Red Wood Ants prefer mature Pine Forests in Variscan Granite Environments (*Formica rufa*-group)

Gabriele M. BERBERICH^{1,*}, Martin B. BERBERICH², Matthias GIBHARDT³

¹*Technical University of Dortmund, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology, Image Analysis Group, Otto-Hahn-Straße 4, D-44227 Dortmund, Germany; gabriele.berberich@tu-dortmund.de; Orcid: 0000-0003-2656-7622*

New address: Wissenschaftlich-Technisches Redaktionsbüro Berberich, Am Plexer 7, D-50374 Erftstadt, gb@berberichweb.com;

²Martin B. Berberich, IT-Consulting Berberich, Am Plexer 7, D-50374 Erftstadt, mb@berberichweb.com; Orcid: 0000-0003-0499-1270

³*Bayerische Staatsforsten AöR, Forstbetrieb Waldsassen, Revier Falkenberg, An der Schäferkapelle 3, D-95685 B; matthias.gibhardt@baysf.de*

*Corresponding author: gb@berberichweb.com; gabriele.berberich@tu-dortmund.de

0 Abstract

We used presence/absence data of 5,160 red wood ant nests (RWA; *Formica polycтена*) acquired in a systematic large-scale area-wide survey in two study areas (≈350 ha) in the Oberpfalz, NE Bavaria, Germany to explore for the first time the influence of variable (e.g., forest type, tree age) and quasi-invariant factors (e.g., tectonics, geochemical composition of the bedrock) on nest size, spatial distribution and nest density for Variscan granites. A combination of the forest type (mature pine-dominated forests (≥80–140 years) as main variable factor and the geochemical property of the Variscan granites with their high natural Radon potential and moderate heat production as main quasi-invariant factor could explain the high nest numbers in both study areas. In addition, the spatially clustered distribution patterns of the observed nests suggest a strong interaction between nests and their quasi-invariant environment, especially the directionality of the present-day stress field and the direction of the tectonically formed “Erbendorfer Line”. In general, such a combination of variable and quasi-invariant factors can be addressed as particularly favorable RWA habitats.

Key words: Red wood ants (*Formica polycтена*), variable and quasi-invariant factors, forest types, tree age classes, Variscan Granites, geochemical composition of bedrock, geomorphology, tectonics

Running head title: G. M. Berberich et al., Red Wood Ants prefer mature Pine Forests in Variscan Granite Environments

1 Introduction

Geological and tectonic processes are not only fundamental driving forces, e.g., for the regional architecture of rocks, and evolution of fault systems, but also of long-term global biodiversity patterns and a wide range of environments and ecosystems due to tectonic shifts in the arrangement of the continental crust (Eisbacher 1991; Valentine & Moores 1970; Descombes et al. 2017). Understanding the processes that govern biodiversity, the relationship of organisms to specific habitats, their interspecific relationships as well as their distribution and occurrence are central questions of biology and ecology.

Ants, a geographically widespread taxon (e.g., Hölldobler & Wilson 1990) have major ecological impacts in terrestrial ecosystems. Especially, red wood ants (RWA; *Formica rufa*-group s. str.; Hölldobler & Wilson 2010), which form very large, often polydomous colonies (e.g., Ellis & Robinson 2014) are important ecological keystone species (e.g., Frouz & Jílková 2008). As important ecosystem engineers, RWA contribute to the diversity and formation of a dynamic balance in forest habitats (Wellenstein 1990), influence the circulation and the distribution of nutrients (Klimetzek & Kaiser 1995), regulate insect pests as biological control agents (e.g., Robinson et al. 2016) and contribute to soil-forming processes (Frouz et al. 2008). In entomological studies, the spatial occurrence and distribution of RWA had been mostly attributed to specific forest factors, e.g., canopy cover and edge (e.g., Risch et al. 2008), fragmentation (Puntila & Kilpelainen 2009), tree species, characteristics and age (e.g., Gibb et al. 2016), food supply (e.g., Iakovlev et al. 2017), social organization of species (Ellis & Robinson 2014), but also abiotic factors such as solar radiation (Kadochová & Frouz 2014), and altitude (Vandegheuchte et al. 2017).

Recent studies, which investigated a combination of geoscientific and biological factors (also known as “GeoBio-Interactions”), developed RWA as biological indicators for otherwise undetected tectonic activity and showed that RWA nests were eight times more likely to be found within 60 m of known tectonic faults (Berberich et al. 2016a; Del Toro et al. 2017; Berberich et al. 2019). Furthermore, geogenic gases (e.g. CO₂, Helium, Radon; Berberich et al. 2019), fault-related emissions of CH₄ (−37 ‰ ¹³C-CH₄ signature; Berberich et al. 2018a), volatile organo-halogens (CH₃Cl, CHCl₃, CHBr₃), as well as alkanes and limonene (Berberich et al. 2016a) play a decisive role in the settlement of RWA nests.

A combined analysis of specifically variable (biotic) factors and quasi-invariant (geo-tectonic) factors in two comparable study areas Falkenberg (FB) and Münchsgrün (MG), both located in the Oberpfälzer Wald region (NE Bavaria, Germany), was considered appropriate to further investigate GeoBio-Interactions in Variscan Granite environments. To date, no systematic large-scale survey of RWA nests is available for both study areas. In addition, literature and geological maps contain only incomplete or no information on tectonic fault systems for both study areas.

In this study, we addressed for the first time, forest-tectonic interactions based on presence/absence data of RWA nests for Variscan Granites by asking three interrelated main questions: (1) What influence do variable factors such as forest type and tree age have, and (2) What influence do quasi-invariant factors, such as tectonics, geochemical composition of the underlying bedrock have on a) nest size, b) spatial distribution of RWA nests, and c) nest density, and (3) Is there an explanation of the extremely high RWA nest number and density in both study areas? We have asked these questions specifically with regard to individual RWA nests. It is expected that the results will further improve and complement the understanding of the "GeoBio-Interactions", which will be applicable for further investigations of RWA. Additionally, the database of RWA nest sizes and locations will be a tool for the future forest management with regard to RWA protection in both study areas.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Location, forest types and tectonic settings

2.1.1 Location

The two densely forested study areas, Münchsgrün (MG) and Falkenberg (FB), characterized by a continuous low mountain range, were located in the Oberpfälzer Lake district (Tirschenreuth county), approx. 50 km East of the city of Bayreuth and 20 km West of the Czech Border (NE Bavaria, Germany; Fig. 1). The valleys were used for agriculture, settlements and transport routes (Glaser et al. 2007). MG study area (475–530 m), a smoothly NE-SW inclined terrain, was located between the city Mitterteich (5 km North) and the city Tirschenreuth (5 km Southeast). FB study area (470–545 m), a partially rugged terrain, was located between the cities of Falkenberg (2 km East) and Tirschenreuth (4 km West). The region, also called “Siberia of Bavaria”, is characterized by a low annual average temperature of $< 7^{\circ}\text{C}$, a short vegetation period (< 140 days), 30 icy days/year and a high precipitation rate (average 700–800 mm/year; LK-Tir. 2020).

Fig. 1 – a) Position of both study areas within Germany close to the Czech border; b) detailing location in the Oberpfälzer Lake district in Tirschenreuth county, NE Bavaria.

2.1.2 Forest types

Both study areas were located in managed forests, with an integrative sustainable forest management, which was administered by the Bavarian State Forest (BSF), Regensburg. The objective of this management approach is to establish a "permanent forest", i.e. a vertically structured, ecologically valuable forest with different trees ages and species, which is stocked with the best possible quality of stand, and in which the natural self-regulating mechanisms are used and maintained. Furthermore, the protective and recreational functions of the forest and its function as a source of raw materials are promoted. The forest managed by the BSF in MG (MG_{BSF}) consisted of only one, in FB (FB_{BSF}) of eight forest sections: 1= Tiefe Lohe; not mapped in this study; 2= Alter Forstmeister; 3= Himmelreich; 4= Ebene; 5= Steinlohe; 6= Weißgärberwiese; 7= Spechtnerbrückl; 8= Winterleite (Fig. 2; BSF 2009).

In both study areas, the coniferous forest was strongly-dominated by two primary tree species (TS_{prime}): spruce (*Picea abies*; FB_{BSF} ≈50 %; MG_{BSF} ≈40 %) or pine (*Pinus sylvestris*; FB_{BSF} ≈50 %; MG_{BSF} ≈56 %; BSF 2009). Deciduous trees such as black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*), hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus*), red oak (*Quercus ruba*) or European white birch (*Betula pendula*) accounted for less than ≈2 % as TS_{prime}. Mixed coniferous stands were characterized by pine (TS_{prime}) and spruce (TS_{sec}; secondary tree species) and amounted to ≈80 % (FB_{BSF}) and ≈95 % (MG_{BSF}). Furthermore, mixed coniferous-deciduous stands in FB_{BSF} consisted of pine and spruce (TS_{prime}) and beech (TS_{sec}; up to 10 %); in the MG_{BSF} of pine and birch (TS_{prime}) and spruce (TS_{sec}; ≈58 %) (BSF 2009; Tab. 1).

Table 1 – Primary tree species, medium age and area size of primary tree species (TS_{prime}) and secondary tree species (TS_{sec}) in MG_{BSF} and FB_{BSF} study area (BSF 2009); – = not present.

