Genomic architecture of artificially and sexually selected traits in a wild cervid

Running title: Genomic basis of sexually selected traits

S. J. Anderson^{1*}, S. D. Côté², J. H. Richard², A. B. A. Shafer^{1,3*}

¹ Environmental and Life Sciences Graduate Program, Trent University, K9J 7B8
Peterborough, Canada.

² Département de biologie, Centre d'études nordiques & *Chaire de recherche
industrielle CRSNG en aménagement intégré des ressources de l'île d'Anticosti*,
Université Laval, G1V 0A6, Québec, QC, Canada

³ Forensics Department, Trent University, K9J 7B8 Peterborough, Canada.

* Corresponding authors: sanderson@trentu.ca, aaronshafer@trentu.ca

Keywords

Quantitative traits, population genetics, gene enrichment, polygenic traits

Abstract

Characterization of the genomic architecture of fitness-related traits such as body size and male ornamentation in mammals provides tools for conservation and management: as both indicators of quality and health, these traits are often subject to sexual and artificial selective pressures. Here we performed high-depth whole genome re-sequencing on pools of individuals representing the phenotypic extremes in our study system for antler and body size in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*). Samples were selected from a tissue repository containing phenotypic data for 4,466 male white-tailed deer from Anticosti Island, Quebec, with four pools representing the extreme phenotypes for antler and body size in the population, after controlling for age. Our results revealed a largely panmictic population, but detected highly diverged windows between pools for both traits with high shifts in allele frequency (mean allele frequency difference of 14% for and 13% for antler and body SNPs in outlier windows). These regions often contained putative genes of small-to-moderate effect consistent with a polygenic model of quantitative traits. Genes in outlier antler windows had known direct or indirect effects on growth and pathogen defence, while body genes, overall GO terms, and transposable element analyses were more varied and nuanced. Through qPCR analysis we validated both a body and antler gene. Overall, this study revealed the polygenic nature of both antler morphology and body size in free-ranging white-tailed deer and identified target loci for additional analyses.

Introduction

Quantifying the genomic architecture underlying phenotypes in natural populations provides insights into the evolution of quantitative traits (Stinchcombe & Hoekstra, 2008). Some quantitative traits are correlated with metrics of fitness, and as such are particularly important because they might directly influence population viability (Kardos & Shafer, 2018). This relationship between genomic architecture and quantitative traits, however, is not easy to empirically identify (Barghi et al., 2020), and often has unclear and unpredictable responses to selection (Bürger et al., 2005). Outside of a few traits in well studied systems such as horn morphology in Soay sheep (Johnston et al., 2011, 2013) and migration timing in Pacific salmon (Prince et al., 2017), there are few studies that have successfully identified quantitative trait loci (QTL) in wild vertebrate populations with effects on fitness-related traits.

Genome-wide association scans (GWAS) have the potential to identify links between genomic regions and fitness relevant traits that can directly inform management and have become more prominent in non-model organisms (Ellegren 2014; Santure & Grant 2018). The variations of expressed phenotypes that are observed in wild populations are influenced by demographic, environmental and epigenetic factors (Ellegren & Sheldon 2008), which leads to difficulties when trying to quantify the direct influence of genetic polymorphisms, and more generally differentiate the effects of drift from selection (Kardos & Shafer 2018). Most traits also appear to be composed of many genes of small effect, and heritability for complex traits are often modulated by genes outside of core pathways and coding regions (Robinson et al., 2013; Santure et al., 2013; Boyle et al., 2017; Barghi et al. 2020). Population genetic

modeling allows disentangling phenotypic plasticity and responses to environmental change, while expanding our understanding of the evolutionary processes in wild populations (Santure & Grant 2018). Where traits directly linked to reproductive success are also those humans target via harvesting (Coltman et al., 2003; Kuparinen & Festa-Bianchet, 2017), there is a need to understand the genomic architecture to predict evolutionary consequences (Kardos & Luikart, 2015), considering that the two modes of selection (natural and artificial) might not always act in concert, and can vary spatially and temporally (Edeline et al. 2007).

For traits relevant to fitness, including those under sexual selection, we might expect directional selection towards the dominant or desired phenotype. In long term studies of wild populations, however, there is often a relatively high degree of observed genetic variation underlying sexually selected traits (Johnston et al., 2013; Berenos et al., 2015; Malenfant et al., 2018), with the proposed mechanism for this genetic variation being balancing selection (Mank, 2017; but see Kruuk et al. 2007). For example, Johnston et al. (2013) showed that variation is maintained at a single large effect locus through a trade-off between observed higher reproductive success for large horns and increased survival for smaller horns in Soay sheep. These trade-offs, creating signatures consistent with balancing selection, are also observed in cases where social dominance structures exist as a result of male-male competition for female mate acquisition, where alternative mating strategies have been suggested as a means for younger or subordinate males to achieve greater mating success (Foley et al., 2015).

Emerging approaches to investigate the genomic architecture of complex phenotypes

The methodological approach of analyzing extreme phenotypes seeks to sample individuals representing the extreme ends of the spectrum for any observable phenotype, instead of randomly sampling individuals from the entire distribution (Perez-Gracia et al., 2002; Li et al., 2011; Emond et al., 2012; Barnett et al., 2013; Gurwitz & McLeod, 2013; Kardos et al., 2016). Pooled sequencing (pool-seq) uses the resequencing of pooled DNA from individuals within a population and is a cost-effective alternative to the whole genome sequencing of every individual (Anand et al., 2016) with lower coverage options emerging (Tilk et al. 2019). Individual identity is lost through the pooling of DNA, but the resulting allelic frequencies are representative of the population and can be used to conduct standard population genomic analyses, including outlier detection (Schlötterer et al., 2014). Pool-seq methods have been applied with the purposes of identifying genes of moderate-to-large effect loci in a variety of taxa including colour morphs in butterflies and birds, abdominal pigmentation in *Drosophila*, and horn size of wild Rocky Mountain bighorn sheep (Kardos et al, 2015; Endler et al., 2017; Neethiraj et al., 2017).

Here, we explored the genic basis for phenotypic variation by sampling the extreme phenotypes in a non-model big game species, the white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*, WTD). Two traits are of particular interest in WTD; body size and antler size, which have a degree of observable variation (Hewitt 2011) and are connected to individual reproductive success (DeYoung et al., 2009; Newbolt et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2018). Heritability for antler and body measurements are moderate

to high for antler features and body size (Michel et al., 2016; Williams et al., 1994; Jamieson et al., 2020). Large antlers and body size are also sought after by hunters, both as trophies and food sources throughout North America. Efforts to compare harvested to non-harvested (i.e. naturally shed) antlers suggest the potential for artificial selection with those harvested by hunters being larger in size (Schoenebeck & Petersen 2014 but see Ditchkoff et al. 2000), which is similar to what has been documented in other cervids (Ramanzin & Sturaro, 2014; Pozo et al., 2016; Balčiauskas et al., 2017; García-Ferrer et al., 2019). Our objective was to identify genomic windows associated with variation in antler and body size phenotypes in WTD, under the hypothesis that many genes of small to moderate effect underly these phenotypes, but core pathways should be related to these phenotypes. We performed whole genome re-sequencing on pools representing the extreme distribution of antler and body size phenotypes in a wild, but intensively monitored WTD population.

