Inter- and intra-specific variation in hair cortisol concentrations of Neotropical bats

Natalia I. Sandoval-Herrera^{1,2}, Gabriela F. Mastromonaco³, Daniel J. Becker⁴, Nancy B. Simmons⁵, Kenneth C. Welch Jr.^{1,2}

¹Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada

²Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, Ontario, Canada

³Reproductive Sciences, Toronto Zoo, Ontario, Canada

⁴Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019

⁵Department of Mammalogy, Division of Vertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY

Abstract- Quantifying hair cortisol has become popular in wildlife ecology for its practical advantages for evaluating health. Before hair cortisol levels can be reliably interpreted however, it is key to first understand the intrinsic factors explaining intra- and interspecific variation. Bats are an ecologically diverse group of mammals that allow studying such variation. Given that many bat species are threatened or have declining populations in parts of their range, non-invasive tools for monitoring colony health and identifying cryptic stressors are needed to efficiently direct conservation efforts. Here we describe intra- and interspecific sources of variation in hair cortisol levels in 18 Neotropical bat species from Mexico and Belize. We found that fecundity is an important ecological trait explaining interspecific variation in bat hair cortisol. Other ecological variables such as colony size, roost durability, and basal metabolic rate did not explain hair cortisol variation among species. At the individual level, females exhibited higher hair cortisol levels than males, and the effect of body mass varied among species. Overall, our findings help validate and accurately apply hair cortisol as a monitoring tool in free-ranging bats.

Introduction

Free-living animals face multiple natural and anthropogenic challenges that threaten their survival and thus are of considerable interest to ecophysiologists concerned with the study of effects of stress on vertebrates. One of the most extensively studied processes associated with response to stressors (biotic or abiotic environmental factors that disrupt homeostasis; Schulte, 2014) is the release of glucocorticoid (GC) hormones (Creagh and Brendan Delehanty, 2013; MacDougall-Shackleton *et al.*, 2019). GCs are known to facilitate the mobilization of energy required to cope

with stressors and, during normal conditions, play a key role in regulating growth, circadian activity, and energy metabolism (review in Landys *et al.*, 2006). Levels of GCs are commonly employed as a biomarker of health or relative condition (Sapolsky *et al.*, 2000; Wikelski and Cooke, 2006; Pearson Murphy, 2007; Busch and Hayward, 2009). GC secretion is a well-conserved process across vertebrates and involves activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and release of GCs from the adrenal glands to the blood stream (Norris and Carr, 2013). In mammals, the primary GC is cortisol, which induces a cascade of events to maintain homeostasis at multiple target tissues (Pearson Murphy, 2007; Boonstra, 2013). An acute increase in GC levels can benefit an individual's survival (e.g., by allocating energy in defense and escape) yet if adverse conditions remain, continuously elevated GCs in circulation can become pathological, causing immune suppression, neuronal cell death, and reproductive impairment (Sapolsky *et al.*, 2000; Tilbrook, 2000; Wingfield and Romero, 2011; Hing *et al.*, 2016).

Although many of the environmental challenges that wild populations experience are chronic (e.g., prolonged food deprivation, climate change, habitat disturbance, pollution), studies of stress physiology have focused on detecting acute stress by looking at GC levels in blood, urine, and feces (Sheriff *et al.*, 2011; Creagh and Brendan Delehanty, 2013). The rapid turnover of these tissues, however, only gives short-term information of HPA activity over periods of hours or days (Sheriff *et al.*, 2011) which may not be an appropriate time scale. Assessment of cortisol in tissues with slower turnover rates, such as hair, may reflect circulating cortisol levels over longer periods of several weeks or even months, which is the time scale over which chronic environmentally-induced stress would be expected to occur (Davenport *et al.*, 2006; Macbeth *et al.*, 2010; Ashley *et al.*, 2011; Mastromonaco *et al.*, 2014). Cortisol is incorporated into developing hairs from the blood stream during periods of active hair growth, allowing researchers to retrospectively examine cortisol production at the time that a stressor or stressors were faced (Davenport *et al.*, 2006; Pragst and Balikova, 2006). Hair can be collected in a relatively non-invasive manner, is usually easily accessible in relatively large amounts, and is easy to store and transport, all of which make it particularly useful for wildlife studies, especially those involving threatened or endangered species (Koren *et al.*, 2002; Macbeth *et al.*, 2010; Macbeth *et al.*, 2012). Hair cortisol levels are not likely affected by stress induced by capture and/or handling, which is one of the main limitations of blood GC analysis (Russell *et al.*, 2012). A single sample of hair can also provide complementary and valuable information about ecology and behavior, including diet and movement (e.g., using stable isotope analyses; Fraser *et al.*, 2010; Sullivan *et al.*, 2012; Voigt *et al.*, 2012; Oelbaum *et al.*, 2019), condition (e.g. nutrition; Montillo *et al.*, 2019), toxicant exposure (Hernout *et al.*, 2016; Becker *et*

al., 2018), and molecular identification (Magioli *et al.*, 2019), opening possibilities for more integrative studies. However, analyses of hair samples can be challenging. Despite being a very promising tool for assessing wildlife health, quantifying hair cortisol is a method that has limitations, though these are largely based on lack of detailed knowledge of patterns of hair growth (Meyer and Novak, 2012; Russell *et al.*, 2012; Sharpley *et al.*, 2012). For example, the exact time scale reflected in any given sample will depend on the rate of hair growth and moulting patterns; this information is unknown for most species, which makes the time window being evaluated unclear (Koren *et al.*, 2002; Fourie *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, rates of cortisol incorporation to the hair shaft are known to differ across body regions and among species (Sharpley *et al.*, 2012; Acker *et al.*, 2018; Lavergne *et al.*, 2020). Nevertheless, hair cortisol levels offer a potentially powerful tool for assessing relatively long-term stress levels in mammals.

Hair cortisol and its correlation with natural and anthropogenic stressors has been explored for different wild mammals, including rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*; Dettmer *et al.*, 2012), grizzly bears (*Ursus arctos*; Macbeth *et al.*, 2010), reindeer/caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*; Ashley *et al.*, 2011), lynx (*Lynx canadensis*; Terwissen *et al.*, 2013), mongoose (*Herpestes ichneumon*; Azevedo *et al.*, 2019), and snowshoe hares (*Lepus americanus*; Lavergne *et al.*, 2020). Although most of these studies support hair cortisol as an informative measure of central HPA activity, they also identified intrinsic factors such as age, sex, reproductive stage, and social status that modulate GCs levels in different contexts (Wingfield and Romero, 2011; Crespi *et al.*, 2013; Hau *et al.*, 2016). Not accounting for these intrinsic sources of variation in GC levels may lead to incorrect or misleading estimates of the effects of stressors on individual fitness and population health (Sapolsky *et al.*, 2000; Reeder and Kramer, 2005; Busch and Hayward, 2009; Wingfield and Romero, 2011; Kalliokoski *et al.*, 2019).

Ecological traits such as diet, fecundity, and lifespan, as well as phylogenetic relatedness, have been proposed to explain differences in baseline cortisol levels in wild species (Wingfield and Romero, 2011; Patterson *et al.*, 2014). Evolution of different life-history strategies are also thought to have led to different adaptations in HPA activity modulation so as to maximize individual fitness within species (Bonier *et al.*, 2009; Bonier and Martin, 2016). Bats are a very ecologically diverse group comprising over 1,400 species that live in most terrestrial ecosystems and have a wide variety of diets, use many different roost types, and have many different social systems (Kunz and Fenton, 2005; Dumont *et al.*, 2012; Gunnell and Simmons, 2012; Simmons and Cirranello, 2020). This diversity provides the opportunity to study the ecological correlates of cortisol levels among phylogenetically related species with different life-history traits. Few ecological correlates of GCs

have been evaluated simultaneously in mammalian groups in the context of cortisol studies, and fewer studies have further related cortisol levels to life-history traits across multiple species from a single mammalian clade. Among bats, variation in hair cortisol levels associated with seasonal food availability has been studied in two species with contrasting diets, *Carollia perspicillata* and *Desmodus rotundus* (Lewanzik *et al.*, 2012), but no other comparative studies have been conducted within this order. Furthermore, little is known about the modulation of the stress response in bats, despite Chiroptera being the second most speciose order of mammals.

Bat populations are declining worldwide due to ongoing habitat destruction and land use changes, increased interaction with human environments and associated threats including wind turbine fatalities, hunting and targeting killing, pesticide exposure, and emerging infectious diseases such as white-nose syndrome (O'Donnell, 2000; Mickleburgh *et al.*, 2002; Kunz *et al.*, 2007; Frick *et al.*, 2010; Racey, 2013; Voigt and Kingston, 2015). Because many bat species are threatened or have declining populations in parts of their range (IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, 2020), non-invasive tools to monitor colony health and identify cryptic stressors are critically needed to efficiently direct conservation efforts. It is essential to investigate the factors influencing baseline GCs to properly detect elevated cortisol levels due to long-term stressors.

