by Hybpiper based on sequencing depth and percent identity with the reference sequence. Hence, for each individual, locus assembly with Hybpiper results in a single contig (allele) (Johnson *et al.*, 2016). Kates *et al.*, 2018 provided a framework for retrieving phased alleles from target enrichment data. However, the pipeline is appropriate for long-read data and

when used in our data, it yielded inaccurate assemblies. In this same study however, the authors concluded that the effect of allele phasing compared to the use of random alleles as with Hybpiper is not clear for phylogenetic reconstruction. Assembled sequences for each sample were compiled, sorted by target sequence, and inspected for paralogs using the scripts ‘retrieve_sequences.py’, and ‘paralog_investigator.py’. Data on length of assembled coding sequences for each target sequence and statistics on assembly efficiency were calculated with the scripts ‘get_seq_lengths.py’ and ‘hybpiper_stats.py’. Coding sequences with paralog warnings were manually checked and potential paralogs were excluded from downstream analyses. Exons that are in the same loci were concatenated and we used Geneious v9.1.8. (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand) to create alignments for each loci with MAFFT v7.309 (Katoh & Standley, 2013), followed by manual inspection.

Population structure across drainage basins

To examine population structure we ran ADMIXTURE v1.3 (Alexander *et al.*, 2009) and considered clustering scenarios with up to 6 groups ($K=1-6$). SNPs used as input were extracted from our target enrichment data with dDocent (Puritz *et al.*, 2014) by mapping the sequenced reads to the reference sequences of our targeted loci, assembling the reads, and calling SNPs. We further filtered our resulting SNPs to include loci with no missing data, only one SNP per locus, and a minor allele frequency of at least 0.05 using VCFtools (Danecek *et al.*, 2011). Given the high degree of missing data in the ddRADseq loci, we did not use these data for population structure inference.

Phylogenomics and phylogenetic networks

Phylogenomics

A total of 42 samples were successful in the target enrichment experiment (four *Marathrum* samples failed sequencing or recovered relatively short exons of low quality. See Fig. S2), and these were used to conduct phylogenetic inference using concatenated and coalescent approaches. The concatenated target enrichment dataset and our *min17* ddRADseq assembly were analyzed under maximum likelihood (ML) with RAxML-HPG BlackBox v8.2.12 (Stamatakis, 2014) on the CIPRES Science Gateway. We ran 1000 bootstrap replicates to assess branch support and considered each locus as a separate partition in our target enrichment dataset. ddRADseq trees were rooted using the outgroup rooting of our target enrichment data as a reference. Gene tree inference was conducted using the TIGER pipeline (Stenz *et al.*, 2015) to run MrBayes v3.2.7 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) for each target enrichment locus and generate 50% consensus majority rule trees. We specified the GTR+G model of substitution (Abadi *et al.*, 2019) with 3 runs, 4 chains, 2 million generations, a sample frequency of 200, and a burn-in fraction of 0.25.

Species tree inference was conducted with ASTRAL III (Zhang *et al.*, 2018) and SVDQuartets (Chifman & Kubatko, 2014), both of which are coalescent-based approaches. Unrooted Bayesian gene trees were used as input for ASTRAL. We ran SVDQuartets analyses evaluating all quartets and using 1000 bootstraps on the concatenated sequences of our target capture data and the *min4* ddRADseq assembly. The latter comprises the maximum phylogenetic information necessary for a quartet based analysis (Eaton *et al.*, 2016) and is the data set that maximizes the number of shared loci recovered. The ddRADseq species trees were rooted using the outgroup rooting of our target enrichment data as a reference.

Phylogenetic networks

We explored non-bifurcating relationships in *Marathrum* by inferring phylogenetic networks in PhyloNet (Than *et al.*, 2008; Wen *et al.*, 2018). Following the results obtained with ADMIXTURE we randomly selected a subset of samples of *M. utile* and *M. foeniculaceum* from each drainage basin that did not show evidence of recent admixture. We kept those from Boyacá in order to infer how individuals in this population are related to Caribe and Antioquia. In addition, we conducted a second set of analyses that included admixed individuals expecting to find multiple reticulation events. For the latter, we created two datasets each of which included two individuals per population. We chose these individuals semi-randomly so that both “pure” and “admixed” individuals as inferred in ADMIXTURE were included. We rooted the previously inferred Bayesian consensus gene trees in R v4.0.2 with the outgroup *W. squamulosa* and inferred maximum pseudo-likelihood networks with 0–5 allowed reticulation events in PhyloNet. We followed Blair & Ané, 2020 in their recommendations for choosing among resulting phylogenetic networks by plotting the maximum number of allowed reticulations versus the resulting pseudolikelihood score, and selecting the number of reticulation events when a plateau begins to appear.

Divergence times for populations across drainage basins

To provide the evolutionary context in which populations of *Marathrum* diverged across drainage basins, we inferred a time tree with BEAST 2.6.0 (Bouckaert *et al.*, 2019). We run two chains for 3 billion generations. A secondary calibration was defined for the stem node of *Marathrum*, using *Weddellina squamulosa* as an outgroup and a broad normal prior distribution with a mean of 62 Ma and a standard deviation of 4 Ma. This distribution encompassed the results for this node reported in previous published work (Magallón *et al.*, 2015; Ruhfel *et al.*, 2016) that utilized the fossil *Paleocclusia chevalieri* Crepet & Nixon for calibration. We assessed convergence of runs with Tracer v1.7.1 (Rambaut *et al.*, 2018), discarded a 10% burnin fraction, combined the log files and posterior distribution of trees from the two

runs with LogCombiner, and generated a maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree with mean node heights with TreeAnnotator.

Results

Data processing: ddRADseq, genome assembly, and target enrichment

The number of loci shared across samples in our complete data set (n=95) and ipyrad assembly statistics for the subset of 34 samples (*min4* and *min17*) are in Tables S2 and S3. A total of 169,474 loci that are shared in minimum 4 individuals were recovered across all 95 *Marathrum* and *Weddellina* samples, but only 70 loci were recovered at 50% missing data (Table S2). The *min4* (~88% missing data) and *min17* (50% missing data) assemblies of our subset of 34 samples resulted in 28,023 and 679 recovered loci respectively. Our results show that, although there are many loci recovered in our dataset, a smaller proportion of those are present across most samples, with no loci shared in all of them.

De novo assembly of genome-skimming reads resulted in a draft assembled genome of *M. utile* consisting of 627,733 contigs with a total length of 1.095 Gb and N50=11,454 bp (Bioproject PRJNA673497, SRR12956182). After running the pipeline Sondovac using the draft genome as a template for the identification of sequences to be targeted and applying our added filtering steps, we identified 536 sequences in 218 nuclear, single-copy loci. We recovered 208 loci across samples with an average of 507 exons in 205 loci. Figure S2 and Table S4 show the loci recovery efficiency and the number of sequences and loci recovered per sample respectively. Recovery of assembled sequences was lower for the outgroup taxa (*W. squamulosa*) but we included this sample for rooting purposes in downstream analyses.

Most warnings resulting from running *paralog_investigator.py* in Hybpiper corresponded to instances where identical contigs that differed only in length were assembled to a target sequence for a sample. In other instances, the differing contigs corresponded to alleles. In all such cases Hybpiper chooses the contig with the highest coverage. When contigs have similar sequencing depth, Hybpiper chooses one based on percent identity with the reference sequence (Johnson *et al.*, 2016). We excluded 28 exons where multiple contigs mapped to the same reference sequence, contained more than two alleles per SNP and included a high number of SNPs. By removing these exons, one locus was excluded.

Population structure across drainage basins

Read assembly and SNP calling in dDocent recovered 203 variant sites. Parametric population structure estimation with ADMIXTURE resulted in a lower cross-validation error for *K*=4 and *K*=5 populations

(0.08310 and 0.09195, respectively; Fig. **2b** and Fig. S3). The best model shows that within each Antioquia and Caribe there is strong population structure with two genetically distinct, non-admixed clusters as well as multiple individuals with admixture proportions from the two clusters (*i.e.* hybrids). In both drainage basins, the two distinct, non-admixed genetic clusters correspond to the phenotypes of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile*. All admixed individuals have about equal proportions of ancestry of the non-admixed clusters. Furthermore, the admixed individuals have highly dissected leaves and their phenotype corresponds to that of *M. foeniculaceum* (Fig. **1b**). There is no admixture detected in individuals of the same species across drainage basins. The genetic constitution of the two individuals that represent the population from Boyacá was inferred to include relatively equal proportions from *M. utile* from Caribe and *M. foeniculaceum* from Antioquia. The only difference between K=4 and K=5 is that the latter detects population substructure in *M. utile* from Antioquia.

Phylogenomics and Phylogenetic networks

Phylogenomics

Results from ML analyses of all samples with target enrichment and ddRADseq data are shown in Figs. **2** and S4 respectively. Species trees for both datasets are shown in Fig. S5. Phylogenetic inference under the species coalescent with SVDQuartets and ASTRAL III was performed using individuals as tips (See Fig. S5) due to our prior evidence of the presence of admixed individuals (**Fig. 2b**). The resulting trees do not recover species as clades, contradicting the current taxonomic treatment of *Marathrum*, and suggesting convergent evolution of forms in the genus. The target enrichment ML and ASTRAL III trees (Figs. **2** and S5b) are consistent, with Antioquia and Boyacá forming sister clades, but differ in that *M. utile* in Caribe is recovered as a clade in the species tree and as paraphyletic in the ML tree. Furthermore, target enrichment trees (Figs. **2** and S5) show *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* within each drainage basin as clades, except for the SVDQuartets tree (Fig. **2a**), where *M. foeniculaceum* from Antioquia is not monophyletic. The placement of Boyacá differs in this tree as well. Unlike the target enrichment trees, the trees inferred with ddRADseq data using ML (Fig. S4) and SVDQuartets (Fig. S5a) do not recover individuals with the phenotypes of *M. foeniculaceum* or *M. utile* in Caribe as clades. The ddRADseq trees differ in the placement of Boyacá and in the recovery of *M. foeniculaceum* from Antioquia as paraphyletic and monophyletic in the ML and SVDQuartets trees respectively. The latter tree exhibits weak support for most branches.