Materials and Methods

Study area

Anticosti Island (49°N, 62°W; 7,943 km²) is located in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Québec (Canada) at the northeastern limit of the white-tailed deer range (Figure 1). The island is within the balsam fir-white birch bioclimatic region with a maritime sub-boreal climate characterized by cool and rainy summers (630mm/year), and long and snowy winters (406 cm/year; Environment Canada, 2006; Simard et al., 2010). The deer population was introduced in 1896 with ca. 220 animals and rapidly increased. Today, densities are >20 deer/km² and can exceed 50 deer/km² locally (Potvin & Breton 2005) with an estimated population >160,000 individuals.

Sample Collection and Modeling

We collected tissue samples stored in 95% ethanol and phenotypic data on 4,466 male deer harvested by hunters from September to early December, 2002–2014 on Anticosti Island. The sample and measurements were completed as part of a large effort led by the NSERC Industrial Research Chair in integrated resource management of Anticosti Island focussed on collecting data on the body condition of deer on the island. We used cementum layers in incisor teeth to age individuals (Hamlin et al., 2000). Two metrics of antler size were selected: the number of antler tines or points (>2.5 cm) and beam diameter (measured at the base; ± 0.02 cm) (Simard et al., 2014). We used one metric for body size: body length which is correlated to other metrics such as body weight and hind foot length (Bundy et al., 1991). Because antler and body size of male cervids are correlated to age (Solberg et al., 2004; Nilsen & Solberg, 2006), we controlled for age before ranking males according to antler and body size. We used linear models to assess the relationship between age and each metric separately. We computed an antler and body size index based on the average rank of each individual's residual variation for the phenotypic metrics. The top and bottom 150 individuals from each group were selected from the available database for DNA extraction, with only deer having equal representation for large and small phenotypes from the same given year were included in the final pool in an effort to limit temporal variation. This created four groups: large antler (LA), small antler (SA), large body size (LB), and small body size (SB) (Table S1).

DNA Extraction and Genome Sequencing

We isolated DNA from tissue using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit. The concentration of each DNA extract was determined using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). We aimed to sequence each pool to 50X as per recommendations of Schlötterer et al. (2014). Equal quantities of DNA (100 ng/sample) were combined into representative pools for LA (n=48), and SA (n=48), LB (n=54), and SB (n=61) for a desired final concentration of 20 ng/ul combined DNA for each pool. Sequencing was conducted at The Centre for Applied Genomics (Toronto, ON, Canada) on an Illumina HiSeqX with 150 bp pair-end reads (Table S2); meaning each individual on average should have at least one chromosome sampled.

Genome Annotation

A newly generated draft WTD genome constructed from long (PacBio) and short-read (Illumina) data was used as a reference (NCBI PRJNA420098; Accession No. JAAVWD000000000). We performed a full genome annotation by masking repetitive elements throughout the genome using a custom WTD database developed through repeat modeler v1.0.11 (Smit & Hubley, 2015) in conjunction with repeatmasker v4.0.7 (Smit, Hubley & Green, 2015) using the NCBI database for artiodactyla, without masking low complexity regions. The masked genome was then annotated using the MAKER2 v2.31.9 pipeline (Holt & Yandell, 2011). We used a three-stage process (Laine et al., 2016) utilizing publicly available white-tailed deer EST and Protein sequences available through NCBI for initial training with SNAP v2013-11-29 (Korf, 2004). A resulting hmm file was generated from the GFF output and was used as evidence for the MAKER2 prediction software, again using SNAP. Evidence from the prior SNAP trails in gff format were used as evidence for the generation of a training data set for the

AUGUSTUS v3.3.2 gene prediction software. The final annotation was completed using MAKER2 and AUGUSTUS. Gene IDs were generated using blastp v2.9.0 (Johnson et al., 2008) on the WTD annotation protein transcripts, restricting the blast search to human protein annotations in the uniprot database (parameters -max_hsps 1 - max_target_seqs 1 -outfmt 6 -taxids 9606).

Mapping and characterization of SNPs

We performed initial quality filtering for all reads using fastqc and trimmed reads for quality and adaptors using the default Trimmomatic v.0.36 settings (Bolger et al. 2014). Reads were then aligned to the unmasked WTD reference genome with BWA-mem v0.7.17 (Li, 2013). We used samtools v1.10 (Li et al., 2009) to merge and sort all aligned reads into four files for each representative pool. We then filtered for duplicates using Picard v2.20.6 (Broad Institute, 2020), obtained uniquely mapped reads with samtools, and conducted local realignment using GATKv3.8 (Van der Auwera et al. 2013) prior to calling SNPs. Using samtools we called SNPs with mpileup (parameters = -B -q20). We filtered out the masked regions and indels with 5bp flanking regions and removed all scaffolds <= 50 kb.

Genome wide differences were calculated between large and small phenotypes for antler and body size. We used a sliding window approach with window sizes of 1000 bp with a step size of 500 bp and minimum covered fraction of 0.8 to test for allele frequency differences using Fisher's exact test (FET) and fixation index (F_{ST}) from the Popoolation2 software suite (Kofler et al., 2011). A minimum and maximum coverage were determined based off the mode depth +/- half the mode as per Kurland et al (2019,) and a minimum overall count of the minor allele of 3 for each pool was specified

(Figure S1). Note F_{ST} windows were correlated to FET P-values (Figure S2). We corrected for multiple testing by using an FDR correction (see Benjamini & Hochberg 1995) and set a conservative α ($1.0e-7$). Manhattan plots were generated using a custom R script to plot the distribution of outliers by position and scaffold throughout the entire WTD genome. Bedtools v2.27.1 was used to characterize each window being within 25 kb up/downstream of a gene (i.e. regulatory). Numerous candidate genes for body size and head gear have been identified in ruminants (Bouwman et al., 2018; Ker et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019) and were also compiled into an *a priori* list (Table S4). For these *a priori* genes and outlier windows we identified highly differentiated SNPs using the modified chi-square test from Spitzer et al. (2020) that accounts for overdispersion.

Transposable Elements

We used consensus transposable element (TE) sequences from the repbase database for cow to repeat mask the WTD reference genome, and subsequently merged this masked genome with the repbase TE reference sequences (Bao et al., 2015). We used the repbase database consensus sequences to re-mask the genome due to more robust information for TE identities, family and order required in this analysis. Trimmed reads for both antler and body size phenotypes were aligned to the TE-WTD merged reference genome using bwa-mem as stated in previous methods. We used the recommended workflow for the software suite popoolationTE2 v1.10.04 (Kofler et al., 2016) to create a list of predicted TE insertions. From this we calculated TE frequency differences between large and small phenotypes as well as proximity to genic regions. Here, we only examined TEs that were within 25-kb up or downstream from a gene and

within the 95th percentile for absolute frequency differences to allow for more features to be assessed subsequently.

