Genetic analysis of the septal peptidoglycan synthase FtsWI complex supports a conserved activation mechanism for SEDS-bPBP complexes

Ying Li¹, Han Gong¹, Rui Zhan¹, Shushan Ouyang¹, Kung-tae Park², Joe Lutkenhaus^{2*}, and Shishen Du^{1*}

¹Department of Microbiology, Hubei Key Laboratory of Cell Homeostasis, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, China

²Department of Microbiology, Molecular Genetics and Immunology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

***Correspondence:** ssdu@whu.edu.cn; jlutkenh@kumc.edu

Key word: FtsWI, FtsN, FtsQLB, SEDS-bPBP complex, septal peptidoglycan

Running title: Activation mechanism of the septal PG synthase FtsWI

1 Abstract

2 SEDS family peptidoglycan (PG) glycosyltransferases RodA and FtsW require their
3 cognate transpeptidases PBP2 and FtsI (class B penicillin binding proteins) to synthesize PG
4 along the cell cylinder and at the septum, respectively. The activities of these SEDS-bPBPs
5 complexes are tightly regulated to ensure proper cell elongation and division. In *Escherichia*
6 *coli* FtsN switches FtsA and FtsQLB to the active forms that synergize to stimulate FtsWI, but
7 the exact mechanism is not well understood. Previously, we isolated an activation mutation
8 in *ftsW* (M269I) that allows cell division with reduced FtsN function. To try and understand
9 the basis for activation we isolated additional substitutions at this position and found that
10 only the original substitution produced an active mutant whereas drastic changes resulted in
11 an inactive mutant. In another approach we isolated suppressors of an inactive FtsL mutant
12 and obtained FtsW^{E289G} and FtsI^{K211I} and found they bypassed FtsN. Epistatic analysis of these
13 mutations and others confirmed that the FtsN-triggered activation signal goes from FtsQLB to
14 FtsI to FtsW. Mapping these mutations and others affecting the activities of FtsWI on the
15 RodA-PBP2 structure revealed they are located at the interaction interface between the
16 extracellular loop 4 (ECL4) of FtsW and the pedestal domain of FtsI (PBP3). This supports a
17 model in which the interaction between the ECL4 of SEDS proteins and the pedestal domain
18 of their cognate bPBPs plays a critical role in the activation mechanism.

19

20 **Author summary**

21 Bacterial cell division requires the synthesis of septal peptidoglycan by the widely conserved
 22 SEDS-bPBP protein complex FtsWI, but how the complex is activated during cell division is still
 23 poorly understood. Previous studies suggest that FtsN initiates a signaling cascade in the
 24 periplasm to activate FtsW. Here we isolated and characterized activated FtsW and FtsI
 25 mutants and confirmed that the signaling cascade for FtsW activation goes from FtsN to
 26 FtsQLB to FtsI and then to FtsW. The residues corresponding to mutations affecting FtsWI
 27 activation are clustered to a small region of the interaction interface between the pedestal
 28 domain of FtsI and the extracellular loop 4 of FtsW, suggesting that this interaction mediates
 29 activation of FtsW. This is strikingly similar to the proposed activation mechanism for the
 30 RodA-PBP2 complex, another SEDS-bPBP complex required for cell elongation. Thus, the two
 31 homologous SEDS-bPBP complexes are activated similarly by completely unrelated activators
 32 that modulate the interaction interface between the SEDS proteins and the bPBPs.

34 Introduction

35 The bacterial divisome is a multi-protein complex that synthesizes septal peptidoglycan
 36 during cell division in most walled bacteria (1, 2). For decades, the class A penicillin binding
 37 protein PBP1b (contains both glycosyltransferase and transpeptidase activity) in *E. coli* was
 38 thought to be the primary septal PG synthase (2). However, recent studies indicate that FtsW
 39 is the major essential septal PG glycosyltransferase which functions along with its cognate
 40 transpeptidase FtsI (PBP3) (3), a class B penicillin binding protein. PBP1b contributes to septal
 41 PG synthesis, but it is not essential since cells divide relatively normally in its absence (4-9).
 42 FtsW is a member of the SEDS (shape, elongation, division and sporulation) family and is
 43 widely conserved in bacteria having a cell wall (10, 11). It contains 10 transmembrane
 44 segments and forms a complex with FtsI to synthesize septal PG (3, 12). Another SEDS-bPBP
 45 complex formed by RodA and PBP2 synthesizes PG during cell elongation in many rod-shaped
 46 bacteria (11, 13, 14). Although the divisome and elongasome each contain a SEDS-bPBP pair
 47 connected to an actin-like protein (FtsA and MreB, respectively), there are many additional
 48 components involved in regulation of these two systems (FtsQLBN vs MreCD/RodZ). Moreover,
 49 these regulators are completely unrelated. How these disparate regulators activate these
 50 homologous complexes to synthesize PG is critical to understanding cell elongation and
 51 division.

52 An *in vitro* PG polymerization assay showed that PBP2/FtsI is necessary for the PG
 53 glycosyltransferase activity of RodA/FtsW (3, 14, 15), however, the mechanism by which
 54 PBP2/FtsI stimulates RodA/FtsW is not fully understood. A structure of the RodA-PBP2
 55 complex from *Thermus thermophilus* revealed that there are two interaction interfaces

between RodA and PBP2 (Fig. 1A) (15). One occurs in the plane of the membrane between the single transmembrane segment of PBP2 and transmembrane segments 8 and 9 of RodA and is important for complex formation (15). Another occurs between the periplasmic pedestal domain of PBP2 and extracellular loop 4 (ECL4) of RodA (15). A negative-stain electron microscopy analysis of the *Tt*RodA-PBP2 complex suggests that this second interaction interface is transient and flexible, and very few specific interactions were observed at this interaction interface (15). However, disruption of the interaction abolished PBP2's ability to stimulate RodA *in vitro* (15). Moreover, mutations within the ECL4 of RodA or the pedestal domain of PBP2 activate the elongasome/Rod complex *in vivo* (14, 16). Thus, the model that emerges from these studies is that the pedestal domain of PBP2 acts as a central allosteric hub to regulate the activity of RodA through ECL4 (15). However, ECL4 is not completely resolved in the structure of the RodA-PBP2 complex (Fig. 1A, dash line) and additional evidences are required to confirm this model as well as to unravel the mechanistic details (15). Whether this mechanism applies to FtsWI is not clear since the transmembrane segment of FtsI seems to be sufficient for FtsW activity in the *in vitro* PG polymerization assay (3).

Although FtsI is sufficient for the PG glycosyltransferase activity of FtsW *in vitro* (3), this appears to be a basal activity and another layer of regulation exists *in vivo*. This layer includes FtsA, FtsEX, FtsQLB, and FtsN (Fig. 1B) with FtsN arriving last and triggering FtsWI activity (17-24). FtsN is a bitopic membrane protein with a short N-terminal cytoplasmic domain (FtsN^{cyto}), a transmembrane segment followed by an essential domain (FtsN^E) and a SPOR domain in the periplasm (25). In the current model, FtsN^{cyto} interacts with FtsA in the cytoplasm and

77 synergizes with the FtsN^E domain in the periplasm to switch FtsA and FtsQLB to the ON states
78 which derepress or activate the enzymatic activity of FtsWI (Fig. 1B) (1, 17, 26).

