Proteolytic activation of SARS-CoV-2 spike at the S1/S2 boundary: potential role of proteases beyond furin

Tiffany Tang¹, Javier A. Jaimes², Miya K. Bidon¹, Marco R. Straus², Susan Daniel^{1*}, Gary R. Whittaker^{2*}

¹Robert Frederick Smith School of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853, USA

²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853, USA

*Corresponding authors:

Gary Whittaker: grw7@cornell.edu

Susan Daniel: sd386@cornell.edu

Abstract

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) uses its spike (S) protein to mediate viral entry into host cells. Cleavage of the S protein at the S1/S2 and/or S2' site(s) is associated with viral entry, which can occur at either the cell plasma membrane (early pathway) or the endosomal membrane (late pathway), depending on the cell type. Previous studies show that SARS-CoV-2 has a unique insert at the S1/S2 site that can be cleaved by furin, which appears to expand viral tropism to cells with suitable protease and receptor expression. Here, we utilize viral pseudoparticles and protease inhibitors to study the impact of the S1/S2 cleavage on infectivity. Our results demonstrate that S1/S2 pre-cleavage is essential for early pathway entry into Calu-3 cells, a model lung epithelial cell line, but not for late pathway entry into Vero E6 cells, a model cell line. The S1/S2 cleavage was found to be processed by other proteases beyond furin. Using bioinformatic tools, we also analyze the presence of a furin S1/S2 site in related CoVs and offer thoughts on the origin of the insertion of the furin-like cleavage site in SARS-CoV-2.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, furin, S1/S2 site, viral entry, cleavage

Introduction

The 21st century has seen the rise of pathogenic strains of human coronaviruses (CoVs) causing major public health concerns, first with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in 2002¹, then with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in 2012², and now, with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). SARS-CoV-2 causes the disease syndrome known as COVID-19³, now classified as a pandemic with global reach and impact.

CoV host cell entry is mediated by its spike (S) glycoprotein, a large transmembrane protein that decorates the virus particle⁴. The S protein is demarcated into two domains, the S1 and S2 domain, which contains the receptor binding domain and membrane fusion domain, respectively. There are two proteolytic activation events associated with S-mediated membrane fusion⁵. The first is a priming cleavage that occurs at the interface of the S1 and S2 domain (S1/S2) for some coronaviruses, and the second is the obligatory triggering cleavage that occurs within the S2 region (S2')⁵. The priming cleavage generally converts the S protein into a fusion competent form, by enabling the S protein to better bind receptors or expose hidden cleavage sites⁵. The triggering cleavage initiates a series of conformational changes that enable the S protein to harpoon into the host membrane for membrane fusion⁵.

There are a variety of proteases capable of priming and triggering CoV S proteins. While the priming event is not as well characterized and virus dependent, it has been observed that the CoV S can be triggered by proteases at the plasma membrane or endosomal membrane, enabling entry in what are termed “early” and “late” pathways, respectively^{6–8}. SARS-CoV was found to utilize the transmembrane bound protease TMPRSS2 to enter using the early pathway^{8–10}. However, TMPRSS2 expression is limited to epithelial cell lines, and in TMPRSS2 negative cell lines, SARS-CoV utilizes endosomal cathepsin L to enter using the late pathway¹¹. MERS-CoV also utilizes TMPRSS2 and cathepsin L with one major difference. The MERS-CoV S1/S2 boundary contains an RSVR insert that can be recognized by furin or related proprotein convertases

(PC), proteases commonly found in the secretory pathway of most cell lines^{7,12}. During the S maturation process, the S protein can be cleaved by furin/PCs^{7,12}. Thus, MERS-CoV particles harbor cleaved S1/S2 protein and it was observed that the S1/S2 priming (pre-cleavage) is crucial for MERS-CoV⁷, but not SARS-CoV¹³, to infect via the early pathway route. However, the S1/S2 cleavage is not a requirement for MERS-CoV late pathway infection⁷.

For SARS-CoV-2, early studies showed that the S1/S2 junction contains an insert with two additional basic residues, P-R-R-A (P – proline, R – arginine, A – alanine), that was not present in SARS-CoV or its closest bat ancestor viruses^{14,15}. This insert forms a P-R-R-A-R sequence and while it does contain the minimum furin recognition motif, R-X-X-R, it diverges from the preferred R-X-K/R-R motif, because the SARS-CoV-2 sequence has an A in the P3 location instead of an R^{16,17} (**Figure 1**). Intriguingly, the only other known example of this insert on FurinDB¹⁸, a database of furin substrates, is found in proaerolysin, a bacterial toxin, and was determined to be activated by furin¹⁹.

Indeed, early studies suggest that SARS-CoV-2 is also processed by furin since SARS-CoV-2 harbors a cleaved S protein, likely due to furin processing at the S1/S2 site^{20–22}. Similar to what was observed for MERS-CoV, this S1/S2 cleavage was determined to be a prerequisite for subsequent TMPRSS2 activation at the S2' site for infection of respiratory cell lines, such as Calu-3^{23,24}. Furthermore, like MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 can also utilize cathepsin L in the endosomal pathway in TMPRSS2-negative cell lines, such as Vero E6²².

In this study, we sought to better characterize the SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 cleavage site and raise some intriguing possibilities for how the site might have emerged. Using pseudoparticles and protease inhibitors, we investigated the impact of S1/S2 cleavage for successful infection of cells via the early and late pathway. Our data shows that the S1/S2 cleavage is essential for subsequent S2' activation via TMPRSS2 for entry

74 in Calu-3 cells, but not for S2' activation via cathepsin L for entry in Vero E6 cells, and suggests that furin
75 may not be the only protease responsible for the S1/S2 cleavage event.

76

Figure 1

Figure 1: Predicted structural model of the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 S proteins. (**Inset**) Magnification of the S1/S2 site with conserved R and S residues (red ribbon) and the unique four amino acid insertion P-R-R-A for SARS-CoV-2 (blue ribbon) are shown. The P's denote the position of that amino acid from the S1/S2 cleavage site, with P1-P5 referring to amino acids before the cleavage site and P1' referring to amino acids after the cleavage site.

