1 Evolutionary insights into Mariner-like elements in *Apis* 2 species

3 Kakeru Yokoi^{1,*}, Kiyoshi Kimura², Hidemasa Bono^{3,4}

4 1 Insect Genome Research and Engineering Unit, Division of Applied Genetics, Institute of
5 Agrobiological Sciences (NIAS), National Agriculture and Food Research Organization
6 (NARO), 1-2 Owashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8634, Japan

7 2 Honeybee Research Group, Division of Animal Breeding and Reproduction, National Institute
8 of Livestock and Grassland Science (NILGS), National Agriculture and Food Research
9 Organization (NARO), Tsukuba, 2 Ikenodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0901, Japan

10 3 Database Center for Life Science (DBCLS), Joint Support-Center for Data Science Research,
11 Research Organization of Information and Systems, 1111 Yata, Mishima, Shizuoka 411-8540,
12 Japan

13 4 Program of Biomedical Science, Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima
14 University, 3-10-23 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima City, Hiroshima, 739-0046, Japan

15

16 *Corresponding author: Kakeru Yokoi

17 E-mail addresses:

18 Kakeru Yokoi: yokoi123@affrc.go.jp

19 Kiyoshi Kimura: kimura@affrc.go.jp

20 Hidemasa Bono: bonohu@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

21

22

23

24 Abstract

25 **Background:** *Mariner* and mariner-like elements (MLEs) are distributed in various species and
26 their sequences are highly diverse. In previous reports, a few transposable element in the
27 genomes of *Apis* species mainly consist of *mariner* and MLE. For further insight of *Apis* MLEs,
28 detailed classifications of *Apis* MLE and sequences analysis of long MLEs, which may
29 potentially encode the transposase, are needed.

30 **Results:** Much more MLEs were detected in *A. mellifera* genome compared to other *Apis*
 31 species genomes. They were classified into 31 *Drosophila* MLE classes. In this classification,
 32 almost all of MLEs were classified into the three classes belonging to *mellifera* subfamilies,
 33 suggesting that *Apis* MLEs which exist thorough *Apis* species derived from single MLE
 34 belonging to *mellifera* subfamily. Phylogenetic analysis using MLEs in the three classes showed
 35 that there two types of clusters, of which one consist of multiple *Apis* species MLEs, and others
 36 of only *A. mellifera* MLEs. Long MLEs analysis showed that only one long MLE encoding
 37 complete transposase was found in all *Apis* genome except for *A. mellifera* genome, and the
 38 MLE and multiple MLEs similar to it were found in *A. mellifera* genome. The analysis also
 39 showed that other several long MLEs encoding complete transposase were found only in *A.*
 40 *mellifera* genome.

41 **Conclusions:** Almost all of *Apis* MLEs are *mellifera* subfamilies. Of these MLEs, one types of
 42 them settled in *Apis* species and burst in *A. mellifera* genome. The other one of MLEs invaded
 43 into *A. mellifera* genome by horizontal transfer and exploded in *A. mellifera* genome. This is the
 44 first example of the finer aspects of MLE evolution among closely related species,

45

46 **Key words**

47 *Apis mellifera*, *Apis cerana*, *Apis florea*, *Apis dorsata*, Mariner-like-elements, transposase.

48 Background

49 *Mariner* is a class II transposable element (TE) that moves within a host genome in a
50 “copy and paste style” manner [1–3]. *Mariner* was initially discovered in the *Drosophila*
51 *mauritiana* genome [4]. The length of first detected mariner (MOS1) is 1286 bp. TE similar to
52 *Mariner* were subsequently identified in the genomes of many plants, vertebrates, and
53 invertebrates, and named mariner-like elements (MLEs). The size of MLEs are about the same
54 as one of Mos1, but varies copy to copy. The copy number of MLEs varies from species to
55 species as well. For example, a copies of MLEs in the human genome is estimated about 14,000
56 [5] and one of in *Apis mellifera* is less than 2,000 copies (see below). *Mariner* and MLEs
57 encode a transposase that possesses a D,D34D motif, which is characteristic of *mariner* and
58 MLEs [3]. Another *mariner*-specific characteristic is the terminal inverted repeat (TIR) located
59 at both ends of *mariner* that contains transposase-binding sites.

