## **1** Phylogenomic Discordance in the Eared Seals is best explained by

## 2 Incomplete Lineage Sorting following Explosive Radiation in the Southern

- 3 Hemisphere
- 4

5	Running tit	tle: Phylogen	nomics of Fur	· Seals and	Sea Lions
---	-------------	---------------	---------------	-------------	-----------

7	Authors: Fernando Lopes <sup>1,2*</sup> , Larissa R. Oliveira <sup>2,3</sup> , Amanda Kessler <sup>1</sup> , Yago Beux <sup>1</sup> , Enrique
8	Crespo <sup>4</sup> , Susana Cárdenas-Alayza <sup>5</sup> , Patricia Majluf <sup>5</sup> , Maritza Sepúlveda <sup>6</sup> , Robert L. Brownell
9	Jr. <sup>7</sup> , Valentina Franco-Trecu <sup>8</sup> , Diego Páez-Rosas <sup>9</sup> , Jaime Chaves <sup>10</sup> , Carolina Loch <sup>11</sup> , Bruce C.
10	Robertson <sup>12</sup> , Karina Acevedo-Whitehouse <sup>13</sup> , Fernando R. Elorriaga-Verplancken <sup>14</sup> , Stephen P.
11	Kirkman <sup>15</sup> , Claire R. Peart <sup>16</sup> , Jochen B. W. Wolf <sup>16</sup> , Sandro L. Bonatto <sup>1*</sup>
12	
13	<sup>1</sup> Escola de Ciências da Saúde e da Vida, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul,
14	90619-900 Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil
15	<sup>2</sup> Laboratório de Ecologia de Mamíferos, Universidade do Vale do Rio dos Sinos, São Leopoldo, RS,
16	Brazil
17	<sup>3</sup> GEMARS, Grupo de Estudos de Mamíferos Aquáticos do Rio Grande do Sul, 95560-000 Torres,
18	RS, Brazil
19	<sup>4</sup> CONICET, Centro Nacional Patagónico - CENPAT, Puerto Madryn, Argentina
20	<sup>5</sup> Centro para la Sostenibilidad Ambiental, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru
21	<sup>6</sup> Centro de Investigación y Gestión de Recursos Naturales (CIGREN), Facultad de Ciencias,
22	Universidad de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile
23	<sup>7</sup> National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA, La Jolla, United States of America
24	<sup>8</sup> Departamento de Ecología y Evolución, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República,
25	Montevideo, Uruguay

26	<sup>9</sup> Colegio de Ciencias Biológicas y Ambientales, COCIBA, Universidad San Francisco de Quito,
27	Quito, Ecuador
28	<sup>10</sup> Department of Biology, San Francisco State University, 1800 Holloway Ave, San Francisco, CA,
29	US
30	<sup>11</sup> Sir John Walsh Research Institute, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New
31	Zealand
32	<sup>12</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
33	<sup>13</sup> Unit for Basic and Applied Microbiology, School of Natural Sciences, Universidad Autónoma de
34	Querétaro, Querétaro, Mexico
35	<sup>14</sup> Instituto Politecnico Nacional, Centro Interdisciplinario de Ciencias Marinas, La Paz, Mexico
36	<sup>15</sup> Department of Environmental Affairs, Oceans and Coasts, Cape Town, South Africa
37	<sup>16</sup> Division of Evolutionary Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Münich, Germany
38	
39	Corresponding authors (*): slbonatto@pucrs.br; fernando.lopes@edu.pucrs.br
40	
41	
42	Keywords: Phylogenomics, ILS, hybridization, Pliocene, Pleistocene, monophyly

44 Abstract

45

The phylogeny and systematics of fur seals and sea lions (Otariidae) have long been 46 studied with diverse data types, including an increasing amount of molecular data. However, 47 only a few phylogenetic relationships have reached acceptance because of strong gene-tree 48 49 species tree discordance. Divergence times estimates in the group also vary largely between 50 studies. These uncertainties impeded the understanding of the biogeographical history of the 51 group, such as when and how trans-equatorial dispersal and subsequent speciation events 52 occurred. Here we used high-coverage genome-wide sequencing for 14 of the 15 species of Otariidae to elucidate the phylogeny of the family and its bearing on the taxonomy and 53 54 biogeographical history. Despite extreme topological discordance among gene trees, we 55 found a fully supported species tree that agrees with the few well-accepted relationships and establishes monophyly of the genus Arctocephalus. Our data support a relatively recent trans-56 57 hemispheric dispersal at the base of a southern clade, which rapidly diversified into six major lineages between 3 to 2.5 Ma. Otaria diverged first, followed by Phocarctos and then four 58 59 major lineages within Arctocephalus. However, we found Zalophus to be non-monophyletic, with California (Z. californianus) and Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus) grouping closer 60 61 than the Galapagos sea lion (Z. wollebaeki) with evidence for introgression between the two 62 genera. Overall, the high degree of genealogical discordance was best explained by incomplete lineage sorting resulting from quasi-simultaneous speciation within the southern 63 clade with introgression playing a subordinate role in explaining the incongruence among 64 65 and within prior phylogenetic studies of the family.

66

#### 68 INTRODUCTION

69	For some time, it was widely accepted that by increasing the volume of molecular
70	data even simple phylogenetic methods would unravel the true phylogenetic history of
71	species (Rokas et al. 2003; Faircloth et al. 2013; Hoban et al. 2013; McCormack and
72	Faircloth 2013). However, studies using whole genome data have found that inference of the
73	true species tree, if such a tree exists, may be extremely challenging for some parts of the tree
74	of life (Nakhleh 2013). These difficulties stem from a high degree of genealogical
75	discordance among genomic fragments (GF) trees estimated from partitioned genomic data
76	(e.g., genes or independent genomic fragments) (Peter 2016; Harris and DeGiorgio 2016;
77	Elworth et al. 2018; Jones 2019).
78	Theoretical and empirical studies have shown that genealogical incongruences have
79	three leading causes: incorrect estimation of the gene trees (e.g., caused by insufficient
80	phylogenetic information, incorrect model specification or intralocus recombination);
81	incomplete lineage sorting (ILS), found when ancestral polymorphism is persistent between
82	successive speciation events (see Maddison and Knowles 2006; Oliver 2013); and
83	introgression between lineages (hybridization) (e.g., Rheindt et al. 2014; Figueiró et al. 2017;
84	Zhang et al. 2017). While technical issues as in the first problem could, in theory, be
85	resolved, the latter two reflect the biological reality of evolutionary independence among
86	recombining, genomic fragments (Hudson 1983; Griffiths and Marjoram 1997). Most
87	methods used to estimate species trees assume only ILS, ignoring the consequences of
88	hybridization for phylogenetic reconstruction (Stamatakis 2014; Drummond and Bouckaert
89	2015). Despite recent progress in developing models that include introgression, such as the
90	so-called multispecies network models (e.g., Leaché et al. 2014; Wen and Nakhleh 2018),
91	they continue to present several limitations, in particular when dealing with more than a few
92	species (Degnam 2018). Consequently, resolving relationships among species that radiated

93	rapidly and	d putatively	underwent both	ILS and h	vbridization ha	s proven challenging
----	-------------	--------------	----------------	-----------	-----------------	----------------------

94 (Chakrabarty et al. 2017; Esselstyn et al. 2017; Reddy et al. 2017).

95 The difficulty in establishing phylogenetic consensus (see below) and evidence for current hybridization (Lancaster et al. 2006) make the otariids a compelling test case to assess 96 97 the relative impact of ancestral polymorphism and introgression on phylogenetic 98 reconstruction during rapid diversification. There are 15 extant species of fur seals and sea lions within the Otariidae (Berta et al. 2018) with some uncertainty regarding the taxonomic 99 100 status of species such as Arctocephalus philippii and A. townsendi (see Committee on Taxonomy of the Society for Marine Mammalogy 2020 for details, see Repenning et al. 101 102 1971; Yonezawa et al. 2009; Berta and Churchill 2012; Churchill et al. 2014, Berta et al. 2018). The initial diversification of the main lineages of Otariidae occurred around 11 103 104 (Yonezawa et al. 2009) to 9 Ma (Berta et al. 2018, Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2014, this 105 study). On the other hand, species in the disputed genus Arctocephalus (see below) emerged during a near-simultaneous succession of cladogenetic events within less than 0.5 Ma (Berta 106 et al. 2018; this study) corresponding to approximately 2.5 Ne generations (estimated from 107 data in Suppl. Table 3 of Peart et al. 2020). During such a short period, lineage sorting is 108 expected to be incomplete (Hudson et al. 2003; Rosenberg et al. 2003; Mugal et al. 2020) 109 110 with putative events of hybridization occurring, which makes this group particularly suited to investigate the underpinnings of gene tree species tree discordance. 111

Otariids occur in the North Pacific Ocean and Southern Hemisphere and are found from tropical waters in the eastern Pacific to polar regions (Churchill et al. 2014; Berta et al. 2018). Although the systematics and phylogeny of the family have been extensively studied for over 100 years (Sclater 1897; Scheffer 1958; Wynen et al. 2001; Deméré et al. 2003; Árnason et al. 2006; Yonezawa et al. 2009; Berta and Churchill 2012; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012; Churchill et al. 2014; Berta et al. 2018), several relationships, in particular those within *Arctocephalus*, the most diverse (eight species) otariid genus, remain unclear (Yonezawa et

119 al. 2009; Berta and Churchill 2012). For example, older studies based on morphology suggested grouping the fur seals (Callorhinus ursinus and Arctocephalus spp.) in the 120 Arctocephalinae, which are characterized by small body size and thick pelage, and the sea 121 122 lions in the Otariinae, which are characterized by larger body size and reliance on blubber rather than fur for thermal insulation (Berta and Demeré 1986; see review in Berta et al. 123 2018). However, more recent studies that used a combination of a few mitochondrial or 124 nuclear genes and morphological data did not support these subfamilies (e.g., Yonezawa et al. 125 2009; Berta and Churchill et al. 2012; Churchill et al. 2014; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 126 2014; Berta et al. 2018). Most of these phylogenies grouped the Southern Hemisphere 127 otariids (i.e., Otaria, Neophoca, Phocarctos, and Arctocephalus) in the so-called southern 128 clade, which is considered the sister clade of the sea lions of the Northern Hemisphere (i.e., 129 130 Zalophus and Eumetopias) (Yonezawa et al. 2009, Churchill et al. 2014).

Another major difference between studies concerns the monophyly of *Arctocephalus*. 131 132 A combined phylogeny produced by analyzing published morphological and molecular data reported Arctocephalus sensu lato as paraphyletic (Berta and Churchill 2012), restricting the 133 genus to the type species Arctocephalus pusillus, and assigning the remaining species to 134 135 Arctophoca. Other authors proposed that the use of Arctophoca was premature because of the remaining uncertainties surrounding the phylogenetic relationships in the group (e.g., 136 Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2014). Subsequently, the Committee on Taxonomy of the 137 138 Society for Marine Mammalogy, which initially supported the proposal of Berta and Churchill (2012), adopted the conservative use of Arctocephalus sensu lato for all southern 139 fur seals pending further studies (Committee on Taxonomy 2020). In short, there seemed to 140 be no two identical phylogenies for the family and no explanation for the high level of 141 discordance between studies. 142

143 The divergence times and biogeography within the Otariidae also present144 uncertainties, given the disagreement between studies. The most recent biogeographical

145	studies (e.g., Yonezawa et al. 2009; Churchill et al. 2014) agree on a North Pacific origin for
146	Otariidae and support the hypothesis of one primary trans-equatorial dispersal event into the
147	eastern South Pacific Ocean, that gave rise to the Southern Hemisphere clade (see Churchill
148	et al. 2014). It has been estimated that this dispersal event and the diversification of the
149	southern clade occurred at ~7 - 6 Ma (Yonezawa et al. 2009, Churchill et al. 2014, Berta et al.
150	2018). The more recent diversification within Arctocephalus may have occurred 4-3 Ma
151	(Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012) or as recently as <1 Ma (Berta et al. 2018).
152	In this study, we used whole genome sequence data to investigate the phylogenetic
153	relationships and estimate the divergence times of Otariidae species. We used several
154	phylogenomic approaches, including multispecies coalescent models, to clarify most of the
154 155	phylogenomic approaches, including multispecies coalescent models, to clarify most of the unresolved issues in the evolutionary history of Otariidae. We also investigated the main
154 155 156	phylogenomic approaches, including multispecies coalescent models, to clarify most of the unresolved issues in the evolutionary history of Otariidae. We also investigated the main factors responsible for the high level of topological incongruences within the family, finding
154 155 156 157	<ul> <li>phylogenomic approaches, including multispecies coalescent models, to clarify most of the</li> <li>unresolved issues in the evolutionary history of Otariidae. We also investigated the main</li> <li>factors responsible for the high level of topological incongruences within the family, finding</li> <li>they were caused by rampant incomplete lineage sorting and some introgression events.</li> </ul>

158

#### 159 MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 160 Sample Collection and Genome Sequencing

Skin samples from nine otariid species (Table 1) were collected from live or fresh
carcasses found ashore. Piglet ear notch pliers were used to extract ~0.5 cm<sup>3</sup> skin samples.
The samples were stored in ethanol 70% and cryo-preserved at -20 °C. Genomic DNA
extractions were carried out with DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's
protocol.