79 This regulatory model is based on the isolation of ‘activation’ (superfission) mutations in
80 *ftsA*, *ftsB* and *ftsL* which lessen or bypass the requirement for FtsN (17), presumably because
81 they mimic FtsN action. The mutations in *ftsB* and *ftsL* cluster in a small region of the coiled
82 coil domain of FtsB and FtsL termed CCD (Control of Cell Division) (17). A recent study found
83 that *P. aeruginosa* FtsQLB, or just FtsLB, activates the PG polymerization activity of FtsWI
84 suggesting that FtsQLB is an activator of FtsWI and not a repressor (20). However, FtsN did
85 not stimulate the *in vitro* system suggesting the isolated complex is already in an activated
86 state (20). In support of this model, FtsL mutants were isolated that form a complex with FtsWI
87 but are defective for cell division *in vivo* and fail to stimulate FtsWI *in vitro* (20). Another study
88 isolated similar variants of FtsL in *E. coli* and found that these mutations defined a motif in
89 FtsL named AWI (Activating FtsWI) that is critical for activation of septal PG synthesis (21).
90 Further characterization of these FtsL mutants indicated that the AWI domain of FtsL is
91 exposed by FtsN action to act on FtsI to stimulate the PG polymerization activity of FtsW (21).
92 However, biochemical evidence for the interaction is still missing.

93 FtsEX is also involved in the regulation of septal PG synthesis as a mutant of FtsEX that is
94 predicted to be unable to hydrolyze ATP blocks septal PG synthesis by interacting with FtsA
95 (19). Consistent with this, mutations in *ftsA* that disrupt this interaction alleviate the inhibition
96 provided that *ftsA* carries another mutation that bypasses the role of FtsEX in divisome
97 assembly (19). Mutations in the CCD domain of *ftsL* and *ftsB* that ‘activate’ the divisome in the
98 periplasm (require less FtsN) confer resistance to the division inhibitory activity of the FtsEX

ATPase mutant (19). Interestingly, an FtsW mutant (FtsW^{M269I}), isolated as a suppressor of the FtsEX ATPase mutant, is less dependent upon FtsN (19). The mutation is located in the ELC4 of FtsW, potentially mimicking the AWI-FtsI interaction and switching FtsW to an active conformation. To learn more about the activation mechanism of FtsWI, we further characterized *ftsW*^{M269I} and isolated additional active *ftsW* and *ftsI* mutations in this study. Our results confirm that the signaling cascade for FtsW activation follows the order of FtsN>FtsQLB>FtsI>FtsW and supports the model that FtsI/PBP2 activates FtsW/RodA via an interaction between the pedestal domain of FtsI/PBP2 and the ECL4 of FtsW/RodA. This model also explains why in some bacteria FtsI is essential even though its transpeptidase activity is dispensable.

Results

Overexpression of FtsW^{M269I} bypasses FtsN for division

Previously, we showed that FtsW^{M269I} reduces the dependency on FtsN (19), however, it was not clear whether it can completely bypass FtsN. To check this, the growth and morphology of cells carrying either wild type *ftsW* or *ftsW*^{M269I} on the chromosome were monitored following depletion of FtsN. The FtsN depletion strain contained a plasmid which expresses FtsN constitutively but is temperature sensitive for replication so that at 42 °C the plasmid is unable to replicate and FtsN is gradually depleted. As shown in Fig. S1, the strain with FtsW^{M269I} grew at 42 °C whereas the strain with FtsW had greater than a 1000-fold plating deficiency. In liquid culture, cells with *ftsW* were filamentous 4 hours after the shift to 42 °C. In contrast, cells expressing FtsW^{M269I} had a normal cell size at this time, however, by 8 hours

they stopped dividing and became filamentous indicating *ftsN* was not bypassed. Consistent with this, P1 transduction of the *ftsN::kan* allele into a strain carrying *ftsW^{M269I}* yielded colonies of varying sizes but the transductants were unable to grow when restreaked (Fig. S1C). These results indicate that FtsW^{M269I} is more efficient than FtsW for division and that a chromosomal copy of *ftsW^{M269I}* almost, but not completely, bypasses FtsN.

Some hyperactive mutations are able to bypass *ftsN* completely when overexpressed. FtsA^{E124A} was originally reported to bypass FtsN (27), however, subsequent work found that it had to be overexpressed (25). To see if overexpression of FtsW^{M269I} bypassed *ftsN*, we introduced plasmids expressing either FtsW or FtsW^{M269I} under the control of an IPTG-inducible promoter into W3110 as well as a derivative carrying *ftsW^{M269I}* on the chromosome and then tried to knock out *ftsN* by P1 transduction. For a control we used a plasmid that expresses FtsN under an IPTG-inducible promoter. As shown in Fig. S2, *ftsN::kan* transductants were readily obtained in the presence or absence of IPTG when the control plasmid expressing *ftsN* was present (basal expression of *ftsN* from the plasmid is sufficient for complementation). As expected, *ftsN::kan* could not be transduced into W3110 or the strain with *ftsW^{M269I}* on the chromosome when they contained a plasmid expressing *ftsW*. However, transductants were readily obtained with both the wild type and the FtsW^{M269I} mutant strains when *ftsW^{M269I}* was on the plasmid and induced with 60 μM IPTG (Fig. S2). These transductants grew well when restreaked in the presence of IPTG but poorly in its absence (Fig. 2A). In liquid culture, cells became filamentous if FtsW^{M269I} was not induced, whereas cells overexpressing FtsW^{M269I} continued to divide, although these cells were longer than wild type cells (Fig. 2B). These results demonstrate that overexpression of *ftsW^{M269I}* can bypass FtsN.

Mutations at the M269 position can activate or inactivate FtsW

The above results demonstrate that FtsW^{M269I} is an activated mutant which can bypass FtsN provided it is overexpressed, but how it works is not clear. Alignment of FtsW and its paralog RodA from diverse bacterial species revealed that M269 is not very conserved, but is invariably a hydrophobic amino acid (Fig. S3). Interestingly, the neighboring residue A270 is highly conserved and an A246T mutation in *Caulobacter crescentus* FtsW (corresponding to A270 of *E. coli* FtsW) produces a hyperactive division phenotype (28, 29). Also, mutation of the corresponding residue in *E. coli* RodA (A234T) bypasses its regulators (RodZ, MreC and MreD) in the elongasome complex (14, 16). In the recently reported *Thermus thermophilus* RodA-PBP2 structure (15), the residues corresponding to M269 and A270 are located in ECL4 of TtRodA and are close to the tip of the pedestal domain of TtPBP2 (Fig. 1A), which is believed to function in the allosteric activation of TtRodA. These observations suggest that the M269-A270 region of FtsW and the corresponding region of RodA play an important role in regulating their activities and further characterization of this region may provide insight into the activation mechanism of this protein family.

Since the M269I mutation is a subtle change, we tested whether other changes (M269A, M269V, M269F, M269K and M269E) would result in stronger variants that rescue the growth of an *ftsN* depletion strain without overexpression. If M269I disrupted an interaction to produce an active mutant, a more drastic change should be more disruptive leading to an even more active mutant. As shown in Fig. 3A, substitutions that replaced M269 with hydrophobic residues supported the growth of an FtsW depletion strain about as well as the WT. However, they could not rescue the growth of the *ftsN* depletion strain even when overexpressed

indicating they were not activated mutants (Fig. 3B). Removing the side chain of M269 (M269A) resulted in a partially functional protein that had to be overexpressed to complement whereas changing it to charged amino acids (M269K or M269E) resulted in non-functional proteins (Fig 3A). Not surprisingly, these mutants were also unable to rescue the growth of the *ftsN* depletion strain. These results suggest that a bulky hydrophobic residue at 269 is very important for the normal function of FtsW and M269I is a unique substitution that leads to an active FtsW. Also, introducing a charged amino acid results in an inactive protein. We also tested the A270T mutation (corresponding to the CcFtsW^{A246T} and EcRodA^{A234T}) to see if it was an active mutant. FtsW^{A270T}, however, had a slightly reduced function since a higher inducer concentration was required for complementation (Fig. S4A). Western blot of strains expressing FtsW^{M269K} and FtsW^{A270T} fused to GFP showed that they were expressed at the same level as wild type FtsW (Fig. S4B), indicating that their deficiency was not due to instability.

