1 **Title: Life histories decide reserve benefits in transient yields and bycatch**
2 **persistence**

3 Renfei Chen^{1*}, Chengyi Tu^{2,3}, Quan-Xing Liu^{4,5}

4 ¹School of Life Science, Shanxi Normal University, Yaodu, Linfen, 041000, China.

5 ²School of Ecology and Environmental Science, Yunnan University, 650091,

6 Kunming, China. ³Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management,

7 University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA. ⁴State Key Laboratory of

8 Estuarine and Coastal Research, School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences,

9 East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China. ⁵Center for Global Change

10 and Ecological Forecasting, School of Ecological and Environmental Science, East

11 China Normal University, 200241 Shanghai, China.

12

13 *Corresponding author: Renfei Chen. Email: chenrf@sxnu.edu.cn

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 Recent research indicates that marine reserves can both improve fisheries yields of
 24 target species and maintain the persistence of bycatch species. However, the prevalent
 25 equilibrium analyses prevent our understandings in transient behavior at short-time
 26 scales. Here, we develop high dimensional theoretical frameworks by considering age
 27 structure to assess the relative advantages between reserve-only and no-reserve
 28 fisheries management strategies. Our results show that whether strategies with only
 29 reserves can achieve higher fisheries yields (measured by both weight and number)
 30 and maintain bycatch persistence depends on the life histories of both target and
 31 bycatch species through perspectives of transient oscillations. Our research has
 32 important practical applications especially for the West Coast groundfish fishery in
 33 the USA, as it suggests that reserves can perform benefits in both fisheries and
 34 conservation goals for target species with older ages at maturity and lower adult
 35 survivorship.

36

37 **Keywords:** Marine reserves, bycatch persistence, transients, life histories, fisheries
 38 yields

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 **Introduction**

46 A central problem in marine ecology is improving harvested yields in fisheries and
 47 maintaining species persistence and biodiversity in conservation. To meet these goals
 48 simultaneously, different fisheries strategic managements have been proposed (Game
 49 *et al.* 2009; Gaines *et al.* 2010; Cohen & Foale 2013; Chen *et al.* 2020). Among which
 50 the most influenced methods are traditional fixed/limitation harvesting strategies and
 51 relatively new proposed ones by designing marine reserves (Hastings & Botsford
 52 1999; Hastings *et al.* 2017; Hilborn 2017). The inevitable problem deserving attention
 53 is that which method can perform better to achieve higher fisheries yields, but without
 54 facing the risk of species extinction because of overfishing. A theoretical framework
 55 suggests that establishing marine reserves is a better choice by achieving equivalent
 56 fisheries yields with advantages in maintaining species persistence in comparison with
 57 traditional fisheries management by harvesting effort control each year (Hastings &
 58 Botsford 1999). However, the single species assumption prevents more general
 59 predictions in biodiversity such as considering the effect of some more easily extinct
 60 species relative to the target species in fisheries.

61

62 The incidental catch of unwanted species (i.e. the so-called "bycatch species") is
 63 ubiquitous and has been a great threat to fisheries sustainability (Aalto & Baskett
 64 2013; Komoroske & Lewison 2015; Scales *et al.* 2018; Welch *et al.* 2018). Therefore,
 65 exploring strategies to improve the harvested yields of the target species but keeping

66 the persistence of the bycatch species have great meanings in the practical
67 applications. To date, protecting the bycatch species and simultaneously optimizing
68 the target fisheries yields are still a challenge due to different sustainable catch rates
69 among species (Hastings *et al.* 2017). Nevertheless, an extended two-species model
70 predicts that establishing marine reserve can achieve conservation and fisheries
71 benefits simultaneously, especially when the bycatch species are long-lived with low
72 fecundity (Hastings *et al.* 2017). Although existing multispecies with mixed life
73 histories demonstrates the important role that marine reserve played in
74 ecosystem-based fisheries management, more specific information about how life
75 histories affect the relative advantages between different fisheries management
76 methods (e.g. traditional fishing effort control vs. establishing marine reserves) still
77 needs further answers. Besides, previous researches suggest that a model with age
78 structure plays an important and non-negligible role in deciding which fisheries
79 management method is better (Hilborn 2017). Moreover, the limitations of implicit
80 equilibrium conditions prevent barriers to investigate how the advantages of marine
81 reserves in improving fisheries yields and maintaining bycatch persistence vary in
82 relatively short time scales before the ecosystem achieves stable equilibrium states;
83 that is the insight into the ecosystem with transient dynamics (Hopf *et al.* 2016;
84 Hastings *et al.* 2018; Morozov *et al.* 2019).

