The evolutionary assembly of forest communities along environmental gradients: recent diversification or sorting of pre-adapted clades?

Alexander G. Linan^{1*†}, Jonathan A. Myers², Christine E. Edwards¹, Amy E. Zanne³, Stephen A. Smith⁴, Gabriel Arellano⁴, Leslie Cayola^{1,5}, William Farfan-Ríos^{1,2}, Alfredo F. Fuentes^{1,5}, Karina Garcia-Cabrera⁶, Sebastián Gonzales-Caro⁷, M. Isabel Loza^{1,5,8}, Manuel J. Macía^{9,10}, Yadvinder Malhi¹¹, Beatriz Nieto-Ariza¹², Norma Salinas Revilla¹³, Miles Silman¹⁴, and J. Sebastián Tello^{1†}

1. Center for Conservation and Sustainable Development, Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, Missouri, USA
2. Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri, USA
3. Department of Biological Sciences, The George Washington University, Washington DC, USA
4. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA
5. Herbario Nacional de Bolivia, Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, La Paz, Bolivia
6. Escuela Profesional de Biología, Universidad Nacional de San Antonio Abad del Cusco, Cusco, Peru
7. Departamento de Ciencias Forestales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia Sede Medellín, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín, Colombia
8. Department of Biology, University of Missouri-St Louis, St. Louis, Missouri, USA
9. Departamento de Biología, Área de Botánica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
10. Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Cambio Global (CIBC-UAM), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.
11. Environmental Change Institute, School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, Oxford, England, United Kingdom
12. Hospital Central de Ivirgarzama, Puerto Villarroel, Bolivia
13. Institute for Nature Earth and Energy, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru
14. Center for Energy, Environment and Sustainability, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA

† These authors contributed equally to this study

*corresponding/contact author; E-mail: alanan@mobot.org; phone: 1(314)-577-9473 ext.77264

Total word count: 5763

Introduction: 1331

Methods: 1920

Results: 516

Discussion: 1996

Figures: 6 (5 figures [all colored] and 1 glossary box [not colored])

Tables: 1

Summary

- Biogeographic events occurring in the deep past can contribute to the structure of modern ecological communities. However, little is known about how the emergence of environmental gradients shape the evolution of species that underlie community assembly. In this study, we address how the creation of novel environments lead to community assembly via two non-mutually exclusive processes: 1) the immigration and ecological sorting of pre-adapted clades (ISPC), and 2) recent adaptive diversification (RAD). We study these processes in the context of the elevational gradient created by the uplift of the Central Andes.
- We develop a novel approach and method based on the decomposition of species turnover into within- and among-clade components, where clades correspond to lineages that originated before mountain uplift. Effects of ISPC and RAD can be inferred from how components of turnover change with elevation. We test our approach using data from over 500 Andean forest plots.
- We found that species turnover between communities at different elevations is dominated by the replacement of clades that originated before the uplift of the Central Andes.
- Our results suggest that immigration and sorting of clades pre-adapted to montane habitats is the primary mechanism shaping communities across elevations.

Keywords: Andes; community assembly; phylogenetics; turnover; neotropics; elevational gradient; adaptive diversification; dispersal

Introduction

Large-scale biogeographic events—such as the emergence of novel environmental conditions, biotic interchanges, or the evolution of key innovations—can have lasting consequences for biodiversity, community assembly, and species distributions (Ricklefs, 2006; Fussmann *et al.*, 2007; Pelletier *et al.*, 2009; Uribe-Convers & Tank, 2015; Claramunt & Cracraft, 2015). Although theory and empirical evidence suggest that processes occurring in the deep past can contribute to the modern structure of local ecological communities, most research in community ecology during the last few decades has been dominated by a focus on mechanisms at small spatial and temporal scales (Ricklefs, 1987). Studies largely overlook the broader biogeographic context in which communities of co-occurring species are embedded (Chesson, 2000; Adler *et al.*, 2007). Only recently have ecologists begun bridging this gap by developing ecological theory and empirical tests that truly integrate community assembly across eco-evolutionary scales (Emerson & Gillespie, 2008; McGill *et al.*, 2019; Bañares-de-Dios *et al.*, 2020; Segovia *et al.*, 2020). The extent to which community assembly is contingent upon regional context and biogeographic history has broad implications for ecological and evolutionary theory and for understanding how and why communities respond to environmental change (Chase, 2003; Fukami, 2015; Vellend, 2016; McPeck, 2017).

Recent studies provide important insights into how ongoing ecological processes change along environmental gradients (Bricca *et al.*, 2019; Bañares-de-Dios *et al.*, 2020; Neves *et al.*, 2020). However, much less is known about how the emergence of the gradients themselves shape the evolution of species and phenotypes that underlie community assembly. Two non-mutually exclusive processes may explain how communities assemble along gradients following the emergence of novel environmental conditions (Fig. 1). First, the emergence of new environments—e.g., due to climate change, island formation, or mountain orogeny—may create opportunities for immigration and ecological sorting of pre-adapted clades (ISPC hypothesis; Box 1; Fig. 1a; Donoghue 2008).

BOX 1 - Glossary

Pre-Andean clade: A clade that diverged from others before the uplift of the Central Andes. Fig. 1 shows predicted elevational distributions of three pre-Andean clades (colors) based on our hypotheses.

Pre-adapted clade: A pre-Andean clade that had, before its immigration to the Central Andes, already evolved adaptations to the novel environmental conditions created by mountain uplift.

Turnover: Observed variation in species or functional-trait composition among forest plots or biogeographic regions. For example, in two species assemblages [A, B] & [A, C], turnover is generated by the replacement of species B in the first assemblage with species C in the second.

Within-clade turnover: Proportion of total turnover that corresponds to shifts in species composition within a pre-Andean clade. For example, in two assemblages [A, B] & [A, C], within-clade turnover would be high if species B and C belong to the same pre-Andean clade.

Among-clade turnover: Proportion of total turnover that corresponds to shifts in species composition among multiple pre-Andean clades. For example, in two assemblages [A, B] & [A, C], among-clade turnover would be high if species B and C belong to different pre-Andean clades.

88

89 According to this hypothesis, when environmental
 90 conditions change within a region and new gradients are
 91 created, community assembly across these new habitats is dominated by the immigration of
 92 species that are pre-adapted because they occupy similar habitats in a different region. This
 93 means that the combination of traits needed to colonize new habitats evolved before the origin of
 94 the environmental gradient. Diversification following colonization would not involve adaption to
 95 novel environments (i.e. niche conservatism) owing, for example, to competition with species
 96 pre-adapted to other environments (Tanentzap *et al.*, 2015; Fukami, 2015). Thus, even though
 97 diversification might occur after the origin of the gradient, new species would be restricted
 98 mainly to environments to which their ancestors were already pre-adapted. In this way,
 99 community assembly across environmental gradients would result in the ecological sorting of
 100 species within clades that predate the new environments in the region. This scenario of
 101 community assembly is consistent with the idea that “it is easier to move than to evolve”
 102 (Donoghue, 2008).