Study area	FB _{BSF}					MG _{BSF}					
Primary tree species (TS _{prime})	Spruce (<i>Picea abies</i>)	Pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>)	Beech (<i>Fagus silvatica</i>)	Black alder (<i>Alnus glutinosa</i>)	–	Spruce (<i>Picea abies</i>)	Pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>)	Beech (<i>Fagus silvatica</i>)	Horn-beam (<i>Carp. bet.</i>)	Red oak (<i>Quercus rubra</i>)	Europ. White birch (<i>Betula pend.</i>)
Range of medium tree age (years)	10–175	40–170	55–65	50	–	12–115	15–168	48	33–166	43	15–20
Area (ha)	158.3	162.3	5.2	0.5	–	58.8	83.6	1.0	1.0	0.7	3.3
Percentage of area (%)	48.5	49.7	1.6	0.2	–	39.6	56.4	0.6	0.7	0.5	2.2
Secondary tree species (TS _{sec})	Spruce (<i>Picea abies</i>)	Douglas tree (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>)	Europ. Larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>)	Beech (<i>Fagus silvatica</i>)	Europ. White birch (<i>Betula pend.</i>)	Spruce (<i>Picea abies</i>)	Pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>)	–	Horn-beam (<i>Carp. bet.</i>)	Red oak (<i>Quercus rubra</i>)	Europ. White birch (<i>Betula pend.</i>)
Range of medium tree age (years)	40–110	30	55	15–45	15	15–160	12–115	–	20–43	48	33
Area (ha)	158.2	1.4	3.3	33.1	0.4	85.5	56.7	–	2.1	1.0	0.7
Percentage of area (%)	77.3	0.7	1.0	10.1	0.1	57.6	38.2	–	1.4	0.6	0.4

2.1.3 Geologic and tectonic setting

Complex tectonic, magmatic and geologic processes that have occurred since the Paleozoic, characterize the region of the two study areas in the North-East Oberpfalz (“Upper Palatinate”). The most important tectonic processes were collision of microplates with the continent Gondwana, rifting, volcanism, thrusting, subduction, and uplift. The formation of the Variscan Orogen began at the end of the Devonian Period (≈360 Mio. years ago) as a result of a continental-continental collision. At the end of the Carboniferous (≈300 Mio. years ago), the Variscan Orogen began to collapse, accompanied by mantle-induced crustal extension and magmatic activity, which led to granite intrusions (Scharfenberg & De Wall 2016). During the Alpine orogeny (100–30 Mio. years ago), compression and transpression tectonics, rifting (e.g., Eger rift system), volcanism, subsidence and uplift, and the formation of Neogene sedimentary basins (e.g., Mitterteicher Basin) prevailed (e.g., Glaser et al. 2007; Peterek & Schunk 2009).

Today, the Oberpfalz region is affected by a present-day compressional stress field oriented in NW-SE to NNW-SSE direction (Heidbach et al. 2016). A compilation of faults from several publications showed that NW-SE trending fault systems predominate; W-E, WNW-ESE and NE-SW trending faults are also present (Fig. 2a). Quartz dikes are arranged in dominant NW-SE directions; some of them show W-E, WNW-ESE directions (e.g., Emmert et al. 1981; Peterek & Schunk 2008;

LfU 2015). Two different basement units, which delimit regions with different tectono-metamorphic history (Rohrmüller et al. 2000) characterize the regional tectonics: the Saxo-Thuringian unit in the North, and the Moldanubian unit in the South, which underlie both study areas. Both units are delimited by a large, fault system several tenth of kilometers long, the “Erbendorfer Line” which runs in NE-SW and NW-SE direction (e.g., Hofmann 2003; Glaser et al. 2007; Galadí et al. 2009).

The oldest geological formation in both study areas is the Permo-Carboniferous crystalline basement, that is composed of granitic intrusions that form the Younger Intrusive Complex (YIC; Scharfenberg & De Wall 2016). In the MG study area, the “Mitterteicher Granite” (also known as Steinwald granite, depending on the location, henceforth Mitterteicher/Steinwald granite; 312–310 Mio. years), is a medium-grained $\pm$ porphyric Muscovite-Monzogranite. This granite is covered up to $\approx 89\%$ by Oligocene-Pliocene clastic sediments (clay, silt, sand and gravel) as part of the “Mitterteicher Basin” fillings (Peterek & Schunk 2009) and small lenses of Pleistocene-Holocene valley fillings (loam and sand; Fig. 2b). Two different granites of the YIC are present in the FB study area: a) the younger, 1.2 km long, lobate-shaped (10–400 m wide), N-S running Mitterteicher/Steinwald granite intrusion in the South which separated the forest section 3: Himmelreich into three parts, and b) the older “Falkenberger Granite” (coarse-grained porphyric Andalusite-Sillimanite-Monzogranite; ≈ 315 Mio. years) which made-up the rest of the study area (Fig. 2c; LfU 2013; Scharfenberg & De Wall 2016).

In both study areas, soil, vegetation (forest stands, agriculture), and sediment cover left only sparse outcrops, which led to a limited and/or incomplete knowledge of the entire tectonic regime. Sporadic weak to moderate earthquakes, which mostly occur in a shallow crustal depth (< 20 km) and whose local magnitudes (M_L , Richter scale) rarely exceed 3.5, are mostly concentrated in the NW of the city of Mitterteich (LMU 2020).

Fig. 2 – Tectonic setting of both study areas with (a) major tectonic units, faults (black lines), earthquake events (blue dots) taken from literature (see list under reference section geological maps), b) detailed geologic setting of the Münchsgrün (MG), and c) Falkenberg (FB) study areas with FB forest sections (1-8).

2.2 Mapping and data collection

Approximately, ≈ 130 ha (MG_{BSF}) and ≈ 170 ha (FB_{BSF}) had been mapped in the Bavarian State Forest (BSF). Due to the high abundance of RWA nests in this forest, some adjacent areas were also mapped, so that the total mapped area amounts to ≈ 150 ha (MG_{tot}) and ≈ 200 ha (FB_{tot} ; Fig. 3). The mapping of RWA nests followed the approach already described in previous publications (Berberich et al. 2016a). In both study areas, approximately 5,160 inhabited RWA nests were mapped area-wide (MG: October 2015 and April 2016; FB: May and October 2019), with GPS receivers (Garmin 60CSx/62S). In the field, six nest height classes (NH; start-ups: 0.01–0.10 m, short: 0.11–0.50 m, medium: 0.51–1.00 m, tall: 1.01–1.50 m, very tall: 1.51–2.00 m, extra tall: >2.00 m) and five diameter classes (ND; small: 0.01–0.50 m, medium: 0.51–1.00 m, large: 1.01–1.50 m, very large: 1.50–2.00 m, and extra-large >2.00 m) and the nest location (e.g. within the forest, forest roads, forest edges), were classified (*c.f.* Berberich et al. 2016a, 2016c). In addition, information on the herb layer on/around the RWA nest,

e.g., nettles, black berry, grass, were collected. Furthermore, the visible nest material was identified and classified into three classes in the field: only spruce needles (100 %), only pine needles (100 %) or ≈ 50 % spruce and ≈ 50 % pine needles.

Fig. 3 – In total mapped areas (MG_{tot} ; FB_{tot}) and mapped areas within the borders of BSF (MG_{BSF} ; FB_{BSF}) for a) MG and b) FB study area.

2.3 Definition of variable and quasi-invariant site factors

To investigate “GeoBio-Interactions” in this study, we defined seven variable and four quasi-invariant factors. Variable factors are defined as factors that are influenced in a short time frame, such as physical nest parameters (e.g., NH and ND), nest building material, spatial distribution of RWA nests, RWA nest densities, or by human activities such as primary (TS_{prime}) and secondary (TS_{sec}) tree species, and tree age classes. Forest information was taken from the 10-year forest inventory and management plan provided by the Bayerische Staatsforsten AöR, Regensburg (BSF 2009). In addition, information on the location of RWA nests, e.g., within the forest stand, and herb layer at each RWA nest was collected and analyzed in the field.

Quasi-invariant factors are defined as long-lived factors that exist on geological time scales (some tens of thousands to some hundred million years). These factors are related to tectonic processes and are not influenced by human activities. In this study four main factors were selected: geochemical composition of the bedrock, geomorphology (terrain slope), exposure and tectonics. Information on these factors was collected and compiled from various sources, such as published geologic and tectonic maps (see Section “List of geologic maps” includes all authors of geologic maps that are indirectly cited by this term), and from the LMU, Munich earthquake catalogue (LMU 2020). For the analysis of the geomorphology, a digital terrain model (DTM; 1 m resolution) was used (LDBV 2008/2009).

2.4 Data analysis

Analyses were done with MATLAB R2018b (www.mathworks.com) and a geographic information system QGIS (Version 3.10.7). Density plots of RWA nests were created using the code developed by Changyong (2020). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to investigate variable and quasi-invariant factors in both study areas. Levene's test was applied to investigate the similarity of variances between the parameters. Expected nest values were calculated proportional to the investigated forest area. We applied point distribution statistics to investigate whether RWA nests were evenly or randomly distributed or clustered by applying χ^2 -test. Terrain slope was calculated by applying the slope algorithm of the processing-raster terrain analysis (QGIS 3.10.7) on the 1-m DTM (LDBV 2008/2009). Two slope classifications were determined: a) low slope $\leq 5^\circ$ (gradient: 0–8.7 %) and b) steep slope $\geq 5^\circ$ (gradient ≥ 8.7 %). Exposures were calculated using the aspect algorithm of the processing-raster terrain analysis (QGIS 3.10.7).