GO Pathways

To identify shared gene pathways among outlier regions we used an analysis of gene ontology (GO) terms. The program Gowinda v1.12 (Kofler & Schlötterer, 2012) was used to determine GO term enrichment, while also accounting for biases in gene length but requires input of individual SNPs. A gtf version of our annotation was created by removing duplicate genes and retaining only the longest gene – resulting in 15,395 unique genes. All SNPs in outlier windows that were also within 25-kb of a gene were included in this analysis, and compared to all SNPs in every qualifying window for antler and body size phenotypes independently. We used the program REVIGO (Supek et al., 2011) to remove redundant GO terms and to visualize semantic similarity-based scatterplots. Results from Gowinda with p-values <0.05 were used with REVIGO to generate plots of significant GO terms for biological processes of antler and body size phenotypes.

Validation of outliers

We selected three SNPs for qPCR validation that had significant chi-square values and passed quality control with respect to primer design. Custom genotyping assays using rhAMP chemistry (Integrated DNA Technologies) were designed and genotyped on the QuantStudio 3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Oligo sequences and reaction parameters are provided in Table S3. Using the phenotypic category as the binary response variable,

we ran a logistic regression treating the genotypic data as additive (e.g. 0-2 LA/LB alleles per locus, per individual).

Results

Phenotypes

The distribution of phenotypes from all pools of individuals is shown in Figure 2. We only selected the top 150 individuals at the tail ends of the distribution for measurements used in our antler and body size rankings which are representative of the extreme phenotypes. Artist renderings and the distribution of measurements for the number of antler points, beam diameter, and body length between the groups of individuals representing each extreme phenotype pool (LA, SA, LB, SB) are shown in Figure 2a. There were no differences in mean age between the pools (Figure 2b).

Detecting genetic variants and their genomic regions

The total reads generated from resequencing are observed in Table S2 (BioProject ID PRJNA576136). The WTD genome that has a N50 of 17 MB, 727 scaffolds >50 kb (98.85% of genome), and BUSCO completeness of 91% across 303 orthologs. The genome annotation resulted in 20,750 genes. The mean allele frequency difference across the antler outlier windows were calculated to be 14% with 5249 windows meeting the FDR and α threshold ($p \leq 1 \times 10^{-7}$); many of the top windows were adjacent to genes with known functions (Tables 1 & 2). For the comparison of body size, the mean allele frequency difference was 13% in 1350 windows meeting the FDR threshold.

From the list of 32 *a priori* candidate genes in the literature, we identified the highest differentiated windows (Table 3); two genes had window values exceeding the

FDR ($p < 10^{-7}$) for the antler analysis (OLIG1, HMGA2), and three from the body analysis (OLIG1, IGF1, TWIST1). For all individual SNPs within outlier windows and a-priori genes, the modified chi-square test with FDR correction identified 5,184 and 1,166 SNPs ($p < 0.01$) from the antler and body analysis, respectively.

TE Insertions

We identified 19,160 TE insertions through the joint analysis of antler phenotype sequences (both large and small). Of these TEs, we identified 958 insertions that fell within the 95th percentile for the absolute difference between large and small phenotypes for further analysis ($>0.20\%$; Figure S3). Of the 95th percentile TE insertions in the antler analysis, 92 were found to overlap with genes, with 283 within a 25-kb window up or downstream of genic regions. For body size, 6,610 TE insertions were identified with 332 insertions in the 95th percentile ($>0.21\%$). Of these, 28 overlapped with genes, and 116 within 25-kb of a genic region.

Gene Ontology Annotations

The results from Gowinda comparing all SNPs within outlier windows to background SNPs from all windows showed the top 10 enriched GO terms and gene counts (Figure S4). Six statistically enriched GO terms (FDR < 0.05) from the antler analysis were: GO:0004888 (transmembrane signaling receptor activity), GO:0004872 (receptor activity), GO:0038023 (signaling receptor activity), GO:0004930 (G-protein coupled receptor activity), GO:0022835 (transmitter-gated channel activity), GO:0022824 (transmitter-gated ion channel activity). There were no significant GO terms identified through the body analysis following FDR corrections. We show term reductions for

significantly enriched GO terms (p-value <0.05) through the program REVIGO, with clustered items relating to the semantic similarity in the antler pools (Figure 4 and Figure S7).

Validation of Outliers

We genotyped putative antler loci (RIMS1, and SRP54) and one body loci (LIRF1) split between pools which resulted in 80 and 70 individuals having complete genotype and phenotypic data, respectively (Dryad Accession no. XXXXXX). The logistic regression showed an effect of the number of antler alleles at the SRP54 locus on antler category ($\beta = -0.99$, $p = 0.02$) and the number of LIRF1 alleles on body category ($\beta = -0.94$, $p = 0.03$); the RIMS1 locus frequency differences were not validated ($p > 0.05$).

Discussion

The study of sexually selected quantitative traits in the Anticosti Island WTD population has provided novel insights into the underlying genomic architecture of phenotypic variation. The extensive database with phenotypic measurements for these deer (n=4,466) allowed us to select individuals from the wide range of the distribution (Figure 2 & Figure S1) that are representative of extreme phenotypes for the two antler and one body size measurements. This sampling methodology is important to maximize the additive genetic variance for each trait, increasing the power and the ability to detect QTL (Kardos et al., 2016). Anticosti Island WTD form a putatively panmictic population, where we would expect gene flow across the island (Fuller et al., 2020). Consistent with this, genome-wide window F_{ST} was ~0.03 for both pools; however, we identified outlier regions for both traits that are atypically differentiated for what would be expected in this

population (Fuller et al. 2020). The most divergent windows and TEs for antler and body traits are widely dispersed throughout the WTD genome (Figure 3), which support a polygenic model for these quantitative traits. This is consistent with the literature on body size and ornaments in mammals (Visscher et al., 2007; Bouwman et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019).

Genomic architecture of antlers and body size

For both traits, there is evidence for divergent windows throughout the genome that overlap with genic regions (Figure 3; Tables 1-3). Traditionally, variation in SNPs is focussed on non-synonymous variants, but it is becoming evident that non-coding regions impact phenotypic variation (Watanabe et al., 2019), often with clear relationships to promoters and enhancer regions (Pagani & Baralle 2004; Zhang & Lupski 2015; Foote et al., 2016). While some outliers are surely false positives (Whitlock & Lottheros 2015), cattle GWAS studies typically identify 100s to 1000s of QTL (Cole et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2019). Our data suggest that it is the cumulative effects from these variants, including TE insertions, and likely pleiotropic and epistatic interactions that are ultimately driving the phenotypic variation in antler and body size in white-tailed deer.

