1 H3K27me3 is a determinant of chemotolerance 2 in triple-negative breast cancer 3 Justine Marsolier^{1,2}, Pacôme Prompsy^{1,2}, Adeline Durand^{1,2#}, Anne-Marie Lyne^{3#}, Camille Landragin^{1,2}, 4 5 Amandine Trouchet^{1,2}, Sabrina Tenreira Bento³, Almut Eisele³, Sophie Foulon⁴, Léa Baudre^{1,2}, Kevin Grosselin^{4,5,‡}, Mylène Bohec⁶, Sylvain Baulande⁶, Ahmed Dahmani², Laura Sourd², Eric Letouzé⁷, 6 7 Elisabetta Marangoni², Leïla Perié^{3*}, Céline Vallot^{1,2*} 8 9 Affiliations: 10 1 CNRS UMR3244, Institut Curie, PSL University, Paris, France 11 2 Translational Research Department, Institut Curie, PSL University, Paris, France 12 3 CNRS UMR168, Institut Curie, PSL University, Sorbonne University, Paris, France 13 4 CNRS UMR8231, ESPCI Paris, PSL University, Paris, France 14 5 HiFiBio SAS, Paris, France 15 6 Genomics of Excellence (ICGex) Platform, Institut Curie, PSL University, Paris, France 7 Functional Genomics of Solid Tumors laboratory, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, Sorbonne 16 17 University, Inserm, USPC, Paris Descartes University, Paris Diderot University, Paris, France 18 # These authors contributed equally to this work. 19 ‡ Current Affiliation: Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge MA, USA 20 21 *co-last authors 22 correspondence to celine.vallot@curie.fr

Summary

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

Triple-negative breast cancer is associated with the worst prognosis and the highest risk of recurrence among all breast cancer subtypes¹. Residual disease, formed by cancer cells persistent to chemotherapy, remains one of the major clinical challenges towards full cure^{2,3}. There is now consensus that non-genetic processes contribute to chemoresistance in various tumor types, notably through the initial emergence of a reversible chemotolerant state⁴⁻⁶. Understanding nongenetic tumor evolution stands now as a prerequisite for the design of relevant combinatorial approaches to delay recurrence. Here we show that the repressive histone mark H3K27me3 is a determinant of cell fate under chemotherapy exposure, monitoring epigenomes, transcriptomes and lineage with single-cell resolution. We identify a reservoir of persister basal cells with EMT markers and activated TGF-β pathway leading to multiple chemoresistance phenotypes. We demonstrate that, in unchallenged cells, H3K27 methylation is a lock to the expression program of persister cells. Promoters are primed with both H3K4me3 and H3K27me3, and removing H3K27me3 is sufficient for their transcriptional activation. Leveraging lineage barcoding, we show that depleting H3K27me3 alters tumor cell fate under chemotherapy insult - a wider variety of tumor cells tolerate chemotherapy. Our results highlight how chromatin landscapes shape the potential of unchallenged cancer cells to respond to therapeutic stress.

Text

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

Emergence of resistance phenotypes from initially responding or partially-responding tumors has been modeled as a multi-step process in cancer⁷. Initially, post drug insult, only a pool of persister cells - also called drug-tolerant persister cells (DTPs) - manage to tolerate the cancer treatment and survive8. These cells constitute a reservoir of cells from which drug-resistant cells, actively growing under cancer treatment, will ultimately emerge⁸⁻¹⁰. In triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), both genetic and transcriptomic mechanisms have been proposed to drive cancer evolution towards chemoresistance, through combined selective and adaptive modes of evolution¹¹. The recent identification of a multiclonal reversible drug-tolerant state post neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in patient-derived xenografts (PDX)⁶ suggested that the earliest steps of chemoresistance in TNBC are not driven by genetic alterations, but rather by non-genetic plasticity in multiple cancer cells. Similarly, in other cancer types, persister states have been identified solely by changes in transcriptomic and epigenomic features in response to targeted therapies or chemotherapies^{12–15}. Genetic history of many cancer types has been extensively modelled thanks to both bulk and singlecell approaches^{11,16}. In contrast, little is known about the epigenomic heterogeneity and dynamics of acquisition of epigenetic alterations. While recent studies have focused on the evolution of DNA methylation^{17,18}- among the most stable epigenetic locks to gene expression, contribution to tumor evolution of more versatile epigenetic modifications, has remained poorly understood. Single-cell methods to map repressive and permissive histone modifications, key players of cellular plasticity, have emerged only recently 19,20, enabling the study of epigenomic diversity within biological systems. Here, combining single-cell transcriptomics and epigenomics with lineage barcoding, we show that the distribution of H3K27me3 – trimethylation at lysine 27 of histone H3 - is a key determinant of cell fate upon chemotherapy exposure in TNBC, shaping expression programs and cell potential to tolerate chemotherapy. Resistance to adjuvant chemotherapy for TNBC patients, post-surgery, cannot be easily studied as biopsies are not routinely performed when the disease progresses. To circumvent these limitations,

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

we modelled, in vivo and in vitro, phenotypes of drug-response observed in patients. In vivo, we treated a patient-derived xenograft (PDX) model, established from a patient with residual TNBC^{19,21}, with Capecitabine, the standard of care for residual breast tumors. After the first round of chemotherapy treatment, mice displayed a pathological complete response (pCR), but tumors eventually recurred ('recurrent') and mice were treated again with chemotherapy, to which tumors responded to various extents ('responder' or 'resistant') (Fig. 1a and Extended Fig. 1a-c). These recurrent tumors potentially arose from persister cells, surviving initial chemotherapy treatment⁹. We isolated 'persister' cells by pooling the fat pad from 6 mice with pCR (Extended Fig. 1d-f). We also generated 'residual' tumors (n=2) to phenocopy a clinical situation of partial response (Fig. 1a and Extended Fig. 1a and 1d) by administering a moderate dose of Capecitabine (270 mg/kg, half the usual dose). In vitro, we treated an initially chemosensitive TNBC cell line (MDA-MB-468), with the pro-drug of Capecitabine, Fluorouracil (5-FU)²², as Capecitabine is not metabolized in vitro. We drove independently three pools of cells to chemoresistance with prolonged 5-FU treatment (>15 weeks). After 3 weeks, only few cells survived drug insult (0.01% of the initial population) and started proliferating again under chemotherapy after 10-15 days (Fig. 1b and Extended Fig. 2a-b). Over 15 weeks, populations of resistant cells emerged, with an IC50 to 5-FU over 4-fold higher than chemonaive population and doubling times comparable to chemonaive cells (Extended Fig. 2c). To characterize transcriptomic evolution of chemonaive cells towards chemotolerance and subsequently chemoresistance, we performed single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) in both cell lines and PDX models (Fig. 1c-d, Extended Fig. 1g-i, 2d-f). In vivo, scRNA-seq was mandatory to identify the rare human persister cells among the vast majority of stromal mouse cells. Out of the fat pad, we isolated n~3,480 persister cells, an average of 580 cells per mouse. Both in vivo and in vitro models, diverse cell populations, with distinct expression programs (Fig.1c-d), originated from the pool of persister cells, which recurrently activated the same set of pathways compared to chemonaive cells (Fig. 1c-e, Extended Fig. 1g-i, Extended Fig. 2d-g). Originating from KRT5-expressing cancer cells, persister cells