To explore and eliminate the conflict that can arise in phylogenetic reconstruction with the inclusion of admixed individuals that have ancestry proportions from *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* inhabiting the same drainage basin, we removed all admixed individuals. However, we kept those from Boyacá in order

to infer how individuals in this population are related to Caribe and Antioquia. We re-analyzed our data as described above, but we also conducted Bayesian inference (BI) in MrBayes v3.2.7 with 2 runs, 4 chains, 10 million generations, a sample frequency of 10,000, and a burn-in fraction of 0.25. Phylogenetic inference with ddRADseq on concatenated data was inferred from an ipyrad assembly with ~50% missing data, whereas species tree inference was conducted on an assembly with minimum four individuals per loci (Table S3 for ipyrad statistics). Each locus in our target enrichment dataset was set as a separate partition specifying the GTR+G substitution model for each partition (Abadi *et al.*, 2019). The GTR+G substitution model was also specified in the BI analysis of ddRADseq. We used the recovered genetic units from ADMIXTURE as the taxonomic units for species tree inference.

Results from these analyses are shown in Fig. 3. These fundamentally contradict the results reported above using admixed and non-admixed individuals together. Maximum likelihood and BI trees of target enrichment data (Fig. 3a and S6) and species trees for both datasets (Fig. 3b,c) support the current taxonomic treatment of *Marathrum*, with *M. foeniculaceum* recovered as monophyletic and *M. utile* either as monophyletic (SVDQuartets inference in Fig. 3b) or paraphyletic (ML, BI and ASTRAL III trees in Fig. 3a,c, and S6). Plants from Boyacá, all of which have the phenotype of *M. foeniculaceum* (Fig. 1), are found as sister to *M. foeniculaceum* from Antioquia and Caribe in all species tree inferences. Maximum likelihood (Fig. S6) and BI (Fig. 3a) analyses of ddRADseq, which as mentioned before is characterized by high levels of missing data, show a biogeographic pattern where Antioquia evolves from Caribe and do not recover *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* as clades. The reasons for the discordance among target enrichment and ddRADseq datasets are further discussed.

Phylogenetic networks

Comparison of pseudolikelihood scores for 0–5 maximum reticulation events show that at two allowed reticulations, the change in pseudolikelihood begins to plateau (Fig. 4). The phylogenetic network shows a reticulation event in the branch that leads to the two individuals from Boyacá, in line with our phylogenetic reconstructions excluding admixed individuals and our ADMIXTURE results. A second reticulation event is inferred deeper in the phylogeny with *M. foeniculaceum* as either sister to *M. utile* or originating from it. When including admixed individuals in our analysis, comparison of pseudolikelihood scores show that after 5 reticulation events, the change in pseudolikelihood has not plateaued (Fig. S7), suggesting that multiple admixture events have taken place in *Marathrum* in northern South America.

Divergence times for populations across drainage basins

The BEAST calibrated phylogeny of *Marathrum* is shown in Fig. 5. All populations sampled across the Andes and the SNSM are inferred to have shared a most recent common ancestor at ~4Ma at most. The topology supports the current taxonomic treatment of the group and is consistent with our phylogenetic trees obtained from target enrichment concatenated data and after excluding admixed individuals. Divergence times obtained in our study are compared to previous studies where the crown age of Podostemaceae was also estimated in Table 1.

Discussion

Together, our population structure, phylogenomic, phylogenetic networks, and divergence-dating analyses inform us about how through the Miocene and Pliocene, landscape change in northern South America shaped the evolution of *Marathrum* and of river basins. We show that during this time period, populations of both *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* split into different drainage basins currently interrupted by the Andes, the SNSM, and inter-Andean valleys and became genetically distinct (Figs. 3,5). As a result, strong population structure consistent with geography was inferred in populations of each species (Fig. 2). At the same time we demonstrate that there is little to no gene flow in populations of the same species across drainage basins (Fig. 2) and therefore, that the Andean cordilleras, the SNSM, and/or inter-Andean valleys currently act as barriers that limit gene flow in this particular group of plants. We found that while populations across drainage basins became genetically distinct, the sympatric distribution of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* resulted in hybridization within drainage basins, and we report that hybrids show the phenotype of only *M. foeniculaceum*. The current taxonomic treatment of the genus is validated in this study. Divergence dating results imply that fast-flowing water ecosystems in Antioquia, Caribe, and Boyacá and populations of *Marathrum* inhabiting them became isolated (Fig. 5) very recently in geologic time (< 4Ma). We propose that the phylogenetic relationships of populations (namely Boyacá as sister to Caribe-Antioquia) reflect the scenario for the divergence of drainage basins as the Andes uplifted (Fig. 3).

Population structure across drainage basins

Barriers to gene flow

In addition to mountains and valleys being a barrier to gene flow in populations of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* in northern South America, a limited dispersal ability of pollen or seeds in these plants could contribute to the strong population structure and lack of within species gene flow reported here across drainage basins (Fig. 2). This is in line with previous work on Podostemaceae, where high levels of

differentiation among populations of *Hydrobium japonicum* and *Cladopus doianus* in Japan and of *Podostemum irgangii* in Brazil were attributed to be the result of reduced dispersal due to their restricted habitat (Baggio *et al.*, 2013; Katayama *et al.*, 2016). This pattern is also seen in freshwater fishes (which share the same habitat as *Marathrum*) where range evolution is generally constrained by watershed boundaries (Albert & Crampton, 2010; Lujan & Armbruster, 2011; Musilová *et al.*, 2013; Picq *et al.*, 2014; Roxo *et al.*, 2014; Tagliacollo *et al.*, 2015; Lujan *et al.*, 2019; Albert *et al.*, 2020).

However, long-distance dispersal has been invoked as the explanation for the pantropical distribution of Podostemaceae (Kita & Kato, 2004; Koi *et al.*, 2015; Ruhfel *et al.*, 2016). Birds may be possible vectors for seed dispersal in Podostemaceae if seeds get attached to their feet via a sticky mucilage in the seed coat (Willis, 1902; Philbrick & Novelo, 1995, 1997; Leleeka *et al.*, 2016). Future work should focus on the extent of dispersal of Podostemaceae within a single river and across rivers to determine how seed and pollen dispersal is facilitated or hindered.

Genetic drift

Populations of *Marathrum* and of Podostemaceae in general tend to be small, with a discontinuous distribution due to their high degree of habitat specificity (Willis, 1914; van Royen, 1951; Rutishauser, 1995; Cook, 1996; Philbrick *et al.*, 2010; Koi *et al.*, 2015; Cheek & Lebbie, 2018). We believe that in such small populations with short generation times, genetic drift may be a rather strong evolutionary force. As drainage basins were established, this mechanism would have acted independently in populations of each species within each drainage basin, quickly fixing their alleles, and resulting in homogeneous populations that are genetically distinct across drainage basins as found in this study for *Marathrum* (Fig. 2).

Hybridization scenarios and phenotype

Luna *et al.*, 2012 mentioned hybridization as a possible explanation for the existence of intermediate morphotypes among closely related species of *Marathrum* that are morphologically similar. In that same study however, the authors concluded that cross-compatibility is between morphological variants of the same species which had been previously synonymized under *M. foeniculaceum* (Novelo *et al.*, 2009; Tippery *et al.*, 2011). Our study includes key insights into the reproductive biology of *Marathrum* by providing clear genomic evidence for hybridization without introgression between two phenotypically and phylogenetically distinct species in *Marathrum*.

We propose two hypotheses for the uniformity in admixture proportions across admixed individuals in Antioquia and Caribe (Fig. 2). The genetic constitution of admixed individuals in these two drainage basins stems from 1) very recent and frequent admixture events (*i.e.* F1 hybrids of the two species with no further mixing or backcrossing) or 2) a past hybridization event followed by genome duplication resulting in allopolyploids. Under the latter scenario, hybridization needs to have occurred at minimum once in each river basin but not every generation. Fertile allopolyploids would reproduce the admixed genomes (and the *M. foeniculaceum* morphotype). Variation in ploidy and cell DNA content are unknown for these populations.

The dual ancestry of the two individuals that represent the population from Boyacá stems from *M. utile* from Caribe and *M. foeniculaceum* from Antioquia in more or less equal proportions (Fig. 2). No individuals of *M. utile* and *M. foeniculaceum* are known to exist in or close to that population. Sampling needs to be expanded to confirm this pattern in more individuals in Boyacá, and to test whether admixture in individuals of this population is a result of recent hybridization with or without long distance transport of pollen or seeds, retention of ancestral polymorphism in this population, or allopolyploidy.

This study spotlights an interesting case of inheritance of a phenotypic trait: leaf shape. Given that all admixed individuals and putative hybrids show the phenotype of *M. foeniculaceum* (Figs. 1 and 2), a likely hypothesis is that leaf shape is controlled by one or few genes, with highly dissected leaves being the dominant trait. Common garden experiments could test the hybrid origin of the admixed individuals and the genetic basis of leaf morphology.

