NMDAR-independent acetylcholine, serotonin, and norepinephrine mediated modulation of synaptic eligibility traces into LTD in mice visual cortex
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Abstract

In reward-based learning, synaptic eligibility traces are a well-defined theoretical solution for the conversion of initial co-activation of pre and postsynaptic neurons into long-term changes in synaptic strength by reward-linked neuromodulators. However, the types of neuromodulators involved in such a phenomenon in mouse visual cortex remain unknown. To characterize the Ex vivo condition, we used optogenetic stimulation of channelrhodopsin-(ChR2) expressing Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP); Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP); Thi-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous mice, which release acetylcholine, serotonin, and norepinephrine, respectively. With these mice it is possible to measure the transformation of eligibility traces into long-term changes by endogenous neuromodulators. Here we delineated that layer 2/3 neurons in the visual cortex showed no LTD after conditioning with paired-pulse low-frequency stimulation (ppLFS; 2Hz, 15 min). However, if conditioning was paired with acetylcholine, serotonin, or norepinephrine release upon 473 nm optical stimulation in brain slices, LTD occurs in every case. Thus, our data suggests a new pathway to connect the gap between stimulus and reward. Moreover, we found that stimulation by theta-glass or metal stimulators evoked IPSC traces with the same amplitudes but differences in decay kinetics, further questioning the appropriate use of stimulators in brain slices for evoking an event.
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1. Introduction

To avoid punishment and to maximize reward, memories in neuronal networks are thought to be exiguously encoded. Different types of memories are encoded in different brain regions, however, only a small number of neurons are required to encode a distinct memory [1,2]. Interestingly, primary visual cortical neurons can illustrate reward timing in response to sensory cues through a course of conditioning [3]. Although it is poorly understood how cortical neurons represent reward timing activity, it is thought that reinforcement learning plays a crucial role, where the neural activity of reinforcement signal links with the behavioral outcome [4]. Previously, it has been reported that a combination of presynaptic trains of high-frequency cortical stimulation with striatal postsynaptic depolarization resulted in long-term depression (LTD) [5,6].

Synaptic eligibility traces are induced by silent or transient synaptic activity which is transformed into long term synaptic strength at a later stage by the action of different neuromodulators. Neuromodulators, such as acetylcholine (ACh), norepinephrine (NE) and serotonin (SE) are good candidates for reinforcement because of their capacity to transduce signaling of behavioral output through the visual cortex [7,8]. Acetylcholine is associated with learning and memory [9], is highly expressed in the visual cortex, and ultimately modulates the plasticity of the visual cortex [10]. Moreover, ACh is responsible for the organization of the circuit map via tuning of neural properties in the cortex [11,12]. In the hippocampus, ACh can induce both LTP and LTD depending on the induction protocol and concentration of ACh [13,14,15]. Additionally, reduced hippocampal acetylcholine levels are associated with impaired fear learning and memory [16]. Thus, ACh plays a crucial role in the control of brain states, attention, and learning. Ionotropic nicotinic receptors and metabotropic muscarinic receptors are
the main mediators of ACh function in the cortex. In an orientation discrimination task, optogenetic stimulation of cholinergic neurons in the visual cortex (V1) enhances visual responsiveness and performance [17]. Other behavioral factors, such as unexpected rewards, punishment, and arousal levels, are also influenced by cholinergic neurons [18]. Pharmacological blockers of cholinergic signaling impair recognition memory and spatial memory [19].