3 Results

3.1 Variable factors

Information on primary (TS_{prime}), secondary tree species (TS_{sec}) and tree ages were only available for the BSF (BSF 2009) but not for areas of other forest owners (OFO), e.g. privately owned or municipal forests. Therefore, the variable factors are discussed only for MG_{BSF} and FB_{BSF} . In accordance with BSF, the medium tree age class was chosen for all analyses.

3.1.1 Physical nest parameters

In total, 5,157 (FB: 2,829) and (MG: 2,328) RWA nests (*Formica polyctena*) were mapped in both study areas, of which ≈ 86 % (FB_{BSF} 2,425) and ≈ 92 % (MG_{BSF} 2,143) had been mapped in BSF forests; 1.3 % (MG_{BSF}) and 8.3 % (FB_{BSF}) were abandoned. The remaining data ($FB_{\text{OFO}} \approx 14$ %; $MG_{\text{OFO}} \approx 8$ %) can be attributed to OFO. Most nests ($MG_{\text{BSF}} \approx 66$ %; $FB_{\text{BSF}} \approx 74$ %) were start-up and short nests (0.01–0.5 m height), and one fifth ($FB_{\text{BSF}} \approx 22$ %) and one third ($MG_{\text{BSF}} \approx 27$ %) were medium sized nests (0.51–1.0 m). The tallest nests (≥ 1.01 m) were located outside the BSF forests ($MG_{\text{OFO}} \approx 16$ %; $FB_{\text{OFO}} \approx 5$ %). The largest nest diameters (≥ 1.51 m) were mapped in FB_{BSF} forest section No. 4 “Ebene” (≈ 14 %), No. 3 “Himmelreich” (≈ 11 %), and in MG_{OFO} (≈ 22 %; Tab. 2). Up to three quarters ($MG_{\text{BSF}} \approx 72$ %; $FB_{\text{BSF}} \approx 53$ %) of all mapped nests were located within forest stands and natural regeneration areas, and up to one third ($FB_{\text{BSF}} \approx 31$ %; $MG_{\text{BSF}} \approx 22$ %) at forest roads and skid trails. Open space areas such as clearings within the forest, meadows, fields, ponds or islands were not preferred by RWA nests ($MG_{\text{BSF}} \approx 7$ %; FB_{BSF} 16 %). Blue berries [*Vaccinium myrtillus*] were the main herbs ($MG_{\text{BSF}} \approx 69$ %; $FB_{\text{BSF}} \approx 68$ %) accompanying start-ups, short and medium sized nests, followed by moss [*Bryophyta*; $MG_{\text{BSF}} \approx 21$ %; $FB_{\text{BSF}} \approx 36$ %]. Gramineous plants [*Poales*] were

also observed ($MG_{BSF} \approx 2\%$; $FB_{BSF} \approx 31\%$). Other typical plants of the herb layer, such as ferns, clover, lupines or nettles only played a minor role.

Table 2 – Descriptive statistics of mapped nest height (NH) and diameter (ND) classes in a) MG_{BSF} and b) FB_{BSF} and forest areas of other forest owners (OFO). Additionally, data for each investigated FB forest sections are listed. –.= not available

a) RWA nest height (NH) classes [m]		0.01–0.10	0.11–0.50	0.51–1.00	1.01–1.50	1.51–2.00	–
MG (mapped area)	n	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
MG_{BSF} (≈ 130 ha)	2,143	12.6	53.2	27.3	6.3	0.6	–
MG_{OFO} (≈ 20 ha)	185	5.9	53.5	24.9	10.8	4.9	–
MG_{tot} (≈ 150 ha)	2,328	12.0	53.3	27.1	6.7	0.9	–
FB (mapped area)							
FB_{BSF} (≈ 170 ha)	2,425	16.3	57.6	21.8	4.2	0.0	–
FB_{OFO} (≈ 30 ha)	404	15.8	49.0	25.5	9.2	0.5	–
FB_{tot} (≈ 200 ha)	2,829	16.3	56.4	22.3	4.9	0.1	–
2: Alter Forstmeister (≈ 31 ha)	233	13.3	56.2	24.9	5.6	–	–
3: Himmelreich (42 ha)	736	15.4	60.9	20.0	3.8	–	–
4: Ebene (17 ha)	401	11.0	52.4	31.9	4.5	0.2	–
5: Steinlohe (2 ha)	12	8.3	41.7	50.0		–	–
6: Weißgärberwiese (44 ha)	617	20.1	59.8	16.5	3.6	–	–
7: Spechtnerbrückl (14 ha)	282	19.5	53.5	22.3	4.6	–	–
8: Winterleite (17 ha)	144	19.4	57.6	17.4	5.6	–	–
b) RWA nest diameter (ND) classes [m]		0.01–0.50	0.51–1.00	1.01–1.50	1.51–2.00	2.01–2.50	>2.51
MG (mapped area)	n	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
MG_{BSF} (≈ 130 ha)	2143	41.6	30.3	17.5	7.5	1.0	2.1
MG_{OFO} (≈ 20 ha)	185	33.0	30.3	14.6	9.7	4.3	8.1
MG_{tot} (≈ 150 ha)	2328	40.9	30.3	17.2	7.7	1.2	2.6
FB (mapped area)							
FB_{BSF} (≈ 170 ha)	2425	44.2	28.6	18.4	5.4	1.7	1.6
FB_{OFO} (≈ 30 ha)	404	39.6	23.8	23.3	8.4	2.7	2.2
FB_{tot} (≈ 200 ha)	2829	43.5	27.9	19.1	5.9	1.9	1.7
2: Alter Forstmeister (≈ 31 ha)	233	46.4	32.6	19.7	1.3	0.0	0.0
3: Himmelreich (42 ha)	736	41.3	29.1	18.5	6.4	2.9	1.9
4: Ebene (17 ha)	401	33.7	27.7	24.9	8.7	1.7	3.2
5: Steinlohe (2 ha)	12	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6: Weißgärberwiese (44 ha)	617	53.8	27.4	12.6	4.7	1.0	0.5
7: Spechtnerbrückl (14 ha)	282	39.4	28.4	22.3	5.0	2.5	2.5
8: Winterleite (17 ha)	144	52.8	27.8	14.6	2.8	0.7	1.4

3.1.2 Primary and secondary tree species, tree age

Nest height and diameter were significantly influenced by forest type, which consisted of different primary (TS_{prime}) and secondary tree species (TS_{sec}), age classes, nest building material and the

geochemical composition of bedrock, but not by geomorphology as confirmed by the results of one-way ANOVA (Tab. 3). Levene's test confirmed the similarity of variances between the parameters studied.

Table 3 – Results of one-way ANOVA for physical nest parameters (diameter and height) for variable factors for a) MGBSF and b) FBBSF and quasi-invariant factors for c) MG_{tot} and d) FB_{tot}. Parameters that significantly differ are set in bold. – = not available.

	<i>F</i>	df1	<i>p</i>		<i>F</i>	df1	<i>p</i>
a) MGBSF (No. of RWA nests = 2143)				b) FBBSF (No. of RWA nests = 2425)			
Variable factors				Variable factors			
Forest type (with regard to TS_{prime})				Forest type (with regard to TS_{prime})			
Height	3.206	5	0.007	Height	2.850	3	0.036
Diameter	2.234	5	0.049	Diameter	2.646	3	0.048
Forest type (with regard to TS_{sec})				Forest type (with regard to TS_{sec})			
Height	1.960	3	0.118	Height	5.424	5	<0.001
Diameter	2.315	3	0.074	Diameter	3.108	5	0.008
Age class				Age class			
Height	3.665	6	0.001	Height	6.285	6	<0.001
Diameter	8.929	6	<0.001	Diameter	10.545	6	<0.001
Nest building material				Nest building material			
Height	23.983	2	<0.001	Height	5.627	2	0.004
Diameter	64.164	2	0.000	Diameter	15.953	2	<0.001
a) MG_{tot} (No. of RWA nests = 2328)				b) FB_{tot} (No. of RWA nests = 2829)			
Quasi-invariant factors				Quasi-invariant factors			
Geochemical composition of bedrock				Geochemical composition of bedrock			
Height	3.594	3	0.013	Height	5.130	1	0.024
Diameter	4.949	3	0.002	Diameter	19.879	1	<0.001
Geomorphology				Geomorphology			
Height	0.015	3	0.997	Height	1.501	3	0.212
Diameter	1.288	3	0.278	Diameter	0.487	3	0.691

Mature (≥ 80 –140 years) pine (TS_{prime})-dominated forests are the preferred location for RWA nests (MGBSF ≈ 70 %; FBBSF ≈ 60 %). Here, 3 times (FBBSF) and 7 times (MGBSF) more RWA nests were mapped and a fifth of start-ups to short nests with small diameters (up to 0.5 m) compared to mature spruce (TS_{prime})-dominated forests (≥ 80 years; only ≈ 6 % of RWA nests). In spruce-dominated forests, the preferred tree age classes were 20, 40, 60 and 120 years. Here, the number of RWA nests was 2.3 times (MGBSF) and 1.3 times (FBBSF) lower than in pine-dominated forests. Deciduous trees, e.g. beech, hornbeam, white birch, were no relevant TS_{prime} due to low RWA nest numbers (Fig. 4). The percentages of nest diameter (ND) classes in mature forests (≥ 80 years) were

very similar to pine and spruce (TS_{prime}) in both study areas: small nests $\approx 45\%$; medium nests $\approx 28\%$, and large–very large nests $\approx 27\%$.