85

86 Understanding how transient dynamics of fisheries yields response to different
87 management strategies is essential for monitoring fisheries and making the right

88 decisions in adaptive management. Adaptive management is a widely accepted
 89 iterative approach to frequently regulate fisheries policy implementation based on the
 90 difference between empirical monitoring data and theoretical expectations (Kaplan *et*
 91 *al.* 2019; Nickols *et al.* 2019). It has been suggested that setting an expected timeline
 92 is necessary for adaptive management during the recovery of harvested populations
 93 (Kaplan *et al.* 2019). This derives from the fact that, once marine reserves are
 94 established, the abundance of fish populations may vary greatly and lead to
 95 undetectability in fisheries caused by a variety of factors including life histories
 96 (White *et al.* 2013; Kaplan *et al.* 2019; Nickols *et al.* 2019). These findings provide
 97 important inspirations to compare the relative yields advantages between fisheries
 98 management strategies of traditional effort control and the implementation of marine
 99 reserves. If the transient oscillations are too strong and the amplitude is big enough,
 100 fisheries yields under reserve implementation policy can probably be higher at one
 101 moment but lower at the other moment relative to the yields using nonspatial
 102 approaches, which increases the difficulty to decide between different fisheries
 103 management strategies. It has been demonstrated that life histories can greatly
 104 regulate transients in population abundance, and fish individuals with old ages at
 105 maturity and low natural mortality rates will increase transient dynamics (White *et al.*
 106 2013).

107

108 To explore the transient phenomena in fisheries management as well as the intrinsic
 109 mechanism, we develop theoretical frameworks consist of reserve-only and

no-reserve models which are derived from the two extreme cases in previous models (Hastings *et al.* 2017). We further extend the models to high dimensions by adding age structure to investigate the transient behavior of the target fish species (who are easily persistent even under the stress of fishing) under the persistence of the bycatch species (who have a high risk of going extinct) based on several assumptions. First, we assume that the transient dynamics of the target fish species are independent of the dynamics of the bycatch species (i.e. no ecological connections between two species) (Chen 2020). Second, we assume that the movement abilities are different between fish adults and larvae. Adults tend to be sedentary while larvae can disperse everywhere. Third, we assume that the proportions of fish individuals that are subject to reserve protection (i.e. marine reserve size) in the reserve-only model are the same for both target and bycatch species, and the fractions of fish stocks that left unharvested (i.e. escapement rate) in the no-reserve model are the same for two species. This assumption is possible in the real world when the costs of making fishing gear selective are relatively high. With these assumptions, we compare the fisheries yields measured by both number and weight under two different fisheries management strategies and explore the mechanism that causes the variations of the relative fisheries yields advantages. We investigate the effect of life histories on transient metrics through sensitive analysis, and wavelet analysis (which is an accepted method in transient analyses in ecology; see (Torrence & Compo 1998; Cazelles *et al.* 2008; Cazelles *et al.* 2014)) is used to observe the periodicity of the transient dynamics of the target fished species. With these analyses, we aim to answer

three questions: i) In transient time scales, whether and when the reserve-only policy can achieve advantages of fisheries yields under bycatch species persistence relative to no-reserve policy in fisheries management? ii) Whether transient fisheries management strategies depend on different fisheries yields measurements (i.e. number vs. weight)? iii) How life histories of both target fish species and bycatch species regulate the relative fisheries yields advantages between two fisheries management strategies during transient dynamics?

Model

Model overview

We study two-species systems with both the reserve-only model and the no-reserve model, which can achieve equivalent fisheries yields based on previous conclusions (Hastings & Botsford 1999; Hastings *et al.* 2017). The two species are the target species with strong stock in fisheries whose life histories such as high fecundity, early maturity make it easy to persist and the bycatch weak stock species which has reverse life histories and easier to reach an unacceptably low level. We consider the age structure (results without age structure are shown in Fig. S1) of the target species while ignoring the age structure of the weak stock species so that to simplify and focus on the central issue in this study. In addition to increasing age for all adults each year, the age structure of the target species is featured with two points: i) all the youngest adults come from the larvae recruitment in one year; ii) all the oldest adults (i.e. reach the maximum age) will die next year. Among different age classes, we assume that older mature individuals have higher fecundity. See schematic in Fig. 1.

154 The per-capita fecundity in each age class is expressed as $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)^T$,
 155 and the corresponding weight per individual is $\mathbf{B} = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)^T$. We denote a_M
 156 as the age at maturity, then fecundity is zero when fish individual age is smaller than
 157 the threshold a_M and the fecundity (expressed as a function of length) is $m_a =$
 158 $q[L_M(1 - e^{-k(a-a_0)})]^d$ when fish individual age surpasses the threshold of maturity
 159 (White *et al.* 2013; Kaplan *et al.* 2019), where a is fish individual age, L_M is the
 160 fish individual asymptotic maximum length, k is the growth rate, q and d are
 161 constants, and a_0 is the age at length zero. Similarly, fish individual weight at age a
 162 is expressed as $B_a = p[L_M(1 - e^{-k(a-a_0)})]^w$, where p and w are constants
 163 (Kaplan *et al.* 2019).

164 **Part 1 reserve-only model**

165 When only using marine reserves rather than fixed harvesting rates as fisheries
 166 management methods, an implicit assumption is that all adult fish are harvested
 167 outside marine reserves. To maintain the persistence of weak stock species (i.e.
 168 non-target fish species), the reserve size c should be no smaller than a critical value.
 169 According to previous research (Hastings *et al.* 2017), the critical value is

$$170 \quad c^* = (1-s_w)/(\alpha_w m_w), \quad (1)$$

171 where s_w , α_w , and m_w are survival rate, proliferation rate per generation, and
 172 per-capita fecundity for the weak stock species, respectively.