Antlers are the only completely regenerable organ found in mammals (Li et al., 2014), a unique process that involves simultaneous exploitation of oncogenic pathways and tumor suppressor genes, and the rapid recruitment of synaptic and blood vesicles (Wang et al., 2019). Size of antlers might also be an honest signal of male quality (Vanpé et.al, 2007). Accordingly, three of the most diverged windows (Figure 3) were in close proximity to genes linked to biological processes related to development and

immune responses (Table 1). LGALS9 for example is a gene that produces Galactin-9, a well studied protein expressed on tumour cells (Heusschen et.al., 2013). The function of ruminant gammadelta T cells is defined by WC1.1 (Rogers et.al., 2005), and suggests a role in host response to cell proliferation. Interestingly, MTMR2 (Myotubularin Related Protein 2) has been indicated as a candidate gene for litter size in pelibuey sheep (Hernández-Montiel et.al., 2020) and plays a role in spermatogenesis (Mruk & Cheng, 2011). Likewise, the qPCR validated SRP54 gene has been linked to bone marrow failure syndromes and skeletal abnormalities (Carapito et al., 2017). Thus, there are clear links to bone formation, oncogenic pathways, and sexual selection in the antler outlier regions.

The comparison of extreme body size phenotype sequences also revealed an array of genes of interest; however, it was more difficult than antlers to not engage in storytelling (Pavlidis et al., 2012) as traits of this nature, for example human height, involve thousands of SNPs and a multitude of biological pathways (Marouli et al., 2017). Despite the high heritability of body size in this population (Jamieson et al., 2020), there were fewer windows meeting our threshold, again consistent with many genes of small effect (see Barghi et al., 2020). Similarly, the list of 32 *a priori* genes generally revealed lower levels of variation (i.e. 29 of 32 genes had windows with $p > 10^{-7}$) between phenotypes for these genes for both traits, despite their known effect; this is likely due to their influence shaping between species, rather than within species trait variation. That being said, observing three outlier genes in this non-exhaustive list does suggest focussing on candidate genes still has value in the genomic era.

Transposable elements considerations

Traditionally, masking transposable elements reduces misalignments due to the repetitive nature of their sequences and current limitations with mapping software (Treangen & Salzberg 2012), but also misses a wealth of information that encompasses a large portion of the genome. Our focus was the frequency differences of TE insertions to properly mapped reference sequences, and how these varied between phenotypes. This stems from increasing evidence showing that TE insertions impact gene expression, and thus the variation for a given phenotype (Bourque et al., 2018). We found 283 highly divergent TE insertions in genic regions from our antler analysis and 116 from the body size analysis. The insertion of these highly variable sequences has the potential to impact gene function, and studies are now starting to emerge that both validate insertions (Lerat et al., 2018) and show evidence for positive selection (Kofler et al., 2011). This suggests the potential for TEs to modulate phenotypes within a population and we feel this is an important and often overlooked pattern in similar studies. Validating the TEs and characterizing their influence on neighbouring genes is clearly warranted given the high frequency differences between pools.

Implications for understanding of artificial and sexual selection

Antler and body size are traits that are sexually selected for and linked to reproductive success in WTD (Newbolt et al., 2016; Morina et al., 2018), and are traits desired by hunters, managers, and farmers (e.g. antlers for velvet or large body for meat). We have highly diverged genomic regions, and thousands genome-wide variants with allele frequency differences between the phenotypic extremes for these traits. We suggest the combined effects of these variants as being the genetic drivers behind observed phenotypic variation, consistent with a polygenic model of quantitative traits (Barghi et

al., 2020). Additional lines of evidence, specifically RNA-seq studies, should look at the regions identified here, but also consider interactions across the entire genomic, epigenomic, and transcriptomic landscape.

We identified and validated two of three potential QTL relating to the variation in phenotypes for WTD. While we applied the added stringency of accounting for overdispersion in the chi-square test, our inability to validate one outlier suggests a small effect size and thus requiring increased sample size (see Kardos et al. 2016), or a true type I error. Alternatively, epistatic interactions might be at play (Knief et al. 2019), but detection requires whole-genome sequencing of many individuals thereby defeating the purpose of pooled sequencing. The high effective population size and homogeneity of Anticositi Island white-tailed deer implies the need for small windows, and ultimately a SNP-based analysis. Here, expanded qPCR sample sizes might still reveal the predicted effect, and it is encouraging that approaches for low coverage pooled data are emerging (Tilk et al. 2019). As we continue to identify and validate candidate QTL, it is conceivable that a gene panel could be developed that could be used in breeding and management programs (e.g. Quality Deer Management) or assess the effects of artificial selection by trophy hunting; however until a reasonable amount of phenotypic variation can be attributed to specific QTL, a gene targeted approach for management and breeding of white-tailed deer will remain a challenge.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant (ABAS and SDC); ComputeCanada Resources for Research Groups (ABAS); Canadian Foundation for Innovation: John R. Evans Leaders Fund (ABAS); The Symons Trust Fund for Canadian Studies (ABAS); Trent University start-up funds [ABAS]; and Industrial Chairs and Collaborative Research and Development Grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (SDC). We thank the outfitters of Anticosti Island and the Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec for logistical help associated with fieldwork, and all of the field assistants and technicians who collected samples and made phenotypic measurements throughout the duration of this project. We thank four anonymous reviewers for their extensive comments and analytical suggestions on this manuscript.

References

- Anand, S., Mangano, E., Barizzzone, N., Bordoni, R., Sorosina, M., Clarelli, F., ... De Bellis, G. (2016). Next Generation Sequencing of Pooled Samples: Guideline for Variants' Filtering. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 33735. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33735>
- Balčiauskas, L., Kazlauskas, M., & Balčiauskienė, L. (2017). European bison: changes in species acceptance following plans for translocation. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 63(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-016-1066-1>
- Bao, W., Kojima, K. K., & Kohany, O. (2015). Repbase Update, a database of repetitive elements in eukaryotic genomes. *Mobile DNA*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13100-015-0041-9>
- Barghi, N., Hermisson, J., & Schlötterer, C. (2020). Polygenic adaptation: a unifying framework to understand positive selection. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-020-0250-z>

