Running Title: Gene conversion in rice subspecies

Corresponding author information:

Jinpeng Wang

School of Life Sciences and Center for Genomics and Computational Biology, North

China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, Hebei 063210, China.

Tel: 86-0315-8805600

E-mail: wangjinpeng@ibcas.ac.cn

Li Wang

School of Life Sciences and Center for Genomics and Computational Biology, North

China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, Hebei 063210, China.

Tel: 86-0315-8805600

E-mail: wlsh219@126.com

Title: Conversion between 100-million-year-old duplicated genes contributes to rice subspecies divergence

Authors: Chendan Wei, Zhenyi Wang, Jianyu Wang, Jia Teng, Shaoqi Shen, Qimeng Xiao, Shoutong Bao, Yishan Feng, Yan Zhang, Yuxian Li, Sangrong Sun, Yuanshuai Yue, Chunyang Wu, Yanli Wang, Tianning Zhou, Wenbo Xu, Jigao Yu, Li Wang, Jinpeng Wang

School of Life Sciences, and Center for Genomics and Computational Biology, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, Hebei 063000, China (C.W., Z.W., J.W., J.T., S.S., Q.X., S.B., Y.F., Y.Z., Y.L., S.S., Y.Y., C.W., Y.W., T.Z., W.X., W.X., L.W., and J.W.); University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China (J.Y. and J.W.); State Key Laboratory of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100093, China (J.Y. and J.W.)

* Address correspondence to Jin-Peng Wang (Email: wangjinpeng@ibcas.ac.cn).

One-sentence summary

On-going gene conversion between duplicated genes produced by 100 mya polyploidization contributes to rice subspecies divergence, often involving the same donor genes at chromosome termini.

Footnotes:

We appreciate the financial support from the China National Science Foundation (3151333 to J.W.), Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (C20209064 and C2015209069 to J.W.).

Author contributions

J.W. and L.W. conceived and led the research. C.W. implemented and coordinated the analysis. Z.W., J.W., J.T., S.S., Q.X., S.B., Y.F., Y.Z., Y.L., S.S., Y.Y., C.W., Y.W., T.Z., W.X., W.X., J.Y. and J.W. performed the analysis. J.W., C.W., Z.W., and J.W. wrote the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Abstract

Extensive sequence similarity between duplicated gene pairs produced by paleo-polyploidization may result from illegitimate recombination between homologous chromosomes. The genomes of Asian cultivated rice *Xian/indica* (XI) and *Geng/japonica* (GJ) have recently been updated, providing new opportunities for investigating on-going gene conversion events. Using comparative genomics and phylogenetic analyses, we evaluated gene conversion rates between duplicated genes produced by polyploidization 100 million years ago (mya) in GJ and XI. At least 5.19%–5.77% of genes duplicated across three genomes were affected by whole-gene conversion after the divergence of GJ and XI at ~0.4 mya, with more (7.77%–9.53%) showing conversion of only gene portions. Independently converted duplicates surviving in genomes of different subspecies often used the same donor genes. On-going gene conversion frequency was higher near chromosome termini, with a single pair of homoeologous chromosomes 11 and 12 in each genome most affected. Notably, on-going gene conversion has maintained similarity between very ancient duplicates, provided opportunities for further gene conversion, and accelerated rice divergence. Chromosome rearrangement after polyploidization may result in gene loss, providing a basis for on-going gene conversion, and may have contributed directly to restricted recombination/conversion between homoeologous regions. Gene conversion affected biological functions associated with multiple genes, such as catalytic activity, implying opportunities for interaction among members of large gene families, such as NBS-LRR disease-resistance genes, resulting in gene conversion. Duplicated genes in rice subspecies generated by grass polyploidization ~100 mya remain affected by gene conversion at high frequency, with important implications for the divergence of rice subspecies.

Keywords: rice, polyploidization, whole-genome duplication, duplicated genes, on-going gene conversion

Introduction

Rice is the largest food crop in the world. There are two distinct types of domesticated rice, Asian rice (*Oryza sativa*) and African rice (*Oryza glaberrima*), each with unique histories of domestication (Sweeney and McCouch, 2007). Asian rice is planted worldwide, feeding half of the world's population as staple food and providing more than 20% of the energy for human survival (Kim et al., 2008, Stein et al., 2018, Wang et al., 2018b). Xian/*Indica* (XI) and Geng/*Japonica* (GJ) are the two major subspecies of rice, which diverged ~0.4 million years ago (mya). The first whole-genome draft sequence of GJ cultivar 'Nipponbare', which is representative of the subspecies, was obtained in 2002 (Goff et al., 2002), and genome sequencing and annotation have been continuously improved (Tanaka et al., 2008). The whole-genome sequence of XI (93-11) has also been deciphered (Yu et al., 2002), with high-quality genome sequences of representative varieties Zhenshan 97 (XI-ZS97) and Minghui 63 (XI-MH63) made available (Zhang et al., 2016). These two main varieties of XI are the parents of an excellent Chinese hybrid. XI accounts for more than 70% of global rice production and possesses much higher genetic diversity than GJ (Huang et al., 2010), as highlighted by recent analysis of 3,010 diverse Asian cultivated rice genomes and 1,275 rice varieties (Li et al., 2020, Wang et al., 2018b).

Recursive polyploidization or whole-genome duplication (WGD) is the doubling of an entire set of chromosomes in cells and is prevalent throughout the plant and animal kingdoms (Frawley and Orr-Weaver, 2015). The impact of polyploidization on plant functional evolution is extremely profound, facilitating rapid expansion and divergence of species (Jiao et al., 2011, Puchta et al., 1996, Barker et al., 2016, Wu et al., 2020). A large number of duplicated genes generated by polyploidization are distributed on the homologous chromosomes of extant species, which leads to genome instability. Homoeologous recombination may result in loss of large segments of DNA (Paterson et al., 2004, Zhuang et al., 2019), de novo functionalization of genes, subfunctionalization (Taylor and Raes, 2004), or rearrangement of genomic DNA (Wang et al., 2005, Murat et al., 2017, Wang et al., 2018a, Wang et al., 2017), providing material for plant evolution. At least five WGD events occurred during the formation of modern cultivated rice. The two oldest are a WGD event (called ζ) shared by seed plants (divergence ~310 mya) and a WGD event (called ϵ) that occurred prior to the appearance of the most recent common ancestor of all extant angiosperms (~235 mya) (Jiao et al., 2011). Two relatively recent WGD events occurred after the formation of

monocotyledons: one (τ) shared by most monocotyledons at ~130 mya, and another (σ) shared by Poales at ~115-120 mya (Tang et al., 2010, Paterson et al., 2004, Ming et al., 2015). The most recent WGD event (ρ) was originally thought to have occurred before the divergence of major grasses (~70 mya) (Paterson et al., 2004, Wang et al., 2005); however, the latest fossil evidence advances this ρ event to ~100 mya (Wang et al., 2015).

Homologous recombination provides a major source of genetic innovation (Kurosawa and Ohta, 2011). In plants, meiotic and mitotic recombination result in reciprocal or symmetric exchange of DNA sequence information between homologous chromosomes (Gardiner et al., 2019). In addition to normal genetic recombination, highly similar sequences undergo frequent recombination between homologous chromosomes, which is called illegitimate recombination (Wang et al., 2009). One result of this recombination is gene conversion, where one gene (or DNA fragment) replaces another gene (or DNA fragment) on a homologous chromosome or chromosomal region. Gene conversion between duplicated genes produced by polyploidization has been identified in the genomes of *Poaceae*, *Arachis hypogaea*, *Gossypium*, *Brassica campestris*, and *Brassica oleracea* (Wang et al., 2009, Wang et al., 2011, Paterson et al., 2012, Zhuang et al., 2019, Yu et al., 2013, Liu et al., 2020). Gene conversion is frequent and on-going between homologous chromosomes, such as homologous chromosomes 11 and 12 produced from the duplication common to grasses (ρ event) in the modern rice genome (Wang et al., 2009, Kurosawa and Ohta, 2011, Wang and Paterson, 2011, Wang et al., 2019).

Recombination is a mutagenic factor, and mutations lay the foundation for natural selection. The main role of gene conversion is to maintain the homology or similarity of duplicated sequences. Comparison between rice and sorghum clearly suggests that gene conversion promotes gene divergence (Wang et al., 2009). Recombination accelerates mutation, with gene conversion playing an important role (Guo et al., 2013). Gene conversion of functional sequences and new mutations produced by related homologous recombination may affect gene function. Sequences encoding functional domains are converted more frequently than those encoding non-functional domains (Wang et al., 2007). Gene conversion and DNA duplication may facilitate functional innovation through gene extension and mutations in structural domains of disease-resistance genes (Ratnaparkhe et al., 2011). Gene conversion between chromosomes 11 and 12 of rice has been accompanied by subfunctionalization or purifying selection of genes related to spikelet abortion (Zhang et al., 2011), lipid transfer genes (Jang et al., 2008, Wang et al., 2012), two recessive yellowing

control genes (Mao et al., 2011), genes encoding cyclic C2-type proteins (Jung et al., 2012), and the zinc-inducible promoter family (Ricachenevsky et al., 2011).

Our knowledge of gene conversion between paralogous genes in the two rice subspecies (Wang et al., 2007) is based on outdated genomic data (ver. 4), and the imperfections in genome sequencing assembly and annotation particularly may have implications for gene conversion analysis. Here, we used the latest genomic data and recent approaches for resolving genomic homology (Wang et al., 2018a) to identify paralogous genes generated by WGD event (ρ) in three rice genomes representing the two major subspecies. We then combined this with comparative and phylogenetic genomics to establish an improved method for inferring gene conversion. We evaluated the ratio, level, and pattern of gene conversion in three rice genomes and explored the effects of this process on genome evolutionary rate, gene function innovation, chromosome structure, and genome stability.