We sequenced the whole genome of one individual from seven species of *Arctocephalus* and two other monospecific genera (*Phocarctos* and *Otaria*) (Table 1 and
Supplementary Table S1 available on Dryad at https://doi:10.5061/dryad.pzgmsbchw).
Genomic libraries were prepared with Illumina DNA PCR-free or TruSeq Nano kits with an

insert size of 350 bp, and two libraries were sequenced (PE150) per lane on the Illumina

171 HiSeq X platform. Raw genome reads from *Arctocephalus gazella*, *Zalophus wollebaeki*,

172 Zalophus californianus, Eumetopias jubatus, and Callorhinus ursinus (Table 1 and

173 Supplementary Table S1) were retrieved from the NCBI Sequencing Read Archive

174 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra). We used the genome of the walrus, *Odobenus rosmarus* 

175 (ANOP00000000 - Foote et al. 2015) as the reference for mapping and as the outgroup for

176 most analyses. Since we had already started several analyses before genome-wide data from

177 C. ursinus, E. jubatus, and Z. californianus were available, we did not include them in some

178 less critical but time-consuming analyses.

179 Our study included 14 of the 15 extant Otariidae species (all *Arctocephalus*,

180 Phocarctos, Otaria, Zalophus, Eumetopias, and Callorhinus). Neophoca cinerea was not

181 included in our study. However, its position as the sister species of *P. hookeri* is

uncontentious (see Yonezawa et al. 2009; Berta and Churchill 2012; Nyakatura and Bininda-

183 Emonds 2012; Berta et al. 2018).

Sequencing quality control was performed using FastQC (Andrews 2010). Reads were 184 trimmed for vestigial adapters, mapped against the O. rosmarus genome and locally realigned 185 using the bam\_pipeline implemented on PALEOMIX 1.2.13.2 (Schubert et al. 2014). Reads 186 with length-size <100 bp and Phred-score <30 were filtered out by AdapterRemoval v2 187 (Schubert et al. 2016); the remaining paired-end reads were mapped using BWA 0.7.17 (Li 188 and Durbin 2009) and the -mem algorithm. Paired-end reads with mapping quality Phred-189 190 score <20, unmapped reads and single-reads were discarded from the downstream pipeline and reads that were sequenced more than two or less than one standard deviations from the 191 average of coverage of each genome (Supplementary Table S2) were not used in the analyses 192 (Arnold et al. 2013; Gautier et al. 2013). PCR duplicates were detected and removed by 193 194 Picard Tools 2.18.5 (broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), and miscalling indels were locally

realigned by GATK 3.8 (McKenna et al. 2010).

196

#### 197 Consensus, Alignments and SNP Calling

198	Consensus sequences of all genomes were generated with ANGSD 0.921 (Korneliussen
199	et al. 2014) using the parameters doFasta 2, doCounts1, and explode 1. Single-nucleotide
200	polymorphisms (SNPs) were called following the filters: uniqueOnly 1, remove_bads 1,
201	only_proper_pairs 1, C 50, baq 1, setMinDepth 140, setMaxDepth 1400, setMinDepthInd 5,
202	setMaxDepthInd 100, doCounts 1, GL 1, doMajorMinor 1, SNP_pval 1e-3, doGeno 32,
203	doPost 1, doPlink2. After the SNP calling, a PLINK variant panel was converted to VCF
204	format with Plink 1.9 (Chang et al. 2015). The VCF file did not contain SNPs from the
205	walrus genome. We removed all information of repetitive, coding, and transposons present in
206	the General Feature Format File of O. rosmarus genome with BEDTools 2.27.0 maskfasta
207	option (Quinlan and Hall 2010).

208

# 209 Phylogenetic Information, Phylogenomic Analyses, and Genealogical Discordance 210 Estimation

We first estimated relationships between species using the full sequence data set. A 211 whole-genome maximum-likelihood (ML) tree was inferred with RAxML-NG-MPI (Kozlov 212 213 et al. 2019) directly from the SNP panel using the HKY substitution model inferred with ModelTest-NG, 100 bootstrap replicates and C. ursinus as the outgroup. We also used the 214 VCF2Dis script (github.com/BGI-shenzhen) to estimate the p-distance matrix from the VCF 215 216 file, followed by a neighbor-joining tree with PHYLIP 3.697 (Felsenstein 1989). Additionally, we estimated ML trees for each alignment of the ten largest scaffolds with 217 RAxML-HPC-PTHREADS 8.2 (Stamatakis 2014) using GTR+G (best-fit substitution model 218 as estimated by ModelTest-NG for all the largest scaffolds, Darriba et al. 2019) and 100 219 bootstrap replicates. 220

221 Next, we estimated phylogenies using smaller segments partitioning scaffolds into sets of smaller nonoverlapping genomic fragments (GFs) of 10, 20, 50, 80, 100, and 200 kilobases 222 (kb) in length. To reduce the effect of linkage disequilibrium between GFs, they were 223 224 separated by 100 kb, regardless of window size, following Humble et al. (2018) demonstrating low levels of linkage disequilibrium ( $r^2 \sim 0.05$ ) at this physical distance in the 225 Antarctic fur seal. Several filters were used: scaffolds smaller than the GF partition size were 226 excluded; sites with more than 20% of missing data were removed with trimAl v1.4 (Capella-227 Gutierrez et al. 2009); alignments smaller than half of the original alignment size were also 228 229 discarded. To reduce the effect of intra-fragment genetic recombination on the phylogenetic estimation, we used the software 3Seq on *full run mode* (Lam et al. 2017). We removed the 230 alignments with evidence of recombination at a *p*-value <0.01 after Bonferroni correction 231 232 (Rice 1989). To test the effect in quantification of the genealogical discordance (see below) of both the spacing of GFs by 100 kb and of the 3Seq filtering for recombination, we 233 generated additionally datasets (only 50 kb GFs): with no 3Seq filtering (i.e. with all GFs) 234 and without the 100 kb spacing (i.e. contiguous GFs). 235 To assess the amount of genetic information content on GFs, we randomly sampled 236 10,000 GFs of 50 kb and used the AMAS tool (Borowiec 2016) to count the number of 237 parsimony-informative sites in these alignments and the number of differences between two 238 closely related fur seals (A. australis and A. galapagoensis). Finally, we reconstructed ML 239 trees with RAxML-HPC-PTHREADS 8.2 for each GF that passed by the mentioned filters in 240

all GF partitions (10 to 200 kb) using the same parameters as above.

To quantify the genealogical discordance throughout genomes, we counted the frequency of each topology with Newick Utilities 1.1 (Junier and Zdobnov 2010) for each set of GF trees by using the sub-programs nw\_topology and nw\_order in a pipeline. We also estimated the gene concordance factor (gCF) and the site concordance factor (sCF) (Minh et al. 2018) implemented in IQ-TREE 1.7 (Nguyen et al. 2015) as a complement to standard

247 measures of branch support (in this case bootstrap) and to quantify the disagreement among loci and sites in our phylogenomic dataset. The gCF is the percentage of decisive GF trees 248 showing a particular branch from a species tree, while sCF is the percentage of decisive 249 250 alignment sites supporting a branch in the reference tree when individual gene alignments are relatively uninformative (Minh et al. 2018). The estimation of gCF and sCF followed three 251 steps. First, in IQ-TREE, the species phylogeny used as reference was recovered based on all 252 (10,806) GFs of 50 kb concatenated, the edge-linked proportional partition model and 1,000 253 replicates of ultrafast bootstraping. Second, using a maximum-likelihood approach and 254 255 substitution models inferred for each locus, GF trees were estimated from each genomic fragment. Then, gCF and sCF were computed across all nodes of the generated species tree 256 and GF trees. The outputs were visualized with the support of the R script available on 257 258 http://www.robertlanfear.com/blog/files/concordance\_factors.html

259

#### 260 Species Tree Estimation

261 Two methods were used to reconstruct the species tree from multiple GF trees. First, all GFs ML trees were used to estimate a maximum quartet support species tree with the 262 multispecies coalescent model (MSC) of ASTRAL-III (Zhang et al. 2018) by applying the 263 264 exact search method. Second, we estimated the species tree and divergence times with the Bayesian Inference method StarBEAST2 implemented in the BEAST 2.5.2 package 265 (Rambaut and Drummond 2010; Bouckaert et al. 2014; Ogilvie et al. 2017). Since this 266 Bayesian analysis is very time-consuming and the ASTRAL species trees of all GF datasets, 267 except the 10 kb GF, were identical (see Results), we used 300 randomly selected GFs from 268 the 50 kb dataset. The main priors used were: linked clock models, constant population sizes, 269 the HKY substitution model with empirical base frequencies, an estimated six gamma 270 categories site model, and the Yule Tree model. To estimate divergence times, we used a 271 272 strict molecular clock as a prior with a lognormal distribution and a standard mammalian

genomic mutation rate of  $1 \times 10^{-8}$  bp<sup>-1</sup> gen<sup>-1</sup> (Kumar and Subramanian 2001; Peart et al. 2020),

with a large standard deviation of 0.4 (5% and 95% quantiles of  $4 \times 10^{-9}$  and  $4 \times 10^{-8}$  bp<sup>-1</sup>

275 gen<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) to account for other rates found in the literature. We assumed a generation

time of 10 years based on generation time estimates published by the IUCN (IUCN, 2017) as

compiled in Peart et al. (2020) for a subset of the species considered here. We also added two

278 calibration points in the phylogeny. One was at the origin of the Arctocephalus spp. clade,

279 based on the age of the oldest Arctocephalus fossil record (Arctocephalus sp. nov. -

280 Varswater Formation of South Africa), which constrained the origin of this group to a lower

bound of 2.7 Ma (Avery and Klein 2011), since the incomplete and imperfect nature of the

fossil records only provides evidence for the minimum age of a clade (Benton and Ayala

283 2003). The second was the date of the root, which we set as a normal prior with a mean of 20

284 Ma ( $\pm$  3.0) in the divergence between Otariidae and Odobenidae (Yonezawa et al. 2009;

285 Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012). We ran a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo

(MCMC) of 500,000,000 steps sampled each 20,000 with a burn-in of 10%. To test

287 underestimation of the internal branches due to possible undetected hybridizations (Leaché et

al. 2014, Elworth et al. 2019), we also estimated a StarBEAST2 species tree using only the

289 GFs of 50 kb whose ML tree topology was identical to our main species tree (see results)

using the same parameters as above. We checked the MCMC runs with Tracer 1.7 (Rambaut

and Drummond 2007).

As an additional estimation of divergence times, the species tree topology (recovered by ASTRAL-III and StarBEAST2) was used as input in the Bayesian species tree estimation of the BP&P program (Ziheng 2015; Flouri et al. 2018). We used the same 300 GFs of 50 kb applied in the initial StarBEAST analysis, and the following parameters: an MCMC chain of 2,000,000 replicates with burn-in of 200,000, a theta prior of 0.01 and a tau prior of 0.02. The theta prior specifies the inverse-gamma prior, the number of differences per kb, and the tau specifies the divergence time parameter for the root. For this analysis, the divergence times

299	were calibrated based on the age of the root as above (Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura and
300	Bininda-Emonds 2012). All trees were visualized and edited for clarity on FigTree 1.4.4
301	(Rambaut 2017) or Dendroscope 3 (Huson and Scornavacca 2012).