103 Second, the emergence of new environments may create opportunities for recent adaptive
 104 diversification across environments (the RAD hypothesis; Box 1; Fig. 1a). According to this
 105 hypothesis, when new environmental gradients are created, community assembly across habitats
 106 is dominated by adaptation in response to the emerging environmental conditions, resulting in
 107 the diversification of clades across the environmental gradient (Stroud & Losos, 2016). Thus, the
 108 traits needed to colonize new habitats evolve after the origin of the environmental gradient. In

this scenario, niche conservatism is minimal or non-existent, and community assembly results from the diversification of one or more clades that were originally adapted to a subset of environmental conditions, but that diversify to occupy emerging novel environmental space. This scenario for community assembly following the emergence of environmental gradients is consistent with the classic ideas of biome shifts and adaptive radiation driven by ecological opportunity (Schluter, 2000; Losos, 2010; Donoghue & Edwards, 2014).

Here we present and test a novel community-phylogenetic framework and method to disentangle the relative importance of ISPC and RAD in determining the assembly of communities along large-scale environmental gradients. These effects on community assembly can be inferred from unique patterns in the phylogenetic structure of compositional turnover. In particular, signatures of these two processes can be traced when species turnover is decomposed into components that correspond to *within-* and *among-clade turnover*, where clades correspond to independent lineages that originated before the emergence of the gradient. These within- and among-clade turnover components, in turn, reflect the effects of diversification after and before the emergence of the gradient on community composition across environments. Here, we illustrate these patterns using a hypothetical elevational gradient of a mountain depicted in Fig. 1A. The ISPC hypothesis predicts that for communities *at the same elevation*, variation in community composition should be dominated by *within-clade turnover*, reflecting strong niche conservatism of a few clades that are pre-adapted to the environments at that specific elevation (Fig. 1b). As communities are *farther apart along the elevational (i.e. environmental) gradient*, variation in community composition should become increasingly dominated by *among-clade turnover*, reflecting the shift in dominance from species in one pre-adapted clade to another. Alternatively, the RAD hypothesis predicts that for communities at *similar or contrasting elevations*, variation in community composition should be dominated by turnover *within clades*, reflecting how multiple clades evolved niche differences in response to new environmental conditions that allow them to have broad elevational (i.e., environmental) distributions (Fig. 1c). Although we developed and tested this conceptual framework in the context of mountain uplift, our approach is applicable to study community assembly after the emergence of any type of environmental gradient at any spatial or temporal scale.

In the Neotropics, the geologically recent uplift of the Andean mountains created a striking elevational and environmental gradient that had profound consequences for global climate and biodiversity (Rahbek & Graves, 2001; Antonelli *et al.*, 2009; Ehlers & Poulsen, 2009; Jiménez *et al.*, 2009; Graham, 2009; Hoorn *et al.*, 2010). Indeed, the tropical Andes are considered the most species-rich biodiversity hotspot, containing 15% of all plant species (>45,000 species) in only 1% of the world's land area (Myers *et al.*, 2000; Rahbek & Graves, 2001; Jiménez *et al.*, 2009). However, our current understanding of the eco-evolutionary forces that shape community assembly across elevations in the hyper-diverse Andean biotas is limited. First, many studies

focus on the evolution and distribution of relatively small clades compared to entire communities; these studies have provided evidence for an important role of adaptive diversification (Antonelli *et al.*, 2009; Pérez-Escobar *et al.*, 2017) in some cases and immigration and colonization of pre-adapted clades in others (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; Jin *et al.*, 2015; Lagomarsino *et al.*, 2016). Such studies demonstrate that both processes have occurred, but provide limited insights into how evolutionary history of individual clades contribute to the assembly of entire ecological communities and regional biotas. Second, studies that focus on the phylogenetic structure of Andean communities are relatively few and often fail to differentiate the effects of diversification before and after the emergence of the gradient (Graham *et al.*, 2009; Parra *et al.*, 2011; Bacon *et al.*, 2018; Montaña-Centellas *et al.*, 2019; Ramírez *et al.*, 2019). To date, no study has sought to disentangle the relative importance of immigration and sorting of pre-adapted clades versus post-Andean uplift adaptive radiation in shaping the enormous variation in plant community composition across elevational gradients.

In this study, we combined tree-species distribution data and phylogenetic information from two large networks of Andean-forest plots to test how RAD and ISPC contribute to the assembly of Andean tree communities. We test these hypotheses in the context of the uplift of the Central Andes, which is associated with the formation of the Altiplano plateau during the last 30 my. (Fig. 1). Moreover, we developed a novel method to decompose measures of species turnover among plots distributed across the elevational gradient into among- and within- pre-Andean clade components (Fig. 1 and Box 1; Legendre & Cáceres 2013). These components measure the relative contributions of ISPC and RAD, respectively. This work provides both a novel framework for examining phylogenetic community turnover and expands our current understanding of how historical processes contribute to community assembly.

Materials and Methods

Community composition data across elevations

We utilized data from two large-scale forest plot networks in the Central Andes of Bolivia (the Madidi Project; 30,165 km²) and Peru (the Andes Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research Group [ABERG]; 1,765 km²). Both datasets contain information on tree community composition spanning the entire elevational range of forests in this region of the Andes from lowland Amazonia to the tree line. Our datasets include information on species composition across 73 1-ha plots (large plots hereafter; 50 in Bolivia and 23 in Peru), as well as 494 0.1-ha plots (small

178 plots hereafter; 458 in Bolivia and 36 in Peru; Fig. 2).

Figure 2. Regional network of forest plots used in this study.

198 do not reach 10 cm
 199 DBH, including many shrubs. Thus, we separated out data by plot size and conducted analyses
 200 independently. Additionally, we excluded high elevation plots ($> 3,800$ m) and plots with ≤ 3
 201 species. Most of these plots represent *Polylepis*-dominated forests fragments within a matrix of
 202 Paramo grasslands/shrublands. The ecology and composition of these Paramo forests is clearly
 203 distinct from the continuous forest cover along the elevational gradient.

204 Within the Bolivian and Peruvian datasets, we conducted extensive taxonomic work to
 205 standardize species and morpho-species names across plots. Morpho-species, however, could not
 206 be standardized between the Bolivian and Peruvian data. To test the effect of morphospecies on
 207 results, analyses were repeated with and without morphospecies. Both analyses produced nearly
 208 identical results (Fig. S1); for simplicity, we present only analyses including morphospecies.
 209 Representative specimens at each site were collected and deposited in herbaria, mainly at the
 210 Herbario Nacional de La Paz (LPB), the Missouri Botanical Garden (MO) and Universidad
 211 Nacional de San Antonio Abad del Cusco (CUZ) in Peru. The final dataset contains 494 small
 212 plots and 73 large plots, distributed from 175 m to 4,365 m in elevation. The small plots
 213 contained 2,731 species, whereas the large plots contained 1,904 species (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of datasets used for analyses and p-values assessing significance of empirical gradients in among-clade and within-clade turnover across elevational (elev) and geographic (geo) distances.