Results

Intra/intergenomic homologous genes

We performed genomic colinearity and structure analysis and identified duplicated genes generated by the WGD event common to grasses in the GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97 genomes. For blocks containing more than four colinear genes, there were more duplicated genes in GJ (3,314 pairs) than in XI-MH63 or XI-ZS97 (2,629 pairs and 2,889 pairs, respectively). We identified 46, 18, and 10 homologous blocks with more than 10, 20, and 50 colinear gene pairs in GJ, respectively. XI genomes had much shorter duplicated blocks, with fewer than 10 blocks possessing more than 50 colinear gene pairs (**Supplemental Table 1**). We also used a bidirectional best BLAST homology search to identify homologous gene pairs residing in paralogous regions because some pairs might have been removed from the colinearity analysis. Finally, 3,256, 2,502, and 2,816 homologous gene pairs were identified in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, respectively (**Figure 1**). Compared with GJ, the XI varieties had fewer homologous genes because XI has experienced more chromosomal rearrangement events.

We used colinearity and structure analysis of intergenomic homologous genes to infer orthologous genes generated by the recent species divergence (**Supplemental Table 1**). Colinearity analysis identified 19,089 orthologous gene pairs in 103 blocks between the GJ and XI-MH63 genomes. Between GJ and XI-ZS97, there were 18,498 orthologous gene pairs in 119 blocks. The two varieties of XI, XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97, showed better colinearity, with 25,262 orthologous gene pairs between them in 146 blocks. We again performed a bidirectional best BLAST homology search among the three genomes to identify additional orthologous genes. There were 23,719 orthologous gene pairs between GJ and XI-MH63, and 23,056 orthologous gene pairs between GJ and XI-ZS97. Since XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97 are more closely related, we identified 35,049 orthologous gene pairs between the genomes of these varieties (**Supplemental Table 2**).

Homologous gene quartets

To detect possible gene conversion between homologous genes produced by WGD, we used homology and colinearity information to identify homologous gene combinations for WGD and species divergence, which we defined ‘homologous gene quartets.’ Assuming that the genomes of two species ('O' and 'S') retain a pair of duplicated chromosomal generated in a

common ancestor through WGD, the paralogous genes O1 and O2 in species O and the respective orthologous genes S1 and S2 in species S constitute a homologous gene quartet (**Figure 2A**). Sequence similarity between orthologous gene pairs is more similar than that between paralogous gene pairs if there is no gene conversion (or nonreciprocal recombination) between the duplicated gene pairs after species divergence (**Figure 2B**). However, if gene conversion occurs between duplicated genes, we might find that the gene tree topology has a different structure than expected (**Figure 2C-E**). Changes in the topological structure of the gene tree can be determined from the similarity of homologous sequences in homologous gene quartets. As the gene sequence may be converted in whole or in part, we used different methods to infer whole-gene conversion (WCV) and partial-gene conversion (PCV) (see Materials and Methods for details).

Based on colinearity information of intragenomic and intergenomic homologous genes, we identified 2,788 quartets between GJ and XI-MH63, and 2,879 quartets between GJ and XI-ZS97. Although XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97 are varieties of the same subspecies, relatively few quartets (2,566) were identified between them, probably due mainly to differences in gene loss after the three genomes diverged. By comparing the three genomes, we inferred a possible ancestral gene content before divergence of 19,104. Rates of gene loss or translocation were 6.13%, 13.31%, and 7.89% in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, respectively. Finally, we identified 3,332, 3,322, and 3,254 homologous genes in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, respectively. These homologous genes were mainly conserved in 82, 85, and 93 blocks, and they were unevenly distributed across the 12 chromosomes in the three genomes (**Figure 1**).

Gene conversion and occurrence patterns

We removed highly divergent sequences to reduce the possibility of inferring gene conversion events from unreliable sequences (see Materials and Methods for details). After this, 2,788 gene quartets were identified between GJ and XI-MH63, 2,879 quartets between GJ and XI-ZS97, and 2,566 quartets between XI-ZS97 and XI-MH63 (**Supplemental Table 2**). We used two methods to infer gene tree topology, one based on synonymous nucleotide substitution rate (K_s) as a similarity measure and the other based on amino acid identity ratio, which we called whole-gene conversion type I and type II (WCV-I and WCV-II), respectively. We used a combination of dynamic planning and phylogenetic analysis to infer possible partial-gene conversion (PCV) events (**Supplemental Table 3**). Since paralogous gene pairs

may be identified in different quartets, we merged the paralogous gene pairs affected by gene conversion in each genome. This gave us the gene conversion events of each genome after the divergence of rice.

In GJ, 398 pairs (~12%) of paralogs had been converted. Of these, 179 pairs (5.37%) had undergone WCV: 11 pairs were inferred by WCV-I and 168 pairs were inferred by WCV-II. Another 259 pairs (7.77%) were PCVs, which occurred at a remarkably higher rate than WCV. In XI-MH63, 466 pairs (~14%) of paralogs had been converted, of which 182 pairs (5.48%) were WCVs: 8 pairs were inferred by WCV-I and 174 pairs were inferred by WCV-II. Another 312 pairs (9.39%) were PCVs, which was significantly higher than WCVs. In XI-ZS97, 468 pairs (~14%) of paralogs had been converted: 185 pairs (5.69%) were WCVs, comprising 8 pairs inferred by WCV-I and 177 pairs inferred by WCV-II. Another 310 pairs (9.53%) were PCVs, which was also more than the number of WCVs (**Table 1**). For example, we detected gene conversion between the paralogous genes *Zs11g0407.01* and *Zs12g0396.01*, and one gene fragment from 335 to 462 bp was converted through one-way genetic information transmission (or rearrangement) (**Figure 3A**). We discovered that the gene conversion rate in XI was significantly higher than that in GJ (**Figure 3B**). By analyzing topological changes in the gene trees reconstructed using homologous genes, we further determined that gene conversion occurred between *Mh11g0214.01* and *Mh12g0189.01* (**Figure 3C; Supplemental Text**).

High-frequency on-going gene conversion

By comparing the similarity of homologous gene quartets between different genomes, we inferred gene conversion events in the three genomes during different evolutionary periods. Duplicated gene pairs produced ~100 mya were still being affected by gene conversion. In GJ, we identified 398 pairs of paralogous genes that might have undergone gene conversion after the divergence of rice (**Figure 3D**). The amino acid identity of four (1.01%) pairs of paralogous genes was > 99%, with $K_s < 0.01$ (**Supplemental Figures 1 and 2**). A relatively large number of duplicated genes were affected by gene conversion in the two XI varieties, XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97. In XI-MH63, we found 466 pairs of paralogous genes that might have undergone gene conversion (**Figure 3D**) after the divergence of rice subspecies; six (1.29%) of these pairs of paralogous genes had > 99% amino acid identity between them and $K_s < 0.01$ (**Supplemental Figures 1 and 2**). Similarly, we identified 471 pairs of paralogous genes in XI-ZS97 that might have undergone gene conversion after GJ diverged from XI

(**Figure 3D**), and six (1.27%) of these pairs of paralogous genes had > 99% amino acid identity between them and $K_s < 0.01$ (**Supplemental Figures 1 and 2**). We identified small synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions and high sequence identity between duplicated gene pairs in which gene conversion had occurred, suggesting that gene conversion may have occurred over a very short time.

Another striking indication was that 407 and 391 pairs of paralogous genes were affected by gene conversion before the formation of XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97, respectively; 78 and 79 pairs of paralogous genes were converted after formation of the two varieties, accounting for 16.7% and 16.6% of the total gene conversion, respectively (**Figure 3D**). Duplicated genes in XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97 sharing a homologous region showed nearly 99% amino acid identity and 0.99 nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution rate (K_s) (**Supplemental Figures 1 and 2**). These data suggest that gene conversion between paralogous gene pairs is on-going and occurs at high frequencies in rice subspecies.

A donor is usually a donor

Gene conversion involves a donor locus and an acceptor locus. Donors and acceptors can be identified by comparing topological changes in the phylogenetic trees of homologous gene quartets since the paralog of the donor should be more similar than its ortholog. Donors have at least 30% more converted sites than acceptors. We found that 765, 934, and 930 duplicated genes had been converted in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, respectively, with 196, 215, and 200 of these representing donors. A total of 1,520 duplicated genes had been converted in the three genomes, with 1,378 (90.66%) of these converted in two or three genomes. Interestingly, 113 (88.98%) genes had preferred donors in at least two genomes, and 85 (66.93%) genes had the same donor in the three genomes (**Supplemental Table 4**). This suggested that the duplicated gene that had undergone gene conversion was usually present as a donor locus in each different genome (**Figure 4A**). For example, in the region of ~1.0 Mb near the telomere on chromosomes 11 and 12, gene conversion had occurred in 13 duplicated genes. Twelve duplicated genes had undergone gene conversion in at least two genomes. Ten duplicated genes were present as donors, and seven duplicated genes acting as donors in different genomes (**Figure 4B**).

Gene conversion and uneven distribution

Gene replacement and conversion were unevenly distributed across the different paralogous

homologous chromosomal regions, and all three genomes were most affected by gene replacement and conversion between duplicated genes on chromosomes 11 and 12. The gene conversion rate was 18.88%, 21.78%, and 18.71% on chromosomes 11 and 12 of GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, respectively (**Supplemental Table 5**). In GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, gene conversions were clustered in the 2 Mb region at the termini of chromosomes 11 and 12, and the gene conversion rate was 74.60%, 67.11%, and 73.02%, respectively. This suggests that gene conversion usually occurs at the termini of chromosomes. (**Figure 1D**).

The physical location of genes on chromosomes may influence the chance of gene conversion. Gene conversion is usually found at the termini of chromosomes, where gene density is high (**Figure 1; Table 2**). In GJ, 692 paralogs were located in the 2 Mb at the termini of chromosomes and about 17.20% of the paralogs were converted. This was higher than the gene conversion rate for the whole genome (12.09%). In XI-MH63, we found 584 paralogs in the 2 Mb at the termini of chromosomes, and approximately 25.34% showed gene conversion, which was also higher than the gene conversion rate for the whole genome (18.57%). In XI-ZS97, there were 675 paralogs located in the 2 Mb close to the termini of chromosomes, of which about 20.59% had undergone gene conversion, which was higher than the gene conversion rate for the whole genome (16.62%). We found that the physical location of genes on chromosomes may correlate with the chance of gene conversion, with genes near the chromosomal termini more frequently affected by gene conversion.