Inactive FtsW mutants localize to division site and recruit downstream proteins

Since FtsW^{M269K} and FtsW^{A270T} did not complement, we tested whether they localized to the Z ring using a strain in which FtsW is supplied from a plasmid under the control of an arabinose inducible promoter and FtsW-GFP fusions were expressed from an IPTG-inducible promoter on a compatible plasmid. As shown in Fig. 4A, both FtsW-GFP and FtsW^{A270T}-GFP localized to the division site and were able to support division in the absence of arabinose. Note that the level of FtsW^{A270T}-GFP expressed from this plasmid is sufficient to overcome the mutant's defect. Although FtsW^{M269K}-GFP localized to potential division sites, it did not support division. These results indicate that FtsW^{M269K}, and probably FtsW^{A270T}, do not have a problem in localizing to the Z ring.

Previous studies have shown that recruitment of FtsI is due to its TM domain and flanking residues interacting with FtsW (30, 31). Since M269 and A270 are in close proximity to the interaction interface between the TM domain of FtsW and FtsI, we tested whether FtsW^{M269K} and FtsW^{A270T} affected the recruitment of FtsI. As expected, GFP-FtsI no longer localized to potential division sites in filaments following FtsW depletion and adding back FtsW or FtsW^{A270T} restored cell division and GFP-FtsI localization (Fig. 4B). Although FtsW^{M269K} was unable to support division, it still recruited GFP-FtsI to presumptive division sites in the filaments, indicating that the divisome was assembled. Furthermore, bacterial two hybrid analysis showed that M269K and A270T did not affect the interaction between FtsW and FtsI or FtsQLB (Fig. S5). The active mutant M269I also displayed a similar interaction with these divisome proteins. Thus, the mutations (active or inactive) did not detectably affect the interaction between FtsW and other divisome proteins, indicating that they likely affect the activation of FtsW.

Isolation of FtsW^{E289G} and FtsI^{K221I} as hyperactive division mutants that bypass FtsN

In a recent study, we isolated dominant negative FtsL mutants that block cell division (21). These mutants support assembly of the divisome but are unable to divide because they are unable to interact with FtsI in response to FtsN (21). Such mutants are suppressed by the hyperactive *ftsW*^{M269I} mutation which no longer requires the activation signal from FtsN (21). To isolate additional active *ftsW* and *ftsI* mutations, we screened FtsW and FtsI mutant libraries for suppressors of one of these FtsL mutants (FtsL^{E87K}). We isolated one mutation in ECL4 of FtsW (E289G) and one in the pedestal domain of FtsI (K211I) (Fig. 1A). As shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. S6, FtsL^{E87K} and another inactive mutant FtsL^{L86F} were unable to complement an *ftsL*

depletion strain when expressed from an arabinose-inducible promoter on a plasmid. However, expression of FtsW^{E289G} or FtsI^{K211I} from an IPTG-inducible promoter on a compatible plasmid rescued the growth of the *ftsL* depletion strain when FtsL^{E87K} or FtsL^{L86F} were expressed, indicating that they are suppressed.

To prove that FtsW^{E289G} and FtsI^{K211I} were active division mutants, we tested if they suppressed other mutations that blocked septal PG synthesis. First, we tested the ATPase defective mutant of FtsEX, FtsE^{D162N}X, which was previously shown to block septal PG synthesis and to be suppressed by FtsW^{M269I} (19, 22, 32). As shown in Fig. S7A, overexpression of FtsEX^{D162N} blocked the growth of the wild type strain but not a strain carrying the *ftsW*^{E289G} mutation. Notably, FtsW^{E289G}'s resistance to FtsE^{D162N}X was even greater than FtsW^{M269I}, suggesting that FtsW^{E289G} is a stronger activation mutant than FtsW^{M269I}. A strain carrying the *ftsI*^{K211I} mutation also displayed resistance to FtsE^{D162N}X consistent with it being an activation mutation.

Next, we tested if FtsW^{E289G} and FtsI^{K211I} could suppress the depletion of FtsN. As shown in Fig. S8, overexpression of FtsW^{E289G} or FtsI^{K211I} in an *ftsN* depletion strain fully rescued the growth defect, indicating that they suppressed the depletion of FtsN. To further test the strength of FtsW^{E289G} and FtsI^{K211I}, we checked whether they could bypass *ftsN* by transducing *ftsN::kan* into strains carrying these mutations. FtsI^{K211I} was able to bypass *ftsN* on LB with 1% NaCl at 42°C (but not at 37°C) when it was overexpressed (Fig. S9) but not at the chromosomal level (data not shown). In liquid culture, *ftsI*^{K211I} *ftsN::kan* cells grew poorly and were filamentous even when *ftsI*^{K211I} was overexpressed (Fig. 6A). On the other hand, a chromosomal copy of *ftsW*^{E289G} was sufficient to bypass FtsN even on LB with 0.5% NaCl and

these transductants grew well upon restreaking (Fig. S9B). Inspection of *ftsW*^{E289G} *ftsN*::*kan* cells revealed that they are only slightly longer than the wild type cells (Fig. 6B), indicating that FtsN was largely bypassed.

We also tested additional substitutions of E289 of FtsW (E289Q, E289L and E289R) and K211 of FtsI (K211A, K211T, K211F, K211E) to see if they could rescue the growth of the *ftsN* depletion strain. Unlike substitutions at the M269 position of FtsW, which could be beneficial or detrimental for cell division, substitutions at the E289 position of FtsW and at the K211 position of FtsI did not significantly affect the function of FtsW and FtsI. As shown in Fig. S10 and S11, all tested FtsW and FtsI mutants were functional in a complementation test, but only FtsW^{E289G} and FtsI^{K211I} could rescue the FtsN depleted strain. Thus, similar to *ftsW*^{M269I}, only very specific mutations (*ftsW*^{E289G} and *ftsI*^{K211I}) gain the ability to bypass FtsN.

Lastly, we tested if the *ftsW*^{E289G} and *ftsI*^{K211I} mutations affected the interaction of FtsW or FtsI with other divisome proteins using the bacterial two hybrid assay. As shown in Fig. S5B, *ftsW*^{E289G} and *ftsI*^{K211I} did not detectably affect the interaction between FtsW or FtsI and other division proteins (FtsL, FtsB, FtsQ and FtsI or FtsW). Thus, these two mutations do not increase the activity of FtsW *in vivo* by enhancing their interaction with other divisome proteins.

Epistatic analysis of the division mutations confirms the signaling cascade for FtsWI activation

Our recent study on the role of FtsL in *E. coli* cell division suggests that the periplasmic signaling cascade for FtsWI activation follows the order FtsN>FtsQLB>FtsI>FtsW (21). In this study, and consistent with this model, we found several active mutants of FtsI and FtsW that

suppressed inactive FtsL mutants and bypassed FtsN (21). If the model is correct, active *ftsW* mutations should suppress *ftsI* mutations deficient in activation. Several mutations in the pedestal domain of FtsI (G57D, S61F, L62P and R210C) are reported to affect the localization of FtsN (33), but our recent study suggested that these mutations likely affect the ability of FtsI to activate FtsW (21). Thus, we tested if FtsW^{E289G} could suppress these *ftsI* mutations. As shown in Fig. 7, these FtsI mutants were unable to complement a strain carrying the temperature-sensitive *ftsI23* mutation at 37 °C. However, growth was restored for three of the four mutants (G57D, S61F and R210C) if FtsW^{E289G} was present. A failure to rescue the fourth mutant (L62P) may be due to the proline substitution which often affects protein structure. Thus, FtsW^{E289G} was dominant over three of these inactive FtsI mutations.