In this study, we describe intra- and interspecific sources of variation in baseline hair cortisol levels in bats, which contributes to better understanding the potential for hair cortisol to be an indicator of HPA activity in this taxon. We hypothesize that interspecific variation in hair cortisol of bats will be greater than intraspecific variation, and that such heterogeneity will be best explained by ecological traits directly related to energy expenditure, such as basal metabolic rate (BMR), dietary guild, foraging behavior, and roost durability. We expect that species with high energetic demands or less predictable energy acquisition (e.g., less reliable food sources) will have higher hair cortisol. Specifically, we predict that: 1) a positive relationships between BMR and hair cortisol; 2) bats that feed on fruit and nectar - which are energy-rich and readily available - will have lower hair cortisol; 3) bats that actively hunt prey during flight, such aerial hawkers, will have higher GC levels owing to greater energetic demands compared to gleaners that can hunt from perches (Norberg and Rayner, 1987; Fenton, 1990); and 4) species using more ephemeral day roosts (e.g. foliage or crevices under exfoliating bark), will have higher hair cortisol than species using more stable structures (Kunz and Fenton, 2005).

Material and methods

Study Sites - We sampled bats from northern Belize (Orange Walk District) and two locations in Mexico (Colima and Chihuahua States). In each region, we sampled sites with different levels of habitat fragmentation and agricultural intensity. We used the global Human Modification Index (HMI; Kennedy *et al.*, 2019) as a standardized measure of disturbance, using a 5 km buffer around each collection site. The HMI is a cumulative measurement with possible values between 0 (no disturbance) and 1 (highest disturbance) that includes transportation, human settlement, agriculture, extractive activities, and electric infrastructure (Kennedy *et al.*, 2019). Sites were classified as low ($0 \leq \text{median HMI} \leq 0.10$), moderate ($0.10 < \text{median HMI} \leq 0.40$), high ($0.40 < \text{median HMI} \leq 0.70$), and very high ($0.70 < \text{median HMI} \leq 1.00$). At all sites, bats were captured from 18:00 to 22:00 hrs using mist nets and from 18:00 to 5:00 using harp traps (only in Belize) set along flight paths. Bats sampled during the day were captured in their roosts, mainly caves, using hand nets. We recorded sex, size (body mass [g], forearm length [mm]), and reproductive stage (pregnant, active, inactive; Kunz and Parsons, 2009).

In Colima (west central Mexico) in March 2019 (dry season), we sampled bats roosting in three caves surrounded by different levels of disturbance: Don Pancho Cave (moderate disturbance, HMI=0.38), El Salitre Cave (high disturbance, HMI=0.44) and Coquimatlán Cave (high disturbance, HMI=0.57; Fig 1). Don Pancho Cave, is located on San Agustin island, 1 km away from the coast of Chamela Bay, Jalisco (19.5353°N, -105.0881°W). El Salitre Cave is near Los Ortices village, Colima (19.083330°N, -103.726667°E). La Fábrica Cave is 6.4 km SW of Coquimatlan town, Colima (19.1513°N, -103.8353°W). We refer here to these locations collectively as central Mexico. we also sampled bats foraging close to pecan nut croplands near the town of Jimenez, Chihuahua (northern Mexico). This region is entirely dedicated to the production of pecan nuts with thousands of squared kilometers of cultivated land (Orona Castillo *et al.*, 2018). We visited one that farms using organic practices and another that farms with intensive use of pesticides. However, the estimated HMI index was the same for the two sites (HMI=0.49, high disturbance). We collected hair samples from three bat species (*Antrozous pallidus*, *Tadarida brasiliensis*, and *Myotis velifer*) at both northern Mexico sites.

Our field sites in Belize consisted of two forest patches of very different size located approximately 10 km apart and separated by a heterogeneous, largely agricultural landscape. Lamanai Archaeological Reserve (LAR) is a protected secondary semi-deciduous forest of 450 ha with a high canopy and with relatively low disturbance (HMI=0.17) (Herrera *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, the Ka'Kabish archeological site (KK) is a small remnant forest patch of about 45 ha surrounded by cattle pastures and local croplands (Fig 1). Although the landscape in Belize is

apparently disturbed and highly fragmented, agricultural activity and urban development is not as intense as the field sites in Mexico, which is reflected in their moderate HMI scores (LAR: 0.17; KK: 0.18). We collected hair samples from 13 different species (Table 1) in April 2018 and 2019 (dry season) at Belize sites.

Ethical statement

Field procedures followed guidelines for safe and humane handling of bats published by of the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes and Bryan, 2016) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the University of Georgia (A2014 04-016-Y3-A5), University of Toronto (20012113), and American Museum of Natural History (AMNHACUC-20180123). Fieldwork was authorized by the Belize Forest Department under permits WL/2/1/18(16) and WL/1/19(06). Sample collection in Mexico was approved under the permit #FAUT-0069.

Figure 1. Sampling sites in central Mexico and Belize, showing the use of land in the surrounding areas. Sources: Sistema Nacional de Información Estadística y Geográfica de Mexico (INEGI, 2013) and Biodiversity and Environmental Resource Data System for Belize (BERDS).

Sample collection

We trimmed a single hair sample (3-10 mg) from the scapular region on the back of each bat and stored resulting samples individually in 1-2 ml sample tubes. The amount of hair removed from each bat depended on the hair density of each species. The hair shaft was carefully cut close to

the root avoiding removing skin or follicle tissue. From pilot analyses, we determined a minimum amount of 3 mg of hair was necessary to obtain values around 50% binding on the standard curve thereby accurately estimating cortisol concentration in the sample.

Extraction and quantification of cortisol

Hair samples were processed and analyzed at the Endocrinology Laboratory at the Toronto Zoo following methods described by Acker *et al.*, 2018. Each hair sample was spread apart and weighed in a 7 mL glass scintillation vial. To avoid contamination with other biological fluids, all hair samples were washed with 100% methanol by vortexing in a tube for 10 s and immediately removing the methanol using a pipettor. Immediately thereafter, 80% methanol in water (v:v) was added to each sample, at a ratio of 0.005 g/mL. Samples were then mixed for 24 h on a plate shaker (MBI Orbital Shaker; Montreal Biotechnologies Inc., Montreal, QC, Canada). After 24 hrs the vials were centrifuged for 10 min at 2400g. The supernatants were pipetted off into clean glass vials and dried down under air in a fume hood. The dried extracts were stored at -20°C until analysis.

Samples were brought to room temperature prior to analysis. Reconstitution of the desiccated extracts was done by adding phosphate buffer and vortexing for 10 s. Belize samples were reconstituted neat (i.e. evaporated 150ul and reconstituted with 150ul) and Mexico samples were reconstituted as follows: four species were neat, two species diluted 1:5 and one species diluted 1:50 in phosphate buffer (Andreasson *et al.*, 2015). Cortisol concentrations were determined using an EIA (R4972, C. Munro, University of California, Davis); antibody and HRP dilutions were 1:10,200 and 1:33,400, respectively. All samples were centrifuged for 1 min at 1200g immediately prior to dispensing onto the microtiter plate. Results are presented as nanograms of cortisol per gram of hair.

Species ecological traits

We compiled data on ecological traits considered relevant to cortisol mobilization from previously published literature and databases. Values for traits are species-level averages and may not reflect specific values at these sites (Table1). Data on Basal Metabolic Rate (BMR) was extracted from the literature (Cruz-Neto *et al.*, 2001; Genoud *et al.*, 2018) and when not available (n=2) the following formula was used for the estimation: $\ln BMR = 0.744 \times \ln mass(in\ g) + 1.0895$ (Speakman and Thomas, 2003). Information on diet, foraging style, percentage of invertebrates in the diet, and fecundity was extracted from the Elton Traits, PanTHERIA, and Amniote Life History databases (Myhrvold *et al.*, 2015; Wilman *et al.*, 2014). We collapsed variation in diet into two dietary niches: phytophagy (including nectarivores and frugivores) and animalivory (insectivores and carnivores) because many bat species in our study have diets that combine more than one food source within these categories (Fenton *et al.*, 2001; Kunz and Fenton,

2005; Reid, 2009; Oelbaum *et al.*, 2019). We also considered the percentage of invertebrates in the diet of the animalivorous bats, which can vary significantly among species. Because foraging behavior is a complex and plastic trait, we simplified this variable into two categories : aerial foragers (i.e., hawkers) and gleaners (including species that glean plant products like fruit as well as insects) since these behaviors may reflect differences in energetic demands associated with foraging (Herrera *et al.*, 2018). Because wing morphology can strongly influence the energetic costs of flight, we also included the mean wing aspect ratio for each species (Norberg and Rayner, 1987; Bullen *et al.*, 2014). Fecundity was defined as the annual average fecundity (litter size $\times$ number of litters per year). We estimated roost durability following the methods of Patterson *et al.* (2007), where 1 indicates the most ephemeral and least protected roost types (e.g. rolled leaves and foliage) and 6 indicates the most permanent and protected roost types (e.g. caves). For species known to multiple use different kinds of roost, intermediate ranks were calculated, weighing roost categories according to the relative frequency of use reported in the literature (Schneeberger *et al.*, 2013). Lifespan was drawn from the Animal Ageing and Longevity database (AnAge: The Animal Ageing and Longevity Database, 2020) and DATLife (DATLife Database. Max-Planck Institute for Demographic Research (Germany), 2020). For many of the species in these databases, longevity estimates are based on captive animals, which likely overestimates life expectancy in the wild. Because bats of a single species may live in colonies of varying sizes, and most values on colony size are reported in ranges in the literature, we classified maximum colony sizes reported for each species as small (1-50) medium (50- 500) or large (>500) *sensu* Santana *et al.* (2011).