302

#### 303 Simulation of Genomic Fragments Trees from Assuming a Known Species Tree

304 To test if the high level of topological discordances between trees from the GFs could 305 be explained by ILS alone, we simulated 10,000 GF trees under a multispecies coalescent 306 framework implemented in the function sim.coaltree.sp in the R phylogenetic package Phybase (Liu and Yu 2010). As input for the simulations, we used our species tree as 307 estimated by StarBEAST2, which besides the topology, also estimated the branch lengths and 308 effective population sizes (*dmv* parameter in the StarBEAST2 species tree) for all internal 309 310 and terminal branches. Note that both the estimation of the species tree by StarBEAST2 and the GF trees simulated allowed the occurrence of ILS. We then tabulated the frequency of 311 the tree topologies and calculated the linear Pearson's correlation between the simulated and 312 313 empirical frequency distribution (following Wang et al. 2018).

314

#### 315 Mitochondrial Genome Phylogeny

We obtained the mitochondrial genomes of the fur seals and sea lions by mapping all 316 reads with PALEOMIX 1.2.13.2, using the parameters reported above for the nuclear 317 genomes, against a mitochondrial genome (mtDNA) available on GenBank (A. townsendi -318 319 NC008420). In order to validate the recovered mtDNAs, we assembled and aligned the generated sequences with those published on GenBank. After the alignment step, the 320 321 mitochondrial control region was excluded. An mtDNA Bayesian phylogenetic tree was estimated with BEAST 2.5.2 package with the parameters: Yule Tree Model prior; GTR 322 substitution model with four gamma categories (estimated with ModelTest-NG); and the 323

Uncorrelated Lognormal Clock Model with lognormal distribution with a mean substitution
rate of 2% site<sup>-1</sup> million year<sup>-1</sup> (Nabholz et al. 2007) and a standard deviation of 0.8.

326

#### 327 Introgression Between Species

Within the Dsuite package, we used the program Dtrios (Malinski 2019) and jackknife 328 blocks to infer D statistics (also called ABBA-BABA test). This analysis compares the 329 330 distribution of ancestral (A) and derived (B) sites in a four-taxa asymmetric phylogeny (((P1, P2), P3), O) with P1 to P3 being ingroups and O being the outgroup. Under the null 331 hypothesis that P1 and P2 descend from an ancestor that diverged at an earlier time from the 332 ancestral population of P3, derived alleles B should be found equally often in P1 and P2. 333 Consequently, GF trees following allelic ABBA or BABA relationships should be equally 334 likely for incompletely sorted ancestral polymorphism. Gene flow between P2 and P3 will 335 lead to an excess of ABBA patterns reflected in a positive D-statistic, gene flow between P1 336 and P3 to a surplus of BABA patterns reflected in a negative D-statistic (Durand et al. 2011). 337 338 In the Dsuite package, P1 and P2 are ordered so that nABBA >= nBABA and, consequently, is never negative. Statistical significance for a deviation of the D-statistic from zero was 339 assessed by calculating Z-scores and their associated p-values by the standard block-340 341 jackknife procedure (Durand et al. 2011), using p-value < 0.05 as an indication for a possible signal of introgression. To take into account the multiple testing problem, the p-values were 342 adjusted by the Bonferroni correction (Malinski 2019). The Dtrios program orders each trio 343 of taxa by assuming that the correct tree is the one where the BBAA pattern is more common 344 than the discordant ABBA and BABA patterns, which are assumed to be introgressed loci. 345 We also estimated the  $f_3$  and  $f_4$ -statistics (Patterson et al. 2012) in threepop and fourpop 346 modules, respectively, of TreeMix package (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012; Harris and 347 DeGiorgio 2016). The  $f_3$ -statistics explicitly tests whether a taxon of interest C is the result of 348 admixture between two other taxa A and B considering the product of allelic differentials 349

350 between populations (c-a)(c-b): negative values suggest that allele frequencies c are intermediate at many positions, which is consistent with a history of admixture while positive 351 values are not evidence against admixture. F<sub>4</sub>-statistics use unrooted four-population 352 353 phylogenies to visualize shared genetic drift among taxa. For a  $f_4$  ((A,B),(C,D)) topology without invoking admixture the allele frequency difference between A and B (a-b) and 354 between C and D (c-d) should be unrelated and hence results in  $f_4 = ((a-b)(c-d)) = 0$ . A 355 significantly positive f<sub>4</sub> implies gene flow between A and C, or B and D. Otherwise, a 356 significantly negative value implies gene flow between A and D, or B and C. Significant  $f_4$ 357 358 values may also be interpreted as a rejection of the given topology (Peter 2016; Zhenge and Janke 2018). The significance of  $f_3$  and  $f_4$ -statistics is based on the Z-score and was calculated 359 over 872 jackknife blocks of 50,000 SNPs. Significantly positive (Z > 3) and significantly 360 361 negative (Z < -3) values, after Bonferroni correction, reject the null hypothesis. We plotted the distribution of  $f_4$ -values with the function f4stats from admixturegraph (Leppälä et al. 362 2017), an R package. 363

We also used the newly developed QuIBL approach (Quantifying Introgression via 364 Branch Lengths - Edelman et al. 2019), a statistical framework to estimate the number of 365 discordant loci in a set of GF trees that reflect introgression events or ILS alone. Unlike D 366 and *f*-statistics, a QuIBL analysis does not rely on topology imbalances but instead uses the 367 distribution of internal branch lengths and calculates the likelihood that the discordant GF 368 tree for a given region is due to introgression rather than ILS (Edelman et al. 2019). To 369 distinguish whether the regions with local topologies discordant from the species tree were 370 371 more likely to introgression or ILS, we used a Bayesian information criterion (BIC) test with a strict cutoff of dBIC <-10 to accept the ILS+introgression model as a better fit for the data, 372 373 as suggested by the authors (Edelman et al. 2019). For this analysis, we used the GF trees generated from the partition of 50 kb. Since the analysis with 15 taxa (14 Otariidae plus 374 *Odobenus*) is time-consuming, we used every other topology (5,454 GF trees) from the full 375

dataset of 10,908 topologies. We used *O. rosmarus* as outgroup and our species tree
estimated above with QuIBL default parameters as recommended by the authors (Edelman et
al. 2019).

379

380 Results

381	Fourteen sequenced otariid genomes, including nine fur seals and five sea lion species
382	(Table 1), were mapped on the walrus genome with an average coverage of 27.79X ( $\pm$
383	12.07X) (see Supplementary Table S2). The largest scaffold was 231.63 million bases (Mb),
384	and the ten largest scaffolds summed-up to around 1.5 Gb, ~62% of the reference genome
385	(2.4 Gb). Repetitive regions in the reference genome were masked in the consensus genomes
386	(~40% of the reference genome), resulting in a high-quality non-repetitive alignment of ~1.1
387	Gb for further analyses. After filtering (removing masked regions, missing data, genomic
388	fragments (GFs) with less than 50% of the original information, and those with the signal of
389	intra-locus recombination), we obtained between 14,075 (with 10 kb) and 5,701 (with 200
390	kb) GFs a minimum of 100 kb apart from each other for the GF trees analyses (Table 2).
391	The Bayesian species tree (estimated with StarBEAST2 using 300 GF of 50 kb) (Fig.
392	1), the ASTRAL-III species trees (from thousands of ML trees using GFs ranging from 20kb
393	to 200 kb) (Supplementary Fig. S1), the ML trees of eight of the ten largest scaffolds
394	(Supplementary Fig. S2), the ML whole-genome tree (Supplementary Fig. S3), and the NJ
395	tree (estimated using the genetic distances among the whole genomes, Supplementary Fig.
396	S4) all resulted in the same tree topology with high support for most or all branches, hereafter
397	named as the Otariidae species tree.

This species tree strongly supports the existence of a Southern Hemisphere clade (see Churchill et al. 2014), the monophyly of the genus *Arctocephalus* and its close relationship to *P. hookeri* and *O. flavescens*. The clade of *Z. californianus* + *E. jubatus* + *Z. wollebaeki*,

401 Northern species with the southernmost range reaching the equator, was more distantly related to the Southern clade. C. ursinus, also a Northern hemispheric species, is sister to all 402 other otariids (Fig. 1b). Surprisingly, E. jubatus and Z. californianus grouped as sister 403 404 species, and Z. wollebaeki as sister to them. Within Arctocephalus, there were four main 405 lineages: A. pusillus + A. tropicalis; A. phillippii + A. townsendi; A. gazella and the clade comprised of A. forsteri + A. galapagoensis + A. australis (Fig. 1b). Only three alternative 406 topologies were found in these analyses, one in which *P. hookeri* and the *A. tropicalis* + *A.* 407 pusillus clade switched position (ASTRAL-III with GFs 10 kb, Supplementary Fig. S1) and 408 409 two in which A. gazella was found at two different positions within Arctocephalus (found in two ML scaffold trees) (Supplementary Fig. S2). 410

The phylogeny of the mitochondrial genomes (Supplementary Figs. S5 and S6) was 411 412 similar to the species tree, with high posterior probabilities for most nodes and only two 413 differences: (1) the switched position between P. hookeri and the A. tropicalis + A. pusillus clade, as in the ASTRAL species tree of 10 kb GFs, and 2) the sister relationship of A. 414 australis with A. forsteri, instead of with A. galapagoensis. The time scales differ, the 415 mtDNA phylogeny divergences were more recent, especially for C. ursinus and the northern 416 clade, and the southern clade diversification would have started ~2 Ma and did not occur as 417 rapidly as found in the nuclear genome species tree. 418

The species tree divergence times estimated with StarBEAST2 and BP&P were very 419 similar (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. S7). The divergence between walrus and the 420 421 Otariidae was 19.4 Ma (95% confidence interval (CI) = 17.2 - 23.2 Ma), and within the Otariidae, C. ursinus diverged ~9.1 Ma (95% CI = 8.1 - 10.9 Ma) followed by the clade Z. 422 wollebaeki + Z. californianus + E. jubatus at 5.4 Ma (95% CI = 4.8 - 6.4 Mya). After that, 423 around the Pliocene to Pleistocene transition, six lineages diverged almost simultaneously 424 425 (between ~3 and 2.5 Ma), originating in order: O. flavescens, P. hookeri, and the four main Arctocephalus lineages described above. Specifically, Arctocephalus diversification began 426

427	~2.8 Ma (95% CI = 2.5 - 3.3), the divergence times between A. pusillus + A. tropicalis and
428	between A. forsteri + A. australis + A. galapagoensis were very similar at, ~1.2 Ma (95% CI
429	= $1.0 - 1.4$ and $1.0 - 1.5$ , respectively). The two groups that diverged more recently were A.
430	phillippii + A. townsendi and A. australis + A. galapagoensis, at 0.6 and 0.5 Ma, respectively
431	(95% CI = $0.5 - 0.7$ and $0.4 - 0.6$ ). The most recent divergence occurred between Z.
432	wollebaeki, Z. californianus, and E. jubatus ~0.25 Ma (95% $CI = 2.1 - 3.1$ ). The main
433	difference between StarBEAST2 and BP&P results was that in the latter, the divergence of A.
434	gazella was almost simultaneous with that of A. forsteri (~1.2 Ma, Fig. 1 and Supplementary
435	Figure S7).
436	Finally, we evaluated whether the almost simultaneous divergence time for the six
437	lineages estimated in our species tree could be an artifact caused by the underestimation of
438	divergence times (shortening of internal branch lengths) in methods that do not account for
439	introgression (Elworth et al. 2019). In this context, we estimated a new StarBEAST2
440	calibrated species tree using only the 113 GFs of 50 kb whose ML tree topologies were
441	identical to the species tree. The divergence times of this tree were almost identical to the 300

GFs species tree, in particular, the six nodes related to the explosive radiation 442

(Supplementary Fig. S7), suggesting these very short divergence times were not artifacts of 443 unaccounted hybridizations (see discussion).