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

recurrently activated sets of genes further establishing basal cell identity (Extended Fig. 1h-j), such as KRT14 (Fig. 1c-d). In addition, persister cells showed an activation of the TGF-β pathway with the expression of multiple players including ligands and receptors: in vivo - TGFB2, INHBA, INHBB, TGFB2R and TGFB3R (Fig.1c, Extended Fig.1h-i) - and in vitro - TGFB1, INHBA and TGFBR1 (Figure 1d, Extended Fig. 2f). Compared to chemonaive cells, persister cells also showed an activation of genes associated to the Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT, Fig.1c-d, Extended Fig. 1h-i, 2e-f), such as FOXQ1, a transcription factor previously identified to drive EMT^{23,24} in cancer, and NNMT, characteristic of the metabolic changes that accompany EMT^{25–27}. TGF-β and EMT associated-genes have been shown as markers of residual TNBC^{28,29} and were proposed as potential drivers of chemoresistance in lung³⁰, pancreatic³¹, breast³², and colorectal cancers^{33,34}. *In vivo*, we showed that persister and residual tumor cells actually clustered together (cluster C1) and shared a common expression program, suggesting similar mechanisms of chemotolerance independent of the residual burden (Extended Fig. 1g). Yet persister cells displayed a decreased proliferation rate as attested by a higher number of cells in GO/G1 (Extended Fig. 1f and Extended Fig. 2b), in line with previous reports 12,14,35. In vitro, we identified two clusters of persister cells (clusters C2 and C4), that differ by their expression of additional EMT markers such as CDH2 (Fig. 1d) and TWIST1. Early individual persisters (day 33) solely belonged to cluster C2/CDH2- whereas growing persisters could either belong to C2/CDH2- or C4/CDH2+ (Fig.1d and Extended Fig. 2d). Overall, we identified both in vivo and in vitro a reservoir of persister basal cells with EMT markers and activated TGF-β pathway evolving to multiple resistant phenotypes (Fig. 1c-d). We pinpoint TGF-β and EMT pathway activation as the earliest common molecular events at the onset of chemoresistance in TNBC, defining a common Achilles' heel, to target chemoresistant cells before they phenotypically diversify. To follow clonal evolution under therapeutic stress, we had initially introduced unique genetic barcodes in chemonaive MDA-MB468 cells prior to our experiments (Extended Fig. 3a). We leveraged our previous barcoding method³⁶ to allow robust detection of barcodes in scRNA-seq data, as shown by the high fraction of cells with a lineage barcode (Extended Fig. 3b). In addition, we verified that

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

barcodes frequencies detected in scRNA-seq data correlated with those detected in bulk, confirming the sensitivity of barcode detection in scRNA-seq data (Extended Fig. 3c). Then comparing barcode diversity at the persister stage, we observed that 5-FU and DMSO-treated cells display equivalent lineage diversity (Extended Fig. 3d-e), showing that the persister state is multi-clonal. To test if the lineages that persist are a random draw of the chemonaive population, we compared barcode frequencies between the starting population and the 5FU or DMSO-treated cells. As cells after barcode tagging and before chemotherapy treatment are growing, resulting in several cells with the same barcodes, if surviving cells had no particular predisposition then they should resemble a random draw of the chemonaive barcoded cells (day 0). This is what we indeed observed for DMSO-treated cells when compared to random drawing (Extended Fig. 3f-g). However, barcode frequencies of the 5-FU treated cell deviate from the random scenario (Extended Fig. 3f-g), indicating that some lineages present in the chemonaive population have a predisposition to tolerate the treatment. This was further confirmed by the comparison of barcode frequencies across persister states from independent experiments showing that independent persister populations shared lineage barcodes (Extended Fig. 3h). To monitor clonal evolution within the different subgroups of persister cells, we compared the barcode diversity within expression clusters (Fig. 1f). We found that lineage diversity decreases over the course of treatment, eventually leading to few clones dominating the chemoresistant cluster (Fig. 1f). The diversity in the CDH2+ persister cluster was lower than in the CDH2- persister cluster (Fig. 1f), suggesting that only rare persister cells switched to the CDH2+ persister state. By combining detection of lineage barcode and expression programs at single-cell resolution, we demonstrated that a small pre-disposed subset of cells tolerate chemotherapy, progressively transiting from a CDH2- to a CDH2+ persister state, and eventually leading fewer cells to resist. To hamper this chemo-driven clonal evolution of cancer cells, we next investigated the molecular basis of such rapid phenotypic evolution. Using whole-exome sequencing (Extended Fig. 4a), we first analyzed mutations, copy-number alterations (CNA) and related mutational signatures acquired by persister and resistant cell populations since the onset of 5-FU treatment ('chemonaive DO'