240 *Data analysis*

241 We first used phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) models to evaluate the effect
242 of species-level ecological variables on hair cortisol concentrations while accounting for bat
243 phylogenetic relatedness. We used the *rotl* and *ape* packages in R to extract the bat phylogeny from
244 the Open Tree of Life and calculate branch lengths with Grafen's method (Paradis *et al.*, 2004;
245 Michonneau *et al.*, 2016). We first fit a null PGLS model (intercept only) using the *nlme* package to
246 estimate phylogenetic signal as Pagel's λ (Pagel, 1999). We next fit a PGLS model with bat family
247 as the predictor to assess broad taxonomic patterns in hair cortisol. We then fit 15 PGLS univariate
248 models with, dietary niche, foraging behavior, roost durability, fecundity, lifespan, and colony size
249 as predictors. We also fit five multivariate PGLS models including: BMR + body mass , niche +
250 fecundity, niche + % invertebrates, niche + lifespan + fecundity, and niche + fecundity + colony.
251 We compared PGLS models with Akaike information criterion corrected for small sample sizes
252 (AICc) and assessed fit with an adjusted R^2 (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). All PGLS models

included weighting by sampling variance to account for variable sample sizes per species (Pennell, 2015).

We used generalized linear models (GLMs) to determine which individual- and habitat-level factors influence hair cortisol for each bat species. We first evaluated the relationship between body mass and hair cortisol separately for each species. Next, we ran species-specific GLMs including sex, reproductive stage, and site disturbance and predictors. Not all covariates were tested for all species due to sample size restrictions. Total sample size and balanced sample sizes among levels were considered to select the number of covariates to include in the model for each species. We included disturbance in GLMs only for species present in more than one site (*P. mesoamericanus*, *P. mexicanus*, *M. waterhousii*, *T. brasiliensis*, *G. soricina*, *D. rotundus*) since disturbance was treated as constant within sites. The only genus sampled in both Belize and Mexico was *Pteronotus*. The two species *P. mesoamericanus* (Belize) and *P. mexicanus* (Mexico) represent lineages considered conspecific until a few years ago, but are now thought to represent distinct species that diverged very recently based on molecular and morphometric evidence (Pavan and Marroig, 2016). Because their phenotypes and ecology are still very similar, we treated these as conspecific to test if there were differences in hair cortisol between representatives from the two regions (Mexico and Belize). Tukey post-hoc tests were conducted for significant covariates. We compared effect sizes across bat species by evaluating the degree of overlap in 95% confidence interval for each GLM coefficient. All analyses used the natural logarithm of hair cortisol as the response variable and assumed Gaussian errors. We report data as mean $\pm$ SD, unless otherwise noted.

Results

Ecological and evolutionary predictors of hair cortisol

We analyzed 262 hair samples from 18 different bat species representing five families in Belize and Mexico (Table 1). Hair cortisol concentration across species varied by four orders of magnitude, ranging from 36.6 ± 40.5 ng/g in *Eptesicus furinalis* to 24614 ± 14780 ng/g in *Leptonycteris yerbabuenae* (Table 1). Mean hair cortisol did not differ much across families ($F = 1.84$, $p = 0.02$, $R^2 = 0.16$), and family was not a good predictor of cortisol levels when compared to ecological and life history traits (Table 2). We did not find strong phylogenetic signal in species-level mean hair cortisol (Pagel $\lambda = 0$). Using ecological traits, mean hair cortisol was best predicted by a model including both dietary niche and fecundity, although only fecundity had a significant effect ($F_{2,15} = 5.51$; $p = 0.01$; $R^2 = 0.34$; Table 2). Annual fecundity explained 24% of the variance in Neotropical bat mean hair cortisol. Species reported to have more than one pup per year had

significantly lower cortisol than bats having only one pup per year ($F_{1,16} = 6.22$; $p = 0.02$; Fig 3). Other ecological traits including roost durability, foraging strata, and colony size were uninformative predictors (Table 2).

The lesser long-nosed bat (*Leptonycteris yerbabuenae*) showed particularly high hair cortisol (26.6 ± 14.8 ng/g). Because the high values of this species could bias inter-species comparisons, we assessed the sensitivity of our top models by excluding *L. yerbabuenae*. In Figure 3, we show how the coefficients from the PGLS top models with and without this species. In both cases, fecundity was the best ecological predictor of hair cortisol regardless of including *L. yerbabuenae* in the analyses.

Individual-level analyses of bat hair cortisol

When investigating intraspecific variation, we found positive relationships between body mass and hair cortisol in two species: *Pteronotus mesoamericanus* ($F_{1,24} = 7.34$; $p = 0.010$; $R^2 = 0.23$) and *Molossus nigricans* ($F_{1,4} = 96.52$; $p = 0.002$; $R^2 = 0.96$; Fig 5). The opposite trend was found in *Pteronotus mexicanus* where heavier bats presented lower cortisol ($F_{1,33} = 7.97$; $p < 0.01$; $R^2 = 0.19$). For *Desmodus rotundus*, only sex was a significant predictor of hair cortisol ($F_{1,19} = 4.39$; $p = 0.04$; $R^2 = 0.19$). Male vampire bats had significantly lower hair cortisol than females ($t_{20} = 2.09$; $p = 0.02$). Similarly, variation in hair cortisol in the mustached bat (*P. mesoamericanus*) and the mastiff bat (*Molossus nigricans*) was explained only by sex, with males having lower concentrations than females ($t_4 = 2.68$; $p = 0.01$ and $t_{24} = -6.373$; $p = 0.01$, respectively; Fig.6). When treating *Pteronotus mesoamericanus* (Belize) and *P. mexicanus* (Mexico) as one species, we found differences in hair cortisol between the two populations. Bats from Mexico had higher cortisol than their counterparts in Belize ($F_{1,59} = 29.88$; $p < 0.01$; $R^2 = 0.33$). Within Mexico, hair cortisol in *P. mexicanus* was explained by site disturbance ($F_{2,31} = 72.35$; $p < 0.001$): bats roosting in Don Pancho cave (San Agustín island), a site with moderate disturbance (HMI = 0.38), showed significantly higher hair cortisol than bats roosting in El Salitre and La Fabrica caves in Colima ($t_{20} = 9.94$ $p < 0.01$; $t_{21} = -10.29$, Fig 1). There was no effect of sex ($t_{33} = -1.15$; $p > 0.31$) or females' reproductive stage ($F_{4,14} = 3.26$; $p = 0.06$) on hair cortisol in *P. mexicanus*. For other species such as *Eptesicus furinalis* ($F_{1,12} = 2.451$; $p = 0.64$), *Leptonycteris yerbabuenae* ($F_{1,17} = 0.52$; $p = 0.94$), *Saccopteryx billineata* ($F_{2,17} = 0.18$; $p = 0.83$), *Rhynchonycteris naso* ($F_{2,11} = 2.60$; $p = 0.12$), *Glossophaga soricina* ($F_{3,15} = 0.13$; $p = 0.94$), *Macrotus waterhousii* ($F_{2,18} = 0.24$; $p = 0.78$), *Sturnira parvidens* ($F_{2,14} = 0.2052$, $p = 0.81$), and *Antrozous pallidus* ($F_{2,9} = 0.506$; $p = 0.68$), none of the traits examined were informative predictors of hair cortisol levels.

