TXN, a Xanthohumol Derivative, Attenuates High-Fat Diet Induced Hepatic Steatosis by Antagonizing PPAR γ

Yang Zhang^{1*}, Gerd Bobe², Cristobal L. Miranda³, Malcolm B. Lowry⁴, Victor L. Hsu⁵,
Christiane V. Löhr⁶, Carmen P. Wong¹, Donald B. Jump¹, Matthew M. Robinson⁷, Thomas J.
Sharpton⁸, Claudia S. Maier⁹, Jan F. Stevens³, Adrian F. Gombart^{10*}

¹School of Biological and Population Health Sciences, Nutrition Program, Linus Pauling
Institute, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

²Department of Animal Sciences, Linus Pauling Institute, Oregon State University, Corvallis,
OR 97331, USA

³Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Linus Pauling Institute, Oregon State University,
Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

⁴Department of Microbiology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

⁵Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331,
USA

⁶Department of Biomedical Science, Carlson College of Veterinary Medicine, Corvallis, OR
97331, USA

⁷School of Biological and Population Health Sciences, Kinesiology Program, Oregon State
University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

⁸Department of Microbiology, Department of Statistics, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR
97331, USA

⁹Department of Chemistry, Linus Pauling Institute, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR
97331, USA

¹⁰Linus Pauling Institute, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Oregon State University,
Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Abstract

We previously reported xanthohumol (XN), and its synthetic derivative tetrahydro-XN (TXN) attenuates high-fat diet (HFD) induced obesity and metabolic syndrome in C57BL/6J mice. The objective of the current study was to determine the effect of XN and TXN on lipid accumulation in the liver. Non-supplemented mice were unable to adapt their caloric intake to 60% HFD, resulting in obesity and hepatic steatosis; however, TXN reduced weight gain and decreased hepatic steatosis. Liver transcriptomics indicated TXN might antagonize lipogenic PPAR γ actions *in vivo*. XN and TXN inhibited rosiglitazone-induced 3T3-L1 cell differentiation concomitant with decreased expression of lipogenesis-related genes. A PPAR γ competitive binding assay showed XN and TXN bind to PPAR γ with an IC₅₀ similar to pioglitazone and 8-10 times stronger than oleate. Molecular docking simulations demonstrated XN and TXN bind in the PPAR γ ligand-binding domain pocket. Our findings are consistent with XN and TXN acting as antagonists of PPAR γ .

Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a major global health threat characterized by excessive hepatic lipid droplet accumulation with a history of little or no alcohol consumption (Hashimoto, Taniai and Tokushige, 2013). About one-quarter of the US population suffers from NAFLD (Estes *et al.*, 2018) with rates in the rest of the world ranging from 14% in Africa to 32% in the Middle East (Younossi *et al.*, 2016). The continuing obesity and diabetes epidemic drives increasing rates of NAFLD (Estes *et al.*, 2018). Unfortunately, no FDA-approved drugs exist for its treatment. Sustained healthy life style changes and weight loss are the only interventions proven effective in preventing the onset and progression of NAFLD (Stefan, Häring and Cusi, 2019). Thus, there is a critical need for novel and effective interventions.

As a central hub for lipid metabolism, a healthy liver maintains homeostasis among uptake, esterification, oxidation and secretion of fatty acids (FAs) (Goldberg and Ginsberg, 2006). Overconsumption of saturated FAs or sugars can overload the liver, disrupt lipid homeostasis, resulting in excess storage of triacylglycerols (TAG) in hepatocytes and the onset and progression of hepatic steatosis (Ipsen, Lykkesfeldt and Tveden-Nyborg, 2018). Given that PPAR γ is important in hepatic lipogenesis (Sharma and Staels, 2007), it has attracted

considerable attention as a therapeutic target for NAFLD (Almeda-Valdés, Cuevas-Ramos and Aguilar-Salinas, 2009).

Attenuated PPAR γ activity in heterozygous PPAR γ -deficient (*PPAR $\gamma^{+/-}$*) C57BL/6J mice protects against HFD-induced obesity, liver steatosis and adipocyte hypertrophy; however, treatment with the PPAR γ agonist pioglitazone (PGZ) abrogates the protection against hypertrophy and decreases insulin sensitivity (Kubota *et al.*, 1999) suggesting a potential beneficial use for PPAR γ antagonists to treat hepatic steatosis. PPAR γ antagonists tanshinone IIA (Gong *et al.*, 2009), β -cryptoxanthine (Goto *et al.*, 2013), protopanaxatriol (Zhang *et al.*, 2014), isorhamnetin (Zhang *et al.*, 2016), and Gleevec (Choi *et al.*, 2016) improved multiple metabolic parameters in diet-induced obese (DIO) mice. These observations strongly suggest that moderate inhibition of PPAR γ activity may reduce the risk for developing hepatic steatosis induced by diet, and PPAR γ antagonists may be useful for the treatment and prevention of NAFLD.

Xanthohumol (XN), a prenylated flavonoid found in hops (*Humulus lupulus* L.), improves multiple parameters of MetS in rat and mouse models (Legette *et al.*, 2014; Miranda *et al.*, 2016, 2018). Tetrahydroxanthohumol (TXN), a non-estrogenic synthetic XN derivative (**Fig. 1**), appears more effective in ameliorating MetS in DIO mice than XN possibly due to its 5-, 10-, and 12-fold higher levels in the muscle, plasma and liver, respectively, as compared with XN (Miranda *et al.*, 2018). Both compounds likely mediate their benefits via multiple mechanisms. XN inhibits differentiation of preadipocytes and induces apoptosis in mature adipocytes (Yang *et al.*, 2007; Rayalam *et al.*, 2009), attenuates the function of SREBP-1 by repressing its maturation (Miyata *et al.*, 2015) and induces beiging of white adipose tissue, decreases adipogenesis, and induces lipolysis (Samuels, Shashidharamurthy and Rayalam, 2018). We recently showed that XN and TXN significantly change gut microbiota diversity and abundance, alter bile acid metabolism and reduce inflammation in mice fed a HFD (Zhang *et al.*, 2020). Collectively, these data suggest both XN and TXN are effective for treatment of metabolic disorders and are promising candidates for NAFLD prevention and treatment.

Figure 1. Structures of XN and its synthetic derivative TXN.

In the present study, we show a daily oral intake of TXN at 30 mg/kg body weight (BW) or XN at a daily dose of 60 mg/kg BW strongly suppresses diet-induced liver steatosis in C57BL/6J male mice. Supervised machine learning of liver RNA-seq data identified perturbations in PPAR γ signaling. Based on cell culture experiments, a PPAR γ competitive binding assay and molecular docking studies, we provide evidence that XN and TXN act as novel PPAR γ antagonists with moderate binding activity. Collectively, our findings suggest appropriate functional antagonism of PPAR γ is a logical approach to prevent and treat diet-induced liver steatosis and other related metabolic disorders. The structures of XN and TXN could serve as scaffolds for synthesis of more effective compounds to treat NAFLD.

Results

1. TXN attenuates HFD-induced weight gain independent of caloric intake

As expected, C57BL/6J mice on a 60% HFD (**Fig. 2A**, solid blue line) gained more BW than mice on the LFD (**Fig. 2A**, dotted black line) throughout the experimental period (week 1: $p < 0.05$; week 2-16: $p < 0.001$; repeated measures). TXN-supplementation (**Fig. 2A**, solid dark green line) attenuated HFD-induced BW gain throughout the experimental period (week 1: $p < 0.05$; week 2-16: $p < 0.001$; repeated measures). XN supplementation showed a dose response effect: the higher dosage (HXN; **Fig. 2A**, solid red line), but not the lower dosage (LXN; **Fig. 2A**, solid yellow line), attenuated HFD-induced BW gain between week 8 and 16. When BW gain was expressed as % of initial BW, HFD-fed mice almost doubled their initial BW ($+98.3 \pm$

2.7%), whereas TXN-treated mice gained 33% less ($+66.2 \pm 5.8\%$, $p < 0.0001$), and LFD-fed mice gained 53% less ($+45.8 \pm 4.3\%$, $p < 0.0001$) than HFD-fed mice (**Fig. 2B**). Although not statistically significant, both LXN- and HXN-treated mice gained 7.5% and 11% less, respectively ($90.0 \pm 3.3\%$, $p = 0.20$; $87.6 \pm 3.9\%$, $p = 0.07$; **Fig. 2B**). In male C57BL/6J mice, a BW of approximately 40 g is a critical tipping point from which metabolic dysfunction occurs (van Beek *et al.*, 2015). After 16 weeks, mean BW for these mice was LFD (37.5 ± 1.1 g), HFD (50.3 ± 0.6 g), LXN (49.9 ± 1.1 g), HXN (47.4 ± 1.1 g) and TXN (42.2 ± 1.6 g).

Overtime, mice adapted to the HFD by consuming less food than LFD-fed mice (**Fig. 2C**). However, the discrepancy in food consumption was insufficient to counteract the elevated caloric intake (**Fig. 2D**). HXN-treated mice adapted better to the HFD, indicated by decreased food intake at week 1, 6-10, 13, and 16 ($p < 0.05$), and caloric intake ($p = 0.01$) (**Fig. 2C-D**), resulting in less BW gain. In contrast, the attenuated BW gain in TXN-treated mice was not accompanied by a significant reduction in food or caloric intake (**Fig. 2C-D**).

Figure 2. TXN and HXN suppress HFD-induced BW gain independent of caloric intake. Mice were fed either a LFD (black dashed line with empty circles, $n = 12$), a HFD (blue solid line with empty triangles, $n = 12$), HFD+LXN (yellow solid line with crosses, $n = 12$), HFD+HXN (red solid line with squares, $n = 12$), or HFD+TXN (green solid line with empty triangles, $n = 11$) for 16 weeks. (A) BW gain was assessed once per week. Data is expressed as means $\pm$ SEM. Repeated measurement of ANOVA was used to calculate p -values for the percentage of weight gained weekly. (B) Total percent BW gained at the end of the 16-week feeding period. Data is expressed as quartiles. (C) Food intake was assessed once per week during the 16-week feeding period. Data is expressed as means $\pm$ SEM. Repeated measurement of ANOVA was used to calculate p -values for weekly food intake. (D) Total calories consumed at the end of 16-week feeding period. Data are expressed as quartiles. Source files of data used for the analysis and visualization are available in the Figure 2—source data 1.

Figure 2—source data 1

Source files.

This zip archive contains the following:

- 1) One Comma Separated Values file named “phenome_feeding.csv” contains food intake and weight entries.
- 2) One Excel workbook named “2019TXN_repeated_measures_YZGB.xlsx” contains repeated measures analyses.
- 3) The Jupyter Notebook contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 2.

2. TXN attenuates hepatic steatosis and HFD-induced obesity

HFD-induced BW gain was primarily body fat accumulation, as indicated by measurements obtained from DEXA scans. HFD mice had greater fat mass than LFD mice ($p < 0.0001$; **Fig. 3A**). Linear regression of total fat mass to total caloric intake revealed a strong relationship between caloric intake and fat mass among groups ($r = +0.52$; $p < 0.0001$) and within LFD-fed mice ($r = +0.79$; $p = 0.002$; **Fig. 3A1**). In contrast, caloric intake was not correlated to fat mass in any HFD group (**Fig. 3A2-5**), indicating a disconnection between caloric intake and fat mass after prolonged HFD consumption. Supplementation with HXN (-9.93% ; $p < 0.05$) and even more so with TXN (-27.7% ; $p < 0.001$) decreased body fat mass on HFD (**Fig. 3A**), indicating that HXN and TXN attenuated the HFD-induced body fat accumulation and that this effect was not explained by changes to caloric intake (**Fig. 3A4-5**).

Hepatic steatosis was measured by percent surface area occupied by lipid vacuoles in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded liver by image analysis of photomicrographs. In the absence of supplementation, HFD- and LFD-fed mice shared similar hepatic lipid areas (**Fig. 3B**). Caloric intake was positively correlated with hepatic lipid area on both LFD-fed mice ($r = +0.61$, $p = 0.03$; **Fig. 3B1**) and a HFD ($r = +0.57$, $p = 0.05$; **Fig. 3B2**). Supplementation with HXN ($p < 0.05$) and TXN ($p < 0.01$) mitigated hepatic steatosis, independent of caloric intake (**Fig. 3B4-5**).

Changes in energy balance may drive changes in obesity-related steatosis. We investigated TXN on whole-body energy metabolism to determine mechanisms of TXN protection from weight gain, which can influence steatosis. Towards the end of the study, we measured whole-body expenditure for all 59 mice using a computer-controlled indirect calorimetry system (metabolic cages). Energy expenditure was calculated from the oxygen and carbon dioxide exchange ratio using the Weir equation (Weir, 1949). Total energy expenditure contains energy expenditure for basal metabolism, body tissue synthesis, digestion, and physical activity (Speakman, 2013). Mice consuming HFD and mice supplemented with LXN had higher ($p < 0.05$) energy expenditure than mice on LFD, HXN and TXN (**Fig. 3C**). Caloric intake was positively correlated with energy expenditure in LFD- (**Fig. 3C1**), LXN- (**Fig. 3C3**), HXN- (**Fig. 3C4**) and TXN-fed mice (**Fig. 3C5**) but was not correlated with energy expenditure in HFD mice (**Fig. 2C2**). We investigated the influence of body mass on energy expenditure using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) of body mass upon entry into the cages between diets (Tschöp *et al.*,

166 2011). ANCOVA revealed that LXN, HXN, or TXN supplementation did not change the positive
167 relationship between energy expenditure and body mass (**Figure 3—figure supplement 1**).