Fig. 4 – Numbers of RWA nests versus medium tree age of primary tree species (TS_{prime}) for a) MG_{BSF} and b) FB_{BSF}

A comparison of TS_{prime} and TS_{sec} with RWA nest numbers confirmed our results that RWA preferred pine-dominated forests. Also, the RWA nest numbers of all height classes are almost twice as high in pine-dominated mixed coniferous forests ($\text{pine} = TS_{\text{prime}} / \text{spruce} = TS_{\text{sec}}$) as in an inverse situation ($\text{spruce} = TS_{\text{prime}} / \text{pine} = TS_{\text{sec}}$). In addition, the majority of short to medium sized nests ($MG_{\text{BSF}} \approx 83\%$; $FB_{\text{BSF}} \approx 80\%$) are found in pine-dominated mixed coniferous forests. Other tree species like oak, red oak, beech or Douglas fir do not play a decisive role.

3.1.3 Nest building material

The preferred nest building material in all nest height classes were fresh to slightly decomposed pine needles in pine-dominated mixed coniferous forests ($\text{pine} = TS_{\text{prime}} / \text{spruce} = TS_{\text{sec}}$). Even in spruce-dominated forest, pine needles-dominated (FB_{BSF}). In MG_{BSF} , RWA nests showed a slightly higher preference for spruce needles ($\approx 44\%$) compared to pine needles ($\approx 35\%$; Fig. 5).

Fig. 5 – Nest height classes[m] versus type of nest material (%) for a,c) spruce, and b,d) pine as primary tree species (TS_{prime}) in MGBSF and FBSF.

3.1.4 Spatial distribution and nest densities

In both study areas, RWA nests were spatially clustered, indicated by a nearest neighbor ratio <1 (MGBSF: 0.5; FBSF 0.3) and Z-statistic < -1.96 (Z_{FB}: -82.84; Z_{MG}: -71.64) at a significance level of 95 %. In FBSF, 10 % more nests were mapped than indicated by the expected number of RWA nests. Nest densities were very high: 16.5 nests/ha in MGBSF and 14.3 nests/ha in FBSF (Tab. 4). The highest nest densities were found in FBSF forest sections No. 4 “Ebene” (≈24 nests/ha) and No. 7 “Spechtnerbrückl” (20 nests/ha). Mature (≥ 80 years) pine-dominated mixed coniferous forests (pine= TS_{prime}/spruce= TS_{sec}) showed median nest densities of 13.3 nests/ha (MGBSF) and 11.9 nests/ha (FBSF), which are comparable to those for spruce-dominated mixed coniferous forests (spruce=TS_{prime}/pine=TS_{sec}; MGBSF 10.9 nests/ha; FBSF 13.3 nests/ha). Furthermore, a striking radial nest distribution pattern (≈440 m in diameter) was observed in the NE of the FBSF forest section No. 3 “Himmelreich”.

Table 4 – Descriptive statistics of mapped forest areas, percentage of total mapped area, expected numbers of RWA nests, numbers of mapped nests in the field, density of RWA nests (nests/ha) for MGBSF and FBSF

Study area	Mapped area (ha)	% of total area	Expected number of RWA nests	Number of mapped RWA nests	Nest density (RWA nests/ha)
MGBSF (total)	130	100.0	2143	2143	16.5
FBSF (total)	170	100.0	2372	2425	14.3

2: Alter Forstmeister	31	18.2	433	233	7.5
3: Himmelreich	42	24.7	596	736	17.5
4: Ebene	17	10.0	243	401	23.5
5: Steinlohe	2	1.2	28	12	6.0
6: Weißgärberwiese	44	25.9	629	617	14.0
7: Spechtnerbrückl	14	8.2	200	282	20.1
8: Winterleite	17	10.0	243	144	8.5

3.2 Quasi-invariant factors

Analyses of quasi-invariant factors based on the field survey and included all data on RWA nests for BSF and OFO forest areas (henceforth MG_{tot} and FB_{tot}), as these factors are independent of the BSF data base.

3.2.1 Geochemical composition of bedrock

In total, 2,328 (MG_{tot}) and 2,829 (FB_{tot}) RWA nest were mapped (Tab. 5). The geochemical composition of the bedrock had a significant influence on nest heights and diameters in both study areas (Tab. 3 and 5). In MG, the Mitterteicher/Steinwald Granite ($\approx 13\%$) and the Miocene and Miocene-Pliocene ($\approx 12\%$) sedimentary cover showed comparable percentage of nest start-ups. For all other nest height and diameter classes there were no major differences. Due to the low RWA nest numbers (18) at the Pliocene sedimentary lens, the statistics cannot be compared to the other geological units (Tab. 5). In FB, $\approx 12\%$ RWA nests were mapped on the younger Mitterteich/Steinwald Granite (≈ 15 ha; Muscovite-Monzogranite) and 2,496 ($\approx 88\%$) RWA nests on the older Falkenberger Granite (≈ 184 ha; Andalusite-Sillimanite-Monzogranite) in total. The Falkenberger Granite had slightly more nest start-ups ($\approx 17\%$) compared to the Mitterteich/Steinwald Granite. Tall to very tall nests (≥ 1.01 m) and RWA nests with large diameters (≥ 1.51 m) were more frequent at the Mitterteicher/Steinwald Granite (Muscovite-Monzogranite) compared to the older Falkenberg Granite (Andalusite-Sillimanite-Monzogranite; Tab. 5).

Table 5 – Comparison in percentage [%] for a) nest height and b) diameter classes for different geological units in MG_{tot} and FB_{tot}. – = not available.

a) Nest height classes [m]		0.01–0.10	0.11–0.50	0.51–1.00	1.01–1.50	1.51–2.00	–
MG _{tot} (Geological unit; mapped area)	n	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Pliocene sediments (pl; ≈ 1.8 ha)	18	11.1	44.4	16.7	16.7	11.1	–
Miocene-Pliocene sediments (mi-pl; ≈ 112.4 ha)	1,875	11.9	52.4	27.6	7.3	0.8	–
Miocene sediments (mi; ≈ 18.6 ha)	163	12.3	57.7	28.2	1.8	0.0	–
Mitterteicher/Steinwald Granite (,G1S; ≈ 16.5 ha)	272	12.9	57.0	23.5	4.8	1.8	–
FB _{tot} (Geological units; mapped area)							
Mitterteicher/Steinwald Granite (,G1S; ≈ 15 ha)	333	13.8	55.0	24.0	6.9	0.3	–
Falkenberger Granite (,G1; ≈ 184 ha)	2,496	16.6	56.6	22.1	4.6	0.1	–

b) Nest diameter classes [m]		0.01–0.50	0.51–1.00	1.01–1.50	1.51–2.00	2.01–2.50	≥2.51
MG _{tot} (Geological units; mapped area)	n	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Pliocene sediments (pl; ≈1.8 ha)	18	44.4	11.1	11.1	5.6	5.6	22.2
Miocene-Pliocene sediments (mi-pl; ≈112.4 ha)	1,875	40.1	30.3	17.6	8.0	1.2	2.8
Miocene sediments (mi; ≈18.6 ha)	163	45.4	33.1	14.7	5.5	0.6	0.6
Mitterteicher/Steinwald Granite (,G1S; ≈16.5 ha)	272	43.8	29.8	16.5	7.0	1.8	1.1
FB _{tot} (Geological units; mapped area)							
Mitterteicher/Steinwald Granite (,G1S; ≈15 ha)	333	32.4	29.7	23.4	8.1	4.8	1.5
Falkenberger Granite (,G1; ≈184 ha)	2,496	45.0	27.6	18.5	5.6	1.5	1.7

3.2.2 Geomorphology and terrain exposure of RWA nests

Most of the terrain of MG_{tot} is smooth, with only a few sections of the terrain having steep slopes up to 25 °. In MG_{tot}, one third (≈33 %) of start-up and short nests with ND ≤ 0.5 m and tall nests (height and diameter: ≥ 1.0 m; ≈27 %) were on low slopes (≤ 5°). Steep slopes (≥ 5°) were preferred only by 7 % of the nests. However, two short nests with ND ≤ 0.5 m were mapped on steep slopes (25 °). The relationships are the same for both, spruce- and pine-dominated coniferous forests (MG_{BSF}) for the above-mentioned nest classes.

The geomorphology of FB_{tot} is different. Apart from a few flat areas, the terrain can be described as rugged with the steepest slopes up to 33 °, where three short nests with ND ≤ 0.5 m were mapped. In FB_{tot}, one fifth (≈19 %) of all mapped start-up and short nests with ND ≤ 0.5 m were on low slopes (≤ 5°); and one quarter (≈25 %) on steep slopes (≥ 5°).