445

444

#### Genome Fragment Information Content and Phylogenetic Discordance 446

When the ML phylogeny of each GF was estimated separately, we found thousands 447 of different topologies in each GF dataset (Supplementary Fig. S8); most occurred just once 448 or a few times (that is, were estimated from one or a few GFs). The most frequent topology in 449 the 10 kb dataset occurred in only 45 of the 14,012 GFs (i.e., in ~0.4% of the GF, Table 2). 450 451 Although the frequency of the most common topology in each dataset proportionally increased with the size of the GFs (from 10 to 200kb), the most common topology only 452

453 comprised ~3.8% of all topologies in even in the 200 kb data set (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. S8). A very similar pattern was obtained for the alternative datasets with no filtering for 454 recombination and without the 100 kb spacing between GFs (Supplementary Table S3). The 455 456 Otariidae species tree was the most frequent topology in all datasets except for the 10 kb GF. 457 Information content was high for all GF size classes. Considering the 50 kb GF as an example, the mean variable sites between the two closest species (A. australis and A. 458 galapagoensis) was ~40, and the mean number of parsimony informative sites in the 459 alignments ~200 (Supplementary Fig. S9) yielding enough variation to estimate reliable GF 460 trees. 461

The IQ-TREE analysis recovered the same species tree topology with the highest 462 branch support (100) for all nodes (Supplementary Fig. S10). However, the four nodes (nodes 463 16, 17, 19 and 20) that define the relationships between the six main lineages of the southern 464 465 clade that arose almost simultaneously presented very low gene concordance (gCF: 19.9 -32.2) and site concordance (sCF: 39.2 - 43.7) factors (Supplementary Fig. S9). In contrast, 466 the other nodes showed much higher values for both statistics. Furthermore, less than 33% of 467 the 10,806 GF trees supported the species tree for those nodes, but >76% supported the 468 remaining nodes (Fig. 2). 469

470

471 Hybridization vs. Incomplete Lineage Sorting

We used *D* (ABBA-BABA test), *f3*, and *f4*-statistics to investigate whether there is evidence of past events of hybridization (genomic introgression) between the species and if these events could explain the high level of topological discordance found in the southern clade. No evidence of introgression was found in the *f*<sub>3</sub>-statistics as all values were positive (not shown). For the ABBA-BABA test, a few *D*-statistics were significant (p < 0.05), but all turn out non-significant after Bonferroni correction (not shown). Otherwise, *f*<sub>4</sub>-statistics identified many significant (even after Bonferroni correction) sets of shared drift pathways

479 between the species (Supplementary Figs. S11 and S12) that could be interpreted as signals of introgression or as supporting an alternative (to the species tree) phylogenetic relationship 480 between the species considered (Peter 2016; Zhenge and Janke 2018). The strongest signals 481 482  $(f_4 > 0.01)$  supported introgression between A. australis and A. forsteri. The other significant  $f_4$  values were very small ( $f_4 < 0.001$ , Supplementary Figs. S11 and S12). Note that except for 483 the tests that support introgression between A. australis and A. forsteri (Supplementary Fig. 484 S11), all the other significant results could be interpreted as implying an alternative 485 phylogenetic relationship between the six lineages that diverged almost simultaneously 486 487 within the southern clade (see above and Fig. 1, and Supplementary Fig. S7), that is, where the internal branches were extremely small. Therefore, we next used two approaches to test if 488 the high level of GF trees discordance (see above) and these  $f_4$ -statistics results could be 489 490 explained mostly by ILS, not introgression.

491 First, we used QuIBL analysis in distinguishing between ILS and introgression, which is thought to be more powerful than previous methods, such as  $f_4$ -statistics or the D statistics 492 (Edelman et al. 2019). This method uses the distribution of internal branch lengths to 493 calculate the likelihood that a given genome fragment shows its GF topology due to 494 introgression rather than ILS. QuIBL suggested that ILS could explain almost all significant 495 496  $f_4$  results in those clades that emerged almost simultaneously (Supplementary Table S3). It identified only three significant events of hybridization with similar intensities: between A. 497 forsteri and the ancestor of A. australis and A. galapagoensis; between the ancestor of A. 498 philippii and A. townsendi and A. gazella; and between Z. wollebaeki and the ancestor of Z. 499 500 californianus and E. jubatus (Fig. 3).

501

Next, 10,000 GF trees were simulated using a multispecies coalescent model (that allows ILS but not introgression), whose parameters (the topology, divergence times, and effective population sizes) were those estimated by the StarBEAST2 species tree (Fig. 1).

505	The frequency distribution of the simulated GF tree topologies was similar to the observed
506	distributions, in particular, for the 200 kb GFs partition (Supplementary Fig. S8). The
507	simulation also presented the species tree as the most frequent topology (Table 2). The
508	coefficient of correlation between the observed (50 and 200 kb data sets) and simulated
509	distributions was 0.73 (Supplementary Fig. S13), which was high considering that the
510	simulated topologies are true GF trees and are not affected by the uncertainties of the
511	estimation as in the empirical dataset. These results suggest that the high level of GF tree
512	discordance observed here could mostly be explained by ILS alone rather than by
513	introgression events.

514 DISCUSSION

515 Otariidae Phylogenomics

516 We present the first whole genome species tree of the Otariidae, which consistently 517 recovered a phylogeny with high support using several different approaches. Our phylogeny 518 also resolved uncertainties still prevalent to date in this group, such as the monophyly of Arctocephalus. The only species for which we could not obtain a genome sequence was 519 Neophoca cinerea. Although some recent molecular studies support that N. cinerea is sister 520 to P. hookeri (e.g., Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012), some have 521 522 suggested that it may be positioned elsewhere (Deméré and Berta 2003) including a sister position to all Otariidae except C. ursinus (Churchill et al. 2014). Future integration of the 523 524 *Neophoca* genome to the data presented here thus constitutes a critical step to fully resolve 525 the phylogeny of the Otariidae.

526 Our results strongly support *C. ursinus* as a sister species to all other Otariidae, which 527 was also supported by other phylogenetic studies (Wynen et al. 2001; Árnason et al. 2006; 528 Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012; Berta and Churchill 2012; 529 Churchill et al. 2014), thoroughly refuting the validity of the subfamilies Arctocephalinae and 530 Otariinae. It is noteworthy that, considering our whole-genome phylogenies and other recent

studies, *Callorhinus* diverged ca. 4 million years before the diversification of the rest of the
family (Yonezawa et al. 2009; Boessenecker 2011; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012;
Berta et al. 2018).

Our study results offer robust support for the existence of the Northern Sea Lion clade, 534 535 proposed by Churchill et al. (2014), consisting of Zalophus and Eumetopias (see Fig. 1). This Northern clade has been recovered in several previous studies (see Berta et al. 2018; 536 Churchill et al. 2014; Berta and Churchill 2012; Yonezawa et al. 2009; Higdon et al. 2007; 537 Árnason et al. 2006; Wynen et al. 2001), that also supported the monophyly of Zalophus 538 (Wolf et al. 2007; Yonezawa et al. 2009; Churchill et al. 2014; Berta and Churchill 2012; 539 540 Berta et al. 2018). Our analysis, however, recovered an unexpected but fully supported and close relationship between E. jubatus and Z. californianus with Z. wollebaeki as sister to 541 them. It should be noted that most previous studies that support the monophyly of Zalophus 542 543 used a few fragments of mtDNA (Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012; Berta and Churchill 2012; Churchill et al. 2014, including the extinct Japanese sea lion 544 - Wolf et al. 2007). Interestingly, the only study that used exclusively nuclear markers (AFLP 545 data) found the same non-monophyletic relationship as found here (Dasmahapatra et al. 546 2009). If this relationship is supported by further studies, a taxonomy change would be 547 548 necessary, such as synonymizing Zalophus with Eumetopias. The introgression we found between these species (see below) may help to explain their very recent divergence times and 549 the very short internal branch separating Z. wollebaeki from the other two species (Fig. 1b). 550 551 Together, our results motivate in-depth genomic-scale studies of this clade revisiting previous small-scale genetic studies of these species (Wolf et al. 2008; Schramm et al. 2009). 552

553 Previous authors had reached no consensus regarding the relationships between 554 *Arctocephalus* spp., *P. hookeri*, *O. flavescens*, and *N. cinerea*, which has been called the 555 southern clade (Churchill et al. 2014), except for a few subgroups within *Arctocephalus* 556 (Berta et al. 2018). Our dated species trees showed that most of the speciation within the

557 southern clade was almost simultaneous (Fig. 1b), which could explain the high number of different phylogenetic relationships found for this group to date. Our analyses based on 558 genome-wide data provide strong support for this clade, with the South American sea lion (O. 559 560 *flavescens*) being the first species to diverge around 3 Mya, followed by the New Zealand sea lion (P. hookeri) and a monophyletic Arctocephalus, both at ~2.8 Mya. The genomic data and 561 the many different phylogenetic approaches we used support monophyly of Arctocephalus 562 and did not support the use of Arctophoca as first suggested by Berta and Churchill (2012). 563 Within Arctocephalus, four main lineages originated in fast succession between ~2.8 564 and 2.5 Ma. The first to diverge was A. pusillus + A. tropicalis. This position within 565 Arctocephalus for this clade was also found in several other recent studies (e.g., Berta et al. 566 2018), although some studies found it to have diverged before *Phocarctos* (e.g., Yonezawa et 567 al. 2009). The divergence time between the two species was ~1.2 Ma. The next clade to 568 569 diverge was the clade with A. phillippii + A. townsendi, with those species diverging more recently at ~0.6 Ma. These results were expected since they were reported as sister species in 570 all previous molecular phylogenies (Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 571 2012) and are morphologically very similar, with some authors still considering A. townsendi 572 a subspecies of A. phillippii (e.g., Committee on Taxonomy 2020 following Berta and 573 Churchill 2012). Considering the divergence time between these two species, which is similar 574 or older than that between A. australis and A. galapagoensis, and their geographic isolation, 575 we agree with most of the recent literature on their taxonomic status as full species 576 (Repenning et al. 1971; Higdon et al. 2007; Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura et al. 2012; 577 578 Aurioles-Gamboa 2015; Berta et al. 2018). The grouping of A. gazella with the A. forsteri + A. australis + A. galapagoensis clade was found in some recent studies (e.g., Yonezawa et al. 579 580 2009; Churchill et al. 2014), although A. gazella was found in a polytomy with other Arctocephalus species in most cases (e.g., Yonezawa et al. 2009; Berta et al. 2018) or in other 581 positions. Finally, the A. forsteri + A. australis + A. galapagoensis clade was also highly 582

583 expected, as these species were always closely related in previous phylogenetic studies and, until around 1970s, these three taxa were considered conspecific (Reppening et al. 1971, 584 Brunner 2004). Nevertheless, there is a question over the species status of the New Zealand 585 586 fur seal (A. forsteri), that was also considered a subspecies under A. australis (see Berta and Churchill 2012), and indeed, we found evidence of a low level of introgression between New 587 Zealand fur seal and the South American fur seal (see below). However, we support A. 588 forsteri as a full species based on the same arguments mentioned above for A. phillippii and 589 A. townsendi, also considering that they diverged from A. australis + A. galapagoensis more 590

591 than 1 Ma.