168

Figure 3. Energy homeostasis imbalance induced by HFD is prevented by XN and TXN supplementation. Mice were fed either a LFD (black, n = 12), a HFD (blue, n = 12), HFD+LXN (yellow, n = 12), HFD+HXN (red, n = 12), or HFD+TXN (green, n = 11) for 16 weeks. (A) Total fat mass measured by DXA scan two days prior to necropsy is expressed as quartiles. (A-1) Relationship between total fat mass and total caloric intake over 16 weeks of feeding for LFD; (A-2) HFD; (A-3) HFD+LXN; (A-4) HFD+HXN; and (A-5) HFD+TXN groups. (B) Hepatic lipidosis area percent expressed as quartiles. (B-1) Relationship between hepatic lipidosis area percent and total caloric intake over 16 weeks of feeding for LFD; (B-2) HFD; (B-3) HFD+LXN; (B-4) HFD+HXN; and (B-5) HFD+TXN groups. (C) Average energy expenditure over two light-dark cycles (48 hours) obtained using metabolic cages and expressed as quartiles. (C-1) Relationship between energy expenditure and total caloric intake over 16 weeks of feeding for LFD; (C-2) HFD; (C-3) HFD+LXN; (C-4) HFD+HXN (with removal of two outliers); (C-5) for HFD+TXN groups. Pre-planned general linear model with contrasts were used to calculate *p*-values in A, B and C. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001. Linear regression analyses of total calories versus total fat mass (A1-5), hepatic lipidosis area percent (B1-5), and average energy expenditure (C1-5) in mice were done using stats package version 3.6.2 in R. Blue shading represents 95% CI of the regression line. Absolute value of R, *p*-value, intercept, and slope for the regression are reported above each corresponding panel. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 3—source data 1.

Figure 3—source data 1

Source files.

This zip archive contains the following:

- 1) One Comma Separated Values file named “metabolicGasExchange.csv” contains metabolic cage gas exchange data.
- 2) One Comma Separated Values file named “fig3_table.csv” contains phenotypic data directly pertaining to Fig. 3.
- 3) A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 3.
- 4) An R script file “ggplotRegression.R”
- 5) A folder named “Fig3Sup1” containing Figure 3—figure supplement 1.
 - a. One Comma Separated Values file named “metabolicGasExchange.csv” contains metabolic cage gas exchange data.
 - b. One Comma Separated Values file named “supplement1Table.csv” contains phenotypic data directly pertaining to Figure 3—figure supplement 1.
 - c. An R script file “ggplotRegression.R”.
 - d. A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of figure supplement 1.
 - e. A pdf file named “fig3Sup1.pdf”.

As a marker of hepatic lipid uptake and export, fasting plasma TAG level was measured at the end of the study. Similar to hepatic lipid area, fasting plasma TAG did not reflect the caloric density of the diet (**Fig. 3—figure supplement 2**); namely, there was an inverse relationship between caloric intake and plasma TAG among LFD mice (Spearman, $r = -0.60$, $p = 0.04$; **Fig. 3—figure supplement 2 A1**), which was lost on the HFD (Spearman, $r = 0.12$, $p = 0.70$; **Fig. 3—figure supplement 2 A2**). TXN treatment restored the negative correlation between caloric intake and plasma TAG (Spearman $r = -0.65$, $p = 0.04$; **Fig. 3—figure supplement 2 A5**). One explanation for the higher plasma TAG ($p < 0.01$) observed could be that TXN inhibited hepatic lipid uptake, and promoted hepatic lipid export, or both. TAG levels remained in the normal physiological range (40 to 60 mg/dL) for all groups (Bogue *et al.*, 2020).

We collected fecal pellets over a 3-day period and measured fecal TAG at the end of the study as an indicator of fecal energy excretion. Fecal TAG levels did not differ among all groups (**Fig. 3—figure supplement 2 B**). No relationship was observed between caloric intake and fecal TAG among or within groups (**Fig. 3—figure supplement 2 B1-5**), suggesting that the attenuated BW gain and hepatic steatosis in TXN- and HXN-treated mice was not related to increased fecal TAG excretion.

3. Effects of XN and TXN on food intake frequency, physical activity and energy expenditure

We considered if physical activity level could explain attenuated weight gain of XN- and TXN-treated groups. We differentiated activity measured in the metabolic cages into directed ambulatory locomotion (sum of all locomotion of 1 cm/second or above within the x, y beam-break system) (**Fig. 4A**) and fine movements (e.g., grooming, nesting and scratching) (**Fig. 4B**). In addition, we approximated the ambulatory movement for food consumption by measuring feeding frequency (**Fig. 4C**). In contrast to energy expenditure (**Fig. 4C**), directed ambulatory locomotion was lower in HFD- than LFD-fed mice (**Fig. 4A**), while fine movement level (**Fig. 4B**) and feeding frequency (**Fig. 4C**) were not changed. TXN-treated mice exhibited higher directed ambulatory locomotion and fine movement levels than HFD mice (**Fig. 4A, B**), whereas feeding frequency was unchanged (**Fig. 4C**). XN-treated HFD mice showed higher directed ambulatory locomotion activity and feeding frequency than HFD mice (**Fig. 4A, C**), whereas fine movement activity levels were not affected (**Fig. 4B**).

In HFD-fed and LXN-treated mice, directed ambulatory locomotion levels were positively correlated with food frequency (**Fig. 4C2-3**) but negatively correlated with energy expenditure (**Fig. 4A2-3**), suggesting that food-driven activity may account for a major part of total directed ambulatory motion and that these mice spent the majority of their time and energy moving around for food consumption. In summary, HFD-fed mice used more energy for maintaining basal metabolism, body tissue turnover, or digestion as indicated by a higher energy expenditure and lower directed ambulatory locomotion activity than LFD mice. Compared to HFD only mice, XN- and TXN-treated mice had lower energy expenditure and higher directed ambulatory locomotion and fine movement activities, indicating lower energy for maintaining basal metabolism, body tissue turnover, or digestion and remained more physically active than untreated HFD-fed mice.

Figure 4. Effects of XN and TXN on food intake frequency, physical activity and energy expenditure. Mice were fed either a LFD (black, n = 12), a HFD (blue, n = 12), HFD+LXN (yellow, n = 12), HFD+HXN (red, n = 12), or HFD+TXN (green, n = 11) for 16 weeks. (A) Directed ambulatory locomotion per 24-hour cycle obtained using a computer controlled indirect calorimetry system. Data expressed as quartiles. (A-1) Relationship between directed ambulatory locomotion and energy expenditure for LFD; (A-2) HFD; (A-3) HFD+LXN; (A-4) HFD+HXN and (A-5) HFD+TXN groups. (B) Fine movements per 24-hour cycle calculated by subtracting directed ambulatory locomotion from sum of all distances traveled within the beam-break system. Data is expressed as quartiles. (B-1) Relationship between fine movements and energy expenditure for LFD; (B-2) HFD; (B-3) HFD+LXN; (B-4) HFD+HXN; and (B-5) HFD+TXN groups. (C) Number of food intake events recorded in metabolic cages. Data expressed as quartiles. (C-1) Relationship between number of food intake events and directed ambulatory locomotion for LFD; (C-2) HFD; (C-3) HFD+LXN; (C-4) HFD+HXN; (C-5) for HFD+TXN groups. Pre-planned general linear model with contrasts were used to calculate *p*-values in A, B and C. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001. Linear regression analyses of energy expenditure versus directed ambulatory locomotion (A1-5), fine movements (B1-5), and directed ambulatory locomotion and number of food intake events (C1-5) in mice were done using stats package version 3.6.2 in R. Blue shading represents 95% CI of the regression line. Absolute value of R, *p*-value, intercept, and slope for the regression are reported above each corresponding panel. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 4—source data 1.

Figure 4—source data 1

Source files.

This zip archive contains the following:

- 1) One Comma Separated Values file named “fig4_table.csv” phenotypic data directly pertaining to Fig. 4.
- 2) An R script file “ggplotRegression.R”.
- 3) A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 4.

4. TXN attenuates HFD-induced lipid accumulation in white adipose tissue (WAT)

To assess the effect of XN and TXN on lipid accumulation, fat pads from three distinct sites: subcutaneous (sWAT), epididymal (eWAT), and mesenteric (mWAT) adipose tissue were carefully removed and weighed during necropsy. Diet-induced lipid accumulation differed by adipose site. Compared to the LFD, the HFD-induced increase in mWAT fat mass was much greater than the increase in sWAT fat mass (three-fold vs. 2.5-fold increase, respectively), with the smallest increase (15%) observed in eWAT fat mass (**Fig. 5A, C**). Supplementation with HXN ($p < 0.05$), and even more so TXN ($p < 0.0001$), decreased sWAT and mWAT fat mass. A smaller but significant increase in eWAT adipose tissue weight was observed in HXN- and TXN-treated mice (**Fig. 5B**).

Caloric intake across diets was positively correlated with sWAT ($r = +0.47$; $p = 0.0002$; **Fig. 5A**) and mWAT fat mass ($r = +0.39$; $p = 0.002$; **Fig. 5C**), but no relationship was observed within XN- or TXN-treated groups (**Fig. 5A3-5, C3-5**), indicating lipid accumulation in sWAT and mWAT fat depots was primarily linked to diet rather than the amount of food consumed. In eWAT adipose depot, we observed the opposite. Unlike sWAT and mWAT fat depots, caloric intake across diets was not correlated with eWAT fat mass ($r = +0.03$; $p = 0.82$; **Fig. 5B**). Instead, a positive correlation between caloric intake and eWAT fat mass was found within LFD-fed mice (**Fig. 5B1**), and a negative correlation between caloric intake and eWAT fat mass was observed in both XN- and TXN-treated mice (**Fig. 5B3-5**). No correlation was found in HFD-fed control mice (**Fig. 5B2**). These observations are consistent with distinct WAT depots in mice differing in expandability (van Beek *et al.*, 2015).

Figure 5. TXN decreases and alters the regional distribution of fat tissue accumulation. Mice were fed either a LFD (black, n = 12), a HFD (blue, n = 12), HFD+LXN (yellow, n = 12), HFD+HXN (red, n = 12), or HFD+TXN (green, n = 11) for 16 weeks. All fat masses were weighed on day of necropsy. (A) sWAT fat mass expressed as quartiles. (A-1) Relationship between sWAT fat mass and total caloric intake over 16 weeks of feeding for LFD; (A-2) HFD; (A-3) HFD+LXN; (A-4) HFD+HXN; and (A-5) HFD+TXN groups. (B) eWAT fat mass expressed as quartiles. (B-1) Relationship between eWAT fat mass and total caloric intake over 16 weeks of feeding for LFD; (B-2) HFD; (B-3) HFD+LXN; (B-4) HFD+HXN; and (B-5) HFD+TXN groups. (C) mWAT fat mass expressed as quartiles. (C-1) Relationship between mWAT fat mass and total caloric intake over 16 weeks of feeding for LFD; (C-2) HFD; (C-3) HFD+LXN; (C-4) HFD+HXN (with removal of two outliers); (C-5) and for HFD+TXN groups. Pre-planned general linear model with contrasts were used to calculate *p*-values in A, B and C. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001. Linear regression analyses of total calories versus sWAT (A1-5), eWAT (B1-5), and mWAT fat masses (C1-5) in mice were done using stats package version 3.6.2 in R. Blue shading represents 95% CI of the regression line. Absolute value of R, *p*-value, intercept, and slope for the regression are reported above each corresponding panel. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 5—source data 1.

Figure 5—source data 1

Source files.

This zip archive contains the following:

- 1) One Comma Separated Values file named “fig5_table.csv” phenotypic data directly pertaining to Fig. 5.
- 2) An R script file “ggplotRegression.R”.
- 3) A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 5.

5. HXN and TXN protect against NAFLD on a high-fat diet

NAFLD is characterized by accumulation of number and size of intrahepatic microvesicular and macrovesicular lipid vacuoles. Mice on a LFD diet possessed hepatic lipid vacuoles and resembled livers of LDLR^{-/-} mice on a similar synthetic diet (Lytle and Jump, 2016); however their liver to BW ratio of about 4% was in a normal healthy range (Lytle, Wong and Jump, 2017). HFD fed mice had many smaller lipid vacuoles (**Fig. 6A**). Supplementation with XN decreased in a dose-dependent manner the number and size of intrahepatic lipid vacuoles in HFD mice. Supplementation with TXN almost completely prevented hepatic lipid vacuole accumulation in HFD mice, resulting in less lipid accumulation than in LFD mice.