320

321 Figure 2. Cortisol concentration in hair samples from 18 Neotropical bat species grouped by family.

322 Error bars represent the Standard Error of the mean. The y axis is in log scale.

Family	Species	Region	N	Mass(g)	Dietary niche	Foraging style	WAR	Roost durability	Fecundity (litter/yr)	Colony size	Lifespan (years)	Cortisol (ng/g)		
				Mean $\pm$ SD								Mean	$\pm$	SD
Emballonuridae	<i>Rhynchonycteris naso</i>	BZ	14	4.54 $\pm$ 1.47	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.5	2	>1	Small	5	639,57	$\pm$	392,10
	<i>Saccopterix billineata</i>	BZ	20	6.79 $\pm$ 1.12	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.1	2,4	1	Small	5	347,28	$\pm$	232,01
Molossidae	<i>Molossus nigricans</i>	BZ	5	35.00 $\pm$ 2.35	Animalivory	Aerial forager	11.1	4	1	Large	10	227,80	$\pm$	123,54
	<i>Tadarida brasiliensis</i>	NMX	23	10.73 $\pm$ 0.63	Animalivory	Aerial forager	8.6	5,3	1	Large	10	1782,87	$\pm$	751,07
Mormoopidae	<i>Pteronotus mesoamericanus</i>	BZ	26	17.08 $\pm$ 2.06	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.7	5,6	1	Large	10	218,79	$\pm$	76,48
	<i>Pteronotus mexicanus</i>	CMX	35	13.37 $\pm$ 1.18	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.7	5,6	1	Large	10	1246,96	$\pm$	1663,56
Phyllostomidae	<i>Desmodus rotundus</i>	BZ	22	28.01 $\pm$ 3.54	Animalivory	Gleaner	6.7	4,5	>1	Large	15	395,00	$\pm$	226,92
	<i>Glossophaga soricina</i>	BZ	19	10.33 $\pm$ 1.87	Phytophagy	Gleaner	6.4	4,6	>1	Medium	10	99,41	$\pm$	117,86
	<i>Leptonycteris yerbabuenae</i>	CMX	18	22.26 $\pm$ 1.72	Phytophagy	Gleaner	7	5,6	1	Large	15	24614,50	$\pm$	14780,52
	<i>Lophostoma evotis</i>	BZ	1	19.00 $\pm$	Animalivory	Gleaner	5.3	3	1	Small	20	3046,00	$\pm$	
	<i>Macrotus waterhousii</i>	CMX	23	14.31 $\pm$ 2.63	Animalivory	Gleaner	5.8	5,6	1	Medium	10	616,37	$\pm$	415,85
	<i>Mimon cozumelae</i>	BZ	3	25.00 $\pm$ 0	Animalivory	Gleaner	8.3	2,8	1	Small	20	2000,67	$\pm$	1284,91
	<i>Sturnira parvidens</i>	BZ	17	14.61 $\pm$ 1.55	Phytophagy	Gleaner	6.5	4	>1	Small	20	635,97	$\pm$	379,79
	<i>Trachops cirrhosus</i>	BZ	3	31.50 $\pm$ 0.50	Animalivory	Gleaner	6.3	3,9	1	Medium	10	147,10	$\pm$	22,36
Vespertilionidae	<i>Antrozous pallidus</i>	NMX	10	15.80 $\pm$ 1.70	Animalivory	Gleaner	6.5	4	>1	Small	10	42,79	$\pm$	17,46
	<i>Eptesicus furinalis</i>	BZ	7	7.57 $\pm$ 0.45	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.2	3	>1	Large	20	36,66	$\pm$	40,54
	<i>Lasiurus ega</i>	BZ	2	12.00 $\pm$ 4.24	Animalivory	Aerial forager	7.9	2,5	>1	Small	15	142,83	$\pm$	18,14
	<i>Myotis velifer</i>	NMX	11	8.98 $\pm$ 1.02	Animalivory	Aerial forager	6.7	2,5	1	Large	10	211,65	$\pm$	170,79

Table 1. Species-level ecological traits and hair cortisol data for 18 Neotropical bat species.

*Site: capture site BZ: Belize, NMX: Northern Mexico, CMX: Central Mexico; N: Number of hair sample analyzed for cortisol; Species were assigned to two dietary niches: Animalivory (insectivorous, sanguinivorous and carnivorous) and phytophagy (frugivorous and nectarivorous species). Roost category assignment followed Patterson et al., 2011. WAR: Wing Aspect Ratio.

Figure 3. *Left*: Cortisol concentration in hair samples from 18 Neotropical bat species according to diet and annual fecundity. Y axes are in log scale. *Top*: Mean hair cortisol by number of offspring per year; *Bottom*: Hair cortisol by dietary niche (animalivory or phytophagy). *Right*: Differences in parameter estimates for the PGLS model with and without *Leptonycteris yerbabuenae*. Bars indicate the 95% confidence interval. The reference values for each variable of the model are listed in parentheses.

337 Table 2. PGLS models predicting hair cortisol (ln transformed) in Neotropical bats. Models are
338 ranked by ΔAICc with the number of coefficients (k), Akaike weights (w_i), and the adjusted R^2 .

Model structure	df	ΔAICc	w_i	R^2
~ niche+ fecundity	2	0	0.412	0.34
~ fecundity	4	1.08	0.247	0.24
~ niche+ fecundity+ foraging style	5	3.30	0.081	0.30
~ 1 (intercept only)	6	4.12	0.054	0
~ niche+ fecundity+ lifespan	1	4.38	0.048	0.46
~ roost durability	2	5.64	0.025	0
~niche	3	5.68	0.024	0.01
~BMR+ body mass	3	6.00	0.021	0
~sample	2	6.39	0.017	0.33
~foraging style	2	6.67	0.015	0
~colony size	4	8.01	0.007	0.37
~niche + invertebrate%	3	8.35	0.006	-0.03
~BMR + foraging style	3	8.92	0.005	-0.08
~family	5	9.12	0.004	0.16
~foraging style + WAR	3	9.15	0.004	-0.1
~lifespan	4	10.54	0.002	-0.06

339

340

Figure 4. Relationship between hair cortisol concentration and body mass for each Neotropical bat species. Lines represent the GLM fit for each species. The y axis is in log scale.

Figure 5. Mean hair cortisol concentration by sex for nine species of Neotropical bat species. Asterisks indicate species for which sex has a significant effect. Effects only shown for species with balanced sample sizes per sex. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Y axes are in log scale.

Discussion

Analysis of hair cortisol has become a popular method to study long-term stress in wild animals, offering several practical advantages (e.g. minimally invasive collection, easy sample storage and transport). An accurate interpretation of cortisol levels attributed to stress, however, requires a good understanding of the intrinsic and extrinsic drivers of baseline variation. Factors influencing hair cortisol in bats must be identified before hair cortisol can be used as a conservation tool to assess effects of environmental conditions on bat population health. In this study, we present the first quantification of hair cortisol in bats and describe relationships between hair cortisol levels and both intrinsic and ecological traits. Cortisol in blood, feces, and hair are known to be highly correlated in various mammals (e.g. chimpanzees, chipmunks, and mice; Kalliokoski *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, although the concentration values are not directly comparable across different matrices, the effects of covariates can still be compared with our results.

Overall, we found particularly high hair cortisol in most bat species compared to levels reported in hair for other mammals of a similar body size (e.g., root vole [25-50g] = 1.2-6.05; chipmunk [66-110g] = 40.27–260.22 ng/g of hair; Mastromonaco *et al.*, 2014; Książek *et al.*, 2017). Previous studies in bats, examining plasma and feces, have also reported higher cortisol and corticosterone levels relative to similar samples from other mammal species (Klose *et al.*, 2006; Lewanzik *et al.*, 2012; Kelm *et al.*, 2016; Hald, 2019). Some of the exceptional life history traits of bats, such as long lifespan and low fecundity, could explain why bats exhibit higher levels of GCs compared to other mammals (Austad and Fischer, 1991). According to life-history theory, long-lived species with low reproduction rates are expected to prioritize their adult survival (i.e. future offspring) over current reproduction (Stearns, 1992), which could in turn favor higher investment in self maintenance that might be facilitated by high baseline levels of GCs (Ricklefs and Wikelski, 2002).

Ecological factors among species

Despite the similarity in the HPA hormonal pathways across vertebrates, baseline and stress-induced GC levels are context and species-specific (Romero, 2004; French *et al.*, 2008; Crespi *et al.*, 2013; Kallioikoski *et al.*, 2019). In light of this, it is not surprising that hair cortisol levels in bats showed broad interspecific variation. The differences found could not be explained solely by taxonomic family or phylogenetic relatedness ($\lambda=0$), which suggests that other environmental and ecological factors are influencing hair cortisol in Neotropical bats.