173

174 The adult density with age structure at time t inside the marine reserve is defined as

175 $\mathbf{N}_t^R = (N_{1,t}^R, N_{2,t}^R, \dots, N_{n,t}^R)^T$. Thus, the total number of larvae produced inside the

176 marine reserve is the dot product of adult density and fecundity multiplied by the
 177 reserve size. Based on the “well-mixed” assumption as suggested in previous research
 178 (Hastings & Botsford 1999; Hart 2006; Hopf *et al.* 2019), the larvae density both
 179 inside and outside the marine reserve is $c\mathbf{m}^T \cdot \mathbf{N}_t^R$ which equals the total number
 180 because the total marine system size is scaled as 1. Therefore, the density of larvae
 181 that survive to adults will be

$$182 \quad A_{t+1} = f(c\mathbf{m}^T \cdot \mathbf{N}_t^R), \quad (2)$$

183 where $f(\cdot)$ is the Beverton–Holt growth function. We further define the adult natural
 184 survival rate each year as a fixed value s ; note that all fish individuals will die next
 185 year once they achieve the maximum age (See Fig. 1a). According to simple
 186 derivations (see Section one in appendix), the age-structured adult density inside
 187 reserve at time t is

$$188 \quad \mathbf{N}_t^R = (A_t, sA_{t-1}, s^2A_{t-2}, \dots, s^{n-1}A_{t-n+1})^T. \quad (3)$$

189 The fisheries yields measured by number can be expressed as

$$190 \quad Y_t^{nR} = A_t(1 - c), \quad (4)$$

191 and the fisheries yields measured by weight can be expressed as

$$192 \quad Y_t^{wR} = B_1A_t(1 - c). \quad (5)$$

193 **Part 2 no-reserve model**

194 When using traditional ways of fixed harvesting rate without marine reserves (See Fig.
 195 1b), the escapement rate E should be no smaller than the critical value E^* to maintain
 196 the persistence of the weak stock species. Based on previous research (Hastings *et al.*
 197 2017), we have

$$E^* = \frac{1}{s_w + \alpha_w \cdot m_w}. \quad (6)$$

Defining a_E as the critical age that fish individuals are harvested, the escapement rate is 1 when fish individual age is smaller than a_E , and the escapement rate is E^* when fish individual age is larger than a_E . With a general form, we denote the escapement rate for the age-structured strong stock species as $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)^T$, and the population density at time t is $\mathbf{N}_t^O = (N_{1,t}, N_{2,t}, \dots, N_{n,t})^T$. Similar to the reserve-only model, the total larvae produced by all the adults are the dot product of adult density and fecundity. Thus, the density of larvae that survive to adults will be

$$A'_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{m}^T \cdot \mathbf{N}_t^O). \quad (7)$$

The age-structured adult density at time t is

$$\mathbf{N}_t^O = (A'_t E_1, s E_2 A'_{t-1} E_1, \dots, s^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^n E_j A'_{t-n+1})^T, \quad (8)$$

and the specific derivation can be seen in Section one in the appendix. To exhibit fisheries yields with concise form in the next step, we show the density at time t before harvesting as

$$\mathbf{N}_t^{OT} = (A'_t, s E_1 A'_{t-1}, \dots, s^{n-1} A'_{t-n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} E_j)^T, \quad (9)$$

and thus, the fisheries yields in number can be expressed as

$$Y_t^{nO} = (\mathbf{N}_t^{OT})^T \cdot (1 - \mathbf{E}), \quad (10)$$

and the fisheries yields in weight can be expressed as

$$Y_t^{wO} = \text{sum}[\mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{N}_t^{OT} \circ (1 - \mathbf{E})]. \quad (11)$$

Results

Our results have shown the transient oscillations can occur for the dynamics of population densities (Fig. 2a₁-a₇), fisheries yields measured by number (Fig. 2b₁-b₇)

220 and fisheries yields measured by weight (Fig. 2c₁-c₇), and the periodicity is exhibited
 221 with wavelet analyses (Fig. 3). Moreover, regardless of the measurement methods (i.e.
 222 measured by weight or measured by number), the fisheries yields produced by the
 223 reserve-only model can always be higher (red) or lower (yellow) than the yields
 224 produced by the no-reserve model with appropriate hypothetical parameter settings
 225 during the whole transient period (Fig. 2b₁; Fig. 2c₁). In other cases, it would be
 226 difficult to judge which model can achieve higher fisheries yields as the transient
 227 oscillations lead to higher fisheries yields with the reserve-only model at one moment
 228 while lower ones at another moment and this is true for both kinds of yields measured
 229 by weight and by number (blue lines in Fig. 2b₁ and Fig. 2c₁). The parameter values
 230 are arbitrary. However, the yield comparison conclusion that three situations (i.e.
 231 fisheries yields with the reserve-only model is higher, lower, or difficult to judge
 232 relative to yields with no-reserve model) can happen is robust with other parameters
 233 values and with different bycatch species for all the hypothetical cases (Fig. 2b₁-b₃;
 234 Fig. 2c₁-c₃). For the empirical cases, the results about the relative advantages of
 235 fisheries yields between two fisheries management methods (i.e. methods with
 236 reserve-only model vs. no-reserve model) are consistent with that for the hypothetical
 237 cases (i.e. all three situations can occur) if fisheries yields are measured by weight
 238 (Fig. 2c₄-c₇). However, if fisheries yields are measured by the number, fisheries
 239 yields with the reserve-only model are always higher relative to that with the
 240 no-reserve model (Fig. 2b₄-b₇).

