All-or-none disconnection of pyramidal inputs onto parvalbumin-positive interneurons gates ocular dominance plasticity

Authors: Daniel Severin^{1†}, Su Z. Hong^{1†}, Seung-Eon Roh^{2†}, Shiyong Huang¹, Jiechao Zhou², Michelle C. D. Bridi¹, Ingie Hong², Sachiko Murase³, Sarah Robertson³, Rebecca Haberman⁴, Richard Huganir², Michela Gallagher⁴, Elizabeth M. Quinlan³, Paul Worley², Alfredo Kirkwood^{1,2}.

¹Mind/Brain Institute
Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

²Department of Neuroscience
Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

³Department of Biology
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742, USA

⁴Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences
Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

† Equally contributing authors

***Contact:** Correspondence should be addressed to Alfredo Kirkwood (Kirkwood@jhu.edu)

ABSTRACT

Disinhibition is an obligatory initial step in the remodeling of cortical circuits by sensory experience. Our investigation on disinhibitory mechanisms in the classical model of ocular dominance plasticity uncovered an unexpected novel form of experience-dependent circuit plasticity. In layer 2/3 of mouse visual cortex monocular deprivation triggers a complete, “all-or-none”, elimination of connections from pyramidal cells onto nearby parvalbumin-positive interneurons (Pyr→PV). This circuit plasticity is unique as it is transient, local and discrete. It lasts only one day, and it does not manifest as widespread changes in synaptic strength, rather, only about half of local connections are lost and the remaining ones are not affected in strength.

Mechanistically, the deprivation-induced loss of Pyr→PV is contingent on a reduction of the protein neuropentraxin2 (NPTX2). Functionally, the loss of Pyr→PV is absolutely necessary for ODP. We surmise, therefore, that this “all-or-none” loss of local Pyr→PV circuitry gates experience-dependent cortical plasticity.

INTRODUCTION

Experience during a postnatal critical period is essential to properly shape the functional connectivity of cortical circuits. A canonical model of cortical plasticity is the shift in ocular dominance following monocular deprivation (MD), which biases responses towards the non-deprived eye. Prior research established that MD-induced changes result from the reorganization of excitatory glutamatergic synapses onto excitatory pyramidal neurons, which is in turn regulated by an inhibitory GABAergic network composed of parvalbumin-positive inhibitory interneurons (PVs). The current consensus is that a reduced, permissive, level of inhibition from PV circuits in cortical layer 2/3 is required for plasticity at downstream excitatory synapses, and that inhibition above or below the permissive range constrains the response to MD (Feldman, 2000; Hensch and Quinlan, 2018; Jiang et al., 2005). Although the notion that rapid cortical disinhibition precedes and initiates plasticity of glutamatergic connectivity is well established (Barnes et al., 2015; Kuhlman et al., 2013), and decades old (Hendry and Jones, 1986; Hickmott and Merzenich, 2002; Levy et al., 2002), the underlying cellular mechanisms remain unclear.

Disinhibition of excitatory cortical neurons could be achieved indirectly, for example by suppressing PV activity via enhancing inhibition from other interneurons through cholinergic neuromodulation (Froemke et al., 2007; Letzkus et al., 2011); but more directly, and likely more effectively, by reducing the excitatory input onto PVs (Gu et al., 2013; Gu et al., 2016; Kuhlman et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2016). Our current investigation uncovered a unique novel form of experience-dependent plasticity that regulates the connectivity between pyramidal neurons and PVs. We found that the initial response to monocular deprivation is the functional and structural elimination of ~50% of these connections. In contrast to the outcome of known mechanisms of synaptic plasticity that manifest in widespread graded changes in synaptic strength, the loss of

pyramidal-PV connectivity occurs in a discrete, “all-or-none”, fashion: whereas a subset of connections become completely eliminated, the persistent connections have normal strength. This disconnection is not only rapid, but it is transient, affects only very local pyramidal-PV pairs, and, importantly, manipulations that promote/prevent this disconnection also promote/prevent shifts in ocular dominance. We surmise, therefore that the rapid and transient disconnection of discrete subsets of PV circuits enables the subsequent Hebbian and homeostatic modification of glutamatergic circuitry.

RESULTS

Brief MD transiently reduces local connectivity between Pyrs→PVs. We evaluated how monocular deprivation (MD) affects the connectivity between pyramidal neurons (Pyr) and PV interneurons (Pyrs→PVs) in mouse visual cortex (V1) layer 2/3. To that end, we performed visualized whole cell-paired recordings in slices containing the monocular zone of V1 of mice expressing Td tomato in PVs (Fig. 1A,B. See methods). Consistent with previous studies (Gu et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2014), in juvenile mice (p24-p30) the connection probability in proximate Pyr→PV pairs (less than 50 μm apart) was high ($p \sim 0.5$) in slices from normal reared (NR) mice. In mice subjected to MD for 1 day (MD1) connection probability was reduced in the V1 contralateral to the deprived eye (Fig. 1C), but only for the pairs less than $\sim 25 \mu\text{m}$ apart. A logistic regression analysis confirmed the significance of these differences ($|Z|=3.228$; $p=0.0012$), including the interaction between experience and Pyr-PV distance ($|Z|=2.435$; $p=0.0149$). In contrast, MD1 did not affect the connectivity in the non-deprived (ND) cortex ipsilateral to the deprived eye (NR-ND: $p=0.6005$). Using this ND cortex as a control we found the disconnection in deprived cortex is transient: the differences between cortices are significant after 1 day, but not after 2 and 3 days of MD (Logistic regression: $|Z|=2.370$; $p=0.0178$; interaction between experience and days $|Z|=2.020$; $p=0.0434$; Fig. 1d). Importantly, the amplitude of the unitary excitatory postsynaptic current (uEPSC) of the proximal pairs that remained connected (within 12-24 microns) was not affected by MD (Fig. 1E,F). Moreover, MD did not affect crucial determinants of the uEPSC amplitude, including the quantal size, failure rate, and the

size and replenishment rate of the readily releasable vesicle pool (Supplementary Fig. 1). Finally, we found that in the binocular zone MD(1) also reduces the Pyr→PV connection probability, from 0.69 ± 0.09 (N=5 mice, 29 pairs) in NR mice to 0.40 ± 0.09 in MD mice (N=5,42; $p=0.029$. Data not shown).

Layer 2/3 PVs are also driven by excitatory inputs from layer 4 (Xu and Callaway, 2009). Since the probability of detecting connected intralaminar Pyr→PV pairs is typically very low (2 out of 20; not shown), we examined the effects of MD by computing the amplitude of maximal compound EPSCs as a measure of total strength in NR and MD mice (Gu et al., 2013; Morales et al., 2002). MD(1) reduced the amplitude of the maximal EPSC recruited electrically from layer 2/3 but not the EPSC recruited from layer 4 (Fig. 2c-g). Next, we evaluated changes in the ratio of EPSCs evoked in nearby Pyr and PVs by optogenetic stimulation of layer 4 inputs. MD(1) did not affect the EPSC ratio in PV/Pyr pairs (Fig. 2A,B). Because one day of MD does not affect excitatory inputs onto layer 2/3 pyramidal cells (Goel and Lee, 2007; Kuhlman et al., 2013), the absence of changes in the argues against alterations in layer 4 inputs to layer 2/3 PVs. Finally, we evaluated MD-induced changes in the outputs of PVs: PV→PV and PV→Pyr. MD(1) did not affect the amplitude of the maximal IPSC recorded in PVs (Supplementary Fig. 2A-C), the proportion of connected PV→Pyr pairs, nor the amplitude of the unitary IPSCs in Pyrs (Supplementary Fig. 2D-G). In sum, a primary effect of brief MD on Layer 2/3 PV circuitry is the selective and complete, yet transient, “all or none” elimination of approximately half the functional connections made by nearby pyramidal cells, without affecting the potency of the remaining connections.

Evidence that MD induces a transient structural loss of Pyr→PV

connections. The “all or none” elimination of functional Pyr→PV connectivity is reminiscent of synaptic pruning. Hence it suggests a loss of synaptic structure rather than a reduction in synaptic strength. To test this idea, we first considered the possibility that MD might “silence” Pyr→PV connections by inducing the removal of synaptic AMPA receptors, as has been documented in pyramidal neurons. To that end we compared the AMPA/NMDAR EPSC ratio, a crude indicator of changes in silent synapses, in PVs from deprived and non-deprived cortices. We detected no differences following MD1, arguing against a silent synapse scenario (Fig. 3A,). Next, we evaluated changes in the number excitatory synapses onto PVs using immunocytochemistry to visualize the vesicular glutamate transporter 1 (VG1) as a marker for intracortical glutamatergic terminals. After 1 day of MD, the average number of VG1 puncta in the soma and proximal dendrites of PVs was reduced in deprived relative to non-deprived monocular zone, but there was no difference after 3 days of MD (Fig. 3B,C). These results suggest that MD causes a transient structural elimination of excitatory synapses onto PVs.