In spruce-dominated forests, RWA preferred steeper slopes for start-up and short nests with ND ≤ 0.5 m (FB_{tot} ≈33 %). In pine-dominated forests, no difference between low (≈30 %) and steep (≈29 %) slopes was observed for the same nest classes. Taller nests (height and diameter: ≥ 1.0 m) were five times more frequent on steep slopes (≈30 %) than on low slopes (≈6 %) in spruce-dominated coniferous forests, but showed no differences for pine-dominated coniferous forests (≈20 % at slopes ≤ 5° and ≈17 % at slopes ≥ 5°).

In both study areas, exposure is not a relevant factor for RWA nests. In MG_{tot}, the preferred directions are SE (≈7.4 % of RWA nests), SSE (7.0 % of RWA nests) and NNW (≈7.2 % of RWA nests); in FB_{tot}, the preferred directions were S (≈7.6 % of RWA nests), SSW (≈7.5 % of RWA nests), NNE (≈7.1 % of RWA nests), and N (≈7.0 % of RWA nests) directions were preferred. All other exposures were distributed almost equally among RWA nests in both study areas (MG_{tot}: 5.0 %–6.8 %; FB_{tot}: 5.2–6.5 %; Fig. 6).

Fig. 6 – Preferred terrain exposure of RWA nests in a) MG_{tot} (n=2,328) and b) FB_{tot} (n=2,829) study area

3.2.3 Tectonics

Density plots calculated for both study areas (Changyong 2020) showed a mostly NW-SE spatial distribution pattern of RWA nests, paralleling the present-day main stress direction in the area. Additionally, WNW-ESE directions were found in the western part of MG study area (Fig. 7). During the field campaigns, no earthquakes occurred in both study areas.

Fig. 7 – Density plots of RWA nests in a) MG and b) FB study area.

4 Discussion

Sufficient information on occurrence and spatial distribution is required to protect RWA as keystone species, ecosystem engineers, and biocontrol agents in an integrally managed forest. The area-wide mapping carried out in this study based on presence/absence data provided for the first time a reliable,

GPS-based RWA nests database for both study areas. Since some RWA are considered species of conservation concern (e.g., BfN 2012; IUCN 2015), it is necessary to further apply effective conservation measures for RWA species in forest management. So far, preparatory work of the BSF for logging activities includes labeling of tree trunks near a RWA nest in 1.5 m height with neon colors, that can be recognized by forest workers from all directions. No logging activities or processing of trees are permitted in the vicinity of such labelled trees. With the recent GPS-based database, containing the area-wide distribution pattern of the RWA nests, the BSF can achieve its overall goal to further protecting the RWA nests. Future forest work will use this database to identify specific forest areas with RWA nests and nest clusters. In these specific areas, nature conservation will be given higher priority than the use of trees. Since the spatial distribution patterns of RWA nests may change over time, we suggest to re-map these areas within five years to quantify population changes in both study areas.

4.1 Variable factors

4.1.1 Physical nest parameters, dominant tree species and tree age classes

Red wood ants of the *Formica rufa*-group are an ecologically indisputably successful species (Czechowski & Vesplänen 2009). In entomological studies, e.g., tree species and characteristics (Gibb et al. 2016) or type of forest management (Sorvari & Hakkarainen 2007) are regarded as decisive for RWA occurrences. Recent studies have found that stand age and the associated differences in forest structure have significant effects on abundance, nest size and behavior of ants (e.g., Punttila 1996; Gibb & Johansson 2010). According to Gibb & Johansson (2010), middle-aged forest stands (30–40 years old) in managed boreal forests showed the fewest and smallest ant nests and the lowest ant activity. Sondej et al. (2018), reported the highest nest densities in 101–120 and 181–200-year-old forest stands and in forests younger than 20 years, suggesting young forests with an open canopy promote preferred habitats for nest settlements. In contrast, Vandegehuchte et al. (2017) reported that RWA mainly dependent on forest structure and conifer abundance. Either forest fragment size, distance to forest edges, nor shrub diversity were addressed as important factors.

Our findings showed, that the most favored tree age classes were mature pine-dominated forests (≥ 80 –140 years) with 3–7 times more RWA nests compared to mature spruce-dominated forests (≥ 80 years). Nest numbers were 1.3–2.3 times lower in spruce-dominated forests with tree age classes of 20, 40, 60 and 120 years. This is in contrast to the findings by Sondej et al. (2018), though mainly *F. polycтена* (75 % of observed nests) was in the focus of this study and also in contrast to findings by Wiśniewski (1969), who observed lower nest densities in 60–100-years-old pine forests. Our findings are consistent with the life history of the polygynous *F. polycтена* which are more abundant in mature, closed canopy forests (Pisarski & Czechowski 1994; Punttila 1996). Furthermore, we

cannot confirm findings by Sondej et al. (2018) and Punttila (1996), that smaller nest sizes, which could indicate younger nests are typical for young forests, and that an open canopy cover is favorable for colony establishment. We observed one-fifth ($\approx 20\%$) of start-ups to short nests with small diameters (up to 0.5 m) in pine-dominated mature forest (≥ 80 years). The age class might have an influence on the light conditions, which in turn affects the nests size (Sondej et al. 2018), but then no tall–very tall nests should be observed in these age classes. Our findings show up to 9 % of these tall–very tall nests were found in pine-dominated mature forest (≥ 80 years). We also cannot confirm findings by Domisch et al. (2005) who did not observe RWA nests in 20-years old Scots pine stands. In our two study areas, 4 % of all nests were mapped in young (up to 20 years old) pine forests, although the forest was managed in both study areas. One reason for this could be that in such forests, if necessary, a small number of trees is logged to promote light on the forest floor and to create sunny places for, e.g. reptiles or amphibians. Probably RWA might also benefit from of this conservation measures. It is further suggested that previous findings in entomological studies could also be a result of mapping approach, e.g. transects, plots. From our experience we suggest that only area-wide mapping and presence/absence data reveal the true results of RWA nests in forest habitats and provide an applicable database for further improved knowledge of ant habitats.

4.1.2 Nest building material

RWA ants collect and concentrate organic material from the forest floor for the construction of their mostly conical above-ground nests (Frouz et al. 2005; Domisch et al. 2007). Conifer needles (Gösswald 1989), small branches and other plant material as leaf-litter and other detritus which can affect the nutritional and physical properties of the soil in a variety of ways (e.g., Frouz et al. 2005; Domisch et al. 2007) have been reported as favorable nest building material.

Our results showed that the preferred nest building material in both study areas and for almost all nest heights was pine needles. Even in spruce-dominated forests RWA tried to build their nest with longer pine needles. We observed pine needles on all nest forms, steeply conical, but also on flat forms. Such a clear preference for pine needles as nest building material, even though pines are rare in a forest stand, has not been observed so far. There could be mechanical and chemical reasons for the preference of pine needles: Pine needles may have a mechanical advantage in size and structure over individual spruce needles, as pine needles are usually found as a bundle of 2–3 extremely narrow needles per sheath covering the base of the needle bundle. In addition, a greater thickness of outer epidermal walls of pine needles can support mechanical strength and protect the pine needles from desiccation, especially in winter (Jankowski et al. 2017). This can support the durability of the nest building material. In addition, it is suggested that start-ups and short nest could have a more solid outer structure by building them from longer double or triple pine needles that are intertwined with

each other compared to short loose spruce needles. Such a construction could also be more solid against wind driven processes. Furthermore, long intertwined pine needles could also strengthen steep conical nests and contribute to the stability of the nest. During the chemical decomposition of solid organic components, cellulose dominate in pine needle litter, which has a static function in plants as tear-resistant fibers, while lignin dominates in spruce (Johansson 1995). This could additionally contribute to longevity of the nest cover. From a chemical point of view, the thick-walled epidermis contributes to the defense against herbivores by forming specialized resin channels (Jankowski et al. 2017). Resin is an important component of RWA nests because of its stabilizing and antibacterial effect (Christe et al. 2003). Our results also support findings by Gibb et al (2016), that ants (*F. aquilonia*) harvested fresh needles directly from pine or spruce trees, especially in older forests stands, which indicates better needle quality and lower activity of microorganisms on fresh needles.

4.1.3 Nest densities

Things as conspicuous as ant nests can be easily overlooked. The findings on nest densities in Eurasian forests are highly variable and range from 0.02–0.76 (Sondeij et al. 2018) to 5 nests/ha (Risch et al. 2016). A recent study showed that the detection probability of red wood ant nests is surprisingly variable and depends on a) observer experience, b) nest size, c) mapping procedure (complete inventory with presence/absence data, random sampling, systematic versus opportunistic procedure), and d) area size, but not on habitat characteristics (forest type, local vegetation; Berberich et al. 2016c). Observer experience is crucial to detect even start-up and small nests RWA nests. A possible explanation for the large differences among studies on nest densities could be that no information was given on the observer(s) experience and on the estimation for detection probability. Very experienced observers like the first and second authors, who mapped more than 25,000 RWA nests in selected areas of Germany (e.g., Black Forest, Eifel area), Switzerland, Austria and the United States of America, found twice as many short RWA nests, 33% more tall ones and 66% more with smaller diameters (up to 50 cm) than beginners (Berberich et al. 2016c). Due to this demonstrably high detection probabilities of both observers (G.M.B. and M.B.B.; Berberich et al. 2016c) the high numbers of RWA nests in both study areas can be explained. Furthermore, the detection of RWA nest depends on the mapping procedure independent from the size of the area to be investigated. An area-wide complete inventory, as carried out in this study, always leads to more accurate results in contrast to transects, especially at distances of 20–50 m (e.g., Sondeij et al. 2018), for start-ups and short nests are easily overlooked. Additionally, recent studies (Berberich et al. 2016a, 2019) have shown, that RWA nests are not evenly distributed in the field, but are clumped and related to tectonically active fault zones. The hypothesis of an even spatial distribution of RWA nests and a coordinated mapping approach based on this leads to inaccurate data. Furthermore, very small areas with high numbers of

RWA nests lead to very high, unrealistic nest densities compared to larger areas with lower nest numbers, as shown by Puntila & Kipiläinen (2009). Such results are not comparable and lead to misinterpretations. We therefore suggest nest densities (nests/ha) are not suitable for regional comparison of RWA nest occurrences, as long as there is no comparable mapping approach, mapping is not carried out area-wide and no data on presence/absence have been collected.

