The cell fate controlling CLE40 peptide requires CNGC9 to trigger highly localized Ca\(^{2+}\) transients in *Arabidopsis thaliana* root meristems
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Abstract

Communication between plant cells and their biotic environment is largely dependent on the function of plasma membrane localized receptor-like kinases (RLKs). Major players in this communication within root meristems are secreted peptides, including CLAVATA3/EMBRYO SURROUNDING REGION40 (CLE40). In the distal root meristem, CLE40 acts through the receptor like kinase (RLK) ARABIDOPSIS CRINKLY4 (ACR4) and the leucine-rich repeat (LRR) RLK CLAVATA1 (CLV1) to promote cell differentiation. In the proximal meristem, CLE40 signalling requires the LRR receptor-like protein (RLP) CLAVATA2 (CLV2) and the membrane localized pseudokinase CORYNE (CRN), and serves to inhibit cell differentiation. The molecular components that act immediately downstream of the CLE40-activated receptors are not yet known. Here we show that active CLE40 signalling triggers the release of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ leading to increased cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ concentration ([Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$) in a subset of proximal root meristem cells. This rise in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ depends on the CYCLIC NUCLEOTIDE GATED CHANNEL 9 (CNGC9), CLV1, the CLV1-related BARELY ANY MERISTEM1 (BAM1), CLV2 and CRN. The precise function of changes in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ are not yet known, but might form a central part of a fine-tuned response to CLE40 peptide that serves to integrate root meristem growth with stem cell fate decisions and initiation of lateral root primordia.
Introduction

The growth and development of multicellular organisms such as plants is highly coordinated via cell-to-cell interactions. Small secreted peptide ligands, together with different plant hormones, play an important role in maintaining this communication, by fulfilling a vast variety of functions in development, growth and stress responses (Matsubayashi 2011; Olsson et al. 2018). One family of peptide ligands belonging to the class of posttranslationally modified peptides (Matsubayashi 2011; Olsson et al. 2018), is the CLE (CLAVATA3/EMBRYOSURROUNDING REGION, CLV3/ESR) family (Lease and Walker 2006). These peptides perform diverse roles in developmental signalling processes, but also inform on nutrient availability or interactions with beneficial microbes (Cock and McCormick 2001; Gutierrez-Alanis et al. 2017; Mortier et al. 2010; Okamoto et al. 2009).

Here we focus on the role of CLE40, a close homologue of the shoot stem cell secreted peptide CLAVATA3 (CLV3). CLE40 and CLV3 control homeostasis of root or shoot stem cell populations, respectively (Fletcher et al. 1999; Hobe et al. 2003). In the shoot apical meristem (SAM), CLV3 is secreted from stem cells at the meristem tip, and interacts with the plasma membrane localized receptor kinase CLV1, a receptor heteromer consisting of CLV2 and CRN, and the RECEPTOR-LIKE PROTEIN KINASE 2/TOADSTOOL2 (RPK2) (Bleckmann et al. 2010; Fletcher et al.; Jeong et al. 1999; Kinoshita et al. 2010; Müller et al. 2008). Interaction of CLV3 with these receptors ultimately regulates the expression of WUS (WUSCHEL), encoding a homeodomain transcription factor (TF), which is expressed in the organizing centre (OC) of the SAM (Brand et al. 2000; Mayer et al. 1998; Schoof et al. 2000). The WUS protein was shown to move from its site of expression in the OC to the meristem tip, where it serves to maintain stem cells in an undifferentiated state, and binds to the CLV3 promoter to increase CLV3 expression levels (Daum et al. 2014; Yadav et al. 2011). The negative regulation of WUS expression by CLV3 and positive regulation of CLV3 expression by WUS establishes a negative feedback loop that sustains stem cell homeostasis (Brand et al. 2000; Schoof et al. 2000).

Another target of regulation by CLV3 is expression of the CLV1-related RLK BAM1. The extracellular LRRs of BAM1 can, similar to those of CLV1, recognize and bind CLV3 peptide (Shinohara and Matsubayashi 2015). Under normal conditions, BAM1 is expressed at the flanks of the SAM with little or no expression in the centre of the meristem where CLV1 is expressed (DeYoung et al. 2006). In clv1 mutant meristems, BAM1 becomes expressed in a domain comprising the OC, where BAM1 can partially compensate for loss of CLV1 to restrict WUS expression (Nimchuk et al. 2015). If both BAM1 and CLV1 are mutated, stem cells
accumulate resulting in uncoordinated growth of the meristem (DeYoung and Clark 2008; Nimchuk et al. 2015). The precise role of the other receptor systems comprising CLV2/CRN and RPK2 is less clear. More stem cells and higher WUS expression were found in clv2, crn or rpk2 mutants, and various studies reported that larger receptor protein complexes between CLV1, CLV2/CRN, BAM1 and RPK2 can form at membranes (Betsuyaku et al. 2011; Kayes and Clark 1998; Kinoshita et al. 2010; Bleckmann et al., 2010; Müller et al. 2008; Shimizu et al. 2015; Somssich et al. 2015). Downstream of these receptor complexes, heterotrimeric G-proteins and MAP-kinases were found to contribute to further signal processing and transmission (Betsuyaku et al. 2011; Bommert et al. 2013; Ishida et al. 2014).

The fate of stem cell population in the distal root meristem depends on the presence of CLE40 in differentiated columella cells (CCs) negatively regulating the number of columella stem cells (CSCs) via ACR4 and CLV1 in a quantitative manner (Stahl et al. 2009; Stahl et al. 2013). In the proximal root meristem, CLE40 is required in the stele to inhibit or delay meristem cell differentiation. CLE40 induced signalling affects the expression of several phytohormone biosynthetic genes and stem cell specific transcription factor genes such as WUSCHEL-LIKE HOMEobox5 (WOX5), but the components of the intracellular signalling cascade triggered by CLE40 are so far unknown. Curiously, increased CLE40 expression or external addition of CLE40 peptide (CLE40p) also causes premature meristem differentiation (like the loss-of-function situation), indicating that root meristem maintenance might strongly depend on CLE40 concentration (Hobe et al. 2003; Pallakies and Simon, 2014; Stahl et al. 2009).