NPTX2 but not NRG1 mediates the disconnection of Pyrs→PVs by MD.

Attractive candidate mechanisms to mediate the disconnection of Pyr→PV synapses by MD include neuregulin1 (NRG1) and neuropentraxin2 (NPTX2) signaling. Both molecules are secreted by pyramidal neurons in an activity-dependent manner and promote/stabilize AMPARs at excitatory synapses onto PVs in multiple brain regions, including the visual cortex (Fazzari et al., 2010; Gu et al., 2013; Gu et al., 2016; Tsui et

al., 1996). A reduced secretion of NRG1 during MD has been associated with diminished excitatory input onto PV-INs (Sun et al., 2016). We therefore tested whether supplemental NRG1 (1 μ g subcutaneous (Gu et al., 2016)) prevents the disconnection of Pyr→PV during MD(1). Systemic NRG1 did not inhibit the decrease in Pyr→PV connection probability in deprived V1 after MD1 (Fig. 4A). Next, we examined how exogenous NRG1 affects excitatory inputs onto layer 2/3 PVs in slices from MD1 mice. To that end we recorded in the same PVs the EPSCs evoked by layer 2/3 and layer 4 stimulation. NRG1 application specifically enhanced the inputs from layer 4 (Fig. 4B), which are normally not affected by MD (see Fig. 2). A comparable enhancement of layer 4 inputs was also observed in normal reared V1b (Fig. 4 C). Together this argues against a role for NRG1 in the elimination of Pyr→PV connections in layer 2/3 by MD1.

Genetic ablation of NPTX2 (also known as NARP) has been shown to result in the all-or-none elimination of a substantial proportion of Pyr→PV connections (Gu et al, 2013). To examine the role of NPTX2 in the disconnection induced by MD, we monitored how MD changes the release NPTX2 *in vivo*. NPTX2 release was monitored by viral expression of NPTX2 fused with Super Ecliptic pHluciferin (SEP) which fluoresces only in the extracellular compartment (Martineau et al., 2017). In wild type mice virally transfected with AAV-CaMKII-NPTX2-SEP in V1, two-photon imaging in the superficial cortical layers revealed discrete puncta (2-3 μ m) likely representing extracellular aggregates of NPTX2-SEP (Fig. 5b). One day of MD substantially reduced the density and intensity of these puncta, which returned to normal levels by the 2nd day of MD (Fig. 5-D). A reduction in NPTX2 protein content in V1 after one day of MD is

also revealed by quantitative immunochemistry (supplementary Fig. 4). These results suggest that MD transiently reduces the synaptic expression of NPTX2.

To test whether an MD-induced reduction in NPTX2 content is necessary for the Pyr→PV disconnection, we exploited the fact that the effects of MD on Pyr→PV-IN inputs have a critical period. The loss of connectivity induced by one day of MD are robust up to postnatal day 50 (p50) but cannot be elicited at ~p100 (Fig. 6A). We therefore tested whether overexpression of NPTX2 prevents the MD-induced Pyr→PV disconnection in juveniles, and whether reducing the functionality of NPTX2 enables the disconnection in adults. In the first case we transfected V1 neonatally with AAV-CaMKII-NPTX2-SEP, in the second case we transfected V1 in adults with AAV2/2.CAMKII.dnNPTX2.EGFP to express a dominant negative form of NPTX2 that disrupts biosynthesis of the native NPTX1/2/R complex (Charbonnier-Beaupel et al., 2015). In juveniles (p21-p25) overexpressing NPTX2-SEP, the Pyr→PV connectivity remained high after MD(1), comparable to age-matched normal-reared controls (Fig. 6B). As a control we confirmed reduced connectivity after MD(1) in juveniles transfected with the same serotype AA virus expressing GFP (AAV2-CaMKII-GFP). On the other hand, in post-critical period adults (~p110) the expression of dnNPTX2, which by itself did not affect the Pyr→PV connectivity, enabled a substantial and significant disconnection of Pyr→PV inputs following MD(1) (Fig. 6C). As in the case of critical period mice the amplitude of the remaining EPSCs was normal (Fig. 6C). These results support a model in which a reduction of NPTX2 is a necessary permissive factor for the MD-induced disconnection of Pyr→PV inputs in layer 2/3.

Manipulation of NPTX2 prevent/enables ocular dominance plasticity. Finally, we examined whether the manipulations of NPTX2 that prevent/enable the Pyr→PV disconnections also prevent/enable the plasticity of ocular dominance (ODP) induced by MD. In mice, ODP induced by MD has a critical period with a time course parallel to the time course for MD-induced disconnections of Pyr→PV inputs in layer 2/3 (Fig. 6A). ODP in the critical period is manifest as a rapid reduction of cortical responsiveness to the deprived eye in juveniles (younger than ~p35), and a delayed increase responsiveness to the non-deprived eye in young adults (younger than ~p70; (Cooke and Bear, 2014; Lehmann and Lowel, 2008). First, we asked how overexpression of NPTX2 following neo-natal AAV transfection (as in Fig. 6B), affects ocular dominance shift induced by brief MD in juveniles (3 days Fig. 7A). We used intrinsic signal imaging to evaluate cortical responses in the binocular zone of V1 as described (Hong et al., 2020; Kaneko et al., 2008). Cortical responses in mice are normally biased toward the contralateral eye and this bias is reduced by MD, reflected as a shift of the ocular dominance index (ODI, see methods). In NPTX2-transfected mice, the ODI for normal-reared and MD individuals was similar (Fig. 7B) and within the range for normal reared non transfected mice (Fig. 7, light gray bar). On the other hand, mice transfected with control AAV and subjected to MD(3) exhibited a reduction in ODI, comparable to the decrease in ODI induced by MD3 in non-infected controls Fig. 7b, dark gray bar). In a complementary study we determined that transfecting adult mice (>p110) with AAV-dnNPTX2 to reduce NPTX2 function (as in Fig. 6C), enables a juvenile-like decrease in ODI in response to MD. In these experiments we imaged V1b before and after MD(3) (Fig. 8A) and confirmed that the reduction in ODI was juvenile-like; that is, it resulted

from a reduction in the contralateral response, not from an increase in the ipsilateral response (Fig. 8B-E). Altogether, the results indicate that a loss of NPTX2-dependent Pyr→PV-IN connectivity is an obligatory early step in ODP.

DISCUSSION

Deprivation studies indicate that rapid disinhibition of pyramidal neurons enables plasticity of glutamatergic networks. Here we describe a novel form of synaptic plasticity that mediates cortical disinhibition induced by monocular deprivation: the “all-or-none” elimination of a subset of Pyr→PV connections. This “all-or-none” elimination of Pyr→PV inputs is distinct from most other models of synaptic weakening, in that all synaptic contacts between affected pairs of neurons are lost. Pyr→PV synapse elimination also contrasts with perinatal pruning of exuberant inputs (Chen and Regehr, 2000; Kano and Watanabe, 2019; Patel et al., 2014) in that it is transient, lasting only one day, and it is not accompanied by a compensatory increase in the strength of the remaining inputs. In addition, the elimination of Pyr→PV inputs is also unique in that it is highly specific, affecting only inputs from nearby layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons, leaving inputs from distant pyramidal neurons in layer 2/3 or in layer 4 unchanged. Finally, the Pyr→PV input elimination is contingent on a reduced NPTX2 content, and it is a seemingly obligatory step for subsequent changes in ocular dominance.