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

population). We could not identify any recurrent mutations across experiments (Extended Fig. 4b), or any CNA (amplifications or homozygous deletions) or mutations affecting known driver genes of breast cancer in any population¹⁶. Only a minor fraction of mutations found in persister cells were attributed to 5-FU (mutational signature 17³⁷) in comparison to resistant cell populations where over 50% of acquired mutations are associated to 5-FU exposure (p<10⁻¹⁰, Extended Fig.4c), indicating that chemorelated mutations are acquired over a timeframe that is not compatible with the rapid phenotypic evolution seen in persister cells. Finally, computing cancer cell fractions for each mutation, we confirmed that persister populations are extensively multi-clonal compared to chemonaive cells (Extended Fig. 4d), in line with the lineage barcoding results. We next investigated changes in epigenomes during chemotherapy treatment. Using single-cell profiling (scChIP-seq) of the repressive H3K27me3 epigenomic mark, we observed that H3K27me3 epigenomes faithfully captured the evolution of cell states with chemotherapy (Fig. 2a, Extended Fig. 5a-c). Persister cells shared a common H3K27me3 epigenome (Fig. 2a-c, cluster C1), in contrast to resistant cells split in clusters C1 and C3. In comparison to chemonaive cells, cells from cluster C1 showed recurrent redistribution of H3K27me3 methylation, the highest changes (|log2FC|>2) occurring specifically at transcription start sites (TSS) and gene bodies (Fig. 2d) and corresponding to a loss of H3K27me3 enrichment (75 regions with log2FC<-2, and 2 regions with log2FC>2). This depletion correlated with the highest changes in gene expression observed by scRNA-seq (Fig. 2e-f and Extended Fig. 5d) and was associated to the transcriptional de-repression of 14% of persister genes (Fig. 2g) genes overexpressed in persister versus chemonaive cells. In the chemonaive cells, two epigenomic subclones were recurrently identified indicated an epigenomic heterogeneity in this population (n=3 experiments, C2 & C4, Fig. 2b and Extended Fig. 5e-f). In contrast to cells from cluster C4 (median correlation score r=-0.34, no cells over r=0.20), a fraction of cells within cluster C2 shared epigenomic similarities with cells from C1 (49/381 cells over r=0.20, Fig. 2c and Extended Fig. 5g-h), but remained discernible from the pool of persister cells (no cells from C2 over median r of C1, see Methods). This suggests that cells from C2 could fuel the persister population when exposed to chemotherapy, with

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

the need of chemo-induced chromatin changes to achieve tolerance. In addition to these two epigenomic subclones within the chemonaive cells, we also detected rare cells with a persister epigenomic signature, in only one of our three experiments (60/976 chemonaive-D60-#1 cells in cluster C1 – Extended Fig. 5f), suggesting that spontaneous transition to H3K27me3 persister state rarely occurs in the absence of chemotherapy. To test whether H3K27me3 enrichment was the lock to the persister expression program in chemonaive cells, we treated cancer cells with the EZH2 inhibitor (EZH2i) UNC199938, to deplete H3K27me3 from cells (Extended Fig. 5i), in the absence of chemotherapy. EZH2i treatment phenocopied persister state to chemotherapy as expression fold-change induced by EZH2i were specifically correlated to those induced by chemotherapy exposure at early time points (Fig. 2h, Extended Fig. 5j, r=0.71 versus r=0.31 with changes in resistant cells). Furthermore, we observed that EZH2i was sufficient to lead to the activation of 62% of H3K27me3-enriched persister genes (15/24 genes), suggesting that H3K27me3 was the sole lock to their activation (Fig. 2i and Extended Fig. 5k-l). EZH2i was also sufficient to lead to the over-expression of 61% of persister genes independently of any H3K27me3 enrichment in chemonaive cells (86/144 genes), such as KRT14, suggesting that these genes might be targets of H3K27me3-regulated persister genes. As we observed H3K27me3 changes precisely at TSS, we further explored the evolution of chromatin modifications at TSS, focusing on H3K4me3 - trimethylation at lysine 4 of histone H3 - a permissive histone mark shown to accumulate over TSS with active transcription. In contrast to single-cell H3K27me3 epigenomes which were sufficient to separate cell states along treatment (Fig 2a), individual H3K4me3 epigenomes of chemonaive and persister cells were indiscernible (Fig. 3a and Extended Fig. 6a-b). Comparing H3K4me3 single-cell tracks of chemonaive and persister cells (Fig. 3b and Extended Fig. 6c), we observed sparse H3K4me3 enrichment at the TSS of persister genes already in chemonaive cells, compared to house-keeping genes (Extended Fig. 6d). In individual persister cells, H3K4me3 enrichment was significantly more synchronous at these TSS (Extended Fig. 6e, p=9.2 10⁻³) than in chemonaive cells. Altogether, H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 could either accumulate on the same

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

TSS but in different chemonaive cells, or H3K4me3 could accumulate together with H3K27me3 in a subset of cells. To test whether H3K4me3 could co-exist with H3K27me3 in the same individual cells prior to chemotherapy exposure, we performed successive immunoprecipitation of H3K27me3 with H3K4me3 or H3K27me3 with isotype control (IgG). We detected n= 1,547 transcription start sites significantly enriched in DNA immunoprecipitated with both H3K27me3 and H3K4me3, compared to the control (H3K27me3/lgG) precipitated fraction (peak-ratio>0.15, q-value<1.0 10⁻³, Extended Fig. 6g). We found that bivalent chromatin in chemonaive cells (D0) was detected at genes associated to basal and EMT pathways, as well as various developmental pathways (e.g Hedgehog pathway) (Fig. 3c-d and Extended Fig. 6f), and at the ligand of the TGF- β pathway, TGF- β 1 (Fig. 3c). The majority of K27-regulated persister genes (18 out of 24) were found in a bivalent chromatin configuration in the chemonaive cell population (Fig. 3c, Extended Fig. 6f). In vivo, we observed enrichment of H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 modifications measured independently at n=1,370 TSS, particularly at EMT-associated genes and TGFβ2 (Fig. 3e-f and Extended Fig. 6h-i), corroborating that persister genes are in a bivalent configuration in chemonaive cells. To further validate that H3K27me3 distribution regulates the emergence of persister cells, we next modulated H3K27me3 distribution simultaneously to chemotherapy. We used both the EZH2 inhibitor (EZH2i - UNC1999³⁸), and a KDM6A/B inhibitor (KDM6A/Bi - GSK-J4³⁹) to prevent demethylation of H3K27me3 residues. Co-treating cells with one of these modulators together with 5-FU, we observed opposite modulation of the ability of cells to tolerate the chemotherapy. EZH2i increased the number of persister cells, whereas KDM6A/Bi led to a decrease in the number of persisters at day 21 (Fig. 4ab). At day 60, KDM6A/Bi further completely abolished the growth of persister cells under 5-FU, whereas it has no effect on chemonaive cancer cells (Fig. 4c and Extended Fig. 7a). These results were confirmed in a second TNBC cell line HCC38, albeit to a lesser extent for EZH2i (Extended Fig. 7b-c). We then tested how EZH2i affected cell fate of persister cells. Comparing the number of unique barcodes present in the two clusters under chemotherapy pressure with or without EZH2i (Extended Fig. 3a),