Results and Discussion

MLV pseudoparticles as a system to study SARS-CoV-2 entry. To assess the functional importance of the S1/S2 site for SARS-CoV-2 entry, we utilized viral pseudoparticles. These particles consist of a murine leukemia virus (MLV) core and are decorated with the viral envelope protein to accurately recapitulate the entry steps of their native counterpart²⁵. These particles also contain a luciferase reporter that integrates into the host cell genome upon successful infection and drives the cells to produce luciferase, which is quantifiable. We and others have used MLV-based pseudoparticles widely to study CoV entry pathways^{12,21,26}. Using the HEK293T cell line, MLV pseudoparticles containing the wild type SARS-CoV-2 S protein (SARS-CoV-2pp), or the SARS-CoV S protein (SARS-CoVpp) were generated alongside positive control particles containing the vesicular stomatitis virus G protein (VSVpp) or negative control particles lacking envelope proteins (Δ envpp).

Since coronaviruses can enter via the "early" or "late" pathway, we chose to infect cell lines representative of each pathway, as the entry mechanism can be highly cell-type dependent²⁷. We utilized the Calu-3 (early) and the Vero E6 (late) cell lines for these studies, which activate the SARS-CoV-2 S2' using TMPRSS2 and cathepsin L, respectively. As expected, VSVpp (positive control) infected Vero E6 and Calu-3 cells with several orders of magnitude higher luciferase units than the values reported with Δ envpp infection (negative control) (**Figure 2A, 2B**). This confirms that the envelope protein is driving infection, and not the particle itself. In the case of SARS-CoVpp and SARS-CoV-2pp, both particles are infectious in both cell lines as they drive luciferase production several orders of magnitude higher than Δ envpp. (**Figure 2A, 2B**), with SARS-CoVpp more infectious than SARS-CoV-2pp.

The pseudoparticles were also probed for their S content via western blot. For the SARS-CoV-2pp, we detected a band at 85 kDa and for SARS-CoVpp, a strong band at 185 kDa (**Figure 2C**). The different bands observed between SARS-CoV-2pp and SARS-CoVpp is likely because SARS-CoV-2 S is cleaved at the S1/S2

107 location by furin/PCs during biosynthesis, while SARS-CoV S cannot be cleaved. Thus, the 85 kDa band
 108 corresponds to the S2 segment (S_2) of the SARS-CoV-2 S, as the primary antibody recognizes the S2 region,
 109 while the 185 kDa band corresponds to the uncleaved (S_0) SARS-CoV S. We note that the both SARS-CoVpp
 110 and SARS-CoV-2pp exhibit bands at >185 kDa that likely corresponding to dimeric and trimeric forms of S.
 111 These oligomeric forms of S have been reported in other SARS-CoV-2pp western blots^{22,28}. Since SARS-
 112 CoVpp harbor full-length S, their oligomeric S bands are of higher molecular weight than the SARS-CoV-
 113 2pp oligomeric S bands, which harbor cleaved S. Furthermore, the higher order bands may be more
 114 apparent due to the use of a polyclonal antibody to detect both the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 S.

Figure 2

Figure 2: Characterization of MLVpp system. **(A and B)** MLVpp infectivity in Vero E6 and Calu-3 cells. Cells were infected with MLVpps exhibiting the SARS-CoV-2 S, SARS-CoV S, VSV G or no envelope protein and assessed for luciferase activity. Error bars represent the standard error measurements of three biological replicates (n=3). **(C)** Western blot analysis of SARS-CoV-2pp and SARS-CoVpp S content. S was detected using a rabbit antibody against the SARS-CoV-2 S2 region and cross reacts against the SARS-CoV S. MLV content was detected using a mouse antibody against MLV p30. Image cropped from a singular western blot.

Use of the dec-RVCR-CMK protease inhibitor to produce SARS-2pp with uncleaved S. To examine the functional role of S priming by furin/PC proteases, we needed to produce SARS-CoV-2pp expressing uncleaved S protein. This can be accomplished by treating producer cells with an appropriate protease inhibitor to prevent S1/S2 cleavage during biogenesis. We chose dec-RVCR-CMK because it has been shown to inhibit furin/PCs, preventing S1/S2 cleavage^{23,26,29}. We have previously showed that addition of dec-RVCR-CMK to producer cells generates MLV pseudotyped particles that harbor full-length MERS-CoV S protein (MERS-CoVpp)²⁶ and we observe a similar trend with SARS-CoV-2pp generated from cells treated with dec-RVCR-CMK (**Figure 3C**, lanes 1 and 2), exhibiting full-length uncleaved S₀ and weak cleaved S₂ bands. As mentioned, the additional bands at >185 kDa likely corresponding to dimeric and trimeric S, as previously reported in other western blots probing for SARS-CoV-2pp S^{22,28}, with the higher order bands from particles produced in cells treated with dec-RVCR-CMK observed at higher molecular weights.

The dec-RVCR-CMK-treated (uncleaved) particles were used to transduce cells to observe the impact of S1/S2 cleavage for subsequent S2' activation via early acting TMPRSS2 in Calu-3 cells or late acting cathepsin L in Vero E6 cells. In Vero E6 cells, the uncleaved SARS-CoV-2pp were 30-fold more infectious than their cleaved counterparts, suggesting that uncleaved particles result in more infectious particles, and that the S1/S2 pre-cleavage is not required or hinders infection via the late pathway (**Figure 3A**). However, in Calu-3 cells, the opposite trend was observed; the uncleaved particles were significantly less infectious than their cleaved counterparts (**Figure 3B**), suggesting that S1/S2 pre-cleavage is essential^{23,24} for early entry. As a control, we also generated SARS-CoVpp, which lacks the furin/PC S1/S2 site, in the presence or absence of dec-RVCR-CMK and noted no significant impact of dec-RVCR-CMK on SARS-CoVpp infectivity in both Vero E6 and Calu-3 cells (**Figure S1A and S1B**). This affirms that the dec-RVCR-CMK impacts on infectivity is due to blocking S1/S2 cleavage.