The observation that the MD-induced change in Pyr→PV-IN inputs is both all-or-none, and transient, is somewhat counter intuitive, and it is unclear how temporary input elimination best serves ODP. Nevertheless, it seems plausible that the process is initiated by changes in neural activity resulting from diminished visual drive during MD. A reduced correlation of pre-postsynaptic activity in Pyr→PV pairs after MD is expected to induce associative long-term synaptic depression in these connections (Huang et al., 2013; Lamsa et al., 2010) and may promote synapse elimination as reported in other

types of depressed synapses (Henson et al., 2017; Oh et al., 2013). We propose that the reduction in the availability of NPTX2 induced by MD contributes to the destabilization of depressed Pyr→PV inputs in V1. Notably, associative LTD in Pyr→PV connections and synapse elimination in CA1 pyramidal cells require mGluR5 activation (Huang et al., 2013; Wilkerson et al., 2014), raising the possibility that the elimination of individual synapses and cell-to-cell connections share common initial mechanisms. The elimination of Pyr→PV inputs is highly local, occurring only in layer 2/3 Pyr→PV pairs separated by less than ~25 μm. This spatial requirement matches the diameter of recently reported microcolumns in mouse cortex, suggesting that the distribution of disconnected synapses might reflect the co-regulation of locally connected neuronal networks (Maruoka et al., 2017). The preferential local elimination might also be attributed to cortical retinotopy, as the decrease in correlated activity during MD might be more pronounced in proximal than distal pairs. The absence of an overt change in connectivity between layer 4 Pyrs→layer 2/3 PVs following MD may be due to low expression of NPTX2 in layer 4 neurons (Allen brain Mouse Atlas). Finally, the loss of Pyr→PV inputs might be transient because subsequent homeostatic changes in glutamatergic synapses might restore patterns of neural activity conducive to re-establish connectivity (Bridi et al., 2018; Hengen et al., 2013; Keck et al., 2017; Lee and Kirkwood, 2019).

Previous studies, including ours (Gu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016) have implicated the growth factor NRG1 in plastic changes induced by MD. In contrast, here we show that application of exogenous NRG1 neither prevented nor restored the transient disconnection of MD-induced local Pyr→PV inputs in layer 2/3, arguing against

a direct role of NRG1 in this process. A recent report utilizing a glutamate uncaging approach reported that applied NRG1 can restore a widespread reduction of excitatory input onto layer 2/3 PVs induced by MD(1) (Sun et al., 2016). However, the uncaging approach can recruit and record responses with a large polysynaptic component and the paired whole cell recordings used here may be preferable for resolving local monosynaptic connections. An attractive and simple possibility to reconcile these apparent discrepancies is that MD induces a NPTX-dependent disconnection of local Pyr-PV inputs in layer 2/3, and a NRG1-dependent reduction in local excitatory inputs onto layer 4 PVs. This would be in line with the notion that distinct mechanisms govern synaptic plasticity in different cortical layers (Fong et al., 2020; Frantz et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2007), and is consistent with our observation that NRG1 affects excitatory inputs onto layer 2/3 PVs originating from layer 4, but not layer 2/3. If correct this scenario allows for two molecularly distinct mechanisms to regulate excitatory inputs onto PVs, that are anatomically segregated, thereby minimizing functional redundancy.

The rapid and transient elimination of approximately 50% of the local excitatory inputs onto PVs is likely a major determinant of the deprivation-induced cortical disinhibition attributed to the reduced activity of PVs (Kuhlman et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2019). However, other synaptic mechanisms might also contribute. For example, even if the strength and connectivity of the PV output is not affected by MD(1) (supplementary Fig. 2), changes in short-term synaptic dynamics, normally tuned for high frequency transmission (Bridi et al., 2020), could enhance cortical activity. Similarly, changes in the electrical coupling between PVs, and tonic inhibition, known to be affected by deprivation in pyramidal neurons (Huang et al., 2015), could enhance

cortical activity. On the other hand, unlike the case of barrel cortex (Gainey et al., 2018) changes in the intrinsic excitability of layer2/3 PVs is not a factor for disinhibition (Sun et al., 2016). On the other hand, unlike the case of barrel cortex (Gainey et al., 2018) changes in the intrinsic excitability of layer2/3 PVs is not factor for disinhibition (Sun et al., 2016).

The critical periods for ocular dominance plasticity (Huang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2010) and the plasticity of Pyr→PV-IN connectivity are highly coincidental, both terminating by p90. Furthermore, manipulations of NPTX2 function that prevent and promote the transient disconnection of Pyr→PV-IN inputs also prevent/promote ocular dominance shift after MD. We therefore surmise that the transient elimination of local Pyr→PV inputs is an obligatory initial step for the subsequent remodeling of glutamatergic circuitry underlying ocular dominance plasticity.

An attractive candidate step to follow the loss of Pyr→PV connections could a rapid increase in the proportion of synaptic GluN2b over GluN2a subunit, which is observed after brief visual deprivation (Bridi et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2012). The change in NMDAR composition lowers the threshold for the induction of synaptic plasticity, including LTP and LTD elicited with spike-timing protocols, and is consequence of cortical disinhibition (Bridi et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2012). In this scenario, glutamatergic connectivity would not be intrinsically more plastic during the critical period, but plasticity is enabled by the change in GluN2b/GluN2a following the local elimination of Pyr→PV inputs. The termination of the critical period for ODP, would therefore be a direct consequence of the loss of plasticity of the Pyr→PV inputs. In this general context, it will

be of interest to determine whether NPTX2-dependent disconnection of Pyr→PV inputs also regulates other candidate mechanisms of ODP, like the abundance of silent synapses (Xu et al., 2020). Finally, it will be also of interest to examine whether disconnection of local Pyr→PV inputs contributes to the changes in cortical criticality after MD (Ma et al., 2019) and to pathology in neurological conditions known to exhibit marked alterations in NPTX2 abundance, including Alzheimer disease and schizophrenia (Xiao et al., 2017).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1 | MD transiently eliminates functional connections between local Pyr→PVs.

A, Paired whole cell recordings from cortical slices containing deprived (D), non-deprived (ND) or normal-reared (NR) monocular V1. **B**, Example of uEPSCs (individuals: gray; average: black) evoked in a PV-IN (PV) by action potentials produced in pyramidal neuron (Pyr). **C**, probability that Pyr→PV pairs are synaptically connected versus distance between somas. Lines: 21 points running averages; symbols: binned averages over 10 μm (NR: white; D(1 day): black; ND: blue). **D**, Transient changes in functional connectivity during MD. The difference in pair connectivity between the D and ND hemispheres was significant following 1 day of MD only ($\chi^2[3 \times 2] = 10.84$ $p = 0.0547$; F-test MD(1) $p = 0.0139$; F-test MD(2) $p = 0.7311$; F-test MD(3) $p > 0.9999$). **E**, Comparable distributions of the uEPSC magnitude of connected pairs in NR (white circles, and D (black circles). KS-test: $p = 0.666$. **F**, MD does not affect the uEPSC magnitude of the connected pairs separated by $> 12 \mu\text{m}$ and $< 24 \mu\text{m}$ (light grey zone in c). NR: 28.0 ± 3.5 pA; D(1): 24.6 ± 3.1 pA; MW-test: $U = 222.5$, $p = 0.7294$). Traces are averaged uEPSCs ($\pm 95\%$ C.I) for all connected pairs. The number of pairs and mice is indicated in parenthesis in c,d,f.

Fig. 2 | MD(1) selectively reduces intralaminar excitatory inputs onto layer 2/3 PVs without affect ascending inputs from layer 4.

A, Example EPSCs evoked in neighboring PVs and Pyrs by activating layer 4 inputs with optogenetic stimulation of increasing intensities (see methods for details), The response ratio (PV/PV+Pyr) was similar across stimulation intensities, and similar in pairs from NR (open circles) and D

(filled circles) mice (ANOVA $F[1,128]=0.0007$, $p=0.9794$; interaction $F[5,128]=0.49$, $p=0.7844$). Averages are shown as circles $\pm$ s.e.m. **C-D**, Maximal EPSCs (EPSCmax) evoked by electrical stimulation of increasing intensity applied to layer 2/3 (black) or layer 4 (red), shown in **C**, were computed from input/output plots (shown in **D**). **E**, MD(1) reduced the EPSCmax evoked from layer 2/3 (NR: 1.525 ± 0.143 nA, D: 0.986 ± 0.156 nA; MW-test $U=97$, $p=0.0047$). **F**, MD(1) did not reduce the EPSCmax evoked from layer 4 (NR: 1.380 ± 0.122 nA; D: 1.356 ± 0.113 nA; t-test $t=0.1464$, $p=0.8843$). **G**, MD(1) reduces the ratio of EPSCmax evoked from layer 2/3/ layer 4. Circles in **E,F,G**: EPSCmax from individual cells; boxes: averages $\pm$ s.e.m. The number of cells or cell pairs and mice is indicated in parenthesis in **B, E,F,G**.