Morpho-species status	No. species	Clade age	No. clades	No. clades with one sp.	Mean species per clade	P (among-clade vs. elev)	P (within-clade vs. elev)	P (among-clade vs. geo)	P (within-clade vs. geo)
Large plots (73)									
Included	1889	30	352	202	5.37	0.001	0.001	0.553	0.553
Included	1889	60	142	34	13.30	0.001	0.001	0.424	0.424
Excluded	1349	30	309	168	4.37	0.001	0.001	0.223	0.223
Excluded	1349	60	139	34	9.71	0.001	0.001	0.87	0.87
Small plots (404)									
Included	2326	30	425	239	5.47	0.001	0.001	0.025	0.025
Included	2326	60	159	34	14.63	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.005
Excluded	1741	30	386	213	4.51	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002
Excluded	1741	60	154	36	11.31	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

Phylogenetic reconstruction and defining clades of pre-Andean origin

To test our hypotheses, we needed a phylogenetic framework that grouped species into clades that diverged from one-another before the origin of the elevational gradient (i.e. clades that pre-date the uplift of the Central Andes). To do this, we based our analyses on Smith and Brown's (2018) global mega-phylogeny of seed plants, which combined NCBI sequence data, results from the Open Tree of Life project (Hinchliff *et al.*, 2015), and advances in bioinformatic methods (PyPHLAWD; Smith & Walker 2019) to produce the most comprehensive time-calibrated species-level phylogeny to date. To include species and morphospecies in our dataset that were not in the original phylogeny, we used the R package V.PhyloMaker (Jin & Qian, 2019). Using genus and family level taxonomic information, missing taxa not included in the mega-phylogeny were joined to the halfway point of the family/genus branch (V.PhyloMaker scenario= "S3"). For genera not represented in the mega-phylogeny, we joined species to sister genera in the phylogeny based on support in the literature (when possible) using the 'bind.relative' option of V.PhyloMaker. Finally, we pruned from the phylogeny, all species that were absent in our forest plots. The resulting phylogeny included 3,143 species.

The formation of the Andean cordillera has been a complex and heterogeneous process. In the Central Andes, the history of mountain formation is closely tied to the development of the Altiplano plateau, currently located at nearly 3,800 m in elevation. While the traditional view of mountain uplift invokes a slow and gradual process, recent evidence suggests that the uplift of the Altiplano was dominated by spurs of rapid rise with intervening periods of stasis (Garzzone *et al.*, 2008, 2017). Although the northern Andes is considered much younger, the best available

evidence suggests that most of the uplift in the Central Andes occurred within the last 30 million years. Thus, our analyses used this age as a main reference for the origin of the elevational gradient and to delimit pre-Andean clades.

Pre-Andean clades in the time-calibrated regional phylogeny were defined as those whose stems intersect the 30 my reference. In this way, each pre-Andean clade in our study diverged from others before the uplift of the central Andes, whereas all species within pre-Andean clades resulted from diversification that occurred after mountain uplift had started. We used the function `treeSlice` in the R package “Phytools” (Revell, 2012) to fragment the regional phylogeny into these clades. Species present in small plots formed 473 pre-Andean clades with an average of 5.77 species per clade, whereas species in the large plots formed 355 clades, averaging 5.36 species per clade (Table 1 and Fig. S2). Finally, we sought to understand how our results varied by defining different ages for pre-Andean clades. Thus, in addition to creating a dataset with pre-Andean clades defined as 30 million years old, we made a second dataset which defined clades as 60 million years old. This represents a much more conservative estimate of the timing of Andean uplift (Hoorn *et al.*, 2010). The results from these alternative analyses were nearly identical, and thus are presented only in the Supplementary Material (Fig. S3).

Decomposing total turnover into among- and within-clade turnover

To test hypotheses about the relative importance of ISPC and RAD, we developed a method to decompose variation in species turnover between two communities into additive components representing the contribution of turnover among-groups and within-groups (Legendre & Cáceres, 2013). For our analyses, groups are defined by clades of pre-Andean origin, but this decomposition method is broadly applicable to species groupings based on any criteria. Analyses were based on the Sørensen pair-wise dissimilarity index (S ; Sørensen 1948), which uses presence/absence data:

$$S = \frac{b+c}{2a+b+c}$$

Here, a represents the number of shared species between two communities, b is the number of species present only in the first community, and c is the number of species present only in the second community. Since species are aggregated into clades, species in b can be further divided into two components: b_{WG} is the fraction of b that correspond to species in groups present in both communities, while b_{AG} is the fraction of b corresponding to species in groups present only in the first community. The same process can be done for c , producing the corresponding components c_{WG} and c_{AG} . In this way, the additive within-group (S_{WG}) and among-groups (S_{AG}) components of Sørensen dissimilarity are defined as:

$$S_{WG} = \frac{b_{WG} + c_{WG}}{2 \times a + b + c}$$

$$S_{AG} = \frac{b_{AG} + c_{AG}}{2 \times a + b + c}$$

Further details of the decomposition method can be found in the Supplementary Material, where we also show that this approach could be applied to other turnover metrics, such as Bray-Curtis distances. The R code that performs this decomposition is available at <https://github.com/Linan552/Madidi-project>. When within- and among-clade dissimilarities are transformed into components of total turnover (S_{WG}/S and S_{AG}/S , respectively), these values correspond to the contribution of diversification after (S_{WG}/S) and before (S_{AG}/S) the uplift of the central Andes to community species turnover (Fig. 1, S4). Thus, a high among-clade component indicates that turnover is mainly dominated by species that diverged from one another before the uplift of the Central Andes (Fig. 1a, left). In contrast, high within-clade component indicates that turnover is dominated by species that diverged from one another after the uplift of the Central Andes (Fig. 1a, right).

As described in the introduction, the immigration and sorting of pre-adapted clades (ISPC) and the recent adaptive diversification (RAD) hypotheses make predictions about how these components of turnover will be related to environmental (i.e. elevational) distances. Thus, we plotted the components of turnover for each pair of plots against their elevational distance. The ISPC hypothesis predicts that as communities are farther apart along the elevational gradient, variation in community composition should become increasingly dominated by among-clade turnover (Fig. 1b). Alternatively, the RAD hypothesis predicts that variation in community composition should be dominated by turnover within clades regardless of elevational distance (Fig. 1c).

Assessing significance of empirical data using null models and ruling out effects of geographic distance

To test whether observed patterns are different from those expected by chance, we compared the components of turnover in the empirical data with components produced by a null model that eliminated any phylogenetic structure in the distribution of species, but retained other important elements of the data that might shape turnover patterns. We ran a “tip-randomization null model” in which species were randomly re-assigned to tips in the phylogeny, such that species were randomly reshuffled among pre-Andean clades. This randomization algorithm maintained the number of species per clade, the diversity gradient across elevations, the average range size in each community, and importantly, the empirical turnover observed between pairs of plots. The only aspect of the data that was randomized was the empirical membership of species in clades of pre-Andean origin. We randomized the data and re-calculated components of turnover for each pair of plots 999 times. From these null expectations, we calculated standardized effect sizes as the empirical value minus the mean of null distribution divided by the standard deviation

of the null distribution. These values represent the magnitude of the difference between the empirical components of turnover and the null expectation, where there is no phylogenetic structure in species distributions. As was done for the empirical components of turnover, we related these standardized effect values against the difference in elevation for each pair of plots.