4.2 Quasi-invariant factors

4.2.1 Geochemical composition of bedrock and geomorphology

In the last century, entomologists reported that the spatial distribution of RWA nests depends on geological structures (Gösswald 1939; Rammoser 1961; Eichhorn 1962). Wellenstein (1929) found that RWA nests are not located at preferred sites within a forest stand. Recent studies investigating GeoBio-interactions showed that RWA nest occurrences are highly correlated with Radon degassing from rocks and soils into the atmosphere as part of the radioactive decay of the unstable isotopes of Uranium (U) (Berberich et al. 2016a, 2016b, 2018a, 2018b). In both study areas the U and Thorium (Th) concentrations of the granites indicate a very high natural Rn potential (MG: 51.8–177.2 kBq/m³; FB: 67.3–211.9 kBq/m³; BfS 2020). Soil profiles over weathered granites from the Upper Palatinate reflected the good adsorption properties of clay minerals and accumulation of U-bearing minerals, e.g. zirconium, monazite due to the strong increase in U-content in the grain fractions < 0.125 mm (Kemski et al. 2012). Our findings suggest that the geochemical composition of bedrock, in particular its overall high radioactive potential, might be the key factor in the distribution patterns for RWA nests. This hypothesis was already established in previous studies and could now be confirmed (Berberich et al. 2016a, 2016b, 2018a, 2018b). In both study areas, the small U-bearing soil horizons on the Variscan Granite but also the granites with their structurally determined pathways, both of which emit high Rn concentrations, are in direct contact with the RWA nests. In addition, temperature is an important factor influencing the biology, metabolic rate, growth, development, colony fitness and survival of poikilotherm RWA (Wilson 1971). Previous findings report that RWA can actively thermoregulate the nest due to accurate perception of temperature and evolution of temperature preferences. Besides insolation and heat exchange with air and soil, as well as endogenous heat generated by the ant colony, ants use metabolic heat as an additional source to maintain thermal homeostasis in the nest (Kadochová & Frouz 2014; Stukalyuk et al. 2020). Findings of a recent study by Stukalyuk et al. (2020) showed that heat loss of the nest depends on its size, the volume/nests ratio, and the condition and material of the cover layer. Furthermore, insolation does not cause the inner parts of the nests to heat up more than the temperature of the ground at the same depth. Therefore, *F. polycтена* nests with a diameter < 0.5 m are not capable of heating and are not autonomous at air temperatures up to +18 °C, nests diameters of 0.7 m can function normally at air temperature above

+2 °C, nests with a nest diameter of > 0.8 m are able to maintain a constant optimum temperature in the nest (Stukalyuk et al. 2020). However, the question why start-up and short nests survive despite missing auto-thermoregulation in the winter, and further develop into tall nests and finally into polydomous colonies, is still unresolved. It is suggested that there is a process between RWA and the underlying bedrock material, especially in the Oberpfalz region, with short vegetation periods of < 140 days, 30 icy day/year and high precipitation rates (average 700–800 mm/year; LK-Tir 2020). The Late Variscan granites in both study areas have different petrogenesis and geochemical composition with regard to U and Th. The heat generated by the radioactive decay of the unstable isotopes U and Th, and potassium (K) is the largest internal heat source on Earth. In situ measurements of the Falkenberger Granite in 2015/2016 revealed U concentrations of 14.4 ppm $\pm$ 3.2 ppm, Th concentrations of 40.7 ppm $\pm$ 4.8 ppm, and a Th/U ratio of 2.9 $\pm$ 0.6. The granites in the study area show a relatively high heat production of 6.7 $\pm$ 1.5 μ W/m³ compared to other Variscan granites in Europe and can therefore be addressed as moderately heat producing granites (Scharfenberg & De Wall 2016). Findings by Kirchner (2007) showed that RWA are extremely sensitive to temperature. They can discriminate temperature differences of 0.25 K. It is suggested that RWA consider the continuous moderate heat production by the granite and its primarily U-bearing minerals in soils as favorable settlement conditions. During winter hibernation, the continuous heat emission from the granites and soils as an "additional heat source" can support the survival of the colony, especially start-up and short nests with diameters <0.5 m that are not able to warm up and thermoregulate themselves. This could also explain why the number of start-ups and short nests with diameters <0.5 m was very high (FB_{tot} $\approx$ 44 %; MG_{tot} $\approx$ 41 %) in both study areas.

The geomorphology is an additional factor that determines Rn concentrations. Lower terrain slopes promote higher values and show the least seasonal variations (Kemski et al. 2012). The results of the one-way ANOVA showed that the geomorphology is of little importance in both study areas. We attribute this to the fact that the overall high Rn potential provides optimal conditions and promotes the spatial distribution of RWA nests, even though the terrain might be rugged and flat sections are rare (FB study area). However, the geomorphology in combination with a cold climate and high precipitation rates, as it is observed in the Oberpfalz area, supports occurrence and distribution patterns of RWA nests, because rainy seasons (spring, autumn) lead to an increase in the radon concentration in the soil due to reduced migration. In winter, during snow cover, when the sealing effect is longer and more pronounced, and during frost periods, Rn emission into the atmosphere can be completely prevented, resulting in high radon concentrations in soil gas (Kemski et al. 2012). This is in contrast to previous studies, which suggested variable factors, such as canopy cover and edge (e.g., Risch et al. 2008), fragmentation (Punttila & Kilpelainen 2009), tree species, characteristics and age (e.g., Gibb et al. 2016) as relevant primary key factors. Our findings may also

explain, why the RWA nest are found in extremely high numbers in the Oberpfalz study areas in contrast to findings of e.g., Sondej et al. (2018) in the Białowieża Forest. Here, the moraine highlands are of different geochemical composition and do not offer such a high Rn potential, because their subsoil was formed during the retreat of the Warta Glaciation (Jaroszewicz et al. 2019).

4.2.2 Exposure of RWA nests

Previous findings, e.g. (Wellenstein 1990) indicate that RWA prefer sites with S exposures. Findings by Harterbrodt (1990) regarding habitat requirements suggest that especially *F. polycтена* nests were more often mapped on terrains with W and N exposure. Our findings confirm these results. In both study areas NW, NNW, N, NNE and NE exposures had been frequent. Overall, however, there were only very small differences between the different exposures in both study areas. Therefore, we hypothesize that the geochemical composition of the bedrock is the key factor for the spatial distribution of RWA nests, and exposure is less important.

4.2.3 Tectonics, distribution patterns and earthquakes

In entomological studies, the spatial distribution pattern of RWA nests and their local occurrence are related to a range of selective factors, e.g. foraging and food supply (e.g., Iakovlev et al. 2017), reproductive success (e.g., Rosengren et al. 1987), or founding of new nests or the colonization of new territories (e.g., Czechowski & Vepsäläinen 2009). Recent studies focusing on GeoBio-Interactions, e.g., in Germany and Romania, alternatively postulated spatial distribution patterns of RWA to be governed by degassing tectonic fault systems. The large-scale spatial distribution of RWA nests directly reflects significant tectonic components of the present-day stress field and its accompanying conjugated shear systems (Berberich et al. 2016a; Del Toro et al. 2017; Berberich et al. 2019). Furthermore, a double-blind study on Jutland (Denmark) showed that RWA nests were eight times more likely to be found within 60 m of known tectonic faults than were random points in the same region but without nests (Del Toro et al. 2017). The spatially clustered distribution patterns of the observed nests in both study areas suggests a strong interaction between RWA nests and their quasi-invariant environment. In particular, the spatial NW-SE distribution pattern of RWA nests found for both study areas corresponded to a) the directionality of the present-day stress field in NW-SE direction (Heidbach 2016), b) the NW-SE trending direction of the Erbsdorfer Line, that delimits two regions with a different tectono-metamorphic history in the North of both study areas (Glaser et al. 2007), and c) the NW-SE striking intrusion fault line for the granites (Scharfenberg & De Wall 2016). The additionally identified WNW-ESE and W-E directions indicated by RWA nests are identical to the WNW-ESE and W-E trending quartz dike systems south of the FB study area (Fig. 2a). For there is no further information on the location of small-scale fault systems in MG and FB study areas due to the vegetation cover, the distribution of RWA nests complements and clarifies the

tectonic regime identified in previous tectonic studies. In addition, the radial distribution pattern of RWA nests, which was observed in the northeast of the forest section No. 3 “Himmelreich”, could be attributed to “onion-like” joints in the Falkenberger Granite, that are comparable to those observed in the former quarry beneath the ruin Flossenbürg castle, approx. 14.5 km southeast of the FB study area (Glaser et al. 2007; Fig. 8).