Fig. 3 | MD(1) induces a transient loss of structural Pyr→PV connections. A-B, Evidence against change in silent synapses. **A**, Schematic for measurement of NMDAR and AMPAR epscs. **B**, MD(1) does not affect the NMDAR/AMPA (N/A) EPSC amplitude ratio (t-test $F[29]=0.03165$, $p=0.9750$). The number of cells and mice is indicated in parenthesis. **C-D**, Immunohistochemical analysis of the MD-induced changes in the VGlut1 puncta density on the soma and proximal dendrites of layer 2/3 PV-INs. **C**, Example cases (projection of 3 image planes) showing PV in red, VG1 in green. Colocalized puncta are depicted in yellow. **D**, Quantification of colocalized PV+VG1. The grey lines connect results of the deprived (D) and non-deprived (ND) monocular V1 of the same mouse after MD for 1 day (left) or 3 days (right). Averages are shown as circles connected by black lines $\pm$ s.e.m.

Fig. 4 | NRG1 does not affect inputs onto PVs from layer 2/3 Pyrs. **A**, In mice between p21-25 systemically injected with NRG1 (1 μ g/mouse subcutaneous, 1X prior to eye suture and 1X 1h before sacrifice; MD(1) reduces the Pyr→PV connection probability. Gray bars indicate the 95% CI in non-injected mice (data from Fig 1). **B**, In slices from MD mice, superfusion of NRG1 (5 nM grey zone) selectively potentiated EPSCs evoked from layer 4 (red symbols: 126.0 $\pm$ 7.3% at 10-20 min. Wilcoxon test W=78, p=0.0005) without affecting EPSCs evoked from layer 3 (black symbols: 103.3 $\pm$ 3.9%, Wilcoxon test W=16, p=0.5547). Left: average time course; right: grey lines connect EPSCs evoked by layer 4 and layer 3 stimulation in the same cell; the black lines connect averages $\pm$ s.e.m. **C**, NRG1 (5 nM grey zone) also potentiates EPSCs evoked by layer 4 stimulation in slices from normal-reared mice. Red symbols: electrically-evoked EPSCs; blue symbols: optogenetically-evoked EPSPs in mice expressing ChR2 in layer 4 (see methods). Left: average time course. Right: changes in individual cells; boxes: averages $\pm$ s.e.m; shaded red box: 95% C.I. for layer 4-evoked EPSCs in deprived slices (from 4B). Number of cells or cell pairs and mice is indicated in parenthesis in **A-C**.

Fig. 5 | MD transiently reduces surface NPTX2 in L2/3 V1. **A**, Time schedule of the experiment. AAV-CaMKII-NPTX2-SEP was injected into the visual cortex of wild-type mice at P30. Intrinsic signal imaging was carried out at P40 to identify V1. Around P47, live animals were imaged in layer 2/3 with 2-photon microscopy. **B**, Example images from the same mouse before and after 1, 2, and 3 days of MD reveals a brief reduction

of the number of NPTX2-SEP puncta at day 1. **C**, Quantification of NPTX2-SEP puncta number in L2/3 (80 -180 μ m) indicates a significant and transient reduction at day 1 (Repeated measures ANOVA $F[1.508, 6.031]= 12.61$, $p=0.0087$). **D**, The quantified total fluorescence intensity (in arbitrary units) of the NPTX2-SEP puncta is transiently reduced by 1 day MD (Repeated measures ANOVA $F[1.132, 4.526]= 7.209$, $p=0.0466$. Comparisons indicated in **C,D** used the Holm-Sidak's multiple comparison test. Grey lines in **C,D** represent data from individual mice; $N = 5$ mice; circles and black lines, average $\pm$ s.e.m.

Fig. 6 | Manipulations of NPTX2 prevent/enable MD-induced Pyr→PV

disconnection. A, Critical period for the MD-induced plasticity of Pyr→PV inputs. In mice younger than ~p60 MD but not in ~p110 mice, MD for 1 day reduces the EPSC max amplitude (red circles. 2-way ANOVA $F[1, 106]=18.66$, $p<0.0001$; interaction $p=0.006$) and the probability of connection (black circles. Logistic regression $F[1,23]=8.835$, $p=0.0036$; interaction $F[1,23]=3.918$, $p=0.0500$). Data shown as averages $\pm$ s.e.m (Open circles: NR mice; filled circles: D mice). **B**, Transfection with AAV2-CaMKII-NPTX2-SEP at ~ birth prevents MD(1)-induced disconnection of Pyr→PV inputs in juveniles. Top: experimental schedule. Bottom: Pyr→PV connection probability in normal reared (NR), deprived (D) mice transfected with NPTX2-SEP, and deprived mice transfected with control virus (AA2-GFP). **C**, transfection of V1 with AAV2-dnNPTX2 in adult mice enables the MD(1)-induced disconnection of Pyr→PV inputs.

Top: experimental schedule. Bottom: the connection probability in normal reared (NR) and deprived (D) transfected mice. For comparison, boxes in **B,C** depict the 95% CI range non-transfected mice (light grey: normal-reared; dark grey: deprived). The number of cells or cell pairs and mice is indicated in parenthesis in **A-C**.

Fig. 7 | Overexpression of NPTX2 prevents ocular dominance plasticity in

juveniles. A, Mice were transfected at birth (p0-2) with AAV2-CaMKII-NPTX2-SEP or AAV2-GFP. Following 3 days of monocular deprivation starting at p30-p31, intrinsic signal responses to stimulation in each eye were recorded in the V1 contralateral to the deprived eye (see methods). **b**, In the NPTX2 transfected mice (black circles), the ocular dominance index (ODI: see methods) after MD(3) was comparable to non-deprived transfected mice (white circles), and larger than in deprived mice transfected with control AAV2-GFP (black triangles). ANOVA $F[3,23]=12.56$, $p=0.0019$; $NR_{NPTX2-D(3)NPTX2} p>0.9999$; $D(3)_{NPTX2}-D(3)_{GFP} p=0.0217$. For comparison, boxes in **b** depict the 95% CI range of ODI of 44 NR and 8 D non-transfected juvenile mice after MD(1) (light grey: normal-reared; dark grey: deprived).

Fig. 8 | Expression of dnNPTX2 enables juvenile-like ocular dominance plasticity

in adults. A, Adult mice were transfected (p110-118) with AAV2-dnNPTX2. The intrinsic signal responses to stimulation in each eye were recorded in the V1 contralateral to the deprived eye before and after 3 days of monocular deprivation starting at p109-p129. **b**, Example experiment. Left: vasculature pattern of the imaged region used for alignment. Middle: magnitude map of the visual response from the eye contralateral [C] or

ipsilateral [I] to the imaged hemisphere. Gray scale (bottom): response magnitude as fractional change in reflection $\times 10^4$. Arrows: L, lateral, R, rostral. Right: histogram of ocular dominance index (ODI) is illustrated in the number of pixels (x-axis: ODI, y-axis: number of pixels). **C-E**, Summary of changes in response amplitude of the deprived contralateral eye (left) and the non-deprived ipsilateral eye (middle), and the change of ODI (right) before (b) and after (a) MD. Thin line: individual experiments; thick line and symbols: average $\pm$ s.e.m. For comparison, boxes in **E** depict the 95% CI range of ODI of 15 non-transfected, adult mice (light grey: normal-reared; dark grey: deprived).