CLE family peptides act in both local and systemic communication pathways and share central components with pathogen response pathways, such as small secreted ligands, plasma membrane localized RLK complexes and downstream acting cytoplasmic kinases or G-proteins that ultimately converge on regulation of target gene expression (Olsson et al. 2018). Pathogen responses often involve the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS can serve as a first line of defence, and ROS production is intimately linked to an increase in cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration ([Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}) (Demidchik et al. 2003; Pei et al. 2000). In resting cells [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} are kept at a steady state of around 100 nM, while the apoplast maintains a 1 mM Ca^{2+} concentration (Stael et al. 2012). Upon diverse developmental or environmental signals such as drought stress, high salt or auxin, the [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} can rise rapidly and activate a large toolset of Ca^{2+} sensor proteins that affect protein phosphorylation or gene expression (Reviewed in (Kudla et al. 2018)). Ca^{2+} influx across the plasma membrane is mediated by different families of Ca^{2+} permeated channels including CYCLIC NUCLEOTIDE GATED CHANNELs (CNGCs) and GLUTAMATE LIKE RECEPTORs (GLRs) (Clough et al. 2000).
Ca^{2+} influx can be initiated by activation of plasma membrane RLKs, for example, interaction of a flagellin peptide (flg22) with the extracellular domain of the LRR-RLK FLAGELLIN SENSING2 (FLS2) leads to an increase in the [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} and activation of downstream components (Boller and Felix 2009; Chinchilla et al. 2007). BOTRYTIS-INDUCED KINASE1 (BIK1), a receptor-like cytoplasmatic kinase that interacts with FLS2 and the co-receptor BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR KINASE1/SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS-RELATED KINASE3 (BAK1/SERK3), is rapidly released from the plasma membrane complex upon flg22 perception and activates the NADPH oxidase RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE PROTEIN D (RBOHD) by phosphorylating its N-terminus (Kadota et al. 2014; Li et al. 2014). Activated RBOHD catalyses a Ca^{2+} influx via the production of ROS, which also further aids in regulating the activity of RBOHD via CALCIUM DEPENDENT PROTEIN KINASE5 (Dubiella et al. 2013). In addition, there can be microbe- or damage-associated molecular patterns triggered increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} independent of the presence of functional RBOHD. However, the Ca^{2+} response is attenuated compared to when RBOHD is present, demonstrating a feedback effect of ROS on Ca^{2+} signalling (Ranf et al. 2011).

flg22 induced Ca^{2+} efflux from the cytoplasm is mediated via the AUTOINHIBITED Ca^{2+} ATPase8 (ACA8) and ACA10 which were shown to interact with FLS2 after flg22 binding (Frei dit Frey et al. 2012). Ca^{2+} activated proteins mostly contain an EF hand Ca^{2+} binding domain (Day et al. 2002; Kudla et al. 2018), and are activated by different Ca^{2+} concentrations due to their specific affinities (Geiger et al. 2010; Scherzer et al. 2012).

The close interplay between ROS production and intracellular Ca^{2+} release can serve signal amplification and rapid, systemic signal propagation from a single perceiving cell to distal sites and organs (Steinhorst and Kudla 2013). Both ROS production and Ca^{2+} release were recently shown to mediate downstream signalling of the INFLORESCENCE DEFICIENT IN ABSCISSION (IDA) peptide, which is closely related to CLE peptides and acts at the interface between developmental and pathogen response pathways by coordinating cell separation with immune responses (Olsson et al. 2019). Although the intracellular events from plasma membrane receptor activation to final cellular output leading to stem cell maintenance is far from understood, a recent study indicated that Ca^{2+} level fluctuations influence auxin distribution and PIN-FORMED1 (PIN1) polarity in the SAM of Arabidopsis (Li et al. 2019). In addition, Ca^{2+} signalling has been suggested to act as a second messenger in CLV signalling in Arabidopsis seedlings (Chou et al. 2016).
In this study we focused on analysing whether CLE40 can induce elevations in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) using genetically encoded Ca\(^{2+}\) indicators (GECIs) which are best suited for in vivo Ca\(^{2+}\) measurements. Numerous GECIs have been developed since the discovery of aequorin (Shimomura et al. 1962) and first design of Cameleons (Miyawaki et al. 1997) that can be categorized into single fluorescent protein (FP) intensiometric and two-FP ratiometric sensors (Pérez Koldenkova and Nagai 2013). The sensor used in this study, R-GECO1, is a red-emitting single FP (Zhao et al. 2011) and has been shown to exhibit an increased dynamic range compared to another commonly used sensor, the FRET-based Cameleon YC3.6 (Keinath et al. 2015).

We here investigated whether Ca\(^{2+}\) is a component of CLE40 signalling. We demonstrate that CLE40 signalling leads to a rapid, but spatially highly localized increase in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) in a subgroup of Arabidopsis root meristem cells. Using mutants and inhibitor studies, we show that CLV1 and BAM1 are both required for CLE40 dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) release, while the CLV2/CRN heteromer contributes to a lesser extent. We also show that CNGC9 is one of the key channels that are activated by CLE40 signalling in root cells and that loss of CNGC9 activity interrupts Ca\(^{2+}\) transients while root meristem differentiation remains unaffected.

**Results**

**CLE40 peptide induces a distinct, localized increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration**

Root meristems respond in a highly sensitive manner to changes in the concentration of CLE40 peptide (CLE40p) (Fiers et al. 2005; Pallakies and Simon 2014), indicating that seedlings respond to exogenous application of CLE40p by biological relevant readouts. Treatment of Col-0 wild type (WT) seedlings with 200 nM of CLE40p leads to shorter roots due to premature differentiation of the proximal root meristem compared to untreated WT roots (Supplementary Fig.1 A,B) (Hobe et al. 2003).