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

we observed that co-treating cells with EZH2i and 5-FU increases the number of lineage barcodes in persister cells, and to a large extent in C4/CDH2+ cluster (Fig. 4d-f). These results showed that under EZH2i cancer cells have an increased potential to reach persister state, and a mesenchymal CDH2+ state. Overall, inhibiting the removal of methyl groups at H3K27 prevented cells from reaching chemotolerance and resistance, demonstrating that some cancer cells need to actively demethylate H3K27me3 residues to reach persister state and to proliferate under chemotherapy exposure. Conversely, depleting H3K27me3 from chemonaive cells not only launched a persister-like expression program, but it also enhanced chemotolerance. These results were consistent with a mechanism where persister genes would be repressed by H3K27me3 in chemonaive cells, and primed with stochastic H3K4me3 in a subset of cells – loss of H3K27me3 being the key to activation. In conclusion, our study shows that the transition to persister state in TNBC is dependent on the control of H3K27me3 distribution. We propose that combining chemotherapy with histone demethylase inhibitors at the onset of chemotherapy exposure will decrease the pool of persister cells, and thereby decrease recurrence. We demonstrate a role for both H3K27me3 writer and erasers in regulating the phenotypic switch from chemonaive to chemopersister state, highlighting the instrumental role of repressive histone landscapes as determinants of cell fate. Several studies had started to interrogate which epigenetic modifiers could regulate expression programs of persister or resistant cells, but studying them in isolation^{5,12,14,40,41}. We also show that the *persister* expression program is primed in chemonaive cells with a stochastic presence of H3K4me3 but is repressed by H3K27me3. In other words, genes are ready to be activated, H3K27me3 remaining the only lock to activation. Persisters are cells without H3K27me3 or the one releasing the H3K27me3 lock, or a mixture of both phenomena. We observe epigenomic priming of signaling pathways known to participate to drug resistance in TNBC⁴², including Hedgehog, WNT (Extended Fig. 2f), TGF-β and ATP-binding cassette drug transporters pathways (Extended Fig. 2f). Such epigenomic priming is reminiscent of developmental bivalency priming mechanisms⁴³ found in stem cells prior to differentiation and appears key for the rapid activation of the genes upon therapeutic stress. Remains to be understood,

- 249 how only a fraction of bivalent genes are targeted by gene activation upon chemotherapy exposure,
- 250 whether tolerance to different drugs triggers the activation of the same set of bivalent genes, and
- 251 whether such mechanisms could be shared across cancer types. Determining the precise addressing
- mechanisms that target H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 writers and readers to TSS of bivalent genes in
- 253 cancer cells, will be instrumental in the future to identify dedicated co-factors which could serve as
- alternative therapeutic targets to restrict the epigenetic plasticity of cancer cells.

References

255

256

- 1. Li, X. *et al.* Triple-negative breast cancer has worse overall survival and cause-specific survival than non-triple-negative breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **161**, 279–287 (2017).
- 259 2. Vallette, F. M. *et al.* Dormant, quiescent, tolerant and persister cells: Four synonyms for the same target in cancer. *Biochemical Pharmacology* **162**, 169–176 (2019).
- 3. Shen, S., Vagner, S. & Robert, C. Persistent Cancer Cells: The Deadly Survivors. *Cell* **183**, 860–874 (2020).
- 263 4. Balko, J. M. *et al.* Profiling of residual breast cancers after neoadjuvant chemotherapy identifies DUSP4 deficiency as a mechanism of drug resistance. *Nat. Med.* **18**, 1052–1059 (2012).
- 5. Vinogradova, M. *et al.* An inhibitor of KDM5 demethylases reduces survival of drug-tolerant cancer cells. *Nat Chem Biol* **12**, 531–538 (2016).
- 6. Echeverria, G. V. *et al.* Resistance to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in triple-negative breast cancer mediated by a reversible drug-tolerant state. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **11**, eaav0936 (2019).
- 7. Jones, P. A., Issa, J.-P. J. & Baylin, S. Targeting the cancer epigenome for therapy. *Nat Rev Genet* **17**, 630–641 (2016).
- 271 8. Ramirez, M. *et al.* Diverse drug-resistance mechanisms can emerge from drug-tolerant cancer persister cells. *Nat Commun* **7**, 10690 (2016).
- 273 9. Cortazar, P. *et al.* Pathological complete response and long-term clinical benefit in breast cancer: the CTNeoBC pooled analysis. *The Lancet* **384**, 164–172 (2014).
- 10. Hata, A. N. *et al.* Tumor cells can follow distinct evolutionary paths to become resistant to epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition. *Nat Med* **22**, 262–269 (2016).
- 277 11. Kim, C. *et al.* Chemoresistance Evolution in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Delineated by Single-Cell 278 Sequencing. *Cell* 173, 879-893.e13 (2018).
- 279 12. Sharma, S. V. *et al.* A Chromatin-Mediated Reversible Drug-Tolerant State in Cancer Cell Subpopulations. *Cell* **141**, 69–80 (2010).
- Shaffer, S. M. *et al.* Rare cell variability and drug-induced reprogramming as a mode of cancer drug resistance. *Nature* **546**, 431–435 (2017).
- 283 14. Liau, B. B. *et al.* Adaptive Chromatin Remodeling Drives Glioblastoma Stem Cell Plasticity and Drug Tolerance. *Cell Stem Cell 20*, 233-246.e7 (2017).
- 285 15. Rambow, F. *et al.* Toward Minimal Residual Disease-Directed Therapy in Melanoma. *Cell* **174**, 843-286 855.e19 (2018).
- 16. Nik-Zainal, S. *et al.* Landscape of somatic mutations in 560 breast cancer whole-genome sequences.
- 288 *Nature* **534**, 47–54 (2016).
- 289 17. Mazor, T. *et al.* DNA Methylation and Somatic Mutations Converge on the Cell Cycle and Define
- 290 Similar Evolutionary Histories in Brain Tumors. *Cancer Cell* **28**, 307–317 (2015).
- 291 18. Gaiti, F. et al. Epigenetic evolution and lineage histories of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. Nature
- **292 569**, 576–580 (2019).
- 293 19. Grosselin, K. et al. High-throughput single-cell ChIP-seq identifies heterogeneity of chromatin

