1 Effect of high proportion concentrate dietary on Yak jejunal structure, physiological function
2 and protein composition during cold season

3

4 Jianlei Jia ^{a,b}, Chunnian Liang ^a, Xiaoyun Wu ^a, Lin Xiong ^a, Pengjia Bao ^a, Qian Chen ^b, Ping
5 Yan ^{a*}

6 *a Key Laboratory of Yak Breeding Engineering Gansu Province, Lanzhou, Institute of Husbandry and*
7 *Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou 730050, China;*

8 *b Key of laboratory of Plateau Ecology and Agriculture, Qinghai University, Xining 810016, China;*

9

10

11 * Corresponding author. Tel: +86-13239649588; EM: pingyanlz@163.com

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract:**

28 The current study aimed to investigate the damage of long-term high concentrate diet
 29 feeding pattern on Yak jejunal structure, physiological function and protein composition
 30 during cold season. Twelve Datong male Yak (*Bos grunniens*) with the same age from cold
 31 season were randomly selected and slaughtered to determine Yak jejunal digestive enzyme
 32 activity, morphology and protein composition on different feeding patterns in Tibetan
 33 Plateau. The results showed that Yak jejunum digestive enzyme activity and morphology of
 34 grazing reared group were better than those in the intensively reared group. A total of 96
 35 differentially expressed proteins were identified by label-free Mass Spectrometry (MS),
 36 which could be concluded to two predominant themes: protein structure and inflammatory
 37 response. Nine differentially expressed proteins were correlated in Yak jejunum damage in
 38 different feeding patterns. According to our study, feeding patterns resulted in the differences
 39 in Yak jejunum physiological function, morphology and protein composition. Our results
 40 confirmed that feeding of long-term high concentrate diet could damage the jejunum
 41 epithelial morphology and function.

42 *Keywords:* Feeding pattern (intensively and grazing), Morphology, Physiological function,
 43 Protein composition, Yak, Jejunum

44 *Abbreviations:* ME, metabolic energy; CP, crude protein; Ca, Calcium; P, Phosphorus; DM,
 45 dry matter; AMS, amylases, LPS, lipases; CLS, carboxymethyl cellulase; CLS,
 46 carboxymethyl cellulase

47 **1. Introduction**

48 Yak (*Bos grunniens*) mainly lives above an altitude of 3000 meters in the Tibetan
49 plateau and develops a dogged anti-adversity ability to exist, multiply and produce during the
50 long period of natural selection artificial domestication ^[1]. Yak production is an economic
51 pillar of the Tibet plateau area. The Tibetan plateau is known for its extremely harsh
52 conditions, characterized by high altitude, severe cold, low air oxygen, strong ultraviolet
53 radiation and short forage growing season. Herbage and nutrients are insufficient to support
54 the livestock during the cold period, especially when raised under traditional grazing
55 management ^[2].

56 The modern intensive management pattern had gradually been replaced by traditional
57 grazing patterns in the Tibet plateau area ^[3]. The dietary concentration was more than 75%
58 under modern intensive reared pattern, Yak bodyweight had a faster daily gain, and herdsman
59 had a higher economic benefit. The ruminant needs an intake of 40%-70% dietary roughage
60 to maintain normal rumen function and gastrointestinal microflora environment ^[4]. However,
61 long-term feeding of high concentrate diet can eventually have a serious impact on animal
62 health, leading to rumen microorganisms' metabolic disorders, such as accumulation of
63 volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and decrease of pH decreased. Fermentable carbohydrate enters
64 the small intestine through the rumen, causes intestinal acidosis, changes the microbial
65 community structure and destroys the integrity of intestinal epithelial morphology and
66 structure ^[5].

67 The dietary nutrients are digested in intestinal digestive enzymes and absorbed into the
68 organism through intestinal epithelium to provide energy for animals ^[6]. The jejunum is an

important organ of nutrition digestion, absorption and metabolism, and a barrier against harmful substances. It plays an important role in Yak health. Jejunal congenital barriers can be damaged by external factors, leading to enteric flora disturbance and decreased production performance. Yak can graze on the pasture without dietary supplementation all the year in traditional grazing management, and the Yak body can form a unique intestinal environment and digestive function to adapt to plateau grazing conditions. However, the modern intensive management pattern changes Yak diet habit, and high proportion concentrate diet reduces feedstuff residence time in Yak rumen and improves the amount of jejunum carbohydrate and jejunum microbial fermentation level, which has a negative effect on the monolayer structure of jejunum epithelial cells and damages the intestinal barrier^[7,8]. This may be an important physiological mechanism that a high concentrate diet destroys Yak jejunum structure and barrier. Here, we explore jejunal barrier dysfunction via long-term high concentrate dietary during cold season. Twelve Datong male Yak with different feeding patterns (intensively and grazing) during cold season were randomly selected and slaughtered, then determine Yak jejunal digestive enzyme activity with ELISA method and jejunal morphology with frozen section method. Using label-free method to identify proteins that specifically expressed with different feeding pattern, and we analyzed the signal pathway of target proteins. Collectively, our results shed new light on the damage of long-term high concentrate diet feeding on Yak jejunum. Meanwhile, this study laid a technical foundation in Yak intensively reared pattern.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Ethics Statement