Additionally, we compared the rate of change in turnover components with difference in elevation between the empirical data and null model expectations. To do this, we used slopes from a linear regression between components of turnover and elevational distance. Because these relationships are non-linear, we used a logit transformation on species turnover prior to regression analyses (Cleveland, 1981). These transformations produced a reasonable linearization of the relationships in large and small plot datasets, allowing us to capture the rate of change in a single parameter (see Fig. S5). The empirical slopes were then compared with the distribution of 999 slopes generated by the null model. If empirical slopes were significantly greater or smaller ($p < 0.05$) than null slopes, we concluded that phylogenetic structure exists in the community composition changes along the elevational gradient.

Finally, to control for the effects of geographic distance on the analysis of turnover across elevations, only a subset of pair-wise plot comparisons for each dataset were used. This subset of plot pairs minimized variation in geographic distances, but maximized the elevational range represented in the data (Fig. S6). For the large-plot dataset, we selected plot pairs only between 50 and 90 km apart (8% of the total range in geographic distances), and for the small-plot dataset, we selected plot pairs between 110 and 160 km apart (10% of geographic range). In both datasets, the elevational distances between plots ranged from 175 to 3765 m.

Additionally, we analyzed how the within- and among-clade components of turnover changes as a function of geographic distance. For these analyses, we used pairs of plots spanning the entire geographic range of the study (max distance between plots; 495 km), but were at similar elevations (0 to 200 m of elevational distance, Fig. S6). Like our analyses along elevational distances, we compared patterns of variation in the within- and among-clade turnover against null model expectations. These analyses show how turnover and its components change across space but within the same environmental conditions (results presented in the Supplementary Material).

Results

Species composition changed dramatically across elevations. Species turnover (Sørensen dissimilarity) among forest plots showed a saturating relationship with elevational distance, increasing rapidly as elevational distance increases and then reaching an asymptote at complete turnover (Fig. 3).

347

Figure 3. Species turnover across elevations. Sørensen dissimilarity plotted against difference in elevation for each pair of plots in our two datasets. These patterns are presented separately for (A) large 1-ha plots and (B) small 0.1-ha plots. (C; Laguna Chalalan in Bolivia at 400 m elevation) and upper montane cloud forests (D; Trocha Union in Peru at 3,260 m). Pictures by Christopher Davidson, Sharon Christoph and William Farfan-Rios.

351 Indeed, plots separated by more than 2,000 to 2,500 m of elevation never shared species. We
 352 found a similar relationship between species composition and geographic distance (Fig. S7).
 353 However, Sørensen dissimilarity did not increase as dramatically with increasing geographic
 354 distance and it never reached complete turnover; for example, we found that plots can still share
 355 species when they are in similar environments even if plots are 400 km away from one another
 356 (one in Peru the other in Bolivia; Fig. S7).

We also found strong elevational gradients in the within- and among-clade components of species turnover (Fig. 4a,d). For forest plots occurring at the same elevation (zero meters in elevational difference), among- and within-clade components were equal in magnitude (Fig. 4a,d). This result indicates that communities in the same environment shared species in the same pre-Andean clades, but also that multiple different clades contributed to community composition among these plots. As elevational difference increased, among-clade turnover rose rapidly, while within-clade turnover decreased (Fig. 4a,d). Although the increase in the among-clade component was monotonic in the small-plots dataset, it saturated at around 2,000 m of elevational difference for the large-plots dataset. In both datasets, however, when plots were separated by more than 1,000 to 1,500 m in elevation, pairs of communities were found where 100% of the turnover corresponded to the among-clade component. This result means that some pairs of plots at opposite ends of the elevational gradient shared neither species nor clades 30 my old, which originated before the uplift of the Central Andes. These results support the idea that the immigration and ecological sorting of pre-Andean clades had a major effect in shaping community composition across elevations - the ISPC hypothesis.

Figure 4. Decomposition of species turnover across elevational gradients into among-clade and within-clade components – 30 MY clades from small and large plots. Sorensen dissimilarities between each pair of plots were decomposed into within-clades (blue lines) and among-clades (yellow lines) components. We then plotted these components of turnover against difference in elevation in large plots (first row) and small plots (second row). Finally, we compared spatial patterns in variation of these components with a tip-randomization null model that removes any phylogenetic structure in the distribution of species across elevation. (A. & D.) empirical patterns; (B. & E.) patterns for the mean of the expectations in the null model; (C & F) patterns for standardized effect sizes showing the deviation of the empirical values from null expectations.

The predictions of the ISPC hypotheses were also supported using standardized effect sizes – as measured using our null model (Fig. 4 c,f). Indeed, standardized effect sizes for within- and among-clade components were both close to zero for plots at the same elevation. As elevational differences increased, standardized effect sizes increased for among-clade turnover and decreased for within-clade turnover (Fig. 4 c,f). Moreover, when plots were separated by >1,500 m in elevation, the empirical values differed by more than two standard deviations from null expectations (i.e. standardized effect sizes greater than 2; Fig. c,d). The comparison of the empirical and null regression slopes also showed that the change in the empirical components was much more pronounced than the change expected by the null model (Fig. 5).

Figure 5. Comparison of linear slopes. Empirical slopes of logit transformed proportional turnover across elevational distance (triangles) compared to the distribution of 999 slopes produced by the null model (boxplots) in (A) large plots and (B) small plots.

Finally, we found that geographic distance did not have the same effect on components of turnover as elevational difference. Among large plots, among- and within-clade turnover remained constant and of similar magnitude with increasing geographic distance (Fig. 4 vs. S8). For small plots, on the other hand, the magnitude of the within-clade turnover component increases with geographic distance. This pattern remained when using standardized effect sizes (Fig. 4 vs. S8).

Discussion

Community assembly across contrasting elevations is dominated by the immigration and ecological sorting of clades that pre-date mountain uplift

Our results showed clearly that changes in species composition across elevations were driven primarily by a replacement of clades of pre-Andean origin. These results were robust to analyses using different age estimates of pre-Andean clades (30 vs. 60 mya), inclusion or exclusion of morpho-species, or delimitations of forest communities (trees ≥ 10 cm DBH in large plots vs. trees ≥ 2.5 cm DBH in small plots). While adaptive diversification is likely to have occurred in

our study system, our results suggest that this process has had a reduced influence on patterns of community assembly. In contrast, we found strong evidence for a high relative importance of the ISPC hypothesis. The new environments created by the uplift of the Central Andes during the last 30 my were colonized primarily by clades of species that were pre-adapted to the emerging environmental conditions. Diversification within these clades resulted in new tree species that had elevational distributions similar to those occupied by the immigrating species. In this way, the ecological sorting of pre-Adapted clades according to their pre-adaptations is the eco-evolutionary process that dominates the regional assembly of tree communities across the elevational gradient.