The liver to BW ratio is an indicator of NAFLD with a ratio above 4% indicating NAFLD (Lytle, Wong and Jump, 2017). The majority of mice (10 of 12) on a HFD diet had a liver to BW ratio above 4.5%, whereas all LFD mice had a liver to BW ratio between 3.8 and 4.3% (**Fig. 6B**). Supplementation with HXN decreased the number of mice with a liver to BW ratio above 4% to four of 12 mice and all TXN-supplemented mice had a liver to BW ratio below 3.6% except for one, which had a liver to BW ratio of 4%. These data are consistent with TXN and, to a smaller extent, HXN reducing NAFLD. Hepatic lipid extracts from TXN-supplemented HFD mice and LFD-fed mice had lower liver triglyceride concentrations than from mice fed with HFD, LXN or HXN (**Fig. 6C**).

Another indicator of NAFLD is the liver area occupied by lipids; the histological lower cut-off for NAFLD is over 5% of liver area (Brunt and Tiniakos, 2010). Using this cut-off, all control HFD mice had NAFLD and 10 out of 12 LFD mice had NAFLD (**Fig. 3B**). Both HXN- and TXN supplementation decreased liver lipid accumulation on a HFD by two-fold, as three out of 12 HXN-supplemented mice and five out of 11 TXN-supplemented mice had less than 5% lipid area and nine out of 11 TXN-supplemented mice had less than 10% lipid area (**Fig. 3B**). In comparison, only one out of 12 HFD control mice and seven out of 12 HXN-supplemented mice were below 10% lipid area. The supplement-induced decrease was independent of caloric intake (**Fig 3. B3-5**).

Figure 6. TXN prevents HFD induced liver steatosis in mice. Mice were sacrificed at the end of the study and liver samples were freshly collected and processed. (A) Representative histological images of H&E staining of liver sections. An enlarged image representative of a liver section from a HFD fed mouse is shown as a circle on the bottom right. Macrovesicular steatosis or large lipid droplets are indicated by the red bold arrow; microvesicular steatosis or small lipid droplets are indicated by the broken red line arrow. (B) Liver mass to BW ratio. (C) Hepatic triglyceride content. P-values of orthogonal *a priori* comparisons of the HFD versus each of the other groups are shown. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 6—source data 1 and source data 2.

Figure 6-source data 1

Source files for histology data.

A folder called “TXN prevents HFD induced liver steatosis in mice” containing histology images in TIFF format (n = 59), used for histology scoring and Excel spreadsheet with scores and sample IDs.
<https://doi.org>.

376 Figure 6-source data 2

377 This zip archive contains the following:

- 378 1) One Comma Separated Values file named “fig6_table.csv” phenotypic data directly pertaining to
379 Fig. 6.
- 380 2) A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 6
- 381 3) Two pdf files named “B.pdf” and “C.pdf”.

5. RNA-seq reveals suppression of hepatic FA biosynthesis processes and pathways by HXN and TXN treatments

We conducted RNA-seq analysis of the livers obtained from mice after 16 weeks on the diet to determine transcriptional mechanisms by which HXN and TXN supplementation could ameliorate hepatic steatosis induced by HFD. Gene counts were calculated to quantify gene expression in the four diet groups LFD, HFD, HFD+HXN, and HFD+TXN. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were determined using a false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff of < 0.4 , as compared to HFD.

To visualize expression patterns of DEGs in the four groups we used hierarchical clustering with a heat map (**Fig. 7A**). The DEGs clustered into two major types, one with higher expression (red) in the LFD and HFD groups but lower expression (blue) in the HXN and TXN groups and the other with lower expression in the LFD and HFD groups but higher expression in the HXN and TXN groups (**Fig. 7A**). Individual mice clustered into two major nodes. All HFD mice clustered with six LFD and four HXN mice and all TXN mice clustered with six HXN and four LFD mice (**Fig. 7A**). This likely reflects the variability observed in phenotypic outcomes (**Fig. 6 B**). The volcano plot analysis of gene expression revealed that both HXN and TXN treatments induced significant changes in gene expression compared with the HFD group (**Fig. 7B**). TXN treatment had the greatest effect with 295 identified DEGs while HXN treatment only resulted in six DEGs. We identified 212 DEGs in comparing the LFD and HFD groups.

Figure 7. TXN treatment significantly alters liver transcriptome of mice after 16 weeks of feeding. (A) Hierarchical clustering of the top 200 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in each treatment group (labeled at the top right corner: gray indicates LFD group, blue indicates HFD, red indicates HXN and green indicates TXN.) as determined by RNAseq analysis. Color key is based on the log₂ fold change. (B) Volcano plots show DEGs (red dots) in the comparison of different treatment groups. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 7—source data 1.

Figure 7—source data 1

Source files.

This zip archive contains the following:

- 1) A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 7.
- 2) A R object file in Rds format named “y_keep.rds”.
- 3) An R script used to generate the ‘y_keep.rds’ file.

We next conducted gene ontology (GO) enrichment and pathway analysis of DEGs using Enrichr (Chen *et al.*, 2013). We assigned the DEGs in the TXN treatment group to GO terms describing biological processes. The enriched GO terms and pathways with adjusted p values < 0.05 are summarized in **Figure 8 and Figure 8 source data**. GO enrichment analysis indicated that TXN treatment significantly downregulated genes involved in biological processes including xenobiotic catabolism, FA metabolism, glucose metabolism and regulation of lipid metabolism (**Fig. 8**, top panel). Furthermore, KEGG pathway analysis demonstrated that TXN upregulated expression of genes in six pathways including complement and coagulation cascades, prion diseases, steroid hormone biosynthesis, arachidonic acid metabolism, retinol metabolism and linoleic acid metabolism (**Fig. 8**, bottom right panel). Many of these included genes encoding Cyp450 enzymes. On the other hand, expression of genes in 25 KEGG pathways were significantly downregulated by TXN treatment compared to HFD (**Fig. 8**, bottom left panel). The top 10 significantly enriched KEGG pathways based on statistical significance and combined score ranking included the biosynthesis of unsaturated FAs, glutathione metabolism, amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism, glycolysis and gluconeogenesis, pentose phosphate pathway, fluid shear stress and atherosclerosis, chemical carcinogenesis, drug metabolism, FA elongation and the PPAR signaling pathway.

Figure 8. TXN decreases expression of numerous gene ontology and KEGG pathways. Analysis of DEGs from the livers of mice that consumed a HFD+TXN versus a HFD revealed mostly downregulation of biological processes and KEGG pathways. The significant (adjusted $p < 0.05$) enriched biological process terms in gene ontology (upper panel) and enriched KEGG pathways (lower panel) were selected by Enrichr Tools based on significance and combined scores. The number inside each lollipop represents the number of identified DEG genes in that specific biological process or KEGG pathway. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 8—source data 1.

Figure 8—source data 1

Source files.

This zip archive contains the following:

- 445 1) A folder named “raw”, containing five Excel workbooks
446 a. “DEGs_TXN_vs_HFD.xlsx”
447 b. “DOWN-GO_Biological_Process_2018.xlsx”
448 c. “UP-GO_Biological_Process_2018.xlsx”
449 d. “DOWN-KEGG_2019_Mouse.xlsx”
450 e. “UP-KEGG_2019_Mouse.xlsx”
- 451 2) A folder named “processed”, containing two Comma Separated Values files:
452 a. “BPTerms.csv”
453 b. “KEGGterms.csv”
- 454 3) A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 8.
- 455 4) A pdf file named “txnHFDGO.pdf”.

6. Identification of key hepatic genes regulated by TXN and involved in ameliorating hepatic steatosis

We implemented SVM to identify a set of signature genes that can distinguish TXN-treated mice from HFD-fed control mice. Briefly, we used the DaMirSeq R package to determine a set of genes whose principal components best correlated with TXN treatment by performing backward variable elimination with partial least-squares regression and removing redundant features by eliminating those that were very highly correlated (Chiesa, Colombo and Piacentini, 2018). Repeating this process 30 times, we used all 13 genes identified here as input into our SVM models (**Fig. 9**, left panel). Genes identified classified HFD- and TXN-fed mice into two distinct groups (**Fig. 9**, right panel). Eight of 13 genes showed significant, differential expression between TXN and HFD diet samples (**Table 1**). Consistent with the GO analysis, three of the eight genes – uncoupling protein 2 (*Ucp2*), cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector c (*Cidec*), and monoacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1 (*Mogat1*) – are involved in lipid metabolism and known target genes of PPAR γ (Medvedev *et al.*, 2001; Kim *et al.*, 2008; Matsusue *et al.*, 2008); (Bugge *et al.*, 2010; Karbowska and Kochan, 2012; Wolf Greenstein *et al.*, 2017).

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

Figure 9. SVM identified signature genes that distinguish mice that consumed TXN. Left panel: the dot chart shows the top 13 genes, sorted by RReliefF importance score. This plot was used to select the most important predictors to be used for classification. Right panel: Colors in the heatmap highlight the gene expression level in fold change: color gradient ranges from *dark orange*, meaning “upregulated”, to *dark green*, meaning “downregulated”. On the top of the heatmap, horizontal bars indicate HFD (blue) and HFD+TXN (pink) treatments. On the top and on the left side of the heatmap the dendrograms obtained by Spearman’s correlation metric are shown. Plots were produced with DaMiRseq R package 1.10.0. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 9—source data 1.

481

Figure 9—source data 1

482

Source files.

483

This zip archive contains the following:

484

1) A Comma Separated Values file named “colData_hftxn.csv” contains experiment metadata.

485

2) A Comma Separated Values file named “countMatrix_hftxn.csv” contains raw counts in HFD and HFD+TXN groups.

486

3) A tab-delimited text file named “dfimportance_hftxn_lgcpm.txt”.

487

4) A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 9.

488

5) A pdf file named “leftPanel.pdf”.

489

6) A pdf file named “rightPanel.pdf”.

490

7) A PowerPoint file named “fig9.pptx”.

491

8) A pdf file named “fig9.pdf”.

492

493 Table 1 Thirteen genes^a used to distinguish TXN transcriptome from HFD transcriptome.

Ensemble ID	Gene name	Gene symbol	TXN vs. HFD (log ₂ fold change)	P value	FDR
00000094793	major urinary protein 12	<i>Mup12</i>	2.65	0.000	0.011
00000033685	uncoupling protein 2	<i>Ucp2</i>	-1.07	0.005	0.109
00000036390	growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible 45 alpha	<i>Gadd45a</i>	-0.73	0.083	0.402
00000021226	acyl-CoA thioesterase 2	<i>Acot2</i>	-1.33	0.000	0.003
00000030278	cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector c	<i>Cidec</i>	-2.41	0.000	0.006
00000043013	one cut domain, family member 1	<i>Onecut1</i>	1.53	0.004	0.098
00000067219	NIPA-like domain containing 1	<i>Nipal1</i>	-0.63	0.197	0.567
00000035186	ubiquitin D	<i>Ubd</i>	-2.51	0.002	0.068
00000031842	phosphodiesterase 4C, cAMP specific	<i>Pde4c</i>	-0.00	0.996	0.999
00000026390	macrophage receptor with collagenous structure	<i>Marco</i>	0.69	0.149	0.510
00000012187	monoacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1	<i>Mogat1</i>	-1.62	0.000	0.011
00000019942	cyclin-dependent kinase 1	<i>Cdk1</i>	-1.53	0.009	0.139
00000046873	membrane-bound transcription factor peptidase	<i>Mbtps2</i>	-0.36	0.251	0.616

494 ^a Genes were ranked according to their *RReliefF* importance score using a multivariate filter technique
495 (*i.e.*, *RReliefF*) (Chiesa et al., 2018). Also shown is the log₂ fold-changes, p values and FDR values when
496 HFD-TXN samples were compared with HFD samples using edgeR package (Robinson, McCarthy and
497 Smyth, 2010) in R. Negative values indicate genes down regulated in the liver with TXN
498 supplementation. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Table 1—source data 1.

499

500 Table 1—source data 1

501 Source files.

502 This zip archive contains the following:

- 503 1) An Excel workbook named “DEG_HFD_vs_TXN.xlsx” contains all differentially expressed
504 genes identified. Genes listed in the table were highlighted in yellow in the Excel workbook.

We then confirmed expression of these genes using RT-qPCR. Consistent with RNAseq results, TXN-treated mice had significantly lower expression of major PPAR γ target genes *Cidec*, *Mogat1* and *Ppar γ 2*, a predicted PPAR target gene (Fang *et al.*, 2016) (**Fig. 10** top panel). Moreover, we observed significantly strong positive correlations between the expression of these three genes (**Fig. 10**, bottom panel). The above results suggest TXN treatment inhibits the PPAR γ pathway – a key pathway involved in hepatic lipid metabolism.

Figure 10. TXN-treated mice show significantly lower expression of PPAR γ and target genes. Top panel: reduction of HFD-induced *Ppar γ 2*, *Cidec*, and *Mogat1* expressions in the liver by TXN administration. Mice were sacrificed after 16-week of HFD (blue, n = 12) or HFD+TXN (dark green, n = 11) feeding. Liver tissues were harvested, and total RNA was extracted. Relative mRNA levels of selected genes were determined by real time PCR. Gene expression is expressed in log₂ fold change as quartiles. ***p ≤ 0.001, t-test. Bottom panel: Pearson correlation between *Ppar γ 2* and *Cidec* or *Mogat1* expression. Data are presented in log₂ fold change; bubble size represents liver mass to BW ratio. • indicates sample outside value, which is > 1.5 times the interquartile range beyond upper end of the box. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 10—source data 1.