60 MLE has been detected using PCR with specific primers designed form consensus
61 region of the transposase. Detected MLEs have been are classified their subfamilies (e.g.
62 *irritans*, *mellifera*, *mauritana*, *cecropia*, and *capitata*)[2,6,7]. Previous studies indicated that
63 *mariner* and MLE distributed in the genomes of many species via horizontal transfer [2].
64 Evolutionary, the invading element is thought to have exploded in the host's genome (burst) and
65 with the accumulation of mutations, the MLEs becomes immobilized gradually. Most of the
66 detected MLEs are thought to be non-autonomous.
67 The PCR-based methods cannot detect all *mariner* and MLEs in genomes because a MLE that
68 has lost the consensus region cannot be found in this way. These days, due to the availability of
69 whole genome sequence data and the lower cost of genome sequencing, the methods employed
70 to identify TE, including *mariner* and MLE, have been modified. One method involves the use
71 of “RepeatMasker” (Smit A.F.A., Hubley R. & Green P. RepeatMasker Open 4.0. 2013–2015,
72 <http://www.repeatmasker.org>), which searches sequences in whole genome data that are similar
73 to consensus TE sequences registered in a sequence library (e.g. Repbase) [8]. This method
74 detects all types of TE and autonomous and non-autonomous elements in the whole genome
75 region, thereby tracking MLEs and providing further insights into the horizontal transfer of
76 MLEs between host species.

77 The genus *Apis* (honey bee species) consists of four major and several minor species,
78 and the following whole genome data of major 4 species are currently available (three *Apis*
79 species and three *Apis cerana* subspecies): *A. mellifera* (Am) [9], *A. cerana japonica* (Acj) [10],
80 *A. cerana cerana* Korea native (Ack) [11], *A. cerana cerana* China native (Acc) [12], *A.*
81 *dorsata* (Ad) [13], and *A. florea* (Af) (Table 1). *Apis* genomes contain small numbers of TE,

which mainly consist of class II TE, particularly MLEs [10,14]. Thus, through analysis using these whole genomes, and detected MLEs data, MLEs dynamics in *Apis* genomes could be revealed. Being a small number of copies, in MLE evolutionary studies, *Apis* are one of the suitable insects.

Difficulties have been associated with the classification of TE because of variations in their sequences, as described above. Using whole genome information, six *mariner* consensus sequences (Ammers 1-6) have been reported for *A. mellifera* [14]. However, this classification is just the results of RepeatMasker therefore it is not well considered. Recently, using *Drosophila* genome, Wallau et al., (2014) re-classified the MLEs in *Drosophila* species into 36 classes (Dromars) [15]. This classification is more sophisticated logically correct. The old PCR methods did not follow evolutionary back ground. In the present study, we extracted Dromar sequences in each Dromar class and built the profile files of each Dromar classes by Clustal Omega and hmmer programs with the Dromar sequences. *Apis* MLEs were then classified using these profiles by nhmmer (DNA homology search with profile Hidden Markov Models). Moreover, a phylogenetic analysis of the *Apis* MLE of each Dromar class was performed (Fig. 1). The dynamics of long *Apis* MLEs, defined as larger than 1kbp in *Apis* genomes, were revealed by using sequence analysis. Through these results, we provide novel evolutionary insights into *Apis* MLEs.

Results

MLEs in six *Apis* species

RepeatMasker was used to detect MLEs in the Am, Ack, Acc, Acj, Af, and Ad genomes. The output files obtained are shown in Supporting data 1 and 2. Approximately 50 to 150 MLEs were detected in the Ack, Acc, Acj, Af, and Ad genomes, while 2147 MLEs were identified in the Am genome. Detailed and sequence data of the MLEs detected are shown in Supporting data 3 and 4, respectively. To establish whether the identification of many MLEs was *A. mellifera* species- or subspecies-specific, the detection of MLEs by RepeatMasker with the same conditions was performed with the *A. mellifera* subspecies, these are *A. mellifera carnica* (Amcar), *A. mellifera caucasica* (Amcau), *A. mellifera intermissa* (Ami), and *A. mellifera mellifera* (Amm) (Table 1). The RepeatMasker results of these *A. mellifera* were not markedly different from Am (Supporting data 1 and 2), and the numbers of MLEs in Amcar, Amcau, Ami and Amm were 2247, 2215, 1738 and 2237, respectively. The numbers of MLEs in these

114 genomes were not markedly different from those in Am. Therefore, we decided to perform
115 further analyses against Am genome data. While processing the present study, new and high-
116 quality Acc genome data (Acc_new) were published [16]. To clarify whether the results of
117 RepeatMasker particularly in MLEs, were changed, the detection of MLEs by RepeatMasker
118 with the same conditions was performed. The results of RepeatMasker were not markedly
119 different between Acc and Acc_new (Supporting data 1 and 2), and the number of MLEs in Acc
120 and Acc_new were 147 and 200, respectively. Therefore, we decided to perform further
121 analyses of Acc genome data. Collectively, the results of MLE numbers in these *Apis* genomes
122 suggested that a small number of MLEs existed in *Apis* genomes, except for Am genome, and
123 some of these MLEs might burst within the Am genome in the process of evolution.