Phylogenomics

Incongruence in ddRADseq vs target enrichment datasets with concatenated data

Missing data, internal short branches like those shown in our inferred trees in Figs. 2, 3a, and S4 and bioinformatic steps used for the assembly of loci (e.g. clustering threshold), have a strong impact on tree inference (Leaché *et al.*, 2015). These three phenomena are characteristic of our ddRADseq data and may be responsible for the discordance among datasets in this study (Figs. 3a S5, and S6). As shown in Table S3, our ddRADseq data are characterized by high levels of missing data, possibly as a result of previously suggested high rates of evolution in Podostemaceae (Bedoya *et al.*, 2019). Rapid evolution would result in high sequence divergence, and allelic dropout would reduce the number of shared loci recovered (Wagner *et al.*, 2013; Arnold *et al.*, 2013; Eaton *et al.*, 2016). Incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) could be responsible for the recovery of the two individuals from Boyacá as paraphyletic in the tree inferred from the concatenated data using target enrichment data (Fig. 3a), but more individuals should be sampled

from this population to support this claim. When ILS is accounted for with quartet analysis of our *min4* ddRADseq data, phylogenetic inference is congruent with the trees inferred from target enrichment. The latter trees are free from the biases mentioned above and therefore provide more reliable results for discussing the evolutionary history of *Marathrum*.

Systematics of Marathrum

Our results demonstrate that *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* are consistent with traditional taxonomic units (Fig. 3), being most likely both monophyletic as inferred with SVDQuartets, or an ancestor-descendant species pair as resulting from ASTRAL III analyses. Even though both ASTRAL III and SVDQuartets consider ILS as a source for gene tree incongruence, species tree inference may be inconsistent when gene trees are estimated from data for loci of finite length (Wascher & Kubatko, 2020) as is the case in ASTRAL III. In contrast, SVDQuartets has been confirmed to be statistically consistent under various scenarios of ILS (Wascher & Kubatko, 2020). Our phylogenetic reconstructions are affected by the inclusion of admixed individuals (Figs. 2, 3, and S4). This is because hybrids contain alleles originating from both parents (Bastide *et al.*, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2019) causing the parental lineages and hybrids to cluster together. This phenomenon has been reported across various groups (McVay *et al.*, 2017; García *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2019; Ginsberg *et al.*, 2019) and affects both concatenated and species tree inference (Leaché *et al.*, 2014).

Landscape change, river basin formation and timing of divergence of populations of *Marathrum* in nSA

With the evidence presented above, we propose that the pattern of divergence of Antioquia, Boyacá, and Caribe tracks the scenario in which drainage basins split from one another. Based on our species tree inferences, Boyacá (eastern slope of the EC) diverged earlier from Caribe and Antioquia. Even though the SVDQuartets species tree shows weak support for the placement of *M. foeniculaceum* from Boyacá, both this and the ASTRAL III (Fig. 3b,c) show the same biogeographic pattern. Reticulation events (Fig. 4) could have taken place in sympatry or be the result of vicariance followed by secondary contact mediated by, for example, river capture events or less likely, long distance dispersal.

Several studies document that major pulses of uplift took place during the Late Miocene and Pliocene asynchronously across the three Andean cordilleras at *ca.* 12–6 Ma and *ca.* 4.5 Ma, resulting in the current elevation of the Andes (Gregory-Woodzicki, 2000; Garzzone *et al.*, 2008; Mora *et al.*, 2010; Hoorn *et al.*, 2010). These dates are contested by geological studies of mainly coarse-grained deposits that suggest that the Eastern Cordillera was already well underway in Eocene times and nearly complete in the Late Miocene (>11 Ma) (Cooper *et al.*, 1995; Montes *et al.*, 2019; Rodríguez-Muñoz *et al.*, 2020). Our

divergence dating analysis results in Fig. 5 imply that the orogenic history of the Andean Cordillera and inter-Andean valley formation proposed by either of the two hypotheses, did not result in the complete separation of the currently isolated, genetically distinct populations of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* in Antioquia, Boyacá and Caribe until < 5 Ma.

Furthermore, we favor the hypothesis of rapid pulses of Andean uplift in the Late Miocene and Pliocene by showing that the establishment of completely isolated fast-flowing water ecosystems in Antioquia, Caribe and Boyacá was not completed until < 4Ma (Fig. 5) when the Andes, SNSM, and inter-Andean valleys became a barrier to gene flow. Our results are in line with Anderson *et al.*, 2016 who using U-Pb detrital zircon provenance, petrographic and paleoprecipitation data, conclude that the northern Andes was not a substantial orographic river barrier until *ca.* 3–6 Ma when rapid uplift took place. A lowland connection between the Amazon, Caribe and Magdalena drainage basins is corroborated by the affinity of fossils in Miocene and Pliocene deposits found across drainage basins (Lundberg & Chernoff, 1992; Aguilera *et al.*, 2013). The short branches and very recent splits in populations in Caribe indicate that the rivers in this drainage basin originated and split very recently in geologic time (Figs. 3, 5, and S4). Indeed, surface uplift that gave rise to the current topology of the SNSM has been proposed to have taken place no earlier than 2 Ma (Villagómez *et al.*, 2011; Parra *et al.*, 2019).

Since our calibrated phylogeny was inferred from concatenated data, the dates inferred for each of the nodes could be more recent than if species tree inference was used instead. However, the joint inference of gene trees and a calibrated species tree in *BEAST is computationally intensive and feasible only for moderate-size datasets (McCormack *et al.*, 2013). Divide-and-conquer methods must be used to improve scalability in species tree estimation in *BEAST (Zimmermann *et al.*, 2014) if hundreds of loci are to be considered, potentially increasing the uncertainty in the estimation of node ages. This study represents a significant advance in our understanding of the impact of landscape change in the evolution of plants in rivers in northern South America and provides a comprehensive genomic dataset for the only plant genus that inhabits fast-flowing water ecosystems across the Western, Central, and Eastern Andean cordilleras and the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Dr. C.Thomas Philbrick for his helpful and thorough insights into the biology and classification of Podostemaceae, access to voucher specimens, and help with determination of samples. Special thanks to Dr. Santiago Madriñán at the Universidad de los Andes and the Jardín Botánico de Cartagena “Guillermo Piñeres”, Turbaco, Colombia for facilitating field work logistics and providing

facilities for specimen processing in Colombia. We thank Dr. Camilo Montes at the Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla, Colombia for insightful conversations on the geological background of nSA, and Dr. Camila Martínez at the Smithsonian Institution in Panama for comments on the manuscript. Maria Paula Contreras, David Ocampo, Daniel Ocampo, Jules Dominé, Fernando Bedoya, and Adrián Pinzón provided invaluable assistance in the field. Viviana Londoño provided a sample of *M. foeniculaceum*. Voucher specimens are deposited at the UNIANDES herbarium of the Universidad de los Andes, Bogota, Colombia. Samples were collected under the MARCO collecting permit Res. No. 1177 October 9, 2014 of the Universidad de los Andes. Funding was provided by the Botanical Society of America and American Society of Plant Taxonomists Research Awards, Explorers Club Exploration Fund Grant, Department of Biology at the University of Washington Giles Award and WRF Hall International Endowed Fellowship, UW Herbarium Endowment, UW Graduate School Boeing International Fellowship, and the Colciencias fellowship for Graduate studies (Doctorados en el Exterior-679) to A.M.B. This work used the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory at UC Berkeley, supported by NIH S10 OD018174 Instrumentation Grant.

Author Contribution

A.M.B. and R.G.O designed the study. A.M.B. collected and analyzed the data; R.G.O. and A.D.L. provided guidance on the methods for data analyses and interpretation of results, as well as reagents, equipment, and laboratory space. A.M.B. wrote the manuscript with significant contributions from R.G.O and A.D.L.

Data Availability

All raw sequence reads from the low-coverage genome, target enrichment experiment, and ddRADseq datasets are deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive under Bioproject PRJNA673497 (available upon initial acceptance). Fasta sequences of targeted loci, processed data and all trees generated in this study will be deposited in a public repository upon initial acceptance.

References

- Abadi S, Azouri D, Pupko T, Mayrose I. 2019. Model selection may not be a mandatory step for phylogeny reconstruction. *Nature Communications* **10**: 934.
- Abell R, Thieme ML, Revenga C, Bryer M, Kottelat M, Bogutskaya N, Coad B, Mandrak N, Balderas SC, Bussing W, *et al.* 2008. Freshwater Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Biogeographic Units for Freshwater Biodiversity Conservation. *BioScience* **58**: 403–414.

565 **Aguilera O, Lundberg J, Birindelli J, Pérez MS, Jaramillo C, Sánchez-Villagra MR. 2013.**
566 Paleontological evidence for the last temporal occurrence of the ancient Western Amazonian
567 river outflow into the Caribbean. **8**: e76202.

568 **Albert JS, Crampton WGR. 2010.** The geography and ecology of diversification in
569 Neotropical freshwaters. **3**: 13.

570 **Albert JS, Lovejoy NR, Crampton WGR. 2006.** Miocene tectonism and the separation of cis-
571 and trans-Andean river basins: Evidence from Neotropical fishes. *Journal of South American*
572 *Earth Sciences* **21**: 14–27.

573 **Albert JS, Tagliacollo VA, Dagosta F. 2020.** Diversification of Neotropical Freshwater Fishes.
574 *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **51**: annurev-ecolsys-011620-031032.

575 **Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. 2009.** Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in
576 unrelated individuals. *Genome Research* **19**: 1655–1664.