522 Figure 10—source data 1

523 Source files.

524 This zip archive contains the following:

- 525 1) A Comma Separated Values file named “fig10_table.csv” phenotypic data directly pertaining to
- 526 Fig. 10.
- 527 2) A Excel workbook named “PCR_lv_raw.xlsx” contains raw PCR cycle number data, and the
- 528 calculation of fold change.
- 529 3) A Jupyter Notebook file contains scripts used for statistical analysis and generation of Fig. 10.
- 530 4) A pdf file named “fig10.pdf”.

7. XN and TXN attenuate intracellular lipid content in 3T3-L1 adipocytes in a dose dependent manner

We hypothesized that TXN and XN antagonizes the PPAR γ receptor, which would explain the decreased expression of its target genes. To test our hypothesis, we utilized 3T3-L1 murine fibroblast cells, which depend on PPAR γ activity to differentiate into adipocytes (Tamori *et al.*, 2002). XN and its derivatives are cytotoxic to some cells and to ensure that we used concentrations that were not cytotoxic to 3T3-L1 adipocytes, we tested an escalating dose of XN and TXN (Strathmann and Gerhauser, 2012). 3T3-L1 cells were treated with 0.1% DMSO, 1 μ M rosiglitazone (RGZ), 1 μ M GW9662, XN (5, 10 and 25 μ M), TXN (5, 10 and 25 μ M), 25 μ M XN + 1 μ M RGZ or 25 μ M TXN + 1 μ M RGZ for 48 h. After treatments, we determined the number of live cells using an MTT assay. XN and TXN were only significantly cytotoxic for 3T3-L1 cells at a dose of 50 μ M (data not shown). While it is difficult to translate *in vivo* doses to *in vitro* doses, based on previous *in vitro* studies (Yang *et al.*, 2007; Samuels, Shashidharamurthy and Rayalam, 2018) and our current cell viability data, we selected low (5 μ M), medium (10 μ M) and high (25 μ M) concentrations of XN and TXN for the subsequent experiments where cell viability was greater than 90% (data not shown).

Murine preadipocyte 3T3-L1 differentiation and adipogenesis was induced by addition of dexamethasone, IBMX and insulin which strongly induced intracellular lipid accumulation (**Fig. 11, A2-3**). Addition of XN significantly attenuated intracellular lipid levels in a dose-dependent manner (**B1-3**). Like XN, TXN also strongly inhibit intracellular lipid accumulation (**C1-3**).

Figure 11. XN and TXN inhibit intracellular lipid accumulation in 3T3-L1 cells. 3T3-L1 cells (1×10^6 per well) in 12-well plates were cultured with either DMEM (A1), differentiation medium (DM) (A2), DM plus DMSO (A3), DM plus 5 μ M XN (B1), DM plus 10 μ M XN (B2), DM plus 25 μ M XN (B3), DM plus 5 μ M TXN (C1), DM plus 10 μ M TXN (C2), or DM plus 25 μ M TXN (C3). Cells were stained with oil red O to identify lipids at day 7 post-differentiation.

8. XN and TXN inhibit RGZ-induced adipocyte differentiation in 3T3-L1 cells in a dose dependent manner

RGZ is a known potent PPAR γ agonist used as an insulin-sensitizing agent. To test the hypothesis that XN and TXN may antagonize a known PPAR γ ligand, we determined if the compounds would block RGZ-induced PPAR γ actions (**Fig. 12**). RGZ strongly induced the differentiation (**Fig. 12, A1**), and GW 9662, a potent PPAR γ antagonist, inhibited the RGZ-induced differentiation (**Fig. 12, A2**). We also observed that both XN (**Fig. 12, B1-3**) and TXN (**Fig. 11, C1-3**) suppressed RGZ-induced differentiation in a dose dependent manner. At 25 μ M concentration, the RGZ-induced differentiation was largely blocked (**Fig. 12, B3, C3**), suggesting that XN and TXN may interfere or even compete with binding of RGZ to the PPAR γ receptor.

Figure 12. XN and TXN diminished the lipid accumulation in 3T3-L1 cells. 3T3-L1 cells (1×10^6 per well) in 12-well plates were cultured with either DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone (A1), DM plus 1 μ M GW 9662 (A2), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 1 μ M GW9662 (A3), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 5 μ M XN (B1), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 10 μ M XN (B2), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 25 μ M XN (B3), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 5 μ M TXN (C1), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 10 μ M TXN (C2), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 25 μ M TXN (C3).

573 (B3), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 5 μ M TXN (C1), DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 10 μ M TXN
574 (C2), or DM plus 1 μ M rosiglitazone and 25 μ M TXN (C3). Cells were stained with oil red O to identify
575 lipids at day 7 post-differentiation.

9. XN and TXN downregulate genes regulated by PPAR γ in 3T3-L1 cells

To elucidate the effect of XN and TXN on PPAR γ action at the transcriptional level, we measured the expression of several known PPAR γ target genes using RT-qPCR on samples 7 days post 25 μ M XN or TXN treatment. Consistent with the decrease of intracellular lipid content in **Figures 10 and 11**, the expression of PPAR γ and its target genes at 7 days post treatment were significantly downregulated by XN and TXN treatments (**Table 2**). Cells treated with 1 μ M GW 9662, a PPAR γ antagonist, did not significantly reverse the RGZ-induced upregulation of these genes. Cells treated with either 25 μ M XN or TXN significantly reversed the RGZ-induced upregulation of *Cd36* ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$), *Fabp4* ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$), *Mogat1* ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.01$), *Cidec* ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$), *Plin4* ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$), *Fgf21* ($p < 0.01$, $p < 0.01$). Taken together, these data above suggest that XN and TXN antagonize PPAR γ at the transcriptional level to block 3T3-L1 differentiation.

Table 2 Adipocyte gene expression at day 7 post-differentiation.

Gene	Log ₂ (Fold Change)				p-values vs. RGZ		
	RGZ (cont)	RGZ + GW9662	RGZ + XN	RGZ + TXN	RGZ + GW9662	RGZ + XN	RGZ + TXN
<i>Pparγ2</i>	Ref.	-0.11	-1.93	-1.53	0.30	< 0.001	< 0.001
<i>Cd36</i>		-0.18	-9.10	-4.36	0.25	< 0.001	< 0.001
<i>Fabp4</i>		-0.12	-7.94	-4.08	0.43	< 0.001	< 0.001
<i>Mogat1</i>		-0.11	-4.16	-3.59	0.42	< 0.001	< 0.01
<i>Cidec</i>		-0.18	-10.10	-4.46	0.40	< 0.001	< 0.001
<i>Plin4</i>		-0.10	-3.01	-2.32	0.48	< 0.001	< 0.001
<i>Fgf21</i>		0.03	-0.99	-1.08	0.40	< 0.01	< 0.01

3T3-L1 differentiation was induced by IBMX, dexamethasone, insulin and 1 μ M RGZ plus the addition of 1 μ M GW9662, 25 μ M XN, or 25 μ M TXN for 48 hours. After 48 hours, the old media was removed and fresh DMEM was replenished for continuing differentiation. Gene expression was measured at day 7 post-differentiation using qRT-PCR. Δ CT = CT(target gene) – CT(reference gene). $\Delta\Delta$ CT = Δ CT(treated sample) – Δ CT(untreated sample/control average). Fold change = $2^{-\Delta\Delta$ CT}. Statistics were performed on $\Delta\Delta$ CT values. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Table 2—source data 1.

596 Table 2—source data 1

597 Source files.

598 This zip archive contains the following:

599 2) An Excel workbook named “7days.xlsx” contains raw PCR cycle numbers, fold change,
600 log(2)fold change, p values, and how these are calculated.

601

10. XN and TXN antagonize ligand binding to PPAR γ

Based on the inhibition of RGZ induced adipocyte differentiation, and expression of PPAR γ target genes, we postulated that XN and TXN bind to the PPAR γ ligand binding domain and interfere with agonist binding. To test this hypothesis, we first performed a competitive binding assay using a PPAR γ TR-FRET assay. Both XN and TXN displaced a labelled pan-PPAR γ ligand in a dose-dependent manner with IC₅₀ values of 1.97 μ M (**Fig. 13B**) and 1.38 μ M (**Fig. 13C**), respectively. Oleic acid, the most abundant FA ligand in the HFD diet (**Table 4**), had an IC₅₀ value of 16.6 μ M. XN and TXN had similar IC₅₀ values as the PPAR γ ligand PGZ, a drug used to improve insulin sensitivity and type 2 diabetes, and a natural ligand, arachidonic acid (Chen *et al.*, 2012).

612

Figure 13. XN and TXN are ligands for PPAR γ . A PPAR γ nuclear receptor competitive binding assay based on time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (TR-FRET) was performed. The IC₅₀ values for each compound was determined by % displacement of a pan-PPAR γ ligand. (A) Oleic acid IC₅₀ 16.6 μ M. (B) XN IC₅₀ 1.97 μ M. (C) TXN IC₅₀ 1.38 μ M. Molecular docking studies show TXN and XN fit into the human PPAR γ binding site. PPAR γ residues containing atoms involved in hydrophobic interactions are shown. Yellow dashes indicate hydrogen bonds, amino acids colored as hydrophobic (grey), aromatic (pink), polar (cyan), basic (blue), or cysteine (yellow). (D) TXN (E) XN. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure 13—source data 12.

Figure 13—source data 12

Source files: an Excel file named “SSBN12209_57828_10-point Titration_Inhibition_Results.xls” containing results from ThermoFisher PPAR γ nuclear receptor competitive binding assay.

To obtain further insights into the interaction of XN and TXN with PPAR γ , we analyzed the nature of binding between the PPAR γ -LBD and XN/TXN using molecular docking to confirm the putative binding pose and position of XN/TXN and to estimate the relative binding affinities of various ligands for PPAR γ . To verify the robustness of our docking protocol, resveratrol was re-docked into the bound structure of PPAR γ , reproducing the binding pose and orientation found in the crystal structure of the complex (PDB ID: 4JAZ). The best docked position of TXN occupies the binding site of PPAR γ , exhibiting many non-bonded interactions involving side chain atoms in Leu255, Phe264, Gly284, Cys 285, Arg288, Val339, Ile 341, Met348, and Met364 (**Fig. 13 D**). The side chains of His266, Arg280, and Ser342 and the main chain carbonyl oxygen atom of Ile281 are well positioned to make electrostatic/hydrogen bonds with the hydroxyl protons and oxygen atoms of the bound TXN molecule. We observed many of the same hydrophobic interactions in the simulated PPAR γ -XN (**Fig. 13 E**) and PPAR γ -oleic acid complexes, and potential electrostatic interactions between His266 and Glu343, or with Arg280 and XN or oleic acid, respectively. The relative binding affinities, ranked in decreasing value of their negative binding energies were, in order, TXN, XN, and oleic acid, consistent with the TR-FRET binding results.

Discussion

XN and TXN are effective in suppressing development of diet-induced steatosis

Low cost natural products like XN are of particular interest for treating obesity and NAFLD due to their availability, safety and efficacy. XN and its derivatives appear to function through multiple mechanisms of action and this polypharmacological effect may enhance their effectiveness. Three studies propose that XN improves diet-induced hepatic steatosis by suppressing SREBP1c mRNA expression and SREBP activation (Yui, Kiyofuji and Osada, 2014; Miyata *et al.*, 2015; Takahashi and Osada, 2017). We also observed a decrease in hepatic SREBP1c expression with TXN treatment. Others propose mechanisms include inhibiting pro-inflammatory gene expression (Dorn *et al.*, 2010; Mahli *et al.*, 2019), inducing AMPK activation in the liver and skeletal muscle (Costa *et al.*, 2017), and enhanced FA oxidation (Kirkwood *et al.*, 2013). In this study, using a combination of molecular, biochemical, biophysical and bioinformatics approaches, we provide evidence for an additional novel mechanism by which XN and its derivative, TXN, can inhibit diet-induced hepatic steatosis through downregulation of

hepatic FA uptake and lipid storage by binding to PPAR γ in the liver and effectively antagonizing its actions.

We previously demonstrated that XN and TXN ameliorated DIO in C57Bl6/J mice with no evidence of liver injury (Miranda *et al.*, 2018). Using the same animal model, we confirmed the phenotypic outcomes observed in the previous study (**Fig. 2**). In this study and prior studies (Miranda *et al.*, 2018), we noted a decrease in weight with treatment in the presence of similar caloric intake. Our metabolic cage data demonstrated energy expenditure increased with body mass, but a treatment effect was not identified. We hypothesize that changes in microbiota composition and bile acid metabolism which can affect nutrient and energy harvesting may explain the reduction in weight (Wahlström *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2020) observed by treatment, but requires testing in future work. Furthermore, we demonstrated the effect of XN and TXN on the development and progression of diet-induced hepatic steatosis. Administration of XN (60 mg/kg BW) and TXN (30 mg/kg BW) significantly slowed the development and progression of hepatic steatosis during a 16-week high fat feeding. We observed less macro- and microvesicular steatosis, significantly lower liver mass to BW ratio, decreased TAG accumulation, and significantly lower steatosis scores in the XN and TXN supplemented mice compared to their untreated HFD mice (**Figs. 3B & 6**). Four pathways generally maintain hepatic lipid homeostasis: uptake of circulating lipids, *de novo* lipogenesis (DNL), FA oxidation (FAO) and lipid export in very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL). These pathways are under tight regulation by hormones, nuclear receptors, and other transcription factors (Bechmann *et al.*, 2012). Long-term dysregulation of one and/or multiple processes can lead to the development of NAFLD, obesity, type 2 diabetes and other metabolic disorders.