Thus, we were interested to see if we could restore the infection of the uncleaved particles by treating them with exogenous furin, which has been previously shown to process purified SARS-CoV-2 S protein³⁰. In Calu-3, we observed a 2-fold increase in infection when uncleaved particles were treated with exogenous furin, but with cleaved particles, we did not observe any statistically significant increase with furin treatment (**Figure 3B**). In Vero E6 cells, for both types of particles, we did not observe a statistically significant increase in infection with furin treatment. (**Figure 3A**).

Since furin treatment only modestly increased infectivity in all cases observed, we wanted to visualize how efficiently furin was processing the SARS-CoV-2 S via western blot. For cleaved particles, exogenous furin processed the faint full-length S_0 band into S_2 (**Figure 3C**, lanes 1 and 3). This trend was confirmed upon quantifying the intensities of the S_0 and S_2 bands relative to the intensity of the MLV p30 band; furin treatment reduced the relative S_0 intensity from 0.35 to 0.02, resulting in an increase in relative S_2 intensity from 1.74 to 2.83. For uncleaved particles, furin treatment had a more modest impact, as the relative intensity of the S_0 bands was reduced from 2.61 to 2.25, resulting in a small increase in relative S_2 intensity from 0.66 to 0.92 (**Figure 3C**, lanes 2 and 4).

The western blot bands also reveal that the relative intensity of the uncleaved SARS-CoV-2pp S_0 bands are greater than the relative intensity of the cleaved SARS-CoV-2pp S_2 bands and this trend was previously observed with MERS-CoVpp S^{26} (**Figure 3C**, lanes 1 and 2), but not with SARS-CoV (**Figure S1C**). This likely suggests that the increased infectivity we observed with the uncleaved SARS-CoV-2pp in Vero E6 cells could be due to particles harboring a greater amount of S protein. A possible explanation why uncleaved particles could harbor more S is because uncleaved S is more stable than cleaved S, as S1/S2 cleavage reduces S stability³⁰. Thus, cleavage may encourage greater S degradation, reducing the amount of S available for incorporation into pseudoparticles. However, we did not observe increased infectivity with

168 uncleaved SARS-CoV-2pp in Calu-3 cells because of the S1/S2 cleavage requirement and increased S
169 content cannot compensate for cleavage.

Figure 3

Figure 3: Impact of dec-RVCR-CMK on MLVpps. + dec-RVCR-CMK refers to MLVpp produced in HEK293T treated with 75 μ M of dec-RVCR-CMK at the time of transfection. + Furin refers to particles treated with 6 U of recombinant furin for 3 hours at 37°C. **(A and B)** +/- dec-RVCR-CMK and +/- Furin SARS-CoV-2pp were used to infect Vero E6 and Calu-3 cells. Infectivity was normalized to the – dec-RVCR-CMK – furin condition in each cell line. Note the change in y-axis scale between A and B. Error bars represent the standard error measurements of three biological replicates (n=3). Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired student's t test. Not shown: there is a ns difference between the – dec-RVCR-CMK +/- furin conditions (black bars). *, $P \leq 0.05$, **, $P \leq 0.01$, ns, non-significant, $P > 0.05$. **(C)** Western blot analysis of SARS-CoV-2pp produced in +/- dec-RVCR-CMK and treated with +/- furin for S protein content to complement the infection conditions. S was detected using a rabbit antibody against the SARS-CoV-2 S2 region. MLV content was detected using a mouse antibody against MLV p30. Band intensities were normalized to the MLV p30 band intensity.

Due to the limited ability of exogenous furin to rescue and cleave SARS-CoV-2 S, we re-evaluated the role of furin in processing the S1/S2 site. Since dec-RVKR-CMK can also inhibit a number of furin related PCs, the effects that have been observed with dec-RVKR-CMK on particles could have resulted from inhibition of other PC proteases and not necessarily furin. Therefore, we produced particles in the presence of alpha1-PDX, a more selective furin inhibitor³¹ than dec-RVKR-CMK. Infectivity results of these particles in Vero E6 cells show that the alpha1-PDX furin specific inhibitor failed to recapitulate the high level of enhancement provided by dec-RVKR-CMK at all tested inhibitor concentrations (**Figure 4A**). This indicates that dec-RVKR-CMK may have also been acting on non-furin proteases that can also process the S1/S2 site and furin itself may not be the only protease processing the S1/S2 site, as is generally assumed.

As a control, the activity of the alpha1-PDX was confirmed using a proteolytic cleavage assay, in which proteolytic cleavage of fluorogenic peptides results in a fluorescence increase used to determine the velocity of the cleavage reaction (V_{max}). We have previously used this assay to observe furin cleavage of fluorogenic peptides mimicking the SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 site (TNSPRRARSVA)³². Addition of the alpha1-PDX or dec-RVKR-CMK inhibitor to a peptide reaction including furin resulted in almost complete inhibition of activity, as very limited cleavage was detected. Interestingly, addition of the alpha1-PDX or dec-RVKR-CMK to a reaction including trypsin gave different results. While alpha1-PDX did modestly inhibited trypsin-mediated cleavage, dec-RVKR-CMK exhibited almost complete inhibition of trypsin activity. Thus, the results of this peptide cleavage assay confirm that alpha1-PDX is active on furin-like substrates and is a much more specific inhibitor compared to dec-RVKR-CMK (**Figure 4B**).

Our published results demonstrated that the PC protease PC1 can process SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2³², which opens up the possibility that non-furin proteases within the secretory pathway in producer cells can process the SARS-CoV-2 “furin-like” S1/S2 site, as originally suggested by Coutard et al³³. In the studies reported here, we utilize HEK293T cells to produce the pseudoparticles, which may express a different

207 repertoire of proteases than those in clinically relevant cells. In the future, it will be important to
208 investigate the impact of S1/S2 processing by non-furin PCs in cells infected with SARS-CoV-2.