Effect of chromosome rearrangement on gene conversion

Chromosome rearrangement is a random process, and block number in the genome can reflect the degree of chromosome rearrangement after polyploidization. Block number and gene conversion rate showed a positive correlation (**Supplemental Table 6**) in XI-MH63 ($R^2 = 0.22$, P-value = 0.12), XI-ZS97, and GJ. However, there was no significant positive correlation in the three genomes (**Figure 5A**). If four special homologous chromosomes (homologous chromosome pairs 1-5 and homologous chromosome pairs 11-12) were removed, there was a significant positive correlation between block number and gene conversion rate in XI-MH63 ($R^2 = 0.85$, P-value < 0.01). There was also a significant positive correlation between block number of the chromosomes and gene conversion rate in XI-ZS97 ($R^2 = 0.75$, P-value < 0.01) and GJ ($R^2 = 0.74$, P-value < 0.01) (**Figure 5B**).

Correlation does not imply a direct factor leading to gene conversion. For this reason, we further analyzed the relationship between block length and gene conversion rate on each

chromosome (**Supplemental Table 7**). We found that longer blocks had a higher gene conversion rate (**Supplemental Figure 3**). The average gene conversion rate for a total of 14 blocks with more than 100 paralogous gene pairs was 14.12% (349 pairs). The block with fewer than 20 paralogous gene pairs was block 219, with a gene conversion rate of 11.77% (178 pairs). These results indicate that the direct result of chromosome rearrangement is the loss of duplicated genes, which may increase the chances of gene conversion. However, chromosome rearrangement may also reduce recombination between chromosomes and inhibit gene conversion.

Gene conversion and evolution

Gene conversion homogenizes paralogous gene sequences. This makes the affected homologous genes appear younger than expected, based on sequence divergence with one another. The synonymous substitution rate (P_n) and nonsynonymous substitution rate (P_s) between paralogs undergoing gene conversion were smaller than those of paralogs not affected by gene conversion (**Table 3**). In GJ, the average $P_n=0.20$ and $P_s=0.46$ for converted genes were significantly smaller than the average $P_n=0.25$ and $P_s=0.51$ for genes not converted. The average $P_n=0.18$ and $P_s=0.44$ for XI-MH63 gene conversion were significantly smaller than the average $P_n=0.23$ and $P_s=0.49$ for XI-MH63 genes with no conversion. XI-ZS97 gene conversion had average $P_n=0.18$ and $P_s=0.45$, which was significantly smaller than the average $P_n=0.24$ and $P_s=0.49$ for genes showing no conversion. We could not determine whether converted genes evolve slowly based on the paralogs themselves, since pairwise distances between paralogs are converted. However, P_n and P_s were slightly larger between orthologous gene pairs affected by gene conversion than between orthologs not showing gene conversion. This suggests that the orthologs in which gene conversion has occurred have evolved faster than those not affected by gene conversion.

We used P_s and P_n for determining whether gene conversion was affected by evolutionary selection pressure. The ratio of P_n/P_s reflects the selection pressure between gene pairs during evolution. We compared the P_n/P_s ratio between genes subjected to conversion and those with no conversion. The average P_n/P_s ratio for XI-MH63 gene conversion was 0.41, and the average P_n/P_s ratio of non-converted paralogs was 0.48. This indicates that converted genes were subject to purifying selection (**Table 3**). The P_n/P_s ratios for gene conversion in XI-ZS97 and GJ were also smaller than those for non-converted genes. The selection pressure for gene conversion or no gene conversion did not change much.

However, there was not much difference in the selection pressure between orthologous gene pairs with and without gene substitution. No evidence suggests a change in selection pressure of converted genes.

On-going gene conversion and function

Some duplicated genes are preferentially converted. We performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis to relate duplicated genes to biological functions. The GO analysis revealed that some genes with specific functions may be preferred for conversion, while gene conversion of some functional genes is avoided (**Supplemental Figures 4-6; Supplemental Table 8**). We analyzed 761, 910, and 912 duplicated genes with gene conversion and 5,262, 5,224, and 5,135 duplicated genes without gene conversion in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, respectively. Genes involved in functions associated with large numbers of genes (catalytic activity, metabolic process) were biased toward gene conversion in the three genomes. By contrast, some genes associated with functions encoded by few genes (protein-containing complex, transporter activity) might have avoided gene conversion.

GO analysis of duplicated genes with and without gene conversion suggested that genes associated with functions encoded by a large number of genes are more biased towards gene conversion (**Table 4**). Four secondary-level terms were significantly enriched at the level of molecular function and biological processes, and accounted for about 30% of the corresponding gene sets. For example, the number of catalytic activity genes and metabolic process genes in the three genomes in which gene conversion occurred (31.4% - 37.7%) was significantly more than that in which no gene conversion occurred (26.6% - 30.6%) (P-value < 0.01). Similarly, binding genes and cellular process genes showed higher gene conversion (27.4% - 39.9%) than duplicated genes without gene conversion (24.6.6% - 38.4%), suggesting that they are more likely to be converted.

Evolution and conversion of NBS-LRR genes

Rice diseases caused by various pathogens are one of the most serious constraints in global rice production (Divya et al., 2014). Disease resistance genes play a very important role in the evolution of plant genomes and are one of the indispensable families of genes for survival of plants under natural selection (Keen, 1992, Bertoli et al., 2016). We therefore identified 1,697 NBS-LRR (nucleotide binding site-leucine rich repeat) resistance genes in the three genomes (**Supplemental Table 9**). Among these, we identified 462 NBS-LRR genes in GJ,

less than in XI-MH63 (644) and XI-ZS97 (591). The NBS-LRR genes were unevenly clustered on the chromosomes of the three genomes. The density on chromosome 11 was the highest, as confirmed in previous studies (Zhang et al., 2014, Stein et al., 2018). We found 113 (24.46%), 126 (21.32%), and 181 (28.11%) NBS-LRR genes on chromosome 11 of GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, respectively. There were more NBS-LRR genes on chromosome 11 than on the other chromosomes (3.68% - 10.66%).

GO analysis of NBS-LRR genes in the genomes revealed enrichment mainly in terms associated with molecular function and biological process (**Supplemental Figure 7**). In GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, 97%, 91.1%, and 93.1% of genes, respectively, were involved in binding (P-value=0.01) (**Supplemental Table 10**). Therefore, the NBS-LRR genes may be associated with the molecular function of binding and might be biased toward the occurrence of gene conversion. Polyploidization may also result in expansion of NBS-LRR genes, with ectopic recombination causing the NBS-LRR genes to further undergo a birth-to-death process. Evolutionary analysis of the NBS-LRR genes revealed 25, 67, and 39 young genes with $K_s < 0.1$ in the three genomes (**Figure 6A-C**). Most of the NBS-LRR genes were generated after the divergence of rice subspecies, and clusters of young NBS-LRR genes were found on chromosomes 2 and 11. These NBS-LRR genes showed a pattern of proximal localization and young origin in the three genomes, as well as similarity in gene conversion. We found a positive correlation between NBS-LRR genes and converted genes in regions with more than 1% of the NBS-LRR genes in the three genomes. This suggested that during their evolution, NBS-LRR genes might have had many chances to interact with one another, leading to gene conversion. (**Figure 6D**).

Discussion

On-going conversion between duplicated genes

Recombination between neo-homologous chromosome pairs or homologous chromosome pairs resulting from WGD has existed throughout a long evolutionary history, generated a large number of chromosomal rearrangements (Murat et al., 2010, Bowers et al., 2003, Murat et al., 2014). This recombination can persist for a long time, maybe even hundreds of millions of years (Wicker et al., 2015). Previous studies have illustrated that many duplicated genes from WGD events about 100 mya are affected by illegitimate recombination and gene conversion (Jacquemin et al., 2009, Jacquemin et al., 2011). In some genomic regions, this

effect persists for millions of years, especially on chromosomes 11 and 12 of rice (Wang et al., 2007). We used new, high-quality genomic data to analyze the genome sequences of GJ, XI-ZS97, and XI-MH63, revealing the level and pattern of gene conversion in all homologous genes of modern crop rice during domestication and improvement. Study of gene conversion after the divergence of rice subspecies and after the divergence of the two XI varieties revealed a shared region of gene substitution between XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97. This suggests that gene conversion may be on-going for a long time in the evolution of species and continue to provide a driving force in genome evolution and genetic innovation.

Gene conversion has contributed to cultivated rice divergence

Gene conversion is the result of recombination. Classical theoretical studies point out that recombination accelerates mutation (Koszul and Fischer, 2009, Jacquemin et al., 2011). Gene conversion may therefore play an important role in recombination, and we used the results of the new data analysis to further confirm this conclusion. We identified that the K_s between orthologous genes showing gene conversion was significantly smaller than that of orthologous genes without conversion. This suggests that genes having undergone gene conversion may have evolved more rapidly, which has been demonstrated by previous studies (Chen et al., 2007, Wang and Paterson, 2011). Gene conversion is one of the major mutational mechanisms in the evolution of species. Gene conservation can provide opportunities for gene conversion (Cossu et al., 2017). Our results showed that 46% of ancient gene conversions may have again undergone gene conversion more recently after the divergence of rice subspecies. Gene conversion is an accelerating force in the genetic evolution of mutations. After gene conversion, these genes restart the evolutionary process and accelerate the divergence of rice subspecies.

Gene conversion and chromosome rearrangement

Our results showed that the degree of chromosome rearrangement and gene conversion rate are positively correlated. However, gene conversion is not necessary for the survival of the species, as most grass species have undergone massive chromosome rearrangements (Murat et al., 2010, Wang et al., 2019). Previous reports suggest that the occurrence of a large inversion in the short arm before the rice–sorghum divergence may suppress gene conversion, with the lowest rate of gene conversion occurring between chromosomes 1 and 5 in rice (Wang et al., 2009, Paterson et al., 2009). However, we did not find the lowest rates of gene conversion in the three genomes of rice subspecies between chromosomes 1 and 5, possibly

because chromosome recombination may be stage-specific. Shorter homoeologous regions are a modern state resulting from historical evolution. We found more chromosomal rearrangements in XI than GJ, which may lead to gene loss, and relatively more gene conversion. Chromosome rearrangement might result in gene loss and thus provide conditions for on-going gene conversion. Chromosome rearrangement might have directly contributed to restriction of recombination/conversion between homoeologous regions.