- 294 states in breast cancer. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 1060–1066 (2019).
- 295 20. Kaya-Okur, H. S. *et al.* CUT&Tag for efficient epigenomic profiling of small samples and single cells.
- 296 Nat Commun 10, 1930 (2019).
- 297 21. Marangoni, E. et al. A New Model of Patient Tumor-Derived Breast Cancer Xenografts for Preclinical
- 298 Assays. Clinical Cancer Research **13**, 3989–3998 (2007).
- 299 22. Longley, D. B., Harkin, D. P. & Johnston, P. G. 5-fluorouracil: mechanisms of action and clinical
- 300 strategies. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **3**, 330–338 (2003).
- 301 23. Zhang, H. *et al.* Forkhead Transcription Factor *Foxq1* Promotes Epithelial–Mesenchymal Transition
- and Breast Cancer Metastasis. *Cancer Res* **71**, 1292–1301 (2011).
- 303 24. Qiao, Y. et al. FOXQ1 Regulates Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Human Cancers. Cancer
- 304 Research **71**, 3076–3086 (2011).
- 305 25. Ulanovskaya, O. A., Zuhl, A. M. & Cravatt, B. F. NNMT promotes epigenetic remodeling in cancer
- by creating a metabolic methylation sink. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **9**, 300–306 (2013).
- 307 26. Shaul, Y. D. et al. Dihydropyrimidine Accumulation Is Required for the Epithelial-Mesenchymal
- 308 Transition. *Cell* **158**, 1094–1109 (2014).
- 309 27. Liang, L., Zeng, M., Pan, H., Liu, H. & He, Y. Nicotinamide N-methyltransferase promotes epithelial-
- 310 mesenchymal transition in gastric cancer cells by activating transforming growth factor- $\beta 1$ expression.
- 311 Oncol Lett (2018) doi:10.3892/ol.2018.7885.
- 312 28. Bhola, N. E. et al. TGF-β inhibition enhances chemotherapy action against triple-negative breast
- 313 cancer. J. Clin. Invest. 123, 1348–1358 (2013).
- 314 29. Jang, M. H., Kim, H. J., Kim, E. J., Chung, Y. R. & Park, S. Y. Expression of epithelial-mesenchymal
- transition—related markers in triple-negative breast cancer: ZEB1 as a potential biomarker for poor clinical
- 316 outcome. *Human Pathology* **46**, 1267–1274 (2015).
- 317 30. Fischer, K. R. et al. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition is not required for lung metastasis but
- 318 contributes to chemoresistance. *Nature* **527**, 472–476 (2015).
- 319 31. Zheng, X. et al. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition is dispensable for metastasis but induces
- 320 chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. *Nature* **527**, 525–530 (2015).
- 32. Zeng, D. et al. Inhibition of Notch1 reverses EMT and chemoresistance to cisplatin via direct
- downregulation of MCAM in triple-negative breast cancer cells. *Int. J. Cancer* **147**, 490–504 (2020).
- 323 33. Brunen, D. et al. TGF-β: An emerging player in drug resistance. Cell Cycle 12, 2960–2968 (2013).
- 324 34. Romano, G. *et al.* The TGF-β pathway is activated by 5-fluorouracil treatment in drug resistant
- 325 colorectal carcinoma cells. *Oncotarget* **7**, 22077–22091 (2016).
- 326 35. Chen, J. et al. A restricted cell population propagates glioblastoma growth after chemotherapy.
- 327 Nature 488, 522-526 (2012).

- 328 36. Eisele, A. S. et al. Erythropoietin directly affects single hematopoietic stem cell differentiation after
- 329 *transplantation*. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.04.20.050146 (2020)
- 330 doi:10.1101/2020.04.20.050146.
- 331 37. Christensen, S. et al. 5-Fluorouracil treatment induces characteristic T>G mutations in human
- 332 cancer. Nat Commun 10, 4571 (2019).
- 333 38. Konze, K. D. et al. An Orally Bioavailable Chemical Probe of the Lysine Methyltransferases EZH2 and
- 334 EZH1. ACS Chem. Biol. 8, 1324–1334 (2013).
- 335 39. Kruidenier, L. et al. A selective jumonji H3K27 demethylase inhibitor modulates the
- proinflammatory macrophage response. *Nature* **488**, 404–408 (2012).
- 40. Hinohara, K. et al. KDM5 Histone Demethylase Activity Links Cellular Transcriptomic Heterogeneity
- 338 to Therapeutic Resistance. *Cancer Cell* **34**, 939-953.e9 (2018).
- 339 41. Gardner, E. E. et al. Chemosensitive Relapse in Small Cell Lung Cancer Proceeds through an EZH2-
- 340 SLFN11 Axis. *Cancer Cell* **31**, 286–299 (2017).
- 341 42. Nedeljković, M. & Damjanović, A. Mechanisms of Chemotherapy Resistance in Triple-Negative
- 342 Breast Cancer—How We Can Rise to the Challenge. Cells 8, 957 (2019).
- 343 43. Bonifer, C. & Cockerill, P. N. Chromatin priming of genes in development: Concepts, mechanisms
- and consequences. Experimental Hematology 49, 1–8 (2017).

Legends

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369 370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

Figure 1: Identification of a pool of basal persister cells in TNBC in vivo and in vitro. a. (Up) Graph of the relative tumor volumes (RTV, mm3) over time (days). Colored growth curves correspond to tumors which have been further studied by scRNA-seq. Black arrows indicate the start of the second round of Capecitabine treatment for the corresponding mice. (Down) Phenotypes and cell numbers are indicated, with the number of mice used to collect samples in brackets. **b.** (Up) Graph representation of the cell proliferation of triple negative breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-468 (MM468) treated with 5-FU (green for persister cells, and orange lines for resistant cells) or with DMSO (chemonaive - grey lines). Each dot corresponds to an independent experiment. (Down) Schematic view of the experimental design. Experience replicate numbers and passages of the cells at D0 are indicated. c. UMAP representation of PDX scRNA-seq datasets, colored according to sample of origin (first panel) or gene expression signal for differentially expressed genes between persister cells and chemonaive tumor cells (remaining panels), log2FC and adjusted p-values are indicated above the graph. d. UMAP representation of MDA-MB-468 cells scRNA-seq datasets, colored according to the sample ID (first panel) or gene expression signal for differentially expressed genes between persister cells and chemonaive cells (KRT14 and TGFB1 panels) or for a differentially expressed gene between the two persisters clusters, i.e. clusters C4 vs C2 (CDH2 panel). Chemonaive population (in grey) corresponds to DMSO-D0-#1. e. Barplot displaying the top 5 pathways activated in persister cells both in vivo and in vitro from MSigDB c2_curated Breast, c2_KEGG, c7_Hallmark and c5_GO annotations. x-axis corresponds to -log10 adjusted p-values for PDX. f. (Left) UMAP representation of scRNA-seg as in 1d, cells are colored according to lineage barcode. (Right) Histogram of the lineage barcodes diversity detected in the scRNA-seq data within Louvain partition clusters, and across samples. Colors correspond to sample ID as in 1d.