To investigate whether CLE40 signalling involves changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\), we used Arabidopsis plants expressing R-GECO1 as a Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor (Keinath et al. 2015). By continuously monitoring primary roots at 10 days after germination (DAG) in an imaging chamber via an inverted confocal microscope we could detect an increase in the normalized fluorescence intensity of R-GECO1 in a small group of cells in the proximal root meristem upon addition of 1 \(\mu\)M CLE40p (Movie 1 and Fig.1 A,B). The CLE40p induced Ca\(^{2+}\) signals become visible in the stele region of the root within 7 minutes (min) after addition of CLE40p to the chamber. Here, small regions responded to CLE40p with rapid spikes in their
fluorescence intensity that was quantified by placing regions of interest (ROIs) in the stele (Movie1 and Fig.1 A,B). We have so far not able to resolve if these signals originate from individual cells, or small cell groups that respond simultaneously. This local [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} elevation was noted in about 55 % of all roots tested (n = 62), but missing in 45 % of all roots that still responded to control extracellular ATP (eATP) treatment (see below) (Table 1). The frequency of roots responding to CLE40p is in a similar range as that observed for chitin treated roots, where 67 % of roots showed [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} elevations (Keinath et al. 2015). Addition of a randomized CLE40 peptide (CLE40p-R) with the same amino acid composition as CLE40p but a different amino acid sequence, to the imaging chamber triggered no changes in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} even if the roots responded to control eATP treatment (see below), indicating that an active CLE40p was essential for the [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} elevation (Table 1).

Since [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} transients can be triggered by diverse biotic and abiotic stresses including mechanical stresses and other endogenous peptides, we wanted to assay for the specificity of the CLE40p responses. To investigate whether [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} spikes are limited to treatment with CLE40p, we used a closely related peptide, CLE14 peptide (CLE14p). CLE14p is expressed in the root cap of Arabidopsis and involved in signalling of phosphate deficiency (Gutierrez-Alanis et al. 2017). Upon phosphate starvation, CLE14 expression is upregulated and expands to the endodermis and stele. Given the localized [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} elevation to a small number of cells after CLE40p treatment (Movie 1 and Fig.1 A,B) we reasoned that we needed to increase the ROIs along the whole stele to compare signal intensity values between different treatments. When comparing signal intensity values from roots treated with 1 µM CLE40p to 1 µM CLE14p in ROI s along the stele we could detect increased [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} after application of CLE40p, but not after application of CLE14p (Fig.2 A,B), indicating that eliciting a [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} elevation is not a general feature of CLE peptide signalling pathways. We noted that roots at 10 DAG were more responsive to CLE40p than younger roots, and therefore suggest that a key component in the CLE40 signalling pathway might be rate limiting and be only lowly expressed at earlier stages.
Fig. 1: CLE40p treatment induces a distinct increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$.

A, Representative response of the changes in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ observed when roots expressing R-GECOI are treated with 1 $\mu$M CLE40p. The increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ response is observed as spikes in a small group of cells in the stele region. ROIs (outlined in yellow rectangles) were used to measure normalized R-GECOI fluorescence intensities ($\Delta F/F$). Cells with increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ in ROI 3 and ROI 2 are observed at time point 13:05 (mm:ss) and 16:25 (mm:ss), respectively. No changes in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ are observed in ROI 1. Scale bar = 50 $\mu$m. B, Normalized R-GECOI fluorescence intensities ($\Delta F/F$) were measured from ROI 1, ROI 2 and ROI 3 in the meristematic zone of the root. Shown are $([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}})$ dynamics in response to 1 $\mu$M CLE40p over time (see also Movie 1). Red line at 5 min indicates application of CLE40p. C, Normalized R-GECOI fluorescence intensities ($\Delta F/F$) were measured from ROI 1, ROI 2 and ROI 3 in the meristematic zone of the root. Shown are $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ dynamics in response to 1 mM eATP over time (see also Movie 1). The addition of 1 mM eATP serves as an internal positive control and leads to a rapid increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ and increased fluorescence intensity. A,B,C, representative response from 62 roots. Red line at 5 min indicates application of CLE40p or at 42 min application of eATP, respectively.

The CLE40p triggered $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ response requires $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ influx from the apoplast

Further dissection of the CLE40 induced $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ elevation required an independent positive control that triggers a $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ response. The flg22 peptide which acts via the FLS2 receptor and the BAK1 co-receptor has already been shown to cause a strong $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ signal, which moves as a response wave through the entire root tip, starting from the root elongation zone and further...
spreading towards the meristematic zone (Keinath et al. 2015). However, the intensive cross-talk between PAMP-triggered and developmental signalling pathway, exemplified by the activation of common target genes by flg22 and the IDA peptide, which also involves similar ROS and Ca$^{2+}$ responses (Olsson et al. 2019), can compromise further analysis of downstream signalling events. Osmotic- and salt-stresses stimulate Ca$^{2+}$ release, but NaCl leads to a rapid shrinkage of the root meristem due to the change in water potential, confounding image analysis (Knight et al. 1997; Munns and Passioura 1984). We therefore used eATP as a reference stimulus for [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$ release. eATP serves as a danger signal and is sensed via the plasma membrane localized receptor-kinase DOES NOT RESPOND TO NUCLEOTIDES1 (DORN1/P2K1) (Choi et al. 2014; Keinath et al. 2015; Waadt et al. 2017). Adding 1 mM eATP caused reproducible [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$ elevation within 1 min that initiated in the root tip and extended shootwards as a wave (Fig.1 C, Fig.2 A-E and Fig.3). In contrast to CLE40p induced [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$ elevation, treatments with eATP, flg22, and IDA affect the entire root meristem and were not locally restricted. In addition, the gap period between stimulus and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$ response varies considerably between treatments, i.e., 7 min for CLE40p peptide and 1 min for eATP (Fig.1 C, Fig.2 A-E and Fig.3), which indicates mechanistic differences between the response systems involved. eATP triggered [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$ elevation in all cells including the epidermis, while CLE40p elicited a response only in small regions within the stele. The longer diffusion time required for CLE40p to reach target sites deeper in the root tissue could be one explanation for this difference; the utilization of different signalling components for Ca$^{2+}$ perception and transmission between different stimuli could be another.