Our study focuses on the structure of species assemblages, and how biogeographic processes shape patterns of diversity. The assembly of communities, however, integrates the evolutionary history of multiple independent clades of species. Several previous studies that have taken this approach, focusing on the evolution of clades after the Andean uplift. This research shows that groups of animals and plants across the Andes have diversified in ways that are consistent with our results (Bell & Donoghue, 2005; Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; Chaves *et al.*, 2011; Nürk *et al.*, 2013). One of the best studied biogeographic histories in the Andes is that of the plants in the genus *Lupinus*, which colonized the Andes from temperate North America (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006), and were likely pre-adapted to the cold conditions of alpine environments (Nevado *et al.*, 2016). This clade experienced an explosive diversification in the Andes, but most of the resulting species occupy only high-elevation habitats. Their diversification was likely fueled by the interaction between insularity of high-mountain habitats and climatic fluctuations during Quaternary (Nevado *et al.*, 2018). Adaptive diversification also played an important role in the radiation of the Andean lupins (Nevado *et al.*, 2016). Indeed, species in the clade show a huge diversity of phenotypes, life forms and micro-habitat use (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006). Their adaptive diversification, however, did not involve large numbers of species colonizing the new environments at different elevations created by mountain uplift. Several clades of plants distributed at the highest elevations in the Andes seem to show similar patterns of diversification (Madriñán *et al.*, 2013). A general pattern of conservatism in elevational distribution was also documented for several clades of trees by Griffiths *et al.* (2020). Clades with a biogeographic history similar those of the Andean lupins would contribute little to changes in species composition along the elevational gradient. Instead, this pattern of diversification, when experienced by numerous clades pre-adapted to different elevations can lead to the observed patterns of clade turnover found in our study. Indeed, turnover among communities across the elevational gradient have an evolutionary origin that is rooted deep in the past, and that mostly pre-dates the emergence of the environmental gradient.

Studies of particular clades, like those highlighted above, are insightful and have helped us advance our understanding of the patterns and mechanisms of diversification. However, this approach does not address directly the eco-evolutionary forces behind the assembly of diverse

communities, which is the focus of our analyses. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first effort to explicitly test the role that diversification before and after the origin of the environmental gradient (i.e., the uplift of the Central Andes) had on community structure across elevations. While previous studies have not tested the role of mountain uplift directly, our results are supported by previous research of Andean communities, which have suggested an important role for niche conservatism in community assembly across elevations (Graham *et al.*, 2009; Hardy *et al.*, 2012; Jin *et al.*, 2015; Ramírez *et al.*, 2019; Worthy *et al.*, 2019; Bañares-de-Dios *et al.*, 2020). A recent important study in this respect is that by Segovia *et al.* (2020), who demonstrated a clear link in the phylogenetic composition of Andean tree communities to temperate regions of North and South America. In particular, they highlight the role that freezing conditions at high elevations play in creating environments that are invaded by temperate clades. Similarly, niche conservatism has been implied in the eco-evolutionary assembly of seasonally dry forest communities, which occur in rain-shadowed valleys along the Andes. Our study, however, goes further than simply demonstrating niche conservatism or phylogenetic clustering of communities. Instead, we provide evidence that the assembly of communities across elevations is primarily driven by the immigration and sorting of clades that evolved appropriate adaptations even before the emergence of the environmental gradient (Hardy *et al.*, 2012; Chi *et al.*, 2014; Kubota *et al.*, 2018).

Mountain uplift might create opportunities for adaptive radiation, but this process has a limited effect on community assembly along elevational gradients

Adaptive radiations have played a critical role in the formation of biodiversity, giving rise to an often-stunning array of morphological and species diversity (Gillespie *et al.*, 2020). Previous studies have suggested that ecological opportunity is an important determinant, maybe a prerequisite, of adaptive radiations (Stroud & Losos, 2016; Gillespie *et al.*, 2020), allowing species to diversify rapidly to fill available niche space. The uplift of the Central Andes created environments that were previously unavailable in the region, likely opening up new unoccupied niche space for species. Moreover, as we discussed earlier, numerous rapid radiations have been documented in the Andes (Madriñán *et al.*, 2013); some of them, like that of *Lupinus* or *Espeletia* (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; Pouchon *et al.*, 2018) are as dramatic as those in clades that epitomize adaptive radiation (e.g. stickleback fish or African Great Lake cichlids; Gillespie *et al.* 2020). If ecological opportunity existed and rapid diversification in the mountains is well documented, then why did we not find a strong signal for recent adaptive radiation in the assembly of communities across elevations?

There are several reasons that could explain our lack of evidence for recent adaptive radiations across the elevational gradient. First, recent and rapid radiations in the Andes may not involve adaptive diversification. Instead, high rates of species accumulation could be fueled solely by allopatric speciation resulting from repeated cycles of habitat isolation and re-connection driven by climatic oscillations (Nevado *et al.*, 2018; Flantua *et al.*, 2019). This

process would produce a large number of species that replace one another across geography but within the same environment (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; Chaves *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, nearly all cases of recent montane radiations occur in clades occupying the highest elevations (Bell & Donoghue, 2005; Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; Nürk *et al.*, 2013; Hughes & Atchison, 2015), and more studies are needed to know if these patterns of diversification also occur at mid or low elevations (but see Lagomarsino *et al.* 2016). Second, adaptive radiations may have occurred along environmental dimensions other than those of the elevational gradient. Indeed, some of the classic examples of Andean diversification involve fast evolution of phenotypes, even if the elevational distribution of the clade is highly conserved (Hughes & Eastwood, 2006; Nürk *et al.*, 2018; Pouchon *et al.*, 2018). Finally, some clades may have adaptively radiated across the elevational gradient, but these clades are rare and contribute little to overall assembly patterns. Indeed, biogeographic studies have documented significant shifts in elevational distribution during the evolutionary history of several groups of plants and animals (Elias *et al.*, 2009; Bacon *et al.*, 2018). Some of these shift in elevational distribution might be accompanied by shifts in life form (as exemplified by *Espeletia*; Pouchon *et al.* 2018; but see Zanne *et al.* 2013) which do not contribute to the assembly of tree communities that are the focus of our study. The relative frequency of adaptive diversification across elevations versus niche conservatism has not been evaluated; however, Griffith *et al.* (2020) found that in Peru, most clades of trees have narrow elevational distributions, and only a few show wider elevational distributions than expected by chance. The overall lack of clades that appear to have adaptively radiated across elevations could be due to evolutionary priority effect, whereby different elevational niches may have been preempted by immigrating pre-adapted clades (Fukami, 2015). While the role of adaptive radiation in community assembly deserves further study, our results suggest that Andean community assembly is mainly the result of different pre-adapted clades that originated before Andean uplift, which colonized available niches before other clades could adaptively radiate to occupy a broad elevational gradient (Tanentzap *et al.*, 2015).

Conclusions: future directions and implications for conservation

In this study, we developed a novel conceptual framework (Fig. 1), as well as new methods of decomposing species turnover (Fig. 1, S4), to investigate the biogeographic origins of community assembly along environmental gradients. We use this approach to study how the uplift of the Central Andes led to the variation in community composition along iconic elevational gradients. Our approach, however, can be applied to any system in which the timing of the emergence of an environmental gradient is known and time-calibrated phylogenies can be generated. We envision future studies using this method to understand the eco-evolutionary assembly in systems beyond Andean forests such as along precipitation gradients (Parolari *et al.*, 2020), across contrasting soil conditions (Capurucho *et al.*, 2020), or even under different disturbance regimes (Cavender-Bares & Reich, 2012). Methods such as these can be used to test

hypotheses about specific process of community assembly, going beyond documenting niche conservatism or phylogenetic aggregation. Our approach will facilitate deeper insights into how the emergence of environmental gradients shape modern natural ecosystems.