Competing Financial Interests: The authors have no competing financial interests

Author Contributions: D.S, S.Y.H and M.C.D.B. collected and analyzed slice electrophysiology data. S.H., S-Y.R., I.H. and RH collected, analyzed and interpreted the imaging data, J.Z made the NPTX2 viruses, S.M, S.R., R.H., M.G and E.M.Q collected, analyzed and interpreted the immunohistology and immunochemistry data. D.S., P.W., E.M.Q. and A.K. wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We thank Prof. HK Lee for valuable advice on the design and writing and Prof. S. Zeger for statistical advice. Supported by grants R35 NS097966 to PW, PO1 AG009973 to M.G., R01EY016431 to EMQ, R01EY12124 to A.K., R01EY025922 to EMQ and AK

REFERENCES

- Barnes, S.J., Sammons, R.P., Jacobsen, R.I., Mackie, J., Keller, G.B., and Keck, T. (2015). Subnetwork-Specific Homeostatic Plasticity in Mouse Visual Cortex In Vivo. *Neuron* 86, 1290-1303.
- Bridi, M.C.D., de Pasquale, R., Lantz, C.L., Gu, Y., Borrell, A., Choi, S.Y., He, K., Tran, T., Hong, S.Z., Dykman, A., *et al.* (2018). Two distinct mechanisms for experience-dependent homeostasis. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 843-850.
- Bridi, M.S., Shin, S., Huang, S., and Kirkwood, A. (2020). Dynamic Recovery from Depression Enables Rate Encoding in Inhibitory Synapses. *iScience* 23, 100940.
- Charbonnier-Beaupel, F., Malerbi, M., Alcacer, C., Tahiri, K., Carpentier, W., Wang, C., During, M., Xu, D., Worley, P.F., Girault, J.A., *et al.* (2015). Gene expression analyses identify Narp contribution in the development of L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. *J Neurosci* 35, 96-111.
- Chen, C., and Regehr, W.G. (2000). Developmental remodeling of the retinogeniculate synapse. *Neuron* 28, 955-966.
- Cooke, S.F., and Bear, M.F. (2014). How the mechanisms of long-term synaptic potentiation and depression serve experience-dependent plasticity in primary visual cortex. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 369, 20130284.
- Fazzari, P., Paternain, A.V., Valiente, M., Pla, R., Lujan, R., Lloyd, K., Lerma, J., Marin, O., and Rico, B. (2010). Control of cortical GABA circuitry development by Nrg1 and ErbB4 signalling. *Nature* 464, 1376-1380.
- Feldman, D.E. (2000). Inhibition and plasticity [news]. *Nat Neurosci* 3, 303-304.
- Fong, M.F., Finnie, P.S., Kim, T., Thomazeau, A., Kaplan, E.S., Cooke, S.F., and Bear, M.F. (2020). Distinct Laminar Requirements for NMDA Receptors in Experience-Dependent Visual Cortical Plasticity. *Cereb Cortex* 30, 2555-2572.
- Frantz, M.G., Crouse, E.C., Sokhadze, G., Ikrar, T., Stephany, C.E., Nguyen, C., Xu, X., and McGee, A.W. (2020). Layer 4 Gates Plasticity in Visual Cortex Independent of a Canonical Microcircuit. *Curr Biol* 30, 2962-2973 e2965.
- Froemke, R.C., Merzenich, M.M., and Schreiner, C.E. (2007). A synaptic memory trace for cortical receptive field plasticity. *Nature* 450, 425-429.
- Gainey, M.A., Aman, J.W., and Feldman, D.E. (2018). Rapid Disinhibition by Adjustment of PV Intrinsic Excitability during Whisker Map Plasticity in Mouse S1. *J Neurosci* 38, 4749-4761.
- Goel, A., and Lee, H.K. (2007). Persistence of experience-induced homeostatic synaptic plasticity through adulthood in superficial layers of mouse visual cortex. *J Neurosci* 27, 6692-6700.
- Gu, Y., Huang, S., Chang, M.C., Worley, P., Kirkwood, A., and Quinlan, E.M. (2013). Obligatory role for the immediate early gene NARP in critical period plasticity. *Neuron* 79, 335-346.
- Gu, Y., Tran, T., Murase, S., Borrell, A., Kirkwood, A., and Quinlan, E.M. (2016). Neuregulin-Dependent Regulation of Fast-Spiking Interneuron Excitability Controls the Timing of the Critical Period. *J Neurosci* 36, 10285-10295.
- Guo, Y., Huang, S., de Pasquale, R., McGehrin, K., Lee, H.K., Zhao, K., and Kirkwood, A. (2012). Dark exposure extends the integration window for spike-timing-dependent plasticity. *J Neurosci* 32, 15027-15035.
- Hendry, S.H.C., and Jones, E.G. (1986). Reduction in number of immunostained GABAergic neurones in deprived-eye dominance columns. *Nature* 320, 750-753.

- Hengen, K.B., Lambo, M.E., Van Hooser, S.D., Katz, D.B., and Turrigiano, G.G. (2013). Firing rate homeostasis in visual cortex of freely behaving rodents. *Neuron* 80, 335-342.
- Hensch, T.K., and Quinlan, E.M. (2018). Critical periods in amblyopia. *Vis Neurosci* 35, E014.
- Henson, M.A., Tucker, C.J., Zhao, M., and Dudek, S.M. (2017). Long-term depression-associated signaling is required for an in vitro model of NMDA receptor-dependent synapse pruning. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 138, 39-53.
- Hickmott, P.W., and Merzenich, M.M. (2002). Local circuit properties underlying cortical reorganization. *J Neurophysiol* 88, 1288-1301.
- Hong, S.Z., Huang, S., Severin, D., and Kirkwood, A. (2020). Pull-push neuromodulation of cortical plasticity enables rapid bi-directional shifts in ocular dominance. *Elife* 9.
- Huang, S., Hokenson, K., Bandyopadhyay, S., Russek, S.J., and Kirkwood, A. (2015). Brief Dark Exposure Reduces Tonic Inhibition in Visual Cortex. *J Neurosci* 35, 15916-15920.
- Huang, S., Hugarir, R.L., and Kirkwood, A. (2013). Adrenergic gating of Hebbian spike-timing-dependent plasticity in cortical interneurons. *J Neurosci* 33, 13171-13178.
- Huang S, Treviño M, He K, Ardiles A, Pasquale R, Guo Y, Palacios A, Hugarir R, Kirkwood A. (2012) Pull-push neuromodulation of LTP and LTD enables bidirectional experience-induced synaptic scaling in visual cortex. *Neuron*. 73:497–510.
- Jiang, B., Huang, Z.J., Morales, B., and Kirkwood, A. (2005). Maturation of GABAergic transmission and the timing of plasticity in visual cortex. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev*.
- Jiang, B., Trevino, M., and Kirkwood, A. (2007). Sequential development of long-term potentiation and depression in different layers of the mouse visual cortex. *J Neurosci* 27, 9648-9652.
- Jiang, B., Huang, S., de Pasquale, R., Millman, D., Song, L., Lee, H.K., Tsumoto, T., and Kirkwood, A. (2010). The maturation of GABAergic transmission in visual cortex requires endocannabinoid-mediated LTD of inhibitory inputs during a critical period. *Neuron* 66, 248–259.
- Kaneko, M., Stellwagen, D., Malenka, R.C., and Stryker, M.P. (2008). Tumor necrosis factor- α mediates one component of competitive, experience-dependent plasticity in developing visual cortex. *Neuron* 58, 673-680.
- Kano, M., and Watanabe, T. (2019). Developmental synapse remodeling in the cerebellum and visual thalamus. *F1000Res* 8.
- Keck, T., Hubener, M., and Bonhoeffer, T. (2017). Interactions between synaptic homeostatic mechanisms: an attempt to reconcile BCM theory, synaptic scaling, and changing excitation/inhibition balance. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 43, 87-93.
- Kuhlman, S.J., Olivas, N.D., Tring, E., Ikrar, T., Xu, X., and Trachtenberg, J.T. (2013). A disinhibitory microcircuit initiates critical-period plasticity in the visual cortex. *Nature* 501, 543-546.
- Lamsa, K.P., Kullmann, D.M., and Woodin, M.A. (2010). Spike-timing dependent plasticity in inhibitory circuits. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* 2, 8.
- Lee, H.K., and Kirkwood, A. (2019). Mechanisms of Homeostatic Synaptic Plasticity in vivo. *Front Cell Neurosci* 13, 520.
- Lehmann, K., and Lowel, S. (2008). Age-dependent ocular dominance plasticity in adult mice. *PLoS One* 3, e3120.
- Letzkus, J.J., Wolff, S.B., Meyer, E.M., Tovote, P., Courtin, J., Herry, C., and Luthi, A. (2011). A disinhibitory microcircuit for associative fear learning in the auditory cortex. *Nature* 480, 331-335.