Serotonin (SE) is a neuromodulator that is highly associated with the cognitive, affective, and behavioral functions of the brain. It has been reported that an animal’s patience for future rewards is associated with enhanced serotonergic activity in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN) [20,21,22]. Failure to associate a given reward or punishment with past events (action choices) represents impairment in the serotonergic system. Slower associative learning reflects lower serotonergic condition, when actions were followed by a delayed punishment. Thus, SE regulates the association of time with aversive outcomes (punishment) to past events [23]. Midbrain serotonin neurons are affected by cocaine, heroin, nicotine, and MDMA. MDMA and cocaine cause long-lasting inhibition of serotonin neurons [24]. Hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) granule cells (GCs) exhibit an antidepressant response in the presence of serotonin [25]. Moreover, optogenetic stimulation of hippocampal CA1 serotonergic terminals enhances spatial memory via increased excitatory transmission at CA3-to-CA1 synapses [26]. Interestingly during sustained goal-directed behavior, suppression of ventral hippocampus revealed increased activity in the median but not the dorsal raphe, suggesting that serotonergic signaling is the underlying mechanism of ventral hippocampus suppression during that behavior. In reward-based learning, serotonergic signaling modulates spike time dependent (STD)-long-term depression (LTD) at thalamo striatal synapses by downregulating the activity of the 5-HT4 receptor subtype.
Norepinephrine is associated with the control of rewarding stimuli and arousal [27,28]. In the case of emotional learning, NE enhances the phosphorylation of GluR1 to facilitate the translocation of AMPAR, thus lowering the threshold for LTP induction. Moreover, GluR1 phosphomutant and wild type (C57BL/6) mice showed similar phosphorylation patterns in the non-stressful (neutral) condition, suggesting that learning at the basal condition may not involve NE-mediated plasticity [29]. In anesthetized mice, increased microglial surveillance is associated with reduced norepinephrine signaling, while at basal physiological conditions in awake mice microglial surveillance is suppressed by norepinephrine [30]. In a retrograde neuronal-glial circuit, norepinephrine activates CRH neurons to enhance the calcium response in astrocytes, which causes release of ATP and activation of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons [31]. On the other hand, increasing dendritic excitability via activation of adrenergic signalling facilitates associative learning [32]. At excitatory synapses β-adrenergic signalling regulates spike-timing-dependent (STD) LTP expression via insertion of AMPAR’s [29].

Two distinct forms of long-term depression (LTD) have been reported in the hippocampus: the NMDA receptor dependent LTD and metabotropic glutamate receptor dependent (mGluRs) LTD [33]. Moreover, in hippocampal neurons, mitochondrial activation of caspase-3 is required for AMPA receptor internalization and, thus, for LTD [34]. LTD, a de novo decrease in synaptic efficacy, is considered the cellular mechanism underlying the extinction of fear memory [35,36]. Besides fear memory, hippocampal LTD is also associated with impairment of working memory by cannabinoids [37]. In the ventral tegmental area (VTA), dopamine blocks LTD via activation of cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) [38]. In the cerebellum, LTD depresses the burst of presynaptic action potentials in a presynaptic NMDAR manner [39]. On the other hand, on the postsynaptic side, the expression of cerebellar LTD requires clathrin-mediated internalization of
AMPARs [40]. Cerebellar LTD also requires enhanced cellular concentrations of Ca\(^{2+}\) [41]. Moreover, optogenetic stimulation of Purkinje cells in cerebellum enhanced nitric oxide (NO), mimicking activation of the parallel fibers (PF) with a brief postsynaptic depolarization to induce LTD. NMDAR dependent LTD is also seen in the thalamocortical synapses in the barrel cortex [42]. Thus, LTD is well studied in the hippocampus and other regions of the brain, however, there is a lack of understanding about the cholinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic modulation of LTD in the visual cortex.

Several experiments have been carried out to map the spread of the electrical stimulation from the tip of microelectrode to discrete regions of the central nervous system (CNS). A monopolar electrode, a bipolar electrode, and a concentric configuration were used in different experiments. The monopolar electrode showed more reduction in the spread of electrical stimulation compared with the bipolar electrode. The extent of the electrical stimulation spread was dependent on various experimental conditions including the diameter of the microelectrode tip [43]. However, the concentric bipolar electrode showed a reduction in the extent of stimulus spread. The evoked discharge in neural circuit analysis is induced by electrical stimulation either via a metal electrode or by a theta glass electrode (double-barreled glass pipettes). It has been reported that double-barreled ion-selective microelectrodes (Na/K) and concentric ion-selective microelectrodes (Na/K) show similar response in amplitude but concentric sodium microelectrodes show greater response time with higher signal-to-noise compared to the double-barreled design [44]. Still, there a lack of understanding about evoked IPSCs in the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) via bipolar tungsten electrodes or borosilicate theta glass electrodes. Here I showed that IPSCs evoked by the metal electrode or by a theta glass electrode showed similar amplitude with different decay kinetics.
2. Materials and method

2.1 Animal and tissue

2.1.1 Transgenic mice

ChAT-IRES-Cre mice on C57BL/6 genomic background express Cre recombinase specifically in cholinergic neurons without altering the endogenous acetylcholine release. On the other hand, Ai32 mice express an improved (due to an H134R mutation in channelrhodopsin: ChR2) ChR2/eYFP fusion protein. To examine the Cre-dependent expression of the ChR2, we bred ChAT-IRES-Cre mice with Ai32 (ChR2-eYFP) reporter mice to generate ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice. Homozygous mice were selected for optogenetic experiments, while wild-type (C57BL/6) mice were used as control. In a similar approach, Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice and Thi-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice were generated. Both sexes were used in experiments. All experiments and procedures were performed following protocols approved by the Johns Hopkins University Animal Care and Use Committee. All subjects were fed ad libitum.