The placement of *P. hookeri* and *A. tropicalis* + *A. pusillus* were switched in the 592 phylogeny obtained from mitochondrial genomes (Supplementary Figs. S5 and S6). This 593 helps to explain why most of the previous molecular studies recovered a non-monophyletic 594 Arctocephalus, as mtDNA constituted most or all the sequence data used in these studies 595 596 (e.g., Árnason et al. 2006; Higdon et al. 2007; Wolf et al. 2007; Yonezawa et al. 2009; Churchill et al. 2014; Berta et al. 2018). The position of A. forsteri as sister species of A. 597 australis in our mtDNA tree instead of A. galapagoensis, as in our nuclear genome species 598 tree, is also observed in other mtDNA phylogenies (e.g., Wynen et al. 2001; Yonezawa et al. 599 2009). However, studies with mtDNA sequences from multiple individuals from A. forsteri 600 601 and A. australis found several lineages in each species that are intermixed (e.g., Yonezawa et al. 2009). This complex picture, in particular the intermixing of lineages, could be explained 602 603 by ILS since the grouping of A. australis and A. forsteri occurred in ~0.8% of the simulated trees. However, introgression could also have played a role in the history of this group, as the 604 QuIBL analysis and the f<sub>4</sub>-statistics (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. S11) indicated significant 605 admixture between A. australis and A. forsteri (see below). Intermixing of individuals of A. 606 607 *australis* and A. galapagoensis has likewise been reported for mtDNA (Wolf et al. 2007), further emphasizing that the A. forsteri/australis/galapagoensis clade warrants further study. 608

#### 609 Divergence Times and Biogeographical Inferences

610 Our results agree with most previous divergence time estimates and fossil dating that supported a North Pacific origin for Otariidae and the split from Odobenidae at ~19 Ma, in 611 the lower Miocene (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S7 - Demeré et al. 2003; Árnason et al. 612 613 2006; Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012; Churchill et al. 2014; Berta et al. 2018), when early Odobenidae and Otariidae co-occurred (Boessenecker and 614 Churchill 2015). The divergence of Callorhinus at ~9 Ma is also similar to most previous 615 estimates (e.g., Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012), although Berta 616 et al. (2018) suggested a much older divergence at ~16 Ma. A comparison of our results with 617 previous divergence times (Yonezawa et al. 2009; Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2012; 618 Berta et al. 2018) is not straightforward given the differences in topologies. Some significant 619 points, however, can be made. First, no previous study detected the explosive radiation at the 620 621 beginning of the diversification of the southern clade around the Pliocene-Pleistocene boundary. Second, our estimates of divergence between the northern (C. ursinus, Zalophus 622 spp. and E. jubatus) and the southern clades (O. flavescens, P. hookeri, and Arctocephalus 623 spp.) at ~5.3 Ma, and the initial diversification within the northern (~0.25 Ma) and the 624 southern (3-2.5 Ma) clades are younger than most previous estimates (Yonezawa et al. 2009; 625 Nyakatura and Bininda-Emonds 2014; Churchill et al. 2014; Berta et al. 2018). As an 626 extreme example, Berta et al. (2018) estimated the divergence between Otaria, Phocarctos, 627 and Arctocephalus at >6 Ma. On the other hand, Berta et al. (2018) estimated that the 628 diversifications within Arctocephalus (except for the A. pusillus + A. tropicalis clade) are 629 630 very recent, <1 Ma. It should be noted that, although we detected possible evidence for three introgression events with only a moderate extent (~10% of genomic introgression, Fig. 3 and 631 632 below), we may have still underestimated some divergence times since the methods used here did not consider introgression. This may be the case for the very recent speciation times 633

between the three species of the northern clade that could be underestimated due to pastintrogression events (Fig. 3).

Our phylogenomic results broadly agree with a scenario of a relatively recent trans-636 equatorial dispersal towards the Southern Hemisphere, likely along the Pacific coast of South 637 638 America (see Yonezawa et al. 2009; Churchill et al. 2014, Berta et al. 2018). For a better understanding of this biogeographical history, we used data such as the age and phylogenetic 639 position of fossils. In the Southern Hemisphere, most of the otariid fossils date back to the 640 Pleistocene, with Hydrarctos known from sediments of the end of the Pliocene. Hydrarctos 641 (Muizon 1978; Muizon and DeVries 1985; Avery and Klein 2011) is the oldest known otariid 642 fossil from South America and comes from the Pisco Formation of Peru. However, its 643 phylogenetic position is uncertain. It has been more consistently placed within the southern 644 clade due to its morphological similarity with Arctocephalus (Muizon 1978), but was also 645 646 positioned outside the southern clade as the sister taxon to all extant otariids (Churchill et al. 2014; Berta et al. 2018). Our divergence time estimates do not support the position of 647 *Hydrarctos* inside the southern clade since the diversification of the latter group started at  $\sim 3$ 648 Mya, and the youngest date of the fossil is ~3.9 Ma (it may be as old as ~6.6 Ma, see Muizon 649 1978; Muizon and DeVries 1985; Churchill et al. 2014). Therefore, Hydrarctos is likely a 650 sister clade to the southern clade or, assuming the oldest dates, may represent an independent 651 (and extinct) trans-equatorial dispersal towards the west coast of South America that 652 preceded the one that gave rise to the extant southern clade. Arctocephalus sp. nov., a fossil 653 that belongs to the Varswater Formation of South Africa, was dated between ~2.7-5 Ma 654 655 (Avery and Klein 2011), and we used its most recent date as the minimum age for Arctocephalus in our StarBEAST2 calibrated species tree. Our point estimate in the origin of 656 657 Arctocephalus was ~2.8 Ma (95% CI ranging from 2.4 to 3.3 Ma, Fig. 1b), close to the minimum limit. The divergence times of the species tree reestimated using only the calibrated 658 point at the root (20 Ma) with BP&P (Supplementary Fig. S7b) resulted in very similar 659

values, therefore supporting our estimates of diversification of the southern clade dates
between ~3 Mya and 2.5 Ma. However, the occurrence of *Arctocephalus* in South Africa at
this time means that it had already been established in South America before its eastern
dispersal to Africa.

664 Based on these results, dispersal to the Southern Hemisphere could have occurred anytime between ~5 Ma, the split of the southern clade from the northern Zalophus group, 665 and  $\sim 3$  Ma, the beginning of the explosive radiation within the southern clade. However, if 666 Hydrarctos is considered a member of the southern clade with a minimum age of ~4 Ma, the 667 southern dispersal could have occurred more than 1 myr before the burst of diversification of 668 669 the extant species. At the moment, it is not practical to speculate as to the specific moment of the trans-equatorial dispersal within this large interval (between ~3 and 5 Ma). There are, 670 however, environmental conditions within this timeframe that may have facilitated trans-671 672 equatorial dispersal such as lower sea temperatures in the equatorial zone (Churchill et al. 2014) and its concomitant higher ocean primary productivity. The period between the early 673 Pliocene (~4 Ma) and the mid-Pliocene (~3.5 Ma) was characterized by warm temperatures 674 (Fig. 1c, Fedorov et al. 2013) and low-productivity waters that likely impeded the trans-675 hemispheric dispersal at that time (O'Dea et al. 2012; Fedorov et al. 2013; Churchill et al. 676 677 2014). A trans-hemispheric dispersal more recent than estimated in previous studies (>5Ma, e.g., Yonezawa et al. 2009), and closer to the time of the southern clade diversification (~3 678 679 Ma), better explains the absence of otariids in the North Atlantic waters, since the total closure of the Central American Seaway finished ~3 Ma (O'Dea et al. 2012). 680

Conversely, the rapid diversification of the southern clade over the Southern
Hemisphere, occurring during a relatively short time interval (between ~3.0-2.5 Ma), may be
more firmly linked to major climatic events. Around 3 Ma, a sharp global cooling started
(Fig. 1c), associated with the beginning of the Northern Hemisphere Glaciation (Fedorov et
al. 2013; Marlow et al. 2000). The environmental changes caused by the concomitant global

686	cooling during the Plio-Pleistocene transition and the total closure of the Panama Isthmus
687	would have provided a suitable niche for otariids, driven by the increase of primary
688	productivity in the Southern Pacific Ocean (O'Dea et al. 2012; Churchill et al. 2014). These
689	changes may have opened the way for long-distance dispersal events within the Southern
690	Hemisphere, with the establishment of new colonies and local adaptation to new niches,
691	facilitating rapid speciation.
692	
693	Genealogical Discordances, ILS and Introgression
694	We found a high degree of topological discordance between the trees estimated from
695	GFs along genomes (including the single locus mtDNA), with many topologies appearing in
696	only one GF, even in the GFs of 200 kb (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. S8). These results

697 explain the high degree of discordances among the phylogenies estimated by all previous

698 studies and why it has been challenging to find a robust classification for the Otariidae based

on a few genes. This high GF tree discordance could not be attributed to a lack of information

in the GFs in general since most internal nodes, both older and recent, had high support

values (e.g., sCF values in Supplementary Figs. S10). Most of the discordance was

concentrated in the four nodes that gave rise to the six main lineages in the southern clade

703 (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. S10) and their extremely short internal branches. The

rotation explosive radiation (i.e., fast successive speciation events) at the origin of the southern clade

vas accompanied by short internal branches increasing the occurrence of ILS (see the small

706 gCF values at theses nodes in Fig. 2) (Suh et al. 2015).

Topological discordance between genomic regions is not unusual and is being observed
with increasing frequency in recent phylogenomic studies (e.g., Martin et al. 2013; Li et al.
2016; Pease et al. 2016; Árnason et al., 2018; Sun et al. 2020). The sources of topological
discordances are mainly attributed to ILS, as suggested above, and hybridization (Bravo et al.
2019). Recent genomic studies have shown that introgression, mainly inferred with *D*-

712 statistics or related statistics (e.g., ABBA-BABA, f3, and f4), is widespread in the history of several groups (e.g., Pease et al. 2016; Figueiró et al. 2017; Masello et al. 2019). Here, we 713 suggest that the several rapid successive events of speciation violated the assumptions of the 714 715 bifurcating species tree and led to substantially false-positive signals of introgression in f4 716 analysis, since the extremely short internal nodes do not allow this method to distinguish the true tree from alternative topologies (Durand et al. 2011; Eriksson and Manica 2012; 717 Malinsky et al. 2018). In similar cases, we suggest replacing f4-statistics with methods that 718 seem more robust to such artifacts, such as the recently developed QuIBL approach (Edelman 719 720 et al. 2019). Instead, for a limited number of cases, prominent in the genus Arctocephalus, introgression seems to have contributed to the incongruencies. Considering present-day lack 721 722 of firm reproductive barriers between several Arctocephalus species (Churchill et al. 2014), 723 introgression during cladogenesis or shortly thereafter seems indeed plausible.

724 There have been recent implementations in the phylogenetic algorithms to infer divergence times that included hybridization in the multispecies coalescent models to 725 estimate species networks (Zhang et al. 2017; Wen et al. 2018; Wen and Nakhleh 2018; Jones 726 2019). We have tried to recover a species network using four of these methods: the 727 SpeciesNetwork (Zhang et al. 2018) and DENIM (Jones 2019), both implemented in 728 729 StarBEAST2, and the MCMC\_GT and MCMC\_SEQ from PhyloNet (Wen et al. 2018). For these analyses, we used 100 GFs of 1 kb and 5 kb selected among those with more variation 730 731 from the 300 GFs of 50 kb used in the StarBEAST2 analyses (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. S7a). Unfortunately, either the Bayesian estimations did not stabilize even after long runs 732 733 (>1 billion steps, DENIM), or we recovered several topologies that differed markedly from all other main topologies recovered with other methods (SpeciesNetwork and PhyloNet). 734 735 Similar inconsistencies have also been found in other studies (e.g., Chen et al. 2019) and could be related to the high complexity of the models with a higher number of taxa (since 736 these methods are mostly recommended for use with less than six taxa). The virtual polytomy 737

between six lineages and the consequent high levels of ILS in our dataset may also have

739 contributed to the non-stabilization of the analyses.

740 The relationships within the clade comprising A. australis, A. galapagoensis, and A. forsteri seem to reflect a complex scenario since we found evidence for both introgression 741 and ILS between these species. Furthermore, previous studies based on mtDNA have found 742 743 the absence of reciprocal monophyly among species (Wynen et al. 2001; Wolf et al. 2007; Yonezawa et al. 2009) and the possible existence of at least one cryptic species (King 1954; 744 Repenning et al. 1971; Wynen et al. 2001; Oliveira et al. 2008; Yonezawa et al. 2009; 745 Oliveira and Brownell 2014). Therefore, this clade needs a more in-depth study, analyzing 746 samples from several populations. 747 **CONCLUSIONS** 748

1. We used entire genome sequencing for 14 (missing *Neophoca cinerea*) of the extant
15 species of Otariidae to determine the phylogeny of this family and its bearing on its
taxonomy and biogeographical history. Despite extreme topological discordance among GF
trees, we found a fully supported species tree that agrees with the few well-accepted
relationships found in previous studies.

2. Overall, the substantial degree of genealogical discordance was mostly accounted for
by incomplete lineage sorting of ancestral genetic variation, though with a contribution of
introgression in some clades.

3. A relatively recent trans-equatorial dispersal 3 to 2.5 Ma at the base of the southern
clade rapidly diversified into six major lineages. *Otaria* was the first to diverge, followed by *Phocarctos* and then four lineages within *Arctocephalus*. This dispersal most likely occurred
along the Pacific coast.