241 The effect of life histories for target species on fisheries yields shows that, with

242 empirical parameter settings, fisheries management methods with the reserve-only
243 model can always lead to higher fisheries yields relative to the no-reserve model no
244 matter how influencing factors (age at maturity, age at fishing and adult survivorship
245 for the target species) varies among the suitable parameter value ranges (Fig. 4a-c).
246 However, the relative advantages in fisheries yields change with influencing factors if
247 fisheries yields are measured by weight (Fig. 4e-g). Specifically, the target species
248 with life histories of younger age at maturity, younger age at fishing, and higher adult
249 survivorship can achieve higher fisheries yields with no-reserve fisheries management
250 method relative to the reserve-only method. On the contrary, the target species with
251 reversed life histories can lead to higher fisheries yields with the reserve-only method
252 relative to the no-reserve method. The robust results still hold among different weak
253 species (shown in different colors in Fig. 4) and among different time steps (Fig. S2,
254 S3). For the hypothetical cases, the effects of adult survivorship on fisheries yields
255 measured by weight (i.e. higher adult survivorship benefits for methods with
256 no-reserve model) are similar to the results for the empirical cases (Fig. 4g; Fig. S4g,
257 S5g, S6g), while the effects of other factors (age at maturity and age at fishing) on
258 both kinds of fisheries yields as well as the effects of adult survivorship on fisheries
259 yields measured by number are different from that for the empirical cases (Fig. 4; Fig.
260 S4, S5, S6).

261

262 For the empirical cases, fisheries yields measured by both number and weight are
263 always higher with the no-reserve fisheries management method than that with the

264 reserve-only method if the critical values of reserve size for the weak species
 265 persistence in the reserve-only model are identical to the critical values of escapement
 266 rate in the no-reserve model (Fig. 4d, h). However, fisheries management with the
 267 reserve-only method can achieve higher fisheries yields relative to the no-reserve
 268 method if the critical reserve size is small while the critical escapement rate is very
 269 large. On the contrary, for the hypothetical cases, fisheries yields with the no-reserve
 270 method are always lower than that with the reserve-only method when the critical
 271 reserve size and escapement rate are equal, and could be higher when the critical
 272 escapement rate is mediate and the critical reserve size is too big or too small (Fig.
 273 S4d, h; Fig. S5d, h; Fig. S6d, h). This suggests that the critical reserve size in the
 274 reserve-only model and the critical escapement rate in the no-reserve model can
 275 greatly regulate the relative yield advantages between two fisheries management
 276 methods (i.e. which method can achieve higher fisheries yields), which is important as
 277 the critical values of both reserve size and escapement rate are linked to the life
 278 histories of the bycatch weak stock species to meet the persistence condition.

279
 280 Sensitive analyses show that the transient metric θ oscillates and has strong
 281 periodicity with the variations of influencing factors (i.e. age at maturity, age at
 282 fishing, and adult survivorship) (Fig. 5, Fig. S7, Fig. S8). It suggests that the initial
 283 trajectories of the system periodically close to or leave the stable level, and thus the
 284 amplitude of the oscillations of the population dynamics decrease or increase
 285 periodically by regulating the life histories of the target species such as age at maturity,

286 age at fishing as well as adult survivorship.

287 **Discussion**

288 Marine reserves are important in fisheries management (Mangel 2000; Edgar *et al.*
289 2014; Herrera *et al.* 2016). Our results have shown that fisheries management
290 strategies by only establishing marine reserves without fishing effort control cannot
291 always be the optimal method to improve the fisheries yields as well as maintain
292 species persistence if the dynamics of the two-species system is investigated from the
293 transient short time scale rather than the asymptotic equilibrium state. When fisheries
294 management with marine reserves can achieve higher fisheries yields relative to
295 traditional management with fishing effort control depends on the measurement
296 methods of fisheries yields and the life histories of both the target species and the
297 bycatch species. If fisheries yields are measured by fish individual numbers,
298 establishing a marine reserve could be the primary fisheries management strategies,
299 especially for the US West Coast groundfish fishery (Hastings *et al.* 2017; Nickols *et*
300 *al.* 2019; Chen 2020). If fisheries yields are measured by weight which can make the
301 theoretical frameworks include the difference of both fecundity and biomass for fish
302 individuals of different ages (Kaplan *et al.* 2019), traditional fishing effort control will
303 not be the obvious disadvantage strategies in both improving fisheries yields and
304 maintaining species persistence. The effect of fisheries yields measurement on
305 fisheries management strategies derives from the fact that fisheries management by
306 establishing marine reserves only harvest relatively young adults each year because
307 all the adult fish individuals in the harvested area come from the larvae dispersal from

the marine reserves, while fisheries management by fishing effort control can harvest relatively old adult which have relatively large biomass (or weight). Thus, the measurement with weight benefits for the traditional fisheries management in evaluating its advantage of improving fisheries yields.