- Levy, L.M., Ziemann, U., Chen, R., and Cohen, L.G. (2002). Rapid modulation of GABA in sensorimotor cortex induced by acute deafferentation. *Ann Neurol* 52, 755-761.
- Lu, J., Tucciarone, J., Lin, Y., and Huang, Z.J. (2014). Input-specific maturation of synaptic dynamics of parvalbumin interneurons in primary visual cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111, 16895-16900.
- Ma, Z., Turrigiano, G.G., Wessel, R., and Hengen, K.B. (2019). Cortical Circuit Dynamics Are Homeostatically Tuned to Criticality In Vivo. *Neuron* 104, 655-664 e654.
- Martineau, M., Somasundaram, A., Grimm, J.B., Gruber, T.D., Choquet, D., Taraska, J.W., Lavis, L.D., and Perrais, D. (2017). Semisynthetic fluorescent pH sensors for imaging exocytosis and endocytosis. *Nat Commun* 8, 1412.
- Madisen L; Zwingman TA; Sunkin SM; Oh SW; Zariwala HA; Gu H; Ng LL; Palmiter RD; Hawrylycz MJ; Jones AR; Lein ES; Zeng H. (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. *Nat Neurosci* 13(1):133-40PubMed: 20023653MGI: J:155793
- Maruoka, H., Nakagawa, N., Tsuruno, S., Sakai, S., Yoneda, T., and Hosoya, T. (2017). Lattice system of functionally distinct cell types in the neocortex. *Science* 358, 610-615.
- Morales, B., Choi, S.Y., and Kirkwood, A. (2002). Dark rearing alters the development of GABAergic transmission in visual cortex. *J Neurosci* 22, 8084-8090.
- Oh, W.C., Hill, T.C., and Zito, K. (2013). Synapse-specific and size-dependent mechanisms of spine structural plasticity accompanying synaptic weakening. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110, E305-312.
- Patel, A.B., Loerwald, K.W., Huber, K.M., and Gibson, J.R. (2014). Postsynaptic FMRP promotes the pruning of cell-to-cell connections among pyramidal neurons in the L5A neocortical network. *J Neurosci* 34, 3413-3418.
- Parslow, A., Cardona, A., and Bryson-Phifer CB, Terry LM. (1986) Use of hypothermia for general anesthesia in preweanling rodents. *Physiology & Behavior*. 38:887–890.
- Phifer CB, Terry LM. (1986) Use of hypothermia for general anesthesia in preweanling rodents. *Physiology & Behavior*. 38:887–890.
- Richardson, R.J. (2014). Sample drift correction following 4D confocal time-lapse imaging. *J Vis Exp*. (86):51086.
- Sun, Y., Ikrar, T., Davis, M.F., Gong, N., Zheng, X., Luo, Z.D., Lai, C., Mei, L., Holmes, T.C., Gandhi, S.P., and Xu, X. (2016). Neuregulin-1/ErbB4 Signaling Regulates Visual Cortical Plasticity. *Neuron* 92, 160-173.
- Tsui, C.C., Copeland, N.G., Gilbert, D.J., Jenkins, N.A., Barnes, C., and Worley, P.F. (1996). Narp, a novel member of the pentraxin family, promotes neurite outgrowth and is dynamically regulated by neuronal activity. *J Neurosci* 16, 2463-2478.
- Wilkerson, J.R., Tsai, N.P., Maksimova, M.A., Wu, H., Cabalo, N.P., Loerwald, K.W., Dictenberg, J.B., Gibson, J.R., and Huber, K.M. (2014). A role for dendritic mGluR5-mediated local translation of Arc/Arg3.1 in MEF2-dependent synapse elimination. *Cell Rep* 7, 1589-1600.
- Xiao, M.F., Xu, D., Craig, M.T., Pelkey, K.A., Chien, C.C., Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Resnick, S., Pletnikova, O., Salmon, D., *et al.* (2017). NPTX2 and cognitive dysfunction in Alzheimer's Disease. *Elife* 6.
- Xu, W., Lowel, S., and Schluter, O.M. (2020). Silent Synapse-Based Mechanisms of Critical Period Plasticity. *Front Cell Neurosci* 14, 213.
- Xu, X., and Callaway, E.M. (2009). Laminar specificity of functional input to distinct types of inhibitory cortical neurons. *J Neurosci* 29, 70-85.

- Huang S, Treviño M, He K, Ardiles A, Pasquale R, Guo Y, Palacios A, Huganir R, Kirkwood A. (2012) Pull-push neuromodulation of LTP and LTD enables bidirectional experience-induced synaptic scaling in visual cortex. *Neuron*. 73:497–510.
- Jiang, B., Huang, S., de Pasquale, R., Millman, D., Song, L., Lee, H.K., Tsumoto, T., and Kirkwood, A. (2010). The maturation of GABAergic transmission in visual cortex requires endocannabinoid-mediated LTD of inhibitory inputs during a critical period. *Neuron* 66, 248–259.
- Madisen L; Zwingman TA; Sunkin SM; Oh SW; Zariwala HA; Gu H; Ng LL; Palmiter RD; Hawrylycz MJ; Jones AR; Lein ES; Zeng H. (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. *Nat Neurosci* 13(1):133-40PubMed: 20023653MGI: J:155793
- Phifer CB, Terry LM. (1986) Use of hypothermia for general anesthesia in preweanling rodents. *Physiology & Behavior*. 38:887–890.
- Klapoetke NC, Murata Y, Kim SS, Pulver SR, Birdsey-Benson A, Cho YK, Morimoto TK, Chuong AS, Carpenter EJ, Tian Z, Wang J, Xie Y, Yan Z, Zhang Y, Chow BY, Surek B, Melkonian M, Jayaraman V, Constantine-Paton M, Wong GK, Boyden ES. Independent optical excitation of distinct neural populations. (2014). *Nat Methods*. 11(3):338-46.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

STAR Methods

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Alfredo Kirkwood (kirkwood@jhu.edu).

Materials Availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and Code Availability

Physiological or imaging source data are available from Mendeley Data or from the corresponding author on request. No further unique datasets or codes were generated in this study.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals. C57BL/6, PV-cre;Ai14, Scnn1a-Tg3-cre;Ai32;PV-tdT, and Scnn1a-Tg3-cre;G42 mice of both sexes were used. All subjects were group-housed (5 or fewer per cage) on a 12 hr light/dark cycle, with food and water available ad libitum. All protocols and procedures conformed to the guidelines of the US Department of Health and Human Services Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the University of Maryland, Johns Hopkins University, or both. These committees followed the guidelines established by the Animal Care Act and National Institutes of Health (NIH). For specific optogenetic stimulation in layer 4, we developed a triple transgenic line crossing the L4-Cre mouse line with the Ai32 mouse line (Madisen et al., 2012) that has a conditional allele of Rosa-CAG-LSL-ChR2(H134R)-EYFP-WPRE to drive ChR2/EYFP fusion protein expression in L4 principal cells. We then crossed L4-cre positive and Ai32 homozygous mice with PV-tdT mice.

METHOD DETAILS

Monocular deprivation. Naive mice were monocularly deprived of vision for 1, 2, or 3 d beginning at postnatal day 21–25, 27–31, 50–54, or 100–118. Mice were age-matched across groups. Monocular deprivation (lid suture) was performed under isoflurane anesthesia (2–3% for induction; 1.5% for maintenance). The margins of the upper and lower lids of one eye were trimmed and sutured together. Neosporin was applied to the sutured eye. Animals were disqualified in the event of suture opening or infection.

Drug administration. The recombinant human NRG1- β 1/HRG1- β 1EGF domain (7.5 kDa; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), corresponding to residues 176–246 of the soluble EGF domain of neuregulin β 1 common to all NRG1 splice variants, was dissolved in sterile saline. We used residues 177–241 from the same splice variant in some cases, with no difference in results between the two peptides (7.5 kDa; PROSPEC Protein Specialists, East Brunswick, NJ). Monocularly deprived mice were subcutaneously administered with two injections of recombinant NRG1 (1 μ g NRG1 in 50 μ L of sterile saline per injection per mouse). The first injection was applied immediately before lid suture, and the second, one hour before the animal was sacrificed for electrophysiological experiments.