577 **Andermann T, Fernandes AM, Olsson U, Töpel M, Pfeil B, Oxelman B, Aleixo A, Faircloth**
578 **BC, Antonelli A. 2018.** Allele Phasing Greatly Improves the Phylogenetic Utility of
579 Ultraconserved Elements (S Renner, Ed.). *Systematic Biology*.

580 **Anderson VJ, Horton BK, Saylor JE, Mora A, Tesón E, Breecker DO, Ketcham RA. 2016.**
581 Andean topographic growth and basement uplift in southern Colombia: Implications for the
582 evolution of the Magdalena, Orinoco, and Amazon river systems. *Geosphere* **12**: 1235–1256.

583 **Antonelli A, Sanmartín I. 2011.** Why are there so many plant species in the Neotropics?
584 *TAXON* **60**: 403–414.

585 **Arnold B, Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl D, Bomblies K. 2013.** RADseq underestimates diversity
586 and introduces genealogical biases due to nonrandom haplotype sampling. *Molecular Ecology*
587 **22**: 3179–3190.

588 **Baggio RA, Firkowski CR, Boeger MRT, Boeger WA. 2013.** Differentiation within and
589 between river basins of *Podostemum irgangii* (Podostemaceae), a rapid-water macrophyte of
590 southern Brazil. *Aquatic Botany* **107**: 33–38.

591 **Bastide P, Solís-Lemus C, Kriebel R, William Sparks K, Ané C. 2018.** Phylogenetic
592 Comparative Methods on Phylogenetic Networks with Reticulations (F Burbrink, Ed.).
593 *Systematic Biology* **67**: 800–820.

594 **Bedoya AM, Ruhfel BR, Philbrick CT, Madriñán S, Bove CP, Mesterházy A, Olmstead**
595 **RG. 2019.** Plastid Genomes of Five Species of Riverweeds (Podostemaceae): Structural
596 Organization and Comparative Analysis in Malpighiales. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **10**: 1035.

597 **Blair C, Ané C. 2020.** Phylogenetic Trees and Networks Can Serve as Powerful and
598 Complementary Approaches for Analysis of Genomic Data (M Hahn, Ed.). *Systematic Biology*
599 **69**: 593–601.

600 **Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014.** Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
601 data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.

602 **Bouckaert R, Vaughan TG, Barido-Sottani J, Duchêne S, Fourment M, Gavryushkina A,**
603 **Heled J, Jones G, Kühnert D, De Maio N, et al. 2019.** BEAST 2.5: An advanced software
604 platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis (M Pertea, Ed.). *PLOS Computational Biology* **15**:
605 e1006650.

606 **Carpenter EJ, Matasci N, Ayyampalayam S, Wu S, Sun J, Yu J, Jimenez Vieira FR,**
607 **Bowler C, Dorrell RG, Gitzendanner MA, et al. 2019.** Access to RNA-sequencing data from
608 1,173 plant species: The 1000 Plant transcriptomes initiative (1KP). *GigaScience* **8**: giz126.

609 **Chau JH, Rahfeldt WA, Olmstead RG. 2018.** Comparison of taxon-specific versus general
610 locus sets for targeted sequence capture in plant phylogenomics. *Applications in Plant Sciences*
611 **6**: e1032.

612 **Cheek M, Lebbie A. 2018.** Lebbiea (Podostemaceae-Podostemoideae), a new, nearly extinct
613 genus with foliose tepals, in Sierra Leone (A Hemp, Ed.). *PLOS ONE* **13**: e0203603.

614 **Chifman J, Kubatko L. 2014.** Quartet Inference from SNP Data Under the Coalescent Model.
615 *Bioinformatics* **30**: 3317–3324.

616 **Cook CDK. 1996.** *Aquatic plant book*. The Hague, Netherlands: SPB Academic.

617 **Cooper MA, Addison FT, Alvarez R, Coral M, Graham RH, Hayward AB, Howe S,**
618 **Martinez J, Naar J, Peñas R, et al. 1995.** Basin development and tectonic history of the Llanos
619 basin, Eastern Cordillera, and Middle Magdalena Valley, Colombia. **79**: 1421–1442.

620 **Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE,**
621 **Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, et al. 2011.** The variant call format and VCFtools.
622 *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2156–2158.

623 **De la Ossa-Guerra LE, Santos MH, Artoni RF. 2020.** Genetic Diversity of the Cichlid
624 *Andinoacara latifrons* (Steindachner, 1878) as a Conservation Strategy in Different Colombian
625 Basins. *Frontiers in Genetics* **11**: 815.

626 **Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987.** A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf
627 tissue. **19**: 11–15.

628 **Duarte JM, Wall PK, Edger PP, Landherr LL, Ma H, Pires JC, Leebens-Mack J,**
629 **dePamphilis CW. 2010.** Identification of shared single copy nuclear genes in Arabidopsis,
630 Populus, Vitis and Oryza and their phylogenetic utility across various taxonomic levels. *BMC*
631 *Evolutionary Biology* **10**: 61.

632 **Duque-Caro H. 1979.** Major structural elements and evolution of northwestern Colombia. In:
633 Geological and geophysical investigations of continental margins: AAPG Memoir. 329–351.

634 **Eaton DAR, Overcast I. 2020.** ipyrad: Interactive assembly and analysis of RADseq datasets (R
635 Schwartz, Ed.). *Bioinformatics* **36**: 2592–2594.

636 **Eaton DAR, Spriggs EL, Park B, Donoghue MJ. 2016.** Misconceptions on Missing Data in
637 RAD-seq Phylogenetics with a Deep-scale Example from Flowering Plants. *Systematic Biology*:
638 399–412.

639 **García N, Folk RA, Meerow AW, Chamala S, Gitzendanner MA, Oliveira RS de, Soltis
640 DE, Soltis PS. 2017.** Deep reticulation and incomplete lineage sorting obscure the diploid
641 phylogeny of rain-lilies and allies (Amaryllidaceae tribe Hippeastreae). *Molecular Phylogenetics
642 and Evolution* **111**: 231–247.

643 **Garzone CN, Hoke GD, Libarkin JC, Withers S, MacFadden B, Eiler J, Ghosh P, Mulch
644 A. 2008.** Rise of the Andes. *Science* **320**: 1304–1307.

645 **Ginsberg PS, Humphreys DP, Dyer KA. 2019.** Ongoing hybridization obscures phylogenetic
646 relationships in the *Drosophila subquinaria* species complex. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*
647 **32**: 1093–1105.

648 **Gregory-Woodzicki KM. 2000.** Uplift history of the Central and Northern Andes: A Review.
649 **112**: 1091–1105.

650 **Hoorn C, Mosbrugger V, Mulch A, Antonelli A. 2013.** Biodiversity from mountain building.
651 *Nature Geoscience* **6**: 154–154.

652 **Hoorn C, Perrigo A, Antonelli A. 2018.** *Mountains, climate and biodiversity*. Hoboken: Wiley.

653 **Hoorn C, Wesselingh FP, ter Steege H, Bermudez MA, Mora A, Sevink J, Sanmartin I,
654 Sanchez-Meseguer A, Anderson CL, Figueiredo JP, et al. 2010.** Amazonia Through Time:
655 Andean Uplift, Climate Change, Landscape Evolution, and Biodiversity. *Science* **330**: 927–931.

656 **Hughes C, Eastwood R. 2006.** Island radiation on a continental scale: Exceptional rates of plant
657 diversification after uplift of the Andes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**:
658 10334–10339.

659 **Jäger-Zürn I, Grubert M. 2000.** Podostemaceae Depend on Sticky Biofilms with Respect to
660 Attachment to Rocks in Waterfalls. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **161**: 599–607.

661 **Janzen DH. 1967.** Why Mountain Passes are Higher in the Tropics. *The American Naturalist*
662 **101**: 233–249.

663 **Johnson MG, Gardner EM, Liu Y, Medina R, Goffinet B, Shaw AJ, Zerega NJC, Wickett
664 NJ. 2016.** HybPiper: Extracting Coding Sequence and Introns for Phylogenetics from High-
665 Throughput Sequencing Reads Using Target Enrichment. *Applications in Plant Sciences* **4**:
666 1600016.

667 **Katayama N, Kato M, Imaichi R. 2016.** Habitat specificity enhances genetic differentiation in
668 two species of aquatic Podostemaceae in Japan. *American Journal of Botany* **103**: 317–324.