2.1.2 Slice preparation

Wild-type C57BL/6J mice and transgenic Chat-cre-ChR2, Tph2-cre-ChR2 and Thi-cre-ChR2 mice on C57BL/6J genomic backgrounds, aged P22-40 were anesthetized with isofluorane inhalation before decapitation. The brain was then extracted and glued on the platform of a semiautomatic vibrating blade microtome (VT1200; Leica). The platform was placed in the slicing chamber containing artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) at 4 °C. Hippocampal slices (300 μm) were prepared in ice-cold ACSF, which consisted of (in mM) 125 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 2 MgSO₄, 2 CaCl₂, 25 NaHCO₃, 10 glucose, and 0.4 L-Ascorbic acids. We also use
ice-cold dissection solution if postnatal day >30. Dissection solution composition (in Mm): 212.7 sucrose, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 3 MgCl₂, 1 CaCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, and 10 dextrose. All slides were incubated in a custom-made interface holding a chamber submerged in ACSF at 30 °C for 30 minutes and then maintained at room temperature up to 8 h until they were transferred to the recording chamber. Slices were superfused (2 ml/min) with ACSF at 29-31 °C. All solutions were saturated with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂.

2.2 Electrophysiology

2.2.1 LTD and evoked inhibitory postsynaptic current recordings

Slices were visualized through an infrared-sensitive CCD camera with a 40 × water-immersion lens (Olympus) and recorded using whole-cell techniques (MultiClamp 700B Amplifier). Slices were allowed 5-10 min to equilibrate before recording. Recording electrodes were pulled on a horizontal puller (Sutter P-97). Evoked EPSPs (eEPSPs) were recorded from layer 2/3 pyramidal cells by whole-cell current-clamp method, while eIPSC were recorded from DG granule cells of the hippocampus by whole-cell voltage-clamp method. A Borosilicate Theta glass electrode or a concentric bipolar stimulating electrode with a tip diameter of 125 µm was placed in the hilus to evoke inhibitory responses onto DG granule cells of the hippocampus. The same metal electrode was placed in layer 4 to evoke excitatory responses onto pyramidal cells in layer 2/3. The distance between the recording- and stimulating-electrode was kept at 80-120 µm. Patch pipettes (3-4 MΩ) were filled with the internal solution consisting of the following (in mM): 130 CsMeSO₃, 20 CsCl, 10 HEPES buffer, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 disodium phosphocreatine, and 0.2 EGTA, pH 7.3 with CsOH, and 288 mOsm. Data were digitized at 10 kHz and filtered at 3 kHz by using Igor Pro (WaveMetrics). Pairing protocol used to induce LTD: blue light (473 nm) stimulation (1 Hz, 15 min) paired with paired-pulse low-frequency stimulation (ppLFS,
2Hz, 15 min). Baseline amplitude for 10-15 min before and for 30-40 min following paired stimulation, was monitored by a test pulse at 0.05 Hz. A hyperpolarizing step of -10 mV every 60 s was used to monitor access resistance in whole-cell recordings. Neurons were excluded from the experiment if the access resistance changed more than 10% or input resistance changed more than 15%. Evoked EPSPs were recorded in the presence of NMDAR blocker AP5 (25 µM), while the eIPSCs were recorded in the presence of DNQX (10 µM) and AP5 (25 µM).

2.2.2 Stimulating electrodes

A concentric bipolar tungsten stimulation electrode (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) or Clark Borosilicate Theta glass electrode (Warner Instruments) was used to evoke IPSC in the experiments. The theta glass electrode was processed with a pipette puller (P-97 micropipette puller, Sutter Instrument) to produce tapered tips. To evoke the IPSC, the theta micropipette was used as a bipolar microelectrode to pass the electrical stimulation. The theta glass electrode was injected with ACSF solution for conduction and connected to an amplification system.