The low number of weak to moderate earthquakes (LMU 2020) in the vicinity of both study areas does not play a decisive role (LMU 2020).

Fig. 8 – a) Spatial distribution of mapped RWA nests in FBBSF based on the 1m DTM provided by the LDBV (2008/2009). Black square indicates a striking radial nest distribution pattern that can be explained by b) “onion-like“ joints forming in the granite, as can be seen in the former quarry beneath the ruin Flossenbürg castle, approx. 14.5 km southeast of the FB Study area. Photo credit: M. Gibhardt.

5 Conclusion

We acquired, for the first time, in a systematic large-scale area-wide survey presence/absence data of (a total of 5,160) red wood ant nests (RWA; *Formica polyctena*) in two study areas in the Oberpfalz, NE Bavaria, Germany. We investigated both, whether variable factors such as physical nest parameters, tree species and age, nest building material and quasi-invariant factors, e.g. geochemical composition of bedrock, geomorphology, exposure and tectonics have an influence on the spatial distribution and nest densities of RWA nests. A combination of mature (≥ 80 –140 years) pine-dominated forests as main variable factor and the geochemical property of the Variscan granites with its high natural Rn potential and the moderate heat production of the Variscan granites as main quasi-invariant factor could explain the high nest numbers at both sites. The spatially clustered distribution patterns of the observed nests suggest a strong interaction between nests and their quasi-invariant environment, especially the directionality of the present-day stress field and the direction of the tectonically formed “Erbendorfer Line”. In general, such a combination of variable and quasi-invariant factors can be addressed as particularly favorable ant habitats. Future investigations will

show, whether high nest numbers can be observed in comparable geological and geochemical environments, e.g. in other areas with Variscan granites with similar high Rn potential and the moderate heat production. We suggest that favorable geochemical and tectonic factors, which have been neglected so far, need to be considered in future assessments of RWA nests occurrences.

6 Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements: The field work and first analyses were conducted during the time, the first and corresponding author (Gabriele M. Berberich) was research associate of the Technical University of Dortmund. G.M.B.'s field work was funded by Bayerische Staatsforsten, Regensburg (grant number 19/Wa/N6). We greatly acknowledge the Landesamt für Digitalisierung, Breitband und Vermessung (LDBV), München, that provided the 1 m DTM for terrain analyses and the Bayerische Staatsforsten (BSF) AöR, Regensburg, that provided data from the 10-year forest inventory and management plan of 2009. Either the Landesamt für Digitalisierung, Breitband und Vermessung (LDBV), München nor the Bayerische Staatsforsten had a role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results. We greatly acknowledge A. M. Ellison, Harvard Forest, Harvard University for reviewing the draft.

Author contributions: G.M.B. conceived the idea, designed the study, performed the field work, carried out the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript. M.B.B. performed the field work, analyzed the data and contributed to the manuscript. M.G. contributed to the manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript and approved the final version.

Conflict of Interest Statement: G.M.B, M.B.B. and M.G. declare no potential conflict of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

7 References

- Berberich G.M., Klimetzek D., Paraschiv M., Stancioiu P.T., Grumpe A. 2019. Biogeostatistics confirm: Even a low total number of red wood ant nests provide new information on tectonics in the East Carpathian Orogen (Romania). *Ecological Indicators*, 101: 486–500, DOI:10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.01.005
- Berberich G.M., Berberich M.B., Ellison A.M., Wöhler C. 2018b. Degassing Rhythms and Fluctuations of Geogenic Gases in A Red Wood-Ant Nest and in Soil in The Neuwied Basin (East Eifel Volcanic Field, Germany). *Insects*, 9: 135; DOI:10.3390/insects9040135
- Berberich G.M., Ellison A.M., Berberich M.B., Grumpe A., Becker A., Wöhler C. 2018a. Can a red wood-ant nest be a trap for fault-related CH₄ micro-seepage? A case study from continuous short-term in-situ sampling. *Animals*, 8: 46, DOI:10.3390/ani8040046

- Berberich G.M., Dormann C. F., Klimetzek D., Berberich M.B., Sanders N.J., Ellison A.M. 2016c. Detection probabilities for sessile organisms. *Ecosphere*, 7(11):e01546. 10.1002/ecs2.1546.
- Berberich G.M., Sattler T., Klimetzek D., Benk S.A., Berberich M.B., Polag D., Schöler H.F., Atlas E. 2016b. Halogenation processes linked to red wood ant nests (*Formica spp.*) and tectonics. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry*, DOI:10.1007/s10874-016-9358-0
- Berberich G.M., Grumpe A., Berberich M.B., Klimetzek D., Wöhler, C. 2016a. Are red wood ants (*Formica rufa*-group) tectonic indicators? A statistical approach. *Ecological Indicators*, 6: 968–979, DOI:10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.10.055
- BfN. 2012. Rote Liste gefährdeter Tiere, Pflanzen und Pilze Deutschlands, Bundesamt für Naturschutz (BfN), Band 3: Wirbellose Tiere (Teil 1). *Naturschutz und Biologische Vielfalt*, 70:716 S.
- BfS. 2020. Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz (BfS), Salzgitter GEOPORTAL. accessed at July 4: <https://www.imis.bfs.de/geoportal/>
- BSF. 2009. 10-year forest inventory and management plan. Bayerische Staatsforsten (BSF) A.ö.R., Regensburg. Database. As of: July 2009.
- Changyong He. 2020. densityplot(x,y,varargin). www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/65166-densityplot-x-y-varargin), MATLAB Central File Exchange. Retrieved July 16, 2019.
- Christe P., Oppliger A., Bancala F., Castella G., Chapuisat M. 2003. Evidence for Collective Medication in Ants. *Ecology Letters*, 6:19-22.
- Czechowski W., Vepsäläinen K. 2009: Territory size of wood ants (Hymenoptera: *Formicidae*): a search for limits of existence of *Formica polyctena* Först. an inherently polygynic and polycalic species, *Annales Zoologici*, 59: 179–187.
- Del Toro I., Berberich G.M., Ribbons R.R., Berberich M.B., Sanders N.J., Ellison A.M. 2017. Nests of red wood ants (*Formica rufa*-group) are positively associated with tectonic faults: a double-blind test. *PeerJ* 5:e3903; DOI:10.7717/peerj.3903
- Descombes P., Leprieur F., Albouy C., Heine C., Pellissier L. 2017. Spatial imprints of plate tectonics on extant richness of terrestrial vertebrates. *Journal of Biogeography*, 44: 1185–1197.
- Domisch T., Ohashi M., Finér L., Risch A.C., Sundström L., Kilpeläinen L., Niemelä P. 2007. Decomposition of organic matter and nutrient mineralisation in wood ant (*Formica rufa*-group) mounds in boreal coniferous forests of different age. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 44(3): 539–545.

- Domisch T., Finér L., Jurgensen M.F. 2005. Red wood ant mound densities in managed boreal forests. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 42: 277–282.
- Eichhorn O., 1962. Zur Ökologie der Ameisen mitteleurop. Gebirgswälder. Habil. Am Forstzoologischen Institut. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Eisbacher G.H. 1991. Einführung in die Tektonik. Ferdinand Enke Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Ellis S., Robinson E.J.H. 2014. Polydomy in red wood ants. *Insectes Sociaux*, 61: 111–122.
- Frouz J., Jilkova V. 2008. The effect of ants on soil properties and processes (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Myrmecological News*, 11: 191–199.
- Frouz, J., Rybníček, M., Cudlín, P., Chmelíková, E. 2008. Influence of the wood ant *Formica polyctena* on soil nutrient and the spruce tree growth. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 132: 281–284, DOI:10.1111/j.1439–0418.2008.01285.x
- Frouz J., Kalčík J., Gulín P. 2005. Accumulation of phosphorus in nest of red wood ants. *Formica* s. str., *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 42: 269–275.
- Galadí E., Kroemer E., Loth G., Pürner T., Raum G., Teipel U., Rohrmüller J. 2009. Geological history of the Upper Palatinate Forest – geological setting, rocks, points of interest. ISBN (Print-Version): 978-3-936385-55-7.
- Gibb H., Andersson J., Johansson T. 2016. Foraging loads of red wood ants: *Formica aquilonia* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in relation to tree characteristics and stand age. *PeerJ*, 4:e2049; DOI:10.7717/peerj.2049
- Gibb H, Johansson T. 2010. Forest succession and harvesting of hemipteran honeydew by boreal ants. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 47: 99–110, DOI:10.5735/086.047.0203
- Glaser S., Keim G., Loth G., Veit A., Bassler-Veit B., Lagally U. 2007. Geotope in der Oberpfalz. Bayerisches Landesamt für Umwelt, Augsburg, ISSN 0945-1765.
- Gösswald K. 1939. Über Nutzen, Ausrottung, Schutz, Verbreitung und künstliche Vermehrung der Roten Waldameise *Formica rufa* L., „Jahresbericht Provinzstellen Arbeitsgemeinschaft Naturschutz Berlin u. Brandenburg, 1: 14–30.
- Gösswald K. 1989. Die Waldameise: Biologie, Ökologie und Forstliche Nutzung. Aula-Verlag, Wiesbaden.
- Harterbrodt C. 1990: Untersuchungen über Standortfaktoren und deren Wechselwirkungen bei *F. polyctena*, *F. pratensis* und *F. rufa* - Dissertation, Universität Freiburg i. Br.