Further mechanisms show that whether the fisheries management strategies of no-reserve can improve fisheries yields under bycatch persistence depends on the life histories of both target and bycatch species. If the target species are younger at maturity or have higher adult survivorship, strategies with no-reserve can achieve higher fisheries yields relative to the target species with older age at maturity or with lower adult survivorship (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, for the hypothetical cases, the effect of age at maturity and age at fishing on both kinds of fisheries yields are complex among different weak species relative to empirical cases; that is fisheries yields with the reserve-only model are higher relative to yields with the no-reserve model for one weak species while it is lower for another weak species (Fig. 4; Fig. S4, S5, S6). The differences between the hypothetical and the empirical cases derive from the fact that adult survivorship in the hypothetical cases is very low while it is very high in the empirical cases (Hastings *et al.* 2017; Chen 2020). Thus, both the age at maturity and at fishing cannot be too old in hypothetical cases. Otherwise, the target strong stock species cannot persist.

The life histories of the bycatch species can regulate the relative yield advantages

330 between two fisheries management strategies through deciding the critical reserve size
331 and escapement rate to maintain persistence according to the weak stock persistence
332 conditions shown in Eq. 1 and Eq. 6. For example, if the critical reserve size is 0.1
333 and the critical escapement rate is 0.95 so that fisheries management with
334 reserve-only strategies can achieve higher fisheries yields relative to no-reserve
335 strategies (Fig. 4), the corresponding life histories of the bycatch species can be
336 predicted by the combination of Eq. 1 and Eq. 6 through controlling one life-history
337 parameter (e.g. achieve adult survivorship and fecundity by controlling proliferation
338 rate per generation). On the contrary, solving equations 1 and 6 with the assumption
339 that the critical reserve size equals the critical escapement rate can achieve life
340 histories of bycatch under which strategies with no-reserve produce higher fisheries
341 yields relative to strategies with only reserves. In such a case, even if the bycatch
342 species are long-lived with low fecundity which is important based on the previous
343 model (Hastings *et al.* 2017), reserves cannot make sure benefits simultaneously in
344 fisheries and conservation.

345

346 The life histories can regulate the relative fisheries yields advantages between two
347 fisheries management strategies through the other perspective by regulating the
348 amplitude of the transient oscillations. To make the relative yield advantages
349 predictable, the amplitude of the transient oscillations of the system should not be too
350 big. Otherwise, the “up and down” of the fisheries yields with time will make it
351 complex to predict which fisheries management strategy (no-reserve vs. reserve-only)

352 is more suitable for both conservation and fisheries goals. To achieve small amplitude
353 of the transient oscillations, the life histories of both the target and bycatch species
354 can be regulated because of the periodical relationships between the transient metric
355 θ (which decides the amplitude of transient oscillations (White *et al.* 2013; Kaplan *et*
356 *al.* 2019; Chen 2020)) and life history parameters of both the target and bycatch
357 species (Fig.5).

358

359 We consider the effect of age structure in the main research. If there is no age
360 structure as shown in Hastings *et al.* (2017) (fisheries yields measured by weight are
361 identical to that measured by number), the transient analysis suggests that traditional
362 fisheries management methods can achieve higher fisheries yields relative to
363 strategies with marine reserves, but it cannot maintain the persistence of the bycatch
364 species (yellow color in Fig. S1). When bycatch species is persistent, strategies with
365 marine reserves can achieve higher fisheries yields (Fig. S1), which is consistent with
366 previous equilibrium research that strategies with marine reserves can perform an
367 important role in fisheries management by solving bycatch problems (Hastings *et al.*
368 2017). However, when the adult survivorship is very high as shown in the empirical
369 cases, traditional strategies without reserves can achieve higher fisheries yields
370 relative to strategies with marine reserves and maintain bycatch species persistence at
371 the beginning of the transient time scales, which is consistent with our results with
372 age structure regardless of the results without age structure at the equilibrium state
373 still show the advantage of strategies with marine reserves (Fig. 2; Fig. S1h, j, l, n).

Besides, relative to our theoretical frameworks, models developed by Hastings *et al.* (2017) do not show obvious transient phenomena (Fig. S1), which are consistent with previous conclusions that transients are difficult to observe in models without age structure (White *et al.* 2013; Chen 2020). This derives from the fact that age structure often leads to high dimensions which have been suggested to be the main mechanism to cause transients (Hastings *et al.* 2018; Morozov *et al.* 2019).