209 **Figure 4**

210
211 **Figure 4:** Use of specific furin (alpha1-PDX) and broad furin (dec-RVKR-CMK) inhibitors to investigate furin
212 specificity. **(A)** Infectivity of particles produced in the presence of broad (dec-RVKR-CMK) and specific
213 (alpha1-PDX) furin inhibitors. Inhibitor was applied at the indicated concentration to producer HEK293T
214 cells. Particles were used to infect Vero E6 cells. Infectivity was normalized to the – condition. Error bars
215 represent the standard error measurements of four biological replicates (n=4). Statistical analysis was
216 performed using an unpaired student's t test compared to – condition. *, P $\leq$ 0.05, ns, non-significant, P >
217 0.05. **(B)** Proteolytic cleavage assay of SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 peptides to confirm alpha1-PDX and dec-RVKR-
218 CMK inhibition specificity. Alpha1-PDX (2 μ M), dec-RVKR-CMK (75 μ M) or no inhibitor was incubated with
219 either furin or trypsin recombinant protease and a fluorogenic peptides mimicking the SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2
220 site (TNSPRRRARSVA). Results represent averages and error bars represent the standard error
221 measurement of three biological replicates (n=3).

Furin-cleavage predictions of the SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 site. To gain further insights on furin processing of the S1/S2 sites, we utilized the PiTou³⁴ and ProP³⁵ cleavage prediction tools to analyze the likelihood that the S1/S2 site is processed by furin. ProP predicts furin cleavage sites based on networks derived from experimental data, whereas PiTou uses a combination of a hidden Markov model and biological knowledge-based cumulative probability score functions to characterize a 20 amino acid motif from P14 to P6' that reflects the binding strength and accessibility of the motif in the furin binding pocket. Thus, the PiTou algorithm has been reported to be more sensitive and specific than ProP for predicting furin cleavage³⁴. Both algorithms agree with each other in predicting furin cleavage, with positive scores (PiTou) and scores above 0.5 (ProP) indicating furin cleavage, strengthening the predictions (**Figure 5**), but since the PiTou algorithm is more sensitive and specific, we decided to focus on the PiTou values for further analysis.

The PiTou algorithm predicts that the SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 site (PiTou score: 9.2) can be cleaved by furin, whereas other lineage B CoVs that have been proposed as SARS-CoV-2 precursors, such as SARS-CoV, RaTG13, ZC45, ZXC21 and RmYN02, are predicted not to be cleaved by furin. Notably, the PiTou scores of traditionally accepted furin cleavage sites, such as those found in influenza H5N1 (PiTou score: 13.6) and HCoV-HKU1 (PiTou score: 14.6), are much higher than for SARS-CoV-2, suggesting that while SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 site has increased furin recognition when compared to other lineage B-betaCoVs, the site is not optimal for furin cleavage, in alignment with the experimental data shown here suggesting poor furin specific cleavage.

The modest SARS-CoV-2 PiTou score raises question about the origin and evolution of the S1/S2 site of the virus. It is generally believed that the SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 site is an insertion of “polybasic” residues³⁶, as occurs for avian influenza virus, but as the identity of the SARS-CoV-2 ancestor virus still remains to be discovered, it is important to explore alternative explanations. It has recently been proposed that

unsampled SARS-like CoV lineages(s) may be circulating in bats, which are important for the evolutionary origins of SARS-CoV-2³⁷. Of note, a “breakpoint sequence hypothesis” has been proposed for the introduction of a furin-like cleavage site at S1/S2³⁸, an idea further elucidated by Lytras et al³⁹ in the context of the origin of SARS-like viruses. We consider that SARS-CoV-2 could have originated via recombination with a currently unknown ancestor bat virus with a robust furin cleavage site (i.e. PiTou score approx. 13-15), but one which cannot bind to a human receptor—and that in order to gain the ability to infect human cells, the furin cleavage site may have been down-regulated.

These suggested parallels are informed by betaCoV lineage C in which MERS-CoV has a modest furin score (PiTou score: 5.2), but its closest known ancestor bat viruses, BatCoV-HKU4 (PiTou score: <0), BatCoV-HKU5 (PiTou score: 10.3), and BatCoV-PML (PiTou score: 2.175) exhibit a wide range of furin scores. Of note is that the virus with the highest furin score, BatCoV-HKU5 (PiTou score: 10.3), cannot bind the MERS-CoV hDPP4 receptor, whereas BatCoV-HKU4 (PiTou score: <0) can⁴⁰, so the MERS-CoV S1/S2 site can be seen as a down-regulation from BatCoV-HKU5 to bind hDPP4.

Figure 5

	S1/S2 Sequence	Furin Score PiTou	Furin Score ProP
Betacoronavirus			
<i>Lineage A</i>			
HCoV-HKU1	747-YNSPSSSSSSRRKRR SISASY-766	+14.634	0.918
<i>Lineage B</i>			
SARS-CoV	654-AGICASYHTVSLLR STSQKS-673	-5.167	0.123
SARS-CoV-2	672-ASYQTQTNSPRRAR SVASQS-691	+9.196	0.620
Bat-RmYN02*	667-AGVCASYNSPAA-R VGTNSI-686	-5.085	0.111
Bat-CoV RaTG13	668-AGICASYQTQTNRR SVASQS-687	-4.672	0.151
Bat-CoV ZC45	645-AGICASYHTASILR STSQKA-664	-5.333	0.153
Bat-CoV ZXC21	644-AGICASYHTASILR STGQKA-663	-5.333	0.170
<i>Lineage C</i>			
MERS-CoV	738-LPDTPTSLTPRSVR SVPGEM-757	+5.155	0.563
BatCoV-HKU4	736-GQSLCAVPPVSTFR SYSASQ-755	-5.14	0.229
BatCoV-HKU5	732-LCAIPPTTSSRRRR ATSGAS-751	+10.259	0.822
BatCoV-PML	732-LCAIPPTNTLRSGR STFGLG-751	+2.175	0.572
<i>Lineage D</i>			
BatCoV-HKU9	663-NGSIATANADSLPR LQLVNY-682	-4.767	0.064
Influenza A			
H5N1	322-LRNTPQRERRRKKR GLFGAI-341	+13.59	0.808