Figure 2: H3K27me3 represses the persister expression program in chemonaive cells. a. UMAP representation of scChIP-seq H3K27me3 datasets, cells are colored according to the sample of origin. Chemonaive samples correspond to DMSO-treated cells, persister and resistant samples correspond to 5-FU-treated cells, days of treatment are indicated. b. Same as in a. with cells colored according to epigenomic clusters. c. Graph representation of the cell to cell inter-correlation between clusters C1, C2 or C4 and the cluster C1. d. Genomic association between H3K27me3 peaks and gene annotation. Full bars indicate adjusted p-value<1.0 10⁻². Empty bars indicate non-significant adjusted p-values. "PC" indicates protein coding gene e. Repartition of H3K27me3 depleted peaks within re-expression quantiles in persister cells. f. Cumulative scH3K27me3 profiles over *TGFB1* and *FOXQ1* in chemonaive and persister cells (D33). Log2FC and adjusted p-value correspond to differential analysis comparison

of cells from cluster C1 versus clusters C2 + C4. **g.** Pie chart displaying the fraction of persister genes potentially regulated by H3K27me3 in MM468 cells. **h.** Dot plot representing log2 expression fold-change induced by 5-FU or EZH2i at D33 versus D0. Correlation scores and associated p-value are indicated. **i.** Bulk H3K27me3 chromatin profiles for *TGFB1* and *FOXQ1* in cells treated with DMSO, 5-FU or EZH2i at D33.

Figure 3: Epigenomes of chemonaive cells are primed with co-accumulation of H3K27me3 and H3K4me3. a. UMAP representation of scChIP-seq H3K4me3 datasets, cells are colored according to the sample of origin. b. Cumulative scH3K4me3 enrichment profiles over *TGFB1* and *FOXQ1* in chemonaive cells (D0) and persister cells (D60). c. Bulk H3K27me3->H3K4me3 and H3K27me3->IgG chromatin profiles of *TGFB1* and *FOXQ1* in the chemonaive population (D0). Enrichment tracks show enrichment over IgG control with associated odd ratio and adjusted p-value. d. Barplot displaying the top 5 pathways (as in Fig. 1e) enriched in genes detected with combined H3K27me3/H3K4me3 in the chemonaive MDA-MB-468 cell population (D0). e. Density plot representing cumulative scH3K27me3 and scH3K4me3 log2 enrichment at TSS in two independent cell populations within chemonaive PDX. f. Cumulative scH3K27me3 and scH3K4me3 profiles over *TGFB2* and *ELN* in the chemonaive PDX tumor.

Figure 4: EZH2 inhibition modulates cell fate upon chemotherapy exposure. **a.** Representative pictures of MDA-MB-468 cells treated 21 days with 5-FU alone or in combination with an inhibitor of EZH2 (UNC1999) or an inhibitor of KDM6A/B proteins (GSKJ4). **b.** Histogram representing the number of cells after treatment with 5-FU alone, 5-FU and EZH2i or KDM6i over 21 days, relative to the number of cells at DO. (n=3, Mean ± sd). **c.** Colony forming assay of MDA-MB-468 co-treated with DMSO or 5-FU and indicated concentrations of KDM6A/Bi for 60 days. The data corresponds to 1 of 3 biological replicates. **d.** UMAP representation of scRNA-seq datasets, colored according to the sample ID. **e.** As in 4d. cells colored according to lineage barcode. **f.** Histogram of the diversity of lineage barcodes detected in scRNA-seq data in each louvain partition cluster obtained from the chemonaive population, 5-FU or EZH2i persister cells (D33). Colors correspond to sample ID as in 4d.

Methods

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

PDXs. Female Swiss nude mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories and maintained under specific-pathogen-free conditions. Mouse care and housing were in accordance with institutional guidelines and the rules of the French Ethics Committee (project authorization no. 02163.02). In this study, we used a xenograft model generated from a residual triple-negative breast cancer postneoadjuvant chemotherapy (HBCx95) established previously at Curie Institute with informed consent from the patient^{44,45}. Five mice were not treated and kept as controls and twenty-seven mice were treated orally with Capecitabine (Xeloda; Roche Laboratories) at a dose of 540 mg/kg, 5 d/week for 6 to 14 weeks. Relative tumor volumes (mm3) were measured as described previously²¹. Latency was defined as the number of days between the observation of a complete response (Tumor size < 10 mm3) after the first round of Capecitabine treatment, and the detection of a recurrent tumor (Tumor size > 10 mm3). Eight mice were sacrificed after the first round of chemotherapy to study residual (2 mice) and persister (6 mice) human tumor cells. Seven mice with recurrent tumors (tumor volume between 200 and 600 mm3) were treated for a second round of Capecitabine. The GraphPad PRISM 9 was used for statistics in Extended Fig.1b. The results represent the mean ± sd and statistical analysis was performed using two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. Before downstream analysis (scChIP-seq, scRNA-seq), control and Capecitabine treated tumors were digested for 2 h at 37 °C with a cocktail of Collagenase I (Roche, Ref: 11088793001) and Hyaluronidase (Sigma-Aldrich, Ref: H3506). Cells were then individualized at 37°C using a cocktail of 0.25% Trypsin-Versen (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Ref: 15040-033), Dispase II (Sigma-Aldrich, Ref: D4693) and DNase I (Roche, Ref: 11284932001) as described previously⁴⁶. Then, eBioscience red blood cell lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Ref: 00-4333-57) was added to the cell suspension to remove red blood cells. To increase the viability of the final cell suspension, dead cells were removed using the Dead Cell Removal Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Ref:130-090-101).