Among all the ecological traits evaluated, annual fecundity was the best predictor of hair cortisol. Species with lower fecundity showed higher concentrations of cortisol in hair. We are not aware of any comparable studies systematically examining interspecific variation in cortisol in relation to fecundity in other mammal groups, so we cannot evaluate the generality of our findings in this group. Our results, however, agree with findings from studies in birds, where species with low clutch size and few breeding events also showed higher circulating GCs (Bókony *et al.*, 2009; Ouyang *et al.*, 2011). Life history theory would predict that, for species with lower fecundity, the value of each offspring is higher than in species with relatively high fecundity (Lendvai *et al.*, 2007). Therefore, parents of more valuable broods would be predicted to be more “willing” to invest in offspring survival, which might be facilitated by high baseline GC levels (Bókony *et al.*, 2009). The role of GCs as mediators of the adaptive energy allocation in offspring is complex and requires considering other species-specific aspects such as reproductive strategies, reproductive patterns, and seasonality (Wingfield and Sapolsky, 2003). Data on these factors are currently

limited for many Neotropical bat species, making it difficult to properly explore these interactions. Although diet explained additional variation in Neotropical bat hair cortisol, this variable was uninformative; hair cortisol did not vary significantly between our simplified dietary guilds.

Glucocorticoids play a key role in metabolic function, facilitating fuel mobilization (e.g. glucose, fatty acids) under normal and challenging conditions (Kuo *et al.*, 2015). A positive relationship between resting metabolic rate (RMR) and plasma cortisol levels has been reported for various mammalian species (including four species of bats), and this relationship has been suggested as a general pattern for mammals (Haase *et al.*, 2016). Due to the limited data on RMR for our study species, we used basal metabolic rate (BMR) as an indicator of energy expenditure. Different to what we expected, BMR was not an informative factor for cortisol variation in hair among the bats in our study. The positive relationship between cortisol levels and metabolic rate previously found in plasma might be obscured in studies of hair cortisol like ours, due to confounding factors such as moulting cycles and cortisol deposition rate. In addition, obtaining accurate basal metabolic rates in wildlife species (particularly free-ranging animals) is challenging, which raises questions about the quality of BMR data, especially in comparative studies (Genoud *et al.*, 2018). For future studies, a more realistic and informative indicator of energy turnover in free-ranging animals is the Daily Energy Expenditure (Speakman, 1997), which integrates the energy allocated in different activities such as foraging, commuting and thermoregulation (Butler *et al.*, 2004).

The relationship between body condition and GC release has been widely evaluated, because weight loss is one of the early responses to long-term stress in many species (Kitaysky *et al.*, 1999; Angelier *et al.*, 2009; Dickens and Romero, 2013). However, the direction of the effect of body condition on cortisol is context and species-dependent (Crespi *et al.*, 2013). We used body mass as an indicator of body condition because it is a more informative metric than other indexes in bats (McGuire *et al.*, 2018). We found different directions of the effect of body mass on hair cortisol. For three of the studied species (*Molossus nigricans*, *Pteronotus mesoamericanus* and *Saccopteryx bilineata*), heavier individuals showed higher concentrations of hair cortisol. In contrast, *Pteronotus mexicanus* showed a negative relationship between body mass and cortisol.

One species that stood out for its particularly high levels of cortisol was *Leptonycteris yerbabuenae*. This species is known to be highly mobile and migratory (Horner *et al.*, 1998; Buecher and Sidner, 2013). Migration was not considered in our analyses because the degree to which bats may migrate seasonally is unclear for many of the species in our sample. Migratory behavior, however, could explain such high cortisol concentrations in *L. yerbabuenae*. La Fábrica caves in Colima, one of our field sites, is known to be one of the starting points of the annual

migration of *L. yerbabuenae* (Medellin *et al.*, 2018). The role of GCs during migration has been widely studied in birds, fish, and some large mammals, but not in bats (Holberton, 1999; Romero, 2002; Wada, 2008). We hypothesize that premigratory fattening could explain the high hair cortisol levels observed in *L. yerbabuenae*, and we encourage future studies to address this question.

Consistent with other studies, we found differences in hair cortisol levels between sexes, albeit for only four of our 18 studied species: *Desmodus rotundus*, *Myotis nigricans*, *Pteronotus mexicanus*, and *Pteronotus mesoamericanus*. For these species, females showed higher cortisol than males, a trend that appears to hold for many mammalian species (Bechshøft *et al.*, 2011; Hau *et al.*, 2016; Rakotoniaina *et al.*, 2017; Dettmer *et al.*, 2018). Higher baseline levels in females can be explained by the differential regulation of gonadal steroid hormones (estrogen and androgens) on HPA axis activity. While estradiol, which is more abundant in females than males, enhances cortisol release, androgens tend to inhibit its production (Handa *et al.* 1994). Females have also shown differences in HPA axis activity depending on their life history stage, GCs being higher during the late stages of their pregnancy (Reeder *et al.*, 2004). Studies in a fruit-eating bat (*Artibeus jamaicensis*) and little brown myotis (*Myotis lucifugus*) have reported higher levels of plasma GCs in pregnant females (Reeder and Kramer, 2005; Klose *et al.*, 2006). Contrary to their findings, we did not find reproductive state to influence hair cortisol in our female-only model (i.e., for *Pteronotus mesoamericanus* in Belize). However, it may have been difficult to detect an effect given the low number of pregnant females in our sample (n=5, 24%) and the fact that moulting might not occur in conjunction with mating.

Bats have been proposed as good indicators of habitat quality due to their ecological diversity, wide distribution, and potential sensitivity to disturbance (Jones *et al.*, 2009; Stahlschmidt and Brühl, 2012). However, a clear correlation between environmental disturbance and cortisol levels in bats has not been previously reported. Prior studies that examined cortisol in blood did not find differences between bats roosting in agricultural versus urbanized areas (Wada *et al.*, 2010; Allen *et al.*, 2011; Kelm *et al.*, 2016). To assess relationships between disturbance and GCs over longer timescales and without sensitivity to capture stress, we compared hair cortisol in three species found in sites with varying fragmentation and agricultural activities. We found an effect of disturbance in only one of these species, *Pteronotus mexicanus*, which we sampled only in Mexico. Bats roosting in Don Pancho Cave island, a site classified as having intermediate disturbance, showed the highest concentrations of cortisol (Fig.1). We speculate that the high levels found in this population could reflect differences in the cave microhabitat compared to the other caves in our Mexican sample. Don Pancho Cave is a narrow crevice estimated to have a higher colony size

(100,000 individuals from 6 species; Téllez *et al.*, 2018) than the other sampled caves El Salitre (~10,000 individuals from 10 species; Torres-Flores *et al.*, 2012) and La Fábrica (>5000 individuals from four species). The higher density of bats living in the Don Pancho cave may mean that there are increased agonistic social interactions in this population (Creel *et al.*, 2013), that the risk of parasite exposure is increased (Postawa and Szubert-Kruszyńska, 2014), and parasite transmission rates are higher (Langwig *et al.*, 2012). Based on studies in other mammals, all of these factors might explain the high cortisol levels found in the Don Pancho Cave population of *P. mexicanus*; however, the influence of these factor on hair cortisol in bats is still unknown.

Physiological responses to chronic stress in wildlife are difficult to unravel and predict unless multiple responses at different levels of biological organization are evaluated simultaneously (Dickens and Romero, 2013). Hair cortisol offers great potential as a tool to monitor health in wild populations, particularly those already identified at risk (Kalliokoski *et al.*, 2019). For instance, chronically elevated cortisol levels have been linked to greater susceptibility to infection and disease severity (Davy *et al.*, 2017). Periodic surveys of hair cortisol could therefore help identify periods when bats might be more vulnerable to infection (e.g. white nose syndrome). Further, such surveys might also inform when individuals are more likely to shed zoonotic pathogens (e.g. henipaviruses and filoviruses; Plowright *et al.*, 2008; Davy *et al.*, 2017; McMichael *et al.*, 2017; Kessler *et al.*, 2018).

Conclusions

The current study reports cortisol levels in hair of 18 Neotropical bat species from two countries and serves as a reference for future research using this method in wild bat populations. We found that fecundity and potentially diet are important ecological traits explaining interspecific variation in bat hair cortisol. Within species, female bats exhibited higher cortisol than males, and the effect of body mass varied among species. Other factors that may be important at the individual level, such as parasite load and colony size, should be considered in future studies to have a more complete understanding of sources of variation on baseline GC levels within species. Importantly, studies looking at hair growth rate and moulting cycles in Neotropical bat species are imperative to give an accurate interpretation of hair cortisol as a biomarker of stress response. Applied properly, hair cortisol quantification is a powerful non-invasive technique with multiple potential applications in bat ecology, physiology, and conservation. Our findings and ongoing work will help to validate and apply hair cortisol as a monitoring tool in wild bat populations.