- 428 Barnett, I. J., Lee, S., & Lin, X. (2013). Detecting rare variant effects using extreme
429 phenotype sampling in sequencing association studies. *Genetic Epidemiology*,
430 37(2), 142–151. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.21699>
- 431 Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical
432 and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*
433 *Series B*, 57(1), 289–300
- 434 Bérénos, C., Ellis, P. A., Pilkington, J. G., Lee, S. H., Gratten, J., & Pemberton, J. M.
435 (2015). Heterogeneity of genetic architecture of body size traits in a free-living
436 population. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(8), 1810–1830.
437 <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13146>
- 438 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for
439 Illumina Sequence Data. *Bioinformatics*, btu170.
- 440 Bourque, G., Burns, K. H., Gehring, M., Gorbunova, V., Seluanov, A., Hammell, M., ...
441 Feschotte, C. (2018). Ten things you should know about transposable elements.
442 *Genome Biology*, 19(1), 199. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1577-z>
- 443 Bouwman, A. C., Garrick, D. J., Reecy, J., & Van Tassell, C. P. (2018). Meta-analysis of
444 genome-wide association studies for cattle stature identifies common genes that
445 regulate body size in mammals. *Nature Genetics*, 50, 362–367
446 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0056-5>
- 447 Boyle, E. A., Li, Y. I., & Pritchard, J. K. (2017). An Expanded View of Complex Traits:
448 From Polygenic to Omnigenic. *Cell*, 169(7), 1177–1186.
449 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.038>
- 450 Broad Institute (2020). Picard Tools – By Broad Institute. Github.
451 <http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/>
- 452 Bundy, R. M., Robel, R. J., & Kemp, K. E. (1991). Whole Body Weights Estimated from
453 Morphological Measurements of White-Tailed Deer. *Transactions of the Kansas*
454 *Academy of Science* (1903-), 94(3/4), 95-100. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3627856>
- 455 Bünger, L., Lewis, R. M., Rothschild, M. F., Blasco, A., Renne, U., & Simm, G. (2005).
456 Relationships between quantitative and reproductive fitness traits in animals.
457 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 360(1459),
458 1489–1502. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1679>
- 459 Carapito, R., Konantz, M., Paillard, C., Miao, Z., Pichot, A., Leduc, M. S., ... Bahram, S.
460 (2017). Mutations in signal recognition particle SRP54 cause syndromic
461 neutropenia with Shwachman-Diamond-like features. *The Journal of Clinical*
462 *Investigation*, 127(11), 4090–4103. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI92876>

- 463 Cole, J. B., Wiggans, G. R., Ma, L., Sonstegard, T. S., Lawlor, T. J., Crooker, B. A., ...
464 Da, Y. (2011). Genome-wide association analysis of thirty one production, health,
465 reproduction and body conformation traits in contemporary U.S. Holstein cows.
466 BMC Genomics, 12(1), 408. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-408>
- 467 Coltman, D. W., O'Donoghue, P., Jorgenson, J. T., Hogg, J. T., Strobeck, C., & Festa-
468 Bianchet, M. (2003). Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting.
469 Nature, 426(6967), 655–658. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02177>
- 470 DeYoung, R. W., Demarais, S., Gee, K. L., Honeycutt, R. L., Hellickson, M. W., &
471 Gonzales, R. A. (2009). Molecular Evaluation of the White-tailed Deer (*Odocoileus*
472 *Virginianus*) Mating System. Journal of Mammalogy, 90(4), 946–953.
473 <https://doi.org/10.1644/08-MAMM-A-227.1>
- 474 Ditchkoff, S. S., Welch, E. R., & Lochmiller, R. L. (2000). Using cast antler
475 characteristics to profile quality of white-tailed deer *Odocoileus virginianus*
476 populations. Wildlife Biology, 6(1), 53–58. <https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.2000.031>
- 477 Edeline, E., Carlson, S. M., Stige, L. C., Winfield, I. J., Fletcher, J. M., James, J. Ben, ...
478 Stenseth, N. C. (2007). Trait changes in a harvested population are driven by a
479 dynamic tug-of-war between natural and harvest selection. Proceedings of the
480 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104(40), 15799–
481 15804. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0705908104>
- 482 Ellegren, H. (2014). Genome sequencing and population genomics in non-model
483 organisms. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(1), 51–63.
484 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.09.008>
- 485 Ellegren, H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2008). Genetic basis of fitness differences in natural
486 populations. Nature, 452(7184), 169–175. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06737>
- 487 Emond, M. J., Louie, T., Emerson, J., Zhao, W., Mathias, R. A., Knowles, M. R., ...
488 Bamshad, M. J. (2012). Exome sequencing of extreme phenotypes identifies
489 DCTN4 as a modifier of chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in cystic
490 fibrosis. Nature Genetics, 44(8), 886–889. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2344>
- 491 Endler, L., Betancourt, A. J., Nolte, V., & Schlötterer, C. (2016). Reconciling differences
492 in pool-GWAS between populations: A case study of female abdominal
493 pigmentation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics, 202(2), 843–855.
494 <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.183376>
- 495 Environment Canada. (2006). Climate normals and averages, daily data reports of Port-
496 Menier's station from 1995 to 2005. Canada's National Climate Archive. Retrieved
497 from <http://climate.weatheroffice.gc.ca>

- Foley, A. M., DeYoung, R. W., Hewitt, D. G., Hellickson, M. W., Gee, K. L., Wester, D. B., ... Miller, K. V. (2015). Purposeful wanderings: mate search strategies of male white-tailed deer. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 96(2), 279–286.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyv004>
- Foot, A. D., Vijay, N., Ávila-Arcos, M. C., Baird, R. W., Durban, J. W., Fumagalli, M., ... Wolf, J. B. W. (2016). Genome-culture coevolution promotes rapid divergence of killer whale ecotypes. *Nature Communications*, 7(1), 11693.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11693>
- Fuller, J., Ferchaud, A. L., Laporte, M., Le Luyer, J., Davis, T. B., Côté, S. D., & Bernatchez, L. (2020). Absence of founder effect and evidence for adaptive divergence in a recently introduced insular population of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*). *Molecular Ecology*, 29(1), 86–104.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15317>
- García-Ferrer, D., Herrero, J., Jimeno-Brabo, P., Arnal, M. C., García-Serrano, A., & Fernández de Luco, D. (2019). Can roe deer hunting be selective? A case study from the Pyrenees. *Galemys, Spanish Journal of Mammalogy*, 31, 27–34.
<https://doi.org/10.7325/galemys.2019.a3>
- Gurwitz, D., & McLeod, H. L. (2013). Genome-wide studies in pharmacogenomics: harnessing the power of extreme phenotypes. *Pharmacogenomics*, 14(4), 337–339. <https://doi.org/10.2217/pgs.13.35>
- Hamlin, K. L., D. F. Pac, C. A. Sime, R. M. DeSimone, and G. L. Dusek. 2000. Evaluating the accuracy of ages obtained by two methods for Montana ungulates. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 64, 441–449
- Hernández-Montiel, W., Martínez-Núñez, M. A., Ramón-Ugalde, J. P., Román-Ponce, S. I., Calderón-Chagoya, R., & Zamora-Bustillos, R. (2020). Genome-wide association study reveals candidate genes for litter size traits in pelibuey sheep. *Animals*, 10(3), 434. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10030434>
- Heusschen, R., Griffioen, A. W., & Thijssen, V. L. (2013, August 1). Galectin-9 in tumor biology: A jack of multiple trades. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Reviews on Cancer*. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2013.04.006>
- Hewitt, D. G. (2011). *Biology and management of white-tailed deer*. CRC Press. Retrieved from <https://www.crcpress.com/Biology-and-Management-of-White-tailed-Deer/Hewitt/p/book/9781439806517>
- Holt, C., & Yandell, M. (2011). MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database management tool for second-generation genome projects. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 12(1), 491. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-491>