124 **Dromar profiling**

125 To build profiling data on 36 Dromar groups, we extracted Dromar sequences using 20
126 *Drosophila* genome sequences and the positional data of Dromar reported by Wallau et al.
127 (2014). We only obtained 1418 Dromar sequences from 3685 Dromar copies because some
128 *Drosophila* genome data were not available in the public database or the descriptions of some
129 scaffolds in *Drosophila* genome data differed from those in positional data (Supporting data 5).
130 Therefore, sequences for Dromar 3, 7, 18, 19, and 20 were not extracted. Consequently, the
131 nhmmer profiles of 31 Dromars for the classification of *Apis* MLEs were built from the
132 alignment results of each Dromar group (separated and merged alignment and nhmmer profiling
133 data are shown in Supporting data 6 and 7, respectively).

134 ***Apis* MLE classification**

135 *Apis* MLEs were classified using the extracted *Apis* MLE sequences and Dromar
136 profiles. The results of the classification are shown in Fig. 2, and detailed classification results
137 are provided in Supporting data 8. In total, 864 out of approximately 2000 Am MLEs were
138 classified into only mainly Dromar 14, Dromar 17 and Dromar 29 except for one into Dromar6,
139 which are all *mellifera* subfamilies, while no MLE were classified into the other subfamilies.
140 (Fig. 2). The MLEs of the other five species classified into *mauritiana* and *mellifera* subfamilies,
141 and most of MLEs were classified into Dromar 14, Dromar 17 and Dromar 29. A list of all
142 classified MLEs is shown in Supporting data 8. The results suggested that MLEs in *Apis*
143 genome mainly consist of *mellifera* subfamilies.

144 **Phylogenetic analysis of *Apis* MLE and Dromar sequences.**

Sequence alignments were performed using the Dromar and *Apis* MLE sequences belonging to each Dromar class (newick files for phylogenetic trees are shown in Supporting data 9), and a phylogenetic tree of Dromar 14, Dromar 17, and Dromar 29 was constructed based on alignment results because the majority of MLEs were classified into the three classes (Fig. 3), and an original tree figure is shown in supporting data 10. In Dromar 14, there were distinct clades in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3A). Two of these clades (clades I and III) consisted of only Am_MLEs, while the other clade included six *Apis* MLEs plus Dromars (clade II). In Dromar 17, there were four distinct clades (Fig. 3B). One of them included only Am_MLEs (clade I). Clades II and IV include six *Apis* MLEs while clade III included Dromars. In the case of Dromar 29, there were five distinct clades (Fig. 3C). Clade I consisted of only Am_MLEs while clade II included two Ad_MLEs and an Ad_MLE. Clade III included the six *Apis* MLEs while clade IV consisted of Dromars plus one Am_MLE. These results suggested that there are two groups of *Apis* MLEs. We hypothesized that some MLEs forming the Am_MLE-only group in the phylogenetic trees may invade to *A. mellifera* genomes by horizontal transfer and burst within the *A. mellifera* genome, while the other MLEs forming six *Apis* MLEs settle in *Apis* genomes.

Long MLE analysis

MLEs extracted using RepeatMasker include collapsed, non-functional and short "junk" MLEs. Further elucidating the evolution insight of MLE in *Apis*, "long" MLE were analyzed. The long MLEs are thought to maintain the potential mobile feature of MLE. To confirm this hypothesis, we initially listed *Apis* MLEs larger than 1 kbp (long MLEs) (Table 4 and Supporting data 11). The majority of long MLEs are Am_MLEs. Long Am_MLEs were equally distributed in all chromosomes, except for the LG_8 chromosome (Fig. 4), suggesting that the burst of long Am_MLEs is not a chromosomal-local but across these all chromosomes.

To assess the dynamism of these long *Apis* MLEs within or among the six *Apis* genomes, we obtained the predicted transposase amino acid sequences using TransDecoder (Supporting data 12). A phylogenetic tree was constructed by using the transposase sequences (Fig. 5). There were three clades. One included Am_, Acc_, Ack_ and Acj_MLEs while the other two included only Am_MLEs, suggesting that MLEs consisting of Am_, Acc_, Ack_, and Acj_MLEs settled in *Apis* genomes, while those consisting of Am_MLEs invaded and burst in *A. mellifera* genome.