2.3 Drugs and Reagents

All drugs and buffers for intracellular and extracellular solutions, as well as ATP, GTP, phosphocreatine, MPEP, AP5, DNQX, and picrotoxin, were from Sigma.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

All data are shown as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed by using unpaired t-tests in Origin Pro/GraphPad Prism. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant (*P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001). Data for which a specific P-value is not indicated are not significantly different. The EPSP amplitude was normalized to the mean baseline amplitude to measure LTD.
Mean normalized EPSP amplitude of 25-30 min after paired stimulation was used to define LTD magnitudes.

3. Results

3.1 Paired-pulse low frequency stimulation (ppLFS) in the presence of a NMDAR antagonist is not sufficient to induce LTD in mouse or rat visual cortex

Low-frequency stimulation (LFS) is used to induced LTD in brain slices depending on the experimental protocol and the age of the animal. Usually, LTD is dependent on the pattern of conditioning and/or frequency of stimulation and activation of NMDA receptors [45]. LTD of the visual cortex represents reduced responses to the paired stimulus. Thus the temporal variation of pre- and postsynaptic activity plays a crucial role in cortical modulation [46]. In our experiment, whole-cell recordings were made from 6 neurons in separate brain slices from 10 mice. Wild type (C57BL/6) mice from P22 to P40 showed no LTD in layer 2/3 visual cortex after pairing blue light (473 nm) stimulation with paired-pulse low-frequency stimulation (ppLFS) (2Hz, 15 min) (97.5±1.9% baseline, p= 0.42, n=8, unpaired t-test) in the presence of the NMDAR antagonist AP5 (25 µM) (Fig. 1a). ppLFS with optogenetic stimulation was sufficient to depress the synapses; after the first 15 minutes the average amplitude was 72.3 ± 2.6 % of baseline. 15 minutes later, the amplitudes of eEPSPs was back to baseline. The average of the last 5 minutes of eEPSPs was 97.5 ± 1.9 % of baseline. There is no significant difference in the average eEPSP between the baseline and the last 5 minutes after ppLFS with the light stimulation. Thus, no LTD is observed in mouse visual cortex in the presence of an NMDAR antagonist (Fig. 1b).
LTD induction may vary based on the age of the animal, the critical period, and from species to species. Thus, next we asked whether the same induction protocol also reveals the same results in rats as we had seen in mice. Here we used rats from the ages of P30-P60. The baseline was recorded for 10 minutes. Then layer 2/3 visual cortex in the rat was stimulated with paired-pulse low-frequency stimulation (ppLFS, 1Hz, 15 min) in combination with 473 nm blue light stimulation. Interestingly, the protocol also failed to induce any LTD in rat visual cortex in the presence of the NMDAR antagonist AP5 (25 µM) (Fig. 1c). After 15 minutes, ppLFS with optogenetic stimulation was not sufficient to depress the synapses, the same result seen in the mouse visual cortex. After 20 minutes of stimulation in the rat, we observed a minor decrease of the eEPSPs amplitude from the baseline. The average of the last 5 minutes eEPSPs was 93.4 ± 1.9 % of baseline. However, there was no significant difference in the average of eEPSPs between the baseline and the last 5 minutes of ppLFS with the light stimulation (n=6, unpaired t-test). Thus, the same induction protocol also failed to induce LTD in the rat visual cortex (Fig. 1d). Interestingly, when we compare the LTD magnitude (last 5 minutes eEPSP amplitudes) between the mice and rats, we observed a significant reduction in the rat (93.4 ± 1.9 %) compared to mouse (97.5 ± 1.9; Fig. 1e). This difference may be due to the age difference between mice (P22 to P40) and rat (P30-P60). Taken together, we conclude that ppLFS is not sufficient to induce LTD during the post-neonatal period in both mice and rat in the presence of an NMDARs antagonist, where the age of the animal may be an issue to determine the threshold of LTD.