All procedures involving the use of animals were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Lanzhou Institute of Animal Science & Veterinary Pharmaceutics, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China (QHDX-18-04-07-01). Animal slaughtering was approved by the National Administration of Cattle Slaughtering and Quarantine regulations (Qinghai, China).

2.2 Study Site

The study was performed at Haibei Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture, Qinghai Province, China, situated at northeastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. This area is over 3000 m above sea level and has a dry cold climate.

2.3 Animals and Diets

Twelve Datong male Yaks (4 ± 0.5 years old) were selected under grazing conditions on natural grasslands in Haibei Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture. All the Yaks were distributed into two groups. The Yaks of the experimental group were feed in a roofed shed via an intensively reared pattern, and the Yaks of the control group were feed in grazing pasture during the experimental period. The experiment lasted for 180 days from 16th November 2018 to 4th May 2019, and the first 10 d was an adjustment period of experimental group Yak. The following 170 d was for the data collection period.

Based on the determination of the feed intake of the grazing Datong Yak, the concentrated feed was prepared based on the recommendations for the Chinese Beef Cattle Raising Standard (NY/T 815-2004). The ingredients composition and nutritional level of Yaks of the experimental group are presented in Table 1. The roughage was a mixed ration of maize silage and oat hay in 1:1. The daily dietary of each Yak contained 4 kg concentrate and

2 kg roughage (DM) during the experimental period. The mixed diet nutrient composition was determined in the Qinghai University laboratory by 'Feed Analysis and Quality Test Technology' ^[9].

2.4 Measurement of Samples

Datong male Yaks were stunned by the captive bolt pistol, and the blood was drained at the end of the experiment. The jejunum was immediately isolated after slaughtering, and jejunal contents (10 g) were aliquoted into 2 mL sterile tubes and then conserved at -80°C for digestive enzyme activity analysis. The activity of amylases (AMS), trypsinases (TRS), lipases (LPS) and carboxymethyl cellulose (CLS) were determined According to Fan's method ^[10] using commercially available TaKaRa kits.

The separated jejunum tissue was divided into two parts. One part of jejunum tissue was fixed in 4% buffered formaldehyde for 72 hours. The samples were dehydrated under different concentrations of glucose and embedded in a frozen embedding medium. Tissue sections (5-7 µm) were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE), displaying villous height, villous width, crypt depth, mucosa thickness and muscular thickness, respectively. Images were acquired using the DP70 software (Olympus, Nagano, Japan) per transverse section of jejunum tissue. The slides were observed using BX51 microscopy (Olympus, Nagano, Japan). Measurements were made using Image Proplus5.1 software. Ten well-oriented and intact crypt-villus units of each slide were measured in triplicate ^[11].

Another part was aliquoted into a 1.5 mL centrifugal tube when the jejunum surface contaminants were removed by washing with phosphate-buffered saline solution and conserved at -80 °C ultracold storage freezer for proteomics and RT-PCR analysis.

Proteomics analysis was conducted by Novogene (China, Beijing). There were 3 replicates in each group. Jejunum proteins were extracted by lysis buffer method ^[12]. Digestion of the protein (250 µg for each sample) was performed according to the FASP procedure. Label-free Mass Spectrometry (MS) experiments were performed on a Q Exactive mass spectrometer that was coupled to an Easy nLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). The MS data were analyzed using MaxQuant software and compared with the UniProt Bos taurus database. Relative quantitative real-time PCR (RT-PCR) was performed to determine the copy number of target genes. The primers were synthesized at Shanghai Biological Engineering Ltd., China. The RT-PCR reaction was carried out in a Light Cycler 480 fluorescence quantitative meter (Fritz Hoffmann-La Roche Co. Ltd., Basel, Switzerland).