We tested three Ca$^{2+}$ signalling inhibitors to further investigate if the CLE40p induced signal was generated by Ca$^{2+}$ influx from the extracellular space. LaCl$_3$ is able to block cation channels in the plasma membrane and thereby inhibits an [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$ elevation (Knight et al. 1997), for example in response to eATP (Wang et al. 2014). Ethylene glycol-bis(2-aminoethylether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA) is able to chelate Ca$^{2+}$ that is present in the extracellular space (Knight et al. 1997). As a third inhibitor 1,2-bis(o-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (BAPTA), a pH-insensitive Ca$^{2+}$ chelator (Tsien 1980, Li et al. 2019) was used. Pre-incubation of roots with either LaCl$_3$, EGTA or BAPTA inhibited CLE40p induced [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$ elevation (Fig.2 C, D, E). Pre-incubation in 100 µM EGTA gave no response of the roots to CLE40p, however, eATP still triggered a minor rise in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$, which shows that the small and very localized [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{\text{cyt}}$ elevation stimulated by CLE40p is highly sensitive to a reduction in free extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ levels (Fig.2 C). Pre-
incubation with BAPTA (Fig. 2 D) as well as pre-incubation with LaCl₃ (Fig. 2 E) lead in all cases to no visible response, neither to CLE40p or eATP addition.

**Fig. 2:** CLE40p induced increase in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ can be blocked by inhibitors.

Representative response of the changes in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ observed when roots expressing R-GECO1 are treated with 1 µM CLE40p or 1 µM CLE14p followed by 1mM eATP. ROI 1-5 (outlined in white rectangles) were used to measure normalized R-GECO1 fluorescence intensities (ΔF/F) and are represented in the graphs as dynamic responses over time. Red line at 5 min indicates application of CLE40p, followed by an additional red line indicating application of eATP, used as an internal positive control. **A**, Increase in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ response is observed as spikes after treatment with CLE40p. Cells with increase in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ in ROI 4 are observed at time point 12 min, followed by additional spikes in ROIs 1-5. Same root as depicted in Fig. 1 A,B but with larger ROIs analysed, n = 62. **B**, No change in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ response is observed in ROI 1-5 after treatment with CLE14p, n = 17. **C**, No change in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ response is observed in ROI 1-5 when roots were pre-incubated for 30 min in 100 µM EGTA, a Ca²⁺ chelator, before treatment with CLE40p, n = 14. **D**, No change in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ response is observed in ROI 1-5 when roots were pre-incubated for 60 min in 2 mM BAPTA, an intracellular Ca²⁺ chelator, before treatment with CLE40p, n = 11. **E**, No change in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ response is observed in ROI 1-5 when roots were pre-incubated for 120 min in 5 mM LaCl₃, blocking plasma membrane localized Ca²⁺ channels, before treatment with CLE40p, n = 10.

Addition of 1mM eATP leads to increased fluorescence intensity representing an increase in [Ca²⁺]ᵣᵣ for **B** and **C**, while there is no increased fluorescence intensity upon eATP treatment in **D** and **E**. (A, B, C, D, E) Scale bar = 50 µM.
CNGC9 is required for CLE40p induced elevation of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\)

The lack of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) response in LaCl\(_3\) treated roots indicated that the CLE40p dependent \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) response required plasma membrane localized \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) channel. In order to identify the \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) channel responsible for the CLE40p triggered increase in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\), we analysed mutants for all root-expressed CNGCs in their reaction to CLE40p. Mutant lines grown on agar containing 50 nM CLE40p responded with a premature differentiation of meristem cells and reduced root growth. The reaction of mutant roots was similar to that of WT, indicating that none of the CNGCs is required for this response (Supplementary Fig. 3).

We then tested the role of individual CNGCs in the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) elevation. We found that a transcriptional null mutant of \(\text{CNGC9}\), carrying the R-GECO1 reporter (Brost et al. 2019), did not show a \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) release in response to CLE40p, while the response to eATP was still detectable (Fig.3). We conclude that CNGC9 is an essential channel that opens in response to CLE40p signalling and permits rapid \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) influx.

![Fig. 3: CNGC9 is necessary for the CLE40p induced \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) increase.](image)

Representative response of the changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) observed when roots expressing \(\text{R-GECO1}\) in the \(\text{cnng9}\) mutant background are treated with 1 \(\mu\)M CLE40p followed by 1 mM eATP. A, ROI 1-5 (outlined in white rectangles) were used to measure normalized R-GECO1 fluorescence intensities (\(\Delta F/F\)) and are represented in the graphs as dynamic responses over time. B, Red line at 5 min indicates application of CLE40p. C, red line at 30 min indicates application of eATP, used as an internal positive control. No change in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) response is observed in ROI 1-5 after treatment with CLE40p, while addition of eATP leads to increased fluorescence intensity representing an increase in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\), \(n = 9\). Scale bar = 50 \(\mu\)m.