- Heidbach O., Rajabi M., Reiter, K., Ziegler, M. 2016. W.S.M. Team World Stress Map Database. Release 2016 GFZ Data Services 2016, DOI:10.5880/WSM.2016.001
- Hofmann Y. 2003. Gravimetrische und geodynamische Modellierungen in der Schwarmbeben-Region Vogtland/NW-Böhmen. Dissertation, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena.
- Hölldobler B., Wilson E. O. 1990. The Ants. Springer, Berlin.
- Hölldobler B., Wilson E. O. 2010. Der Superorganismus - Der Erfolg von Ameisen, Bienen, Wespen und Termiten. Springer, Berlin.
- Iakovlev I.K., Novgorodova T.A., Tiunov A.V., Reznikova Z.I. 2017. Trophic position and seasonal changes in the diet of the red wood ant *Formica aquilonia* as indicated by stable isotope analysis. Ecological Entomology, 42: 263–272.
- IUCN. 2015. The International Union for Conservation of Nature Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2015.1. Available online: <http://www.iucnredlist.org>.
- Jankowski A., Wyka T.P., Żytkowiak R., Nihlgård B., Reich P.B., Oleksyn J. 2017. Cold adaptation drives variability in needle structure and anatomy in *Pinus sylvestris* L. along a 1,900 km temperate–boreal transect. Functional Ecology, 31:2212–2223. DOI:10.1111/1365-2435.12946
- Jaroszewicz B., Cholewinska O., Gutowski J.M., Samojlik T., Zimny M., Latałowa M. 2019. Białowieża Forest—A Relic of the High Naturalness of European Forests. Forests, 10: 849, DOI:10.3390/f10100849
- Johansson M.B. 1995. The chemical composition of needle and leaf litter from Scots pine, Norway spruce and white birch in Scandinavian forests. Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research, 68:49–62, DOI:10.1093/forestry/68.1.49
- Kadochová S., Frouz J. 2014. Red wood ants *Formica polyctena* switch off active thermoregulation of the nest in autumn. Insectes Sociaux, 61(3): 297–306.
- Kemski J., Klingel R., Siehl A., Neznal M., Matolin M. 2012. Erarbeitung fachlicher Grundlagen zur Beurteilung der Vergleichbarkeit unterschiedlicher Messmethoden zur Bestimmung der Radonbodenluftkonzentration. Vorhaben 3609S10003. Bd. 2 Sachstandsbericht "Radonmessungen in der Bodenluft. Einflussfaktoren, Messverfahren, Bewertung". BfS-RESFOR-63/12-Bd.2. urn:nbn:de:0221-201203237830.
- Kirchner W. 2007. Die Ameisen. Biologie und Verhalten. Verlag C.H. Beck, 125 Seiten.
- Klimetzek D., Kaiser M. 1995. Zur Ökologie der *Formica rufa*-Gruppe. Waldhygiene, 20: 243–254.
- LK-Tir. 2020. Landkreis Tirschenreuth. Geographie & Klima. Accessed on 20 July 2020. <https://www.kreis-tir.de/landkreis-tirschenreuth/landkreis-infos/geographie-klima/>

- LMU 2020. Erdbebendienst Bayern. Verspürte Lokalbeben seit 1390. Accessed on 21 June 2020. <https://www.erdbeben-in-bayern.de/erdbebendienst/erdbebenkatalog/lokalbeben/>
- Pisarski B., Czechowski W. 1994: Ways to reproductive success of wood ant queens. *Memorabilia Zoologica*, 48: 181–186
- Punttila P. 1996: Succession, forest fragmentation, and the distribution of wood ants. *Oikos*, 75: 291–298.
- Punttila P., Kilpeläinen J. 2009. Distribution of mound-building ant species (*Formica* spp., Hymenoptera) in Finland: preliminary results of a national survey. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 46: 1–15.
- Rammoser H., 1961. Zur Verbreitung der hügelbauenden Waldameisen im Spessart. *Waldhygiene*, 6: 44–82.
- Risch A.C., Ellis S., Wiswell H. 2016: Where and why? Wood ant population ecology. In: Stockan, J. A. & Robinson, E. J. H. (eds.), *Wood ant ecology and conservation*: 81–105. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Risch A.C., Jurgensen M.F., Storer A.J., Hyslop M.D., Schütz M. 2008. Abundance and distribution of organic mound-building ants of the *Formica rufa* group in Yellowstone National Park. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 132: 326–336.
- Robinson E.J.H., Stockan J.A., Iason G.R. 2016. Wood Ants and their Interaction with Other Organisms. In: Stockan, J.A., Robinson, E.J.H. (Eds.), *Wood Ant Ecology and Conservation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 177–206.
- Rohrmüller J., Gebauer D., Mielke, H. 2000. Die Altersstellung des ostbayerischen Grundgebirges. *Geologica Bavarica*, 105:73.84.
- Rosengren R., Fortelius W., Lindström K., Luther A. 1987. Phenology and causation of net heating and thermoregulation in red wood ants of the *Formica rufa* group studied in coniferous forest habitats in southern Finland. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 24:147–155.
- Scharfenberg L., De Wall H. 2016. Natural gamma radiation of granites in the Oberpfalz (NE Bavaria, Germany) – comparison of aerogeophysical and in situ gammaspectrometric measurements. *Geologische Blätter für Nordost-Bayern*, 66: 205–227.
- Sondeij I., Domisch T., Finér L., Czechowski W. 2018. Wood ants in the Białowieża Forest and factors affecting their distribution. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 55: 103–114.

Sorvari J., Hakkarainen H. 2007. Forest clearing and sex ratio in forest-dwelling wood ant *Formica aquilonia*. Naturwiss. 94: 392–395.

Stukalyuk S., Radchenko Y., Netsvetov M., Gilev A. 2020. Effect of mound size on intranest thermoregulation in the red wood ants *Formica rufa* and *F. polyctena* (Hymenoptera: *Formicidae*), Turk J Zool, 44: 266–280.

Valentine J.W., Moores E.M. 1970. Plate-tectonic regulation of faunal diversity and sea level: a model. Nature, 228: 657–659

Vandegheuchte M.L., Wermelinger B., Fraefel M., Baltensweiler A., Düggelein C., Brändli U.B., Freitag A., Bernasconi C., Cherix D., Risch A.C. 2017. Distribution and habitat requirements of red wood ants in Switzerland: Implications for conservation. Biological Conservation, 212: 366–375, DOI:10.1016/j.biocon.2017.06.008

Wellenstein G. 1990. Waldbewohnende Ameisen, ihre Bedeutung, ihre Biologie, ihre Hege und ihr Schutz. 2. überarbeitete Aufl. Kempten: Allgäuer Zeitungsverlag GmbH. 47 S.

Wellenstein G. 1929. Beiträge zur Biologie der Roten Waldameise (*Formica rufa* L.) mit besonderer Berücksichtigung klimatischer und forstlicher Verhältnisse. Zeitschrift für Angewandte Entomologie. 14: 1–68.

Wilson E.O. 1971. The Insect Societies. Cambridge, UK: Harvard University Press.

Wiśniewski J. 1969: Wpływ składu gatunkowego drzewostanu i jego wieku na skład gatunkowy i liczebność mrowisk z grupy *Formica rufa*. Prace Komisji Nauk Rolniczych i Komisji Nauk Leśnych, Poznańskie Towarzystwo Przyjaciół Nauk, 28: 399–409.

Geographical and Geological Maps

BGR, 1981. Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe (Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources. Geologische Übersichtskarte 1:200.000, CC6334 Bayreuth. Digitale Version.

Emmert U., Horstig G.V., Stettner G. 1981. Geologische Übersichtskarte 1:200 000 (GÜK 200). CC 6334 Bayreuth. Hrsg. Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe in Zusammenarbeit mit den Geologischen Landesämtern der Bundesrepublik Deutschland und benachbarter Staaten. Digitale Version.

LDBV. 2008/2009. 1 m Digital Terrain Model (DTM) for terrain analyses; Resolution: 1 m. Landesamt für Digitalisierung, Breitband und Vermessung (LDBV), München.

LfU. 2013. Geologische Karte 1:25.000 (GK25), Nr. 6039. Mitterteich. Bayerisches Landesamt für Umwelt (LfU), Augsburg.

LfU. 2015. GeoFachdatenAtlas (BIS-BY). Bayerisches Landesamt für Umwelt (LfU), Augsburg.

Accessed: 2 March 2015, www.bis.bayern.de/bis/initParams.do?role=bis

Peterek A., Schunk R. 2008. Zitternde Erde – Die Schwarmbeben in Nordwestböhmen.

Sonderveröffentlichung Bayerisch-Böhmischer Geopark 1/2008, Parkstein.

Peterek A., Schunk R. 2009. Geologische Geschichte des Egerrifts. Nachdruck aus Maldaque, Span und Durchkriechstein, Landkreis-Schriftenreihe, 21: 105–117.

Scharfenberg L., De Wall H. 2016. Natural gamma radiation of granites in the Oberpfalz (NE Bavaria, Germany) – comparison of aerogeophysical and in situ gammaspectrometric measurements. Geologische Blätter für Nordost-Bayern, 66: 205–227.