178 To confirm the settlement, we searched long MLEs in the clade including multiple *Apis*
179 MLEs that code full-length transposases (sequence of more than 300 amino acids). We found
180 Acc_MLE_100.p1, Acj_MLE_148.p1, and Ack_MLE_6.p1 in this clade and the three
181 sequences were the same, except for one residue, with 99% identity of “*Apis cerana* Mariner
182 transposase” in NCBI-nr (Accession ID: BAB86288.1) (data not shown) [12]. To clarify
183 whether the transposase also existed in Am, Ad and, Af genomes, we performed BLAST search
184 (tblastn) against the Am, Ad and Af genomes. The tblastn results of Am showed that 73 MLEs
185 with an alignment length > 300 and e-value < 1e-100 were hits (supplemental data 14) and the
186 E_value of one of the 73 MLEs (sequence ID: NC_037644.1 range: 12509588-12510547) was
187 0.0, which may have been a “settling MLE” from *Apis* species in the Am genome. The tblastn
188 results of Ad and Af showed that a single sequence was a hit in each genome. (supplemental
189 data 14). To investigate whether the Acc_MLE_10 settled in the *Apis* genomes, we compared
190 the nucleotide sequences of the Acc_MLE_10 plus the flanking 500bp on both sides with the hit
191 MLEs plus flanking 500bp on both sides in Am, Ack, Acj, Ad, Af (supporting data 15). More
192 than 80% identities were observed in all combinations and the internal sites of the two
193 sequences were mostly same while most outer sites in both sides were different, showing that
194 the TIR of each MLE was conserved. Collectively, these results suggested that the MLEs settled
195 through *Apis* genomes and burst in the Am genome.

196 We searched long MLEs in the two clades including only Am_MLEs that code full-
197 length transposases (sequence of more than 300 amino acids) (Fig. 5). Of the two clades, we
198 found no transposase with a sequence of more than 300 amino acids and the longest transposase
199 was Am_MLE_1186.p1 (271 aa) in the smaller clade. We performed a blastp search by using
200 Am_MLE_1186.p1 as query against NCBI-nr. The top hit sequence was “Mariner Mos1
201 transposase [*Stegodyphus mimosarum*] (Accession ID: KFM57872.1), the percent identity, E-
202 value, and query cover of which were 51.16%, 1e-96, and 94% respectively (data not shown).
203 Using Am_MLE_1186.p1, we performed a tblastn search against the six *Apis* genome with E-
204 value < 1e-100. We did not obtain hits in any genomes, except for the Am genome (Supporting
205 data 16). Among the hit sites in the Am genome, there were 5 sites plus one query itself that
206 encoded more than 250 aa. We found two full-length transposases, Am_MLE_182.p1 and
207 Am_MLE_284.p1, in the larger clade. Am_MLE_182.p1 was annotated as Camar1 transposase
208 (Accession ID: AAO12862.1) by blastp against NCBI-nr, the percent identity, E-value, and
209 query cover of which were 48.09%, 1e-120, and 99% respectively (data not shown). In the case
210 of Am_MLE_182.p1, the same annotation results of Am_MLE_284.p1 were obtained.
211 Consequently, we performed a tblastn search against the six *Apis* genomes using the two

Am_MLEs. Am_MLE_284.p1 hit one sequence with an alignment length > 300 and e-value < 1e-100 in each Af, Acj and Ack genome, but did not hit any sequence in the Acc and Ad genomes, and the hit sites in the Af, Acj and Ack were the same as the Acc_MLE_100 tblastn hit site as previously reported. However, the percent of identities of these hits were approximately 45 % (data not shown). In the Am genome, seven sequences were more than 300 amino acids in length and had 80% identity (Supporting data 17). In the case of Am_MLE_182.p1, there was no tblastn hit site against the Ack, Acj, Acc, Ad, or Af genome (data not shown). In the Am genome, there were seven with a sequence length of more than 300 amino acids and 80% identity. The hit sites were same as the hits of Am_MLE_284.p1 (Supporting data 17). These results suggested that there were two types of MLEs, Am_MLE_1186 and Am_MLE_284 types, which were only found in the Am genome and burst within it.

Discussion

In the present study, we extracted MLEs from six *Apis* genomes. The number of Am_MLEs was markedly higher than those of the other *Apis* species MLEs. MLEs were classified into Dromar classes according to Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profiles made by Dromar sequences [15]. Classification results revealed that the majority of *Apis* MLEs were classified into *mellifera* subfamilies in old classification. The phylogenetic tree of each class showed that these MLEs formed two types of clusters, of which one included only Am_MLEs, while the other included the MLEs of six or multiple *Apis* species. Moreover, there was one long MLE-encoding complete transposase, which might settle in the Ad, Af, Acc, Ack, and Acj genomes and might explode in the Am genome, and two other types of long Am_MLEs that only existed in the *A. mellifera* genome and might explode within it.