Based on our analysis above, FtsW^{M269K} and FtsW^{A270T} are likely defective in the activation step with FtsW^{M269K} more severely affected than FtsW^{A270T}. If the signaling cascade model is correct, activation mutations in upstream proteins in the cascade should not suppress FtsW^{M269K} but some may suppress FtsW^{A270T}. We tested two active mutations in the cascade, one in FtsB (E56A) and one in FtsI (K211I). As expected, FtsW^{M269K} was not suppressed by an active *ftsB*^{E56A} mutation or when FtsI^{K211I} was overexpressed (Fig. 8). However, the growth of the strain containing FtsW^{A270T} was restored in the presence of FtsB^{E56A} or when FtsI^{K211I} was overexpressed. We also tested if overexpression of FtsN, which increases the activation of FtsWI, or the *ftsA*^{R286W} mutation, which increases activation of FtsWI via the parallel cytoplasmic pathway, suppressed FtsW^{M269K} or FtsW^{A270T}. As shown in Fig. S12, the growth defect of FtsW^{A270T} but not FtsW^{M269K} was suppressed. Thus, the weak mutant (FtsW^{A270T}) still responds to activation by FtsN or by activation mutations in the upstream proteins in the

signaling cascade, but the severe mutant FtsW^{M269K} is unable to respond. Altogether, these results support that the signaling cascade flows as FtsN>FtsQLB>FtsI>FtsW and confirm that mutations in downstream proteins are dominant over mutations in upstream proteins.

***ftsW*^{E289G} and *ftsI*^{K211I} are intragenic suppressors of inactive FtsW and FtsI mutants, respectively.**

In addition to the epistatic analysis of the active and inactive mutations between different division proteins, we also tested the epistatic interaction between the active and inactive mutations of FtsI and FtsW. As shown in Fig. 9, the inactive FtsI mutants (G57D, S61F, L62P and R210C) expressed from an IPTG-inducible promoter on a plasmid were unable to complement the *ftsI23^{ts}* strain at 37 °C. However, adding the K211I mutations to these alleles, except for the FtsI^{L62P}, rescued the growth of the *ftsI23^{ts}* strain, indicating that K211I is an intragenic suppressor of these inactive *ftsI* mutations. However, it is noticeable that a basal level of expression of FtsI or FtsI^{K211I} from the plasmid was sufficient to complement the *ftsI23^{ts}* strain, whereas a higher concentration of IPTG was required for complementation with the double mutants, indicating that the inactive mutations reduced the efficiency of FtsI^{K211I}. Similar to *ftsI*^{K211I}, the *ftsW*^{E289G} mutation suppressed the *ftsW*^{M269K} and *ftsW*^{A270T} mutations. As shown in Fig. 9, the FtsW depletion strain was unable to grow on plates without arabinose, however, growth was restored by the presence of a plasmid that expressed either wild type FtsW or FtsW^{E289G} from an IPTG-inducible promoter (even in the absence of IPTG, basal expression is sufficient). With FtsW^{A270T} growth was restored at 15 μM IPTG consistent with it being somewhat deficient. However, both of the double mutants (FtsW^{M269K/E289G} and FtsW^{A270T/E289G}) complemented the depletion strain; FtsW^{A270T/E289G} did not require IPTG for

complementation whereas the FtsW^{M269K/E289G} mutant needed 15 μ M IPTG for optimal growth.

Thus, E289G rescued both M269K and A270T, although M269K reduced the activity of E289G.

Mutations affecting activation of SEDS-bBPB complexes cluster to the interaction interface between the ECL4 of SEDS proteins and the pedestal domain of bPBPs.

The residues in FtsW and FtsI that correspond to the mutations analyzed here are all located in the ECL4 of FtsW or in the pedestal domain of FtsI, which have been proposed to play a critical role in activation of the RodA-PBP2 complex (15). This prompted us to map all known mutations that result in activation or inactivation of the two SEDS-bBPB complexes to the *T. thermophilus* RodA-PBP2 structure. These include those in *E. coli* FtsW (M269I/K, A270T and E289G) and FtsI (G57D, S61F, L62P and R210C, K211I), those in *C. crescentus* FtsW (A31K, F145L, T180A and A246T), those in *E. coli* RodA (A234T and T249P) and PBP2 (Q51L, T52N and L61R), and those in *T. thermophilus* PBP2 (L43E and A186E) (Table 1). The residues altered by most of these mutations, regardless of whether that are active or inactive, are located in a small region of the interaction interface between the ECL4 of *Tt*RodA and the pedestal domain of *Tt*PBP2 or its proximal region (Fig. 10). *Ec*FtsW^{E289G} and *Ec*RodA^{T249P} lie within a small unstructured region of ECL4 which is also near the interaction interface. The location of these mutations on the *Tt*RodA-PBP2 structure support the model in which the pedestal domain of bPBPs is involved in activation of their cognate SEDS proteins via ECL4 and suggest that these residues are critical for the interaction. Notably, some of the same substitutions produced opposite phenotypes in different organisms, for example, *Ec*FtsW^{A270T} is partially defective, but *Cc*FtsW^{A246T} and *Ec*RodA^{A234T} are hyperactive. Also, many mutations are right next to each

other but produce opposite phenotypes, for example, FtsI^{R210C} is inactive for division, but FtsI^{K211I} is

Table 1. Active (green) and inactive (inactive) FtsW/RodA and FtsI/PBP2 mutations

	EcFtsW	CcFtsW	EcRodA	TtRodA
Corresponding residues of mutations	T52	A31K	I23	L18
	L164	F145L	I128	L122
	V201	T180A	S163	A156
	M269I/K/E	I245	I233	I226
	A270T	A246T	A234T	A227
	V285	V261	T249P	S242
	E289G	R264	E254	G247
	EcFtsI	CcFtsI	EcPBP2	TtPBP2
Corresponding residues of mutations	N18	I45V	A15	NA
	V54	F71	Q51L	A35
	K55	S72	T52N	L36
	G57D	A74	S54	S38
	S61F/P	G78	R58	Y42
	L62P	G79	I59	L43E
	V64	A81	L61R	T45
	I208	NA	E226	A186E
	R210C	NA	E228	E188
	K211I	NA	V229	V189

more potent for cell division. This suggests that local subtle re-arrangements of these domains lead to the activation or inactivation of the SEDS-bPBP complex. It is also worthwhile to mention that previous evolutionary sequence covariation analyses revealed strong evolutionary coupling between residues within the ECL4 of FtsW and the pedestal domain of FtsI (34-36). Since mutations that alter these residues do not affect the interaction they must affect the activation.

Discussion

A key step in bacterial cell division is the activation of septal PG synthesis. In *E. coli* and many gram-negative bacteria, this is triggered by FtsN, the last essential division protein recruited to the Z ring (23-25). Although the class A penicillin binding protein PBP1b contributes to septal PG synthesis and FtsN binds to and stimulates its activity (4, 5, 8, 9), the main function of FtsN is to activate the essential septal PG synthase FtsWI. FtsN does this by initiating two parallel activation signaling pathways, one in the cytoplasm and one in the periplasm (Fig. 1B). In the cytoplasm, the cyto domain of FtsN interacts with FtsA to switch it to an active conformation which then stimulates FtsW by an unknown mechanism (1, 17, 26). In the periplasm, the E domain of FtsN acts through FtsQLB resulting in a conformational change such that the AWI domain of FtsL becomes available to interact with FtsI stimulating the activity of FtsW (1, 17, 18, 21, 26). This proposed activation pathway is strikingly similar to that for the analogous RodA-PBP2 complex despite the regulatory proteins being completely unrelated. In this complex MreC interacts with the pedestal domain of PBP2 and is proposed

to switch the complex to the active conformation (14, 15, 37, 38). However, a detailed mechanism by which these SEDS-bPBPs are stimulated by their activators is still not clear. The *ftsW* and *ftsI* mutations isolated and characterized here that affect their activation *in vivo* are confined to the interface of the pedestal domain of FtsI and ECL4 of FtsW. Our results are consistent with the proposed activation mechanism for FtsWI and provide new insights into the working mechanism of the SEDS-bPBP complexes.