Acknowledgments

We thank Christine Gilman, Patricia Medd, and Paula Mackie for their assistance with the cortisol assays. We also thank Brock Fenton, Sara Ketelsen, Neil Duncan, the numerous members of the Lamanai bat research team, and the staff of the Lamanai Field Research Center for their assistance with bat capture, field logistics, and permits in Belize.

Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant [#386466] to KCW. GM was supported by the Toronto Zoo Foundation (GM) , DJB was funded by the ARCS Foundation and the American Museum of Natural History Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Fund, and NBS was supported by the Taxonomic Mammalogy Fund of the American Museum of Natural History.

References

- Acker M, Mastromonaco G, Schulte-Hostedde AI (2018) The effects of body region, season and external arsenic application on hair cortisol concentration. *Conserv Physiol* 6: coy037.
- Allen LC, Turmelle AS, Widmaier EP, Hristov NI, Mccracken GF, Kunz TH (2011) Variation in Physiological Stress between Bridge- and Cave-Roosting Brazilian Free-Tailed Bats. *Conserv Biol* 25: 374–381.
- AnAge: The Animal Ageing and Longevity Database (2020). <https://genomics.senescence.info/species/> (last accessed 4 March 2020).
- Andreasson U, Perret-Liaudet A, van Waalwijk van Doorn LJC, Blennow K, Chiasserini D, Engelborghs S, Fladby T, Genc S, Kruse N, Kuiperij HB, *et al.* (2015) A practical guide to immunoassay method validation. *Front Neurol* 6: 179.
- Angelier F, Holberton RL, Marra PP (2009) Does stress response predict return rate in a migratory bird species? A study of American redstarts and their non-breeding habitat. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 276: 3545–3551.
- Ashley NT, Barboza PS, Macbeth BJ, Janz DM, Cattet MRL, Booth RK, Wasser SK (2011) Glucocorticosteroid concentrations in feces and hair of captive caribou and reindeer following adrenocorticotrophic hormone challenge. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 172: 382–391.
- Austad SN, Fischer KE (1991) Mammalian Aging, Metabolism, and Ecology: Evidence From the Bats and Marsupials. *Journal of Gerontology: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES*.
- Azevedo A, Bailey L, Bandeira V, Dehnhard M, Fonseca C, De Sousa L, Jewgenow K (2019) Age, sex and storage time influence hair cortisol levels in a wild mammal population. *PLoS One* 14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0221124
- Bechshøft T, Sonne C, Dietz R, Born EW, Novak MA, Henchey E, Meyer JS (2011) Cortisol levels in hair of East Greenland polar bears. *Sci Total Environ* 409: 831–834.
- Becker DJ, Chumchal MM, Broders HG, Korstian JM, Clare EL, Rainwater TR, Platt SG, Simmons NB, Fenton MB (2018) Mercury bioaccumulation in bats reflects dietary connectivity to aquatic food webs. *Environ Pollut* 233: 1076–1085.
- Bókony V, Lendvai ÁZ, Likér A, Angelier F, Wingfield JC, Chastel O (2009) Stress Response and the value of reproduction: Are birds prudent parents? *Am Nat*.

535 Bonier F, Martin PR (2016) How can we estimate natural selection on endocrine traits? Lessons
536 from evolutionary biology. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*.

537 Bonier F, Martin PR, Moore IT, Wingfield JC (2009) Do baseline glucocorticoids predict fitness?
538 *Trends Ecol Evol*.

539 Boonstra R (2013) The ecology of stress: a marriage of disciplines. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12048

540 Buecher DC, Sidner R (2013) Merging Science and Management in a Rapidly Changing World:
541 Biodiversity and Management of the Madrean Archipelago III and 7th Conference on
542 Research and Resource Management in the Southwestern Deserts; 2012 May 1-5; Tucson, AZ.
543 Archipelago III.

544 Bullen RD, McKenzie NL, Cruz-Neto AP (2014) Aerodynamic power and mechanical efficiency of
545 bat airframes using a quasi-steady model. *CEAS Aeronaut J* 5: 253–264.

546 Burnham K, Anderson D (2002) A practical information-theoretic approach. In: Model Selection
547 and Multimodel Inference, 2nd Edition. Springer New York.

548 Busch DS, Hayward LS (2009) Stress in a conservation context: A discussion of glucocorticoid
549 actions and how levels change with conservation-relevant variables. *Biol Conserv*.

550 Butler PJ, Green JA, Boyd IL, Speakman JR (2004) Measuring metabolic rate in the field: The pros
551 and cons of the doubly labelled water and heart rate methods. *Funct Ecol*.

552 Creagh BW, Brendan Delehanty RB (2013) Reality as the leading cause of stress: Rethinking the
553 impact of chronic stress in nature. *Funct Ecol* 27: 11–23.

554 Creel S, Dantzer B, Goymann W, Rubenstein DR (2013) The ecology of stress: effects of the social
555 environment. *Funct Ecol* 27: 66–80.

556 Crespi EJ, Williams TD, Jessop TS, Delehanty B (2013) Life history and the ecology of stress: how
557 do glucocorticoid hormones influence life-history variation in animals? *Funct Ecol* 27: 93–
558 106.

559 Cruz-Neto AP, Garland T, Abe AS (2001) Diet, Phylogeny, and Basal Metabolic Rate in
560 Phyllostomid Bats. *Zoology*.

561 DATLife Database. Max-Planck Institute for Demographic Research (Germany) (2020).
562 <https://datlife.org/> (last accessed 4 March 2020).

563 Davenport MD, Tiefenbacher S, Lutz CK, Novak MA, Meyer JS (2006) Analysis of endogenous

cortisol concentrations in the hair of rhesus macaques. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 147: 255–261.

Davy CM, Mastromonaco GF, Riley JL, Baxter-Gilbert JH, Mayberry H, Willis CKR (2017) Conservation implications of physiological carry-over effects in bats recovering from white-nose syndrome. *Conserv Biol* 31: 615–624.

Dettmer AM, Murphy AM, Guitarra D, Slonecker E, Suomi SJ, Rosenberg KL, Novak MA, Meyer JS, Hinde K (2018) Cortisol in Neonatal Mother’s Milk Predicts Later Infant Social and Cognitive Functioning in Rhesus Monkeys. *Child Dev* 89: 525–538.

Dickens MJ, Romero LM (2013) A consensus endocrine profile for chronically stressed wild animals does not exist. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2013.06.014

Dumont ER, Dávalos LM, Goldberg A, Santana SE, Rex K, Voigt CC (2012) Morphological innovation, diversification and invasion of a new adaptive zone. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 279: 1797–1805.

Fenton MB (1990) The foraging behaviour and ecology of animal-eating bats. *Can J Zool* 68: 411–422.

Fenton MB, Bernard E, Bouchard S, Hollis L, Johnston DS, Lausen CL, Ratcliffe JM, Riskin DK, Taylor JR, Zigouris J (2001) The bat fauna of Lamanai, Belize: roosts and trophic roles. *J Trop Ecol* 17: 511–524.

Fourie NH, Brown JL, Jolly CJ, Phillips-Conroy JE, Rogers J, Bernstein RM (2016) Sources of variation in hair cortisol in wild and captive non-human primates. *Zoology* 119: 119–125.

Fraser KC, McKinnon EA, Diamond AW (2010) Migration, diet, or molt? interpreting stable-hydrogen isotope values in neotropical bats. *Biotropica* 42: 512–517.

French SS, Fokidis HB, Moore MC (2008) Variation in stress and innate immunity in the tree lizard (*Urosaurus ornatus*) across an urban-rural gradient. *J Comp Physiol B Biochem Syst Environ Physiol* 178: 997–1005.

Frick WF, Pollock JF, Hicks AC, Langwig KE, Reynolds DS, Turner GG, Butchkoski CM, Kunz TH (2010) An Emerging Disease Causes Regional Population Collapse of a Common North American Bat Species. *Science* (80-) 329: 679–682.

Genoud M, Isler K, Martin RD (2018) Comparative analyses of basal rate of metabolism in mammals: data selection does matter: Comparative analyses of basal rate of metabolism. *Biol*

Rev 93: 404–438.

Gunnell GF, Simmons NB (2012) Evolutionary History of Bats: Fossils, Molecules and Morphology. Evolutionary History of Bats: Fossils, Molecules and Morphology. Cambridge University Press.

Haase CG, Long AK, Gillooly JF (2016) Energetics of stress: Linking plasma cortisol levels to metabolic rate in mammals. *Biol Lett* 12. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2015.0867

Hald HA (2019) Cortisol Measurements in Female Whiskered Bats (*Myotis Mystacinus*) during an Extraordinarily Warm and Dry Norwegian Summer. NTNU.