- 669 **Kates HR, Johnson MG, Gardner EM, Zerega NJC, Wickett NJ. 2018.** Allele phasing has
670 minimal impact on phylogenetic reconstruction from targeted nuclear gene sequences in a case
671 study of *Artocarpus*. *American Journal of Botany* **105**: 404–416.
- 672 **Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013.** MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
673 Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**: 772–780.
- 674 **Kattan G, Franco P, Rojas V, Morales G. 2004.** A spatial analysis of faunistic diversity and
675 biogeography of the Andes of Colombia. **31**: 1829–1839.
- 676 **Kita Y, Kato M. 2004.** Phylogenetic relationships between disjunctly occurring groups of
677 *Tristicha trifaria* (Podostemaceae): Phylogeny of *Tristicha trifaria*. *Journal of Biogeography* **31**:
678 1605–1612.
- 679 **Koi S, Ikeda H, Rutishauser R, Kato M. 2015.** Historical biogeography of river-weeds
680 (Podostemaceae). *Aquatic Botany* **127**: 62–69.
- 681 **Lagomarsino LP, Condamine FL, Antonelli A, Mulch A, Davis CC. 2016.** The abiotic and
682 biotic drivers of rapid diversification in Andean bellflowers (Campanulaceae). *New Phytologist*
683 **210**: 1430–1442.
- 684 **Latrubesse EM, Cozzuol M, da Silva-Caminha SAF, Rigsby CA, Absy ML, Jaramillo C.**
685 **2010.** The Late Miocene paleogeography of the Amazon Basin and the evolution of the Amazon
686 River system. *Earth-Science Reviews* **99**: 99–124.
- 687 **Leaché AD, Chavez AS, Jones LN, Grummer JA, Gottscho AD, Linkem CW. 2015.**
688 Phylogenomics of Phrynosomatid Lizards: Conflicting Signals from Sequence Capture versus
689 Restriction Site Associated DNA Sequencing. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **7**: 706–719.
- 690 **Leaché AD, Harris RB, Rannala B, Yang Z. 2014.** The Influence of Gene Flow on Species
691 Tree Estimation: A Simulation Study. *Systematic Biology* **63**: 17–30.
- 692 **Leleeka M, Sanavar D, Tandon R, Uniyal PL. 2016.** Features of seeds of Podostemaceae and
693 their survival strategie in freshwater ecosystems. **26**: 29–36.
- 694 **Lujan NK, Armbruster JW. 2011.** The Guiana Shield. In: Historical Biogeography of
695 Neotropical Freshwater Fishes. University of California Press, Berkeley., 211–224.
- 696 **Lujan NK, Armbruster JW, Werneke DC, Teixeira TF, Lovejoy NR. 2019.** Phylogeny and
697 biogeography of the Brazilian–Guiana Shield endemic Corymbophanes clade of armoured
698 catfishes (Loricariidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*: zlz090.
- 699 **Luna R, Guzmán-Merodio D, Núñez-Farfán J, Philbrick CT, Collazo-Ortega M, Márquez-**
700 **Guzmán J. 2012.** Cross compatibility between *Marathrum rubrum* and *Marathrum schiedeanum*
701 (Podostemaceae), two closely related species of the Pacific Mexican Coast. *Aquatic Botany* **102**:
702 1–7.

- 703 **Lundberg JG, Chernoff B. 1992.** A Miocene Fossil of the Amazonian Fish Arapaima
704 (Teleostei, Arapaimidae) from the Magdalena River Region of Colombia--Biogeographic and
705 Evolutionary Implications. *Biotropica* **24**: 2.
- 706 **Madriñán S, Cortés AJ, Richardson JE. 2013.** Páramo is the world's fastest evolving and
707 coolest biodiversity hotspot. *Frontiers in Genetics* **4**.
- 708 **Magallón S, Gómez-Acevedo S, Sánchez-Reyes LL, Hernández-Hernández T. 2015.** A
709 metacalibrated time-tree documents the early rise of flowering plant phylogenetic diversity. *New*
710 *Phytologist* **207**: 437–453.
- 711 **McCormack JE, Harvey MG, Faircloth BC, Crawford NG, Glenn TC, Brumfield RT.**
712 **2013.** A Phylogeny of Birds Based on Over 1,500 Loci Collected by Target Enrichment and
713 High-Throughput Sequencing (N Alvarez, Ed.). *PLoS ONE* **8**: e54848.
- 714 **McVay JD, Hipp AL, Manos PS. 2017.** A genetic legacy of introgression confounds phylogeny
715 and biogeography in oaks. **284**.
- 716 **Montes C, Rodriguez-Corcho AF, Bayona G, Hoyos N, Zapata S, Cardona A. 2019.**
717 Continental margin response to multiple arc-continent collisions: The northern Andes-Caribbean
718 margin. *Earth-Science Reviews* **198**: 102903.
- 719 **Mora A, Baby P, Roddaz M, Parra M, Brusset S, Hermoza W, Espurt N. 2010.** Tectonic
720 history of the Andes and sub-Andean zones: implications for the development of the Amazon
721 drainage basin. In: Amazonia, Landscape and Species Evolution. Wiley, Oxford, 38–60.
- 722 **Musilová Z, Kalous L, Petrýl M, Chaloupková P. 2013.** Cichlid Fishes in the Angolan
723 Headwaters Region: Molecular Evidence of the Ichthyofaunal Contact between the Cuanza and
724 Okavango-Zambezi Systems (F Tinti, Ed.). *PLoS ONE* **8**: e65047.
- 725 **Nevado B, Contreras-Ortiz N, Hughes C, Filatov DA. 2018.** Pleistocene glacial cycles drive
726 isolation, gene flow and speciation in the high-elevation Andes. *New Phytologist* **219**: 779–793.
- 727 **Novelo RA, Philbrick CT. 1997.** Taxonomy of Mexican Podostemaceae. *Aquatic Botany* **57**:
728 275–303.
- 729 **Novelo RA, Philbrick CT, Crow GE. 2009.** Podostemaceae. In: Flora Mesoamericana. 1–7.
- 730 **Nürk NM, Scheriau C, Madriñán S. 2013.** Explosive radiation in high Andean Hypericum—
731 rates of diversification among New World lineages. *Frontiers in Genetics* **4**.
- 732 **One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative. 2019.** One thousand plant transcriptomes and
733 the phylogenomics of green plants. *Nature* **574**: 679–685.
- 734 **Parra M, Echeverri S, Patiño AM, Ramírez JC, Mora A, Sobel ER, Almendral A, Pardo-**
735 **Trujillo A. 2019.** Cenozoic evolution of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombia. In: The
736 geology of Colombia, Volume 3 Paleogene-Neogene. Bogotá: Servicio Geológico Colombiano,
737 Publicaciones Geológicas Especiales, 259–297.

- 738 **Pérez-Escobar OA, Chomicki G, Condamine FL, Karremans AP, Bogarín D, Matzke NJ,**
739 **Silvestro D, Antonelli A. 2017.** Recent origin and rapid speciation of Neotropical orchids in the
740 world's richest plant biodiversity hotspot. *New Phytologist* **215**: 891–905.
- 741 **Peterson BK, Weber JN, Kay EH, Fisher HS, Hoekstra HE. 2012.** Double Digest RADseq:
742 An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in Model and Non-Model
743 Species (L Orlando, Ed.). *PLoS ONE* **7**: e37135.
- 744 **Philbrick CT, Bove CP, Stevens HI. 2010.** Endemism in Neotropical Podostemaceae ¹. *Annals*
745 *of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **97**: 425–456.
- 746 **Philbrick CT, Novelo RA. 1995.** New World Podostemaceae: Ecological and Evolutionary
747 Enigmas. *Brittonia* **47**: 210.
- 748 **Philbrick CT, Novelo A. 1997.** Ovule number, seed number and seed size in Mexican and North
749 American species of Podostemaceae. *Aquatic Botany* **57**: 183–200.
- 750 **Philbrick CT, Novelo RA. 1998.** Flowering phenology, pollen flow, and seed production in
751 *Marathrum rubrum* (Podostemaceae). *Aquatic Botany* **62**: 199–206.
- 752 **Picq S, Alda F, Krahe R, Bermingham E. 2014.** Miocene and Pliocene colonization of the
753 Central American Isthmus by the weakly electric fish *Brachyhypopomus occidentalis*
754 (Hypopomidae, Gymnotiformes) (M Ebach, Ed.). *Journal of Biogeography* **41**: 1520–1532.
- 755 **Puritz JB, Hollenbeck CM, Gold JR. 2014.** dDocent: a RADseq, variant-calling pipeline
756 designed for population genomics of non-model organisms. *PeerJ* **2**: e431.
- 757 **Quintero I, Jetz W. 2018.** Global elevational diversity and diversification of birds. *Nature* **555**:
758 246–250.
- 759 **Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. 2018.** Posterior Summarization in
760 Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7 (E Susko, Ed.). *Systematic Biology* **67**: 901–904.
- 761 **Reyes-Ortega I, Sánchez-Coronado ME, Orozco-Segovia A. 2009.** Seed germination in
762 *Marathrum schiedeanum* and *M. rubrum* (Podostemaceae). *Aquatic Botany* **90**: 13–17.
- 763 **Richardson JE, Madriñán S, Gómez-Gutiérrez MC, Valderrama E, Luna J, Banda-R. K,**
764 **Serrano J, Torres MF, Jara OA, Aldana AM, et al. 2018.** Using dated molecular phylogenies
765 to help reconstruct geological, climatic, and biological history: Examples from Colombia (I
766 Somerville, Ed.). *Geological Journal* **53**: 2935–2943.
- 767 **Rodríguez-Muñoz E, Montes C, Crawford AJ. 2020.** *Synthesis of geological and comparative*
768 *phylogeographic data point to climate, not mountain uplift, as driver of divergence across the*
769 *Eastern Andean Cordillera*. *Evolutionary Biology*.
- 770 **Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003.** MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed
771 models. *Bioinformatics* **19**: 1572–1574.