3.2 mGluR5 dependent acetylcholine mediated LTD in mouse visual cortex

In cholinergic system, the ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous brain slices were used for the release of acetylcholine, while wild-type (C57BL/6) mice were used as a control. Both
ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous and wild-type (C57BL/6) brain slices went through optical stimulation with ppLFS, and neurons were patched from the layer 2/3 visual cortex. After 10 minutes’ baseline recording, low-frequency stimulation (ppLFS, 1Hz, 15 min) in combination with 473 nm blue light stimulation was applied to the Chat-cre-ChR2 homozygous brain slice in the presence of the NMDAR antagonist AP5. In the presence of acetylcholine, ppLFS induced LTD (51.5 ± 5.7% of baseline; n=10; ***P< 0.001, unpaired t-test) in the visual cortex compared to the baseline value 96.6 ± 5.5 (n=10) (Fig. 2a, b). These data suggest that ppLFS is sufficient to induce LTD only in the presence of acetylcholine in mouse visual cortex during the post-neonatal period when NMDARs are closed.

mGluR52-Methyl-6-(phenylethynyl) pyridine (MPEP) is used as an antagonist for mGluR5 and NMDAR [47]. Moreover, MPEP acts as an anxiolytic and antidepressant agent by altering the interactions between mGluR5 and the μ-opioid receptor [48]. It has been reported that MPEP induced LTP in visual cortex fast-spiking GABAergic neurons by enhancing intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration [49]. Thus, we hypothesized that ppLFS-induced LTD in mouse visual cortex after pairing with acetylcholine may depend on mGluR5. Indeed, after bath application of 10 µM MPEP onto a ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous brain slice in the presence of light stimulation, acetylcholine-induced LTD was significantly reduced (101.3 ± 6.9% of baseline; n=7; ***P<0.001, unpaired t-test) (Fig. 2c). Comparison of LTD magnitude also showed a significant reduction in acetylcholine-induced LTD in visual cortex (MPEP=101.3 ± 6.9% (n=7); ACh= 48.2 ± 9.9% (n=6), ***P<0.001, unpaired t-test) (Fig. 2d). These data suggest that ppLFS can induce LTD in mice visual cortex in the presence of acetylcholine and that this is mGluR5 dependent, but not NMDAR dependent.
3.3 NMADR independent serotonin and norepinephrine mediated LTD in mice visual cortex

Since acetylcholine induced LTD in mouse visual cortex, we hypothesized that serotonin and norepinephrine might also be involved in LTD induction. Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous brain slices were used for the release of serotonin, while wild-type (C57BL/6) mice were used as a control in serotonergic LTD experiments. Both Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous and wild-type (C57BL/6) brain slices went through optical stimulation with ppLFS, and neurons were patched from the layer 2/3 visual cortex. After 10 minutes' baseline recoding, low-frequency stimulation (ppLFS, 1Hz, 15 min) in combination with 473 nm blue light stimulation was applied in Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous brain slices in the presence of AP5. Upon serotonin release due to optical stimulation, ppLFS induced LTD (50.1 ± 5.2% of baseline; n=12; ***P< 0.001, unpaired t-test) in the visual cortex compared to the baseline value 98.7 ± 3.3 (n=10) in the Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) brain slices (Fig. 3a). A comparison of LTD magnitude revealed the difference in LTD induction either in the presence or absence of serotonin (Fig. 3b).

In noradrenergic LTD system, the Thi-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous brain slices were used for the release of norepinephrine, while wild-type (C57BL/6) brain slices were used as control. Both Thi-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous and wild-type (C57BL/6) brain slices went through optical stimulation with ppLFS. After 10 minutes' baseline recording, low-frequency stimulation (ppLFS, 1Hz, 15 min) in combination with 473 nm blue light stimulation was applied in the presence of AP5. In Thi-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) homozygous mice, upon release of norepinephrine due to optical stimulation, ppLFS induced LTD (62.8 ± 8.07% of baseline; n=14; ***P< 0.001, unpaired t-test) in the visual cortex compared to the baseline value.
109.4 ± 11.9 (n=12) (Fig. 3c). A comparison of LTD magnitude revealed the induction of LTD in the presence of norepinephrine, while wild-type (C57BL/6) brain slices failed to show any LTD (Fig. 3d).

Next, we compared the LTD magnitude induced by acetylcholine, serotonin, and norepinephrine. LTD magnitude for acetylcholine (51.5 ± 5.7%) and serotonin (50.1 ± 5.2%) showed no significant difference. However, LTD magnitude between serotonin (50.1 ± 5.2%) and norepinephrine (62.8 ± 8.07%) are statistically different (P<0.01; unpaired t-test) (Fig. 3e). These data suggest that acetylcholine and serotonin are more prominent than norepinephrine in inducing LTD in mouse visual cortex.