761	4. We found Zalophus and Eumetopias, from the northern clade, to be paraphyletic,
762	with California sea lions (Z. californianus) more closely related to Steller sea lions
763	(Eumetopias jubatus) than to Galapagos sea lions (Z. wollebaeki). However, the internal
764	branch separating Z. wollebaeki from the other two species is very short, their divergence
765	times are very recent, and we detected a signal of introgression between these two groups. It
766	is necessary to conduct a more in-depth study of this clade, with genomic information from
767	many individuals throughout the species' distributions.
768	5. Quasi-simultaneous speciation within the southern clade led to extensive incomplete
769	lineage sorting throughout the genomes, resulting in a high level of genealogical discordance,
770	which explains the incongruence among and within prior phylogenetic studies of the family.
771	6. We suggest the use of recently developed methods of QuIBL when rapid successive
772	events of speciation are detected to quantify events of genomic introgression. In similar
773	cases, f4-statistics can violate the assumptions of the bifurcating species tree, leading to
774	substantially false-positive signals of introgression.
775	7. Resolving a long-standing controversy, we found that the genus Arctocephalus is
776	monophyletic, which makes the genus Arctophoca a junior synonym of Arctocephalus.
777	
778	SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
779	- Raw sequencing data is available at NCBI BioProject PRJNA576431
781	- Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: doi:10.5061/dryad.pzgmsbchw
782	
783	

### 785 FUNDING

786	This work was mainly supported by grants from CNPq and FAPERGS (PRONEX
787	12/2014) governmental agencies in Brazil to S.L.B, a US Navy NICOP 2015 granted to
788	Eduardo Eizirik and S.L.B., CNPq Research Productivity Fellowships (to S.L.B:
789	310472/2017-2, and to L.R.O.: 308650/2014-0 and 310621/2017-8). Funding was further
790	provided by the Swedish Research Council (FORMAS) and LMU Munich to J.W,
791	Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nivel Superior (CAPES) (Brazil) - Finance
792	Code 001 Ph.D. scholarship, Society for Marine Mammalogy Small Grants-in-aid of
793	Research 2016, and CNPq INCT-EECBio process number 380752/2020-4 to F.L.
794	
795	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
796	We are very thankful for the support of all people and institutions that contributed to
797	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and
797 798	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and Kelly Robertson which contributed with samples; Laboratório de Biologia Genômica e
797 798 799	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and Kelly Robertson which contributed with samples; Laboratório de Biologia Genômica e Molecular-PUCRS staff and students for support, general suggestions, and discussions;
797 798 799 800	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and Kelly Robertson which contributed with samples; Laboratório de Biologia Genômica e Molecular-PUCRS staff and students for support, general suggestions, and discussions; Jochen B. W. Wolf Group and Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München which kindly
797 798 799 800 801	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and Kelly Robertson which contributed with samples; Laboratório de Biologia Genômica e Molecular-PUCRS staff and students for support, general suggestions, and discussions; Jochen B. W. Wolf Group and Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München which kindly hosted F.L. in his sandwich Ph.D.; two anonymous reviewers, and the associate editor and
797 798 799 800 801 802	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and Kelly Robertson which contributed with samples; Laboratório de Biologia Genômica e Molecular-PUCRS staff and students for support, general suggestions, and discussions; Jochen B. W. Wolf Group and Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München which kindly hosted F.L. in his sandwich Ph.D.; two anonymous reviewers, and the associate editor and editor-in-chief for their helpful comment; and Nathaly Miranda who reviewed many versions
797 798 799 800 801 802 803	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and Kelly Robertson which contributed with samples; Laboratório de Biologia Genômica e Molecular-PUCRS staff and students for support, general suggestions, and discussions; Jochen B. W. Wolf Group and Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München which kindly hosted F.L. in his sandwich Ph.D.; two anonymous reviewers, and the associate editor and editor-in-chief for their helpful comment; and Nathaly Miranda who reviewed many versions of this manuscript.
797 798 799 800 801 802 803 803	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and Kelly Robertson which contributed with samples; Laboratório de Biologia Genômica e Molecular-PUCRS staff and students for support, general suggestions, and discussions; Jochen B. W. Wolf Group and Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München which kindly hosted F.L. in his sandwich Ph.D.; two anonymous reviewers, and the associate editor and editor-in-chief for their helpful comment; and Nathaly Miranda who reviewed many versions of this manuscript.
797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805	this manuscript. We are also very grateful for the support of GEMARS, NOAA-SWFSC and Kelly Robertson which contributed with samples; Laboratório de Biologia Genômica e Molecular-PUCRS staff and students for support, general suggestions, and discussions; Jochen B. W. Wolf Group and Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München which kindly hosted F.L. in his sandwich Ph.D.; two anonymous reviewers, and the associate editor and editor-in-chief for their helpful comment; and Nathaly Miranda who reviewed many versions of this manuscript.

807 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 808

### 809 REFERENCES

- Arnason U., Lammers F., Kumar V., Nilsson M.A., Janke A. 2018. Whole-genome sequencing of the
- blue whale and other rorqual finds signatures for introgressive gene flow. Sci. Adv. 4:eaap9873
- Árnason U., Gullberg A., Janke A., Kullberg M., Lehman N., Petrov E.A., Väinölä R. 2006. Pinniped
  phylogeny and a new hypothesis for their origin and dispersal. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 41:345-

- Arnold B., Corbett-Detig R.B., Hartl D., Bomblies K. 2013. RADseq underestimates diversity and
- introduces genealogical biases due to nonrandom haplotype sampling. Mol. Ecol. 22:3179-3190.
- 817 Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Available online
- 818 at http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc
- Aurioles-Gamboa D. 2015. *Arctocephalus philippii*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015:
  e.T2059A61953525
- Avery G., Klein R.G. 2011. Review of fossil phocid and otariid seals from the southern and western
  coasts of South Africa. T. Roy. Soc. S. Afr. 66:14-24
- Benton M.J., Ayala F.J. 2003. Dating the Tree of Life. Science 300:1698-1700
- Berta A., Churchill M. 2012. Pinniped taxonomy: Review of currently recognized species and
  subspecies, and evidence used for their description. Mamm. Rev. 42:207-234
- Berta A., Churchill M., Boessenecker R.W. 2018. The origin and evolutionary biology of pinnipeds:
  seals, sea lions and walruses. Annu. Rev. Earth. Planet. Sci. 46:203-228
- 828 Berta A., Deméré T.A. 1986. *Callorhinus gilmorei* n. sp., (Carnivora: Otariidae) from the San Diego
- Formation (Blancan) and its implications for otariid phylogeny. Trans. San. Diego. Soc. Nat.
- 830 Hist. 21:111-116

- 831 Boessenecker R.W. 2011. New Records of the fur seal *Callorhinus ursinus* (Carnivora: Otariidae)
- from the Plio-Pleistocene Rio Dell formation of the Northern California and comments on otariid
- dental evolution. J. Vert. Paleontol. 31:454-467
- Boessenecker R.W., Churchill M. 2015. The oldest known fur seal. Biol. Lett. 11:20140835
- Borowiec M.L. 2016. AMAS: a fast tool for alignment manipulation and computing of summary
  statistics. PeerJ 4:e1660
- Bouckaert R., Heled J., Kühnert D., Vaughan T., Wu C. H., Xie D., Suchard M. A., Rambaut A.,
  Drummond A. J. 2014. BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS
- 839 Comput. Biol. 10:e1003537
- 840 Bravo G.A., Antonelli A., Bacon C.D., Bartoszek K., Blom M.P.K., Huynh S., Jones G., Knowles
- L.L., Lamichhaney S., Marcussen T., Morlon H., Nakhleh L.K., Oxelman B., Pfeil B., Schliep
- A., Wahlberg N., Werneck F.P., Wiedenhoeft J., Willows-Munro S., Edwards S.V. 2019.
- Embracing heterogeneity: coalescing the Tree of Life and the future of phylogenomics. PeerJ7:e6399
- Brunner S. 2004. Fur seals and sea lions (Otariidae): Identification of species and taxonomic review.
  Syst. Biodivers. 1:339-439
- Capella-Gutierrez S., Silla-Martinez J.M., Gabaldon T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment
  trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyzes. Bioinformatics 25:1972-1973
- 849 Chakrabarty P., Faircloth B.C., Alda F., Ludt W.B., McMahan C.D., Near T.J., Dornburg A., Albert
- J.S., Arroyave J., Stiassny M.L.J., Sorenson L., Alfaro M.E. 2017. Phylogenomic systematics of
  Ostariophysan fishes: ultraconserved elements support the surprising non-monophyly of
- 852 Characiformes. Syst. Biol. 66:881-895
- Chang C.C., Chow C.C., Tellier L.C.A.M., Vattikuti S., Purcell S.M., Lee J.J. 2015. Secondgeneration PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer data sets. GigaScience 4

- 855 Chen L., Qiu Q., Jiang Y., Wang K., Lin Z., Li Z., Bibi F., Yang Y., Wang J., Nie W., Su W., Liu G.,
- Li Q., Fu W., Pan X., Liu C., Yang J., Zhang C., Yin Y., Wang Y., Zhao Y., Zhang C., Wang
- Z., Qin Y., Liu W., Wang B., Ren Y., Zhang R., Zeng Y., Fonseca R.R., Wei B., Li R., Wan W.,
- Zhao R., Zhu W., Wang Y., Shengchang D., Gao Y., Zhang Y.E., Chen C., Hvilson C., Epps
- 859 C.W., Chemnick L.G., Dong Y., Mirarab S., Siegismund H.R., Ryder O., Gilbert M.T., Lewin
- 860 H.A., Zhang G., Heller R., Wang W. 2019. Large-scale ruminant genome sequencing provides
- insights into their evolution and distinct traits. Science. 364: 10.1126/science.aav6202
- 862 Churchill M., Boessenecker R.W., Clementz M.T. 2014. Colonization of the southern hemisphere by
- fur seals and sea lions (Carnivora: Otariidae) revealed by combined evidence phylogenetic and
- Bayesian biogeographical analysis. Zool. J. Linn. So.c 172:200-225
- Committee on Taxonomy of Marine Mammals. 2020. List of marine mammals species and
   subspecies. Available fromhttps://www.marinemammalscience.org/species-information/list marine-mammal-species-subspecies
- Barriba D., Posada D., Kozlov A. M., Stamatakis A., Morel B., Flouri T. 2019. ModelTest-NG: A
  new scalable tool for the selection of DNA and Protein Evolutionary Models. Mol. Biol. Evol.
- 870 msz189, https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz189
- 871 Dasmahapatra K.K., Hoffman J.I., Amos W. 2009. Pinniped phylogenetic relationships inferred using
- AFLP markers. Heredity. 103:168-177
- B73 Degnan J.H. 2018. Modeling hybridization under network multispecies coalescent. Syst. Biol. 5:786874 799
- Deméré T.A., Berta A., Adam P.J. 2003. Pinnipedimorph evolutionary biogeography. B. Am. Mus.
  Nat. His. 279:32-76
- Brummond A.J., Bouckaert R.R. 2015. Bayesian evolutionary analysis with BEAST. Cambridge
  University Press. Cambridge 244 pp.