- 772 **Roxo FF, Albert JS, Silva GSC, Zawadzki CH, Foresti F, Oliveira C. 2014.** Molecular
773 Phylogeny and Biogeographic History of the Armored Neotropical Catfish Subfamilies
774 Hypoptopomatinae, Neoplecostominae and Otothyridae (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) (HT
775 Lumsch, Ed.). *PLoS ONE* **9**: e105564.
- 776 **van Royen P. 1951.** *The Podostemaceae of the New World*. Harte.
- 777 **Ruhfel BR, Bove CP, Philbrick CT, Davis CC. 2016.** Dispersal largely explains the
778 Gondwanan distribution of the ancient tropical clusioid plant clade. *American Journal of Botany*
779 **103**: 1117–1128.
- 780 **Ruokolainen K, Moulatlet GM, Zuquim G, Hoorn C, Tuomisto H. 2019.** Geologically recent
781 rearrangements in central Amazonia river network and their importance for the riverine barrier
782 hypothesis. **11**: e45046.
- 783 **Rutishauser R. 1995.** Developmental patterns of leaves in Podostemaceae compared with more
784 typical flowering plants: saltational evolution and fuzzy morphology. *Canadian Journal of*
785 *Botany* **73**: 1305–1317.
- 786 **Rutishauser R. 1997.** Structural and developmental diversity in Podostemaceae (river-weeds).
787 *Aquatic Botany* **57**: 29–70.
- 788 **Rutishauser R, Novelo RA, Philbrick CT. 1999.** Developmental Morphology of New World
789 Podostemaceae: Marathrum and Vanroyenella. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **160**: 29–
790 45.
- 791 **Schmickl R, Liston A, Zeisek V, Oberlander K, Weitemier K, Straub SCK, Cronn RC,**
792 **Dreyer LL, Suda J. 2016.** Phylogenetic marker development for target enrichment from
793 transcriptome and genome skim data: the pipeline and its application in southern African *Oxalis*
794 (*Oxalidaceae*). *Molecular Ecology Resources* **16**: 1124–1135.
- 795 **Sklenář P, Dušková E, Balslev H. 2011.** Tropical and Temperate: Evolutionary History of
796 Páramo Flora. *The Botanical Review* **77**: 71–108.
- 797 **Smith BT, McCormack JE, Cuervo AM, Hickerson Michael J, Aleixo A, Cadena CD, Pérez-**
798 **Emán J, Burney CW, Xie X, Harvey MG, et al. 2014.** The drivers of tropical speciation.
799 *Nature* **515**: 406–409.
- 800 **Stamatakis A. 2014.** RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
801 large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1312–1313.
- 802 **Stenz NWM, Larget B, Baum DA, Ané C. 2015.** Exploring Tree-Like and Non-Tree-Like
803 Patterns Using Genome Sequences: An Example Using the Inbreeding Plant Species *Arabidopsis*
804 *thaliana* (L.) Heynh. *Systematic Biology* **64**: 809–823.
- 805 **Tagliacollo VA, Roxo FF, Duke-Sylvester SM, Oliveira C, Albert JS. 2015.** Biogeographical
806 signature of river capture events in Amazonian lowlands. *Journal of Biogeography* **42**: 2349–
807 2362.

- 808 **Testo WL, Sessa E, Barrington DS. 2019.** The rise of the Andes promoted rapid diversification
809 in Neotropical *Phlegmariurus* (Lycopodiaceae). *New Phytologist* **222**: 604–613.
- 810 **Than C, Ruths D, Nakhleh L. 2008.** PhyloNet: a software package for analyzing and
811 reconstructing reticulate evolutionary relationships. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**: 322.
- 812 **Tippery NP, Philbrick CT, Bove CP, Les DH. 2011.** Systematics and Phylogeny of
813 Neotropical Riverweeds (Podostemaceae: Podostemoideae). *Systematic Botany* **36**: 105–118.
- 814 **Villagómez D, Spikings R, Mora A, Guzmán G, Ojeda G, Cortés E, van der Lelij R. 2011.**
815 Vertical tectonics at a continental crust-oceanic plateau plate boundary zone: Fission track
816 thermochronology of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombia: THERMOCHRONOLOGY
817 OF NORTHERN COLOMBIA. *Tectonics* **30**: n/a-n/a.
- 818 **Wagner CE, Keller I, Wittwer S, Selz OM, Mwaiko S, Greuter L, Sivasundar A, Seehausen**
819 **O. 2013.** Genome-wide RAD sequence data provide unprecedented resolution of species
820 boundaries and relationships in the Lake Victoria cichlid adaptive radiation. *Molecular Ecology*
821 **22**: 787–798.
- 822 **Wascher M, Kubatko L. 2020.** Consistency of SVDQuartets and Maximum Likelihood for
823 Coalescent-Based Species Tree Estimation (E Susko, Ed.). *Systematic Biology*: syaa039.
- 824 **Wen D, Yu Y, Zhu J, Nakhleh L. 2018.** Inferring Phylogenetic Networks Using PhyloNet (D
825 Posada, Ed.). *Systematic Biology* **67**: 735–740.
- 826 **Willis JC. 1902.** Studies in the morphology and ecology of the Podostemaceae of Ceylon and
827 India. **1**: 267–465.
- 828 **Willis JC. 1914.** On the lack of adaptation in the Tristichaceae and Podostemaceae. **87**: 532–
829 550.
- 830 **Willis JC. 1915.** The origin of the Tristichaceae and Podostemaceae. **29**: 299–306.
- 831 **Yuan Y-W, Liu C, Marx HE, Olmstead RG. 2009.** The pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) gene
832 family, a tremendous resource for plant phylogenetic studies: Methods. *New Phytologist* **182**:
833 272–283.
- 834 **Yuan Y-W, Liu C, Marx HE, Olmstead RG. 2010.** An empirical demonstration of using
835 pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) genes as plant phylogenetic tools: Phylogeny of Verbenaceae and
836 the Verbena complex. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **54**: 23–35.
- 837 **Zhang L-N, Ma P-F, Zhang Y-X, Zeng C-X, Zhao L, Li D-Z. 2019.** Using nuclear loci and
838 allelic variation to disentangle the phylogeny of Phyllostachys (Poaceae, Bambusoideae).
839 *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **137**: 222–235.
- 840 **Zhang C, Rabiee M, Sayyari E, Mirarab S. 2018.** ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species tree
841 reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**: 153.

842 **Zimmermann T, Mirarab S, Warnow T. 2014.** BBKA: Improving the scalability of *BEAST
843 using random binning. *BMC Genomics* **15**: S11.

844

Table 1. Results of our calibration and the calibrations for the same node inferred in two previous studies. Ruhfel et al., presented two dating results for alternative placements of the fossil *Paleochoisia chevalieri*

	Bedoya et al., 2020	Magallón et al., 2015	Ruhfel et al., 2016	
95% HPD Min (Ma)	54.56	53.53	49.9	55.4
95% HPD Mean (Mya)	62.43	67.82	62	66.1
95% HPD Max (Mya)	70.30	77.29	71.9	76.8

Figure 1. a) Localities where *Marathrum* specimens were sampled (dots). Green=Antioquia, Blue=Caribe, Orange=Boyacá. The three Andean Cordilleras are shown. WC= Western Cordillera, CC=Central cordillera, EC=Eastern Cordillera. Yellow lines delimit drainage basins. The Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta is indicated with pink dashed lines. Major rivers are shown in blue. b) Phenotype of *M. utile* and *M. foeniculaceum*. The former one has laminar, entire leaves. The latter has highly dissected leaves. Flower morphology varies geographically in both species.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic relationships and ancestry proportion of individuals from Antioquia (green), Caribe (blue) and Boyacá (orange) inferred from target enrichment data. a) Maximum likelihood tree inferred from concatenated analyses of 207 targeted loci. Bootstrap support (≥ 70) is shown above branches. Admixed individuals are indicated with quotation marks. b) Ancestry proportions for K=4 and K=5 inferred with ADMIXTURE. Vertical bars indicate the drainage basin where each individual was collected.

Figure

3. Phylogenetic trees inferred using data concatenation and species tree inference on target enrichment and ddRADseq data excluding admixed individuals from Antioquia and Caribe. The provenance of individuals is indicated in green (Antioquia), blue (Caribe), and orange (Boyacá). ddRADseq topologies are rooted based on results from target enrichment. Admixed individuals as inferred with ADMIXTURE are indicated with quotation marks. a) Majority rule consensus bayesian trees inferred from concatenated data. Posterior probabilities ≥ 0.7 are shown above branches. Bootstrap support (≥ 70) from ML reconstruction are shown below branches. b) Species tree inferred with SVDquartets. Quarter support values > 70 shown above branches. c) Species tree inferred with ASTRAL III for the target enrichment dataset. Local posterior probabilities > 0.7 shown above branches.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic network resulted from maximum pseudo-likelihood inference with PhyloNet. After 2 reticulation events, the pseudolikelihood score starts to plateau. Provenance of samples is shown in green (Antioquia), blue (Caribe), and orange (Boyacá). Admixed individuals as inferred with ADMIXTURE are indicated with quotation marks. Inferred reticulation events are depicted with red lines.

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. Voucher numbers, NCBI accessions and locations for the samples collected and included in this study. Samples are arranged by species. Admixed individuals are indicated in quotations marks, and individuals that have the phenotype of *M. foeniculaceum* but whose genetic constitution was not assessed with admixture are indicated as morphs since they could correspond to *M. foeniculaceum* or to hybrids. The sample used for generating genome-skimming data is in bold (SRR12956182 for WGS read data). Genomic data are deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under Bioproject PRJNA673497.