More Am MLEs were detected than the other five *Apis* MLEs. Differences in the MLE numbers detected were not due to the reference genome qualities of the six *Apis* species. We detected MLEs by RepeatMasker using multiple *Apis* genome data (Table 3). For example, new Acc genome data were published during the processing of the present study [16], and we performed MLE detection using these data. Although the contig N50 values of new Acc genome data were more than 150-fold higher than that of the Acc genome used in the present study, the numbers of MLEs detected were not markedly different between the two sets of genome data. Moreover, we detected MLEs by multiple *A. mellifera* genomes, the contig N50 values of which varied. Approximately 1700 to 2200 MLEs were detected in these Am genomes. Based on these results, differences in MLEs between not Am genome and Am genome were not

245 due to artificial or technical differences, suggesting that different feature of the MLEs among
246 each *Apis* species seemed to due to evolutionary history of each species.

247 The initial study on the Am genome detected approximately 1100 MLEs in the genome,
248 and these MLEs were classified into six classes, *AmMar1-6*, which included members of the
249 *mellifera*, *irritans*, and *rosa* subfamilies [14]. In the present study, we detected approximately
250 2000 MLEs from the latest version of the Am genome [9], and 864 MLEs of the detected MLEs
251 with E-value < 1e-5 were classified. All 864 MLEs were allocated to *mellifera* subfamilies. This
252 difference in classifications between the present and previous studies may be due to the
253 detection and classification method, nhmmer. nhmmer adopted a HMM model that detects
254 previously unrecognized sequence features [17]. In detail, nhmmer, hmmer for nucleotide, can
255 detect remote homologs as sensitively as possible [17]. Thus, we think nhmmer is suitable for
256 analyzing TEs, which are often mutated and highly varied. RepeatMakser also adopted nhmmer
257 and searched TEs with Repbase library. On the other hand, the Dromar classification is
258 considered to be sophisticated because the classification was achieved by multiple methods and
259 many genome data. We attempted to perform a new classification method for *Apis* MLEs that
260 combines nhmmer (HMM) and Dromar sequences, and provided detailed classifications and
261 novel insights into *Apis* MLEs. Therefore, our classification method using nhmmer and the
262 MLE sequences of the other species may be employed in a TE analysis. Based on our
263 classification in the present study, the majority of MLEs of Am, Acc, Ack, Acj, Ad, and Af
264 were classified into *mellifera* subfamilies, while a few were classified into *mauritiana*
265 subfamilies. The present results further supported *Apis* MLEs exploding in the Am genome.

266 Phylogenetic trees revealed that there were two types of clusters, one of which
267 consisted of only Am_MLEs, and the other of several *Apis* species MLEs. It is plausible that the
268 former type of MLE invaded the genome in horizontal transmission and exploded after the bees
269 diverged in speciation from other species of bees while MLEs of the latter type invaded the
270 genome in horizontal transmission and settled through *Apis* species genomes and explode in the
271 Am genome before the species divergence. This aspect was also consistent with the analysis of
272 the long MLEs, which have putative transposases sequence and may maintain mobility. In
273 addition, the long MLE that encoded the complete transposase, *A. cerana* Mariner transposase,
274 was not found in the Ad, Af, or Am genome. However, the MLE encoding the complete
275 transposase was identified in the Ad, Af, and Am genomes by a tblastn search because these
276 MLEs were detected as a length < 1 kbp by RepeatMasker and were filtered out. One MLE that
277 encoded the same sequence of *A. cerana* Mariner transposase was found in the Am genome, and
278 several MLEs that encoded transposases that were highly similar to *A. cerana* Mariner

transposase were also detected. These results suggested that the MLEs encoding *A. cerana* Mariner transposase invade horizontally through *Apis* evolutions and “burst” in the Am genome, but not in other *Apis* species. On the other hand, other types of Am_MLEs which carry the transposase similar to Camar1 transposase [*Chymomyza amoena*] were only found in the Am genome. These types of MLEs may invade the only Am genome by horizontal transfer and burst within the Am genome. The reason why MLEs only burst in the Am genome remains unknown. The origin of *Apis* honey bee is Asia, except for Am, whose origin is Europe-Africa. On the other hand, in most beekeeping, the Am is used and is widespread in the all over world as domestic insects. These facts may be related to this aspect. More detailed structural analysis of Am and other *Apis* genome must be needed. This is the first example of the finer aspects of MLE evolution among closely related species, and perhaps the first time in other TEs. Integrating all the results, the evolutionary aspect of MLEs belonging to the Dromar is shown in Figure 6.

Conclusions

We detected MLEs from the genome data of six *Apis* species, and performed nhmmer-based *Apis* MLE classification and phylogenetic analyses. About over 50-100 times of Am_MLEs were detected than these of the other *Apis* MLEs. Almost all of *Apis* MLEs classified were classified into *mellifera* subfamilies. The long MLEs of which length are over 1kbp divided into two types. One type of MLEs settled in *Apis* genome and burst in Am genome, the other invade into and burst in Am genome. We showed firstly provided evolutionary insight *Apis* MLEs (Fig. 6).

Materials and Methods

MLE detection and extraction of sequence data

The six *Apis* genome genomes plus other versions and several *A. mellifera* subspecies sequences used in the present study are shown in Table 1.