Previous studies showed that mutations in the CCD domain of FtsB and FtsL enable cells to divide with reduced or no FtsN function (17-19), whereas mutations in the AWI domain of FtsL (L86F, E87K), which lie on the opposite side of a putative helix encompassing the CCD domain, abolish FtsQLB's ability to activate septal PG synthesis *in vivo* and to stimulate FtsWI *in vitro* (20, 21). However, these inactive FtsL mutations are suppressed by a mutation in FtsW (M269I) which was isolated as a suppressor of an ATPase mutant of FtsEX and found to tolerate the depletion of FtsN (19, 21). Here we show that this mutation contains a unique substitution that allows the bypass of FtsN when overexpressed indicating input from FtsN is no longer required. However, drastic substitutions at the M269 position (M269K/E) result in inactive FtsW proteins that are key for teasing out the signaling cascade for FtsWI activation.

Two additional mutations were isolated that suppress these inactive FtsL mutations, one in the ECL4 of FtsW (E289G) and one in the pedestal domain of FtsI (K211I). Notably, the *ftsW*^{E289G} mutation was also isolated as a suppressor of *ftsN* deletion by de Boer's group (39). Both of these mutants bypass the essentiality of FtsN indicating that they are active mutants. They also suppress the ATPase mutant of FtsEX that blocks septal PG synthesis. Strikingly, cells with a single copy of *ftsW*^{E289G} allows cells to divide almost normally without FtsN. *FtsW*^{E289G}

can also suppress FtsI mutants (G57D, S61F and R210C) defective in activation *in vivo*. However, none of the reported active mutants of the upstream proteins can suppress an FtsW mutant (M269K) severely defective in activation, although they are able to suppress one (A270T) that has a weak defect. These results suggest that M269K is locked in an inactive state and are in agreement with the model (21) in which the periplasmic signaling cascade for FtsWI activation follows the order: $^E\text{FtsN} > \text{FtsQLB} (\text{FtsL}^{\text{AWI}}) > \text{FtsI} > \text{FtsW}$. Mutations in upstream proteins of the signaling cascade can block the activation of FtsWI, but once FtsW is in the active conformation (induced by the active mutations E289G or M269I reported here), this FtsN-triggered activation signaling cascade can be bypassed.

This signaling cascade ($^E\text{FtsN} > \text{FtsQLB} [\text{FtsL}^{\text{AWI}}] > \text{FtsI} > \text{FtsW}$) can explain why FtsI homologs in various organisms are essential even though their transpeptidase activity is not (15, 40). In each case the transpeptidase activity can be carried out by another PBP which localizes to the division site. We suggest that the essential role of the FtsI homologs is to transmit the activation signal to FtsW. Thus, FtsW can be activated even when FtsI is inhibited by a β -lactam as long as FtsI can transmit the activation signal to FtsW, a condition that results in the futile cycle of septal PG synthesis by FtsW (41).

How FtsI stimulates the activity of FtsW is not currently clear. Previous studies on the RodA-PBP2 complex indicated that the interaction between the pedestal domain of bPBP and the ECL4 of their cognate SEDS protein plays a critical role in activating the polymerization activity of the SEDS proteins (15). In support of this, mutations in the ECL4 of RodA and in the pedestal domain of PBP2 activate the complex (14, 16). Similarly, here we found that mutations in the ECL4 of FtsW can lock the protein in an inactive state (M269K) that no longer

responds to the activation of FtsN or in an active state (E289G and to a less extent M269I) that no longer requires FtsN for division. Mutations in the pedestal domain of FtsI can also activate (K211I) or inactivate (G57D, S61F, L62P and R210C) the FtsWI complex *in vivo*. Such mutations are quite unique and are unlikely to be isolated by site-directed mutagenesis. In BTH assays they do not detectably affect the interaction of FtsW with FtsI or their interaction with other divisome components. However, it is highly possible that these mutations will alter the interaction interface between the pedestal domain of FtsI and ECL4 of FtsW to lock the complex in an active or inactive state. Because the residues corresponding to these mutations, when mapped to the SEDS-bPBP (RodA-PBP2) structure, are clustered to a small region at the interaction interface between the pedestal domain of PBP2 and the ECL4 of RodA (Fig. 10). This change will be difficult to detect using classical genetic or biochemical approaches because previous work on the RodA-PBP2 complex indicate that this interaction interface is transient and flexible (15). Nonetheless, the isolation of active mutations in both FtsWI and RodA-PBP2 at the same positions of the protein complexes suggest that they are activated in similar ways by completely unrelated proteins which likely modulate the interface between the SEDS protein and the bPBP.

Biochemical characterization of the FtsW and FtsI mutations studied here will be key to understanding their effect on the FtsWI complex, but our genetic analysis provides some clues. Analysis of the substitutions at the 269 position of FtsW suggests that a hydrophobic interaction at this position is critical for FtsWI to transition between the active and inactive conformations. A strong hydrophobic interaction will favor the protein in the active form, whereas a loss of this hydrophobic interaction will favor the inactive form. On the other hand,

the negative charge of E289 does not seem to be important for FtsW function as even changing it to arginine only slightly affects its function. However, only the E289G substitution can lock FtsW in the active form and surprisingly, it is epistatic to the M269K mutation that locks the protein in the inactive form. Similarly, the negative charge of K211 of FtsI is not essential for its function, but only K211I produces an active FtsI protein and suppresses nearby inactive FtsI mutations. These observations suggest that subtle local re-arrangements of the FtsWI complex, likely involving the region around M269-A270 and E289 of FtsW and region around K211 of FtsI, are critical for the stabilization of the active and inactive conformations. Since these mutations appear to lock FtsWI in the active/inactive conformation, they should be useful for structural and biochemical analysis of the FtsWI complex to reveal details of the activation mechanism.

Experimental procedures

Media, bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

Cells were grown in LB medium (1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl and 0.05 g/L thymine) , LB medium without NaCl or 1% NaCl at indicated temperatures. When needed, antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: ampicillin = 100 µg/ml; spectinomycin = 25 µg/ml; kanamycin = 25 µg/ml; tetracycline = 25 µg/ml; and chloramphenicol = 20 µg/ml. Strains, plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in Table S1-S3, respectively. Construction of strains and plasmids is described in detail in Supplemental Information.

BTH assay

To detect the interaction between FtsW/FtsI or its mutants and other division proteins, appropriate plasmid pairs were co-transformed into BTH101. The next day, single colonies were resuspended in 1 ml LB medium, and 3 μ L of each aliquot was spotted on LB plates containing ampicillin, kanamycin, 40 μ g/ml X-gal and 100 μ M IPTG. Plates were incubated at 30 °C overnight before imaging.

Localization of GFP-fusion proteins

Localization of FtsW-L60-GFP. Overnight cultures of SD237 [W3110, leu::Tn10 *ftsW::kan*/pDSW406 ($P_{BAD}::ftsW$, *cat*)] carrying plasmid pDSW210 ($P_{206}::gfp$) or pSD247 ($P_{206}::ftsW-l60-gfp$) and its derivatives were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics and 0.2% arabinose, grown at 30 °C for 2 h. Cells were then collected by centrifugation and washed twice with fresh LB and resuspended in the same volume of LB medium. These arabinose-free culture were then diluted 1:10 in fresh LB medium and IPTG was added to a final concentration of 60 μ M. 4 hours post removal of arabinose and induction with IPTG, cells were immobilized on 2% agarose pad for photograph.

Localization of GFP-FtsI. Overnight cultures of SD288 [W3110, *ftsW::kan* *gfp-ftsI*/pSD257 (*pSC101ts*, *ftsW*, *Spc*)] carrying plasmid pBAD33 or pDSW406 (pBAD33, $P_{BAD}::ftsW$) and its derivatives from 30 °C were diluted 100X in fresh LB medium with antibiotics, grown at 30 °C for 2 h. The cultures were then diluted 1:10 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics, 0.2% arabinose and 10 μ M IPTG and grown at 37 °C for 3 hours. Cells were immobilized on 2% agarose pad for photograph.