CLE40 signals via distinct membrane receptors to control \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) or stem cell fate

We previously noted that CLE40 acts through several different receptors in distinct cell fate control pathways. In the distal root meristem, CLE40 controls the differentiation status of CSCs via CLV1 and ACR4 (Stahl et al. 2009; Stahl et al. 2013), while regulation of \(\text{WOX5}\) expression...
proximal to the quiescent centre (QC) depends on the CLV2/CRN heteromer (Berckmans et al. 2019). Given that CLE40 signalling in the proximal root meristem requires BAM1, CLV1, CLV2 and CRN we investigated the expression pattern of these receptors by promoter activity or promoter-protein fusion expression. The promoters of CLV2 and CRN were cloned and fused to the nuclear localized YFP-derived fluorophore Venus protein or the mCherry protein; while the promoter and coding region of BAM1 and CLV1 were fused to GFP, respectively. To analyse the expression pattern of pBAM1:BAM1-GFP, pCLV1:CLV1-GFP, pCLV2: H2B-Venus and pCRN: H2B-mCherry, roots were stained with propidium iodide and investigated using a confocal laser scanning microscope. BAM1 expression was found in the proximal meristem, while CLV1 was expressed in the CSC of the distal meristem (Fig. 4A,B). CLV1 expression is also detected in the phloem companion cells in the stele (Araya et al. 2014). Promoter activity was detected for CLV2 and CRN in cells of the proximal meristem and the promoter of CLV2 had prominent activity in the stele (Fig. 4C,D). Interestingly, when comparing the expression pattern of BAM1, CLV1, CLV2 and CRN with the expression of CLE40 from roots expressing a pCLE40:H2B-Venus construct we observed that the receptor expression overlaps with those of CLE40 in the proximal root meristem and the stele where the CLE40p induced increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} is visible (Fig. 4A-E).

To further explore the role of these receptors in transmitting the CLE40 signal leading to an increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} we crossed the R-GECO1 reporter into receptor mutant lines and assayed their response to the addition of CLE40p. acr4 mutants were not included in this study since ACR4 expression in the proximal root meristem is predominantly epidermal (Gifford et al. 2003), where no [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} elevation was noted. The CLE40p induced increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} in the stele region of the seedlings is reduced in all receptor mutant backgrounds, compared to WT plants (Table 1). In bam1-3 and clv1-20 background, only 10 % or 15 %, respectively, of all tested roots responded, while 55 % of all WT roots displayed a rapid rise in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2A,B). The requirement for the CLV2/CRN heteromer was less pronounced, and 21 % to 36 % of all roots still responded to CLE40p in the clv2-gabi and crn3 mutant background, respectively (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2C,D). Thus, none of the mutants in individual receptors fully abolished the Ca^{2+} response to CLE40p. A possible interpretation is that CLE40p acts via several receptors, and that single mutants therefore still allow for some signalling through the Ca^{2+} mediated pathway. Alternatively, mutual transcriptional regulation could compensate for the loss of receptors, as was previously described for the upregulation of BAM1 expression in clv1 mutants in the SAM (Nimchuck et al. 2015).
Fig. 4: Expression patterns of BAM1, CLV1, CLV2, CRN and CLE40 in the root meristem.

Microscopic analysis of 2 - 10 dag pBAM1:BAM1-GFP, pCLV1:CLV1-GFP, pCLV2:H2B-mVenus, pCRN:H2B-mCherry and pCLE40:H2B-Venus roots. A, pBAM1:BAM1-GFP expressing roots show expression of the BAM1 receptor in the proximal meristem, 2 dag. B, pCLV1:CLV1-GFP expressing roots show expression of the CLV1 receptor in the columella stem cells (CSCs), 10 dag. C, Fluorescent nuclei could be observed in the stele of the proximal meristem, in the CSCs and columella cells (CCs) in pCLV2:H2B-mVenus expressing roots, 5 dag. D, Fluorescent nuclei could be observed in the all cell layers of the proximal meristem except for the epidermis in pCRN:H2B-mCherry expressing roots, 5 dag. E, Fluorescent nuclei could be observed in the stele of the proximal meristem and CCs in pCLE40:H2B-Venus expressing roots, 10 dag. For C and D roots co-expressing pCLV2:H2B-mVenus and pCRN:H2B-mCherry were used. A,B,C,D, Scale bars = 50 µm. Cell walls of the roots were counterstained using propidium iodide and are depicted in grey. Fluorescence of reporter lines are depicted using the look up table fire. Maximum intensity projections of z-stacks.

E, Model for how CLE40 induces increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} in the proximal meristem. The increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} can be seen in a subset of cells in the stele region (highlighted in red) of the root where BAM1, CLV1, CLV2, CRN and CLE40 are expressed. The activation of the receptors, in the presence of the CIK (CLAVATA3 INSENSITIVE RECEPTOR KINASE) coreceptors (Hu et al. 2018), upon CLE40 binding or interaction leads to the activation of the CNGC9 Ca^{2+} influx channel in the plasma membrane. The influx of Ca^{2+} ions then acts via a still unknown signalling cascade to regulate the proximal root
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meristem. Changes in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} are not observed in the distal root meristem where the CLE40 signal is transmitted via the receptors CLV1 and ACR4 to regulate the distal root meristem.

**Discussion**

CLE40 signalling in the root meristem involves the receptors ACR4 and CLV1 in the distal root meristem and BAM1, CLV1, CLV2 and CRN in the proximal root meristem (Fig. 4 F) (Pallakies and Simon 2014; Stahl et al. 2009; Stahl et al. 2013). CLE40 perception leads to the promotion of cell differentiation and loss of CSCs in the distal root meristem (Stahl et al. 2009). In the proximal root meristem, CLE40 inhibits cell differentiation (Pallakies and Simon 2014). Downstream signalling components of the CLE40 signalling cascade are not yet known. Using a red-emitting single-fluorescent genetically encoded Ca^{2+} indicator (R-GECO1), we here show that the perception of CLE40p leads to an increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}. Ca^{2+} signals were observed in the stele region of the root meristem, and spatially confined to a small number of cells (Fig. 1 A,B). The increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} can be blocked using the inhibitors LaCl₃, EGTA and BAPTA (Fig. 2 C,D,E). Ca^{2+} influx is therefore most likely starting from the apoplastic space and mediated via Ca^{2+} permeable channels in the plasma membrane. Ca^{2+} influx from the apoplast was specifically triggered by CLE40p, and not observed upon the addition of other root active CLE peptides, such as CLE14p (Fig. 2 B). Furthermore, we found that the CLE40 receptors which are required to promote root meristem differentiation in response to an increased dosage of CLE40p (Pallakies and Simon 2014; Stahl et al. 2013) were also required for the rise in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}, indicating that the changes in cell states and Ca^{2+} signalling are intimately linked (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2 A-D).