Cell lines, culture conditions and drug treatments. MDA-MB-468 cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco-BRL, Ref: 11966025), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (Gibco-BRL, Ref: 10270-106). HCC38 cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco-BRL, Ref: 11875085), supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum. All cell lines were cultured in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37 °C, and were tested as mycoplasma negative. GSKJ4 (KDM6A/B inhibitor, Sigma, Ref: SML0701), GSKJ5 (GSK-J4 inactive isomer, Abcam, Ref: ab144397) and UNC1999 (EZH2 inhibitor, Abcam, Ref: ab146152) were used at indicated concentrations. Cells were treated with 5 μ M of 5-FU (Sigma, Ref: F6627) alone or in combination with KDM6A/Bi or EZH2i for indicated days.

Colony forming assay. TBNC cells were plated in 6 multi-well plates at a density of 200,000 cells per well and treated with the indicated drugs for 60 days (MDA-MB-468) or 50 days (HCC38). Treated plates were monitored for growth using a microscope. At the time of maximum foci formation, colony formation was evaluated after a staining with 0.5% Crystal Violet (Sigma, Ref: C3886).

Cell proliferation, doubling time and IC50. MDA-MB-468 and HCC38 cells were stained with Trypan Blue (Invitrogen, Ref: T10282) exclusion test, and counted using a Countess automated cell counter (Invitrogen, Ref: C10228) at indicated time of treatment (Fig. 4b and Extended Fig. 7b).

Doubling time (Extended Fig.2c) was calculated using this formula:

"DoublingTime = duration*log(2)/(log(Final Concentration)–log(Initial Concentration))"

For chemonaive condition and resistant condition, cell numbers were evaluated on cell population during 10 days (n=3). For persister condition, cells were counted manually under the microscope at day 13 and day 30 of treatment. Doubling time of 5-FU growing persister cells was studied from single cell to confluent colony by assaying cell number every 4 days during 27 days (n= 6 single cells) (Figure 1b).

MDA-MB-468 chemonaive and chemoresistant cells were plated in 96 multi-well plates at a density of 10,000 cells per well and treated with increased concentration of 5-FU (1μ M to 0.5M) for 72h. Cell cytotoxicity was assayed with XTT kit (Sigma, Ref: 11465015001) and IC50 was calculated as the concentration of 5-FU that is required to obtain 50% of cell viability (Extended Fig. 2c).

The GraphPad PRISM 9 was used for statistics and the results represent the mean ± sd of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using the Bonferroni test for multiple comparisons between samples (Fig.4b and Extended Fig.2c-right) or one-tailed Mann-Whitney test for the comparison between two conditions (Extended Fig. 2c-left and Extended Fig.7b).

Western blotting. DMSO- and EZH2i-treated cells (D33-#8) were lysed at 95°C for 10 minutes in Laemmli buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 2% SDS, 5% glycerol, 2 mM DTT, 2.5 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM EGTA, 4 mM Sodium Orthovanadate, 20 mM Sodium Fluoride, protease inhibitors, phosphatase inhibitors) and proteins concentrations were measured using a Pierce BCA protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Ref: 23225/23227). 10 μg of proteins were then separated on a 4-15% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free Gel (Bio-Rad, Ref: 4568085) at 160V. After transfer, the membrane was exposed to UV light (Bio-Rad, ChemiDoc MP) and the image was further used for total protein quantification. The membrane was then blocked for 1 h at room temperature in PBS pH 7.4 containing 0.1% Tween-20 and 1% milk (Regilait). Incubation anti-H3K27me3 (Dilution: 1:2000, Cell Signaling, Ref: 9733) primary antibodies diluted in PBS pH 7.4, 0.1% Tween-20 were performed at 4°C overnight. Following 2 h incubation at room temperature with an anti-rabbit peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody

(Dilution: 1:10000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Ref: 31460) diluted in PBS pH 7.4, 0.1% Tween-20, antibody-specific labeling bands were revealed (Bio-Rad, ChemiDoc MP) using a SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Ref: 34579).

Lentivirus packaging and cell transduction. Lentivirus was produced by transfecting the barcode plasmids pRRL-CMV-GFP-BCv2Ascl and p8.9-QV and pVSVG into HEK293T cells as previously described³⁶. MDA-MB-468 cells from ATCC were infected at passage 11 with lentivirus produced from the barcode library (pRRL-CMV-GFP-BCv2Ascl) which includes 18206 different barcodes of 20bp of a random stretch, at a low multiplicity of infection (MOI 0.1) to minimize the number of cells marked by multiple barcodes. Three weeks after transduction, cells were sorted for GFP expression to select cells with barcode insertion, and used for drug treatment.

Single-cell RNA-seq. For each single cell suspension (DMSO-D0-#1, 5-FU-D33-#1, 5-FU-D214-#1, 5-FU-D67-#2, 5-FU-D171-#2, 5-FU-D50-#3, 5-FU-D77-#3 and 5-FU-D202-#3), approximately 3,000 cells were loaded on a Chromium Single Cell Controller Instrument (Chromium Single Cell 3'v3, 10X Genomics, Ref: PN-1000075) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples and libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) in PE8-8-91 with a coverage of 50,000 reads/cell.

Bulk lineage barcode library preparation and sequencing. Lineage barcodes are recovered by isolating genomic DNA from cells of interest (NucleoSpin Tissue, Mini kit for DNA from cells and tissue, Macherey Nagel, Ref: 740952.50). From the isolated genomic DNA, barcodes are amplified with three nested PCR steps as decribed in³⁶. In short, after a first specific PCR for the common region of the lineage barcodes, the amplified material was prepared for sequencing by addition of the illumina sequencing adaptaters and indexing and purification. Sequencing was done in order to obtain 50 reads, on average, per barcoded cell.

Bulk ChIP-seq. ChIP experiments were performed as previously described¹⁹ on 3x10⁶ MDA-MB-468 cells (DMSO-D67-#2, DMSO-D77-#3, DMSO-D113-#4, 5-FU-D67-#2, 5-FU-D77-#3, 5-FU-D113-#4) using an anti-H3K27me3 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Ref: 9733 - C36B11). Sequencing libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit (NEB, Ref: E7645S) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) in SE50 mode.