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Bioinformatics was analyzed via R language toolkit by Novogene (China, Beijing). Functional annotation and classification of all identified proteins were determined using the Blast2GO and InterProScan program against the uniprot database. Pathway analyses were extracted using the search pathway tool of the KEGG mapper platform (<http://www.genome.jp/kegg/mapper.html>) and BLAST program. Pathway enrichment statistics were conducted by the Fisher's exact test, and the pathways with a corrected P < 0.05 were defined as the most significant pathways. The STRING program (<http://string-db.org/>) for the retrieval of interacting genes/proteins database for the prediction of the physical and functional interactions was used to analyze the PPIs. The graphical visualization and analysis of the interaction network were performed in Cytoscape software.

The data were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). Duncan's post hoc test was used to determine any significant differences between each group. Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$ and extremely significant at $P < 0.1$.

3. Results

3.1 Digestive enzyme activity analysis of jejunum

The activity of AMS, LPS, TRS and CLS in different feeding patterns are presented in Table 2. The data indicated that the AMS and CLS activities of the grazing reared group was higher than that in the intensively reared group, but there was no significant difference between the two groups ($P > 0.05$); The LPS activity of the intensively reared group was significantly greater than that in grazing reared group ($P < 0.05$). The TRS activity of the intensively reared group was significantly lower than that in the grazing reared group ($P < 0.05$). The Yak jejunum digestive enzyme activity of the grazing reared pattern was higher than the intensively reared pattern.

3.2 Histological analysis of jejunum morphology

The villous height, villous width, crypt depth, mucosa thickness and muscular thickness in grazing reared and intensively reared groups are summarized in Table 3. The villous height, villous width, mucosa thickness and muscular thickness of jejunum were significantly greater in the grazing reared group than those in the intensively reared group ($P < 0.05$). However, the control group's crypt depth was lower than that in the intensively reared group, but no significant difference was observed between those two groups ($P > 0.05$).

3.3 Changes in proteome profiles during different feeding pattern

We successfully identified 1208 proteins with Bos taurus database matching of Mascot and label-free method. Then we applied a manual thresholding approach and a probabilistic prediction algorithm, yielding 1066 high-confidence candidates. Of these 1066 proteins, 96 differentially expressed proteins were identified between the intensively reared group and grazing reared group using $P < 0.05$ or a quantitative ratio of > 1.2 or < 0.83 (Table S1). There were 17 up-regulated and 79 down-regulated differentially expressed proteins in the grazing reared group compared to that in the intensively reared group (Fig. 1).

3.3 Bioinformatics analyses

To explore biological functions associated with differentially expressed proteins of Yak jejunum during different feeding patterns, enrichment analysis in the Gene Ontology (GO) containing cellular components (CC), molecular function (MF) and biological process (BP) was performed (Fig. 2). The major enriched terms of differentially expressed proteins were membrane (GO:0016020), cytoplasm (GO:0005737) and mitochondrion (GO: 0005739; GO:0005740) for cellular components. Other enriched terms were molecular function in oxidoreductase activity (GO:0016491), cell-cell adherens junction (GO:0005525), adenylate kinase activity (GO:0004017), protein binding (GO:0019904) and structural molecule activity (GO:0003954). Apoptosis (GO: 0048468), inflammatory response (GO:0016020), cellular response to fatty acid (GO:0008152), actin cytoskeleton reorganization (GO:0055114) and adenylate cyclase-inhibiting G protein-coupled receptor (GO:0046034), which were enriched in biological processes.

The major pathways associated with differentially expressed proteins of Yak jejunum during grazing reared group and intensively reared group were identified using KEGG

pathway analysis via KAAS software. The KEGG results showed that out of a total of 71 pathways, 49 were significantly enriched (p-value lower than 0.05). The top 6 of 20 significantly enriched pathways, ranked by significance and percent overlap are tight junction signaling pathway (ko04530), NF-kappa B signaling pathway (ko04064), MAPK signaling pathway (ko04010), cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction (ko04060), calcium signaling pathway (ko04020) and signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells (ko04550, Fig. 3). The results of the KEGG pathway analysis matched the results of the GO analysis.

To gain information on interaction network, possible interactions among the 96 proteins were analyzed online using the Uniport database. As expected, the 96 target proteins constituted a complex and strong PPI network, and those results of this analysis identified two predominant themes: protein structure and inflammatory response. To provide further insights into the biological processes identified by this approach, we took Fishers' test (Significance A/B Test) for target terms, those terms with the highest number of proteins from our results show the proteins that result in the identification of an enriched biological process. For example, the identification of the "Tight junction" (Occludin, Claudin, Zo-1, ATP1B3 and HKDC1) and "Inflammatory reaction" (GPR41, IL-6, TNF- α and CCL5) terms results in our list of differentially abundant proteins (Fig. 4).