- B79 Durand E.Y., Patterson N., Reich D., Slatkin M. 2011. Testing for ancient admixture between closely
  related populations. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:2239–2252
- Edelman N.B., Frandsen P.B., Miyagi M., Clavijo B., Davey J., Dikow R.B., García-Accinelli G.,
- Van Belleghem S.M., Patterson N., Neafsey D.E., Challis R., Kumar S., Moreira G.R.P., Salazar
- 883 C., Chouteau M., Counterman B.A., Papa R., Blaxter M., Reed R.D., Dasmahapatra K.K.,
- Kronforst M., Joron M., Jiggins C.D., McMillan W.O., Di Palma F., Blumberg A.J., Wakeley J.,
- Jaffe J. 2019. Genomic architecture and introgression shape a butterfly radiation. Science.
  366:594-599
- Elworth R.A.L., Ogilvie H.A., Zhu J., Nakhleh L. 2019. Advances in computational methods for
  phylogenetic networks in the presence of hybridization. In Warnow T (ed.) Bioinformatics and

889 Phylogenetics: Seminal Contributions of Bernard Moret. Springer International Publishing

- Eriksson A., Manica A. 2012. Effect of ancient population structure on the degree of polymorphism
  shared between modern human populations and ancient hominins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
  109:13956-13960
- Esselstyn J.A., Oliveros C.H., Swanson M.T., Faircloth B.C. 2017. Investigating difficult nodes in
  the placental mammal tree with expanded taxon sampling and thousands of ultraconserved
  elements. Genome Biol. Evol. 9:2308-2321
- Faircloth B.C., Sorenson L., Santini F., Alfaro M.E. 2013. A phylogenomic perspective on the
  radiation of ray-finned fishes based upon target sequencing of ultraconserved elements (UCEs).
  PLoS One 8:e65923
- Fedorov A.V., Brierly C.M., Lawrence K.T., Liu Z., Dekens P.S., Ravelo A.C. 2013. Patterns and
  mechanisms of early Pliocene warmth. Nature 496:43-49
- 901 Felsestein J. 1989. PHYLIP Phylogeny Inference Package (Version 3.2). Cladistics 5:164-166
- 902 Figueiró H.V., Li G., Trindade F., Assis J., Pais F., Fernandes G., Santos S.H., Hughes G.M.,
- 903 Komissarov A., Antunes A., Trinca C.S., Rodrigues M.R., Linderoth T., Bi K., Silveira L.,

904	Azevedo F.C.C.	, Kantek D.	Ramalho E.	Brassaloti R.A.	Villela P.M.S.	, Nunes A.L.V	., Teixeira
	1120 1000 10000	,	,				.,

- 905 R.H.F., Morato R.G., Loska D., Saragüeta P., Gabaldón T., Teeling E.C., O'Brien S.J.O., Nielsen
- 906 R., Coutinho L.L., Oliveira G., Murphy W.J., Eizirik E. 2017. Genome-wide signatures of
- 907 complex introgression and adaptive evolution in the big cats. Sci. Adv. 7:e1700299
- Flouri T., Jiao X., Rannala B., Yang Z. 2018. Species Tree Inference with BPP using Genomic
  Sequences and the Multispecies Coalescent. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:2585-2593
- 910 Foote A.D., Liu Y., Thomas G.W., Vinař T., Aföldi J., Deng J., Dugan S., van Elk C.E., Hynter M.E.,
- Joshi V., Khan Z., Kovar C., Lee S.L., Linbald-Toh K., Mancia A., Nielsen R., Qin X., Qu J.,
- 912 Raney B.J., Vijay N., Wolf J.B.W., Hahn M.W., Muzny D.M., Worley K.C., Gilbert M.T., Gibbs
- 913 R.A. 2015. Convergent Evolution of the genomes of marine mammals. Nat. Genet. 47:272-275
- Gautier M., Gharbi K., Cezard T., Foucaud J., Kerdelhué C., Pudlo P., Cornuet J.M., Estoup A. 2013.
- 915 The effect of RAD allele dropout on the estimation of genetic variation within and between
  916 populations. Mol. Ecol. 22:3165–3178
- Griffiths R.C., Marjoram P. 1997. An ancestral recombination graph. Institute for Mathematics and
  Its Applications. 87:257
- Harris A.M., DeGiorgio M. 2012. Admixture and ancestry inference from ancient and modern
  samples through measures of population genetic drift. Hum. Biol.114
- Higdon J.W., Bininda-Emonds O.R.P., Beck R.M.D., Ferguson S.H. 2007. Phylogeny and divergence
  of the pinnipeds (Carnivora: Mammalia) assessed using a multigene dataset. BMC Evol. Biol.
  7:216
- Hoban S.M., Gaggiotti O.E., Bertorelle G. 2013. The number of markers and samples needed for
  detecting bottlenecks under realistic scenarios, with and without recovery: a simulation-based
  study. Mol. Ecol. 22:3444-3450

- Hudson R.R. 1983. Properties of a neutral allele model with intragenic recombination. Theor. Popul.
  Biol. 23:183-201
- Hudson R.R., Coyne J.A., Huelsenbeck J.2002. Mathematical consequences of the genealogical
  species concept. Evolution 56:1557-1565Mol. Ecol. 22:3444-3450
- 932 Humble E., Martinez-Barrio A., Forcada J., Trathan P.N., Thorne M.A.S., Hoffmann M., Wolf
- J.B.W., Hoffman J.I. 2016. A draft fur seal genome provides insights into factors affecting SNP
- validation and how to mitigate them. Mol. Ecol. Res. 16(4):909-921
- 935 Humble E., Dasmahapatra K.K., Martinez-Barrio A., Gregório I., Forcada J., Polikeit A.C.,
- 936 Goldsworthy S.D., Goebel M.E., Kalinowski J., Wolf J.B.W., Hoffman J.I. 2018. RAD
- 937 Sequencing and a Hybrid Antarctic Fur Seal Genome Assembly Reveal Rapidly Decaying
- 938 Linkage Disequilibrium, Global Population Structure and Evidence for Inbreeding. G3
  939 (Bethesda) 31:2709-2722
- Huson D.H., Scornavacca C. 2012. Dendroscope 3: an interactive tool for rooted phylogenetic trees
  and networks. Syst Biol 6:1061-1067
- 942 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2017-3 (IUCN, 2017). https://www.iucnredlist.org.
  943 Downloaded on 09 July 2020
- 944 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020-2 (IUCN, 2020). https://www.iucnredlist.org.
  945 Downloaded on 09 July 2020
- Jones G.R. 2019. Divergence estimation in the presence of incomplete lineage sorting and migration.
  Syst. Biol. 68:19-31
- Junier T., Zdobnov E.M. 2010. The newick utilities: high-throughput phylogenetic tree processing
  using unix shell. Bioinformatics 26:1669-1670
- 950 King J. 1954. The otariid seals of the Pacific coast of America. Bull. Brit. Mus. Zool. 2:311-337

- 951 Kozlov A. M., Darriba D., Flouri T., Mreol B., Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable
- andu ser-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic information. Bioinformatics.35:4453-4455
- Korneliussen T.S., Albrechtsen A., Nielsen R. 2014. ANGSD: analysis of Next Generation
  Sequencing Data. BMC Bioinformatics 15:356
- Kumar S., Subramanian S. 2001. Mutation rates in mammalian genomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
  A. 22:803-808
- Lam H.M., Ratmann O., Boni M.F. 2018. Improved algorithmic complexity for 3seq recombination
  detection algorithm. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:247-251
- 960 Lancaster M.L., Gemmell N.J., Negro S., Goldsworthy S., Sunnucks P. 2006. Ménage à trois on
- Macquarie Island: hybridization among three species of fur seal (*Arctocephalus* spp.) following
  historical population extinction. Mol. Ecol. 15:3681-3692
- Leaché A.D., Harris R.B., Rannala B., Yang Z. 2014. The influence of gene flow on species tree
  estimation: a simulation study. Syst. Biol. 63:17-30
- Leppälä K., Nielsen S., Mailund T. 2017. admixturegraph: an R package for admixture graph
  manipulation and fitting. Bioinformatics 33:1738-1740
- Li H., Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler Transform.
  Bioinformatics 25:1754-60
- 269 Li G., Davis B.W., Eizirik E., Murphy W.J. 2016. Phylogenomic evidence for ancient hybridization
- in the genomes of living cats (Felidae). Genome Res. 26:1-11
- 271 Liu L., Yu L. 2010. Phybase: an R package for species tree analysis. Bioinformatics 26:962-963
- 972 Maddison W.P., Knowles L.L. 2006. Inferring phylogeny despite incomplete lineage sorting. Syst.
- 973 Biol. 55:21-30

- Malinsky M. 2019. Dsuite fast D-statistics and related admixture evidence from VCF files. doi:
   doi.org/10.1101/634477
- Malinsky M., Svardal H., Tyers A.M., Miska E.A., Genner M.J., Turner G.F., Durbin R. 2018.
  Whole-genome sequences of Malawi cichlids reveal multiple radiations interconnected by gene
- 978 flow. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 2:1940-1955
- Marlow J.R., Lange C.B., Wefer G., Rosell-Melé A. 2000. Upwelling intensification as part of the
  Pliocene-Pleistocene climate transition. Science 22:2288-2291
- 981 Martin S.H., Dasmahapatra K.K., Nadeau N.J., Salazar C., Walters J.R., Fraser S., Blaxter M., Manica
- A., Mallet J., Jiggins C.D. 2013. Genome-wide evidence for speciation with gene flow in *Heliconius* butterflies. Genome Res. 23:1817-1828
- 984 Masello J.F., Quillfeldt P., Sandoval-Castellanos E., Alderman R., Calderón L., Cherel Y., Cole T.L.,
- Cuthbert R.J., Marin M., Massaro M., Navarro J., Phillips R.A., Shepherd L.D., Suazo C.g.,
  Weimerskirch H, Moodley Y. Additive traits lead to feeding advantage and reproductive
  isolation, promoting homoploid hybrid speciation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 36:1671-1685
- McCormack J.E., Faircloth B.C. 2013. Next-generation phylogenetics takes root. Mol. Ecol. 22:1921
- McKenna A., Hanna M., Banks E., Sivachenko A., Cibulskis K., Kernytsky A., Garimella K.,
  Altshuler D., Gabriel S., Daly M., dePristo M.A. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a
  MapReference framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res.
  20:297-303
- Minh B. Q., Hahn M. W., Lanfear R. 2018. New method to calculate concordance factors for
  phylogenomic datasets. doi.org/10.1101/487801
- 996 Mugal C.F., Kutschera V.E., Botero-Castro F., Wolf J.B.W., Kaj I. 2020. Polymorphism Data Assist
- 997 Estimation of the Nonsynonymous over Synonymous Fixation Rate Ratio ω for Closely Related
- 998 Species. Mol. Biol. Evol. 37:260-279

- 999 Muizon C. 1978. Arctocephalus (Hydrarctos) lomasiensis, subgen. nov. et. nov sp., un unouvel
- 1000 Otariidae du Mio-Pliocene de Sacaco. B. l'Inst. Franc. d'Etu. And. 7:169189
- Muizon C., DeVries T.J. 1985. Geology and paleontology of late cenozoic marine deposits in the
   Sacaco area (Peru). Geol. Rundsch. 74:547-563
- 1003 Nabholz B., Glémin S., Galtier N. 2007. Strong variations of mitochondrial mutation rate across
- 1004 mammals the longevity hypothesis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25:120-130
- Nakhleh L. 2013. Computational approaches to species phylogeny inference and gene tree
   reconciliation. Trends. Ecol. Evol. 28:719-728
- 1007 Nguyen L. T., Schmidt H. A., von Haeseler A., Minh B. Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective
- stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum likelihood phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol., 32:268274
- 1010 Nyakatura K., Bininda-Emonds O.R.P. 2012. Updating the evolutionary history of Carnivora
  1011 (Mammalia): A new species-level supertree complete with divergence time estimates. BMC Biol.
- 1012 10:12. doi:10.1186/1741-7007-10-12
- 1013 O'Dea A., Hoyos N., Rodriguez F., Degracia B., De Gracia C. 2012. History of upwelling in the
- Tropical Eastern Pacific and the palaeogeography of the Isthmus of Panama. Palaeograph.
  Palaeoclimat. Palaeoecol. 348-349:59-66
- Ogilvie H.A., Bouckaert R.R., Drummond A.J. 2017. StarBEAST2 Brings faster species tree
  inference and accurate estimates of substitution rates. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34:2101-2114
- 1018 Oliveira L., Hoffman J.I., Hingst-Zaher E., Majluf P., Muelbert M.MC., Morgante J.S. 2008.
- Morphological and genetic evidence for two evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) in the South
   American fur seal, Arctocephalus australis. Conserv. Genet. 9:1451-1466.
- 1021 Oliveira L., Brownell Jr. R.L. 2014. Taxonomic status of two subspecies of South American fur seals:
- 1022 Arctocephalus australis australis vs. A. a. gracilis. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 30:1258-1263

- 1023 Oliver J.C. 2013. Microevolutionary process generates phylogenomic discordance at ancient 1024 divergence. Evolution 5:568-583
- 1025 Patterson N., Moorjani P., Luo Y., Mallick S., Rohland N., Zhan Y., Genschoreck T., Webster T.,
- Reich D.2012. Ancient Admixture in Human History. Genetics 192:1065-1093 1026
- 1027 Peart C.R., Tusso S., Pophaly S.D., Botero-Castro F., Wu C.C., Aurioles-Gamboa D., Baird A. B.,
- Bickham J.W., Forcada J., Galimberti F., Gemmel N. J., Hoffman J.I., Kovacs K.M., Kunnasranta 1028
- M., Lydersen C., Nyman T., Oliveira L.R., Orr A.J., Sanvito S., Valtonen M., Shafer A.B.A., 1029
- Wolf J.B.W. 2020. Determinants of genetic variation across eco-evolutionary scales in pinnipeds. 1030
- Nat. Ecol. Evol. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1215-5 1031