Why is a donor usually a donor?

Gene conversion is to copy one gene sequence from a donor locus to a receptor locus (Harpak et al., 2017). Analyzing the scale of gene conversion helps to illuminate the mechanism of gene conversion (Cossu et al., 2017). We found that independent conversions that have survived (so far) in different lineages have often used the same genes as donors. It seems improbable to attribute this to selection, noting that the donor and acceptor copies have coexisted in the genome for 100 million years. A more plausible explanation is that one gene copy has some ‘privileged’ nature over the other. This could be genetic or epigenetic. If one copy or its neighboring region possesses mutations or epigenetic changes, the other copy might be more likely to act as a donor, helping to reinstate intactness. Moreover, some homologous chromosomal segments also seem to be preferential donors rather than acceptors. Mechanisms underlying these biases remain unknown, but an exciting future investigation will be to explore epigenetic phenomena such as have been suggested to influence patterns of gene retention/loss along chromosome segments (Woodhouse et al., 2010).

Gene conversion and function

Gene conversion leads to genes similar or even identical in sequence. The analysis above indicates that large gene families may be more susceptible to gene conversion. Duplicated copies may neutralize the presence of putative mutations, providing an opportunity for functional innovation (Daugherty and Zanders, 2019). Rather than being a conservative factor among different genotypes, gene conversion accelerates divergence (Wang et al., 2011). Gene conversion has been used to explain the evolution of large gene families, such as NBS-LRR genes and rRNA genes, which typically have dozens of copies on chromosomes (Okuyama et al., 2011, Nawrocki and Eddy, 2013, Rooney, 2004). Extensive analysis has shown that the evolution of functional genes that are members of large families may often be accompanied by strong purifying selection. Until 1990, most multigene families were thought to have coevolved with related homologous genes through gene conversion (Godiard et al., 1994).

Evolution of the NBS-LRR gene family, rRNA gene family, and some other highly conserved gene families may be consistent with this conclusion. For these families, most genes are usually extremely similar. However, the evolution of other gene families may be better explained by the birth-and-death model. New genes are created through gene duplication, and some genes remain in the genome for a long time while others may be lost (Finet et al., 2019).

Materials and Methods

Sequence data

Genomic sequence data for XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97 were obtained from the GenBank database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>). Genomic data for GJ ‘Nipponbare’ and *Arabidopsis thaliana* were downloaded from genome databases Gramene (<http://www.gramene.org/>) and TAIR (<https://www.arabidopsis.org/>), respectively.

Detection of duplicated segments and homologous gene quartets

BLASTP (Camacho et al., 2009) was used to search for intragenomic and intergenomic homology of protein sequences ($E < 1e-5$). ColinearScan (Wang et al., 2005) was used to analyze colinear regions based on gene homology predictions, and the significance of colinearity was tested. Colinear intragenomic and intergenomic chromosome fragments were inferred from analysis of homologous genome structures, and homologous and colinear genes were determined. Blocks of homologous genome structure within and between rice subspecies were also deduced. These blocks might represent paralogs produced by WGD events in the common ancestor or orthologs caused by species divergence. To determine homology and colinearity between chromosomes, genes in large gene families were removed from the ColinearScan analysis. Therefore, to obtain more complete homology information within genomes, further bidirectional best BLASTP homology searches were performed on the three genomes. Gene quartets were inferred from intragenomic and intergenomic paralogs and orthologs.

Inference of gene conversion

To infer possible gene conversion between paralogs, ClustalW (Larkin et al., 2007)

comparison of the quartets identified between any two genomes was performed. Highly divergent sequences were removed to eliminate potential problems created by inferring gene conversion from unreliable sequences. Quartets showing gaps in the pairwise alignments exceeding 50% of the alignment length, or with amino acid identity between homologous sequences of less than 40% were removed.

Whole-genome conversion (WCV) inference: Since paralogous genes arise before species divergence, the similarity between orthologous gene pairs in two species should be higher than the similarity between paralogous gene pairs. However, gene conversion events change the similarity between gene pairs. The first whole-genome conversion inference method (WCV-I) used was based on studying the homology relationship between genomes, using *Ks* value as a similarity measure. The *Ks* values between paralogous and orthologous gene pairs were used to infer possible gene conversion, and 1000 bootstrap tests were performed on all gene trees in which gene conversion occurred to obtain the confidence level for each gene (Wang et al., 2009, Wang and Paterson, 2011). The second whole-genome conversion inference method (WCV-II) calculated the ratio of amino acid locus identity between homologous gene pairs, and compared point-by-point homology between paralogous gene pairs and between orthologous gene pairs. These sequences were used to infer possible changes to evolutionary tree topology, depending on whether the paralogous genes were more similar to each other than orthologous genes (Wang et al., 2009). This is a strict criterion, as paralogs were produced at least 100 mya from a WGD, whereas orthologs have diverged more recently. Instead of using *Ks* values as a metric here, identical sites between homoeologous sequences were calculated directly. The similarity between sequences representing different rice subspecies is often very high, as in a previous study of hexaploid wheat (Liu et al., 2020).

Partial-gene conversion (PCV) inference: Quartets were used to identify possible gene conversion among partial gene sequences that may occur after species divergence. A combination of dynamic planning and phylogenetic analysis was used to document the differences between two aligned bases from paralogous and orthologous genes for each genome. In averaged distance arrays, the paralogs in each species should be more distant if no PCV was involved. Bootstrap frequency was obtained by repeating the 1000 bootstrap tests to identify high-scoring segments with shorter lengths and smaller scores. After masking some of the larger fragments, a recursive procedure revealed shorter high-scoring fragments, which helped to reveal genes affected by multiple gene conversion events (Wang et al.,

2009).

GO enrichment analysis

The GO data search software InterProScan (Jones et al., 2014) was used to determine whole-genome GO functional annotation. GO annotation results of the gene sets were compared and plotted using the online visualization tool WEGO (Ye et al., 2018) to visualize the distribution of functional genes and trends. The significance of the enrichment of GO-annotated genes was explained using calculated P-value.

Identification of disease-resistance genes

The comparison software HMMscan (Eddy, 2011) was used to identify NBS-LRR domains in the whole genomes of GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97, and NBS-LRR gene set A was obtained. The whole genome of the model organism *Arabidopsis thaliana* was searched for the NB-ARC domain (PF00931) using HMMsearch (Eddy, 2011) to identify NBS-LRR domains with E-value of 1e-10. After obtaining the NBS-LRR genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, BLASTP was used to compare these sequences with the whole genomes of GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97. Genes with a score value of > 150 and E-value > 1e-10 were designated NBS-LRR gene set B of the rice subspecies. Genes present in both gene sets A and B were identified as NBS-LRR genes in the three genomes.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in Materials.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Text Gene conversion and occurrence patterns.

Supplemental Figure 1. Distribution of amino acid identity between duplicated genes in *Oryza* subspecies genomes.

Supplemental Figure 2. Distribution of synonymous nucleotide substitution percentage (Ps) between syntenic paralogs in duplicated blocks of *Oryza* subspecies genomes.

Supplemental Figure 3. Relationship between length of blocks on each chromosome and rate of gene conversion.

Supplemental Figure 4. Histogram of Gene Ontology (GO) statistics for duplicated genes with and without gene conversion in GJ.

Supplemental Figure 5. Histogram of Gene Ontology (GO) statistics for duplicated genes with and without gene conversion in XI-MH63.

Supplemental Figure 6. Histogram of Gene Ontology (GO) statistics for duplicated genes with and without gene conversion in XI-ZS97.

Supplemental Figure 7. Histogram of Gene Ontology (GO) statistics of NBS-LRR genes in GJ, XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97.

Supplemental Table 1. Number of homologous genes and blocks in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Supplemental Table 2. Identified quartets and gene conversion in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Supplemental Table 3. Gene conversion of quartets in the three rice subspecies genomes.

Supplemental Table 4. Homology of donor locus and acceptor locus in gene conversion.

Supplemental Table 5. Distribution of paralogs and gene conversion GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Supplemental Table 6. Relationship between the block number and the gene conversion rate in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Supplemental Table 7. Relationship between the block length and the gene conversion rate in the three rice subspecies genomes.

Supplemental Table 8. GO analysis of gene conversion and non-gene conversion in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Supplemental Table 9. NBS-LRR gene counts by chromosome in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Supplemental Table 10. GO annotation analysis of NBS-LRR genes in GJ, XI-MH63, and

XI-ZS97.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the financial support from the China National Science Foundation (3151333 to JW), Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (C20209064 and C2015209069 to JW).

Legends

Table 1. Converted paralogs in GJ and XI genomes (XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97).

Table 2. Relationship between gene physical location and gene conversion

Table 3. Nucleotide substitution rates of quartets in rice subspecies

Table 4. Function comparison of genes subjected to conversion or not in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Figure 1. Genome duplications and conversion patterns in three rice subspecies genomes. Lines show duplicated gene pairs between chromosomes in three genomes. Colored lines indicate gene-conversion pairs; grey lines indicate non-gene-conversion pairs. (A) Gene duplication and gene conversion in GJ. (B) Gene duplication and gene conversion in

XI-MH63. (C) Gene duplication and gene conversion in XI-ZS97. (D) Gene duplication and gene conversion on chromosomes 11 and 12 of GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Figure 2. Gene conversion events were inferred by construction of homologous gene quartets and changes in phylogenetic tree topology. (A) Colinear chromosomal segments from two genomes (O and S), represented by rectangles of different colors. Arrows show genes, and homologous genes are indicated by the same color. Homologous gene quartets are formed by paralogous genes O1 and O2 in one genome and their respective orthologs S1 and S2 in the other genome. (B-E) Squares symbolize a WGD event in the common ancestral genome; circles symbolize species divergence. (B) The expected phylogenetic relationship of the homologous genes if no conversion occurs. (C) O2 (an acceptor) is converted by O1 (a donor). (D) S1 is converted by S2. (e) Both of the above conversions occur.