3.5 Validation of differentially expressed proteins coding genes by RT-PCR

As shown in Fig. 5, the gene expressions of OCLN, CLDN1, TJP1 and HKDC1 were higher in the grazing reared group than those in the intensively reared group ($P < 0.05$) via RT-PCR, and the ATP1B3 expression had no significant difference between the two groups. However, the gene expressions of IL6, GPR41, CCL5 and TNF were higher in the intensively

reared group than those in the grazing reared group ($P<0.05$). In summary, the results of selected differentially expressed proteins coding genes by RT-PCR were the same expression tendency of the label-free analysis. The RT-PCR assay illustrated that the label-free results were reliable for further analyses.

4. Discussion

Jejunum is the main organ for dietary nutrition digestion, absorption and metabolism, and plays an important role in Yak health. The dietary concentrate is more than 75% under modern intensive management pattern to enhance daily gain and performance. However, long-term high concentrate diet feeding has a serious impact on animal health, such as jejunal acidosis^[4]. The level of dietary nutrition digestion, absorption and metabolism can be reflected through digestive enzyme activity. Starch is the main energy source for ruminants. AMS can hydrolyze starch to glucose, maltose and oligosaccharides, which acts on the 4-c-D-glycosidic bonds of the starch molecule^[13]. Fat is the necessary material for energy storage and nutrition in the animal body, and the animal essential fatty acids are mainly provided by dietary fat. LPS can digest dietary fat to absorbable nutrients, such as free fatty acid, glycerin and monoglyceride. TRS can hydrolyze dietary proteins to small molecular amino acids, and those amino acids are involved in the pathway of intracellular signaling transmission and apoptosis. It plays a vital role in animal immune response^[14]. The ruminant cellulose-degrading is mainly dependent on the cellulase secreted by microorganisms, and CLS activity is an important ability to degrade cellulose^[15]. In our study, Yak jejunum digestive enzyme activity can be in a weakened state with long-term high concentrate diet

feeding. We observed that the digestion and absorption of grazing reared patterns were better than the intensively reared group.

The morphophysiological variations in the jejunal epithelium of ruminants identified the ability of dietary nutrients digestion and absorption ^[11]. Changes in the structure of villous absorptive surface (height, width and crypt) in jejunal epithelial were closely related to the extent of enterocyte development, which were direct representations of the quality of the intestinal environment as the indicator of intestinal health ^[16]. The present study showed that the ability of dietary nutrients digestion and absorption increased with the increase of villous absorptive surface, and submucosa and muscular thickness of jejunum were decreased with long-term high concentrate diet, decreasing the absorption of nutrients in Yak jejunum. When the high concentrate diet was fed for a long-term, many nutrients were degraded into short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) by microorganisms in the rumen, leading to an increase in the jejunum VFAs and a decrease in the jejunum pH value. VFAs had a strong lipid solubility when the pH value dropped below the critical value. VFAs can infiltrate into jejunum mucosa cells to acidify cells, damaging the intestinal barrier and causes an inflammatory response ^[17,18]. We found that the significantly decreased resulted in the intensively reared group of Yak jejunum, such as villous height, villous width, mucosa thickness and muscular thickness. These results agreed with previous studies in ruminants.

SCFAs in rumen permeate into Yak jejunum, destroy the structural integrity of morphophysiological, and damage epithelial barrier function ^[19]. Jejunal mechanical barrier plays a protective role in jejunum via the normal morphological structure of jejunal mucosa ^[20-22]. Tight junction proteins are the important parts of the jejunum mechanical barrier

263 composed of the transporter protein family, including occludin and claudin, which are mainly
264 involved in enterocytes proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis and cell bypass permeability
265 regulation. The damaged mechanical barrier induced jejunal dysfunction causes pathogens to
266 infect enterocytes, which results in tight junction structural damage, permeability increasing
267 and inflammatory response ^[19]. According to recent reports and our study, target proteins can
268 be concluded to two predominant themes: protein structure (tight junction signaling pathway,
269 calcium signaling pathway and signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells) and
270 inflammatory response (MAPK signaling pathway, chemokine signaling pathway and
271 cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction). GPR 41, a key activator of the jejunal mechanical
272 barrier, participates in regulating body inflammatory response and affects the tight junction
273 signaling pathway ^[23]. The large concentrate intake can start enterocytes gluconeogenesis
274 process, activates the tight junction signaling pathway and regulates downstream related cell
275 apoptosis pathways, decreasing the tight junction proteins expressions, such as occludin,
276 claudin and Zo-1. Meanwhile, the proliferation of regulatory T cells promotes the
277 inflammatory response pathway (MAPK) related gene expression to secrete many
278 anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF- α and CCL5) ^[24-26]. GPR41 was detected in the
279 current study. Long-term high concentrate diet feeding changes the normal jejunal metabolic
280 pathways. Several identified candidate proteins were identified by label-free MS, followed by
281 qPCR, including occludin, claudin, Zo-1, ATP1B3, HKDC1, IL-6, TNF- α and CCL5.
282 Compared with the grazing reared group, the expressing quantity of occludin, claudin, Zo-1,
283 ATP1B3 and HKDC1 in Yak jejunum presented significantly down-regulated in the
284 intensively reared group. However, IL-6, TNF- α and CCL5 showed a contrary tendency,