Figure 5. Furin cleavage score analysis of CoV S1/S2 cleavage sites. CoV S sequences were analyzed using the ProP³⁵ 1.0 and PiTou³⁴ 3.0 furin prediction algorithm. Bold scores indicate the S1/S2 sequence is predicted to be cleaved by furin. Purple lines denote the position of the predicted S1/S2 cleavage site. Basic arginine (R) and lysine (K) residues are highlighted in blue. *For Bat-RmYN02, sequence number was determined from S alignment with SARS-CoV-2 S using Geneious. Sequences corresponding to the S1/S2 region of HCoV-HKU1 (AAT98580.1), SARS-CoV (AAT74874.1), SARS-CoV-2 (QHD43416.1), Bat-CoVRaTG13 (QHR63300.2), Bat-SL-CoVZC45 (AVP78031.1), Bat-SL-CoVZXC21 (AVP78042.1), MERS-CoV (AFS88936.1), BatCoV-HKU4 (YP_001039953.1), BatCoV-HKU5 (YP_001039962.1), BatCoV-PML (KC869678), Bat-CoV-HKU9 (YP_001039971), and Influenza A/Chicken/Hong Kong/822.1/01/H5N1 (AF509026.2) were obtained from GenBank. Sequences corresponding to the S1/S2 region of RmYN02 (EPI_ISL_412977) was obtained from GISAID.

Overall, our results support observations^{23,24} that the role of this “gained” SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 site is to expand viral tropism to respiratory cells, likely in the upper airways, allowing efficient transmission. Cleaved S1/S2 site is crucial for the SARS-CoV-2 S to be subsequently cleaved at the S2’ location by TMPRSS2 for early entry in respiratory cells. Without the S1/S2 pre-cleavage, we predict that SARS-CoV-2 would be endocytosed and due to low cathepsin L expression in respiratory endosomes⁷, SARS-CoV-2 would not efficiently infect via this route. If SARS-CoV-2 infects TMPRSS2 negative cells, it can utilize endosomal cathepsin L, an ubiquitous protease generally found throughout mammalian cells⁴¹, to activate the S protein²²—though at undetermined sites. However, for cathepsin L activation, S1/S2 pre-cleavage is not required, and our results indicate that preventing this cleavage increases infectivity of SARS-CoV-2, at least in Vero E6 cells. This may be connected to recent work showing that S1/S2 pre-cleavage reduces the thermal stability of SARS-CoV-2 S³⁰ and by preventing this cleavage, there is more S available for incorporation into pseudoparticles. Interestingly, the S1/S2 site activation appears to also have a role in the immune response against SARS-CoV-2, as it has been shown that SARS-CoV-2 S with a deleted S1/S2 loop can provide better protective immune response than with the S1/S2 loop⁴².

The role of furin in activating the S1/S2 site was also investigated. As protease inhibitors commonly employed in cell entry studies, such as dec-RVKR-CMK, can also inhibit other proteases in addition to furin²⁹, it is difficult to ascertain the impact of individual proteases. While furin likely processes the SARS-CoV-2 site, our data suggests that other PCs are also involved, since western blots show poor processing of uncleaved S upon addition of purified furin and the use of a highly selective furin inhibitor (alpha1-PDX) has a modest impact on infectivity. All in all, as we consider protease-based inhibitors in treating COVID-19, especially those targeting furin^{43,44}, it is important to thoroughly evaluate the role of these proteases to be certain that the relevant proteases are targeted.

Methods

Predicted structural modeling. S protein models were built using UCSF Chimera (v.1.14, University of California) through modeler homology tool of the Modeller extension (v.9.25, University of California) as described¹⁵. SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 S models were built based on the SARS-CoV S structure (PDB No. 5X58).

Cells, plasmids, and reagents. HEK293T (accession no: CRL-11268, ATCC), Vero E6 (accession no: CRL-1586, ATCC), and Calu-3 (accession no: HTB-55) cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂ incubator and cultivated in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Cellgro) supplemented with 10% Hyclone FetalClone II (GE) and 10 mM HEPES (Cellgro) (DMEMc). Cells were passaged using Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline (DPBS) and Trypsin EDTA 1x (Cellgro). The pCMV-MLV-gagpol murine leukemia virus (MLV) packaging construct, the pTG-luc luciferase reporter, pCAGGS/VSV-G, pCAGGS, and pcDNA/SARS-S plasmids are as previously described²⁵. The pcDNA/SARS2-S was a generous gift from Veessler lab²¹.

Protease inhibitor decanoyl-RVKR-CMK (dec-RVKR-CMK) was purchased from Tocris and resuspended in sterile water to 10 mM. Recombinant furin was purchased from New England Biolabs. Recombinant L-1-Tosylamide-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Human alpha-1 PDX (alpha1-PDX) recombinant protein was purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific.

The SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 fluorogenic peptide with the sequence TNSPRRARSVA, sandwiched between the (7-methoxycoumarin-4-yl)acetyl/2,4-dinitrophenyl (MCA/DNP) FRET pair, was synthesized by Biomatik.

Furin prediction calculations. Prop: CoV sequences were inputted into the ProP 1.0 Server hosted here: cbs.dtu.dk/services/ProP/ PiTou: CoV sequences were analyzed using the PiTou V3 software freely available via request here³⁴.

Pseudoparticle production. Pseudotyped virus were produced from published protocols with minor modifications²⁵. Briefly, HEK293T cells were seeded to 30% confluency. Cells were transfected with pTG-luc (600 ng), pCMV-MLV-gagpol (800 ng), and the respective viral envelope protein (600 ng) using polyethylenimine for 48 hours. Supernatants were then harvested, centrifuged, clarified, and stored in -80°C aliquots.