Previous investigations in the transient dynamics of fish abundance after establishing marine reserves indicate that the transient metric θ (which determines the amplitude of the transient oscillations) becomes, in general, large with the increasing of age at maturity and small with the increasing of natural mortality (White *et al.* 2013). However, our sensitivity analyses indicate that the transient metric θ increases and decreases periodically with the variation of both ages at maturity and adult survivorship (Fig. 5). The difference between our research and previous ones (White *et al.* 2013) derives from the fact that previous research considers the transient response of linear models with Leslie matrices while we consider the non-linear ones and thus the eigenvalues of matrix A and A' vary with population density (Section two in appendix). These results suggest that considering the non-linear effect of larval survival to adult will increase the difficulty of investigating the transient dynamics as the predictability periodically varies with life histories, and thus increase the difficulty to judge which fisheries management strategies (reserve-only vs. no-reserve) perform better. Further insight into the periodicity of the transient dynamics of the system with

time is helpful for predictability, and our wavelet analyses provide answers for this (Fig. 3; Fig. S9-S14).

Our researches are based on the “scorched earth” assumption (Malvadkar & Hastings 2008; Edwards *et al.* 2010) for the fisheries management with reserve-only strategies, which does not consider the effect of economic costs in fisheries(Sanchirico *et al.* 2006; White *et al.* 2008). If the effect of economic costs is considered, harvesting all adults in the harvested area (i.e. the scorched earth assumption) can lead to high harvesting costs in fisheries as the catchability decreases with the decreasing of population density in the natural system. In that case, costs with reserve-only strategies are higher and may not be a better choice for fisheries management relative to traditional methods without reserves.

We ignore the age structure of the bycatch species so that the persistence conditions are easy to achieve although the conditions are necessary but not sufficient (Chen 2020). By considering the age structure of the bycatch species, high dimensions lead to much complex persistence conditions, which deserves developing new analytical and simulation approaches. However, similar conclusions may be achieved even if we consider the effect of the age structure of the bycatch species if new theoretical frameworks are still based on the assumption that there are no ecological connections between the target and the bycatch species. Future works can explore the predator-prey or competition connections between the target and the bycatch species,

418 which can greatly regulate the population density of both species (Frid & Marliave
419 2010; Aalto & Baskett 2013) and thus further play a role in predicting relatively
420 higher fisheries yields between different fisheries management strategies under
421 bycatch persistence in transient time scales (specific information is shown in another
422 manuscript).

423

424 **Materials and methods**

425 With both reserve-only and no-reserve models, we first simulate the transient
426 dynamics of the population density and fisheries yields of the target species. The
427 system starts with the initial condition at a stable age distribution. To make a
428 comparison, the initial conditions are the same for both reserve-only and no-reserve
429 models. The parameter values for simulations come from previous researches
430 (Hagerman 1952; Hunter *et al.* 1990; White *et al.* 2013; Hastings *et al.* 2017; Kaplan
431 *et al.* 2019; Chen 2020) and can be seen in Table S1. We use the average values if
432 these parameters are different between male and female individuals. Specifically,
433 $\alpha_0 = -5.10$, $d = 2.96$, $p = 2.41 \times 10^{-4}$, $w = 2.96$, $k = 0.087$, $L_M = 45.55$, and
434 the maximum age is 50. Coefficient q is regulated to maintain a positive growth rate.
435 The Beverton–Holt functional form is $f(n) = \frac{\alpha\beta n}{\beta+n}$ (Chen 2020), where α and β
436 denote the proliferation rate per generation and carrying capacity, respectively. We
437 explore the effect of life histories on transient metric θ which determines the
438 amplitude of transient oscillations and measures how close the initial system state is
439 to the final equilibrium state (White *et al.* 2013; Kaplan *et al.* 2019). To calculate

transient metric θ , we transform both reserve-only and no-reserve models into identical cases (see details in Section two in appendix).

Ethics. This article has no ethical problems.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data and there is no need to deposit data to a public repository.

Author contributions. Renfei Chen designed research, performed research, and wrote the paper; Chengyi Tu performed the wavelet analyses; Quan-Xing Liu edited and wrote the paper.

Competing interests. There are no competing interests.

Funding. This research is supported by a foundation from Shanxi Normal University (0505/02070499), funding from Shanxi Province (0110/02010002), and Microsoft AI for Earth and Yunnan University project C176210103.

References

1.

Aalto, E.A. & Baskett, M.L. (2013). Quantifying the balance between bycatch and predator or competitor release for nontarget species. *Ecol. Appl.*, 23.

2.

Cazelles, B., Cazelles, K. & Chavez, M. (2014). Wavelet analysis in ecology and epidemiology: impact of statistical tests. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*.

3.