Our analyses demonstrate that species turnover across elevations in the Central Andes is driven primarily by the turnover of clades that are at least 30 my old. These results suggest a strong role for immigration and ecological sorting of pre-adapted clades to the novel environments across elevations created by the uplift of the Central Andes. Adaptive diversification following the emergence of the elevational gradient is likely restricted to a few clades or to narrow elevational bands, having little impact on the assembly of communities along such a large environmental gradient. Our results add to a growing body of evidence suggesting that present day communities are strongly influenced by the ability of lineages to track environmental conditions through space and geological time (Emerson & Gillespie, 2008; Donoghue, 2008; Carvajal-Endara *et al.*, 2017; Griffiths *et al.*, 2020; Segovia *et al.*, 2020).

This finding has important implications for the long-term persistence of communities facing the effects of human-mediated global change. Increases in atmospheric temperatures are predicted to cause elevational shifts in environmental conditions, such that climates that currently occur at specific elevations will occur at higher elevations in the future (Harsch *et al.*, 2009; Ruiz-Labourdette *et al.*, 2012; Freeman *et al.*, 2018; O'Sullivan *et al.*, 2020). Our work on historical patterns of community assembly suggests that ecosystems are more likely to track shifting habitats rather than adapt to novel conditions (Sheldon *et al.*, 2011; Ruiz-Labourdette *et al.*, 2012; Freeman *et al.*, 2018; Feeley *et al.*, 2020). Communities and species at the highest elevations might be specially threatened by climate change since their environments will disappear at the top of mountains and new pre-adapted competitors will move in from lower elevations (Colwell *et al.*, 2008). Thus, communities occupying the highest-elevation sites in the Andes should be prioritized for monitoring and conservation efforts. Because their habitat may not persist over the long term, ex situ conservation (either through conservation seed banking or living collections) of the species endemic to the highest elevations should be a specific priority.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Dirección General de Biodiversidad, the Bolivian Park Service (SERNAP), the Madidi National Park and local communities for permits, access, and collaboration in Bolivia, where fieldwork was supported by the National Science Foundation (DEB 0101775, DEB 0743457, DEB 1836353). Additional financial support to The Madidi Project has been provided by the Missouri Botanical Garden, the National Geographic Society (NGS 7754-04 and NGS 8047-06), the Comunidad de Madrid (Spain), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (Spain), Centro de Estudios de América Latina (Banco Santander and Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain), and the Taylor and Davidson families. Fieldwork in the ABERG

transect was supported by NSF, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation and the UK Natural Environment Research Council. We thank all the researchers, students and local guides that were involved in the collection of the data, particularly Carla Maldonado, Maritza Cornejo, Alejandro Araujo, Javier Quisbert, Narel Paniagua and Peter Jørgenson. Finally, we thank Iván Jiménez for helpful discussions, ideas and comments.

Author contribution: JST, JAM, AEZ and CEE developed and designed the study. JST, CL, AFF, MIL, GA and MJM collected the Madidi Project dataset; MS, WFR, KGC, NSR, and YM collected the ABERG dataset. SAS produced the phylogenetic data. AGL and JST performed data analyses. AGL and JST wrote the manuscript, and all authors contributed significantly to revisions.

Data availability: The Madidi Project's dataset used in our analyses correspond to version 4.1, which is deposited in Zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4276558>). Additionally, raw data of the Madidi Project are stored and managed in Tropicos (<https://tropicos.org/home>), the botanical database of the Missouri Botanical Garden. These data can be viewed and accessed via the Madidi Project's module at <http://legacy.tropicos.org/Project/MDI>. The Andes Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research Group (ABERG) is a team of 38 researchers from 12 universities dedicated to understanding biodiversity distribution and ecosystem function in the Peruvian Andes. ABERG is committed to data exchange within the scientific community and promoting collaboration among other tropical ecosystem scientists. For more information and to request data contact Miles Silman or Yadvinder Malhi (<http://www.andesconservation.org/>). The R code created for analyses is available at <https://github.com/Linan552/Madidi-project>.

References

- Adler PB, HilleRisLambers J, Levine JM. 2007.** A niche for neutrality. *Ecology Letters* **10**: 95–104.
- Antonelli A, Nylander JAA, Persson C, Sanmartin I. 2009.** Tracing the impact of the Andean uplift on Neotropical plant evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**: 9749–9754.
- Bacon CD, Velásquez-Puentes FJ, Hoorn C, Antonelli A. 2018.** Iriarteeae palms tracked the uplift of Andean Cordilleras. *Journal of Biogeography* **45**: 1653–1663.
- Bañares-de-Dios G, Macía MJ, Granzow-de la Cerda Í, Arnelas I, Martins de Carvalho G, Espinosa CI, Salinas N, Swenson NG, Cayuela L, Macia MJ, et al. 2020.** Linking patterns and processes of tree community assembly across spatial scales in tropical montane forests. *Ecology* **101**.
- Bell C, Donoghue MJ. 2005.** Phylogeny and biogeography of Valerianaceae (Dipsacales) with special reference to the South American valerians. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution* **5**: 147–159.
- Bricca A, Conti L, Tardella MF, Catorci A, Iocchi M, Theurillat JP, Cutini M. 2019.** Community assembly processes along a sub-Mediterranean elevation gradient: analyzing the interdependence of trait community weighted mean and functional diversity. *Plant Ecology* **220**: 1139–1151.
- Capurrocho JMG, Borges SH, Cornelius C, Vicentini A, Prata EMB, Costa FM, Campos P, Sawakuchi AO, Rodrigues F, Zular A, et al. 2020.** Patterns and Processes of Diversification in Amazonian White Sand Ecosystems: Insights from Birds and Plants. In: Rull V, Carnaval AC, eds. Neotropical diversification: patterns and processes. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature, 245–270.
- Carvajal-Endara S, Hendry AP, Emery NC, Davies TJ. 2017.** Habitat filtering not dispersal limitation shapes oceanic island floras: species assembly of the Galápagos archipelago. *Ecology Letters* **20**: 495–504.
- Cavender-Bares J, Reich PB. 2012.** Shocks to the system: community assembly of the oak savanna in a 40-year fire frequency experiment. *Ecology* **93**: S52–S69.
- Chase JM. 2003.** Community assembly: when should history matter? *Oecologia* **136**: 489–498.
- Chaves JA, Weir JT, Smith TB. 2011.** Diversification in Adelomyia hummingbirds follows Andean uplift. *Molecular Ecology* **20**: 4564–4576.
- Chesson P. 2000.** Mechanisms of Maintenance of Species Diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology*

and *Systematics* **31**: 343–366.