Creation of FtsW and FtsI mutant library and screen for suppressors of dominant-negative

FtsL mutants

Creation of FtsW and FtsI mutant libraries. *ftsW* was subjected to random PCR mutagenesis using the primer 5-NcoI-*ftsW* and 3-*ftsW*-HindIII and plasmid pSEB429 (pDSW208, P₂₀₄::*ftsW*). Gel purified PCR product was digested with NcoI and HindIII and ligated into pSEB429 digested with the same enzymes. Ligation product was transformed into JS238 competent cells and plated on LB plates with ampicillin and glucose. About 20,000 transformants were pooled together and plasmids were isolated and stocked. FtsI mutant library was constructed similarly using primer pair pLY91-I-F and pLY91-I-R and plasmid pLY91 as a template.

Screen for suppressors of dominant-negative FtsL mutants. The mutagenized pSEB429 (pSEB429M) or pLY91 (pLY91M) was transformed into strain SD399 [W3110, *ftsL*::*kan* / pSD256 (pSC101ts, *ftsL*, *aadA*)] harboring plasmid pSD296-E87K (pBAD33, P_{BAD}::*ftsL*^{E87K}) and suppressors of FtsL^{E87K} were selected on LB plates with antibiotics, 0.2% arabinose and 60 µM IPTG at 37 °C. Strain SD399 could not grow at 37 °C because plasmid pSD256 was not able to replicate, plasmid pSD296-E87K was not able to complement as FtsL^{E87K} was not functional and toxic when induced with arabinose. Transformants that could grow on the selective plates thus likely contained plasmids expressing FtsW or FtsI mutants that could suppress the defect of FtsL^{E87K}. In the screen with FtsW mutant library, 20 transformants were picked and restreaked on the selective plates for growth, 14 of them grew well. Plasmids were isolated from these 14 transformants and retransformed into strain SD399 carrying pSD296-E87K to confirm the suppression. Sequencing of the *ftsW* genes in these 14 suppressing plasmids showed that all of them harbored the E289G mutation (8 contained only the E289G mutation,

6 contained the E289G mutation as well as additional mutations). However these other mutations were not further studied because the suppression of FtsL^{E87K} was apparently due to the E289G mutation. In the screen with FtsI mutant library, 52 transformants were picked and restreaked on the selective plates for growth, 18 of them grew well. 17 of the plasmids isolated were confirmed to suppress FtsL^{E87K} and sequencing of the *ftsI* gene on these plasmids showed that all of them harbor the K211I mutation.

Western blot

To measure the level of FtsW-L60-GFP mutants, overnight cultures of JS238 (wild type strain) harboring the respective plasmid were diluted 1:100 in LB medium with ampicillin and 30μM IPTG. After growth at 37°C for 2 hours, OD₆₀₀ of each culture was measured and samples were taken for western blot. Cells were collected, resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and kept at room temperature for 30 min before they were loaded on the SDS-PAGE gel for analysis. Western blotting and detection of FtsW-L60-GFP were performed as previously described for other division proteins . Anti-GFP antibody (Rockland Immunochemicals) was used at a dilution of 1/1000.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Du lab and the Lutkenhaus lab for comments and advice in preparing the manuscript. This study was supported by funding from National Institute of General Medical Sciences (grant GM029746; <https://www.nigms.nih.gov/>) to Joe Lutkenhaus, and funding from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 32070032,

494 <http://www.nsf.gov.cn/>) and Wuhan University (<https://www.whu.edu.cn/>) to Shishen Du.

495 Author Contributions

496 S.D. and J.L. designed the research; Y.L., H.G., S. Ouyang., R.Z., S.D. and K.T.P performed the
497 research; Y.L., S.D. and J.L. analyzed data and wrote the manuscript.

498

499 References:

- 500 1. Du S, Lutkenhaus J. Assembly and activation of the Escherichia coli divisome. Mol Microbiol.
501 2017;105(2):177-87.
- 502 2. Egan AJF, Errington J, Vollmer W. Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis and remodelling. Nat Rev
503 Microbiol. 2020;18(8):446-60.
- 504 3. Taguchi A, Welsh MA, Marmont LS, Lee W, Sjodt M, Kruse AC, et al. FtsW is a peptidoglycan
505 polymerase that is functional only in complex with its cognate penicillin-binding protein. Nat Microbiol.
506 2019;4(4):587-94.
- 507 4. Bertsche U, Kast T, Wolf B, Fraipont C, Aarsman ME, Kannenberg K, et al. Interaction between two
508 murein (peptidoglycan) synthases, PBP3 and PBP1B, in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol. 2006;61(3):675-
509 90.
- 510 5. Muller P, Ewers C, Bertsche U, Anstett M, Kallis T, Breukink E, et al. The essential cell division
511 protein FtsN interacts with the murein (peptidoglycan) synthase PBP1B in Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem.
512 2007;282(50):36394-402.
- 513 6. Paradis-Bleau C, Markovski M, Uehara T, Lupoli TJ, Walker S, Kahne DE, et al. Lipoprotein cofactors
514 located in the outer membrane activate bacterial cell wall polymerases. Cell. 2010;143(7):1110-20.
- 515 7. Typas A, Banzhaf M, van den Berg van Saparoea B, Verheul J, Biboy J, Nichols RJ, et al. Regulation
516 of peptidoglycan synthesis by outer-membrane proteins. Cell. 2010;143(7):1097-109.
- 517 8. Boes A, Olatunji S, Breukink E, Terrak M. Regulation of the Peptidoglycan Polymerase Activity of
518 PBP1b by Antagonist Actions of the Core Divisome Proteins FtsBLQ and FtsN. mBio. 2019;10(1).
- 519 9. Boes A, Kerff F, Herman R, Touze T, Breukink E, Terrak M. The bacterial cell division protein
520 fragment (E)FtsN binds to and activates the major peptidoglycan synthase PBP1b. J Biol Chem.
521 2020;295(52):18256-65.
- 522 10. Ikeda M, Sato T, Wachi M, Jung HK, Ishino F, Kobayashi Y, et al. Structural similarity among
523 Escherichia coli FtsW and RodA proteins and Bacillus subtilis SpoVE protein, which function in cell
524 division, cell elongation, and spore formation, respectively. J Bacteriol. 1989;171(11):6375-8.
- 525 11. Meeske AJ, Riley EP, Robins WP, Uehara T, Mekalanos JJ, Kahne D, et al. SEDS proteins are a
526 widespread family of bacterial cell wall polymerases. Nature. 2016;537(7622):634-8.
- 527 12. Lara B, Ayala JA. Topological characterization of the essential Escherichia coli cell division protein
528 FtsW. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2002;216(1):23-32.