Viral injections. Mice were anesthetized and head-fixed in a stereotaxic device (Kopf Instruments, Los Angeles, CA) under 1.5%–2% isoflurane. V1 was located in the left hemisphere using stereotaxic coordinates (3.6 mm posterior, 2.5 mm lateral to bregma). When the bregma-lambda distance was different than 4.2 mm, the stereotaxic coordinates were scaled. A craniotomy (~0.5 mm) was made, and the virus was injected (600 nL; 100 nL/sec) into layer 2/3 or layer 4 (0.3 or 0.4 mm from the cortical surface, respectively) 10–35 d before experimentation. For 2P imaging, during craniotomy AAV2.CaMKII.NPTX2-SEP was injected into three sites (100 nL per site; 5 nL/sec). Viral injection of AAV2.CaMKII.NPTX2-SEP or AAV2.CaMKII.GFP was done by bulk regional viral injection to the visual cortical area of neonatal PV-Cre;Ai14 mice at p0–2. Briefly, neonatal mice were cryo-anesthetized (Phifer and Terry, 1986) in an ice-cold chamber and positioned dorsal side up and secured with an adhesive bandage across the upper body. The visual cortical area was targeted with anatomical landmarks, including occipital fontanelle and lambdoid suture, visible through the neonatal skin. 600 nL of AAVs were injected right beneath the skull with a syringe infusion pump (50 nL/sec). After the injection, mice were kept on a heating pad and returned to their home cage once recovered. For optical imaging of intrinsic signaling, AAVs were injected at three locations targeting layer 2/3 of the binocular region of the V1 (500 nL/each injection site). For 2P imaging, during craniotomy AAV2-CaMKII-NPTX2-SEP was injected into three sites (100 nL per site) using a glass pipette (pulled by pipette puller, Narishige, Japan) and Nanoject (Drummond Scientific Company, PA) at a rate of 5 nL / second.

SLICE ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

Visual cortical slices were prepared as previously described (Huang et al., 2012). Each mouse was anesthetized using isoflurane vapors. Mice older than p50 were transcardially perfused with ice-cold dissection buffer containing (in mM): 212.7 sucrose, 5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 10 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, and 10 dextrose, bubbled with 95% O₂ / 5% CO₂ (pH 7.4)). Then mice were immediately decapitated, the brain was removed, and slices 300 μ m thick were cut in ice-cold dissection buffer. The slices were transferred to artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF), incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes, and then kept at room temperature for 30 minutes until they were transferred to the recording chamber. ACSF was similar to dissection buffer except that sucrose was replaced by 124 mM NaCl, MgCl₂ was lowered to 1 mM, and CaCl₂ was raised to 2 mM. Visualized whole-cell recordings were made from FS(PV)-INs and pyramidal neurons with glass pipettes filled with 130 mM K-gluconate, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM Na₃GTP, 4 mM MgATP, and 10 mM Na-Phosphocreatine (pH 7.2–7.3, 280–290 mOsm). Only cells with series resistance <25 M Ω (with <20% variation over the experiment) were included. Data were filtered at 4 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz using Igor Pro (Wave Metrics). The intersomatic distance was calculated as the Euclidean distance between the centers of both somata. Unitary excitatory postsynaptic potentials (uEPSCs) were recorded in voltage-clamp in the PV-INs at -70 mV and evoked by suprathreshold somatic current injection (2 ms) in presynaptic pyramidal neurons. uIPSCs were recorded in voltage-clamp in pyramidal neurons at 0 mV and evoked by suprathreshold somatic current injection (2 ms) in presynaptic PVs (Jiang et al., 2010). For presynaptic parameters, all presynaptic cells were depolarized with 1.7 pA current injection. At least 20 responses evoked at 0.1 Hz with paired-pulse stimulation (interstimulus interval: 50 ms for Pyr→PV pairs; 100 ms for PV→Pyr pairs) were used to confirm a synaptic connection and to compute the amplitudes of the unitary responses. When the temporal course of evoked EPSCs was measured (Fig. 4b,c), recordings were excluded if baselines were not stable.

Mean-variance and presynaptic analysis were performed on responses evoked by at least 15 stimuli trains delivered at 50 Hz and 20 s intervals. The uEPSC amplitude was measured for each stimulus, and the mean and variance were plotted against each other. Quantal size (q) was obtained from the linear regression slope for all events, excluding the two events with the highest average release probability (Clements and Silver, 2000). The Schneggenburger-Meyer-Neher (SMN) approach (Schneggenburger et al., 1999) was used to explore whether the readily releasable pool (RRP) of presynaptic vesicles was affected. The cumulative amplitude was plotted versus the stimulus number, and a linear regression was adjusted to the last five stimuli. In this model, the RRP replenishment rate is represented by the linear fit slope and the RRP size by the y axis intercept. We considered only those cases in which the R^2 value of the fit was >0.95 for presynaptic analysis and >0.5 for mean-variance analysis.

Maximal postsynaptic currents (PSC max) recorded in PV-IN were evoked every 15 seconds by electrical stimulation using a theta glass bipolar electrode placed in the middle of the cortical thickness. We used a Cs-gluconate based internal solution containing (in mM) 120 Cs-gluconate, 8 KCl, 10 HEPES, 1.12 EGTA, 0.5 Na₃GTP, 4 Na₂ATP, 10 Na-Phosphocreatine, and 5 Lidocaine; pH 7.2–7.3, 280–290 mOsm). Excitatory and Inhibitory PSC max (EPSC and IPSC max) were recorded in Voltage clamp at -40 and -70 mV, respectively. For IPSC max measurements, 25 μ M 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) and 100 μ M DL-2-amino-5 phosphonopentanoic acid (DL-APV) were included in the bath, and electrode capacitance and series resistance were compensated (Prediction % 90, Correction% 80-85, bandwidth 3 kHz).

NMDA/AMPA ratios were calculated from excitatory currents onto PV-IN evoked from layer 2/3 by electrical stimulation. 2 times the stimulus intensity that evoked the minimal AMPA response was used. An internal solution containing (in mM) 102 cesium gluconate, 5 TEA chloride, 3.7 NaCl, 20 HEPES, 0.3 Na-GTP, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.2 EGTA, 10 BAPTA, 5 QX-314 (pH 7.2, ~300 mOsm) under voltage clamp (V_h = -70 mV for AMPA and V_h = + 40 mV for NMDA). To isolate glutamate evoked currents and minimize multisynaptic responses, ACSF in the recording chamber contained 2.5 μ M gabazine, 25 μ M CNQX, 10 μ M adenosine, 4 mM CaCl₂, and 4 mM MgCl₂.

PV/Pyr EPSC response ratios were calculated from L4-evoked excitation onto L2/3 PV-IN and neighboring pyramidal cell (12-24 μ m apart). An input-output curve for EPSPs onto each cell was measured by simultaneous pair recordings using identical light intensity. The stimulation threshold was defined as the light intensity that elicited the minimal PV response with no failures.

IMMUNOHISTOFLUORESCENCE

Subjects were anesthetized with isoflurane and perfused with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Brain was post-fixed in 4% PFA for 24 hours followed by 30% sucrose for 24 hours, and cryoprotectant solution (0.58 M sucrose, 30% (v/v) ethylene glycol, 3 mM sodium azide, 0.64 M sodium phosphate, pH 7.4) for 24 hr. Coronal sections (40 μ m) were made on a Leica freezing microtome (Model SM 2000R). Sections were blocked with 4% normal goat serum (NGS) in 1X PBS for 1 hour. Antibodies were presented in a blocking solution for 18 hours, followed by appropriate secondary antibodies.

Antibodies The following antibodies/dilutions were used: mouse anti-parvalbumin (PV, Millipore) RRID:AB_2174013, 1:2000; guinea pig anti-VGluT1 (Millipore) RRID:AB_2301751, 1:2000; followed by appropriate secondary IgG conjugated to Alexa-488, 546 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) RRID:AB_2534089, RRID:AB_2534093, RRID:AB_2535805, 1:1000.

Confocal imaging and analysis. Images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope. A maximal intensity projection of a z-stack (11 slices x 0.5 μm images) was acquired at 40X (Zeiss Plan-neofluar 40x/1.3 Oil DIC, NA=1.3). PV+ somata were identified by size exclusion (20-200 μm^2) and fluorescence intensity (auto threshold + 25). Co-localization of VGLUT1 puncta on PV somata were analyzed in single Z-section images taken at 40X, using Fiji. After the threshold function was applied to VGLUT1 puncta (autothreshold+25), co-localized puncta were identified by size exclusion ($0.1 \mu\text{m}^2 < 2.0 \mu\text{m}^2$).

IMMUNOCYTOCHEMISTRY

Western blots. Protein from the dissected visual cortex was extracted with RIPA buffer such that the non-deprived hemisphere was used as a control for each deprived side of the mouse. 12ug of protein were run on 4-12% NuPage gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were probed Nptx2 (1:1000; Abcam: ab191563) and visualized a Chicken anti-Rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 647 secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific: A-21443). Tubulin was used as a loading control (α -Tubulin Mouse mAb; Cell Signaling: cat# 3873). Fluorescent bands were visualized on Typhoon 9410 variable mode imager (Amersham) with settings optimized for linear detection of Nptx2 and tubulin band intensities. Scans were quantified using ImageQuant with a fixed area for each protein. Background subtracted average intensities were z-scored to combine across gels.