To elucidate the mechanism of XN and TXN, we determined liver transcriptomic changes after 16 weeks of HFD feeding using RNAseq. We observed significant changes in hepatic gene expression with TXN administration (**Fig. 7 B**). GO enrichment analysis of DEGs revealed that several biological processes were significantly downregulated by TXN treatment, including xenobiotic catabolism, FA metabolism, glucose metabolism and regulation of lipid metabolism (**Fig. 8**). Furthermore, KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs revealed multiple biological pathways were downregulated in the livers of TXN-treated mice, including biosynthesis of unsaturated FAs, glutathione metabolism, amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism, glycolysis and gluconeogenesis, FA elongation, and PPAR signaling pathways,

suggesting that TXN rewired global hepatic lipid metabolism (**Fig. 8**). There was a paucity of differentially expressed genes in the livers of mice supplemented with a high dose of XN (60 mg/kg BW) even at an FDR cutoff of 0.4. This discrepancy might be due to reduced levels of XN in peripheral tissues as compared with TXN as we previously observed a 12-fold lower level of XN as compared with TXN in the liver (Miranda *et al.*, 2018).

To discover signature genes in the liver of mice treated with TXN, we applied a SVM classifier algorithm and extracted the most important features (genes) (**Fig. 9**). Due to the limited number of samples in this study, we did not separate the data into training and testing sets for the construction of SVM. The caveat of this is that the learning model might not generalize well. Consistent with GO analysis, three out of the eight significantly regulated genes – uncoupling protein 2 (*Ucp2*), cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector c (*Cidec*), and monoacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1 (*Mogat1*) – are involved in lipid metabolism (**Table 1**). Notably, these genes are targets of PPAR γ (Bugge *et al.*, 2010; Karbowska and Kochan, 2012; Wolf Greenstein *et al.*, 2017). PCR confirmed this finding (**Fig. 10**) and suggests that TXN modulates PPAR γ actions.

XN and TXN are novel natural and synthetic PPAR γ antagonists

PPAR γ belongs to a superfamily of nuclear receptors and just like other members, its activity requires ligand binding. PPAR γ is highly expressed in white and brown adipose tissue, and to a lesser extent in the liver, kidney, and heart (Zhu *et al.*, 1993; Lee and Ge, 2014). Because of its essential role in regulating adipogenesis and higher expression in the white adipose tissue, PPAR γ has been a pharmacological target for drug development (Lehmann *et al.*, 1995; Lefterova *et al.*, 2014) in combating metabolic diseases such as insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Thiazolidinediones (TZDs), which include RGZ and PGZ, are the most widely investigated PPAR γ agonists due to their strong insulin-sensitizing ability (Henney, 2000; Soccio, Chen and Lazar, 2014). Studies show that the main action of TZDs occurs in adipocytes (Chao *et al.*, 2000). In the liver, PPAR γ plays a role in hepatic lipogenesis (Sharma and Staels, 2007). Multiple clinical trials using TZDs have observed significant improvement in hepatic steatosis and inflammation (Ratzliff *et al.*, 2008, 2010; Sanyal *et al.*, 2010), suggesting additional actions of TZDs in non-adipocytes. Interestingly, PGZ is more effective in treating fatty liver disease than RGZ, the more potent PPAR γ agonist (Promrat *et al.*, 2004; Ratzliff *et al.*, 2008, 2010), suggesting moderate binding is more effective. Unfortunate side effects of TZDs are weight gain (Fonseca, 2003), bone loss (Schwartz and Sellmeyer, 2007; Schwartz, 2008), edema

and increased risk of cardiovascular complications (Nesto *et al.*, 2004; Yang and Soodvilai, 2008; Bełtowski, Rachańczyk and Włodarczyk, 2013), due to over-activation of PPAR γ . Thus, there is great interest in identifying “ideal” PPAR γ modulators that are tissue specific with limited side effects.

An alternative strategy that aims to repress PPAR γ has emerged in recent years (Ammazzalorso and Amoroso, 2019). The potential of reducing BW and improving insulin sensitivity suggests a possible clinical role of PPAR γ antagonists in treating obesity and type 2 diabetes (Yamauchi *et al.*, 2001; Rieusset *et al.*, 2002; Nakano *et al.*, 2006). Compared to agonists, researchers have identified only a few natural compounds that inhibit PPAR γ , all of which have a moderate binding affinity for PPAR γ receptor and can inhibit adipogenesis, obesity and/or hepatic steatosis. These include resveratrol (Calleri *et al.*, 2014), 7-chloroarctinone-b isolated from the roots of *Rhaponticum uniflorum* (Li *et al.*, 2009), tanshinone IIA from the roots of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* (danshen) (Gong *et al.*, 2009), astaxanthin from red-colored aquatic organisms (Jia *et al.*, 2012), protopanaxatriol (PPT) extracted from *Panax ginseng* roots (Zhang *et al.*, 2014), foenumoside B from the herbal plant *Lysimachia foenum-graecum* (Kwak *et al.*, 2016), and betulinic acid, a pentacyclic triterpene found in the bark of several plants (Brusotti *et al.*, 2017; Ammazalorso and Amoroso, 2019).

Several lines of evidence presented in this study support the hypothesis that XN and TXN are also PPAR γ antagonists. First, using the 3T3-L1 cell model for PPAR γ -mediated adipogenesis, we demonstrated that XN and TXN significantly and strongly suppressed RGZ induced adipocyte differentiation and adipogenesis by day 7 (**Fig. 12**). Consistent with a decrease in lipid accumulation, PPAR γ target genes were also significantly downregulated in XN and TXN-treated cells (**Table 2**). The PPAR γ antagonist, GW9662, did not significantly affect target gene expression of PPAR γ , even though it inhibited differentiation (**Fig.12 A2-3**). In our experiments, we used a significantly lower concentration of GW9662 than used by others that ranged from 3-25 times higher, and this difference could explain our results (Park *et al.*, 2008; Kim, Nian and McIntosh, 2011; Sankella, Garg and Agarwal, 2016). Second, the PPAR γ nuclear receptor competitive binding assay showed that XN and TXN have a moderate binding affinity of 1.97 μ M and 1.38 μ M, respectively (**Fig. 13**). Lastly, consistent with the competitive binding assay, simulated molecular docking indicated that XN and TXN can interact with the ligand binding domain of PPAR γ like other known ligands and potentially form hydrogen bonds with

His266, Arg280, Ser342 and Ile281, in addition to many non-bonded interactions (**Fig. 13 D,E**). Moreover, the predicted binding model reveals that the interactions between XN, TXN and the PPAR γ ligand binding domain resembles those observed between PPAR γ and resveratrol, a dietary polyphenol that is also a PPAR γ antagonist (Calleri *et al.*, 2014). Our findings are consistent with XN and TXN functioning as PPAR γ antagonists and now offer a mechanistic explanation for prior observations that XN impaired adipocyte differentiation (Yang *et al.*, 2007; Mendes *et al.*, 2008; Samuels, Shashidharamurthy and Rayalam, 2018).

One of the many side effects observed from TZD therapy is weight gain. TZDs primarily mediate their effects in adipose tissue by PPAR γ activation that stimulates adipocyte differentiation and increases the efficiency of uptake of circulating non-esterified FAs (NEFA) by adipocytes (Rosen and Spiegelman, 2006). Interestingly, in this study, we observed a significant decrease in overall, sWAT, and mWAT fat mass in HXN- and TXN-treated mice (**Figs. 3A, 5AC**), yet a slight increase in the eWAT fat mass (**Fig. 5B**). Prior studies have reported that the expandability of eWAT in male mice is an indicator of metabolic health. Mouse sWAT and mWAT will continue to expand with BW, whereas eWAT expansion diminishes after mouse BW reaches about 40 g (van Beek *et al.*, 2015). Our data suggest that HXN- and TXN-treated mice have capacity to expand eWAT, whereas HFD-fed untreated mice do not, which seems to direct the development of metabolic disorders. In our previous study, we demonstrated that XN and TXN accumulates primarily in the liver with significantly lower levels in the muscle (Miranda *et al.*, 2018). We could not detect XN or TXN in the WAT of these mice (data not shown). The levels of XN and TXN in the liver (TXN > HXN > LXN) and the absence of both compounds in the WAT suggests that these compounds antagonize PPAR γ in the liver and not in the WAT, therefore, minimizing the side effect of weight gain observed with TZDs that are PPAR γ agonists.

During a long term HFD feeding, PPAR γ and its target genes are upregulated to compensate for the lipid overflow in the liver. Namely, genes associated with lipid uptake and trafficking (*Lpl*, *Cd36*, *Fabp4*), TAG synthesis (*Fasn*, *Scd1*, *Mogat1*), and formation of lipid droplets for storage (*Cidec/Fsp27*, *Plin4*) (Supplement_File_B). The result is excessive lipid accumulation in the liver, leading to hepatic steatosis. This was observed with PPAR γ overexpression in hepatocytes in *ob/ob* mice (Wolf Greenstein *et al.*, 2017). We propose that TXN added to a HFD antagonizes PPAR γ action in the liver potentially by physically interacting

with PPAR γ receptors as indicated in the molecular docking studies (**Fig. 13 DE**) and; therefore, reduces PPAR γ transcriptional activity and expression of the aforementioned target genes. Several *in vivo* studies support our findings. Liver-specific PPAR γ deficiency protects *ob/ob* mice from hepatic steatosis (Matsusue *et al.*, 2003); knockdown of *Mogat1* in the liver significantly attenuates hepatic steatosis after 12 weeks HFD feeding (Lee *et al.*, 2012); and restoration of CIDEA/FSP27 in *ob/ob* liver-specific PPAR γ knockout mice promotes hepatic steatosis (Matsusue *et al.*, 2008). The role for PLIN4 in hepatic steatosis is limited, but it may affect TAG accumulation during HFD feeding (Griffin *et al.*, 2017).

In conclusion, we demonstrated that TXN is very effective in suppressing the development and progression of diet induced hepatic steatosis in mice. TXN appears more effective *in-vivo* than XN perhaps due to significantly higher levels of TXN in the liver, but XN can slow progression of the condition at a higher dose. We provide evidence that XN and TXN act as novel, natural and synthetic antagonists of PPAR γ that bind with a similar affinity as the agonist PGZ. Our findings support further development of XN and TXN as novel, low-cost therapeutic compounds for diet-linked hepatic steatosis with fewer negative side effects than current drugs (e.g., reduced adipose tissue expansion). Additionally, the structures of XN and TXN could serve as scaffolds for the synthesis of more effective compounds to treat NAFLD and other fatty liver diseases. Although these results are encouraging, further studies are required to clarify possible use in humans for the prevention and treatment of diet-linked hepatic steatosis.

Materials and Methods

Animals and diets

Studies were performed using 8-week-old SPF male C57BL/6J mice obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Upon arrival, 60 mice were housed individually in ventilated cages in a controlled environment (23 $\pm$ 1°C, 50-60% relative humidity, 12 hours daylight cycle, lights off at 18:25 hours) with food and water *ad libitum*. After acclimating mice for one week on a normal-chow diet (PicoLab Rodent Diet 20, 5053, TX, USA) followed by two-weeks on a low-fat control diet (LFD, Dyets Inc., Bethlehem, PA, USA), they were randomly assigned (restricted) to five groups (n = 12/group). The sample size of 12 mice per treatment group was based on previous published studies (Miranda *et al.*, 2016, 2018). The groups were fed either a LFD, HFD, HFD + 30 mg/kg BW/day XN (LXN), HFD + 60 mg/kg

BW/day XN (HXN), or HFD + 30 mg/kg BW/day TXN (TXN). The sources and purity of XN and TXN were described previously (Miranda *et al.*, 2018). The chemical structures of XN and TXN, a detailed diet composition and FA composition are available in **Figure 1**, **Table 3** and **Table 4**, respectively.