RepeatMasker (Smit A.F.A., Hubley R. & Green P. RepeatMasker Open 4.0. 2013–2015, <http://www.repeatmasker.org>) was used to detect TE in the six *Apis* genomes, as described in our previous study [10]. RepeatMasker output (.tbl and .out) files are shown in Supporting data 1 and 2, respectively. Data on MLE were extracted from outfiles, and a single

309 ID was allocated to each detected element (Supporting data 3). To extract each mariner
310 sequence, positional data in Supporting data 3 and BLAST software were utilized (Supporting
311 data 4) [18].

312 **Classification of *Apis* MLEs**

313 To build the hmmer profiles of Dromars, Dromar sequences were extracted using the
314 *Drosophila* genome data and Dromar location data reported by Wallau et al. (2014) (Supporting
315 data 5) [15]. However, some Dromar sequences were not extracted because genome sequence
316 IDs in *Drosophila* genome data differed from those described in Dromar location data.
317 Therefore, several Dromars were not used in the present study. The sequences of each Dromar
318 were aligned by Clustal Omega (Clustal Omega - 1.2.4) [19], and the hmmer profiles of
319 Dromars were made by hmmer-building (HMMER 3.2.1) (Supporting data 6) [17]. Using the
320 nhmmer search program with merged Dromar hmm profiles (Supporting data 7), the *Apis*
321 MLEs similar to each Dromar profile were identified (E-values < 1e-5) [17]. The output data
322 and table results of nhmmer are shown in Supporting data 18 and 19 respectively. Based on
323 hmmer search results, each MLE was classified into hit Dromar. If a MLE was hit to multiple
324 Dromars, it was classified into Dromar with the lowest e-value.

325 **Phylogenetic analysis**

326 Dromars and classified MLE were aligned by Clustal Omega-1.2.4, an approximately-
327 maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis was performed using FastTree version 2.1.10
328 (original data are shown in Supporting data 8) [20], and phylogenetic trees were drawn in
329 MEGAX version 10.1.8-1 and iTOL version 5 [21,22].

330 **Long MLE analysis**

331 The distribution of long Am_MLEs in *A. mellifera* chromosomes was visualized by
332 ChromoMap [23]. To obtain the predicted transposase of long MLEs, TransDecoder version
333 5.5.0 was used with default settings (<https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder/wiki>).
334 EMBOSS needle (6.6.0.0) was used to compare the sequences of the long MLEs encoding the
335 complete transposase plus flanking site [24].

336 **Data availability**

337 Analyzed data and additional results have been uploaded to figshare as Supporting data.
338 These data are available via the DOI shown in the Supporting data legends.

339 **References**

- 340 1. Oosumi T, Belknap WR, Garlick B. Mariner transposons in humans. *Nature*. 1995;378:672–
341 672.
- 342 2. Robertson HM. The Tc1-mariner superfamily of transposons in animals. *Journal of insect*
343 *physiology*. 1995;41:99–105.
- 344 3. Plasterk RH, Izsvák Z, Ivics Z. Resident aliens: the Tc1-mariner superfamily of transposable
345 elements. *Trends in genetics*. 1999;15:326–332.
- 346 4. Jacobson JW, Medhora MM, Hartl DL. Molecular structure of a somatically unstable
347 transposable element in *Drosophila*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.
348 1986;83:8684–8688.
- 349 5. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, et al. Initial sequencing
350 and analysis of the human genome. *Nature* 2001;409,860–921
- 351 6. Sumitani M, Lee JM, Hatakeyama M, Oishi K. Cloning and characterization of Acmar1, a
352 mariner-like element in the asiatic honey bee, *Apis cerana japonica* (Hymenoptera, Apocrita).
353 *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 2002;50:183–190.
- 354 7. Yamada K, Kawanishi Y, Yamada A, Tokuda G, Gurung RD, Sasaki T, et al. A novel cluster
355 of mariner-like elements belonging to mellifera subfamily from spiders and insects:
356 implications of recent horizontal transfer on the South-West Islands of Japan. *Genetica*.
357 2014;142:149–160.
- 358 8. Jurka J, Kapitonov VV, Pavlicek A, Klonowski P, Kohany O, Walichiewicz J. Repbase
359 Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. *Cytogenetic and genome research*.
360 2005;110:462–467.
- 361 9. Wallberg A, Bunikis I, Pettersson OV, Mosbech M-B, Childers AK, Evans JD, et al. A
362 hybrid de novo genome assembly of the honeybee, *Apis mellifera*, with chromosome-length
363 scaffolds. *BMC genomics*. 2019;20:275.
- 364 10. Yokoi K, Uchiyama H, Wakamiya T, Yoshiyama M, Takahashi J-I, Nomura T, et al. The
365 draft genome sequence of the Japanese honey bee, *Apis cerana japonica* (Hymenoptera: Apidae).
366 *European Journal of Entomology*. 2018;115.
- 367 11. Park D, Jung JW, Choi B-S, Jayakodi M, Lee J, Lim J, et al. Uncovering the novel
368 characteristics of Asian honey bee, *Apis cerana*, by whole genome sequencing. *BMC genomics*.
369 2015;16:1.