462 Cazelles, B., Chavez, M., Berteaux, D., Ménard, F., Vik, J.O., Jenouvrier, S. *et al.* (2008).
463 Wavelet analysis of ecological time series. *Oecologia*, 156, 287-304.
464 4.
465 Chen, R. (2020). Transient inconsistency between population density and fisheries yields
466 without bycatch species extinction. *Ecology and Evolution*, 0, 1-13.
467 5.
468 Chen, R., Baskett, M.L. & Hastings, A. (2020). Fishing the line depends on reserve benefits,
469 individual losing at boundary and movement preference. *bioRxiv*.
470 6.
471 Cohen, P.J. & Foale, S.J. (2013). Sustaining small-scale fisheries with periodically harvested
472 marine reserves. *Mar. Policy*, 37, 278-287.
473 7.
474 Edgar, G.J., Stuart-Smith, R.D., Willis, T.J., Kininmonth, S., Baker, S.C., Banks, S. *et al.*
475 (2014). Global conservation outcomes depend on marine protected areas with five key
476 features. *Nature*, 506, 216-220.
477 8.
478 Edwards, H.J., Elliott, I.A., Pressey, R.L. & Mumby, P.J. (2010). Incorporating ontogenetic
479 dispersal, ecological processes and conservation zoning into reserve design. *Biol.*
480 *Conserv.*, 143, 457-470.
481 9.
482 Frid, A. & Mariave, J. (2010). Predatory fishes affect trophic cascades and apparent
483 competition in temperate reefs. *Biol. Lett.*, 6, 533-536.

- 484 10.
485 Gaines, S.D., White, C., Carr, M.H. & Palumbi, S.R. (2010). Designing marine reserve
486 networks for both conservation and fisheries management. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*,
487 107, 18286-18293.
- 488 11.
489 Game, E.T., Bode, M., McDonald-Madden, E., Grantham, H.S. & Possingham, H.P. (2009).
490 Dynamic marine protected areas can improve the resilience of coral reef systems.
491 *Ecol. Lett.*, 12, 1336-1346.
- 492 12.
493 Hagerman, F.B. (1952). The biology of the Dover sole, *Microstomus pacificus* (Lockington).
494 *STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME BUREAU OF*
495 *MARINE FISHERIES; FISH BULLETIN No. 85*
- 496 13.
497 Hart, D.R. (2006). When do marine reserves increase fishery yield? *Canadian Journal of*
498 *Fisheries & Aquatic sciences*, 63, 1445-1449.
- 499 14.
500 Hastings, A., Abbott, K.C., Cuddington, K., Francis, T., Gellner, G., Lai, Y.-C. *et al.* (2018).
501 Transient phenomena in ecology. *Science*, 361, eaat6412.
- 502 15.
503 Hastings, A. & Botsford, L.W. (1999). Equivalence in Yield from Marine Reserves and
504 Traditional Fisheries Management. *Science*, 284, 1537-1538.
- 505 16.

506 Hastings, A., Gaines, S.D. & Costello, C. (2017). Marine reserves solve an important bycatch
507 problem in fisheries. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 114, 8927-8934.

508 17.

509 Herrera, G.E., Moeller, H.V. & Neubert, M.G. (2016). High-seas fish wars generate marine
510 reserves. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 113, 3767-3772.

511 18.

512 Hilborn, R. (2017). Traditional fisheries management is the best way to manage weak stocks.
513 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 114, E10610-E10610.

514 19.

515 Hopf, J.K., Jones, G.P., Williamson, D.H. & Connolly, S.R. (2016). Fishery consequences of
516 marine reserves: short-term pain for longer-term gain. *Ecol. Appl.*

517 20.

518 Hopf, J.K., Jones, G.P., Williamson, D.H. & Connolly, S.R. (2019). Marine reserves stabilize
519 fish populations and fisheries yields in disturbed coral reef systems. *Ecol. Appl.*, 29.

520 21.

521 Hunter, J.R., Butler, J.L., Kimbrell, C. & Lynn, E.A. (1990). Bathymetric patterns in size, age,
522 sexual maturity, water content, and caloric density of Dover sole, *Microstomus*
523 *pacificus*. *CalCOFI Invest. Rep.*, 31, 132-144.

524 22.

525 Kaplan, K.A., Yamane, L., Botsford, L.W., Baskett, M.L., Hastings, A., Worden, S. *et al.* (2019).
526 Setting expected timelines of fished population recovery for the adaptive management
527 of a marine protected area network. *Ecol. Appl.*, 29, e01949.

- 528 23.
- 529 Komoroske, L.M. & Lewison, R.L. (2015). Addressing fisheries bycatch in a changing world.
- 530 *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 2, 1-11.
- 531 24.
- 532 Malvadkar, U. & Hastings, A. (2008). Persistence of mobile species in marine protected areas.
- 533 *Fisheries Research*, 91, 69-78.
- 534 25.
- 535 Mangel, M. (2000). On the fraction of habitat allocated to marine reserves. *Ecol. Lett.*, 3,
- 536 15-22.
- 537 26.
- 538 Morozov, A., Abbott, K., Cuddington, K., Francis, T., Gellner, G., Hastings, A. *et al.* (2019).
- 539 Long transients in ecology: theory and applications. *Physics of Life Reviews*.
- 540 27.
- 541 Nickols, K.J., White, J.W., Malone, D., Carr, M.H., Starr, R.M., Baskett, M.L. *et al.* (2019).
- 542 Setting ecological expectations for adaptive management of marine protected areas. *J.*
- 543 *Appl. Ecol.*, 56, 2376-2385.
- 544 28.
- 545 Sanchirico, J.N., Malvadkar, U., Hastings, A. & Wilen, J.E. (2006). When are no-take zones an
- 546 economically optimal fishery management strategy? *Ecol. Appl.*, 16, 1643-1659.
- 547 29.
- 548 Scales, K.L., Hazen, E.L., Jacox, M.G., Castruccio, F., Maxwell, S.M., Lewison, R.L. *et al.*
- 549 (2018). Fisheries bycatch risk to marine megafauna is intensified in Lagrangian

550 coherent structures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 115, 7362-7367.