Hau M, Casagrande S, Ouyang JQ, Baugh AT (2016) Glucocorticoid-Mediated Phenotypes in Vertebrates: Multilevel Variation and Evolution. *Adv Study Behav* 48: 41–115.

Hernout B V., McClean CJ, Arnold KE, Walls M, Baxter M, Boxall ABA (2016) Fur: A non-invasive approach to monitor metal exposure in bats. *Chemosphere* 147: 376–381.

Herrera JP, Duncan N, Clare E, Fenton MB, Simmons N (2018) Disassembly of Fragmented Bat Communities in Orange Walk District, Belize. *Acta Chiropterologica* 20: 147.

Hing S, Narayan EJ, Thompson RCA, Godfrey SS (2016) The relationship between physiological stress and wildlife disease: Consequences for health and conservation. *Wildl Res.*

Holberton RL (1999) Changes in patterns of corticosterone secretion concurrent with migratory fattening in a neotropical migratory bird. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 116: 49–58.

Horner MA, Fleming TH, Sahey CT (1998) Foraging behaviour and energetics of a nectar-feeding bat, *Leptonycteris curasoae* (Chiroptera: Phyllostomidae). *J Zool* 244: 575–586.

INEGI (2013) Uso de suelo y vegetación. *Datos vectoriales escala 1:250 000 Ser V* 1–84.

IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (2020). <https://www.iucnredlist.org/search?query=bats&searchType=species> (last accessed 4 July 2020).

Jones G, Jacobs DS, Kunz TH, Wilig MR, Racey PA (2009) Carpe noctem: The importance of bats as bioindicators. *Endanger Species Res* 8: 93–115.

Kalliokoski O, Jellestad FK, Murison R (2019) A systematic review of studies utilizing hair glucocorticoids as a measure of stress suggests the marker is more appropriate for quantifying short-term stressors. *Sci Rep* 9: 1–14.

- Kelm DH, Popa-Lisseanu AG, Dehnhard M, Ibáñez C (2016) Non-invasive monitoring of stress hormones in the bat *Eptesicus isabellinus* – Do fecal glucocorticoid metabolite concentrations correlate with survival? *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 226: 27–35.
- Kennedy CM, Oakleaf JR, Theobald DM, Baruch Mordo S, Kiesecker J (2019) Managing the middle: A shift in conservation priorities based on the global human modification gradient. *Glob Chang Biol* 25: 811–826.
- Kessler MK, Becker DJ, Peel AJ, Justice N V., Lunn T, Crowley DE, Jones DN, Eby P, Sánchez CA, Plowright RK (2018) Changing resource landscapes and spillover of henipaviruses. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1429: 78–99.
- Kitaysky AS, Wingfield JC, Piatt JF (1999) Dynamics of food availability, body condition and physiological stress response in breeding Black-legged Kittiwakes. *Funct Ecol* 13: 577–584.
- Klose SM, Smith CL, Denzel AJ, Kalko EKV (2006) Reproduction elevates the corticosterone stress response in common fruit bats. *J Comp Physiol A Neuroethol Sensory, Neural, Behav Physiol* 192: 341–350.
- Koren L, Mokady O, Karaskov T, Klein J, Koren G, Geffen E (2002) A novel method using hair for determining hormonal levels in wildlife. *Anim Behav*.
- Książek A, Zub K, Szafrńska PA, Wiczorek M, Konarzewski M (2017) The nexus of hair corticosterone level, immunocompetence, metabolic rates and overwinter survival in the root vole, *Microtus oeconomus*. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 250: 46–53.
- Kunz T., Fenton M. (2005) *Bat Ecology*. University of Chicago Press.
- Kunz TH, Arnett EB, Erickson WP, Hoar AR, Johnson GD, Larkin RP, Strickland MD, Thresher RW, Turtle MD (2007) Ecological impacts of wind energy development on bats: Questions, research needs, and hypotheses. *Front Ecol Environ*.
- Kunz TH, Parsons S (2009) *Ecological and Behavioral Methods for the Study of Bats*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Kuo T, McQueen A, Chen TC, Wang JC (2015) Regulation of glucose homeostasis by glucocorticoids. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 872: 99–126.
- Landys MM, Ramenofsky M, Wingfield JC (2006) Actions of glucocorticoids at a seasonal baseline as compared to stress-related levels in the regulation of periodic life processes. *Gen Comp*

Endocrinol.

- Langwig KE, Frick WF, Bried JT, Hicks AC, Kunz TH, Marm Kilpatrick A (2012) Sociality, density-dependence and microclimates determine the persistence of populations suffering from a novel fungal disease, white-nose syndrome. *Ecol Lett* 15: 1050–1057.
- Lavergne SG, Peers MJL, Mastromonaco G, Majchrzak YN, Nair A, Boutin S, Boonstra R (2020) Hair cortisol as a reliable indicator of stress physiology in the snowshoe hare: Influence of body region, sex, season, and predator–prey population dynamics. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 294: 113471.
- Lendvai ÁZ, Giraudeau M, Chastel O (2007) Reproduction and modulation of the stress response: An experimental test in the house sparrow. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 274: 391–397.
- Lewanzik D, Kelm DH, Greiner S, Dehnhard M, Voigt CC (2012) Ecological correlates of cortisol levels in two bat species with contrasting feeding habits. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2012.02.021
- Macbeth BJ, Cattet MRL, Stenhouse GB, Gibeau ML, Janz DM (2010) Hair cortisol concentration as a noninvasive measure of long-term stress in free-ranging grizzly bears (*Ursus arctos*): considerations with implications for other wildlife. *Can J Zool* 88: 935–949.
- MacDougall-Shackleton SA, Bonier F, Romero LM, Moore IT (2019) Glucocorticoids and “Stress” Are Not Synonymous. *Integr Org Biol* 1. doi:10.1093/iob/obz017
- Magioli M, Bovo AAA, Alberici V, De Barros Ferraz KMPM (2019) The use of hair traps as a complementary method in mammal ecology studies. *Mammalia* 83: 144–149.
- Mastromonaco GF, Gunn K, McCurdy-Adams H, Edwards DB, Schulte-Hostedde AI (2014) Validation and use of hair cortisol as a measure of chronic stress in eastern chipmunks (*Tamias striatus*). *Conserv Physiol* 2: cou055–cou055.
- McGuire LP, Kelly LA, Baloun DE, Boyle WA, Cheng TL, Clerc J, Fuller NW, Gerson AR, Jonasson KA, Rogers EJ, *et al.* (2018) Common condition indices are no more effective than body mass for estimating fat stores in insectivorous bats. *J Mammal* 99: 1065–1071.
- McMichael L, Edson D, Smith C, Mayer D, Smith I, Kopp S, Meers J, Field H (2017) Physiological stress and Hendra virus in flying-foxes (*Pteropus* spp.), Australia. *PLoS One* 12. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0182171

680 Medellin RA, Rivero M, Ibarra A, De La Torre JA, Gonzalez-Terrazas TP, Torres-Knoop L,
681 Tschapka M (2018) Follow me: Foraging distances of *Leptonycteris yerbabuenae* (Chiroptera:
682 Phyllostomidae) in Sonora determined by fluorescent powder. *J Mammal* 99: 306–311.

683 Meyer JS, Novak MA (2012) Minireview: Hair cortisol: A novel biomarker of hypothalamic-
684 pituitary- adrenocortical activity. *Endocrinology*.

685 Michonneau F, Brown JW, Winter DJ (2016) rotl: an R package to interact with the Open Tree of
686 Life data. *Methods Ecol Evol* 7: 1476–1481.

687 Mickleburgh SP, Hutson AM, Racey PA (2002) A review of the global conservation status of bats.
688 *Oryx* 36: 18–34.

689 Montillo M, Caslini C, Peric T, Prandi A, Netto P, Tubaro F, Pedrotti L, Bianchi A, Mattiello S
690 (2019) Analysis of 19 minerals and cortisol in red deer hair in two different areas of the stelvio
691 national park: A preliminary study. *Animals* 9: 492.

692 Norberg UM, Rayner JM V. (1987) Ecological morphology and flight in bats (Mammalia;
693 Chiroptera): wing adaptations, flight performance, foraging strategy and echolocation. *Philos*
694 *Trans R Soc London B, Biol Sci* 316: 335–427.

695 Norris DO, Carr JA (2013) An Overview of Chemical Bioregulation in Vertebrates. In: Vertebrate
696 Endocrinology. Elsevier, pp 1–22.

697 O'Donnell CFJ (2000) Conservation status and causes of decline of the threatened New Zealand
698 Long-tailed Bat *Chalinolobus tuberculatus* (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). *Mamm Rev* 30: 89–
699 106.