Chi X, Tang Z, Fang J. 2014. Patterns of phylogenetic beta diversity in China’s grasslands in relation to geographical and environmental distance. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **15**: 416–425.

Claramunt S, Cracraft J. 2015. A new time tree reveals Earth history’s imprint on the evolution of modern birds. *Science Advances* **1**: e1501005.

Cleveland WS. 1981. LOWESS: A program for smoothing scatterplots by robust locally weighted regression. *American Statistician* **35**: 54.

Colwell RK, Brehm G, Cardelús CL, Gilman AC, Longino JT, Cardelus CL, Gilman AC, Longino JT. 2008. Global Warming, Elevational Range Shifts, and Lowland Biotic Attrition in the Wet Tropics. *Science* **322**: 258–261.

Donoghue MJ. 2008. A phylogenetic perspective on the distribution of plant diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**: 11549–11555.

Donoghue MJ, Edwards EJ. 2014. Biome shifts and niche evolution in plants. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **45**: 547–572.

Ehlers TA, Poulsen CJ. 2009. Influence of Andean uplift on climate and paleoaltimetry estimates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **281**: 238–248.

Elias M, Joron M, Willmott K, Silvia-Brandao KL, Kaiser V, ARIAS CF, Gomez Pinerez LM, Uribe S, Brower AVZ, Freitas AVL, et al. 2009. Out of the Andes: patterns of diversification in clearwing butterflies. *Molecular Ecology* **18**: 1716–1729.

Emerson BC, Gillespie RG. 2008. Phylogenetic analysis of community assembly and structure over space and time. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **23**: 619–630.

Feeley KJ, Bravo-Avila C, Fadrique B, Perez TM, Zuleta D. 2020. Climate-driven changes in the composition of New World plant communities. *Nature Climate Change* **10**: 965–970.

Flantua SGA, O’Dea A, Onstein RE, Giraldo C, Hooghiemstra H. 2019. The flickering connectivity system of the north Andean páramos. *Journal of Biogeography* **46**: 1808–1825.

Freeman BG, Scholer MN, Ruiz-Gutierrez V, Fitzpatrick JW. 2018. Climate change causes upslope shifts and mountaintop extirpations in a tropical bird community. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **115**: 11982–11987.

Fukami T. 2015. Historical Contingency in Community Assembly: Integrating Niches, Species Pools, and Priority Effects. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **46**: 1–23.

Fussmann GF, Loreau M, Abrams PA. 2007. Eco-evolutionary dynamics of communities and

ecosystems. *Functional Ecology* **21**: 465–477.

Garzione CN, Hoke GD, Libarkin JC, Withers S, MacFadden B, Eiler J, Ghosh P, Mulch A. 2008. Rise of the Andes. *Science* **320**: 1304–1307.

Garzione CN, McQuarrie N, Perez ND, Ehlers TA, Beck SL, Kar N, Eichelberger N, Chapman AD, Ward KM, Ducea MN, et al. 2017. Tectonic Evolution of the Central Andean Plateau and Implications for the Growth of Plateaus. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* **45**: 529–559.

Gillespie RG, Bennett GM, Meester L De, Feder JL, Fleischer RC, Harmon LJ, Andrew P, Knope ML, Mallet J, Martin C, et al. 2020. Comparing Adaptive Radiations Across Space, Time, and Taxa. *Journal of Heredity*: 1–20.

Graham A. 2009. The Andes: A geological overview from a biological perspective. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **96**: 371–385.

Graham CH, Parra JL, Rahbek C, McGuire J a. 2009. Phylogenetic structure in tropical hummingbird communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**: 19673–19678.

Griffiths AR, Silman MR, Farfán Rios W, Feeley KJ, Cabrera G, Meir P, Salinas N, Dexter KG, Vargas H. 2020. Evolutionary heritage shapes tree distributions along an Amazon-to-Andes elevation gradient. *Biotropica*: 1–13.

Hardy OJ, Couteron P, Munoz F, Ramesh BR, Pélissier R. 2012. Phylogenetic turnover in tropical tree communities: Impact of environmental filtering, biogeography and mesoclimatic niche conservatism. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **21**: 1007–1016.

Harsch MA, Hulme PE, McGlone MS, Duncan RP. 2009. Are treelines advancing? A global meta-analysis of treeline response to climate warming. *Ecology Letters* **12**: 1040–1049.

Hinchliff CE, Smith SA, Allman JF, Burleigh JG, Chaudhary R, Coghill LM, Crandall KA, Deng J, Drew BT, Gazis R, et al. 2015. Synthesis of phylogeny and taxonomy into a comprehensive tree of life. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**: 12764–12769.

Hoorn W, Steeg H, Bermudez MA, Mora A, Sevink J, Sanmartín I, Sanchez-Meseguer A, Anderson CL, Figueiredo JP, Jaramillo C, et al. 2010. Amazonia Through Time: Andean Uplift, Climate Change, Landscape Evolution, and Biodiversity. *Science* **330**: 927–931.

Hughes CE, Atchison GW. 2015. The ubiquity of alpine plant radiations: From the Andes to the Hengduan Mountains. *New Phytologist* **207**: 275–282.

Hughes C, Eastwood R. 2006. Island radiation on a continental scale: Exceptional rates of plant

672 diversification after uplift of the Andes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**:
673 10334–10339.

674 **Jiménez I, Distler T, Jørgensen PM. 2009.** Estimated plant richness pattern across northwest
675 South America provides similar support for the species-energy and spatial heterogeneity
676 hypotheses. *Ecography* **32**: 433–448.

677 **Jin LS, Cadotte MW, Fortin MJ. 2015.** Phylogenetic turnover patterns consistent with niche
678 conservatism in montane plant species. *Journal of Ecology* **103**: 742–749.

679 **Jin Y, Qian H. 2019.** V.PhyloMaker: an R package that can generate very large phylogenies for
680 vascular plants. *Ecography* **42**: 1353–1359.

681 **Kubota Y, Kusumoto B, Shiono T, Ulrich W. 2018.** Environmental filters shaping angiosperm
682 tree assembly along climatic and geographic gradients. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **29**: 607–
683 618.

684 **Lagomarsino LP, Condamine FL, Antonelli A, Mulch A, Davis CC. 2016.** The abiotic and
685 biotic drivers of rapid diversification in Andean bellflowers (Campanulaceae). *New Phytologist*
686 **210**: 1430–1442.

687 **Legendre P, Cáceres M De. 2013.** Beta diversity as the variance of community data:
688 dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning (H Morlon, Ed.). *Ecology Letters* **16**: 951–963.

689 **Losos JB. 2010.** Adaptive radiation, ecological opportunity, and evolutionary determinism. *The*
690 *American naturalist* **175**: 623–39.

691 **Madriñán S, Cortés AJ, Richardson JE. 2013.** Páramo is the world’s fastest evolving and
692 coolest biodiversity hotspot. *Frontiers in Genetics* **4**: 1–7.

693 **McGill BJ, Chase JM, Hortal J, Overcast I, Rominger AJ, Rosindell J, Borges PAV,
694 Emerson BC, Etienne R, Hickerson MJ, *et al.* 2019.** Unifying macroecology and
695 macroevolution to answer fundamental questions about biodiversity. *Global Ecology and*
696 *Biogeography* **28**: 1925–1936.