Figure 3. Evolution of gene conversion. (A) Sequence alignment for a homologous gene quartet. The nucleotide sequence from 335 to 462 bp of *Zs12g0396.01* and *Zs11g0407.01* has undergone gene conversion, with *Zs11g0407.01* as the donor. (B) The number of WCV and PCV events occurring in the three genomes. (C) Evolutionary tree of genes in which gene conversion has occurred. the numbers at nodes represent bootstrap value. Gene conversion has occurred in *Mh11g0214.01* and *Oj12g0111700.00*. (D) Gene conversion in species divergence events.

Figure 4. Distribution of donors and receptors in the genome where gene conversion occurs. (A) Homologous distribution of donors and acceptors on chromosomes undergoing gene conversion. Curved lines within the inner circle are formed by 12 chromosomes color coded to the seven ancestral chromosomes before the WGD event common to grasses (ECH) (Wang et al., 2015). Intra-loop curves show duplicated gene pairs in GJ. The inner three circles show the relationships of orthologous gene distribution between the three genomes in which gene conversion has occurred. The outer three circles show the distribution between the three genomes undergoing gene conversion, and the inner three circles show paralogous homologs. Different colors indicate donor (orange) or acceptor (pink) loci, as well as some uncertain loci (green). (B) Local gene conversion and the distribution of donor and acceptor loci. Pink

swatches represent donor loci, orange swatches represent acceptor loci, and green swatches represent those loci where donor or acceptor status is uncertain. And Zs means XI-ZS97; Mh means XI-MH63; Oj means GJ.

Figure 5. Relationship between block number and gene conversion rate on each chromosomes. (A) Relationship between block number on 12 chromosomes and gene conversion rate on the corresponding chromosomes of GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97. (B) Relationship between block number on 8 chromosomes and gene conversion rate on the corresponding chromosomes after removing the four special chromosomes (homologous chromosome pair 1-5 and homologous chromosomes pair 11-12).

Figure 6. NBS-LRR gene amplification model in three rice subspecies genomes. (A-C) Distribution of NBS-LRR genes on 12 chromosomes in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97. Green curved lines within the inner circle connect homologous pairs of NBS-LRR genes on the 12 chromosomes. Green blocks indicate NBS-LRR genes; red lines between NBS-LRR genes indicate $Ks < 0.1$, yellow lines indicate $0.1 < Ks < 0.2$, and blue lines indicate $Ks < 1$. (D) Relationship between NBS-LRR genes and gene conversion in regions with more than 1% of the NBS-LRR genes in the three genomes.

Literature Cited

- Barker MS, Husband BC, Pires JC** (2016) Spreading Winge and flying high: The evolutionary importance of polyploidy after a century of study. *Am J Bot* **103**: 1139-1145.
- Bertioli DJ, Cannon SB, Froenicke L, Huang G, Farmer AD, Cannon EK, Liu X, Gao D, Clevenger J, et al.** (2016) The genome sequences of *Arachis duranensis* and *Arachis ipaensis*, the diploid ancestors of cultivated peanut. *Nat Genet* **48**: 438-446.
- Bowers JE, Chapman BA, Rong J, Paterson AH** (2003) Unravelling angiosperm genome evolution by phylogenetic analysis of chromosomal duplication events. *Nature* **422**: 433-438.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL** (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**: 421.
- Chen JM, Cooper DN, Chuzhanova N, Férec C, Patrinos GP** (2007) Gene conversion: mechanisms, evolution and human disease. *Nat Rev Genet* **8**: 762-775.
- Cossu RM, Casola C, Giacomello S, Vidalis A, Scofield DG, Zuccolo A** (2017) LTR retrotransposons show low levels of unequal recombination and high rates of intraelement gene conversion in large plant genomes. *Genome Biol Evol* **9**: 3449-3462.
- Daugherty MD, Zanders SE** (2019) Gene conversion generates evolutionary novelty that fuels genetic conflicts. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* **58-59**: 49-54.
- Divya B, Biswas A, Robin S, Rabindran R, Joel AJ** (2014) Gene interactions and genetics of blast resistance and yield attributes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J Genet* **93**: 415-424.
- Eddy SR** (2011) Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**: e1002195.
- Finet C, Slavik K, Pu J, Carroll SB, Chung H** (2019) Birth-and-death evolution of the Fatty acyl-CoA reductase (FAR) gene family and diversification of cuticular hydrocarbon synthesis in drosophila. *Genome Biol Evol* **11**: 1541-1551.
- Frawley LE, Orr-Weaver TL** (2015) Polyploidy. *Current Biology* **25**: R353-R358.
- Gardiner LJ, Wingen LU, Bailey P, Joynson R, Brabbs T, Wright J, Higgins JD, Hall N, Griffiths S, et al.** (2019) Analysis of the recombination landscape of hexaploid bread wheat reveals genes controlling recombination and gene conversion frequency. *Genome Biol* **20**: 69.

-
- Godiard L, Grant MR, Dietrich RA, Kiedrowski S, Dangl JL** (1994) Perception and response in plant disease resistance. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **4**: 662-671.
- Goff SA, Ricke D, Lan TH, Presting G, Wang R, Dunn M, Glazebrook J, Sessions A, Oeller P, et al.** (2002) A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *japonica*). *Science* **296**: 92-100.
- Guo H, Lee TH, Wang X, Paterson AH** (2013) Function relaxation followed by diversifying selection after whole-genome duplication in flowering plants. *Plant Physiol* **162**: 769-778.
- Harpak A, Lan X, Gao Z, Pritchard JK** (2017) Frequent nonallelic gene conversion on the human lineage and its effect on the divergence of gene duplicates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**: 12779-12784.
- Huang X, Wei X, Sang T, Zhao Q, Feng Q, Zhao Y, Li C, Zhu C, Lu T, et al.** (2010) Genome-wide association studies of 14 agronomic traits in rice landraces. *Nat Genet* **42**: 961-967.
- Jacquemin J, Chaparro C, Laudié M, Berger A, Gavory F, Goicoechea JL, Wing RA, Cooke R** (2011) Long-range and targeted ectopic recombination between the two homeologous chromosomes 11 and 12 in *Oryza* species. *Mol Biol Evol* **28**: 3139-3150.
- Jacquemin J, Laudié M, Cooke R** (2009) A recent duplication revisited: phylogenetic analysis reveals an ancestral duplication highly-conserved throughout the *Oryza* genus and beyond. *BMC Plant Biol* **9**: 146.
- Jang CS, Yim WC, Moon JC, Hung JH, Lee TG, Lim SD, Cho SH, Lee KK, Kim W, et al.** (2008) Evolution of non-specific lipid transfer protein (nsLTP) genes in the Poaceae family: their duplication and diversity. *Mol Genet Genomics* **279**: 481-497.
- Jiao Y, Wickett NJ, Ayyampalayam S, Chanderbali AS, Landherr L, Ralph PE, Tomsho LP, Hu Y, Liang H, et al.** (2011) Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature* **473**: 97-100.
- Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, Mcanulla C, Mcwilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, et al.** (2014) InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1236-1240.
- Jung CG, Lim SD, Hwang SG, Jang CS** (2012) Molecular characterization and concerted evolution of two genes encoding RING-C2 type proteins in rice. *Gene* **505**: 9-18.
- Keen NT** (1992) The molecular biology of disease resistance. *Plant Mol Biol* **19**: 109-122.

-
- Kim H, Hurwitz B, Yu Y, Collura K, Gill N, Sanmiguel P, Mullikin JC, Maher C, Nelson W, et al.** (2008) Construction, alignment and analysis of twelve framework physical maps that represent the ten genome types of the genus *Oryza*. *Genome Biology* **9**: R45.
- Koszul R, Fischer G** (2009) A prominent role for segmental duplications in modeling Eukaryotic genomes. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* **332**: 254-266.
- Kurosawa K, Ohta K** (2011) Genetic diversification by somatic gene conversion. *Genes (Basel)* **2**: 48-58.
- Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown N, Chenna R, Mcgettigan P, Mcwilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace IMW, Wilm A, et al.** (2007) Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* **23**: 2947-2948.
- Li X, Chen Z, Zhang G, Lu H, Qin P, Qi M, Yu Y, Jiao B, Zhao X, et al.** (2020) Analysis of genetic architecture and favorable allele usage of agronomic traits in a large collection of Chinese rice accessions. *Sci China Life Sci* **63**: 1688-1702.
- Liu C, Wang J, Sun P, Yu J, Meng F, Zhang Z, Guo H, Wei C, Li X, et al.** (2020) Illegitimate recombination between homeologous genes in wheat genome. *Front Plant Sci* **11**: 1076.
- Mao D, Yu H, Liu T, Yang G, Xing Y** (2011) Two complementary recessive genes in duplicated segments control etiolation in rice. *Theor Appl Genet* **122**: 373-383.
- Ming R, Vanburen R, Wai CM, Tang H, Schatz MC, Bowers JE, Lyons E, Wang ML, Chen J, et al.** (2015) The pineapple genome and the evolution of CAM photosynthesis. *Nat Genet* **47**: 1435-1442.
- Murat F, Armero A, Pont C, Klopp C, Salse J** (2017) Reconstructing the genome of the most recent common ancestor of flowering plants. *Nat Genet* **49**: 490-496.
- Murat F, Xu JH, Tannier E, Abrouk M, Guilhot N, Pont C, Messing J, Salse J** (2010) Ancestral grass karyotype reconstruction unravels new mechanisms of genome shuffling as a source of plant evolution. *Genome Res* **20**: 1545-1557.
- Murat F, Zhang R, Guizard S, Flores R, Armero A, Pont C, Steinbach D, Quesneville H, Cooke R, et al.** (2014) Shared subgenome dominance following polyploidization explains grass genome evolutionary plasticity from a seven protochromosome ancestor with 16K protogenes. *Genome Biol Evol* **6**: 12-33.
- Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR** (2013) Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 2933-2935.
- Okuyama Y, Kanzaki H, Abe A, Yoshida K, Tamiru M, Saitoh H, Fujibe T, Matsumura H, Shenton M, et al.** (2011) A multifaceted genomics approach allows the

isolation of the rice Pia-blast resistance gene consisting of two adjacent NBS-LRR protein genes. *Plant J* **66**: 467-479.

Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Bruggmann R, Dubchak I, Grimwood J, Gundlach H, Haberer G, Hellsten U, Mitros T, *et al.* (2009) The *Sorghum bicolor* genome and the diversification of grasses. *Nature* **457**: 551-556.

Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Chapman BA (2004) Ancient polyploidization predating divergence of the cereals, and its consequences for comparative genomics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**: 9903-9908.

Paterson AH, Wendel JF, Gundlach H, Guo H, Jenkins J, Jin D, Llewellyn D, Showmaker KC, Shu S, *et al.* (2012) Repeated polyploidization of *Gossypium* genomes and the evolution of spinnable cotton fibres. *Nature* **492**: 423-427.

Puchta H, Dujon B, Hohn B (1996) Two different but related mechanisms are used in plants for the repair of genomic double-strand breaks by homologous recombination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **93**: 5055-5060.

Ratnaparkhe MB, Wang X, Li J, Compton RO, Rainville LK, Lemke C, Kim C, Tang H, Paterson AH (2011) Comparative analysis of peanut NBS-LRR gene clusters suggests evolutionary innovation among duplicated domains and erosion of gene microsynteny. *New Phytol* **192**: 164-178.

Ricachenevsky FK, Sperotto RA, Menguer PK, Sperb ER, Lopes KL, Fett JP (2011) ZINC-INDUCED FACILITATOR-LIKE family in plants: lineage-specific expansion in monocotyledons and conserved genomic and expression features among rice (*Oryza sativa*) paralogs. *BMC Plant Biol* **11**: 20.

Rooney AP (2004) Mechanisms underlying the evolution and maintenance of functionally heterogeneous 18S rRNA genes in Apicomplexans. *Mol Biol Evol* **21**: 1704-1711.

Stein JC, Yu Y, Copetti D, Zwickl DJ, Zhang L, Zhang C, Chougule K, Gao D, Iwata A, *et al.* (2018) Genomes of 13 domesticated and wild rice relatives highlight genetic conservation, turnover and innovation across the genus *Oryza*. *Nature Genetics* **50**: 285-296.

Sweeney M, McCouch S (2007) The complex history of the domestication of rice. *Ann Bot* **100**: 951-957.

Tanaka T, Antonio BA, Kikuchi S, Matsumoto T, Nagamura Y, Numa H, Sakai H, Wu J, Itoh T, *et al.* (2008) The Rice Annotation Project Database (RAP-DB): 2008 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**: D1028-1033.

Tang H, Bowers JE, Wang X, Paterson AH (2010) Angiosperm genome comparisons reveal early polyploidy in the monocot lineage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**:

472-477.

Taylor JS, Raes J (2004) Duplication and divergence: the evolution of new genes and old ideas. *Annu Rev Genet* **38**: 615-643.

Wang HW, Hwang S-G, Karuppanapandian T, Liu A, Kim W, Jang CS (2012) Insight into the molecular evolution of non-specific lipid transfer proteins via comparative analysis between rice and sorghum. *DNA Res* **19**: 179-194.

Wang J, Sun P, Li Y, Liu Y, Yang N, Yu J, Ma X, Sun S, Xia R, *et al.* (2018a) An overlooked paleotetraploidization in cucurbitaceae. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**: 16-26.

Wang J, Sun P, Li Y, Liu Y, Yu J, Ma X, Sun S, Yang N, Xia R, *et al.* (2017) Hierarchically Aligning 10 legume genomes establishes a family-level genomics Platform. *Plant Physiol* **174**: 284-300.

Wang W, Mauleon R, Hu Z, Chebotarov D, Tai S, Wu Z, Li M, Zheng T, Fuentes RR, *et al.* (2018b) Genomic variation in 3,010 diverse accessions of Asian cultivated rice. *Nature* **557**: 43-49.

Wang X, Shi X, Hao B, Ge S, Luo J (2005) Duplication and DNA segmental loss in the rice genome: implications for diploidization. *New Phytol* **165**: 937-946.

Wang X, Tang H, Bowers JE, Feltus FA, Paterson AH (2007) Extensive concerted evolution of rice paralogs and the road to regaining independence. *Genetics* **177**: 1753-1763.

Wang X, Tang H, Bowers JE, Paterson AH (2009) Comparative inference of illegitimate recombination between rice and sorghum duplicated genes produced by polyploidization. *Genome Res* **19**: 1026-1032.

Wang X, Tang H, Paterson AH (2011) Seventy million years of concerted evolution of a homoeologous chromosome pair, in parallel, in major Poaceae lineages. *Plant Cell* **23**: 27-37.

Wang X, Wang J, Jin D, Guo H, Lee TH, Liu T, Paterson AH (2015) Genome alignment spanning major poaceae lineages reveals heterogeneous evolutionary rates and alters inferred dates for key evolutionary events. *Mol Plant* **8**: 885-898.

Wang XY, Paterson AH (2011) Gene conversion in angiosperm genomes with an emphasis on genes duplicated by polyploidization. *Genes (Basel)* **2**: 1-20.

Wang Z, Wang J, Pan Y, Lei T, Ge W, Wang L, Zhang L, Li Y, Zhao K, *et al.* (2019) Reconstruction of evolutionary trajectories of chromosomes unraveled independent genomic repatterning between Triticeae and Brachypodium. *BMC Genomics* **20**: 180.

Wicker T, Wing RA, Schubert I (2015) Recurrent sequence exchange between

homeologous grass chromosomes. *Plant J* **84**: 747-759.

Woodhouse MR, Schnable JC, Pedersen BS, Lyons E, Lisch D, Subramaniam S, Freeling M (2010) Following tetraploidy in maize, a short deletion mechanism removed genes preferentially from one of the two homologs. *PLoS Biol* **8**: e1000409.

Wu S, Han B, Jiao Y (2020) Genetic contribution of paleopolyploidy to adaptive evolution in angiosperms. *Mol Plant* **13**: 59-71.

Ye J, Zhang Y, Cui H, Liu J, Wu Y, Cheng Y, Xu H, Huang X, Li S, et al. (2018) WEGO 2.0: a web tool for analyzing and plotting GO annotations, 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**: w71-w75.

Yu J, Hu S, Wang J, Wong GK, Li S, Liu B, Deng Y, Dai L, Zhou Y, et al. (2002) A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *indica*). *Science* **296**: 79-92.

Yu J, Zhao M, Wang X, Tong C, Huang S, Tehrim S, Liu Y, Hua W, Liu S (2013) Bolbase: a comprehensive genomics database for *Brassica oleracea*. *BMC Genomics* **14**: 664.

Zhang H, Zhang CQ, Sun ZZ, Yu W, Gu MH, Liu QQ, Li YS (2011) A major locus qS12, located in a duplicated segment of chromosome 12, causes spikelet sterility in an indica-japonica rice hybrid. *Theor Appl Genet* **123**: 1247-1256.

Zhang J, Chen LL, Xing F, Kudrna DA, Yao W, Copetti D, Mu T, Li W, Song JM, et al. (2016) Extensive sequence divergence between the reference genomes of two elite indica rice varieties Zhenshan 97 and Minghui 63. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**: E5163-5171.

Zhang QJ, Zhu T, Xia EH, Shi C, Liu YL, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Jiang WK, Zhao YJ, et al. (2014) Rapid diversification of five *Oryza* AA genomes associated with rice adaptation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**: E4954-4962.

Zhuang W, Chen H, Yang M, Wang J, Pandey MK, Zhang C, Chang W-C, Zhang L, Zhang X, et al. (2019) The genome of cultivated peanut provides insight into legume karyotypes, polyploid evolution and crop domestication. *Nature Genetics* **51**: 865-876.

864 **Table 1.** Converted paralogs in GJ and XI genomes (XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97).

	GJ	XI-MH63			XI-ZS97		
		Period A ¹	Period B ²	Total	Period A ¹	Period B ²	Total
Paralogs	3332	3322			3254		
WCV-I	11 (2.76%)	7 (1.72%)	1 (1.28%)	8 (1.72%)	7 (1.79%)	1 (1.27%)	8 (1.71%)
WCV-II	168 (42.21%)	173 (42.51%)	1 (1.28%)	174 (37.34%)	175 (44.76%)	2 (2.53%)	177 (37.82%)
PCV	259 (65.08%)	250 (61.43%)	77 (98.72%)	312 (66.95%)	251 (61.22%)	77 (97.47%)	310 (66.24%)
On chromosomes 11 and 12	64 (16.08%)	63 (15.48%)	17 (21.79%)	76 (16.31%)	57 (14.58%)	8 (10.13%)	64 (13.68%)
All gene conversions	398	407	78	466	391	79	468
Conversion rate	0.119	0.123	0.023	0.140	0.120	0.024	0.144

865 Note: ¹Gene conversion events occurred after the formation of the XI subspecies but before the formation of XI varieties XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97.

866 ²Gene conversion events occurred after the formation of XI varieties (XI-MH63 and XI-ZS97).