Collector No	SRA accession # ddRADseq	SRA accession # target enrichment	GenBank accession numbers	Species	Coordinates	Basin/River
AMB 497	SRR12971533	SRR12975804	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°11'47.07"N, 73°27'35.53"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 503	SRR12989095	SRR12983242	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°11'33.46"N, 73°34'59.01"W	Caribe/Chorro Catalina
AMB 507	SRR12971532	SRR12975803	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°6'13.93"N, 73°52'19.28"W	Caribe/Buritaca
AMB 508	SRR12971530	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°6'13.93"N, 73°52'19.28"W	Caribe/Buritaca
AMB 509	SRR12971529	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°6'13.93"N, 73°52'19.28"W	Caribe/Buritaca
AMB 512	FAILED	SRR12975802	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°5'34.16"N, 73°53'12.51"W	Caribe/Buritaca
AMB 563-1	SRR12971527	SRR12975801	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°13'30"N, 73°41'50"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 563-3	SRR12971526	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°13'30"N, 73°41'50"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 563-4	SRR12971525	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°13'30"N, 73°41'50"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 566-1	SRR12971524	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 566-3	SRR12971523	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 566-4	SRR12971522	SRR12975800	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 566-6	SRR12971521	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 566-8	SRR12971519	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 566-9	SRR12971518	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 566-14	SRR12971517	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 566-18	SRR12971516	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 574-1	SRR12971515	SRR12975799	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 574-2	SRR12971514	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 575-1	SRR12971513	SRR12975798	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 575-2	SRR12971512	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 575-3	SRR12971511	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 577-1	SRR12971510	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	10°42'25"N, 73°17'4"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 577-2	FAILED	SRR12975797	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	10°42'25"N, 73°17'4"W	Caribe/Badillo
AMB 577-3	SRR12971507	SRR12975796	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	10°42'25"N, 73°17'4"W	Caribe/Badillo
AMB 578	-	SRR12983243	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	10°30'5"N, 73°16'9"W	Caribe/Guatapuri
AMB 639-1	SRR12971506	SRR12975795	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 639-2	SRR12971505	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 639-3	SRR12971504	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 639-4	SRR12971503	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 639-5	SRR12971502	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 641-1	SRR12971501	SRR12975793	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°13'57"N, 74°54'58"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 641-2	SRR12971500	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°13'57"N, 74°54'58"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 641-3	SRR12971499	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°13'57"N, 74°54'58"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 642-1	FAILED	FAILED	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°13'57"N, 74°54'58"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 642-2	SRR12971497	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°13'57"N, 74°54'58"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 651	SRR12971596	-	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	5°55'58"N, 75°5'45"W	Antioquia/Santo Domingo
AMB 652	SRR12971595	SRR12975792	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	5°55'58"N, 75°5'45"W	Antioquia/Santo Domingo
AMB 653	SRR12971594	SRR12975791	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	5°54'51"N, 75°4'28"W	Antioquia/Santo Domingo

AMB 659	SRR12971593	SRR12975790	-	<i>Marathrum utile</i>	6°0'2"N, 74°56'15"W	Antioquia/Samaná Norte
AMB 567-4	SRR12971578	SRR12975808	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i>	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 571-1	FAILED	SRR12975807	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i>	11°13'46"N, 73°34'40"W	Caribe/Palomino
AMB 571-2	SRR12971576	SRR12975806	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i>	11°13'46"N, 73°34'40"W	Caribe/Palomino
AMB 635	SRR13013619	SRR12983247	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i>	6°16'4"N, 75°1'49"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 643-1	-	SRR12983246	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i>	6°13'57"N, 74°54'58"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 494	SRR12971587	SRR12975817	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°13'7.63"N, 73°42'0.14"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 499	SRR12971586	SRR12975816	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°12'22.95"N, 73°15'17.26"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 502	SRR12971575	SRR12975805	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°11'33.46"N, 73°34'59.01"W	Caribe/Chorro Catalina
AMB 506	SRR13013618	SRR12983248	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°16'33.96"N, 73°55'26.57"W	Caribe/ Piedras
AMB 551-3	-	SRR12983244	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	4°53'29"N, 73°16'31"W	Boyacá/Batá
AMB 555-9	SRR12971564	SRR12975794	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°16'33.96"N, 73°55'26.57"W	Caribe/Piedras
AMB 556-2	SRR12971553	SRR12975789	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°16'0"N, 73°51'56"W	Caribe/Mendihuaca
AMB 558-4	SRR12971542	SRR12975788	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°14'41"N, 73°50'35"W	Caribe/Guachaca
AMB 558-5	SRR12971531	SRR12975787	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°14'41"N, 73°50'35"W	Caribe/Guachaca
AMB 561-2	SRR12971520	SRR12975786	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°14'11"N, 73°41'51"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 561-3	SRR12971509	SRR12975785	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°14'11"N, 73°41'51"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 562-1	SRR12971498	SRR12975784	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°13'56"N, 73°42'2"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 568	SRR12971585	SRR12975815	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 571-4	SRR12971584	SRR12975814	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°13'46"N, 73°34'40"W	Caribe/Palomino
AMB 573-4	FAILED	SRR12975813	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 638-4	SRR12971582	SRR12975812	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 654	SRR12971581	SRR12975811	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	5°54'51"N, 75°4'28"W	Antioquia/Santo Domingo
AMB 657	SRR13013620	SRR12983245	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	5°54'56"N, 74°58'45"W	Antioquia/Samaná Norte
AMB 658-1	SRR12971580	SRR12975810	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	6°0'2"N, 74°56'15"W	Antioquia/Samaná Norte
V.Londono	SRR12971579	SRR12975809	-	" <i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> "	4°53'29"N, 73°16'31"W	Boyacá/Batá
AMB 492	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'7.63"N, 73°42'0.14"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 493	SRR12971574	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'7.63"N, 73°42'0.14"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 495	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'19.31"N, 73°31'38.01"W	Caribe/San Salvador
AMB 496	SRR12971573	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'19.31"N, 73°31'38.01"W	Caribe/San Salvador
AMB 498	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°11'47.07"N, 73°27'35.53"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 500	SRR12971572	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'22.95"N, 73°15'17.26"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 551-4	SRR12971571	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	4°53'29"N, 73°16'31"W	Boyacá/Batá
AMB 551-5	SRR12971570	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	4°53'29"N, 73°16'31"W	Boyacá/Batá
AMB 551-6	SRR12971569	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	4°53'29"N, 73°16'31"W	Boyacá/Batá
AMB 551-7	SRR12971568	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	4°53'29"N, 73°16'31"W	Boyacá/Batá
AMB 551-8	SRR12971567	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	4°53'29"N, 73°16'31"W	Boyacá/Batá
AMB 551-10	SRR12971566	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	4°53'29"N, 73°16'31"W	Boyacá/Batá
AMB 556-1	SRR12971565	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°16'0"N, 73°51'56"W	Caribe/Mendihuaca
AMB 556-3	SRR12971563	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°16'0"N, 73°51'56"W	Caribe/Mendihuaca
AMB 556-4	SRR12971562	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°16'0"N, 73°51'56"W	Caribe/Mendihuaca
AMB 556-5	SRR12971561	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°16'0"N, 73°51'56"W	Caribe/Mendihuaca
AMB 558-1	SRR12971560	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°14'41"N, 73°50'35"W	Caribe/Guachaca
AMB 558-2	SRR12971559	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°14'41"N, 73°50'35"W	Caribe/Guachaca
AMB 558-8	SRR12971558	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°14'41"N, 73°50'35"W	Caribe/Guachaca
AMB 558-10	SRR12971557	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°14'41"N, 73°50'35"W	Caribe/Guachaca
AMB 558-11	SRR12971556	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°14'41"N, 73°50'35"W	Caribe/Guachaca
AMB 562-2	SRR12971555	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'56"N, 73°42'2"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 562-5	SRR12971554	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'56"N, 73°42'2"W	Caribe/Don Diego
AMB 567-3	SRR12971552	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho

AMB 567-5	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 567-6	SRR12971551	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 567-7	SRR12971550	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'2"N, 73°27'42"W	Caribe/Ancho
AMB 571-3	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'46"N, 73°34'40"W	Caribe/Palomino
AMB 571-5	SRR12971549	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'46"N, 73°34'40"W	Caribe/Palomino
AMB 571-6	SRR12971548	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°13'46"N, 73°34'40"W	Caribe/Palomino
AMB 573-1	SRR12971547	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 573-2	SRR12971546	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 573-3	SRR12971545	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 573-5	SRR12971544	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 573-6	SRR12971543	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	11°12'39"N, 73°15'8"W	Caribe/Jerez
AMB 631-2	SRR12971541	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°16'11"N, 75°1'54"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 631-4	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°16'11"N, 75°1'54"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 632	FAILED	FAILED	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°16'11"N, 75°1'54"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 636	SRR12971540	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 638-3	SRR12971539	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 640	SRR12971538	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°16'0"N, 75°1'45"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 642-3	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°13'57"N, 74°54'58"W	Antioquia/Arenal
AMB 645-1	SRR12971537	FAILED	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°11'38"N, 75°0'18"W	Antioquia/Bañadero San Carlos
AMB 646-1	FAILED	FAILED	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°11'52"N, 75°1'41"W	Antioquia/
AMB 646-4	SRR12971536	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°11'52"N, 75°1'41"W	Antioquia/
AMB 646-5	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°11'52"N, 75°1'41"W	Antioquia/
AMB 646-6	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°11'52"N, 75°1'41"W	Antioquia/
AMB 655	SRR12971535	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	5°55'44"N, 75°0'44"W	Antioquia/Samaná Norte
AMB 656-1	SRR12971534	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	5°54'56"N, 74°58'45"W	Antioquia/Samaná Norte
AMB 658-2	FAILED	-	-	<i>Marathrum foeniculaceum</i> (morph)	6°0'2"N, 74°56'15"W	Antioquia/Samaná Norte
AMB 513	FAILED	SRR1298324	-	<i>Weddellina squamulosa</i>	0°51'42.9"N, 71°0'47.5"W	Amazonas/Vaupés
AMB 514	FAILED	-	-	<i>Weddellina squamulosa</i>	0°51'42.9"N, 71°0'47.5"W	Amazonas/Vaupés
AMB 515	FAILED	-	-	<i>Weddellina squamulosa</i>	0°51'42.9"N, 71°0'47.5"W	Amazonas/Vaupés
-	-	-	NC_036341	<i>Garcinia mangostana</i>	-	-
BNDE	-	-	-	<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	-	-
-	-	-	KT337313.1	<i>Populus tremula</i>	-	-

Table S2. Number of loci for which N taxa have data. Ipyrad results for the assembly of all 96 ddRADseq samples and for minimum 4 individuals per loci.