- Pease J.B., Haak D.C., Hahn M.W., Moyle L.C. 2016. Phylogenomics reveals three sources of 1032 adaptive variation during rapid radiation. PLoS Biol 14(2):e1002379
- Peter B.M. 2016. Admixture, population structure, and F-statistics. Genetics 202:1485-1501 1034
- Pickrell J.K., Pritchard J.K. 2012. Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide 1035 1036 allele frequency data. PLoS Genet. 8:e1002967
- Quinlan A.R., Hall I.M. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. 1037 **Bioinformatics 6:841-842** 1038
- 1039 Rambaut A., Drummond A.J. 2007. Tracer v1.7 Available from https://github.com/beastdev/tracer/releases/latest 1040
- 2010. 1041 Rambaut A., Drummond A.J. TreeAnnotator version 1.6.1 Available from http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk 1042
- Rambaut A. 2017. FigTree v1.4.4. Available from: https://github.com/rambaut/figtree/ 1043
- Reddy S., Kimball R.T., Pandey A., Hosner P.A., Braun M.J., Hackett S.J., Han K.L., Harshmann J., 1044
- 1045 Huddleston C.J., Kingston S., Marks B.D., Miglia K.J., Moore W.S., Sheldon F.H., Witt C.C.,

- 1046 Yuri T.B., Braun E.L. 2017. Why do phylogenomic data sets yield conflicting trees? Data type
- 1047 influences the avian Tree of Life more than taxon sampling. Syst. Biol. 66:857-879
- 1048 Repenning C.A., Peterson R.S., Hubbs C.L. 1971. Contributions to the systematics of the southern
- 1049 fur seals, with particular reference to the Juan Fernández and Guadalupe species. Antarct. Res.

1050 S. 18:1-34

- 1051 Rice W.R. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43:223-225
- 1052 Rheindt F.E., Fujita M.K., Wilton P.R., Edwards S.V. 2014. Introgression and phenotypic
- assimilation in *Zimmerius* flycatchers (Tyrannidae): population genetic and phylogenetic
   inferences from genome-wide SNPs. Syst. Biol. 63:134-152
- 1055 Rokas A., Williams B.L., King N., Carrol S.B. 2003. Genome-scale approaches to resolving
  1056 incongruence in molecular phylogenies. Nature 425:798-804
- 1057 Rosenberg N.A. 2003. The Shapes of Neutral Gene Genealogies in Two Species: Probabilities of
  1058 Monophyly, Paraphyly, and Polyphyly in a Coalescent Model. Evolution 57:1465-1477
- 1059 Schramm Y., Mesnick S.L., Rosa J. de la, Palacios D.M., Lowry M.S., Aurioles-Gamboa D., Snell
- H.M., Escorza-Treviño S. 2009. Phylogeography of California and Galápagos sea lions and
  population structure within the California sea lion. Mar. Biol. 156:1375-1387
- 1062 Schubert M., Ermini L., Sarkissian C.D., Jónsson H., Ginolhac A., Schaefer R., Martin M.D.,
- 1063 Fernández R., Kircher M., McCue M., Willerslev E., Orlando L. 2014. Characterization of
- ancient and modern genomes by SNP detection and phylogenomic and metagenomic analysis
- using PALEOMIX. Nat. Protoc. 9:1056-1082
- Schubert M., Lindgreen S., Orlando L. 2016. AdapterRemoval v2: rapid adapter trimming,
  identification, and read merging. BMC Res. Notes 9:88
- 1068 Sclater P.L. 1897. On the distribution of marine mammals. Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 349–359
- 1069 Scheffer V.B. 1958. Seals, sea lions and walruses. Stanford: Stanford University Press

- 1070 Shafer A.B.A., Peart C.R., Tusso S., Maayan I., Brelsford A., Wheat C.W., Wolf J.B.W. 2017.
- Bioinformatic processing of RAD-seq data dramatically impacts downstream population genetic
  inference. Meth. Ecol. Evol. 8:907-017
- 1073 Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
- 1074 phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312-1313
- Suh A., Smeds L., Ellegreen H. 2015. The Dynamics of Incomplete Lineage Sorting across the
   Ancient Adaptive Radiation of Neoavian Birds. PLoS Biol. 13:e1002224
- 1077 Sun C., Huang J., Zhao X., Su L., Thomas G.W.C., Zhao M., Zhang X., Jungreis I., Kellis M., Vicario
- 1078 S., Sharakhov I.V., Bondarenko S.M., Hasselmann M., Kim C.N., Paten B., Penso-Dolfin L.,
- 1079 Wang L., Chang Y., Gao Q., Ma L., Ma L., Zhang Y., Zhang H., Ruzzante L., Robertson H.M.,
- 1080 Zhu Y., Liu Y., Yang H., Ding L., Wang Q., Ma D., Xu W., Liang C., Itgen M.W., Mee L., Cao
- 1081 G., Zhang Z., Sadd B.M., Hahn M., Schaak S., Barribeau S.M., Williams P.H., Waterhouse R.M.,
- 1082 Mueller R.L. 2020. Genus-wide characterization of bumblebee genomes provides insights into
- their evolution and variation in ecological and behavioral traits. Mol. Biol. Evol.
  doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa240
- 1085 Wang K., Lenstra J.A., Liu L., Hu Q., Ma T., Qiu Q., Liu J. 2018. Incomplete lineage sorting rather
- than hybridization explains the inconsistent phylogeny of the wisent. Comm. Biol. 1:169
- 1087 Wen D., Nakhleh L. 2018. Coestimating reticulate phylogenies and gene trees from multilocus
  1088 sequence data. Syst. Biol. 67:439-457
- Wen D., Yu Y., Nakhleh L. 2018. Inferring phylogenetic networks using Phylonet. Syst. Biol. 67:735740
- Wolf J.B.W., Tautz D., Trillmich F. 2007. Galápagos and Californian sea lions are separate species:
   genetic analysis of the genus *Zalophus* and its implications for conservation management. Front.
   Zool. 4:1-13

- 1094 Wolf J.B.W., Harrod C., Brunner S., Salazar S., Trillmich F., Tautz D. 2008. Tracing early stages of
- species differentiation: Ecological, morphological and genetic divergence of Galapagos sea lion
   populations. BMC Evol. Biol. 8:150
- 1097 Wynen L.P., Goldsworthy S.D., Insley S.J., Adams M., Bickham J.W., Francis J., Gallo J.P., Hoelzel
- 1098 A.R., Majluf P., White R.W.G., Slade R. 2001. Phylogenetic relationships within eared seals
- 1099 (Otariidae: Carnivora): implications for the historical biogeography of the family. Mol.
- 1100 Phylogenet. Evol. 21:270-284
- Yonezawa T., Kohno N., Hasegawa M. 2009. The monophyletic origin of sea lion and fur seals
  (Carnivora: Otariidae) in the southern hemisphere. Gene 441:89-99
- 1103 Zhang C., Ogilvie H.A., Drummond A.J., Stadler T. 2017. Bayesian inference of species networks
- from multilocus sequence data. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:504-517
- Zhang C., Rabiee M., Sayyari E., Mirarab S. 2018. ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species tree
  reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. BMC Bioinformatics, 19 (suppl 6):153
- Zheng Y., Janke A. 2018. Gene flow analysis method, the D-statistic, is robust in a wide parameter
  space. BMC Bioinformatics. 19:10
- Ziheng Y. 2015. The BPP program for species tree estimation and species delimitation. Curr. Zool.61:854-865
- 1111
- 1112
- 1113
- 1114
- 1115
- 1116
- 1117
- 1118
- 1119
- 1120

#### 1121 Legends

1122

**Table 1.** Whole genome shotgun sequences produced for this study (in bold) and obtained fromGenBank digital repository.

1125

**Table 2.** The ten most frequent topologies for the southern clade estimated with RAxML in eachGF dataset and the absolute frequencies of occurrence in the different sets of windows sizes.

1128

1129

Figure 1. (a) Current distribution of fur seals and sea lions obtained from the IUCN Red List 1130 1131 (IUCN 2020). A. galapagoensis (Agal) and Z. wollebaeki (Zwol) have very similar and small distributions and are represented with the same color and an arrow. A. philippii (Aphi) is endemic to 1132 1133 the Juan Fernández Island, and its distribution is also represented with an arrow. The symbols represent the four sites of the past temperature data in "c." (b) Time calibrated Bayesian species tree 1134 estimated with StarBEAST2 using 300 GFs of 50 kb. Blue bars represent the divergence time 95% 1135 confidence interval. The vertical gray bar represents the 95% confidence interval of the period of 1136 fast diversification of the southern clade. All nodes have the highest posterior density (HPD) = 11137 except for the Arctocephalus node (HPD = 0.92), shown as an open circle in the phylogeny. (c) The 1138 Sea Surface Temperature (SST) temperature data from four sites in the Tropical and Subtropical 1139 Pacific eastern Pacific (Fedorov et al. 2013). The vertical gray bar represents the same time interval 1140 depicted in the species tree. 1141

1142

1144	<b>Figure 2.</b> Gene concordance factor (gCF) for the nodes (14-23) that support (red bars) the species
1145	tree (bottom right) and the two most common alternative resolutions (gDF1 and gDF2, blue and
1146	green bars, respectively). The yellow bars are the relative frequencies of all other alternative
1147	resolutions. The nodes showing lower concordance factors (16, 17, 19 and 20) represent the
1148	lineages of fast radiation of eared seals in the Southern Hemisphere, with a remarkable number of
1149	alternative resolutions. These nodes are represented with an asterisk in the species tree.
1150	
1151	
1152	Figure 3. QuIBL significant results. The table at the left shows three alternative relationships for
1153	each species trio tested (the last of which, in grey type, is the species tree), the number of GF trees
1154	that significantly supported that relationship, and the proportion of these trees that could be
1155	explained by ILS or by alternative explanations (non-ILS, i.e., introgression or the phylogeny
1156	itself). Total non-ILS is the percentage of all GF trees that were introgressed between the pair of
1157	species that are not the outgroup (in the species tree this is explained by the phylogeny). To the
1158	right is the species tree depicting the introgression events supported.





gDF1

0.1

21

gDF2 Others

gCF

99.2

gCF

99.5

100

80

60

40

20

0



gCF

gDF1

gDF2 Others

57.2

16.5

gDF2 Others



gDF1

gDF2 Others

gCF











Introgr	ression	Outgroup	mixprop1 ILS (%)	mixprop2 non-ILS (%)	Number of Trees	Total non-ILS (%)
Afor	Aaus	Agal	5	95	706	12
Afor	Agal	Aaus	8	92	559	9
Agal	Aaus	Afor	3	97	4222	75
Atow	Afor	Agaz	84	16	1610	0
Atow	Agaz	Afor	70	30	1464	8
Agaz	Afor	Atow	76	24	2413	11
Atow	Agal	Agaz	96	4	1625	0
Atow	Agaz	Agal	70	30	1451	8
Agaz	Agal	Atow	78	22	2411	9
Atow	Aaus	Agaz	92	8	1620	0
Atow	Agaz	Aaus	70	30	1450	8
Agaz	Aaus	Atow	77	23	2417	10
Aphi	Afor	Agaz	85	15	1611	0
Aphi	Agaz	Afor	69	31	1465	8
Agaz	Afor	Aphi	77	23	2411	10
Aphi	Agal	Agaz	97	3	1624	0
Aphi	Agaz	Agal	69	31	1453	8
Agaz	Agal	Aphi	80	20	2410	0
Aphi	Aaus	Agaz	94	6	1621	0
Aphi	Agaz	Aaus	69	31	1451	8
Agaz	Aaus	Aphi	78	22	2415	10
Zwol	Ejub	Zcal	79	21	1302	5
Zwol	Zcal	Ejub	56	44	1385	11
Zcal	Eiub	Zwol	61	39	2800	20