Our screen for CNGC proteins that might control [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} resulted in the identification of CNGC9 as a key channel protein (Fig. 3). An emerging scenario is that CLE40p activation of membrane localized RLK complexes comprising CLV1 and the CLV2/CRN heteromer results in rapid phosphorylation of CNGC9 and, in consequence, elevation of [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}. The observation that only a very small number of cells respond to the CLE40p signal is intriguing, because the RLKs and CNGC9 are expressed in widely overlapping domains in the stele (Fig. 4 A-E) (Brost et al. 2019; Klepikova et al. 2016). We have to postulate that other, highly localized factors restrict the [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} response. We did not test the impact of CIK on the CLE40p induced elevation in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} since this would have required a quadruple mutant line of CIK1,2,3,4. Whether the cells reacting with [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} elevation are the precursor of lateral root primordia is an intriguing hypothesis, but requires further investigation. In our model we propose that the CLE40p triggered increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} is dependent on receptors known to
regulate the proximal root meristem as well as CNGC9 (Fig. 4 F), and it will be of interest to investigate whether any of these signalling components are affected in lateral root development.

It was previously shown that CLV3, a close homologue of CLE40, can induce a transient increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) in whole seedlings, which was monitored using the aequorin system for Ca\(^{2+}\) detection (Chou et al. 2016). These authors also showed that the induction of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) is impaired in lines mutant for CNGC2, a cation influx channel in the plasma membrane, and that cnge2 (dnd1) mutants are affected in shoot meristem development. Chou and colleagues concluded from their data that [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) elevation is essential for stem cell regulation in the shoot meristem. However, cnge2/dnd1 mutants are severely retarded in growth and development from embryogenesis onwards, and the failure to maintain a functional meristem cannot be unequivocally assigned to reduced Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling (Chou et al. 2016). In our studies, cnge2 mutants, when grown on growth medium in sterile culture, were indistinguishable from the WT and were not affected in stem cell behaviour (Supplementary Fig.3).

Li et al. (2019) addressed the role of Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling in the SAM of Arabidopsis. They employed the R-GECO1 and GCaMP6f Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors and observed continuous spiking signals in individual shoot meristem cells. The relevance of these signals is still unclear, however, inhibiting Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling using a high-dosage treatment with LaCl\(_3\) or BAPTA affected the repolarization behaviour of the PIN1 auxin efflux carrier upon wounding. The authors concluded that Ca\(^{2+}\) spiking could be a prerequisite for the correct polarization of PIN1 protein and may contribute to the establishment of highly ordered phyllotactic patterns in the shoot meristem (Li et al. 2019).

A single EF-hand Ca\(^{2+}\) -binding protein CA\(^{2+}\)-DEPENDENT MODULATOR OF ICR1 (CMI1) was identified by Hazak and colleagues in 2019 that is able to regulate auxin responses and affects auxin-induced changes in the cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) levels (Hazak et al. 2019). Auxin up-regulates the expression of CMI1 and CMI1 binds in Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner to ICR1 (INTERACTOR OF CONSTITUTIVELY ACTIVE ROP). Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent binding of ICR1 and CMI1 affects the localization and function of CMI1 leading to recruitment of CMI1 to microtubules in the presence of ICR1. Since CMI1 responds to low Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration (<10\(^{-7}\) M), and its expression is directly up-regulated by auxin and the loss of function of CMI1 leads to phenotypes associated with impaired auxin responses (repression of auxin-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) increases in the vasculature and lateral root cap), CMI1 can therefore rapidly transduce cell-specific auxin signals.

The role of elevations in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{cyt}}\) in CLE peptide signalling is not yet known. The observations reported here point at a localized role in the control of cell identities and fates.
Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} Responses (n)</th>
<th>[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} Responses – %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE40p Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bam1-3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clv1-20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clv2-gabi</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crn-3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE40p-R Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. CLE40p and CLE40p-R induced [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} increase in Col-0 WT and receptor mutant lines.

Material and methods

Plant material

Plant lines used in this work: Ecotype Colombia-0 (Col-0) was used as wild type (WT). Mutant lines: clv2-gabi GK-686A09 and the cngc lines were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (NASC) (cngc1 SAIL_443_B11, cngc2 SALK_019922, cngc3 SALK_056832 (cngc3-1), cngc3 SALK_066634 (cngc3-2), cngc4 SALK_081369, cngc5-1 SALK_149893, cngc6-1 SALK_042207, cngc9 GK_802D06 (cngc9-1), cngc9 SAIL_736_D02 (cngc9-2), cngc12-2 SALK_092622, cngc17 SALK_041923). bam1-3, clv1-20, and crn-3 have been described previously (B. J. DeYoung et al. 2006; Durbak and Tax 2011; Müller et al. 2008). cngc9-1 GK-802-D06 R-GECO1 was a kind gift from Professor Petra Dietrich. The Ca^{2+} reporter line encoding the Ca^{2+} sensor R-GECO1 (Zhao et al. 2011) expressed under the control of the UBQ10 promoter (Norris et al. 1993) was a kind gift from Karin Schumacher (Keinath et al. 2015). bam1-3, clv1-20, clv2-gabi and crn-3 were crossed to R-GECO1 and plants were identified by genotyping using the primers listed in supplementary table 1.

The promoter region of CLE40 was amplified from Col-0 DNA and cloned into the Promoter:H2B-Venus destination vector by the use of the Gateway cloning system to yield pCLE40:H2B-Venus. The pCLV1:CLV1-GFP and pBAM1:BAM1-GFP plasmids were created
using the Green gate cloning system (Lampropoulos et al. 2013). The entry plasmids (pGGB002, pGGE009, pGGF008) and the destination vector (pGGZ000) were used as provided from the manufacturer, whereas the promoter of CLV1 and BAM1 amplified from Col-0 DNA was cloned into pGGA000. The coding region of CLV1 and BAM1 amplified from Col-0 DNA was cloned into pGGC000. Primers used for generation of reporter lines are listed in supplementary table 1. Internal BsaI recognition sites were mutated by silent point mutations. Constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefacience and the floral dip method (Clough and Bent 1998) was used to generate transgenic lines. pCLE40: H2B-Venus and pCLV1: CLV1-GFP were transformed into Col-0 while pBAM1: BAM1-GFP was transformed into the bam1-3 mutant background. The pCRN: mCherry-H2B/pCLV2: Venus-H2B reporter line has been described previously (Somssich et al. 2016).