For + dec-RVKR-CMK particles, 7.5 µL of dec-RVKR-CMK was added to cells immediately after transfection and boosted with an additional 7.5 µL 24 hours later (final concentration: 75 µM).

For alpha1-PDX particles, indicated concentrations were added to cells immediately after transfection, as recommended by the manufacturer.

Pseudoparticle assays. Infection assays were as previously described with minor modifications²⁵ in triplicate. Briefly, target cells were seeded to confluency. Cells were washed with DPBS, infected with particles on a rocker in an incubator with rocker for 1.5 hours. Cells were supplemented with DMEMc and incubated for 72 hours. Cells were lysed and luciferase activity was assessed using the Luciferase Assay System and the Glomax 20/20 luminometer (Promega). Infectivity values were analyzed and plotted using Prism 8.

Exogenous protease treatment. 1 mL pseudoparticles were pelleted using a TLA-55 rotor with an Optima-MAX-E ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter) for 2 hours at 42,000 rpm at 4°C. Particles were resuspended in 30 µL DPBS buffer supplemented with 20 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM CaCl₂, 0.2 mM β-mercaptoethanol (at pH 7.0). Particles were treated with 6 U recombinant furin for 3 hours at 37°C.

Western blot analysis of pseudoparticles. 3 mL pseudoparticles were pelleted and resuspended as described above, but in 20 µL. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) loading buffer and DTT were added to samples and heated at 65°C for 20 minutes. Samples were separated on NuPAGE Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen) and

transferred on polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (GE). SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV S was detected using a rabbit polyclonal antibody that recognizes the S2 domain (Cat: 40590-T62, Sinobiological) and an AlexaFluor 488 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody. MLV protein was detected using a mouse monoclonal antibody that recognizes the MLV p30 protein (Cat: ab130757, abcam) and an AlexaFluor 488 goat anti-mouse secondary antibody. Bands were detected using the ChemiDoc Imaging software (Bio-Rad). S_0 and S_2 band intensity was calculated using the analysis tools on Biorad Image Lab 6.1 software and normalized to the MLV p30 band intensity.

Fluorogenic peptide assay. Peptide assays were as previously described with minor modifications³² in triplicates. Each reaction was performed in a 100 μ L volume consisting of buffer, protease, inhibitor, and SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 fluorogenic peptide in an opaque 96-well plate. For furin catalyzed reactions, 1 U/well recombinant furin was diluted in buffer consisting of 20 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.2 mM β -mercaptoethanol. For trypsin catalyzed reactions, 0.8 nM/well TPCK trypsin was diluted in PBS buffer. The α 1-PDX inhibitor or dec-RVKR-CMK inhibitor were added at a concentration of 2 μ M or 75 μ M, respectively. Peptide was lastly added at a concentration of 50 μ M.

Fluorescence emission was measured every minute for 60 minutes using a SpectraMax fluorometer (Molecular Devices) at 30°C using an excitation wavelength of 330 nm and an emission wavelength of 390 nm. V_{max} was calculated by fitting the linear rise in fluorescence to the equation of a line.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the National Institute of Health research grant R01AI35270 and Fast Grant, Mercatus Center. TT acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE-1650441 and the Samuel C. Fleming Family Graduate Fellowship. We would especially like to thank Jean Millet for providing important insight on analyzing PiTou values and calculations for BatCoV-HKU9. We would like to thank Hector Aguilar-Carreno for helpful input and

thank members of the Daniel and Whittaker groups as well as the Eliezer and Weinstein groups at Weill Cornell for helpful discussions. SD acknowledges funding for this project, sponsored by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) Army Research Office and accomplished under Cooperative Agreement Number W911NF-18-2-0152. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of DARPA or the Army Research Office or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation herein.

Supporting Information

Supporting information available: Impact of dec-RVKR-CMK inhibitor on SARS-CoVpp production, which lacks the furin S1/S2 site (**Figure S1**).

References

1. Zhong, N. S., Zheng, B. J., Li, Y. M., Poon, L. L. M., Xie, Z. H., Chan, K. H., Li, P. H., Tan, S. Y., Chang, Q., Xie, J. P., Liu, X. Q., Xu, J., Li, D. X., Yuen, K. Y., Peiris, J. S. M., Guan, Y. Epidemiology and cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Guangdong, People's Republic of China, in February, 2003. *Lancet* **362**, 1353–1358 (2003).
2. Zaki, A. M., van Boheemen, S., Bestebroer, T. M., Osterhaus, A. D. M. E., Fouchier, R. A. M. Isolation of a Novel Coronavirus from a Man with Pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **367**, 1814–1820 (2012).
3. Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., Zhao, X., Huang, B., Shi, W., Lu, R., Niu, P., Zhan, F., Ma, X., Wang, D., Xu, W., Wu, G., Gao, G. F., Tan, W. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **382**, 727–733 (2020).
4. Belouzard, S., Millet, J. K., Licitra, B. N., Whittaker, G. R. Mechanisms of coronavirus cell entry mediated by the viral spike protein. *Viruses* **4**, 1011–1033 (2012).
5. White, J. M., Whittaker, G. R. Fusion of Enveloped Viruses in Endosomes. *Traffic* **17**, 593–614 (2016).
6. Heald-Sargent, T., Gallagher, T. Ready, Set, Fuse! The Coronavirus Spike Protein and Acquisition of Fusion Competence. *Viruses* **4**, 557–580 (2012).
7. Park, J. E., Li, K., Barlan, A., Fehr, A. R., Perlman, S., McCray, P. B., Gallagher, T. Proteolytic processing of middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus spikes expands virus tropism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 12262–12267 (2016).
8. Shulla, A., Heald-Sargent, T., Subramanya, G., Zhao, J., Perlman, S., Gallagher, T. A Transmembrane Serine Protease Is Linked to the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Receptor and Activates Virus Entry Downloaded from. *J. Virol.* **85**, 873–882 (2011).