13. Cho H, Wivagg CN, Kapoor M, Barry Z, Rohs PDA, Suh H, et al. Bacterial cell wall biogenesis is mediated by SEDS and PBP polymerase families functioning semi-autonomously. *Nat Microbiol.* 2016;1:16172.
14. Rohs PDA, Buss J, Sim SI, Squyres GR, Srisuknimit V, Smith M, et al. A central role for PBP2 in the activation of peptidoglycan polymerization by the bacterial cell elongation machinery. *PLoS Genet.* 2018;14(10):e1007726.
15. Sjodt M, Rohs PDA, Gilman MSA, Erlandson SC, Zheng S, Green AG, et al. Structural coordination of polymerization and crosslinking by a SEDS-bPBP peptidoglycan synthase complex. *Nat Microbiol.* 2020;5(6):813-20.
16. Shiomi D, Toyoda A, Aizu T, Ejima F, Fujiyama A, Shini T, et al. Mutations in cell elongation genes mreB, mrdA and mrdB suppress the shape defect of RodZ-deficient cells. *Mol Microbiol.* 2013;87(5):1029-44.
17. Liu B, Persons L, Lee L, de Boer PA. Roles for both FtsA and the FtsBLQ subcomplex in FtsN-stimulated cell constriction in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Microbiol.* 2015;95(6):945-70.
18. Tsang MJ, Bernhardt TG. A role for the FtsQLB complex in cytokinetic ring activation revealed by an ftsL allele that accelerates division. *Mol Microbiol.* 2015;95(6):925-44.
19. Du S, Pichoff S, Lutkenhaus J. FtsEX acts on FtsA to regulate divisome assembly and activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2016;113(34):E5052-61.
20. Marmont LS, Bernhardt TG. A conserved subcomplex within the bacterial cytokinetic ring activates cell wall synthesis by the FtsW-FtsI synthase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2020;117(38):23879-85.
21. Park KT, Du S, Lutkenhaus J. Essential Role for FtsL in Activation of Septal Peptidoglycan Synthesis. *mBio.* 2020;11(6).
22. Du S, Pichoff S, Lutkenhaus J. Roles of ATP Hydrolysis by FtsEX and Interaction with FtsA in Regulation of Septal Peptidoglycan Synthesis and Hydrolysis. *mBio.* 2020;11(4).
23. Addinall SG, Cao C, Lutkenhaus J. FtsN, a late recruit to the septum in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Microbiol.* 1997;25(2):303-9.
24. Lutkenhaus J. FtsN--trigger for septation. *J Bacteriol.* 2009;191(24):7381-2.
25. Gerding MA, Liu B, Bendezu FO, Hale CA, Bernhardt TG, de Boer PA. Self-enhanced accumulation of FtsN at Division Sites and Roles for Other Proteins with a SPOR domain (DamX, DedD, and RlpA) in *Escherichia coli* cell constriction. *J Bacteriol.* 2009;191(24):7383-401.
26. Tsang MJ, Bernhardt TG. Guiding divisome assembly and controlling its activity. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2015;24:60-5.
27. Bernard CS, Sadasivam M, Shiomi D, Margolin W. An altered FtsA can compensate for the loss of essential cell division protein FtsN in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Microbiol.* 2007;64(5):1289-305.
28. Modell JW, Hopkins AC, Laub MT. A DNA damage checkpoint in *Caulobacter crescentus* inhibits cell division through a direct interaction with FtsW. *Genes Dev.* 2011;25(12):1328-43.
29. Modell JW, Kambara TK, Perchuk BS, Laub MT. A DNA damage-induced, SOS-independent checkpoint regulates cell division in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *PLoS Biol.* 2014;12(10):e1001977.
30. Mercer KL, Weiss DS. The *Escherichia coli* cell division protein FtsW is required to recruit its cognate transpeptidase, FtsI (PBP3), to the division site. *J Bacteriol.* 2002;184(4):904-12.
31. Wissel MC, Wendt JL, Mitchell CJ, Weiss DS. The transmembrane helix of the *Escherichia coli* division protein FtsI localizes to the septal ring. *J Bacteriol.* 2005;187(1):320-8.
32. Arends SJ, Kustus RJ, Weiss DS. ATP-binding site lesions in FtsE impair cell division. *J Bacteriol.* 2009;191(12):3772-84.

33. Wissel MC, Weiss DS. Genetic analysis of the cell division protein FtsI (PBP3): amino acid substitutions that impair septal localization of FtsI and recruitment of FtsN. *J Bacteriol.* 2004;186(2):490-502.
34. Ovchinnikov S, Kinch L, Park H, Liao Y, Pei J, Kim DE, et al. Large-scale determination of previously unsolved protein structures using evolutionary information. *Elife.* 2015;4:e09248.
35. Sjodt M, Brock K, Dobihal G, Rohs PDA, Green AG, Hopf TA, et al. Structure of the peptidoglycan polymerase RodA resolved by evolutionary coupling analysis. *Nature.* 2018;556(7699):118-21.
36. Cong Q, Anishchenko I, Ovchinnikov S, Baker D. Protein interaction networks revealed by proteome coevolution. *Science.* 2019;365(6449):185-9.
37. Liu X, Biboy J, Consoli E, Vollmer W, den Blaauwen T. MreC and MreD balance the interaction between the elongasome proteins PBP2 and RodA. *PLoS Genet.* 2020;16(12):e1009276.
38. Contreras-Martel C, Martins A, Ecobichon C, Trindade DM, Mattei PJ, Hicham S, et al. Molecular architecture of the PBP2-MreC core bacterial cell wall synthesis complex. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8(1):776.
39. Xinxing Yang RM, Zhixin Lyu, Polly Phillips-Mason, Ana De La Cruz, Joshua W. McCausland, Hai Liang, Kristem E. DeMeester, Cintia C. Santiago, Catherine L. Grimes, Piet de Boer, Jie Xiao. FtsW exhibits distinct processive motions driven by either septal cell wall synthesis or FtsZ treadmilling in *E. coli*. *bioRxiv.* 2020.
40. Sassine J, Xu M, Sidiq KR, Emmins R, Errington J, Daniel RA. Functional redundancy of division specific penicillin-binding proteins in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol.* 2017;106(2):304-18.
41. Cho H, Uehara T, Bernhardt TG. Beta-lactam antibiotics induce a lethal malfunctioning of the bacterial cell wall synthesis machinery. *Cell.* 2014;159(6):1300-11.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Structure of a SEDS-bPBP protein complex and a model for FtsN-induced activation of FtsWI. (A) Structure of *T. thermophilus* RodA-PBP2 and the location of the corresponding residues in FtsW and FtsI analyzed in this study. *Tt*RodA is colored green and *Tt*PBP2 is colored cyan, the unresolved region in ECL4 of *Tt*RodA is colored orange. The residues are numbered as in *E. coli* FtsW and the catalytic residues of *Tt*RodA and *Tt*PBP2 are colored red. Residues altered by mutations studied here are indicated and colored orange. (B) The signal transduction pathway leading to the activation of FtsWI. FtsN switches FtsA and FtsQLB to the ON conformations via its cytoplasmic domain (Cyto) and its E domain (E), respectively. In the cytoplasm, the activation signal in FtsA is transmitted to FtsW and this is regulated by the ATPase activity of FtsEX via an interaction between FtsX and FtsA. In the periplasm, the action of the E domain of FtsN likely causes a conformational change of the FtsQLB complex at the CCD domain (Control of Cell Division) of FtsB and FtsL, resulting in the availability of the AWI domain (Activation of FtsWI) of FtsL which interacts with FtsI. These signals synergize to activate FtsW.

Fig. 2. Overexpression of FtsW^{M269I} bypasses FtsN. (A) IPTG-dependent growth of *ftsN::kan* transductants from Fig. S2. A single transductant of each strain was restreaked on LB plates with ampicillin, kanamycin, 1 mM sodium citrate and with or without 60 μM IPTG. Plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight and photographed. (B) Morphology of WT and FtsW^{M269I} cells with or without FtsN. Cells from an overnight culture of SD534 [W3110, *ftsN::kan* *ftsW*^{M269I}/pSEB429-M269I (pDSW208, P₂₀₄::*ftsW*^{M269I})] were collected by centrifugation and washed twice with LB to remove IPTG and resuspended in the same volume of LB. The culture

was diluted 1:100 and split in half, one with IPTG and one without IPTG and grown at 37 °C.

The control cultures (W3110 and SD488 [W3110, *leu::Tn10 ftsW^{M269I}*]) were diluted 1:100 in

fresh LB medium with antibiotics and grown at 37 °C. Samples were taken for photography

2.5 hours after removal of IPTG. The scale bar is 5 µm.

Fig. 3. *FtsW^{M269I}* is a unique mutant that suppresses an *FtsN* depletion strain. (A)

Complementation test of *FtsW* mutants. Plasmid pDSW208, pSEB429 or its derivatives

carrying *ftsW* mutations were transformed into strain SD237 [W3110, *leu::Tn10*,

ftsW::kan/pDSW406 (pBAD33, *P_{BAD}::ftsW*)] on LB plates with ampicillin, kanamycin,

chloramphenicol and 0.2% arabinose. The next day, a single transformant of each resulting

strain was resuspended in 1 ml of LB medium, serially diluted. 3 µl of each dilution was spot

on LB plates with antibiotics, with or without 0.2% arabinose and increasing concentrations

of IPTG. Plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight and photographed. (B) Spot test of the ability

of *FtsW* mutants to rescue the growth of an *FtsN* depletion strain. Colonies of strain SD264

[W3110, *ftsN::kan*/pBL154 (pSC101^{ts}, *P_{syn}::ftsN*, *spc*)] harboring pDSW208 or pSEB429

expressing *FtsW* variants were resuspended in 1 ml of LB medium and serially diluted. 3 µl of

each dilution was spotted on LB plates with antibiotics with or without IPTG. Plates were

incubated at 30 °C for 24 hours or at 42 °C overnight and photographed.