**Growth conditions**

Seeds were surface sterilized with chlorine gas and incubated in 0.1 % (w/v) agarose for 2 days at 4 °C before plating onto 1/2 MS medium with 1 % (w/v) sucrose. Plates were incubated with constant light at 21 °C for 4-10 days. For root analyses, the plates were incubated vertically. For peptide treatment of roots plates containing a final concentration of 50 nM to 200 nM CLE40p were used and root length was analysed 10 dag.

**Confocal laser microscopy**

Confocal laser microscopy was carried out on a Leica TCS SP8 STED 3X microscope using a 20x multi NA 0.75 objective, a Zeiss LSM 780 using a Plan-Apochromat 40x/1.0 DIC M27 objective and a Zeiss LSM 880 40x water / NA1.2 objective. Counterstaining of cell walls was used during image acquisition of reporter lines by staining roots in 2,5 µM propidium iodide for 5 min.

**Ca²⁺ imaging using the R-GECO1 sensor**

[Ca²⁺]<sub>cyt</sub> in roots were detected using plants expressing the red-emitting single-fluorescent protein R-GECO1 as a Ca²⁺ sensor (Keinath et al. 2015). Confocal laser scanning microscopy was performed on a Leica TCS SP8 STED 3X microscope using a 20x multi NA 0.75 objective and a Zeiss LSM880 Airyscan using a Plan-Apochromat 20x air NA 0.80 objective. The Ca²⁺ sensor R-GECO1 was excited with a white light laser at 561 nm and its emission was detected at 590 nm to 670 nm using a HyD detector (Leica) and an EMCCD iXon Ultra detector (Zeiss). Laser power was chosen for each experiment to maintain comparable intensity values and to
avoid oversaturation. Images were recorded with a frame rate of 5 s at 600 Hz. Sample mounting was performed as previously described (Krebs and Schumacher 2013). Seedlings expressing the R-GECO1 Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensor were grown on half strength Hoaglands or half strength MS agar for 10-11 days. Seedlings were incubated overnight in growth medium at 21 °C and continuous light conditions before the day of imaging. Peptides were prepared in two-fold concentration in half strength Hoaglands medium. The peptides were added in a 1:1 (final concentration 1 µM) volume ratio to the imaging chamber. ATP was prepared in a 100-fold concentration in 100 mM Tris pH 7.0 and added as a last treatment in a 1:100 volume ratio (final concentration 1 mM) to the imaging chamber. Image processing was performed in FIJI (Schindelin et al. 2012). These steps are: background subtraction, Gaussian blur, MultiStackReg v1.45 (http://bradbusse.net/sciencedownloads.html), 32-bit conversion, threshold. Royal was used as a look up table. Fluorescence intensities of indicated ROI s were obtained from the 32-bit images. Normalization was done using the following formula \( \Delta F/F = (F - F_0)/F_0 \) where \( F_0 \) represents the mean of at least 1 min measurement without any treatment. R-GECO1 experiments were performed independently in two different laboratories. The measurements gave identical results and all results were therefore combined in the data presentation. R-GECO1 measurements were performed at the Center for Advanced imaging (CAi) at HHU and at the NorMIC Imaging platform at the University of Oslo.

**Inhibitor treatment**

1 M LaCl\textsubscript{3} (Sigma-Aldrich) in water, 100 mM EGTA (Sigma-Aldrich) in water and 1M BAPTA (Sigma-Aldrich) in DMSO stock solutions were used at a final concentrations of 5 mM LaCl\textsubscript{3}, 100 µM EGTA and 2 mM BAPTA. Seedlings were preincubated in growth medium solution containing EGTA and BAPTA for 30 min, and LaCl\textsubscript{3} for 2h before Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging was recorded.

**Peptide sequences**

Peptides used in this study were ordered from Thermo Scientific. Peptide sequences are listed in supplementary Table 2.

**Movie**

**Movie 1:** \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) dynamics in R-GECO1 expressing Col-0 wildtype root in response to 1 µM CLE40p. Roots 10 dag were treated with 1 µM CLE40p and changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}\) was recorded.
over time. Response shown as normalized fluorescence intensities (ΔF/F). Images were recorded with a frame rate of 5 s. Movie corresponds to measurements shown in Fig.1 A,B. Treatment with 1 mM eATP was used as a control, corresponds to Fig.1 C. Time shown as, hh:mm:ss. The first 5 min, roots were incubated in Hoagland’s medium and serve as background measurement.

**Movie 2**: [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ dynamics in R-GECO1 expressing Col-0 wildtype root in response to 1 μM CLE40p after preincubation in 100 μM EGTA for 35 minutes. Roots 11 dag were preincubated in EGTA and then transferred to the microscope. Roots were treated with 1 μM CLE40p and changes in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ was recorded over time. Response shown as normalized fluorescence intensities (ΔF/F). Images were recorded with a frame rate of 5 s. Movie corresponds to measurements shown in Fig.3 C. Treatment with 1 mM eATP was used as a control, corresponds to Fig.3 C. Time shown as, hh:mm:ss. The first 5 min, roots were incubated in Hoagland’s medium with 100 μM EGTA and serve as background measurement.

**Movie 3**: [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ dynamics in R-GECO1 expressing clv2-gabi root in response to 1 μM CLE40p. Roots 10 dag were treated with 1 μM CLE40p and changes in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ was recorded over time. Response shown as normalized fluorescence intensities (ΔF/F). Images were recorded with a frame rate of 5 s. Movie corresponds to measurements shown in supplemental Fig.2 C. Treatment with 1 mM eATP was used as a control, corresponds to supplemental Fig.2 C. Time shown as, hh:mm:ss. The first 5 min, roots were incubated in Hoagland’s medium and serve as background measurement.