- 396 9. Matsuyama, S., Nagata, N., Shirato, K., Kawase, M., Takeda, M., Taguchi, F. Efficient Activation of
397 the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Protein by the Transmembrane
398 Protease TMPRSS2. *J. Virol.* **84**, 12658–12664 (2010).
- 399 10. Glowacka, I., Bertram, S., Müller, M. A., Allen, P., Soilleux, E., Pfefferle, S., Steffen, I., Tsegaye, T.
400 S., He, Y., Gnirss, K., Niemeyer, D., Schneider, H., Drosten, C., Pöhlmann, S. Evidence that
401 TMPRSS2 Activates the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Protein for
402 Membrane Fusion and Reduces Viral Control by the Humoral Immune Response. *J. Virol.* **85**,
403 4122–4134 (2011).
- 404 11. Simmons, G., Gosalia, D. N., Rennekamp, A. J., Reeves, J. D., Diamond, S. L., Bates, P. *Inhibitors of*
405 *cathepsin L prevent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry. Proceedings of the*
406 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* vol. 102
407 www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0505577102 (2005).
- 408 12. Millet, J. K., Whittaker, G. R. Host cell entry of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus
409 after two-step, furin-mediated activation of the spike protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**,
410 15214–15219 (2014).
- 411 13. Reinke, L. M., Spiegel, M., Plegge, T., Hartleib, A., Nehlmeier, I., Gierer, S., Hoffmann, M.,
412 Hofmann-Winkler, H., Winkler, M., Pöhlmann, S. Different residues in the SARS-CoV spike protein
413 determine cleavage and activation by the host cell protease TMPRSS2. *PLoS One* **12**(6):e0179177,
414 (2017).
- 415 14. Wrapp, D., Wang, N., Corbett, K. S., Goldsmith, J. A., Hsieh, C.-L., Abiona, O., Graham, B. S.,
416 McLellan, J. S. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. *Science*
417 **367**, 1260–1263 (2020).
- 418 15. Jaimes, J. A., André, N. M., Chappie, J. S., Millet, J. K., Whittaker, G. R. Phylogenetic Analysis and

- 419 Structural Modeling of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Reveals an Evolutionary Distinct and
420 Proteolytically Sensitive Activation Loop. *J. Mol. Biol.* **432**, 3309–3325 (2020).
- 421 16. Henrich, S., Lindberg, I., Bode, W., Than, M. E. Proprotein convertase models based on the crystal
422 structures of furin and kexin: Explanation of their specificity. *J. Mol. Biol.* **345**, 211–227 (2005).
- 423 17. Henrich, S., Cameron, A., Bourenkov, G. P., Kiefersauer, R., Huber, R., Lindberg, I., Bode, W.,
424 Than, M. E. The crystal structure of the proprotein processing proteinase furin explains its
425 stringent specificity. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **10**, 520–526 (2003).
- 426 18. Tian, S., Huang, Q., Fang, Y., Wu, J. FurinDB: A Database of 20-residue furin cleavage site motifs,
427 substrates and their associated drugs. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **12**, 1060–1065 (2011).
- 428 19. Abrami, L., Fivaz, M., Decroly, E., Seidah, N. G., Jean, F., Thomas, G., Leppla, S. H., Buckley, J. T.,
429 Van Der Goot, F. G. The pore-forming toxin proaerolysin is activated by furin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**,
430 32656–32661 (1998).
- 431 20. Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Krüger, N., Herrler, T., Erichsen, S., Schiergens, T.
432 S., Herrler, G., Wu, N. H., Nitsche, A., Müller, M. A., Drosten, C., Pöhlmann, S. SARS-CoV-2 Cell
433 Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor.
434 *Cell* **181**, 271-280.e8 (2020).
- 435 21. Walls, A. C., Park, Y.-J., Tortorici, M. A., Wall, A., McGuire, A. T., Veasler, D. Structure, Function,
436 and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. *Cell* (2020)
437 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058.
- 438 22. Ou, X., Liu, Y., Lei, X., Li, P., Mi, D., Ren, L., Guo, L., Guo, R., Chen, T., Hu, J., Xiang, Z., Mu, Z., Chen,
439 X., Chen, J., Hu, K., Jin, Q., Wang, J., Qian, Z. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2
440 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1620 (2020).
- 441 23. Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Pöhlmann, S. A Multibasic Cleavage Site in the Spike Protein of

SARS-CoV-2 Is Essential for Infection of Human Lung Cells. *Mol. Cell* **78**, 779-784.e5 (2020).

24. Bestle, D., Heindl, M. R., Limburg, H., Van Lam van, T., Pilgram, O., Moulton, H., Stein, D. A., Harges, K., Eickmann, M., Dolnik, O., Rohde, C., Klenk, H. D., Garten, W., Steinmetzer, T., Böttcher-Friebertshäuser, E. TMPRSS2 and furin are both essential for proteolytic activation of SARS-CoV-2 in human airway cells. *Life Sci. alliance* **3**, (2020).
25. Millet, J. K., Tang, T., Nathan, L., Jaimes, J. A., Hsu, H. L., Daniel, S., Whittaker, G. R. Production of pseudotyped particles to study highly pathogenic coronaviruses in a biosafety level 2 setting. *J. Vis. Exp.* **2019**, e59010 (2019).
26. Straus, M. R., Tang, T., Lai, A. L., Flegel, A., Bidon, M., Freed, J. H., Daniel, S., Whittaker, G. R. Ca²⁺ ions promote fusion of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus with host cells and increase infectivity. *J. Virol.* **94**, 426–446 (2020).
27. Tang, T., Bidon, M., Jaimes, J. A., Whittaker, G. R., Daniel, S. Coronavirus membrane fusion mechanism offers a potential target for antiviral development. *Antiviral Res.* **178**, 104792 (2020).
28. Hyseni, I., Molesti, E., Benincasa, L., Piu, P., Casa, E., Temperton, N. J., Manenti, A., Montomoli, E. Characterisation of SARS-CoV-2 Lentiviral Pseudotypes and Correlation between Pseudotype-Based Neutralisation Assays and Live Virus-Based Micro Neutralisation Assays. *Viruses* **12**, 1011 (2020).
29. Matsuyama, S., Shirato, K., Kawase, M., Terada, Y., Kawachi, K., Fukushi, S., Kamitani, W. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Protein Is Not Activated Directly by Cellular Furin during Viral Entry into Target Cells. *J. Virol.* **92**, (2018).
30. Wrobel, A. G., Benton, D. J., Xu, P., Roustan, C., Martin, S. R., Rosenthal, P. B., Skehel, J. J., Gamblin, S. J. SARS-CoV-2 and bat RaTG13 spike glycoprotein structures inform on virus evolution and furin-cleavage effects. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **27**, 763–767 (2020).