Table 3 Composition of diets^a

	HFD	HFD+LXN	HFD+HXN	HFD + TXN	LFD
<i>Ingredient (g/100g)</i>					
Casein	2.58	2.58	2.58	2.58	1.89
L-Cystine	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03
Sucrose	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89
Cornstarch	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.02
Cellulose	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.47
Dyetrose	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62	1.62
Soybean Oil	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.24
Lard	3.17	3.17	3.17	3.17	0.19
Mineral Mix #210088	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.10
Dicalcium Phosphate	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.12
Calcium Carbonate	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05
Potassium Citrate H ₂ O	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.16
Vitamin Mix #300050	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.10
Choline Bitartrate	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02
Test compound	0.00	0.003	0.006	0.003	0.00
OPT	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
<i>Composition (kcal%)</i>					
Protein	20	20	20	20	20
Carbohydrates	20	20	20	20	70
Lipids	60	60	60	60	10
<i>Energy Density (kcal/g)</i>	5.12	5.12	5.12	5.12	3.55

^aLXN provides 30 mg/kg BW xanthohumol (XN), HXN 60 mg/kg XN, and TXN 30 mg/kg Tetrahydro-XN (TXN) per day. The test compounds were dissolved in an isotropic mixture of oleic acid: propylene glycol: Tween 80 (OPT) 0.9:1:1 by weight before incorporation into the diets. All diets were purchased from Dyets Inc., Bethlehem, PA, USA.

Table 4 Fatty acid composition (% of the total fat) of the low-fat diet (LFD) and high-fat diet (HFD).

Fatty Acids	% of the total fat		g/kg diet	
	LFD	HFD	LFD	HFD
14:0 Myristic	0.7	1.4	0.29	4.75
16:0 Palmitic	17.0	24.2	7.28	84.34
16:1 Palmitoleic	1.5	3.1	0.65	10.76
18:0 Stearic	8.3	12.3	3.56	42.92
18:1 Oleic	32.2	42.1	13.76	146.95
18:2 Linoleic	35.2	14.9	15.04	51.89
18:3 Linolenic	5.0	2.1	2.14	7.27
SFAs	26.0	37.9	11.13	132.01
MUFAs	33.7	45.2	14.41	157.71
PUFAs	40.2	17.0	17.18	59.16
Total n-6 PUFA	35.2	14.9	15.04	51.89
Total n-3 PUFA	5.0	2.1	2.14	7.27

Abbreviations: SFA: saturated fatty acids; MUFAs: monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFAs: polyunsaturated fatty acids; n-6: omega-6 fatty acids; n-3: omega-3 fatty acids.

BW gain and food intake of individual mice were assessed once per week. Body composition was determined at the end of the feeding using a Lunar PIXImus 2 Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometer (DXA) scan (Madison, WI, USA). After 16 weeks of feeding the control and test diets, mice were fasted for 6 h during the dark cycle, anaesthetized in chambers saturated with isoflurane and then euthanized by cardiac puncture followed with cervical dislocation. Blood was collected in syringes containing 2 IU of heparin and centrifuged to separate plasma from cells. The liver and sWAT, mWAT, and eWAT fat pads were carefully collected and weighed. To avoid batch effect due to difference in hours of fasting, mice were randomized (restricted) and treatment information was masked before sacrifice. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Oregon State University approved all animal work (ACUP 5053). All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations as outlined in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Liver histology

Liver (~100 mg) was freshly collected from mice and immediately fixed overnight in 10% neutrally buffered formalin, paraffin embedded, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, Oregon State University, OR). Each slide contained two liver sections that were examined using a Leica microscope at 100× magnification. Representative images were taken at 100× magnification from the subjectively least and most severely affected

areas ensuring representation of all zones of the hepatic lobule. Steatosis was objectively quantified as percent surface area occupied by lipid vacuoles using ImageJ for image analysis (NIH; imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html) as previously published (Garcia-Jaramillo *et al.*, 2019).

Energy expenditure

Indirect calorimetry measurements were based on an open respirometer system. From week 10, mice were housed individually in Promethion® Line metabolic phenotyping chambers (Sable Systems International, Las Vegas, NV, USA) and maintained on a standard 12 h light/dark cycle for three days. The system consisted of 10 metabolic cages, each equipped with food and water hoppers connected to inverted laboratory balances for food intake monitoring; both food and water were available *ad-libitum*. Spontaneous physical activity (SPA) was quantified via infrared beam breaks in X and Y axes, and included locomotion, rearing, and grooming behaviors (BXY-R, Sable Systems International). All raw data from all sensors and analyzers were stored every second. Air within the cages was sampled through micro-perforated stainless-steel sampling tubes located around the bottom of the cages, above the bedding. Ambient air was passed through the cages (2 L/min) and gases were sampled continuously for each cage, allowing the simultaneous acquisition of metabolic data every second, for all cages in the system (Lighton and Halsey, 2011). The energy expenditure was estimated from oxygen consumption (VO₂) and carbon dioxide production (VCO₂) rates by the Promethion system using the Weir formula (Weir, 1949).

Liver tissue RNA extraction and library preparation

Freshly dissected liver tissue was flash frozen in liquid N₂ and then stored at -80°C. Total RNA was isolated using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Plus kit as instructed (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA). RNA concentrations were quantified using the Qubit™ 1.0 Fluorometer and the Qubit RNA BR Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). RNA purity and integrity were evaluated using a Bioanalyzer RNA 6000 Nano chip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Samples ranged from medium to high RNA quality (RIN 5.9-8.3), and samples with different RIN values showed similar RNA-seq qualities.

Each library was prepared with 325 ng total RNA using the Lexogen QuantSeq 3'mRNA-Seq Library Prep Kit-FWD for Illumina sequencing according to the manufacturer's instructions (Lexogen GmbH, Vienna, Austria). Briefly, library preparation was started by oligo(dT) priming, with primers already containing the Illumina-compatible linker sequence for Read 2. After first-

strand synthesis, the RNA was removed before random primers that contained the corresponding Illumina-compatible linker sequence for Read 1 initiated the second-strand synthesis. Second strand synthesis was followed by a magnetic bead-based purification step. The libraries were PCR amplified introducing sequences required for cluster generation and i7 and i5 dual indices (Lexogen i7 6 nt Index Set and Lexogen i5 6 nt Unique Dual Indexing Add-on Kit) for 16-20 PCR cycles with the optimal number predetermined by qPCR with the PCR Add-on Kit for Illumina (Lexogen GmbH). After a second magnetic bead-based purification, libraries were quantified using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and sized using an Agilent High Sensitive D5000 Screen Tape (Agilent Technologies) to determine molarity. Equal molar amounts of the libraries were multiplexed and then sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq3000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at the Center for Genome Research and Biocomputing, Oregon State University using single-end sequencing with 100-bp reads. Approximately 6.6 million reads were obtained per liver sample.

Sequence alignment and gene counts

Adaptors and low quality tails were trimmed and ribosomal rRNA contaminations were removed using BBDuk from the BBTools toolset (Bushnell, 2014). As recommended by the manufacturer (Lexogen GmbH), a Phred score of 10 and a read length of 20 were used as the minimum cutoff prior to data analysis (<https://www.lexogen.com/quantseq-data-analysis/>). Using a splice-aware aligner STAR (Dobin *et al.*, 2013) (version 37.95), cleaned reads were then mapped against the GRCm38 primary assembly of the *Mus musculus* genome (version mm10, M22 release) (ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gencode/Gencode_mouse/release_M22/GRCm38.primary_assembly.genome.fa.gz) with the annotation file of the same version (ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gencode/Gencode_mouse/release_M22/gencode.vM22.annotation.gtf.gz), both from the GENCODE project (Frankish *et al.*, 2019). On average, over 81% of the reads were uniquely mapped for each sample. Downstream analyses were based on uniquely aligned reads.

To generate count matrices from bam files, the summarizeOverlaps function from the GenomicAlignments package (v1.26.0) was used (Lawrence *et al.*, 2013). The location of the exons for each gene was obtained from a transcript database (TxDb) using the makeTxDbFromGFF function from the GenomicFeatures package (version 1.42.1), with a pre-

scanned GTF file used in the mapping step. Genes were then annotated with the R package Mus musculus (version 1.3.1) (Team, 2016).

Identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

R package edgeR (version 3.26.8) was used to detect differential change in gene expression among mice on different diets (Robinson, McCarthy and Smyth, 2010). Genes expressed in at least nine samples were retained using the filterByExpr function in edgeR. Unannotated genes, pseudogenes and ribosomal RNA genes were also removed from downstream analyses. Gene counts were then normalized with the default TMM (trimmed mean of M-values) method (Robinson and Oshlack, 2010) provided by edgeR. To account for both biological and technical variability, an overdispersed Poisson model and an Empirical Bayes method were used to moderate the degree of overdispersion across transcripts. Genes with a false discovery rate (FDR) threshold < 0.4 were used for heatmap and volcano plot analyses, whereas genes with an FDR threshold < 0.05 were used in gene ontology and pathway enrichment analysis.

Gene ontology and pathway enrichment analyses

Gene ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was conducted using Enrichr (<http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr>) (Chen *et al.*, 2013; Kuleshov *et al.*, 2016). Genes with an FDR threshold < 0.05 were analyzed with GO biological process 2018 and KEGG 2019 Mouse databases. Full tables can be found in the supplementary material (Supplement_File_A).

Classification of RNA-seq data

Gene selection and normalization were performed using the R package DaMiRseq 1.2.0 (Chiesa, Colombo and Piacentini, 2018). To distinguish TXN-fed samples from HFD control samples, we used a correlation cutoff of 0.4 for the partial least-squares feature selection (FSelect), and the default correlation coefficient for the redundant feature removal (FReduct).

Cell culture

Murine 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes were obtained from ATCC (Rockville, MD, USA). Prior to treatments, cells were maintained in basic media, which consisted of high glucose DMEM supplemented with 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA). The cells were allowed to reach full confluence for 2 days. Differentiation was induced by the addition of 0.5 µM IBMX (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 0.25 µM dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 µg/ml insulin (Sigma-Aldrich) plus the addition of

treatment compounds XN or TXN. After 48 h, media was removed and fresh DMEM was replenished for continuing differentiation. To observe XN and TXN's effects on 3T3-L1 adipocyte differentiation, different concentrations were selected based on dose-response experiments to identify the dose that maximized effectiveness while minimizing cell toxicity.

MTT cell viability assay

For cell viability experiments using the MTT assay, 3T3-L1 fibroblasts were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 15,000 cells per well in 200 μ l of DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% glutamine, 1 mM of sodium pyruvate, 100 units/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin. After incubating 48 h with various concentrations of XN or TXN at 37°C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere, the culture medium was removed and a solution of MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide], 0.5 mg/mL in complete culture medium, was added to each well. The cells were incubated with MTT for 3 h at 37°C and then the MTT medium was removed before adding acidified isopropanol to each well. The cells were shaken for 10 min in an orbital shaker before reading the absorbance at 570 nm using a Microplate Reader (SpectraMax 190, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Cell viability of compound-treated cells was calculated as percent absorbance of vehicle-treated control cells.

Oil red O staining

Cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffer saline (PBS) and then fixed with 10% formalin for 30 min. Cells were then washed with ddH₂O followed by 60% isopropanol. A 0.4% stock solution of Oil Red O (Sigma-Aldrich) in isopropanol was diluted 3:2 (Oil red O:ddH₂O) for a working solution. To determine intracellular lipid accumulation, fixed cells were incubated for 30 – 60 min at room temperature on a rocker with the Oil red O working solution. After incubation, cells were washed with ddH₂O and imaged using microscopy.

Adipocyte gene expression by RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated as described above, dissolved in RNase-free water and stored at -80 °C. For RT-PCR experiments, cells were grown in 6-well plates and treated with XN and TXN at 25 μ M concentration and differentiation medium after confluence for 2 days. Gene expression was measured from cells at 7 d post treatment. RNA (0.25 μ g) was converted to cDNA using iScript reverse transcriptase and random hexamer primers (Bio-Rad Laboratories), according to the manufacturer's recommendations. PCRs were set up as described previously (Gombart,

Borreagaard and Koeffler, 2005). All the threshold cycle number (CT) were normalized to Ywhaz reference gene. PrimeTime® Std qPCR assays were purchased from IDT (Table 5). $\Delta CT = CT(\text{target gene}) - CT(\text{reference gene})$. $\Delta\Delta CT = \Delta CT(\text{treated sample}) - \Delta CT(\text{untreated sample/control average})$. Statistics were done on $\Delta\Delta CT$ values.

Table 5 Primer probe information

Gene Name	IDT Assay Name	RefSeq Number
<i>Cd36</i>	Mm.PT.58.12375764	NM_007643
<i>Cidec/Fsp27</i>	Mm.PT.58.6462335	NM_178373
<i>Fabp4</i>	Mm.PT.58.43866459	NM_024406
<i>Fgf21</i>	Mm.PT.58.29365871.g	NM_020013
<i>Il6</i>	Mm.PT.58.10005566	NM_031168
<i>Lpl</i>	Mm.PT.58.46006099	NM_008509
<i>Mogat1</i>	Mm.PT.58.41635461	NM_026713
<i>Pparγ2</i>	Mm.PT.58.31161924	NM_011146
<i>Ppargc1α</i>	Mm.PT.58.28716430	NR_027710
<i>Plin4</i>	Mm.PT.58.43717773	NM_020568
<i>Scd1</i>	Mm.PT.58.8351960	NM_009127
<i>Srebfl</i>	Mm.PT.58.8508227	NM_011480

Time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (TR-FRET)

To determine the binding affinity of XN and TXN to PPARγ, a Lanthascreen™ TR-FRET PPARγ competitive binding assay was performed by Thermo Fisher Scientific as described (cite manual). A terbium-labeled anti-GST antibody binds to a GST-PPARγ-ligand binding domain fusion protein in which the LBD is occupied by a fluorescent pan- PPAR ligand (Fluormone™ Pan-PPAR Green). Energy transfer from the antibody to the ligand occurs and a high TR-FRET ratio (emission signal at 520 nm/495 nm) is detected. When a test compound displaces the ligand from PPARγ-LBD, a decrease in the FRET signal occurs and a lower TR-FRET ratio is detected (Invitrogen Corporation, 2008). For each compound (XN, TXN or oleic acid) a 10-point serial dilution (250,000 to 12.5 nM) was tested. Binding curves were generated by plotting percent displacement versus log concentration (nM), and IC₅₀ values were determined using a sigmoidal dose response (variable slope).