3.4 Metal electrode evokes similar amplitude but faster decay time than theta glass electrode

IPSCs were evoked from dentate gyrus (DG) granule cells via stimulation either by metal or theta glass electrode in hilus in the presence of APV (DL-2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid, 25 µM) and CNQX (6-Cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione disodium, 10 µM). Single-pulse stimulation is used to evoke IPSC in the hilus. Individual cells evoked by theta glass electrode were displayed in Fig 4a after normalization to baseline. After normalization to baseline different cells showed different values such as 0.7-0.9 (i.e., 70-90% of baseline). The normalized value for the individual cell was (n=6) averaged to have the final trace (Fig. 4a). Similarly, ten individual cells were evoked via a metal stimulator (a bipolar tungsten electrode) by using the same single-pulse stimulation in the hilus (Fig. 4b). Like theta glass stimulator, after normalization to the baseline, different cells showed different values. The ten normalized values for individual cells were averaged to have the final trace evoked by the metal electrode (Fig. 4b). After merging the averaged traces evoked by the theta glass and metal electrode, we observed the
same eIPSC amplitude with different decay times. The averaged trace evoked by theta glass electrode showed slower decay times, while the averaged trace evoked by metal electrode showed faster decay times compared to each other. These data suggest that evoked events generated either by theta glass or metal electrode share the same trace amplitude but not the decay time.

4. Conclusion

It is well established that memory formation and information storage require long-term changes in synaptic transmission. Reinforcement learning (RL) helps to avoid punishment and maximize reward. One major problem in RL is the relationship between stimulus and a delayed reinforcing signal [50]. To link stimulus and its reward across time, synaptic eligibility traces exist, and then specific neuromodulators convert these traces into changes in synaptic efficacy such as LTD, an activity-dependent synaptic depression to store information more effectively. Different neuromodulators activate different signaling pathways but can have overlapping interactions even in the same neuron [51]. In some cases, phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of the AMPAR subunit GluA2 is responsible for synaptic depression [52]. Here we showed a novel type of LTD induction in the presence of ACh, SE, and NE in the mice visual cortex which is NADAR independent but mGluR5 dependent. Consistent with our study, another study has shown that during the critical period it is possible to induce LTD in layer IV mammalian visual cortex by using low-frequency stimulation which is independent of inhibitory circuitry [53].

mGluR5 activation in CaMK2a expressing excitatory neurons is responsible for the antidepressant action of Homer1a; enhanced mGlu5 signaling promotes rapid antidepressant effects [47]. As expected, mGluR5/- mice showed anhedonia and social withdrawal-type depression-like behaviors. Moreover, resilience to chronic stress in nucleus accumbens depends
on mGluR5 [54]. Reduction in mGluR5 signaling is associated with increased phosphorylation of serine and tyrosine, which cause desensitization of mGluR5. Hypofunction of mGluR5 is also associated with schizophrenia [55]. In our experiment, in the presence of ACh, SE, or NE, we saw LTD in the visual cortex. It is possible that these neurotransmitters may cause desphophorylation of mGluR5, which is responsible for the induction of LTD in the visual cortex.

In the Fmr1 knockout (KO) mouse, mGluR5 showed enhanced translocation at hippocampal synapses which increased co-clustering with NMDAR at the synaptic surface. This phenomenon is associated with reduced amplitude of synaptic NMDAR currents [56]. In Fmr1−/− mice, AMPA receptor internalization and LTD are mediated by mGluR activation without spine shrinkage. On the other hand, NMDAR activation caused LTD together with spine shrinkage in both types of mice [57]. mGluR5 also regulates social affiliation and social memory as unmasked by septal deletion of mGluR5, which abolished sociability [58]. Autism spectrum disorders (ASD)-like behavior is also correlated with altered mGluR5 and mGluR5-Homer scaffolds mediated signaling in striatal neurons of Shank3-KO mice [59]. These data suggest that mGluR5 and NMDAR follow distinct signaling paths for enhanced cognition, as reflected in this experiment, where ACh induces mGluR5 dependent but not NMDAR independent LTD in mice visual cortex.

In Pavlovian learning, the absence of NMDAR in dopamine neurons did not attenuate conditioned approach [60]. Moreover, refinement of neuronal connections and synaptic remodeling are enmeshed with LTD, which is associated with intracellular Ca²⁺ levels [61]. By modulating the balance between different intracellular signaling systems, ACh, SE, NE may control neural activity which is NMDAR independent but mGluR5 dependent, a novel form of
plasticity in the visual cortex. This may also explain the mechanism of antidepressant effects to neuroprotection.