- 465 31. Jean, F., Stella, K., Thomas, L., Liu, G., Xiang, Y., Reason, A. J., Thomas, G. α 1-Antitrypsin Portland,
466 a bioengineered serpin highly selective for furin: Application as an antipathogenic agent. *Proc.*
467 *Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **95**, 7293–7298 (1998).
- 468 32. Jaimes, J. A., Millet, J. K., Whittaker, G. R. Proteolytic Cleavage of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein
469 and the Role of the Novel S1/S2 Site. *iScience* **23**, (2020).
- 470 33. Coutard, B., Valle, C., de Lamballerie, X., Canard, B., Seidah, N. G., Decroly, E. The spike
471 glycoprotein of the new coronavirus 2019-nCoV contains a furin-like cleavage site absent in CoV
472 of the same clade. *Antiviral Res.* **176**, 104742 (2020).
- 473 34. Tian, S., Huajun, W., Wu, J. Computational prediction of furin cleavage sites by a hybrid method
474 and understanding mechanism underlying diseases. *Sci. Rep.* **2**, (2012).
- 475 35. Duckert, P., Brunak, S., Blom, N. Prediction of proprotein convertase cleavage sites. *Protein Eng.*
476 *Des. Sel.* **17**, 107–112 (2004).
- 477 36. Andersen, K. G., Rambaut, A., Lipkin, W. I., Holmes, E. C., Garry, R. F. The proximal origin of SARS-
478 CoV-2. *Nat. Med.* **89**, 44–48 (2020).
- 479 37. Boni, M. F., Lemey, P., Jiang, X., Lam, T. T. Y., Perry, B. W., Castoe, T. A., Rambaut, A., Robertson,
480 D. L. Evolutionary origins of the SARS-CoV-2 sarbecovirus lineage responsible for the COVID-19
481 pandemic. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**, 1408–1417 (2020).
- 482 38. Gallaher, W. R. A palindromic RNA sequence as a common breakpoint contributor to copy-choice
483 recombination in SARS-COV-2. *Arch. Virol.* **165**, 2341–2348 (2020).
- 484 39. Lytras, S., MacLean, O. A., Robertson, D. L. The Sarbecovirus origin of SARS-CoV-2's furin cleavage
485 site. *Virological* [https://virological.org/t/the-sarbecovirus-origin-of-sars-cov-2-s-furin-cleavage-](https://virological.org/t/the-sarbecovirus-origin-of-sars-cov-2-s-furin-cleavage-site/536)
486 [site/536](https://virological.org/t/the-sarbecovirus-origin-of-sars-cov-2-s-furin-cleavage-site/536) (2020).
- 487 40. Yang, Y., Du, L., Liu, C., Wang, L., Ma, C., Tang, J., Baric, R. S., Jiang, S., Li, F. Receptor usage and

cell entry of bat coronavirus HKU4 provide insight into bat-to-human transmission of MERS coronavirus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 12516–12521 (2014).

41. Mcgrath, M. E. *THE LYSOSOMAL CYSTEINE PROTEASES. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct* vol. 28 www.annualreviews.org (1999).

42. Laczkó, D., Hogan, M. J., Toulmin, S. A., Hicks, P., Lederer, K., Gaudette, B. T., Castroño D., Amanat, F., Muramatsu, H., Oguin, T. H., Ojha, A., Zhang, L., Mu, Z., Parks, R., Manzoni, T. B., Roper, B., Strohmeier, S., Tombácz, I., Arwood, L., Nachbagauer, R., Karikó, K., Greenhouse, J., Pessaint, L., Porto, M., Putman-Taylor, T., Strasbaugh, A., Campbell, T. A., Lin, P. J., Tam, Y. K., Sempowski, G. D., Farzan, M., Choe, H., Saunders, K. O., Haynes, B. F., Andersen, H., Eisenlohr, L. C., Weissman, D., Krammer, F., Bates, P., Allman, D., Locci, M., Pardi, N. A Single Immunization with Nucleoside-Modified mRNA Vaccines Elicits Strong Cellular and Humoral Immune Responses against SARS-CoV-2 in Mice. *Immunity* **53**, 724-732.e7 (2020).

43. Cheng, Y.-W., Chao, T.-L., Li, C.-L., Chiu, M.-F., Kao, H.-C., Wang, S.-H., Pang, Y.-H., Lin, C.-H., Tsai, Y.-M., Lee, W.-H., Tao, M.-H., Ho, T.-C., Wu, P.-Y., Jang, L.-T., Chen, P.-J., Chang, S.-Y., Yeh, S.-H. Furin Inhibitors Block SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Cleavage to Suppress Virus Production and Cytopathic Effects. *Cell Rep.* **0**, 108254 (2020).

44. Edridge, A. W. D., Kaczorowska, J., Hoste, A. C. R., Bakker, M., Klein, M., Loens, K., Jebbink, M. F., Matser, A., Kinsella, C. M., Rueda, P., Ieven, M., Goossens, H., Prins, M., Sastre, P., Deijs, M., van der Hoek, L. Seasonal coronavirus protective immunity is short-lasting. *Nat. Med.* **26**, (2020).