- 370 12. Diao Q, Sun L, Zheng H, Zeng Z, Wang S, Xu S, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic analysis
371 of the Asian honeybee *Apis cerana* provides novel insights into honeybee biology. *Scientific*
372 *Reports* 2018;8:1–14.
- 373 13. Oppenheim S, Cao X, Rueppel O, Krongdang S, Phokasem P, DeSalle R, et al. Whole
374 Genome Sequencing and Assembly of the Asian Honey Bee *Apis dorsata*. *Genome Biology and*
375 *Evolution*. 2020;12:3677–3683.
- 376 14. Weinstock GM, Robinson GE, Gibbs RA, Weinstock GM, Weinstock GM, Robinson GE, et
377 al. Insights into social insects from the genome of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Nature*
378 2006;443:931–49.
- 379 15. Wallau GL, Capy P, Loreto E, Hua-Van A. Genomic landscape and evolutionary dynamics
380 of mariner transposable elements within the *Drosophila* genus. *BMC genomics*. 2014;15:727.
- 381 16. Wang Z-L, Zhu Y-Q, Yan Q, Yan W-Y, Zheng H-J, Zeng Z-J. A Chromosome-Scale
382 Assembly of the Asian Honeybee *Apis cerana* Genome. *Frontiers in Genetics*. 2020;11:279.
- 383 17. Wheeler TJ, Eddy SR. nhmmer: DNA homology search with profile HMMs. *Bioinformatics*
384 2013;29:2487–9.
- 385 18. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool.
386 *Journal of molecular biology*. 1990;215:403–410.
- 387 19. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. Fast, scalable generation
388 of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Molecular Systems*
389 *Biology*. 2011;7:539.
- 390 20. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree: Computing Large Minimum Evolution Trees with
391 Profiles instead of a Distance Matrix. *Molecular biology and evolution*. 2009 26:1641–50. A
- 392 21. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics
393 analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular biology and evolution*. 2018;35:1547–1549.
- 394 22. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments.
395 *Nucleic acids research*. 2019;47:W256–W259.
- 396 23. Anand L. chromoMap: An R package for Interactive Visualization and Annotation of
397 Chromosomes. *bioRxiv*. 2019;605600.
- 398 24. Li W, Cowley A, Uludag M, Gur T, McWilliam H, Squizzato S, et al. The EMBL-EBI
399 bioinformatics web and programmatic tools framework. *Nucleic acids research*. 2015;43:W580–
400 W584.

401 **Declarations**

402 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

403 Not applicable

404 **Consent for publication**

405 Not applicable

406 **Availability of data and materials**

407 All supporting data have been uploaded in figshare (DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.c.4894503)

408 **Supporting data 1**

409 Out files of RepeatMasker from six *Apis* genomes plus *A. mellifera* subspecies and new *Apis*
410 *cerana cerana* China native genome data. DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.11914278

411 **Supporting data 2**

412 Tbl files of RepeatMasker from six *Apis* genomes plus *A. mellifera* subspecies and new *Apis*
413 *cerana cerana* China native genome data. DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.11984451

414 **Supporting data 3**

415 MLE data on six *Apis* species plus *A. mellifera* subspecies and new *Apis cerana cerana* China
416 native genome data. DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.11914263

417 **Supporting data 4**

418 MLE sequences. DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.11913309

419 **Supporting data 5**

420 Dromar sequences (Wallau et al., 2014) used in the present study.
421 DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.11956350

422 **Supporting data 6**

423 Alignment and hmmer profile files of Dromars. DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.11962614

424 **Supporting data 7**

425 Merged Dromar hmmer profile for MLE classifications. DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.11953734

426 **Supporting data 8**

427 List of *Apis* MLEs and classification results DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.12045189

428 **Supporting data 9**

429 Original data of the phylogenetic analysis in newick files. DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.11955621

430

431 **Supporting data 10**

432 Original phylogenetic trees figures of Dromar14 (DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.13235168),

433 Dromar17 (DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.12798179) and Dromar 29

434 (DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.12798263).