697 **McPeck MA. 2017.** *Evolutionary Community Ecology*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

698 **Montaño-Centellas FA, McCain C, Loiselle BA. 2019.** Using functional and phylogenetic
699 diversity to infer avian community assembly along elevational gradients. *Global Ecology and*
700 *Biogeography*: 1–14.

701 **Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J. 2000.** Biodiversity
702 hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* **403**: 853–858.

703 **Nevado B, Atchison GW, Hughes CE, Filatov DA. 2016.** Widespread adaptive evolution

during repeated evolutionary radiations in New World lupins. *Nature Communications* **7**: 1–9.

Nevado B, Contreras-Ortiz N, Hughes C, Filatov DA. 2018. Pleistocene glacial cycles drive isolation, gene flow and speciation in the high-elevation Andes. *New Phytologist* **219**: 779–793.

Neves DM, Dexter KG, Baker TR, Coelho de Souza F, Oliveira-Filho AT, Queiroz LP, Lima HC, Simon MF, Lewis GP, Segovia RA, et al. 2020. Evolutionary diversity in tropical tree communities peaks at intermediate precipitation. *Scientific Reports* **10**: 1–7.

Nürk NM, Michling F, Linder HP. 2018. Are the radiations of temperate lineages in tropical alpine ecosystems pre-adapted? *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **27**: 334–345.

Nürk NM, Scheriau C, Madriñán S. 2013. Explosive radiation in high Andean Hypericum-rates of diversification among New World lineages. *Frontiers in Genetics* **4**.

O’Sullivan KSW, Ruiz-Benito P, Chen JC, Jump AS. 2020. Onward but not always upward: individualistic elevational shifts of tree species in subtropical montane forests. *Ecography*: 1–12.

Parolari AJ, Paul K, Griffing A, Condit R, Perez R, Aguilar S, Schnitzer SA. 2020. Liana abundance and diversity increase with rainfall seasonality along a precipitation gradient in Panama. *Ecography* **43**: 25–33.

Parra JL, Rahbek C, McGuire JA, Graham CH. 2011. Contrasting patterns of phylogenetic assemblage structure along the elevational gradient for major hummingbird clades. *Journal of Biogeography* **38**: 2350–2361.

Pelletier F, Garant D, Hendry AP. 2009. Eco-evolutionary dynamics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **364**: 1483–1489.

Pérez-Escobar OA, Chomicki G, Condamine FL, Karremans AP, Bogarín D, Matzke NJ, Silvestro D, Antonelli A, Bogarín D, Matzke NJ, et al. 2017. Recent origin and rapid speciation of Neotropical orchids in the world’s richest plant biodiversity hotspot. *New Phytologist* **215**: 891–905.

Pouchon C, Fernández A, Nassar JM, Boyer F, Aubert S, Lavergne S, Mavárez J. 2018. Phylogenomic analysis of the explosive adaptive radiation of the Espeletia complex (Asteraceae) in the tropical Andes. *Systematic Biology* **67**: 1041–1060.

Rahbek C, Graves GR. 2001. Multiscale assessment of patterns of avian species richness. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **98**: 4534–4539.

Ramírez S, González-Caro S, Phillips J, Cabrera E, Feeley KJ, Duque Á. 2019. The influence of historical dispersal on the phylogenetic structure of tree communities in the tropical Andes. *Biotropica* **51**: 500–508.

736 **Revell LJ. 2012.** phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
737 things). *Methods in ecology and evolution* **3**: 217–223.

738 **Ricklefs RE. 1987.** Community Diversity: Relative Roles of Local and Regional Processes.
739 *Science* **235**: 167–171.

740 **Ricklefs RE. 2006.** Evolutionary diversification and the origin of the diversity-environment
741 relationship. *Ecology* **87**: 3–13.

742 **Ruiz-Labourdette D, Nogués-Bravo D, Ollero HS, Schmitz MF, Pineda FD. 2012.** Forest
743 composition in Mediterranean mountains is projected to shift along the entire elevational
744 gradient under climate change. *Journal of Biogeography* **39**: 162–176.

745 **Schluter D. 2000.** *The ecology of adaptive radiation*. Oxford University Press.

746 **Segovia RA, Pennington RT, Baker TR, de Souza FC, Neves DM, Davis CC, Armesto JJ,**
747 **Olivera-Filho AT, Dexter KG. 2020.** Freezing and water availability structure the evolutionary
748 diversity of trees across the Americas. *Science Advances* **6**.

749 **Sheldon KS, Yang S, Tewksbury JJ. 2011.** Climate change and community disassembly:
750 Impacts of warming on tropical and temperate montane community structure. *Ecology Letters*
751 **14**: 1191–1200.

752 **Smith SA, Brown JW. 2018.** Constructing a broadly inclusive seed plant phylogeny. *American*
753 *Journal of Botany* **105**: 302–314.

754 **Smith SA, Walker JF. 2019.** Py phlawd: A python tool for phylogenetic dataset construction.
755 *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **10**: 104–108.

756 **Sorensen TA. 1948.** A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology
757 based on similarity of species content and its application to analyses of the vegetation on Danish
758 commons. *Biol. Skar.* **5**: 1–34.

759 **Stroud JT, Losos JB. 2016.** Ecological Opportunity and Adaptive Radiation. *Annual Review of*
760 *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **47**: 507–532.

761 **Tanentzap AJ, Brandt AJ, Smissen RD, Heenan PB, Fukami T, Lee WG. 2015.** When do
762 plant radiations influence community assembly? The importance of historical contingency in the
763 race for niche space. *New Phytologist* **207**: 468–479.

764 **Uribe-Convers S, Tank DC. 2015.** Shifts in diversification rates linked to biogeographic
765 movement into new areas: An example of a recent radiation in the Andes. *American Journal of*
766 *Botany* **102**: 1854–1869.

767 **Vellend M. 2016.** *The Theory of Ecological Communities*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Worthy SJ, Jiménez Paz RA, Pérez ÁJ, Reynolds A, Cruse-Sanders J, Valencia R, Barone JA, Burgess KS. 2019. Distribution and community assembly of trees along an andean elevational gradient. *Plants* **8**: 7–10.

Zanne AE, Tank DC, Cornwell WK, Eastman JM, Smith SA, FitzJohn RG, McGlinn DJ, O'Meara BC, Moles AT, Reich PB, *et al.* 2013. Three keys to the radiation of angiosperms into freezing environments. *Nature* **506**: 89–92.

Supporting Information legends

Supplementary methods: Additive decomposition of species turnover metrics.

Figures S1: decomposed turnover across elevation excluding morphospecies.

Figures S2: number of species per clade across datasets.

Figure S3: decomposition of species turnover across elevational distance for 60my clades.

Figures S4: decomposed turnover across elevation excluding morphospecies.

Figure S5: logit transformed decomposition of turnover.

Figure S6: relationship between difference in elevation and geographic distance in our datasets.

Figure S7: Species turnover across geographic distance.

Figure S8: Decomposition of species turnover across geographic distance for 30my clades.