- 534 Jamieson A, Anderson SJ, Fuller J, Côté SD, Northrup JM, Shafer ABA (2020)
535 Heritability estimates of antler and body traits in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus*
536 *virginianus*) from genomic-relatedness matrices. *Journal of Heredity* doi:
537 10.1093/jhered/esaa023
- 538 Jiang, J., Ma, L., Prakapenka, D., VanRaden, P. M., Cole, J. B., & Da, Y. (2019). A
539 Large-Scale Genome-Wide Association Study in U.S. Holstein Cattle. *Frontiers in*
540 *Genetics*, 10, 412. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00412>
- 541 Johnson, M., Zaretskaya, I., Raytselis, Y., Merezuk, Y., McGinnis, S., & Madden, T. L.
542 (2008). NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 36(Web
543 Server), W5–W9. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn201>
- 544 Johnston SE, McEwan J, Pickering NK et al. (2011) Genome-wide associa-tion
545 mapping identifies the genetic basis of discrete and quantitative variation in sexual
546 weaponry in a wild sheep population. *Molecular Ecology*, 20, 2555–2566.
- 547 Johnston, S. E., Gratten, J., Berenos, C., Pilkington, J. G., Clutton-Brock, T. H.,
548 Pemberton, J. M., & Slate, J. (2013). Life history trade-offs at a single locus
549 maintain sexually selected genetic variation. *Nature*, 502(7469), 93–95.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12489>
- 551 Jones, P. D., Strickland, B. K., Demarais, S., Wang, G., & Dacus, C. M. (2018). Nutrition
552 and ontogeny influence weapon development in a long-lived mammal. *Canadian*
553 *Journal of Zoology*, 96(9), 955–962. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2017-0345>
- 554 Kardos, M., & Shafer, A. B. A. (2018). The Peril of Gene-Targeted Conservation. *Trends*
555 *in Ecology & Evolution*, 33(11), 827–839. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.08.011>
- 556 Kardos, M., Husby, A., Mcfarlane, S. E., Qvarnstrom, A., & Ellegren, H. (2016). Whole-
557 genome resequencing of extreme phenotypes in collared flycatchers highlights the
558 difficulty of detecting quantitative trait loci in natural populations. *Molecular Ecology*
559 *Resources*, 16(3), 727–741. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12498>
- 560 Kardos, M., Luikart, G., Bunch, R., Dewey, S., Edwards, W., McWilliam, S., ... Kijas, J.
561 (2015). Whole-genome resequencing uncovers molecular signatures of natural and
562 sexual selection in wild bighorn sheep. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(22), 5616–5632.
563 <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13415>
- 564 Ker, D. F. E., Wang, D., Sharma, R., Zhang, B., Passarelli, B., Neff, N., ... Yang, Y. P.
565 (2018). Identifying deer antler uhrf1 proliferation and s100a10 mineralization genes
566 using comparative RNA-seq. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy*, 9(1), 292.
567 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-018-1027-6>
- 568 Knief U, Bossu CM, Saino N et al. (2019) Epistatic mutations under divergent selection
569 govern phenotypic variation in the crow hybrid zone. *Nat Ecol Evol*: 3: 570–576.

- 570 Kofler, R. & Schlötterer, C. (2012). Gowinda: unbiased analysis of gene set enrichment
571 for genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics* 28(15):2084-2085. doi:
572 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts315
- 573 Kofler, R., Gómez-Sánchez, D., & Schlötterer, C. (2016). PoPoolationTE2: Comparative
574 Population Genomics of Transposable Elements Using Pool-Seq. *Molecular*
575 *Biology and Evolution*, 33(10), 2759–2764. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw137>
- 576 Kofler, R., Orozco-terWengel, P., De Maio, N., Pandey, R. V., Nolte, V., Futschik, A., ...
577 Schlötterer, C. (2011). PoPoolation: A Toolbox for Population Genetic Analysis of
578 Next Generation Sequencing Data from Pooled Individuals. *PLoS ONE*, 6(1),
579 e15925. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015925>
- 580 Kofler, R., Pandey, R. V., & Schlötterer, C. (2011). PoPoolation2: Identifying
581 differentiation between populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples
582 (Pool-Seq). *Bioinformatics*, 27(24), 3435–3436.
583 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr589>
- 584 Korf, I. (2004). Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 5(1), 59.
585 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-5-59>
- 586 Kruuk, L. E. B., Slate, J., Pemberton, J. M., Brotherstone, S., Guinness, F., & Clutton-
587 Brock, T. (2002). Antler size in red deer: Heritability and selection but no evolution.
588 *Evolution*, 56(8), 1683–1695. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01480.x>
- 589 Kuparinen, A., & Festa-Bianchet, M. (2017). Harvest-induced evolution: insights from
590 aquatic and terrestrial systems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*
591 *Biological Sciences*, 372(1712), 20160036. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0036>
- 592 Laine, V. N., Gossmann, T. I., Schachtschneider, K. M., Garroway, C. J., Madsen, O.,
593 Verhoeven, K. J. F., ... Groenen, M. A. M. (2016). Evolutionary signals of selection
594 on cognition from the great tit genome and methylome. *Nature Communications*,
595 7(1), 10474. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10474>
- 596 Lerat, E., Goubert, C., Guirao-Rico, S., Merenciano, M., Dufour, A., Vieira, C., &
597 González, J. (2019). Population-specific dynamics and selection patterns of
598 transposable element insertions in European natural populations. *Molecular*
599 *Ecology*, 28(6), 1506–1522. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14963>
- 600 Li, C., Zhao, H., Liu, Z., & McMahon, C. (2014). Deer antler – A novel model for
601 studying organ regeneration in mammals. *The International Journal of Biochemistry*
602 *& Cell Biology*, 56, 111–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2014.07.007>
- 603 Li, D., Lewinger, J. P., Gauderman, W. J., Murcray, C. E., & Conti, D. (2011). Using
604 extreme phenotype sampling to identify the rare causal variants of quantitative

605 traits in association studies. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 35(8), 790–799.
606 <https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.20628>

607 Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with
608 BWA-MEM. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997>

609 Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., ... Durbin, R.
610 (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*,
611 25(16), 2078–2079. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352>

612 Li, J., Dani, J. A., & Le, W. (2009). The role of transcription factor Pitx3 in dopamine
613 neuron development and Parkinson's disease. *Current Topics in Medicinal*
614 *Chemistry*, 9(10), 855–859. Retrieved from
615 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19754401>

616 Malenfant, R. M., Davis, C. S., Richardson, E. S., Lunn, N. J., & Coltman, D. W. (2018).
617 Heritability of body size in the polar bears of Western Hudson Bay. *Molecular*
618 *Ecology Resources*, 18(4), 854–866. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12889>

619 Mank, J. E. (2017). Population genetics of sexual conflict in the genomic era. *Nature*
620 *Reviews Genetics*, 18(12), 721–730. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.83>

621 Marouli, E., Graff, M., Medina-Gomez, C., Lo, K. S., Wood, A. R., Kjaer, T. R., ... Lettre,
622 G. (2017). Rare and low-frequency coding variants alter human adult height.
623 *Nature*, 542(7640), 186–190. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21039>