867

Table 2. Relationship between gene physical location and gene conversion

Distance to telomere	<2 Mbp	2-4 Mbp	4-6 Mbp	6-8 Mbp	8-10 Mbp	>10 Mbp	Total
GJ							
All converted	119 (17.20%)	60 (13.02%)	71 (15.78%)	25 (8.42%)	12 (8.45%)	478 (11.16%)	765 (12.09%)
Paralogous genes	692	461	450	297	142	4283	6326
XI-MH63							
All converted	148 (25.34%)	74 (20.00%)	68 (23.78%)	35 (18.52%)	8 (7.92%)	576 (17.11%)	909 (18.57%)
Paralogous genes	584	370	286	189	101	3366	4896
XI-ZS97							
All converted	139 (20.59%)	76 (16.03%)	70 (18.57%)	37 (14.98%)	12 (9.16%)	578 (16.14%)	912 (16.62%)
Paralogous genes	675	474	377	247	131	3581	5486

869

870 **Table 3.** Nucleotide substitution rates of quartets in rice subspecies

Paralog	XI-MH63			XI-ZS97			GJ		
	Pn	Ps	Pn/Ps	Pn	Ps	Pn/Ps	Pn	Ps	Pn/Ps
Gene conversion	0.180	0.444	0.405	0.179	0.448	0.400	0.198	0.456	0.434
No gene conversion	0.234	0.486	0.481	0.235	0.486	0.484	0.253	0.509	0.497
P-value	1.82×10^{-18}	8.73×10^{-9}	-	7.81×10^{-20}	1.25×10^{-7}	-	3.71×10^{-26}	8.00×10^{-20}	
Orthologs	XI-MH63 vs. GJ			XI-ZS97 vs. GJ			XI-MH63 vs. XI-ZS97		
	Pn	Ps	Pn/Ps	Pn	Ps	Pn/Ps	Pn	Ps	Pn/Ps
Gene conversion	0.049	0.076	0.645	0.053	0.085	0.624	0.055	0.100	0.550
No gene conversion	0.023	0.036	0.639	0.025	0.038	0.658	0.014	0.022	0.636
P-value	5.61×10^{-19}	9.63×10^{-23}	-	1.76×10^{-21}	1.23×10^{-27}		6.25×10^{-20}	2.58×10^{-31}	-

871

Table 4. Function comparison of genes subjected to conversion or not in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

GO level2	GJ		XI-MH63		XI-ZS97	
	cv vs. non-cv ¹	P-value	cv vs. non-cv ¹	P-value	cv vs. non-cv ¹	P-value
Catalytic activity	31.4:25.5	0.001	32.5:26.4	<0.001	33.6:26.1	<0.001
Binding	38.8:35.5	0.083	39.9:37.7	0.199	39.6:38.1	0.412
Metabolic process	37.7:27.7	<0.001	36.8:29.6	<0.001	37.4:29.4	<0.001
Cellular process	28.9:24.0	0.003	28.0:26.2	0.262	27.4:25.8	0.32

Note: ¹Proportion of converted genes vs. proportion of non-converted genes.

Figure 1. Genome duplications and conversion patterns in three rice subspecies genomes. Lines show duplicated gene pairs between chromosomes in three genomes. Colored lines indicate gene-conversion pairs; grey lines indicate non-gene-conversion pairs. (A) Gene duplication and gene conversion in GJ. (B) Gene duplication and gene conversion in XI-MH63. (C) Gene duplication and gene conversion in XI-ZS97. (D) Gene duplication and gene conversion on chromosomes 11 and 12 of GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97.

Figure 2. Gene conversion events were inferred by construction of homologous gene quartets and changes in phylogenetic tree topology. (A) Colinear chromosomal segments from two genomes (O and S), represented by rectangles of different colors. Arrows show genes, and homologous genes are indicated by the same color. Homologous gene quartets are formed by paralogous genes O1 and O2 in one genome and their respective orthologs S1 and S2 in the other genome. (B-E) Squares symbolize a WGD event in the common ancestral genome; circles symbolize species divergence. (D) The expected phylogenetic relationship of the homologous genes if no conversion occurs. (C) O2 (an acceptor) is converted by O1 (a donor). (D) S1 is converted by S2. (E) Both of the above conversions occur.

Figure 3. Evolution of gene conversion. (A) Sequence alignment for a homologous gene quartet. The nucleotide sequence from 335 to 462 bp of Zs12g0396.01 and Zs11g0407.01 has undergone gene conversion, with Zs11g0407.01 as the donor. (B) The number of WCV and PCV events occurring in the three genomes. (C) Evolutionary tree of genes in which gene conversion has occurred. the numbers at nodes represent bootstrap value. Gene conversion has occurred in Mh11g0214.01 and Oj12g0111700.00. (D) Gene conversion in species divergence events.

A **B**

Figure 4. Distribution of donors and receptors in the genome where gene conversion occurs. (A) Homologous distribution of donors and acceptors on chromosomes undergoing gene conversion. Curved lines within the inner circle are formed by 12 chromosomes color coded to the seven ancestral chromosomes before the WGD event common to grasses (ECH) (Wang et al., 2015). Intra-loop curves show duplicated gene pairs in GJ. The inner three circles show the relationships of orthologous gene distribution between the three genomes in which gene conversion has occurred. The outer three circles show the distribution between the three genomes undergoing gene conversion, and the inner three circles show paralogous homologs. Different colors indicate donor (orange) or acceptor (pink) loci, as well as some uncertain loci (green). (B) Local gene conversion and the distribution of donor and acceptor loci. Pink swatches represent donor loci, orange swatches represent acceptor loci, and green swatches represent those loci where donor or acceptor status is uncertain. And Zs means XI-ZS97; Mh means XI-MH63; Oj means GJ.

Figure 5. Relationship between block number and gene conversion rate on each chromosomes. (A) Relationship between block number on 12 chromosomes and gene conversion rate on the corresponding chromosomes of GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97. (B) Relationship between block number on 8 chromosomes and gene conversion rate on the corresponding chromosomes after removing the four special chromosomes (homologous chromosome pair 1-5 and homologous chromosome pair 11-12).

Figure 6. NBS-LRR gene amplification model in three rice subspecies genomes. (A-C) Distribution of NBS-LRR genes on 12 chromosomes in GJ, XI-MH63, and XI-ZS97. Green curved lines within the inner circle connect homologous pairs of NBS-LRR genes on the 12 chromosomes. Green blocks indicate NBS-LRR genes; red lines between NBS-LRR genes indicate $K_s < 0.1$, yellow lines indicate $0.1 < K_s < 0.2$, and blue lines indicate $K_s < 1$. (D) Relationship between NBS-LRR genes and gene conversion in regions with more than 1% of the NBS-LRR genes in the three genomes.