Molecular docking simulations for XN and TXN into the PPARγ ligand-binding domain

To estimate the binding mode of XN and TXN to PPARγ, molecular docking simulations were performed using AutoDock Vina (Trott and Olson, 2010). Structural models of XN and TXN

were built using OpenBabel to convert the isometric SMILES descriptor for XN to a PDB formatted file, which was subsequently modified using PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.7.4.5, Schrödinger, LLC) to obtain a PDB file for TXN. The solved structure of PPAR γ bound to the antagonist resveratrol (PDB ID: 4JAZ) was used as the receptor model. The PDBQT files for the receptor and the resveratrol, XN, TXN, and oleic acid ligands were generated using MGLTools-1.5.7rc1 (Morris *et al.*, 2009). The PPAR γ receptor was kept rigid during all docking experiments, and the center and size (20 x 20 x 20 Å³) of the docking box was positioned to cover the entire ligand-binding site of PPAR γ . All rotatable torsion angles in the ligand models were allowed to be active during the docking simulations. Twenty docking poses were generated for each simulation, and the conformation with the lowest docking energy was chosen as being representative.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance procedures for continuous data and Fisher's exact test for binary data were used for statistical comparisons. P-values of orthogonal *a priori* comparisons of the HFD control group versus each of the supplement groups are shown in the corresponding tables and figures. Additional details of statistical analyses are described in the corresponding figure legends.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jamie Pennington, Scott Leonard and Dr. Wenbin Wu for their assistance, Dr. Edward Davis for bioinformatics support and Anne-Marie Girard-Pohjanpelto, Mark Dasenko, Dr. Brent Kronmiller and Matthew Peterson at the Center of Genome Research and Bioinformatics at Oregon State University (OSU) for their assistance with RNA-sequencing. We thank Drs. Russ Turner and Urszula Iwaniec at the School of Biological and Population Health Sciences at OSU for use of the Lunar PIXImus 2 Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometer (DXA) instrument. The National Institutes of Health (NIH grants 5R01AT009168 to A.F.G., C.S.M., and J.F.S. and 1S10RR027878 to J.F.S.), the Linus Pauling Institute (LPI), the OSU College of Pharmacy, Hopsteiner, Inc., New York, and the OSU Foundation Buhler-Wang Research Fund supported this research. The Marion T. Tsefalas Graduate Fellowship from the LPI, the ZRT Laboratory Fund for the LPI, and the Charley Helen, Nutrition Science and Margy J. Woodburn Fellowships from the School of Biological and Population Health Sciences at OSU supported Y.Z.

References

- Almeda-Valdés, P., Cuevas-Ramos, D. and Aguilar-Salinas, C. A. (2009) “Metabolic syndrome and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease,” *Annals of hepatology*, 8 Suppl 1, pp. S18-24.
- Ammazzalorso, A. and Amoroso, R. (2019) “Inhibition of PPAR γ by Natural Compounds as a Promising Strategy in Obesity and Diabetes,” *The open medicinal chemistry journal*, 13(1). doi: 10.2174/1874104501913010007.
- Bechmann, L. P. *et al.* (2012) “The interaction of hepatic lipid and glucose metabolism in liver diseases,” *Journal of hepatology*, 56(4), pp. 952–964.
- van Beek, L. *et al.* (2015) “The limited storage capacity of gonadal adipose tissue directs the development of metabolic disorders in male C57Bl/6J mice,” *Diabetologia*, 58(7), pp. 1601–1609.
- Bełtowski, J., Rachańczyk, J. and Włodarczyk, M. (2013) “Thiazolidinedione-induced fluid retention: recent insights into the molecular mechanisms,” *PPAR research*, 2013, p. 628628.
- Bogue, M. A. *et al.* (2020) “Mouse Phenome Database: a data repository and analysis suite for curated primary mouse phenotype data,” *Nucleic acids research*, 48(D1), pp. D716–D723.
- Brunt, E. M. and Tiniakos, D. G. (2010) “Histopathology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease,” *World journal of gastroenterology: WJG*, 16(42), pp. 5286–5296.
- Brusotti, G. *et al.* (2017) “Betulinic acid is a PPAR γ antagonist that improves glucose uptake, promotes osteogenesis and inhibits adipogenesis,” *Scientific reports*, 7(1), p. 5777.
- Bugge, A. *et al.* (2010) “A novel intronic peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma enhancer in the uncoupling protein (UCP) 3 gene as a regulator of both UCP2 and -3 expression in adipocytes,” *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 285(23), pp. 17310–17317.
- Bushnell, B. (2014) *BBMap: a fast, accurate, splice-aware aligner*. Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.(LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States). Available at: <https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/1241166>.
- Calleri, E. *et al.* (2014) “Resveratrol and its metabolites bind to PPARs,” *Chembiochem: a European journal of chemical biology*, 15(8), pp. 1154–1160.
- Chao, L. *et al.* (2000) “Adipose tissue is required for the antidiabetic, but not for the hypolipidemic, effect of thiazolidinediones,” *The Journal of clinical investigation*, 106(10), pp. 1221–1228.
- Chen, E. Y. *et al.* (2013) “Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis tool,” *BMC bioinformatics*, 14, p. 128.

1040 Chen, Z. *et al.* (2012) “Insulin resistance and metabolic derangements in obese mice are
1041 ameliorated by a novel peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ -sparing thiazolidinedione,”
1042 *The Journal of biological chemistry*. ASBMB, 287(28), pp. 23537–23548.

1043 Chiesa, M., Colombo, G. I. and Piacentini, L. (2018) “DaMiRseq-an R/Bioconductor package for
1044 data mining of RNA-Seq data: normalization, feature selection and classification,”
1045 *Bioinformatics* , 34(8), pp. 1416–1418.

1046 Choi, S.-S. *et al.* (2016) “PPAR γ Antagonist Gleevec Improves Insulin Sensitivity and Promotes
1047 the Browning of White Adipose Tissue,” *Diabetes*, 65(4), pp. 829–839.

1048 Costa, R. *et al.* (2017) “Xanthohumol and 8-prenylnaringenin ameliorate diabetic-related
1049 metabolic dysfunctions in mice,” *The Journal of nutritional biochemistry*, 45, pp. 39–47.

1050 Dobin, A. *et al.* (2013) “STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner,” *Bioinformatics* , 29(1), pp.
1051 15–21.

1052 Dorn, C. *et al.* (2010) “Xanthohumol, a chalcon derived from hops, inhibits hepatic inflammation
1053 and fibrosis,” *Molecular nutrition & food research*, 54 Suppl 2, pp. S205-13.

1054 Estes, C. *et al.* (2018) “Modeling the epidemic of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease demonstrates
1055 an exponential increase in burden of disease,” *Hepatology* , 67(1), pp. 123–133.

1056 Fang, L. *et al.* (2016) “PPARgene: A Database of Experimentally Verified and Computationally
1057 Predicted PPAR Target Genes,” *PPAR research*, 2016, p. 6042162.

1058 Fonseca, V. (2003) “Effect of thiazolidinediones on body weight in patients with diabetes
1059 mellitus,” *The American journal of medicine*, 115 Suppl 8A, pp. 42S-48S.

1060 Frankish, A. *et al.* (2019) “GENCODE reference annotation for the human and mouse genomes,”
1061 *Nucleic acids research*, 47(D1), pp. D766–D773.

1062 Garcia-Jaramillo, M. *et al.* (2019) “Lipidomic and transcriptomic analysis of western diet-
1063 induced nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) in female Ldlr $-/-$ mice,” *PloS one*, 14(4), p.
1064 e0214387.

1065 Goldberg, I. J. and Ginsberg, H. N. (2006) “Ins and outs modulating hepatic triglyceride and
1066 development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease,” *Gastroenterology*, 130(4), pp. 1343–1346.

1067 Gombart, A. F., Borregaard, N. and Koeffler, H. P. (2005) “Human cathelicidin antimicrobial
1068 peptide (CAMP) gene is a direct target of the vitamin D receptor and is strongly up-regulated in
1069 myeloid cells by 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3,” *The FASEB journal*. FASEB, 19(9), pp. 1067–
1070 1077.

1071 Gong, Z. *et al.* (2009) “The role of tanshinone IIA in the treatment of obesity through
1072 peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma antagonism,” *Endocrinology*, 150(1), pp.
1073 104–113.

1074 Goto, T. *et al.* (2013) “Natural compounds regulate energy metabolism by the modulating the
1075 activity of lipid-sensing nuclear receptors,” *Molecular nutrition & food research*. Wiley Online
1076 Library, 57(1), pp. 20–33.

1077 Griffin, J. D. *et al.* (2017) “Role of Hepatic PLIN2 and PLIN4 in The Development of Western
1078 Type Diet Induced Hepatosteatosis,” *The FASEB Journal*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 31(S1), pp.
1079 458.3–458.3.

1080 Hashimoto, E., Taniai, M. and Tokushige, K. (2013) “Characteristics and diagnosis of
1081 NAFLD/NASH,” *Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology*, 28 Suppl 4, pp. 64–70.

1082 Henney, J. E. (2000) “Withdrawal of Troglitazone and Cisapride,” *JAMA: the journal of the*
1083 *American Medical Association*. American Medical Association, 283(17), pp. 2228–2228.

1084 Invitrogen Corporation (2008) *LanthaScreen™ TR-FRET PPARγ Assay Manual*. Carlsbad, CA,
1085 USA. Available at:
1086 <https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/PV4894?us&en#/PV4894?us&en>.

1087 Ipsen, D. H., Lykkesfeldt, J. and Tveden-Nyborg, P. (2018) “Molecular mechanisms of hepatic
1088 lipid accumulation in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease,” *Cellular and molecular life sciences:*
1089 *CMLS*, 75(18), pp. 3313–3327.

1090 Jia, Y. *et al.* (2012) “The natural carotenoid astaxanthin, a PPAR-α agonist and PPAR-γ
1091 antagonist, reduces hepatic lipid accumulation by rewiring the transcriptome in lipid-loaded
1092 hepatocytes,” *Molecular nutrition & food research*, 56(6), pp. 878–888.

1093 Karbowska, J. and Kochan, Z. (2012) “Intermittent fasting up-regulates Fsp27/Cidec gene
1094 expression in white adipose tissue,” *Nutrition*, 28(3), pp. 294–299.

1095 Kim, S.-J., Nian, C. and McIntosh, C. H. S. (2011) “Adipocyte expression of the glucose-
1096 dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor involves gene regulation by PPARγ and histone
1097 acetylation,” *Journal of lipid research*, 52(4), pp. 759–770.

1098 Kim, Y.-J. *et al.* (2008) “Transcriptional activation of Cidec by PPARgamma2 in adipocyte,”
1099 *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*, 377(1), pp. 297–302.

1100 Kirkwood, J. S. *et al.* (2013) “A metabolomics-driven elucidation of the anti-obesity mechanisms
1101 of xanthohumol,” *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 288(26), pp. 19000–19013.

1102 Kuleshov, M. V. *et al.* (2016) “Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web
1103 server 2016 update,” *Nucleic acids research*, 44(W1), pp. W90–7.

1104 Kwak, H. J. *et al.* (2016) “Suppression of Adipocyte Differentiation by Foenumoside B from
1105 *Lysimachia foenum-graecum* Is Mediated by PPARγ Antagonism,” *PloS one*, 11(5), p.
1106 e0155432.

1107 Lawrence, M. *et al.* (2013) “Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges,” *PLoS*
1108 *computational biology*, 9(8), p. e1003118.