624 Michel, E. S., Demarais, S., Strickland, B. K., Smith, T., & Dacus, C. M. (2016). Antler
625 characteristics are highly heritable but influenced by maternal factors. *The Journal*
626 *of Wildlife Management*, 80(8), 1420–1426. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmq.21138>

627 Morina, D. L., Demarais, S., Strickland, B. K., & Larson, J. E. (2018). While males fight,
628 females choose: male phenotypic quality informs female mate choice in mammals.
629 *Animal Behaviour*, 138, 69–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ANBEHAV.2018.02.004>

630 Mruk, D. D., & Cheng, C. Y. (2011, January 15). The myotubularin family of lipid
631 phosphatases in disease and in spermatogenesis. *Biochemical Journal*. NIH Public
632 Access. <https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20101267>

633 Neethiraj, R., Hornett, E. A., Hill, J. A., & Wheat, C. W. (2017). Investigating the
634 genomic basis of discrete phenotypes using a Pool-Seq-only approach: New
635 insights into the genetics underlying colour variation in diverse taxa. *Molecular*
636 *Ecology*, 26(19), 4990–5002. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14205>

637 Newbolt, C. H., Acker, P. K., Neuman, T. J., Hoffman, S. I., Ditchkoff, S. S., & Steury, T.
638 D. (2016). Factors Influencing Reproductive Success in Male White-Tailed Deer.
639 *Journal of Wildlife Management* 81(2), 206-217 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmq.21191>

- 640 Nilsen, E. B., and E. J. Solberg. (2006). Patterns of hunting mortality in Norwegian
641 moose (*Alces alces*) populations. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 52:153–
642 163
- 643 Ozato K, Shin DM, Chang TH, Morse HC (2008). TRIM family proteins and their
644 emerging roles in innate immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8 (11): 849–60.
645 doi:10.1038/nri2413
- 646 Pagani, F., & Baralle, F. E. (2004). Genomic variants in exons and introns: identifying
647 the splicing spoilers. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 5(5), 389–396.
648 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1327>
- 649 Park, H. J., Lee, D. H., Park, S. G., Lee, S. C., Cho, S., Kim, H. K., ... Park, B. C.
650 (2004). Proteome analysis of red deer antlers. *PROTEOMICS*, 4(11), 3642–3653.
651 <https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200401027>
- 652 Pavlidis, P., Jensen, J. D., Stephan, W., & Stamatakis, A. (2012). A Critical Assessment
653 of Storytelling: Gene Ontology Categories and the Importance of Validating
654 Genomic Scans. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29(10), 3237–3248.
655 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss136>
- 656 Perez-Gracia, J.P., Ruiz-Ilundain, M.G, Garcia-Ribas, I., & Carrasco, E.M. (2002). The
657 role of extreme phenotype selection studies in the identification of clinically relevant
658 genotypes in cancer research. *Cancer*, 95(7), 1605–1610.
659 <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.10877>
- 660 Potvin, F., & Breton, L. (2005). From the Field: Testing 2 aerial survey techniques on
661 deer in fenced enclosures—visual double-counts and thermal infrared sensing.
662 *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 33(1), 317–325. [https://doi.org/10.2193/0091-
663 7648\(2005\)33\[317:FTFTAS\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.2193/0091-7648(2005)33[317:FTFTAS]2.0.CO;2)
- 664 Pozo, R. A., Schindler, S., Cubaynes, S., Cusack, J. J., Coulson, T., & Malo, A. F.
665 (2016). Modeling the impact of selective harvesting on red deer antlers. *The*
666 *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 80(6), 978–989.
667 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21089>
- 668 Prince, D. J., O'Rourke, S. M., Thompson, T. Q., Ali, O. A., Lyman, H. S., Saglam, I. K.,
669 ... Miller, M. R. (2017). The evolutionary basis of premature migration in Pacific
670 salmon highlights the utility of genomics for informing conservation. *Science*
671 *Advances*, 3(8), e1603198. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1603198>
- 672 Ramanzin, M., & Sturaro, E. (2014). Habitat quality influences relative antler size and
673 hunters' selectivity in roe deer. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 60(1), 1–10.
674 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-013-0744-5>

675 Robinson, M.R. et al. (2013) Partitioning of genetic variation across the genome using
676 multimarker methods in a wild bird population. *Mol. Ecol.* 22, 3963–3980

677 Rogers, A. N., Vanburen, D. G., Hedblom, E., Tilahun, M. E., Telfer, J. C., & Baldwin, C.
678 L. (2005). Function of ruminant $\gamma\delta$ T cells is defined by WC1.1 or WC1.2 isoform
679 expression. In *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* (Vol. 108, pp. 211–
680 217). *Vet Immunol Immunopathol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2005.08.008>

681 Santure, A. W., & Garant, D. (2018). Wild GWAS-association mapping in natural
682 populations. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 18(4), 729–738.
683 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12901>

684 Santure, A. W., De Cauwer, I., Robinson, M. R., Poissant, J., Sheldon, B. C., & Slate, J.
685 (2013). Genomic dissection of variation in clutch size and egg mass in a wild great
686 tit (*Parus major*) population. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(15), 3949–3962.
687 <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12376>

688 Schlötterer, C., Tobler, R., Kofler, R., & Nolte, V. (2014). Sequencing pools of
689 individuals — mining genome-wide polymorphism data without big funding. *Nature*
690 *Reviews Genetics*, 15(11), 749–763. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3803>

691 Schoenebeck, C. W., & Peterson, B. C. (2014, June 1). Evaluation of hunter antler-size
692 selection through an age-specific comparison of harvested and naturally cast antler
693 metrics. *Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
694 <https://doi.org/10.3996/032013-jfwm-022>

695 Simard, M. A., T. Coulson, A. Gingras, and S. D. Côté. (2010). Influence of density and
696 climate on the population dynamics of a large herbivore under harsh environmental
697 conditions. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 74, 1671–1685

698 Simard, M.-A., J. Huot, S. de Bellefeuille and S. D. Côté. (2014). Influences of habitat
699 composition, plant phenology, and population density on autumn indices of body
700 condition in a northern white-tailed deer population. *Wildlife Monographs* 187, 1-28

701 Smit, AFA, Hubley, R & Green, P. (2019) RepeatMasker Open-4.0. 2013-2015.
702 Retrieved from <http://www.repeatmasker.org>

703 Smit, AFA, Hubley, R. (2019) RepeatModeler Open-1.0. 2008-2015 retrieved from
704 <http://www.repeatmasker.org>

705 Solberg, E. J., A. Loison, J.-M. Gaillard, and M. Heim. (2004). Lasting effects of
706 conditions at birth on moose body mass. *Ecography* 27:677–687

707 Stinchcombe, J. R. & Hoekstra, H. E. (2008). Combining population genomics and
708 quantitative genetics: Finding the genes underlying ecologically important traits.
709 *Heredity*, 100(2), 58–170 <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800937>