Parsed Citations

Barker MS, Husband BC, Pires JC (2016) Spreading Winge and flying high: The evolutionary importance of polyploidy after a century of study. *Am J Bot* 103: 1139-1145.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Bertioli DJ, Cannon SB, Froenicke L, Huang G, Farmer AD, Cannon EK, Liu X, Gao D, Clevenger J, et al. (2016) The genome sequences of *Arachis duranensis* and *Arachis ipaensis*, the diploid ancestors of cultivated peanut. *Nat Genet* 48: 438-446.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Bowers JE, Chapman BA, Rong J, Paterson AH (2003) Unravelling angiosperm genome evolution by phylogenetic analysis of chromosomal duplication events. *Nature* 422: 433-438.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10: 421.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Chen JM, Cooper DN, Chuzhanova N, Férec C, Patrinos GP (2007) Gene conversion: mechanisms, evolution and human disease. *Nat Rev Genet* 8: 762-775.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Cossu RM, Casola C, Giacomello S, Vidalis A, Scofield DG, Zuccolo A (2017) LTR retrotransposons show low levels of unequal recombination and high rates of intraelement gene conversion in large plant genomes. *Genome Biol Evol* 9: 3449-3462.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Daugherty MD, Zanders SE (2019) Gene conversion generates evolutionary novelty that fuels genetic conflicts. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* 58-59: 49-54.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Divya B, Biswas A, Robin S, Rabindran R, Joel AJ (2014) Gene interactions and genetics of blast resistance and yield attributes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J Genet* 93: 415-424.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Eddy SR (2011) Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* 7: e1002195.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Finet C, Slavik K, Pu J, Carroll SB, Chung H (2019) Birth-and-death evolution of the Fatty acyl-CoA reductase (FAR) gene family and diversification of cuticular hydrocarbon synthesis in drosophila. *Genome Biol Evol* 11: 1541-1551.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Frawley LE, Orr-Weaver TL (2015) Polyploidy. *Current Biology* 25: R353-R358.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Gardiner LJ, Wingen LU, Bailey P, Joynson R, Brabbs T, Wright J, Higgins JD, Hall N, Griffiths S, et al. (2019) Analysis of the recombination landscape of hexaploid bread wheat reveals genes controlling recombination and gene conversion frequency. *Genome Biol* 20: 69.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Godiard L, Grant MR, Dietrich RA, Kiedrowski S, Dangl JL (1994) Perception and response in plant disease resistance. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 4: 662-671.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Goff SA, Ricke D, Lan TH, Presting G, Wang R, Dunn M, Glazebrook J, Sessions A, Oeller P, et al. (2002) A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *japonica*). *Science* 296: 92-100.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Guo H, Lee TH, Wang X, Paterson AH (2013) Function relaxation followed by diversifying selection after whole-genome duplication in flowering plants. *Plant Physiol* 162: 769-778.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Harpak A, Lan X, Gao Z, Pritchard JK (2017) Frequent nonallelic gene conversion on the human lineage and its effect on the divergence of gene duplicates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114: 12779-12784.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Huang X, Wei X, Sang T, Zhao Q, Feng Q, Zhao Y, Li C, Zhu C, Lu T, et al. (2010) Genome-wide association studies of 14 agronomic traits in rice landraces. *Nat Genet* 42: 961-967.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Jacquemin J, Chaparro C, Laudie M, Berger A, Gavory F, Goicoechea JL, Wing RA, Cooke R (2011) Long-range and targeted ectopic recombination between the two homeologous chromosomes 11 and 12 in *Oryza* species. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 3139-3150.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Jacquemin J, Laudie M, Cooke R (2009) A recent duplication revisited: phylogenetic analysis reveals an ancestral duplication highly-conserved throughout the *Oryza* genus and beyond. *BMC Plant Biol* 9: 146.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Jang CS, Yim WC, Moon JC, Hung JH, Lee TG, Lim SD, Cho SH, Lee KK, Kim W, et al. (2008) Evolution of non-specific lipid transfer protein (nsLTP) genes in the Poaceae family: their duplication and diversity. *Mol Genet Genomics* 279: 481-497.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Jiao Y, Wickett NJ, Ayyampalayam S, Chanderbali AS, Landherr L, Ralph PE, Tomsho LP, Hu Y, Liang H, et al. (2011) Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature* 473: 97-100.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, Mcanulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, et al. (2014) InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* 30: 1236-1240.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Jung CG, Lim SD, Hwang SG, Jang CS (2012) Molecular characterization and concerted evolution of two genes encoding RING-C2 type proteins in rice. *Gene* 505: 9-18.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Keen NT (1992) The molecular biology of disease resistance. *Plant Mol Biol* 19: 109-122.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kim H, Hurwitz B, Yu Y, Collura K, Gill N, Sanmiguel P, Mullikin JC, Maher C, Nelson W, et al. (2008) Construction, alignment and analysis of twelve framework physical maps that represent the ten genome types of the genus *Oryza*. *Genome Biology* 9: R45.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kozul R, Fischer G (2009) A prominent role for segmental duplications in modeling Eukaryotic genomes. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 332: 254-266.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Kurosawa K, Ohta K (2011) Genetic diversification by somatic gene conversion. *Genes (Basel)* 2: 48-58.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown N, Chenna R, Mcgettigan P, McWilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace IMW, Wilm A, et al. (2007) Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 23: 2947-2948.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Li X, Chen Z, Zhang G, Lu H, Qin P, Qi M, Yu Y, Jiao B, Zhao X, et al. (2020) Analysis of genetic architecture and favorable allele usage of agronomic traits in a large collection of Chinese rice accessions. *Sci China Life Sci* 63: 1688-1702.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Liu C, Wang J, Sun P, Yu J, Meng F, Zhang Z, Guo H, Wei C, Li X, et al. (2020) Illegitimate recombination between homeologous genes in wheat genome. *Front Plant Sci* 11: 1076.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Mao D, Yu H, Liu T, Yang G, Xing Y (2011) Two complementary recessive genes in duplicated segments control etiolation in rice. *Theor Appl Genet* 122: 373-383.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Ming R, Vanburen R, Wai CM, Tang H, Schatz MC, Bowers JE, Lyons E, Wang ML, Chen J, et al. (2015) The pineapple genome and the evolution of CAM photosynthesis. *Nat Genet* 47: 1435-1442.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Murat F, Armero A, Pont C, Klopp C, Salse J (2017) Reconstructing the genome of the most recent common ancestor of flowering plants. *Nat Genet* 49: 490-496.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Murat F, Xu JH, Tannier E, Abrouk M, Guilhot N, Pont C, Messing J, Salse J (2010) Ancestral grass karyotype reconstruction unravels new mechanisms of genome shuffling as a source of plant evolution. *Genome Res* 20: 1545-1557.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Murat F, Zhang R, Guizard S, Flores R, Armero A, Pont C, Steinbach D, Quesneville H, Cooke R, et al. (2014) Shared subgenome dominance following polyploidization explains grass genome evolutionary plasticity from a seven protochromosome ancestor with 16K protogenes. *Genome Biol Evol* 6: 12-33.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR (2013) Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches. *Bioinformatics* 29: 2933-2935.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Okuyama Y, Kanzaki H, Abe A, Yoshida K, Tamiru M, Saitoh H, Fujibe T, Matsumura H, Shenton M, et al. (2011) A multifaceted genomics approach allows the isolation of the rice Pia-blast resistance gene consisting of two adjacent NBS-LRR protein genes. *Plant J* 66: 467-479.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Bruggmann R, Dubchak I, Grimwood J, Gundlach H, Haberer G, Hellsten U, Mitros T, et al. (2009) The *Sorghum bicolor* genome and the diversification of grasses. *Nature* 457: 551-556.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Chapman BA (2004) Ancient polyploidization predating divergence of the cereals, and its consequences for comparative genomics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101: 9903-9908.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Paterson AH, Wendel JF, Gundlach H, Guo H, Jenkins J, Jin D, Llewellyn D, Showmaker KC, Shu S, et al. (2012) Repeated polyploidization of *Gossypium* genomes and the evolution of spinnable cotton fibres. *Nature* 492: 423-427.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Puchta H, Dujon B, Hohn B (1996) Two different but related mechanisms are used in plants for the repair of genomic double-strand breaks by homologous recombination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93: 5055-5060.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Ratnaparkhe MB, Wang X, Li J, Compton RO, Rainville LK, Lemke C, Kim C, Tang H, Paterson AH (2011) Comparative analysis of peanut NBS-LRR gene clusters suggests evolutionary innovation among duplicated domains and erosion of gene microsynteny. *New Phytol* 192: 164-178.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Ricachenevsky FK, Sperotto RA, Menguer PK, Sperb ER, Lopes KL, Fett JP (2011) ZINC-INDUCED FACILITATOR-LIKE family in plants: lineage-specific expansion in monocotyledons and conserved genomic and expression features among rice (*Oryza sativa*) paralogs. *BMC Plant Biol* 11: 20.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Rooney AP (2004) Mechanisms underlying the evolution and maintenance of functionally heterogeneous 18S rRNA genes in *Apicomplexans*. *Mol Biol Evol* 21: 1704-1711.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Stein JC, Yu Y, Copetti D, Zwickl DJ, Zhang L, Zhang C, Chougule K, Gao D, Iwata A, et al. (2018) Genomes of 13 domesticated and wild rice relatives highlight genetic conservation, turnover and innovation across the genus *Oryza*. *Nature Genetics* 50: 285-296.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Sweeney M, McCouch S (2007) The complex history of the domestication of rice. *Ann Bot* 100: 951-957.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Tanaka T, Antonio BA, Kikuchi S, Matsumoto T, Nagamura Y, Numa H, Sakai H, Wu J, Itoh T, et al. (2008) The Rice Annotation Project Database (RAP-DB): 2008 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36: D1028-1033.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Tang H, Bowers JE, Wang X, Paterson AH (2010) Angiosperm genome comparisons reveal early polyploidy in the monocot lineage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 472-477.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Taylor JS, Raes J (2004) Duplication and divergence: the evolution of new genes and old ideas. *Annu Rev Genet* 38: 615-643.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang HW, Hwang S-G, Karuppanapandian T, Liu A, Kim W, Jang CS (2012) Insight into the molecular evolution of non-specific lipid transfer proteins via comparative analysis between rice and sorghum. *DNA Res* 19: 179-194.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang J, Sun P, Li Y, Liu Y, Yang N, Yu J, Ma X, Sun S, Xia R, et al. (2018a) An overlooked paleotetraploidization in cucurbitaceae. *Mol Biol Evol* 35: 16-26.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang J, Sun P, Li Y, Liu Y, Yu J, Ma X, Sun S, Yang N, Xia R, et al. (2017) Hierarchically Aligning 10 legume genomes establishes a family-level genomics Platform. *Plant Physiol* 174: 284-300.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang W, Mauleon R, Hu Z, Chebotarov D, Tai S, Wu Z, Li M, Zheng T, Fuentes RR, et al. (2018b) Genomic variation in 3,010 diverse accessions of Asian cultivated rice. *Nature* 557: 43-49.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang X, Shi X, Hao B, Ge S, Luo J (2005) Duplication and DNA segmental loss in the rice genome: implications for diploidization. *New Phytol* 165: 937-946.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang X, Tang H, Bowers JE, Feltus FA, Paterson AH (2007) Extensive concerted evolution of rice paralogs and the road to regaining independence. *Genetics* 177: 1753-1763.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang X, Tang H, Bowers JE, Paterson AH (2009) Comparative inference of illegitimate recombination between rice and sorghum

duplicated genes produced by polyploidization. Genome Res 19: 1026-1032.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang X, Tang H, Paterson AH (2011) Seventy million years of concerted evolution of a homeologous chromosome pair, in parallel, in major Poaceae lineages. Plant Cell 23: 27-37.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang X, Wang J, Jin D, Guo H, Lee TH, Liu T, Paterson AH (2015) Genome alignment spanning major poaceae lineages reveals heterogeneous evolutionary rates and alters inferred dates for key evolutionary events. Mol Plant 8: 885-898.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang XY, Paterson AH (2011) Gene conversion in angiosperm genomes with an emphasis on genes duplicated by polyploidization. Genes (Basel) 2: 1-20.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wang Z, Wang J, Pan Y, Lei T, Ge W, Wang L, Zhang L, Li Y, Zhao K, et al. (2019) Reconstruction of evolutionary trajectories of chromosomes unraveled independent genomic repatterning between Triticeae and Brachypodium. BMC Genomics 20: 180.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wicker T, Wing RA, Schubert I (2015) Recurrent sequence exchange between homeologous grass chromosomes. Plant J 84: 747-759.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Woodhouse MR, Schnable JC, Pedersen BS, Lyons E, Lisch D, Subramaniam S, Freeling M (2010) Following tetraploidy in maize, a short deletion mechanism removed genes preferentially from one of the two homologs. PLoS Biol 8: e1000409.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Wu S, Han B, Jiao Y (2020) Genetic contribution of paleopolyploidy to adaptive evolution in angiosperms. Mol Plant 13: 59-71.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Ye J, Zhang Y, Cui H, Liu J, Wu Y, Cheng Y, Xu H, Huang X, Li S, et al. (2018) WEGO 2.0: a web tool for analyzing and plotting GO annotations, 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Res 46: w71-w75.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Yu J, Hu S, Wang J, Wong GK, Li S, Liu B, Deng Y, Dai L, Zhou Y, et al. (2002) A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. indica). Science 296: 79-92.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Yu J, Zhao M, Wang X, Tong C, Huang S, Tehrim S, Liu Y, Hua W, Liu S (2013) Bolbase: a comprehensive genomics database for Brassica oleracea. BMC Genomics 14: 664.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhang H, Zhang CQ, Sun ZZ, Yu W, Gu MH, Liu QQ, Li YS (2011) A major locus qS12, located in a duplicated segment of chromosome 12, causes spikelet sterility in an indica-japonica rice hybrid. Theor Appl Genet 123: 1247-1256.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhang J, Chen LL, Xing F, Kudrna DA, Yao W, Copetti D, Mu T, Li W, Song JM, et al. (2016) Extensive sequence divergence between the reference genomes of two elite indica rice varieties Zhenshan 97 and Minghui 63. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113: E5163-5171.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhang QJ, Zhu T, Xia EH, Shi C, Liu YL, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Jiang WK, Zhao YJ, et al. (2014) Rapid diversification of five *Oryza* AA genomes associated with rice adaptation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111: E4954-4962.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhuang W, Chen H, Yang M, Wang J, Pandey MK, Zhang C, Chang W-C, Zhang L, Zhang X, et al. (2019) The genome of cultivated peanut provides insight into legume karyotypes, polyploid evolution and crop domestication. Nature Genetics 51: 865-876.

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)