Single-cell ChIP-seq. Cells (DMSO-D60-#1, DMSO-D77-#3, DMSO-D131-#5, 5-FU-D33-#1, 5-FU-D67-#2, 5-FU-D171-#2, 5-FU-D147-#3, 5-FU-D131-#6) were labeled by 15 min incubation with 1 μM CFSE

(CellTrace CFSE, ThermoFisher Scientific, Ref: C34554). Cells were then resuspended in PBS supplemented with 30% Percoll, 0.1% Pluronic F68, 25 mM Hepes pH 7.4 and 50 mM NaCl. Cell encapsulation, bead encapsulation and 1:1 droplet fusion was performed as previously described¹⁹. Immunoprecipitation, DNA amplification and library were performed as in¹⁹. Libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) in PE100, with 4 dark cycles on Read 2, with a coverage of 100,000 reads/cell.

Quantitative chromatin profiling with chromatin indexing. Chromatin isolation, indexing, immunoprecipitation and library preparation was adapted from⁴⁷. Briefly, 50,000 MDA-MB-468 were lysed and digested with MNase for 20min at 37°C in the following buffer: 46mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 0.154M NaCl, 0.1% Triton, 0.1% NaDoc, 4.65mM CaCl2, 0.47x Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche, Ref: 11873580001) and 0.09u/uL MNase (Thermo Scientific, Ref: EN0181). Fragmented nucleosomes were then ligated for at least 24h at 16°C to double-stranded barcoded adapters containing 8bp barcodes to combine samples: Pac1-T7-Read2-8bpBarcode-linker-Pac1. Next, 5 indexed chromatin samples (DMSO, 5-FU, UNC, 5-FU + UNC, GSK-J4) were pooled, each containing a different 8-bp barcode, to perform anti-H3K27me3 ChIP (Cell Signaling, Ref: 9733 - C36B11) on 250,000 cells in total in each pool. ChIP and DNA amplification was carried out as for scChIP-seq¹⁹ and a sequencing library was produced for both IP and input pools and sequenced on NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) in PE100 mode.

Sequential ChIP-seq. Primary ChIP experiments were performed as described previously¹⁹ on 30x 10⁶ untreated chemonaive MDA-MB-468 cells using the anti-H3K27me3 antibody. After washes, samples were eluted twice at 37°C for 15 min under agitation in an elution buffer (50mM Tris-Hcl pH8, 5mM EDTA, 20mM DTT, 1% SDS) as in⁴⁸. Samples were diluted 10 times to decrease SDS and DTT concentration. 10% of the eluted chromatin was kept as primary ChIP. Secondary ChIP, re-ChIP, was performed overnight on the rest of the primary immuno-precipitated chromatin using an anti-H3K4me3 antibody (Cell signaling, Ref: #9751) or using an anti-IgG antibody (Cell signaling, Ref: #3900) as a control, to determine the background level of the re-ChIP experiment. After washes, samples were eluted twice at 65°C for 15 min under agitation in 0.1M NaHCO3 and 1% SDS as in⁴⁸. After reverse crosslinking and DNA clean-up, 3 to 15 ng of immunoprecipitated DNA were used to prepare the sequencing libraries using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit (NEB, Ref: E7645S) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) in SE100 mode.

Whole exome sequencing. Genomic DNA from samples (DMSO-D0, DMSO-D147-#3, DMSO-D171-#5, DMSO-D131-#6, 5-FU-D67-#2, 5-FU-D153-#2, 5-FU-D50-#3, 5-FU-D147-#3, 5-FU-D171-#5 and 5-

- 550 D131-#6) were extracted with NucleoSpin Tissue, Mini kit for DNA from cells and tissue (Macherey
- Nagel, Ref: 740952.50) and sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) with a 100X depth.

Online references

- 554 44. Cottu, P. et al. Acquired Resistance to Endocrine Treatments Is Associated with Tumor-Specific
- 555 Molecular Changes in Patient-Derived Luminal Breast Cancer Xenografts. Clinical Cancer Research 20,
- 556 4314–4325 (2014).

552

553

- 557 45. Marangoni, E. et al. Capecitabine Efficacy Is Correlated with TYMP and RB1 Expression in PDX
- Established from Triple-Negative Breast Cancers. Clin Cancer Res 24, 2605–2615 (2018).
- 559 46. Petit, V. et al. Optimization of tumor xenograft dissociation for the profiling of cell surface
- markers and nutrient transporters. *Lab Invest* **93**, 611–621 (2013).
- 561 47. van Galen, P. et al. A Multiplexed System for Quantitative Comparisons of Chromatin
- 562 Landscapes. *Mol. Cell* **61**, 170–180 (2016).
- 563 48. Desvoyes, B., Sequeira-Mendes, J., Vergara, Z., Madeira, S. & Gutierrez, C. Sequential ChIP
- Protocol for Profiling Bivalent Epigenetic Modifications (ReChIP). in *Plant Chromatin Dynamics* (eds.
- 565 Bemer, M. & Baroux, C.) vol. 1675 83–97 (Springer New York, 2018).
- 567 Fundings.

566

573

580

583

- This work was supported by the ATIP Avenir program, by Plan Cancer and by the SiRIC-Curie program
- 569 SiRIC Grants #INCa-DGOS-4654 and #INCa-DGOS-Inserm_12554 (to C.V.). NGS was performed by the
- 570 ICGex platform of the Institut Curie. The work was supported by an ATIP-Avenir grant from CNRS and
- 571 Bettencourt-Schueller Foundation (to L.P.), by the Labex CelTisPhyBio (ANR-11-LABX-0038 to L.P.) and
- by a starting ERC grant from the H2020 program (758170-Microbar to L.P.).

574 Author contributions.

- 575 JM, AD, CL, LB, SBT, AE and AT performed experiments. scChIP-seq experiments were conducted
- together with SF and KG. PDX experiments were performed by EM, LS and AD. MB and SB performed
- 577 sequencing. PP and CV performed omics data analysis. Lineage barcoding data were analyzed by AML,
- 578 CV and LP. Whole exome sequencing data were analyzed together with EL. CV, LP and JM conceived
- and designed experiments. CV, JM, PP and LP wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.
- 581 Competing interest declaration.
- The authors declare no financial competing interest.
- 584 Additional Information.
- 585 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to celine.vallot@curie.fr.