700 Oelbaum PJ, Fenton MB, Simmons NB, Broders HG (2019) Community structure of a Neotropical
701 bat fauna as revealed by stable isotope analysis: Not all species fit neatly into predicted guilds.
702 *Biotropica* 51: 719–730.

703 Orona Castillo I, Sangerman-Jarquín DM, Fortis Hernández M, Vázquez Vázquez C, Gallegos
704 Robles MÁ (2018) Producción y comercialización de nuez pecanera (*Carya illinoensis* Koch)
705 en el norte de Coahuila, México. *Rev Mex Ciencias Agrícolas* 4: 461–476.

706 Ouyang JQ, Sharp PJ, Dawson A, Quetting M, Hau M (2011) Hormone levels predict individual
707 differences in reproductive success in a passerine bird. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 278: 2537–2545.

708 Pagel M (1999) Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*.

709 Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K (2004) APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
710 language. *Bioinforma Appl NOTE* 20: 289–290.

711 Patterson SH, Hahn TP, Cornelius JM, Breuner CW (2014) Natural selection and glucocorticoid
712 physiology. *J Evol Biol* 27: 259–274.

713 Pavan AC, Marroig G (2016) Integrating multiple evidences in taxonomy: species diversity and
714 phylogeny of mustached bats (Mormoopidae: Pteronotus). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 103: 184–
715 198.

716 Pearson Murphy BE (2007) Glucocorticoids, Overview. In: Encyclopedia of Stress. Elsevier Inc.,
717 pp 198–210.

718 Pennell MW (2015) Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in
719 Evolutionary Biology: Concepts and Practice. — Edited by László Zsolt Garamszegi. *Syst*
720 *Biol* 64: 161–163.

721 Plowright RK, Field HE, Smith C, Divljan A, Palmer C, Tabor G, Daszak P, Foley JE (2008)
722 Reproduction and nutritional stress are risk factors for Hendra virus infection in little red
723 flying foxes (*Pteropus scapulatus*). *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 275: 861–869.

724 Postawa T, Szubert-Kruszyńska A (2014) Is parasite load dependent on host aggregation size? The
725 case of the greater mouse-eared bat *Myotis myotis* (Mammalia: Chiroptera) and its parasitic
726 mite *Spinturnix myoti* (Acari: Gamasida). *Parasitol Res* 113: 1803–1811.

727 Pragst F, Balikova MA (2006) State of the art in hair analysis for detection of drug and alcohol
728 abuse. *Clin Chim Acta*.

729 Racey PA (2013) Bat Conservation: Past, Present and Future. In: Bat Evolution, Ecology, and
730 Conservation. Springer New York, New York, NY, pp 517–532.

731 Rakotoniaina JH, Kappeler PM, Kaesler E, Hämäläinen AM, Kirschbaum C, Kraus C (2017) Hair
732 cortisol concentrations correlate negatively with survival in a wild primate population. *BMC*
733 *Ecol* 17: 1–13.

734 Reeder DAM, Kosteczko NS, Kunz TH, Widmaier EP (2004) Changes in baseline and stress-
735 induced glucocorticoid levels during the active period in free-ranging male and female little
736 brown myotis, *Myotis lucifugus* (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 136:
737 260–269.

738 Reeder DM, Kramer KM (2005) Stress in Free-Ranging Mammals: Integrating Physiology,
739 Ecology, and Natural History. *J Mammal* 86: 225–235.

740 Reid F. (2009) A field guide to the mammals of Central America & Southeast Mexico 301.

741 Ricklefs RE, Wikelski M (2002) The physiology/life-history nexus. *Trends Ecol Evol*.

742 Romero LM (2002) Seasonal changes in plasma glucocorticoid concentrations in free-living
743 vertebrates. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 128: 1–24.

744 Romero LM (2004) Physiological stress in ecology: Lessons from biomedical research. *Trends Ecol*
745 *Evol*.

746 Russell E, Koren G, Rieder M, Van Uum S (2012) Hair cortisol as a biological marker of chronic
747 stress: Current status, future directions and unanswered questions. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*
748 37: 589–601.

749 Santana SE, Dial TO, Eiting TP, Alfaro ME (2011) Roosting Ecology and the Evolution of Pelage
750 Markings in Bats. *PLoS One* 6: e25845.

751 Sapolsky RM, Romero LM, Munck AU (2000) How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses?
752 Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocr Rev*.

753 Schneeberger K, Czirj G, Voigt CC (2013) Measures of the Constitutive Immune System Are
754 Linked to Diet and Roosting Habits of Neotropical Bats. *PLoS One* 8.
755 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054023

756 Schulte PM (2014) What is environmental stress? Insights from fish living in a variable
757 environment. *J Exp Biol*.

758 Sharpley CF, McFarlane JR, Slominski A (2012) Stress-linked cortisol concentrations in hair: what
759 we know and what we need to know. *Rev Neurosci* 23: 111–121.

760 Sheriff MJ, Dantzer B, Delehanty B, Palme R, Boonstra R (2011) Measuring stress in wildlife:
761 Techniques for quantifying glucocorticoids. *Oecologia* 166: 869–887.

762 Sikes RS, Bryan JA (2016) 2016 Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use
763 of wild mammals in research and education. *J Mammal* 97: 663–688.

764 Simmons NB, Cirranello AL (2020) Bat Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic
765 databasebatnames | home. <https://batnames.org/> (last accessed 22 June 2020).

766 Speakman J., Thomas D. (2003) Physiological Ecology and Energetics of Bats. In: Kunz T, Fenton
767 M, eds. Bat Ecology. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 430–490.

768 Speakman JR (1997) Doubly Labeled Water Theory and Practice. Doubly labelled water: theory
769 and practice. Chapman & Hall Ltd, London,UK.

770 Stahlschmidt P, Brühl CA (2012) Bats as bioindicators - the need of a standardized method for
771 acoustic bat activity surveys. *Methods Ecol Evol* 3: 503–508.

772 Stearns SC (1992) The Evolution of Life Histories. Oxford University, Oxford.

773 Sullivan AR, Bump JK, Kruger LA, Peterson RO (2012) Bat-cave catchment areas: using stable
774 isotopes (δD) to determine the probable origins of hibernating bats. *Ecol Appl* 22: 1428–1434.

775 Téllez HLA, Iñiguez-Dávalos LI, Olvera-Vargas M, Vargas-Contreras JA, Herrera-Lizaola OA
776 (2018) Bats associated to caves in Jalisco, Mexico. *Therya* 9: 29–40.

777 Terwissen C V., Mastromonaco GF, Murray DL (2013) Influence of adrenocorticotrophin hormone
778 challenge and external factors (age, sex, and body region) on hair cortisol concentration in
779 Canada lynx (*Lynx canadensis*). *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 194: 162–167.

780 Tilbrook A (2000) Effects of stress on reproduction in non-rodent mammals: the role of
781 glucocorticoids and sex differences. *Rev Reprod* 5: 105–113.

782 Torres-Flores JW, López-Wilchis R, Soto-Castruita A (2012) Population dynamics, roost selection
783 and reproductive patterns of some cave bats from Western of Mexico. *Rev Biol Trop* 60:
784 1369–1389.

785 Voigt CC, Kingston T (2015) Bats in the anthropocene. In: Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation
786 of Bats in a Changing World. Springer International Publishing, pp 1–9.

787 Voigt CC, Voigt-Heucke SL, Schneeberger K (2012) Isotopic Data Do Not Support Food Sharing
788 Within Large Networks of Female Vampire Bats (*Desmodus rotundus*). *Ethology* 118: 260–
789 268.

790 Wada H (2008) Glucocorticoids: Mediators of vertebrate ontogenetic transitions. *Gen Comp*
791 *Endocrinol*.

792 Wada H, Yates DE, Evers DC, Taylor RJ, Hopkins WA (2010) Tissue mercury concentrations and
793 adrenocortical responses of female big brown bats (*Eptesicus fuscus*) near a contaminated
794 river. *Ecotoxicology* 19: 1277–1284.

795 Widmaier EP, Harmer TL, Sulak AM, Kunz TH (1994) Further characterization of the pituitary-
796 adrenocortical responses to stress in Chiroptera. *J Exp Zool* 269: 442–449.

797 Wikelski M, Cooke SJ (2006) Conservation physiology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 21: 38–46.

798 Wingfield JC, Romero LM (2011) Adrenocortical Responses to Stress and Their Modulation in
799 Free-Living Vertebrates. In: *Comprehensive Physiology*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken,
800 NJ, USA, pp 211–234.

801 Wingfield JC, Sapolsky RM (2003) Reproduction and resistance to stress: When and how. *J*
802 *Neuroendocrinol.*

803

804

Supplementary material

809

810