Fig. 4. *FtsW^{M269K}* and *FtsW^{A270T}* localize to the division site and recruit *FtsI*. (A) Localization of

FtsW mutants. Localization of the *FtsW* mutants was assessed in the *FtsW* depletion strain

SD237 [W3110, *leu::Tn10 ftsW::kan*/pDSW406 (*P_{BAD}::ftsW*)] using plasmid pSD347 (*P₂₀₆::ftsW-*

l60-gfp) and derivatives carrying *ftsW* mutations. After removal of arabinose, IPTG was added

to the culture to induce the fusion protein and 4 hours post induction cells were immobilized

on 2% agarose pad for photographing. (B) Localization of FtsI. Overnight cultures of SD288 [W3110, *ftsW::kan gfp-ftsI*/pSD257 (pSC101^{ts}, *ftsW*)] carrying plasmid pBAD33 or pDSW406 (pBAD33, P_{BAD}::*ftsW*) or its derivatives grown at 30 °C were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics and grown at 30 °C for 2 h. The cultures were then diluted 1:10 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics, 0.2% arabinose and 10 μM IPTG and grown at 37 °C for 4 hours. Cells were immobilized on 2% agarose pads for photography. The scale bar is 5 μm.

Fig. 5. FtsI^{K211I} and FtsW^{E289G} rescue inactive FtsL mutants. Plasmids pDSW208, pSEB429 (pDSW208, P₂₀₄::*ftsW*), pLY91 (pDSW208, P₂₀₄::*ftsI*) or their derivatives carrying different *ftsW* or *ftsI* alleles were transformed into strain SD399 (W3110, *ftsL::kan* /pSD256) harboring plasmid pSD296-L86F (pBAD33, P_{BAD}::*ftsL*^{L86F}) or pSD296-E87K (pBAD33, P_{BAD}::*ftsL*^{E87K}) and transformants selected on LB plates with antibiotics and glucose. The next day, a single colony of each resulting strain was subject to spot tests. Plates were incubated at 30 °C for 24 hours or at 42 °C overnight and photographed.

Fig. 6. FtsI^{K211I} and FtsW^{E289G} bypass FtsN. (A) Morphology of WT and FtsI^{K211I} cells with or without FtsN. Overnight cultures of W3110, LYA8 (W3110, *leu::Tn10 ftsI*^{K211I}) and LYA9/pLY105 [W3110, *leu::Tn10 ftsN::kan ftsI*^{K211I}/pLY105 (P₂₀₄::*ftsI*^{K211I})] were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics and grown at 42 °C. 60 μM IPTG was added to the culture of LYA9/pLY105. 2 hours later, samples were taken for photography. The scale bar is 5 μm. (B) Morphology of WT and FtsW^{E289G} cells with or without FtsN. Overnight cultures of W3110, SD488, SD264 (W3110, *leu::Tn10 ftsN::kan*/pBL154 (pSC101^{ts}, P_{syn}::*ftsN*)] and SD530 (W3110, *leu::Tn10 ftsN::kan ftsW*^{E289G}) were diluted 1:100 in fresh LB medium with antibiotics and grown at 37 °C. Samples were taken for photography 2 hours later. The scale bar is 5 μm.

Fig. 7. Overexpression of FtsW^{E289G} suppresses FtsI mutations defective in activation of septal PG synthesis. Plasmid pDSW208, pSEB429 (pDSW208, P₂₀₄::*ftsW*) or its derivatives carrying different *ftsW* alleles were co-transformed with plasmid pBAD33 carrying different *ftsI* alleles into strain MCI23 Δ *recA* (MC4100, *ftsI23 recA::spec*). Transformants were selected on LB plates with antibiotics and glucose at 30 °C. The next day, a single colony of each resulting strain was subjected to a spot test.

Fig. 8. FtsB^{E56A} and FtsI^{K211I} suppress FtsW^{A270T} but not FtsW^{M269K}. (A) Spot test to assess the ability of FtsB^{E56A} to suppress FtsW^{A270T} and FtsW^{M269K}. Plasmid pDSW208, pSEB429 or its derivatives carrying different *ftsW* alleles were transformed into strain SD367 [TB28, *ftsW::kan*/pSD257(pSC101^{ts}, *ftsW*)] or SD368 [TB28, *ftsB*^{E56A}, *ftsW::kan*/pSD257(pSC101^{ts}, *ftsW*)] on LB plates with ampicillin, kanamycin and spectinomycin at 30 °C. The spot test was performed as in Fig. 5. (B) Spot test of the ability of FtsI^{K211I} to suppress FtsW^{A270T} and FtsW^{M269K}. The test was done in strain SD292 [W3110, *ftsW::kan recA56 slrD::Tn10* /pSD257 (pSC101^{ts}, *ftsW*)] containing plasmid pLY91 (P₂₀₄::*ftsI*) or pLY105 (P₂₀₄::*ftsI*^{K211I}). SD292 was transformed with plasmid pBAD33 carrying different *ftsW* alleles and transformants selected at 30 °C. Test was done as (A) on LB plates with or without arabinose and IPTG at 30 °C and 42 °C.

Fig. 9. *ftsI*^{K211I} and *ftsW*^{E289G} are intragenic suppressors of inactive FtsI and FtsW mutants respectively. (A) *ftsI*^{K211I} suppresses inactive FtsI mutations. Plasmid pDSW208, pLY91 (pDSW208, P₂₀₄::*ftsI*) or its derivatives carrying different alleles of *ftsI* were transformed into strain MCI23 Δ *recA* (W3110, *recA::spec*, *ftsI23*) and transformants selected on LB plates with antibiotics and glucose at 30 °C. The next day, a single colony of each resulting strain was subjected to spot tests. (B) *ftsW*^{E289G} suppresses inactive FtsW mutants. Plasmid pDSW208,

685 pSEB429 or its derivatives carrying different alleles of *ftsW* were transformed into strain
 686 SD237 (W3110, *leu::Tn10*, *ftsW::kan*/pDSW406 [pBAD33, P_{BAD}::*ftsW*]) on LB plates with
 687 ampicillin, kanamycin, chloramphenicol and 0.2% arabinose at 37 °C. The test was performed
 688 as Fig. 3A.

689 Fig. 10. Location of residues on the *TtRodA*-PBP2 structure that correspond to mutations that
 690 affect the activity of SEDS-bPBP protein complexes. *TtRodA* is colored green, whereas *TtPBP2*
 691 is colored cyan (only a part of the pedestal domain is shown). Mutations that increased the
 692 activity of FtsWI or RodA-PBP2 are colored orange, whereas mutations that inhibit the activity
 693 of these SEDS-bPBP protein complex are colored magenta. The putative catalytic residue is
 694 colored red. Most of the active/inactive mutations are located at the interaction interface
 695 between ECL4 of *TtRodA* and the tip of the lower part of the pedestal domain of *TtPBP2*.

696

A

B

Figure 1

A

- IPTG

+ IPTG

B

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

B

Figure 4

A

B

Figure 5

A

B

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

ftsW::kan /pSC101^{ts}, ftsW

42 °C + IPTG (μM)

B

ftsW::kan /pSC101^{ts}, ftsW

42 °C + 0.2% Arabinose + IPTG (μM)

Figure 8

A

B

Figure 9

Figure 10