- 710 Supek, F., Bošnjak, M., Škunca, N., & Šmuc, T. (2011). REVIGO Summarizes and
711 Visualizes Long Lists of Gene Ontology Terms. PLoS ONE, 6(7), e21800.
712 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021800>
- 713 Tilk, S., Bergland, A., Goodman, A., Schmidt, P., Petrov, D., & Greenblum, S. (2019).
714 Accurate allele frequencies from ultra-low coverage Pool-seq samples in evolve-
715 and-resequence experiments. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 9(12), 4159–4168.
716 <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400755>
- 717 Treangen, T. J., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Repetitive DNA and next-generation
718 sequencing: computational challenges and solutions. Nature Reviews Genetics,
719 13(1), 36–46. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3117>
- 720 Vanpé, C., Gaillard, J. M., Kjellander, P., Mysterud, A., Magnien, P., Delorme, D., ...
721 Hewison, A. J. M. (2007). Antler size provides an honest signal of male phenotypic
722 quality in roe deer. American Naturalist, 169(4), 481–493.
723 <https://doi.org/10.1086/512046>
- 724 Visscher PM, Macgregor S, Benyamin B et al. (2007) Genome partitioning of genetic
725 variation for height from 11,214 sib- ling pairs. American Journal of Human
726 Genetics, 81, 1104–1110
- 727 Wang, Y., Zhang, C., Wang, N., Li, Z., Heller, R., Liu, R., ... Qiu, Q. (2019). Genetic
728 basis of ruminant headgear and rapid antler regeneration. Science, 364(6446),
729 eaav6335. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav6335>
- 730 Watanabe, K., Stringer, S., Frei, O., Mirkov, M., de Leeuw, C., Polderman, T. J. C., ...
731 Posthuma, D. (2019). A global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in
732 complex traits. Nature Genetics, 51(9), 1339–1348. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0481-0)
733 [019-0481-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0481-0)
- 734 Whitlock, M. C., & Lotterhos, K. E. (2015). Reliable Detection of Loci Responsible for
735 Local Adaptation: Inference of a Null Model through Trimming the Distribution of F
736 ST. The American Naturalist, 186(S1), S24–S36. <https://doi.org/10.1086/682949>
- 737 Williams, J. D., Krueger, W. F., & Harmel, D. H. (1994). Heritabilities for antler
738 characteristics and body weight in yearling white-tailed deer. Heredity, 73(1), 78–
739 83. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1994.101>
- 740 Zhang, F., & Lupski, J. R. (2015). Non-coding genetic variants in human disease: Figure
741 1. Human Molecular Genetics, 24(R1), R102–R110.
742 <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddv259>

744 Data Accessibility

745 Raw sequence data FastQ files are available on the Sequence Read Archive
 746 (Accession: PRJNA576136). All bioinformatic and analytical code available on GitLab
 747 (https://gitlab.com/WiDGeT_TrentU/PoolSeq). Raw data table have been uploaded to
 748 Dryad (Dryad Accession no. XXXXXX)

749 **Author Contributions**

750 S.J.A., S.D.C., and A.B.A.S. designed the study; S.D.C., J.H.R., and S.J.A. coordinated
 751 data collection and sample curation; S.J.A. performed research and analyzed data;
 752 S.J.A. wrote the manuscript with input from J.H.R., S.D.C., and A.B.A.S.

A) **B)** **Phenotype****Phenotype**

LGALS9 (Antler)

MTMR2 (Antler)

WC1.1 (Antler)

FLVCR2 (Body)

SLC7A3 (Body)

KRR1 (Body)

Scaffold	Window Position	p	Gene	Function
ref0001370	16015000	2.66E-37	LGALS9	The galectins are a family of beta-galactoside-binding proteins implicated in modulating cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions.
ref0001836	5479500	1.24E-34	MTMR2	This gene is a member of the myotubularin family of phosphoinositide lipid phosphatases.
ref0000845	16534000	5.97E-33	WC1.1	The function of ruminant gammadelta T cells is defined by WC1.1. This suggests a role in host response to cell proliferation.
ref0002391	7908000	1.75E-29	ITLN2	ITLN2 (Intelectin 2) is likely involved include carbohydrate binding.
ref0001924	2123500	1.52E-28	TRIM64	TRIM proteins are involved in pathogen-recognition and by regulation of transcriptional pathways in host defence (Ozato et.al., 2008).
ref0000845	16751500	1.14E-27	WC1.1	See above.
ref0002391	7907500	1.79E-27	ITLN2	See above.
ref0000505	9079500	1.37E-26	ATP5F1A	This gene encodes a subunit of mitochondrial ATP synthase.
ref0000881	8059500	1.91E-26	MYH9	This gene encodes a conventional non-muscle myosin.
ref0002644	7312000	2.23E-26	RIMS1	The protein encoded by this gene is a RAS gene superfamily member that regulates synaptic vesicle exocytosis.

Scaffold	Window Position	p	Gene	Function
ref0002232	15310000	1.71E-31	FLVCR2	This gene encodes a transmembrane protein that is a calcium transporter.
ref0000892	4172500	4.82E-29	SLC7A3	SLC7A3 appears to be involved in amino acid transmembrane transporter activity and basic amino acid transmembrane transporter.
ref0002547	2917000	2.98E-23	KRR1	KRR1 (KRR1 Small Subunit Processome Component Homolog) appears to be involved in cytosol and Gene Expression.
ref0000845	16369000	1.26E-20	WC1.1	The function of ruminant gammadelta T-cells is defined by WC1.1. This suggests a role in host response to cell proliferation.
ref0000438	13320500	1.64E-20	Uncharacterized	Function unknown.
ref0002813	16028000	8.08E-20	DOCK1	This gene encodes a member of the dedicator of cytokinesis protein family.
ref0002788	19947500	2.45E-19	SYK	This gene encodes a member of the family of non-receptor type Tyr protein kinase that is involved in coupling activated immunoreceptors to downstream signaling events that mediate diverse cellular responses, including proliferation, differentiation, and phagocytosis.
ref0000529	431000	4.31E-19	TRDV1	T cell receptors recognize foreign antigens which have been processed as small peptides and bound to major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules at the surface of antigen presenting cells (APC).
ref0002645	24851500	8.41E-19	Uncharacterized LOC110125691	Detected in other ruminants, function unknown.
ref0002547	2916500	1.27E-18	KRR1	See above.

Gene Name	Scaffold	Antler (<i>p</i>)	Body (<i>p</i>)	Function
OLIG1	ref0002192	4.08E-14	5.59E-10	Among its related pathways are Neural Crest Differentiation and Neural Stem Cells and Lineage-specific Markers.
TWIST1	ref0000889	4.29E-01	4.27E-10	This gene encodes a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor that plays an important role in embryonic development.
HMGA2	ref0000505	1.57E-11	3.28E-01	HMG proteins function as architectural factors and are essential components of the enhancosome.
IGF1	ref0000881	1.40E-04	6.40E-12	The protein encoded by this gene is similar to insulin in function and structure and is a member of a family of proteins involved in mediating growth and development.