551 30.

552 Torrence, C. & Compo, G.P. (1998). A Practical Guide to Wavelet Analysis. *Bulletin of the*

553 *American Meteorological Society*, 79.

554 31.

555 Welch, H., Pressey, R. & Reside, A. (2018). Using temporally explicit habitat suitability models

556 to assess threats to mobile species and evaluate the effectiveness of marine protected

557 areas. *J. Nat. Conserv.*, 41, 106-115.

558 32.

559 White, C., Kendall, B.E., Gaines, S., Siegel, D.A. & Costello, C. (2008). Marine reserve effects

560 on fishery profit. *Ecol. Lett.*, 11, 370-379.

561 33.

562 White, J.W., Botsford, L.W., Hastings, A., Baskett, M.L., Kaplan, D.M. & Barnett, L.A. (2013).

563 Transient responses of fished populations to marine reserve establishment. *Conservation*

564 *Letters*, 6, 180-191.

565 **Figure legend**

566 **Figure 1. Schematic of the theoretical frameworks.** (a) fisheries strategies by only
567 using reserves. (b) traditional fisheries strategies by fishing effort control without
568 reserves. The life histories of both target and bycatch species do not change between
569 different fisheries strategies.

570 **Figure 2. Transient dynamics of population density and fisheries yields for target**
571 **species under the persistence of different bycatch species.** Solid lines denote
572 reserve-only strategies, and dashed lines denote no-reserve strategies. Different colors
573 represent different parameter settings to perform sensitivity analyses. (a₁-a₇) Transient
574 dynamics of population density. Note that population density with reserve-only
575 strategies denotes density inside marine reserves. (b₁-b₇) transient dynamics of
576 fisheries yields measured by number. (c₁-c₇) transient dynamics of fisheries yields
577 measured by weight. a₁-a₇, b₁-b₇, and c₁-c₇ correspond to situations 1-7, and specific
578 definitions and differences among different situations can be seen in (Chen 2020).

579

580 **Figure 3. Wavelet analyses of the transient dynamics in an empirical case.**
581 (Corresponding to the last line in figure 2, i.e. situation 7). It denotes the wavelet
582 power spectrum of the raw series, and the power values increase from white (low
583 values) to dark red (high values).

584

585 **Figure 4. Effects of life histories and harvesting management on fisheries yields**
586 **with both reserve-only and no-reserve strategies for empirical cases (situations**

587 **4-7).** (a-c) Fisheries yields measured by number response to age at maturity, age at
 588 fishing, adult survivorship, respectively for target species. (d) Fisheries yields
 589 measured by number response to the critical reserve size and the critical escapement
 590 rate. (e-g) Fisheries yields measured by weight response to age at maturity, age at
 591 fishing, adult survivorship, respectively for target species. (h) Fisheries yields
 592 measured by weight response to the critical reserve size and the critical escapement
 593 rate. In a-c and e-g, solid lines denote reserve-only strategies, and dashed lines denote
 594 no-reserve strategies. Different colors represent different bycatch species. In d and h,
 595 yellow colors denote critical reserve size with reserve-only strategies, and red colors
 596 denote critical escapement rate with no-reserve strategies. All subplots denote the time
 597 step at 50. Parameter settings: (a, e) $q = 50$, $a_E = 0$; (b, f) $q = 50$, $a_M = 1$; (c, d, g, h) q
 598 $= 50$, $a_M = 1$, $a_E = 0$; see Table S1 and Materials and methods for other parameters.

599
 600 **Figure 5. Sensitive analyses of transient metric θ in response to different**
 601 **parameters for empirical case (situation 7) with different fisheries management**
 602 **strategies.** (a) age at maturity, (b) age at fishing, (c) adult survivorship, (d) critical
 603 reserve size (yellow) and critical escapement rate (red). In a and c, yellow color
 604 denotes reserve-only strategies, and red colors denote no-reserve strategies. Parameter
 605 settings: (a) $q = 50$, $a_E = 0$; (b) $q = 50$, $a_M = 1$; (c, d) $q = 50$, $a_M = 1$, $a_E = 0$; see Table
 606 S1 and Materials and methods for other parameters.

a

Year t

b

Age class

Year t Year $t+1$

$q = 50$
 $a_M = 0$
 $a_E = 0$

$q = 50$
 $a_M = 19$
 $a_E = 11$

$q = 50$
 $a_M = 20$
 $a_E = 11$

Density within reserves

Density without reserves

Yields (number) with reserves

Yields (number) without reserves

Yields (weight) with reserves

Yields (weight) without reserves

Time

Time

Time

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.14.382705>; this version posted November 16, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.