435

436 **Supporting data 11**

437 ID list of all long *Apis* MLEs. DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.13235168

438

439 **Supporting data 12**

440 Predicted amino acid sequence of long MLEs transposase. DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.13237385

441

442 **Supporting data 13**

443 Original figures of phylogenetic trees for transposase of *Apis* long MLEs DOI:

444 10.6084/m9.figshare.13237388

445

446 **Supporting data 14**

447 Tblastn results of Acc_MLE_100 transposase as query against Am genome with E-value < 1e-

448 100. DOI: 0.6084/m9.figshare.13237397

449

450 **Supporting data 15**

451 The nucleotide sequences data of the Acc_MLE_10 plus flanking 500bp and long MLEs

452 encoding complete transposase plus flanking 500bp in Am, Ack, Acj, Ad, Af, and results of

453 compering of the Acc sequences with the other sequences.

454 DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.13237427

455

456 **Supporting data 16**

457 Tblastn results of Am_MLE_1186 against *A. mellifera* genome DOI:
458 10.6084/m9.figshare.13238258

460 **Supporting data 17**

461 Tblastn results of Am_MLE_182.p1 and Am_MLE_284 against *A. mellifera* genome. DOI:
462

463 **Supporting data 18**

464 Results of nhmmer of *Apis* MLEs against Dromar profiles. DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.11953746
465

466 **Supporting data 19**

467 Result tables of nhmmer of *Apis* MLEs against Dromar profiles. DOI:
468 10.6084/m9.figshare.11953752

469 **Competing interests**

470 The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in
471 the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the
472 decision to publish the results.

473 **Funding**

474 This work was supported by ROIS-DS-JOINT (026RP2019 and 030RP2018) to KY and by the
475 National Bioscience Database Center of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) and
476 Hiroshima Prefectural Government to HB.

477 **Authors' contributions**

478 Conceived and designed the experiments: K.Y., K.K., and H.B.
479 Analyzed the data: K.Y., K.K., and H.B.
480 Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: K.Y. and H.B.
481 All authors discussed the data and contributed to manuscript preparation. K.Y. supervised the
482 project.
483 All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

484 **Figures & Tables**

485 **Fig. 1** Scheme of data analyses in the present study

Fig. 3 Phylogenetic trees of three Dromar classes.

Phylogenetic trees based on the alignments of *Apis* MLEs of the three Dromar classes (Dromar 14, 17, and 29), to which more than 50 MLEs are classified. Orange-, red-, and black-curved lines in these figures indicate clusters including only Am_MLEs, multiple *Apis* species MLEs and mainly Dromars. Roman numerals in the trees indicate independent clusters. Bootstrap values symbolized the size of circles. The phylogenetic trees of other classes are shown in supporting data 10. These original figures downloaded from iTOL are shown in supporting data 10.

(A) Dromar 14

(B) Dromar 17

509

510 (C) Dromar 29

511

512

513

514

515

Fig. 4 Distributions of long Am_MLEs. LG_1 to LG_16 indicate the chromosome names of *A. mellifera*. Yellow markers indicate long Am_MLEs. Bold yellow markers indicate two MLEs placed at very close positions to each other. The figure was drawn by chromoMap

Fig. 5 Phylogenetic tree of transposases of *Apis* long MLEs

Phylogenetic tree of predicted transposases of *Apis* long MLEs. Orange- and red-curved lines in these figures indicate clusters including only Am_MLEs and multiple *Apis* species MLEs.

These original figures downloaded from iTOL are shown in supporting data 13.

530

531 **Table 1** *Apis* genome assemblies used in the present study

Organism name	GenBank assembly accession	Contig N50
<i>A. mellifera</i>	GCA_003254395.2	5,382,476
<i>A. cerana japonica</i>	GCA_002217905.1	179,487
<i>A. cerana cerana</i> Korea native	GCA_001442555.1	43,751
<i>A. cerana cerana</i> China native	GCA_002290385.1	21,160
<i>A. dorsata</i>	GCA_009792835.1	30,868
<i>A. florea</i>	GCA_000184785.2	24,915
<i>A. mellifera carnica</i> (Carniolan honeybee)	GCA_013841245.1	2,692,667
<i>A. mellifera intermissa</i>	GCA_000819425.1	504
<i>A. mellifera caucasica</i> (Caucasian honeybee)	GCA_013841205.1	3,303,520
<i>A. mellifera mellifera</i> (German honeybee)	GCA_003314205.1,	5,131,172
<i>A. cerana cerana</i> China native (new version)	GCA_011100585.1	3,898,192

532 **Table 2** Numbers of long MLEs (1 kbp < length)

Organism name	Number of long MLEs	Total number of MLEs
<i>A. mellifera</i>	80	2,145
<i>A. cerana japonica</i>	7	158
<i>A. cerana cerana</i> Korea native	6	140
<i>A. cerana cerana</i> China native	7	145
<i>A. dorsata</i>	3	163

<i>A. florea</i>	0	163
------------------	---	-----

533