indicating that many SCFAs in the jejunum of the high-concentrate diet could damage the mechanical barrier and reduce the differentially expressed proteins. At the same time, GPR41 was activated to up-regulate the expression and promoted the expression of the proinflammatory factors. In summary, the results provide evidence of the validity of the damage of the high concentrate diet on Yak jejunum.

5. Conclusions

We found that feeding pattern results in Yak different jejunum physiological function, morphology and protein composition. Long-term high concentrate diet feeding can damage the jejunum epithelial morphology and structure. Therefore, we suggest that the high concentrate diet is suitable for the short-term fattening pattern of reserved Yak.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all the participants who took part in this study.

This research was funded by the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program (CAAS-ASTIP-2014-LIHPS-01), the National Beef Cattle Industry Technology & System (CARS-38), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31972561).

References

1. Jia Jianlei, Qian Chen, Jing Zhao, et al (2018). Differential Expression of Proteins in Datong Yak and Chaidamu Yellow Cattle Longissimus lumborum Muscles and Relation to Meat Water Holding Capacity[J]. Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg. 24(5): 691-700.
2. Duane E. Ullrey, Charlotte Kirk Baer and Wilson G (2011). Pond. Encyclopedia of Animal science[M]. Taylor & Francis Group, LLC. P1123-1124.

- 306 3. Long RJ, Ding ML, Guo XH, et al (2008). The yak grazing system on the Qinghai-Tibetan
307 plateau and its status[J]. Journal of the Australian Rangeland Society. 30(2): 241-246.
- 308 4. Huang Xiaodan, Huiyin Tan, André-Denis G Wright, et al (2012). Comparison of
309 methanogen diversity of yak (*Bos grunniens*) and cattle (*Bos taurus*) from the
310 Qinghai-Tibetan plateau, China[J]. BMC Microbiology. 237(12):1-10.
- 311 5. Wang Jinbao, Rui Zhang, Lu Zhang, et al (2015). Histomorphometric Adaptation of Yak
312 (*Bos grunniens*) Abomasum to the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau Environment[J]. Int. J.
313 Morphol. 33(2):764-776.
- 314 6. Cao DX, Wang SL, Hao LZ, et al (2019). Changes of β -carotene and retinol levels and
315 BCO1 gene and protein expressions in yak tissues at different nutritional seasons[J].
316 Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences. 28:120-127.
- 317 7. Zhang Lihong, Xiong Jiang, Jiakui Li, et al (2020). Characterization of the microbial
318 community structure in intestinal segments of yak (*Bos grunniens*) [J]. Anaerobe. 61:
319 102-115.
- 320 8. Moharrery A, Brask M, Weisbjerg MR (2014). The effect of different physical forms of
321 rapeseed as a fat supplement on the activity of some enzymes in the duodenal chyme of
322 dairy cows [J]. Journal AnimalFeed Science. 23(4): 293-298.
- 323 9. Yang S (1993). Feed Analysis and Quality Test Technology [M]. Beijing Agriculture
324 Press: Beijing.
- 325 10. Fan Xiaohong, Lizhuang Hao, Shujie Liu, et al (2017). Effect of different additions of
326 canola rapeseed on the activity of digestive enzymes in jejunum and chyme[J]. Chinese
327 Feed. 18(4):15-23.