Acknowledgments

Author also acknowledges the manuscript editing by Renee Cockerham, Program Manager, Office of Postdoctoral Scholars, University of Maryland, Baltimore.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.
Figure and Figure legend

**Figure 1.** Time-courses of the whole-cell evoked excitatory postsynaptic potential (eEPSPs) in layer 2/3 of the visual cortex from P22-40 wild type (C57BL/6) mice are shown with sample traces obtained during the baseline period of 10 min after paired-pulse LFS in combination with optogenetic stimulation. Records were taken every 10 s, and 1 min intervals were averaged for amplitude evaluation. The labeled solid bar with a bulb indicates a period of 15 minutes of low-frequency stimulation with 473 nm light stimulation. (a) ppLFS pairing with 473 nm light stimulation in wild type (C57BL/6) mice fails to induce any LTD in the visual cortex in the presence of AP5. (b) Comparison of LTD magnitudes with baseline in mouse visual cortex (Base= 100%; ppLFS= 97.5 ± 1.9 %, n=10). (c) ppLFS pairing with 473 nm light stimulation in wild type (C57BL/6) rat fails to induce any LTD in the visual cortex. (d) Comparison of LTD magnitudes with baseline in rat (Base= 100%; ppLFS= 93.4 ± 1.9 %, n=6). (e) Comparison of LTD magnitudes between mouse and rat (mice= 97.5 ± 1.9 %; rat=93.4 ± 1.9 %). Two-tailed t-test. ***P<0.001; Data are mean ± s.e.m.

**Figure 2.** mGluR5 dependent acetylcholine (ACh) mediated transformation of evoked EPSP traces to LTD. (a) In the visual cortex, ppLFS conditioning alone did not affect synaptic strength, while conditioning with 473 nm light stimulation in the brain slice from ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice induced LTD in the presence of AP5. (b) Comparison of LTD magnitudes in visual cortex between wild type (C57BL/6) and ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice (Ctrl: 96.6 ± 5.5%; ACh: 51.5 ± 5.7% of baseline; n=10). (c) In the presence of MPEP, ppLFS conditioning with 473 nm light stimulation in the brain slice from ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice failed to induce LTD. (d) Comparison of LTD magnitudes in visual cortex from ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice in the presence or absence of MPEP.
Figure 3. Serotonin (SE) and norepinephrine (NE) transform evoked EPSP traces to LTD in the presence of AP5. (a) In the visual cortex, ppLFS conditioning alone did not affect synaptic strength, while conditioning with 473 mn light stimulation in the brain slice from Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice induced LTD in the presence of AP5. (b) Comparison of LTD magnitudes in visual cortex between wild type (C57BL/6) and Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice [(Ctrl: 98.7 ± 3.3 (n=10); SE: 50.1 ± 5.2% of baseline, (n=12)]. (c) In the visual cortex, ppLFS conditioning alone did not affect synaptic strength, while conditioning with 473 mn light stimulation in the brain slice from Thi-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice induced LTD in the presence of AP5. (d) Comparison of LTD magnitudes in visual cortex between wild type (C57BL/6) and Thi-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) [(Ctrl: 109.4 ± 11.9 (n=12); NE: 62.8 ± 8.07% of baseline, (n=14)]. (e) Comparison of LTD magnitudes in the visual cortex among ChAT-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP); Tph2-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP); Thi-Cre/Ai32(ChR2-eYFP) mice. Two-tailed t-test. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001; Data are mean ± s.e.m.

Figure 4. Theta glass and metal electrodes evoked the same eIPSC amplitude with different decay times in the hippocampus. (a) IPSCs were evoked in DG granule cells by placing the theta glass electrode into the hilus. Six individual traces are displayed from 6 cells, while the average trace is shown at the bottom. (b) IPSCs were evoked in DG granule cells by placing the concentric bipolar tungsten electrode into the hilus. Ten individual traces are displayed from 10 cells, while the average trace is shown at the bottom. (c) Comparison of the averaged IPSC traces evoked by Theta glass and metal electrodes.

[(ACh= 48.2 ± 9.9% (n=6); MPEP=101.3 ± 6.9% (n=7)]; Two-tailed t-test. ***P < 0.001; Data are mean ± s.e.m.
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