N	Locus coverage	Sum coverage	N	Locus coverage	Sum coverage	N	Locus coverage	Sum coverage
1	0	0	38	154	168201	75	0	169462
2	0	0	39	136	168337	76	1	169463
3	0	0	40	123	168460	77	2	169465
4	46519	46519	41	105	168565	78	1	169466
5	28200	74719	42	86	168651	79	4	169470
6	20962	95681	43	73	168724	80	2	169472
7	13485	109166	44	75	168799	81	1	169473
8	10892	120058	45	89	168888	82	0	169473
9	7606	127664	46	68	168956	83	1	169474
10	6563	134227	47	75	169031	84	0	169474
11	5050	139277	48	70	169101	85	0	169474
12	4442	143719	49	49	169150	86	0	169474
13	3471	147190	50	44	169194	87	0	169474
14	2973	150163	51	55	169249	88	0	169474
15	2310	152473	52	32	169281	89	0	169474
16	2112	154585	53	37	169318	90	0	169474
17	1721	156306	54	36	169354	91	0	169474
18	1510	157816	55	23	169377	92	0	169474
19	1210	159026	56	21	169398	93	0	169474
20	1131	160157	57	17	169415	94	0	169474
21	993	161150	58	16	169431	95	0	169474
22	868	162018	59	6	169437	96	0	169474
23	762	162780	60	8	169445			
24	663	163443	61	2	169447			
25	563	164006	62	1	169448			
26	555	164561	63	1	169449			
27	454	165015	64	1	169450			
28	499	165514	65	2	169452			
29	391	165905	66	4	169456			
30	375	166280	67	0	169456			
31	349	166629	68	0	169456			
32	314	166943	69	1	169457			
33	254	167197	70	0	169457			
34	245	167442	71	1	169458			
35	202	167644	72	1	169459			
36	211	167855	73	3	169462			
37	192	168047	74	0	169462			

Table S3. Ipyrad assembly statistics for our total 95, subset of 34 admixed and non-admixed, and 17 non-admixed ddRADseq samples. The latter two were also included in target enrichment. Statistics are shown for loci shared across minimum 4 and 17 of the samples in our subset of 34 samples, and minimum 4 and 9 samples in our subset of 17 non-admixed individuals.

	95 samples			34 Samples						17 samples (non-admixed)					
	<i>Min4</i>			<i>Min4</i> (~88% missing data)			<i>Min17</i> (50% missing data)			<i>Min4</i> (~23% missing data)			<i>Min9</i> (~50% missing data)		
	Total filters	Applied order	Retained loci	Total filters	Applied order	Retained loci	Total filters	Applied order	Retained loci	Total filters	Applied order	Retained loci	Total filters	Applied order	Retained loci
Total prefiltered loci	0	0	613888	0	0	78080	0	0	78080	0	0	86753	0	0	86753
Filtered by rm duplicates	9604	9604	604284	2348	2348	75732	2348	2348	75732	2251	2251	84502	2251	2251	84502
Filtered by max indels	1092	1092	603192	172	172	75560	12	12	75720	66	66	84436	11	11	84491
Filtered by max SNPs	2809	2765	600427	330	327	75233	1	1	75719	76	76	84360	0	0	84491
Filtered by max shared heterozygosity	48451	47836	552591	9820	9733	65500	304	301	75418	5514	5497	78863	159	157	84334
Filtered by min sample	383117	383117	169474	37664	37477	28023	76930	74739	679	67497	67497	11366	83588	83588	746
Total filtered loci	445073	444414	169474	50334	50057	28023	79595	77401	679	75404	75387	11366	86009	86007	746

Table S4. Target enrichment recovery efficiency. The table includes the number of sequenced reads and of exons recovered out of the total 536 targeted. Target length (TL). The table is organized in descending order from the sample with most loci recovered at 75% of the TL. *Marathrum* samples with relatively short exons were excluded from downstream analyses but the outgroup was included. The first sample listed is the one used for selection of sequences to be targeted with the pipeline Sondovac. Admixed individuals with the phenotype of *M. foeniculaceum* are indicated with quotation marks.

Sample	# Reads	# Exons recovered			Loci recovered
		>25% TL	>50% TL	>75% TL	
<i>M. utile</i> AMB497	18152507	535	535	534	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB574-1	6015552	529	527	527	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB503	20726495	526	526	526	208
<i>M. foeniculaceum</i> AMB643-1	4444374	526	526	526	208
<i>M. foeniculaceum</i> AMB571-1	6308716	527	526	526	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB659	6645871	526	526	526	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB641-1	6598011	526	525	524	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB653	11275084	523	522	522	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB512	4921124	525	523	522	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB652	10640199	523	522	521	208
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB494	8038995	529	527	521	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB499	9799316	531	529	521	208
<i>M. foeniculaceum</i> AMB567-4	845956	524	522	520	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB639-1	4951653	521	521	519	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB507	843464	519	519	519	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB577-3	9935840	520	519	519	208
<i>M. foeniculaceum</i> AMB635	4098778	522	520	518	208
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB562-1	871580	522	521	518	202
<i>M. utile</i> AMB577-2	15127071	519	518	517	208
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB571-4	6618910	530	527	517	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB502	11814928	526	524	516	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB561-2	2350936	528	526	516	203
<i>M. utile</i> AMB575-1	1971791	517	517	516	208
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB654	10076885	526	524	515	203
<i>M. utile</i> AMB578	9519370	516	516	515	208
<i>M. utile</i> AMB566-4	1589623	518	517	515	208
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB558-5	3386292	528	523	513	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB658-1	4293245	521	517	513	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB573-4	8038288	525	524	512	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB638-4	1878381	521	518	512	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB558-4	2851635	525	522	512	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB561-3	591426	514	513	510	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB657	2993130	520	516	510	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB506	11255969	518	513	507	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " VLondono	7047222	515	512	506	208
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB555-9	507428	506	506	503	203
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB551-3	10403228	521	514	500	208
<i>M. foeniculaceum</i> AMB571-2	1658509	517	506	479	208
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB568	576529	498	492	478	202
<i>M. utile</i> AMB563-1	497235	489	484	470	206
" <i>M. foeniculaceum</i> " AMB556-2	447726	445	431	405	201
<i>M. foeniculaceum</i> AMB646-1	528950	481	439	341	--
<i>M. utile</i> AMB642-1	269227	450	387	263	--
<i>M. foeniculaceum</i> AMB632	125422	305	204	119	--
<i>M. foeniculaceum</i> AMB645	364733	90	60	47	--
<i>W. squamulosa</i> AMB513	893791	318	285	232	203

Figure S1. Species tree inferred with SVDQuartets for 95 ddRADseq samples successfully sequenced in this study. Individuals of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* (bold) from Antioquia (green), Boyacá (orange) and Caribe (blue) are shown. Tree was inferred as unrooted, but rooting is presented to be consistent with posterior analyses that were rooted with the outgroup *W. squamulosa*. Admixed individuals as inferred with ADMIXTURE are indicated with quotation marks. Individuals for which no information on genetic constitution is available but have the phenotype of *M. foeniculaceum* are indicated with “(morph)”.

Figure S2. Target enrichment loci recovery efficiency for 42 samples (rows) across loci (columns). Recovery efficiency is measured as the length of the sequence recovered as a percentage of the length of the targeted gene. Darker gray: The full length of the targeted sequence was recovered. White: no sequence was recovered. (*) Individuals that failed sequencing or recovered short exons of low quality. Individuals of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* (bold) from Antioquia (green), Boyacá (orange) and Caribe (blue) are shown. Admixed individuals as inferred with ADMIXTURE are indicated with quotation marks.

Figure S3. Cross-validation error and number of clusters (K) resulted for population genetics analysis with ADMIXTURE using target enrichment data.

Figure S4. Maximum likelihood tree inferred from our ddRADseq dataset including only individuals that are also in the target enrichment dataset. Individuals of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* (bold) from Antioquia (green), Boyacá (orange) and Caribe (blue) are shown. Admixed individuals as inferred with ADMIXTURE are indicated with quotation marks.

Figure S5. Species trees inferred from our target enrichment and ddRADseq data. a) SVDQuartets tree with quartet support values >70 above branches and b) ASTRAL III tree with local posterior probabilities > 0.7 shown above branches. Individuals of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* (bold) from Antioquia (green), Boyacá (orange) and Caribe (blue) are shown. Admixed individuals as inferred with ADMIXTURE are indicated with quotation marks.

Figure S6. Maximum likelihood trees inferred from our target enrichment and ddRADseq data excluding admixed individuals from Antioquia and Caribe. Bootstrap support values >70 are shown above branches. Individuals of *M. foeniculaceum* and *M. utile* (bold) from Antioquia (green), Boyacá (orange) and Caribe (blue) are shown. Admixed individuals from Boyacá are indicated with quotation marks.

Figure S7. PhyloNet results allowing 0–5 reticulations and including admixed individuals. After 5 reticulation events, the change in pseudolikelihood score has not plateaued. Each graph represents a separate dataset with 2 semi-randomly chosen individuals per population (Antioquia, Boyacá and Caribe).