- 328 11. Ding Baoan, Shuangquan Ma, Stephen R. Madigosky, et al (2018). Seasonal changes of
329 rumen and intestine morphology of the Qinghai yak (*Bos grunniens*)[J]. Veterinary World.
330 11(8): 1135-1138.
- 331 12. Jia Jianlei, Qiang Ding, Liping Zhang, et al (2014). Establishment of the optimum
332 two-dimensional electrophoresis system of ovine ovarian tissue[J]. Genet. Mol. Res. 13(3):
333 6528-6538.
- 334 13. Li Aoyun, Yaping Wang, Jiakui Li, et al (2019). Probiotics isolated from yaks improves
335 the growth performance, antioxidant activity, and cytokines related to immunity and
336 inflammation in mice[J]. Microb Cell Fact. 18:112-123.
- 337 14. Ding Luming, Ruijun Long, Zhanhuan Shang, et al (2008). Feeding behaviour of yaks on
338 spring, transitional, summer and winter pasture in the alpine region of the Qinghai-Tibetan
339 plateau[J]. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 111(3-4):373-390.
- 340 15. Liu Yang, Caiyu Yan, Fujiang Hou, et al (2017). Key sources and seasonal dynamics of
341 greenhouse gas fluxes from yak grazing systems on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau[J].
342 Scientific Reports. 7:1-11.
- 343 16. Guo Wei, Weiwei Wang, Ying Zhang, et al (2020). Characterization of Anaerobic Rumen
344 Fungal Community Composition in Yak, Tibetan Sheep and Small Tail Han Sheep Grazing
345 on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau[J]. Animals. 10(1):144-156.
- 346 17. Xue Dan, Huai Chen, Fang Chen, et al (2016). Analysis of the rumen bacteria and
347 methanogenic archaea of yak (*Bos grunniens*) steers grazing on the Qinghai-Tibetan
348 Plateau[J]. Livestock Science. 188:61-71.

- 349 18. Peng YS, M.A.Brown, Wu JP (2008). Fatty Acid Profile in Milk Fat from Qinghai
350 Plateau Yak at Different Altitudes and Parities[J]. The Professional Animal Scientist. 24(5):
351 479-487.
- 352 19. Peng Along, Yaotian Fan, Hongrong Wang, et al (2020). Effects of Thiamine on
353 Maintaining Rumen Epithelial Barrier Function in Ruminants[J]. Chinese Journal of
354 Animal Nutrition. 2020, 32(6):1-6.
- 355 20. Xue Chunxu (2017). The impact of high concentrate diet on small intestinal fermentation,
356 microbial community and epithelial morphology of goats[D]. Nanjing Agricultural
357 University.
- 358 21. Ma Li, Shixiao Xu, Hongjin Liu, et al (2019). Yak rumen microbial diversity at different
359 forage growth stages of an alpine meadow on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau[J]. PeerJ. 7:1-27.
- 360 22. Li Yang (2019). Effects of subacute rumen acidosis on the absorption of volatile fatty
361 acids in rumen epithelium of dairy goats and its mechanism research[D]. INNER
362 MONGOLIA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY.
- 363 23. Zhang HM, Wang Y, Chang GJ, et al (2018). Effect of high-concentrate diet on the
364 inflammatory response mediated by short-chain fatty acids-GPR41/43 in cecum of lactating
365 goats[J]. Animal Husbandry&Veterinary Medicine. 50(2):61-66.
- 366 24. Mamiko Kobayashi, Daisuke Mikami, Junsuke Uwada, et al (2018). A short-chain fatty
367 acid, propionate, enhances the cytotoxic effect of cisplatin by modulating GPR41 signaling
368 pathways in HepG2 cells[J]. Oncotarget. 9(59): 31342-31354.
- 369 25. Mamiko Kobayashi, DaisukeMikami, HidekiKimura, et al (2017). Short-chain fatty acids,
370 GPR41 and GPR43 ligands, inhibit TNF- α -induced MCP-1 expression by modulating p38

and JNK signaling pathways in human renal cortical epithelial cells[J]. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. 486(2):499-505.

26. Li Dinghua, Liu Chiman, Luo Ruibang, et al (2015). MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph[J]. Bioinformatics. 31(10):1674-1676.

376

Table 1

Ingredients and proximate nutrition content of concentrate

Composition	Content (%)	Nutritional composition	Content
Maize	67.0	ME ^b	3.01 Mcal/kg
Soybean meal	5.0	CP	14.88 %DM
Cottonseed meal	10.0	Ca	0.66 %DM
Wheat bran	14.0	P	0.43 %DM
Salt	0.5		
Sodium Bicarbonate	1.5		
Stone Powder	1.5		
Premix Feed ^a	0.5		

^a The premix provided the following per kg of diets : VA 12000IU, VD 20 00IU, VE 30IU, Cu 12mg, Fe

64mg, Mn 56mg, Zn 60mg, I 1.2mg, Se 0.4mg, Co 0.4mg;

^b ME was calculation value (NRC,2007), others were measured value.