**Supplementary Tables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Primer sequence 5-3</th>
<th>Genotyping primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JS_CLV1fw</td>
<td>TTTGAATAGTGTGACACACAAATTTGAA</td>
<td>genotyping clv1-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS_CLV1rev</td>
<td>TCCAAATGGTAATTCACCGGTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB_CLV2_TGW_F</td>
<td>CACCATGATAAAGATTGCAGAT</td>
<td>genotyping clv2-gabi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB_CLV2_del70 8 R</td>
<td>TGAGCAAAGATACCTAACA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRN_Tillingp1b_R</td>
<td>ATGCTTCTCGTGCTTCCC</td>
<td>genotyping crn-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM_AL2</td>
<td>GGAGAAACACAAGATACGAAAGCCATG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS_BAM1-3fw</td>
<td>CTAACGACTCTCCGGGAGCT</td>
<td>genotyping bam1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS_BAM1-3rev</td>
<td>TAAGGACCACAGAGATCAGGATTAC</td>
<td>genotyping bam1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC1_SAI L LP</td>
<td>CAAGCTCTGCAAAGGATCAAAC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC1_SAI L RP</td>
<td>TAGAAATGAACACCCGCAAC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC2_SA LK019 LP</td>
<td>TCTGGCACAACCTAACCAAAC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC2_SA LK019 RP</td>
<td>CTTTTCCCCGAAAATCTACTC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC3_SA LK056 LP</td>
<td>AAATCAGAACCTTTAAGCGGC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC3_SA LK056 RP</td>
<td>TACCAAGTTGAAAACCGTCG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC3_SA LK066 LP</td>
<td>CTGTTTGGGTTTAGCCTTTTG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC3_SA LK066 RP</td>
<td>CACTCGTCTTTCAAGTTTGGC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC4 LP</td>
<td>TCGTTTGGCCTCTCCAAATTCC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC4 RP</td>
<td>TCACTAGGGACCTTTTCCATTG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC5_LP</td>
<td>GAGCCTTTGGTTAAGGCCTTC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc5-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC5 RP</td>
<td>CACGGTCCCTAAGATCTTTTG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc5-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC6_LP</td>
<td>TCCAGGATAGTGCTGGTTTTC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc6-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC6 RP</td>
<td>TCCGGTTGATCTCTCTTTTG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc6-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC9-3_LP</td>
<td>TGTATTTCAAGGCGATCCAGAG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc9-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC9-3 RP</td>
<td>TGAAGCCGTGTCTGTACACAG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc9-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC9_SAI L736 LP</td>
<td>TGACGGCAGAGAGAGAGAG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC9_SAI L736 RP</td>
<td>CTCAGGGAAAAACTGCTCGTG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC12_LP</td>
<td>ATTAGATGGCATGAGCTAGGG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc12-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC12 RP</td>
<td>TACCTTGGTTCGAAGCTTGC</td>
<td>genotyping cngc12-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC17_S ALK LP</td>
<td>CAGCAGCTTAGGTAATGGCAG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB_CNGC17_S ALK RP</td>
<td>ATTAGTCAATCGCAGTGAGG</td>
<td>genotyping cngc17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBa1</td>
<td>TGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATCG</td>
<td>genotyping SALK T-DNA insertion lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YS-LB3</td>
<td>TAGCATCTGAATTTCATAACCAATC TCGATACA</td>
<td>genotyping SAIL T-DNA insertion lines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gabi left border</td>
<td>ATATTGACCATCACTACATTGC</td>
<td>genotyping GABI-KAT T-DNA insertion lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cloning primers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLE40_FW</th>
<th>CACCCGTAAGCCAGTTAAGTACCACACAGC</th>
<th>Cloning pCLE40:H2B-Venus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLE40PromTOP Orev</td>
<td>CATTTCAAAAAACCTCTTTTG</td>
<td>Cloning pCLE40:H2B-Venus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Table 1: Primers used for genotyping and generation of reporter lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLE40p</td>
<td>RQV(Hyp)TGSDPLHHK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE40p-R</td>
<td>LPQHPHGRSDVT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE14p</td>
<td>RLVPKGPPLHNNK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hyp = Hydroxyproline

Supplementary Table 2: Peptide sequences.
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Supplementary figures

Supplementary Fig.1: Phenotypes of 10 dag old WT (Col-0) seedlings grown on A, medium without CLE40p and B, medium with 200 nM CLE40p. Notice shorter roots in the presence of CLE40p. Scale bar = 1 cm
Supplementary Fig. 2: Representative response of the changes in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} observed when roots expressing R-GECO1 in the bam1, clv1, clv2 and crn mutant backgrounds, respectively, are treated with 1 µM CLE40p followed by 1 mM eATP. Regions of interest (ROI) 1-5 (outlined in rectangles) were used to measure normalized R-GECO1 fluorescence intensities (ΔF/F) and are represented in the graphs as dynamic responses over time. Red line at 5 min indicates application of CLE40p, followed by an additional red line indicating application of eATP, used as an internal positive control. No change in
[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} response is observed in ROI 1-5 of: A, bam1-3 RGECOI roots (n = 13). B, clv1-20 RGECOI roots (n = 10). C, clv2-gabi RGECOI roots (n = 14) and D, crn-3 RGECOI roots (n = 14). Addition of eATP leads to increased fluorescence intensity representing an increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{cyt} for all genotypes.

Scale bare = 50 μM

Supplementary Fig. 3: Impact of CLE40p treatment on different genotypes. Roots grown on medium with no peptide or on medium with a final concentration of 200 nM CLE40p were measured (in cm) 10 dag. All of the cngc mutants behaved like Col-0 WT and were responsive to CLE40p treatment showing a reduction in root length in the presence of CLE40p compared to untreated roots. The crn-3 mutant was partially insensitive to CLE40p treatment.