- 1109 Lee, J.-E. and Ge, K. (2014) “Transcriptional and epigenetic regulation of PPAR γ expression
1110 during adipogenesis,” *Cell & bioscience*, 4, p. 29.
- 1111 Lee, Y. J. *et al.* (2012) “Nuclear receptor PPAR γ -regulated monoacylglycerol O-acyltransferase
1112 1 (MGAT1) expression is responsible for the lipid accumulation in diet-induced hepatic
1113 steatosis,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
1114 109(34), pp. 13656–13661.
- 1115 Lefterova, M. I. *et al.* (2014) “PPAR γ and the global map of adipogenesis and beyond,” *Trends*
1116 *in endocrinology and metabolism: TEM*, 25(6), pp. 293–302.
- 1117 Legette, L. *et al.* (2014) “Human pharmacokinetics of xanthohumol, an antihyperglycemic
1118 flavonoid from hops,” *Molecular nutrition & food research*, 58(2), pp. 248–255.
- 1119 Lehmann, J. M. *et al.* (1995) “An Antidiabetic Thiazolidinedione Is a High Affinity Ligand for
1120 Peroxisome Proliferator-activated Receptor γ (PPAR γ),” *The Journal of biological chemistry*,
1121 270(22), pp. 12953–12956.
- 1122 Li, Y.-T. *et al.* (2009) “7-Chloroarteminone-b as a new selective PPAR γ antagonist potently
1123 blocks adipocyte differentiation,” *Acta pharmacologica Sinica*, 30(9), pp. 1351–1358.
- 1124 Lighton, J. R. B. and Halsey, L. G. (2011) “Flow-through respirometry applied to chamber
1125 systems: pros and cons, hints and tips,” *Comparative biochemistry and physiology. Part A*,
1126 *Molecular & integrative physiology*, 158(3), pp. 265–275.
- 1127 Lytle, K. A., Wong, C. P. and Jump, D. B. (2017) “Docosahexaenoic acid blocks progression of
1128 western diet-induced nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in obese Ldlr $^{-/-}$ mice,” *PloS one*, 12(4), p.
1129 e0173376.
- 1130 Mahli, A. *et al.* (2019) “Therapeutic Application of Micellar Solubilized Xanthohumol in a
1131 Western-Type Diet-Induced Mouse Model of Obesity, Diabetes and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver
1132 Disease,” *Cells*, 8(4). doi: 10.3390/cells8040359.
- 1133 Matsusue, K. *et al.* (2003) “Liver-specific disruption of PPAR γ in leptin-deficient mice
1134 improves fatty liver but aggravates diabetic phenotypes,” *The Journal of clinical investigation*,
1135 111(5), pp. 737–747.
- 1136 Matsusue, K. *et al.* (2008) “Hepatic steatosis in leptin-deficient mice is promoted by the
1137 PPAR γ target gene Fsp27,” *Cell metabolism*, 7(4), pp. 302–311.
- 1138 Medvedev, A. V. *et al.* (2001) “Transcriptional regulation of the mouse uncoupling protein-2
1139 gene. Double E-box motif is required for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ -
1140 dependent activation,” *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 276(14), pp. 10817–10823.
- 1141 Miranda, C. L. *et al.* (2016) “Xanthohumol improves dysfunctional glucose and lipid metabolism
1142 in diet-induced obese C57BL/6J mice,” *Archives of biochemistry and biophysics*, 599, pp. 22–30.

1143 Miranda, C. L. *et al.* (2018) “Non-estrogenic Xanthohumol Derivatives Mitigate Insulin
1144 Resistance and Cognitive Impairment in High-Fat Diet-induced Obese Mice,” *Scientific reports*,
1145 8(1), p. 613.

1146 Miyata, S. *et al.* (2015) “Xanthohumol Improves Diet-induced Obesity and Fatty Liver by
1147 Suppressing Sterol Regulatory Element-binding Protein (SREBP) Activation,” *The Journal of*
1148 *biological chemistry*, 290(33), pp. 20565–20579.

1149 Morris, G. M. *et al.* (2009) “AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated docking with
1150 selective receptor flexibility,” *Journal of computational chemistry*, 30(16), pp. 2785–2791.

1151 Nesto, R. W. *et al.* (2004) “Thiazolidinedione use, fluid retention, and congestive heart failure: a
1152 consensus statement from the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association,”
1153 *Diabetes care*, 27(1), pp. 256–263.

1154 Park, S. Y. *et al.* (2008) “Cilostazol increases 3T3-L1 preadipocyte differentiation with improved
1155 glucose uptake associated with activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ
1156 transcription,” *Atherosclerosis*, 201(2), pp. 258–265.

1157 Promrat, K. *et al.* (2004) “A pilot study of pioglitazone treatment for nonalcoholic
1158 steatohepatitis,” *Hepatology*, 39(1), pp. 188–196.

1159 Ratzliff, V. *et al.* (2008) “Rosiglitazone for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: one-year results of the
1160 randomized placebo-controlled Fatty Liver Improvement with Rosiglitazone Therapy (FLIRT)
1161 Trial,” *Gastroenterology*, 135(1), pp. 100–110.

1162 Ratzliff, V. *et al.* (2010) “Long-term efficacy of rosiglitazone in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis:
1163 results of the fatty liver improvement by rosiglitazone therapy (FLIRT 2) extension trial,”
1164 *Hepatology*, 51(2), pp. 445–453.

1165 Rayalam, S. *et al.* (2009) “Anti-obesity effects of xanthohumol plus guggulsterone in 3T3-L1
1166 adipocytes,” *Journal of medicinal food*, 12(4), pp. 846–853.

1167 Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. and Smyth, G. K. (2010) “edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
1168 differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data,” *Bioinformatics*, 26(1), pp. 139–
1169 140.

1170 Robinson, M. D. and Oshlack, A. (2010) “A scaling normalization method for differential
1171 expression analysis of RNA-seq data,” *Genome biology*, 11(3), p. R25.

1172 Rosen, E. D. and Spiegelman, B. M. (2006) “Adipocytes as regulators of energy balance and
1173 glucose homeostasis,” *Nature*, 444(7121), pp. 847–853.

1174 Samuels, J. S., Shashidharamurthy, R. and Rayalam, S. (2018) “Novel anti-obesity effects of
1175 beer hops compound xanthohumol: role of AMPK signaling pathway,” *Nutrition & metabolism*,
1176 15, p. 42.

1177 Sankella, S., Garg, A. and Agarwal, A. K. (2016) “Characterization of the Mouse and Human
1178 Monoacylglycerol O-Acyltransferase 1 (Mogat1) Promoter in Human Kidney Proximal Tubule
1179 and Rat Liver Cells,” *PloS one*, 11(9), p. e0162504.

1180 Sanyal, A. J. *et al.* (2010) “Pioglitazone, vitamin E, or placebo for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis,”
1181 *The New England journal of medicine*, 362(18), pp. 1675–1685.

1182 Schwartz, A. V. (2008) “TZDs and Bone: A Review of the Recent Clinical Evidence,” *PPAR*
1183 *research*, 2008, p. 297893.

1184 Schwartz, A. V. and Sellmeyer, D. E. (2007) “Thiazolidinediones: new evidence of bone loss,”
1185 *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*, pp. 1232–1234.

1186 Sharma, A. M. and Staels, B. (2007) “Review: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor
1187 gamma and adipose tissue--understanding obesity-related changes in regulation of lipid and
1188 glucose metabolism,” *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*, 92(2), pp. 386–
1189 395.

1190 Soccio, R. E., Chen, E. R. and Lazar, M. A. (2014) “Thiazolidinediones and the promise of
1191 insulin sensitization in type 2 diabetes,” *Cell metabolism*, 20(4), pp. 573–591.

1192 Speakman, J. R. (2013) “Measuring energy metabolism in the mouse - theoretical, practical, and
1193 analytical considerations,” *Frontiers in physiology*, 4, p. 34.

1194 Stefan, N., Häring, H.-U. and Cusi, K. (2019) “Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: causes,
1195 diagnosis, cardiometabolic consequences, and treatment strategies,” *The lancet. Diabetes &*
1196 *endocrinology*, 7(4), pp. 313–324.

1197 Strathmann, J. and Gerhauser, C. (2012) “Anti-proliferative and Apoptosis-Inducing Properties
1198 of Xanthohumol, a Prenylated Chalcone from Hops (*Humulus lupulus* L.),” in Diederich, M. and
1199 Noworyta, K. (eds.) *Natural compounds as inducers of cell death: volume 1*. Dordrecht: Springer
1200 Netherlands, pp. 69–93.

1201 Takahashi, K. and Osada, K. (2017) “Effect of Dietary Purified Xanthohumol from Hop
1202 (*Humulus lupulus* L.) Pomace on Adipose Tissue Mass, Fasting Blood Glucose Level, and Lipid
1203 Metabolism in KK-Ay Mice,” *Journal of oleo science*, 66(5), pp. 531–541.

1204 Tamori, Y. *et al.* (2002) “Role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma in
1205 maintenance of the characteristics of mature 3T3-L1 adipocytes,” *Diabetes*, 51(7), pp. 2045–
1206 2055.

1207 Team, B. C. (2016) “Mus. musculus: Annotation package for the Mus. musculus object,” *R*
1208 *package version*, 1(1).

1209 Trott, O. and Olson, A. J. (2010) “AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking
1210 with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading,” *Journal of*
1211 *computational chemistry*, 31(2), pp. 455–461.

1212 Tschöp, M. H. *et al.* (2011) “A guide to analysis of mouse energy metabolism,” *Nature methods*,
1213 9(1), pp. 57–63.

1214 Wahlström, A. *et al.* (2016) “Intestinal Crosstalk between Bile Acids and Microbiota and Its
1215 Impact on Host Metabolism,” *Cell metabolism*, 24(1), pp. 41–50.

1216 Weir, J. B. D. E. B. (1949) “New methods for calculating metabolic rate with special reference to
1217 protein metabolism,” *The Journal of physiology*, 109(1–2), pp. 1–9.

1218 Wolf Greenstein, A. *et al.* (2017) “Hepatocyte-specific, PPAR γ -regulated mechanisms to
1219 promote steatosis in adult mice,” *The Journal of endocrinology*, 232(1), pp. 107–121.

1220 Yang, J.-Y. *et al.* (2007) “Effect of xanthohumol and isoxanthohumol on 3T3-L1 cell apoptosis
1221 and adipogenesis,” *Apoptosis: an international journal on programmed cell death*, 12(11), pp.
1222 1953–1963.

1223 Yang, T. and Soodvilai, S. (2008) “Renal and vascular mechanisms of thiazolidinedione-induced
1224 fluid retention,” *PPAR research*, 2008, p. 943614.

1225 Younossi, Z. M. *et al.* (2016) “Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-
1226 analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes,” *Hepatology*, 64(1), pp. 73–84.

1227 Yui, K., Kiyofuji, A. and Osada, K. (2014) “Effects of xanthohumol-rich extract from the hop on
1228 fatty acid metabolism in rats fed a high-fat diet,” *Journal of oleo science*, 63(2), pp. 159–168.

1229 Zhang, Y. *et al.* (2014) “Protopanaxatriol, a novel PPAR γ antagonist from *Panax ginseng*,
1230 alleviates steatosis in mice,” *Scientific reports*, 4, p. 7375.

1231 Zhang, Y. *et al.* (2016) “Dietary component isorhamnetin is a PPAR γ antagonist and ameliorates
1232 metabolic disorders induced by diet or leptin deficiency,” *Scientific reports*, 6, p. 19288.

1233 Zhang, Y. *et al.* (2020) “Improvements in Metabolic Syndrome by Xanthohumol Derivatives Are
1234 Linked to Altered Gut Microbiota and Bile Acid Metabolism,” *Molecular nutrition & food
1235 research*, 64(1), p. e1900789.

1236 Zhu, Y. *et al.* (1993) “Cloning of a new member of the peroxisome proliferator-activated
1237 receptor gene family from mouse liver,” *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 268(36), pp.
1238 26817–26820.

A. LFD vs. HFD

B. LXX vs. HFD

C. HXX vs. HFD

D. TXN vs. HFD

Figure 3-supplement 1. Relationship of body mass and energy expenditure between (A) LFD and HFD; (B) LXN and HFD; (C) HXN and HFD; (D) TXN and HFD. Energy expenditure was measured between weeks 10 to 14. Data was analyzed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) of body mass upon entry into the cages and diet. No statistically significant effect from treatments was detected. HFD data are from the same group of mice and are displayed as a reference on all four panels. Source files of data used for the analysis are available in the Figure supplement 1—source data 1.

Figure 3-supplement 2. The effect of diet and intervention on fasting plasma and fecal TAG levels. Mice were fed either a LFD (black, $n = 12$), a HFD (blue, $n = 12$), HFD+LXN (yellow, $n = 12$), HFD+HXN (red, $n = 12$), or HFD+TXN (green, $n = 11$) for 16 weeks. (A) Fasting plasma TAG levels are expressed as quartiles. (A-1) Relationship between fasting plasma TAG and total caloric intake over 16 weeks of feeding for LFD group; (A-2) for HFD group; (A-3) for HFD+LXN group; (A-4) for HFD+HXN group; (A-5) for HFD+TXN group (B) 3-day total fecal triglyceride (TAG) are expressed as quartiles. (B-1) Relationship between 3-day fecal TAG and total caloric intake over 16 weeks of feeding for LFD group; (B-2) for HFD group; (B-3) for HFD+LXN group; (B-4) for HFD+HXN group; (B-5) for HFD+TXN group. Pre-planned general linear model with contrasts were used to calculate p -values in A, B and C. $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$. Linear regression analyses of total calories versus fasting plasma TAG (A1-5) or total fecal TAG (B1-5) in mice were done using lm function of stats package version 3.6.2 in R. Blue shading represents 95% CI of the regression line. Absolute value of R, p -value, intercept, and slope for the regression are reported above each corresponding panel.