382 Table 2

383 The alkaline phosphatase activity of yak jejunum in different feeding pattern

	AMS	LPS	CLS	TRS
grazing group	0.176±0.043	0.218±0.072 ^a	4.102±0.835	70.642±7.206 ^a
Intensively group	0.121±0.071	0.542±0.053 ^b	2.145±0.332	36.109±3.058 ^b

384 Note. Different letters represent significant differences ($P < 0.05$), and the following is the same.

Table 3
The villous height, villous width, crypt depth, mucosa thickness and muscular thickness of yak jejunum in different feeding pattern

	villous height	villous width	crypt depth	mucosa thickness	muscular thickness
grazing group	563.33±11.48 ^a	149.29±2.67 ^a	178.84±6.59	808.38±15.52 ^a	528.91±20.93 ^a
intensively group	408.31±10.46 ^b	82.88±5.45 ^b	194.61±8.37	939.18±14.14 ^b	751.51±15.14 ^b

Note. Different letters represent significant differences (P<0.05), and the following is the same.

Fig 1. Differentially expressed proteins, including 3 replicates with yak jejunum during grazing reared group (A) and intensively reared group (B). The image presents the relative abundance of proteins using different colors, where deeper red represents higher intensity and blue represents lower intensity

Fig.2 Enrichment GO terms Analysis

474 Fig.3 Enrichment KEGG pathways Analysis (Fisher's Exact Test, P value < 0.01)

Fig.4 Protein-protein interaction networks of the differential abundance proteins of different feeding groups in Yak jejunum based on Cytoscape software. The nodes were proteins from Bos taurus database and the lines were the predicted functional annotations

Fig.5 Effects of different feeding pattern on the differentially expressed proteins coding genes in yak jejunum during intensively reared group (A) and grazing reared group (B).

The number of Proteins

[illegible]

The diagram illustrates a complex network of gene-gene interactions. Nodes are represented by green and yellow circles, and edges are black lines. The network is highly interconnected, with many nodes having multiple connections. The diagram is enclosed in a red dashed border.

Key nodes and interactions include:

- Top Left:** A dense cluster of green nodes including *REB1*, *ARID1A*, *DOXA2*, *KAT5*, *ORH1*, *TRAF3*, *ATM*, *ATAD2*, *ATAD2L*, *ATAD2B*, *ATAD2C*, *ATAD2D*, *ATAD2E*, *ATAD2F*, *ATAD2G*, *ATAD2H*, *ATAD2I*, *ATAD2J*, *ATAD2K*, *ATAD2L*, *ATAD2M*, *ATAD2N*, *ATAD2O*, *ATAD2P*, *ATAD2Q*, *ATAD2R*, *ATAD2S*, *ATAD2T*, *ATAD2U*, *ATAD2V*, *ATAD2W*, *ATAD2X*, *ATAD2Y*, *ATAD2Z*.
- Top Right:** A cluster of yellow nodes including *ACC2*, *NDUFV2*, *NDUFV1*, *NDUFV3*, *NDUFV4*, *NDUFV5*, *NDUFV6*, *NDUFV7*, *NDUFV8*, *NDUFV9*, *NDUFV10*, *NDUFV11*, *NDUFV12*, *NDUFV13*, *NDUFV14*, *NDUFV15*, *NDUFV16*, *NDUFV17*, *NDUFV18*, *NDUFV19*, *NDUFV20*, *NDUFV21*, *NDUFV22*, *NDUFV23*, *NDUFV24*, *NDUFV25*, *NDUFV26*, *NDUFV27*, *NDUFV28*, *NDUFV29*, *NDUFV30*, *NDUFV31*, *NDUFV32*, *NDUFV33*, *NDUFV34*, *NDUFV35*, *NDUFV36*, *NDUFV37*, *NDUFV38*, *NDUFV39*, *NDUFV40*, *NDUFV41*, *NDUFV42*, *NDUFV43*, *NDUFV44*, *NDUFV45*, *NDUFV46*, *NDUFV47*, *NDUFV48*, *NDUFV49*, *NDUFV50*, *NDUFV51*, *NDUFV52*, *NDUFV53*, *NDUFV54*, *NDUFV55*, *NDUFV56*, *NDUFV57*, *NDUFV58*, *NDUFV59*, *NDUFV60*, *NDUFV61*, *NDUFV62*, *NDUFV63*, *NDUFV64*, *NDUFV65*, *NDUFV66*, *NDUFV67*, *NDUFV68*, *NDUFV69*, *NDUFV70*, *NDUFV71*, *NDUFV72*, *NDUFV73*, *NDUFV74*, *NDUFV75*, *NDUFV76*, *NDUFV77*, *NDUFV78*, *NDUFV79*, *NDUFV80*, *NDUFV81*, *NDUFV82*, *NDUFV83*, *NDUFV84*, *NDUFV85*, *NDUFV86*, *NDUFV87*, *NDUFV88*, *NDUFV89*, *NDUFV90*, *NDUFV91*, *NDUFV92*, *NDUFV93*, *NDUFV94*, *NDUFV95*, *NDUFV96*, *NDUFV97*, *NDUFV98*, *NDUFV99*, *NDUFV100*.
- Bottom Left:** A cluster of green nodes including *SMN1*, *NFKB1*, *NFKB2*, *NFKB3*, *NFKB4*, *NFKB5*, *NFKB6*, *NFKB7*, *NFKB8*, *NFKB9*, *NFKB10*, *NFKB11*, *NFKB12*, *NFKB13*, *NFKB14*, *NFKB15*, *NFKB16*, *NFKB17*, *NFKB18*, *NFKB19*, *NFKB20*, *NFKB21*, *NFKB22*, *NFKB23*, *NFKB24*, *NFKB25*, *NFKB26*, *NFKB27*, *NFKB28*, *NFKB29*, *NFKB30*, *NFKB31*, *NFKB32*, *NFKB33*, *NFKB34*, *NFKB35*, *NFKB36*, *NFKB37*, *NFKB38*, *NFKB39*, *NFKB40*, *NFKB41*, *NFKB42*, *NFKB43*, *NFKB44*, *NFKB45*, *NFKB46*, *NFKB47*, *NFKB48*, *NFKB49*, *NFKB50*, *NFKB51*, *NFKB52*, *NFKB53*, *NFKB54*, *NFKB55*, *NFKB56*, *NFKB57*, *NFKB58*, *NFKB59*, *NFKB60*, *NFKB61*, *NFKB62*, *NFKB63*, *NFKB64*, *NFKB65*, *NFKB66*, *NFKB67*, *NFKB68*, *NFKB69*, *NFKB70*, *NFKB71*, *NFKB72*, *NFKB73*, *NFKB74*, *NFKB75*, *NFKB76*, *NFKB77*, *NFKB78*, *NFKB79*, *NFKB80*, *NFKB81*, *NFKB82*, *NFKB83*, *NFKB84*, *NFKB85*, *NFKB86*, *NFKB87*, *NFKB88*, *NFKB89*, *NFKB90*, *NFKB91*, *NFKB92*, *NFKB93*, *NFKB94*, *NFKB95*, *NFKB96*, *NFKB97*, *NFKB98*, *NFKB99*, *NFKB100*.
- Bottom Right:** A cluster of yellow nodes including *MYL4*, *TERF2IP*, *AR1*, *MDH1*, *TPP1*, *TPP2*, *TPP3*, *TPP4*, *TPP5*, *TPP6*, *TPP7*, *TPP8*, *TPP9*, *TPP10*, *TPP11*, *TPP12*, *TPP13*, *TPP14*, *TPP15*, *TPP16*, *TPP17*, *TPP18*, *TPP19*, *TPP20*, *TPP21*, *TPP22*, *TPP23*, *TPP24*, *TPP25*, *TPP26*, *TPP27*, *TPP28*, *TPP29*, *TPP30*, *TPP31*, *TPP32*, *TPP33*, *TPP34*, *TPP35*, *TPP36*, *TPP37*, *TPP38*, *TPP39*, *TPP40*, *TPP41*, *TPP42*, *TPP43*, *TPP44*, *TPP45*, *TPP46*, *TPP47*, *TPP48*, *TPP49*, *TPP50*, *TPP51*, *TPP52*, *TPP53*, *TPP54*, *TPP55*, *TPP56*, *TPP57*, *TPP58*, *TPP59*, *TPP60*, *TPP61*, *TPP62*, *TPP63*, *TPP64*, *TPP65*, *TPP66*, *TPP67*, *TPP68*, *TPP69*, *TPP70*, *TPP71*, *TPP72*, *TPP73*, *TPP74*, *TPP75*, *TPP76*, *TPP77*, *TPP78*, *TPP79*, *TPP80*, *TPP81*, *TPP82*, *TPP83*, *TPP84*, *TPP85*, *TPP86*, *TPP87*, *TPP88*, *TPP89*, *TPP90*, *TPP91*, *TPP92*, *TPP93*, *TPP94*, *TPP95*, *TPP96*, *TPP97*, *TPP98*, *TPP99*, *TPP100*.

■ A
■ B

■ A
■ B