IMAGING

Craniotomy. To install the glass window, juvenile mice (p27-31) were first anesthetized with isoflurane (2.5% for induction; 1.5% for maintenance in oxygen). After removal of the skin, connective tissue was gently removed by the blade with hydroperoxide. Translucent dental cement (C&B metabond, Parkell, NY) was applied to the exposed dry skull region. A 3 mm diameter craniotomy was made with a No.11 surgical blade over the putative visual cortical area, and the virus injection was made when corresponded. Three-layered (one 5 mm, two 3 mm glass cover glass) glass window was installed to the craniotomy, and dental cement was applied to fix the window. For 2-photon microscopy, the custom-made metal head bar was attached using the dental cement Superbond (Sun Medical, Japan). For the older animals (~p90), a craniotomy was performed with a dental drill. Atropine (0.05 mg/kg, s.c.) and dexamethasone (4.8 mg/kg, i.m.) were injected to reduce mucosal secretion and brain edema, respectively.

Optical imaging of the intrinsic signal was performed with the mice anesthetized with isoflurane (2-3% for induction; 0.7-1.2% for maintenance in oxygen) supplemented with chlorprothixene (2 mg/kg, i.p.). The mice were placed with head-fixed in front of the LCD monitor for visual stimulus. Atropine was injected subcutaneously to reduce mucosal secretion (0.05 mg/kg). Eye drops were administered to keep eyes moist, and body temperature was maintained at 37°C with a heating pad and a rectal probe. Heart rate was monitored throughout the experiment by electrocardiogram. Acquisition of intrinsic signal was performed following the method optimized to measure the ocular dominance in mice (Cang et al., 2005; Kalatsky and Stryker, 2003). Briefly, visual stimulation evoked intrinsic signals were acquired using a Dalsa 1M30 CCD camera (Dalsa, Waterloo, Canada) controlled by custom software. The surface vasculature and EYFP fluorescence were visualized with 555 nm LED illumination, and the intrinsic signals were imaged with 610 nm LED illumination. For the acquisition of the intrinsic signal, the camera was focused 600 mm below the surface of the skull. An additional red filter was interposed to the CCD camera, and intrinsic signal images were acquired. A high refresh rate monitor (1024x768 @ 120 Hz; ViewSonic, Brea, CA) was placed 25-cm in front of the

mouse, with their midline aligned to the midline of the mouse for visual stimulus. The visual stimulus presented was restricted to the binocular visual field (-5° to $+15^{\circ}$ azimuth) and consisted of a thin horizontal bar (height = 2° , width = 20°) continuously presented for 5 minutes in upward (90°) and downward (270°) directions to each eye separately. The cortical response at the stimulus frequency was extracted by Fourier analysis. The two maps generated with the opposite direction of the drifting bar were averaged for each eye to calculate the response amplitude and the ocular dominance index (ODI). The ODI was computed as follows: (1) the intensity maps were smoothed by 5x5 low-pass Gaussian filter; (2) the binocular region of interest (ROI) was defined at 30% of peak response amplitude of the smoothed intensity map from the ipsilateral eye; (3) the response amplitude of each eye was calculated by averaging the intensity of all pixels in the ROI; (4) the ODI was calculated by the average of $(C-I)/(C+I)$ of all pixels in the ROI where C and I are the contralateral (C) and ipsilateral (I) eye respectively.

In vivo 2-photon awake microscopy for NPTX2-SEP imaging. Confocal microscopy was performed with a laser scanning microscope (Olympus, Japan) equipped with an ultra-sensitive GaAsP detector (Hamamatsu, Japan) and a Galvanometer scanner (Thorlabs, NJ). 2-photon excitation was carried out by ultrafast Ti:Sapphire laser Mai Tai eHP DeepSee (Spectra-Physics, CA), operating the wavelength at 920 nm for visualizing NPTX2-SEP with GFP filter using 20X objective XLUMPLFL20XW (Olympus, Japan). Hardware operation and image acquisition were performed with PrairieView software (Bruker, MA). 11-13 days after surgery, mice were handled for 10 to 15 min and acclimated to the imaging setup for 15 to 30 mins by fixing their head bars to the custom-made head fixation apparatus and imaging platform of a cylindrical treadmill. Intrinsic signal imaging (ISI) was performed to identify the primary visual cortex (V1). On day 14, the treadmill was fixed to minimize the motion-related artifacts, and 3D z-stack images were acquired at V1 and non-V1 regions. 512 by 512 resolution images with 8 averages were acquired from 0 to 180 μm from dura in an interval of 5 μm (total 37 image stacks), where lower 100 μm was selected for layer 2/3 analysis. The images were acquired in the same location with the same imaging parameters for longitudinal study with MD in a reference of blood vessel morphology captured by epifluorescence imaging with 605 nm LED excitation (Thorlabs, NJ). To study the effect of MD on NPTX2 secretion, the control image was acquired before the contralateral monocular eye suture, followed by imaging for 3 consecutive days in the same location.

NPTX2-SEP spot 3D analysis. All 3D z-stack time-series (4D) images were preprocessed with ImageJ (FIJI) and analyzed with Imaris software (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland). Each set of 3D z-stack images was concatenated to generate 4D images, background-corrected by 'bleach correction' using histogram matching algorithm, and 3D-drift corrected by 'Correct 3D drift' algorithm (Parslow et al., 2014) (FIJI). The xyz-corrected portion of the processed 4D images was selected for NPTX2-SEP spot analysis with Imaris software. The NPTX2-SEP spots were detected with the 'surface detection' function, maximum diameters of spheres detected to be 3 μm , and corresponding values within the 3D area were extracted (spot number, intensity, and volume). The 'total volume' is defined by the sum of 3D compartments occupied by NPTX2-SEP positive voxels. The spot number and the total volume were normalized with the median value of the 'before' condition and presented per each animal. The mean intensity and mean volume were normalized by the median value of 'before' condition, and all the spots from all animals were calculated. (The histogram analysis was done with 5 and 25 bin for intensity and volume, respectively (Prism Graphpad).)

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Sample sizes were chosen to correspond with previous studies in which the effects of visual manipulation were measured. Animals were randomly placed into experimental groups. Whenever was possible, treatments were applied in the same animal (e.g. deprived hemisphere versus non-deprived hemisphere) or in the same cell (e.g. electrical stimulation from layer 4 or layer 2/3, Fig 4b). For puncta quantification (Fig. 3c,d) and western blots (Supplementary Fig. 4), the experimenter was blind to the treatment of samples during analyses the analysis. Exclusion criteria are described for each experiment. Normality was determined using the D'Agostino test, and variance was compared using Levene's median test. Groups with normally distributed data were compared using two-tailed paired or unpaired t-tests, one-way ANOVAs, or one-way repeated-measures ANOVAs, as indicated. Holm–Sidak post hoc tests were used for multiple comparisons following one-way ANOVAs. Groups that were not normally distributed were compared using nonparametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test, Mann-Whitney test, or ANOVAs on ranks (followed by Dunn's post hoc test for multiple comparisons). Statistical outliers were detected using pre-established criteria (ROUT test) and excluded from the analysis. Data are presented as averages $\pm$ s.e.m. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Software (Prism, San Diego, CA).

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
mouse anti-parvalbumin	Millipore	RRID:AB_2174013
guinea pig anti-VGluT1	Millipore	RRID:AB_2301751
Goat anti-Mouse, Alexa Fluor 546	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A-11030, RRID:AB_2534089
Goat anti-Rabbit, Alexa Fluor 546	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A-11035, RRID:AB_2534093
Goat anti-Mouse, Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A-21236 RRID:AB_2535805
α -Tubulin Mouse mAb	Cell Signaling	Cat # 3873
Rabbit anti-mouse NPTX2	abcam	abcam: ab191563
Chicken anti-Rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 647	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# A-21443, RRID:AB_2535861
Bacterial and Virus Strains		
AAV2/2.CaMKII.dnNPTX2-EGFP	O'Brien et. Al. 2002	N/A
AAV2.CaMKII.NPTX2-SEP	PENN vector core	https://pennvectorcore.med.upenn.edu/
AAV2.CaMKII.GFP	UNC vector core	https://www.med.unc.edu/genetherapy/vectorcore/in-stock-aav-vectors/boyden/

AAV9.Syn.Flex.ChrimsonR-tdT	Klapoetke et al. 2014	RRID:Addgene_62723
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
tetrodotoxin citrate	Abcam	Cat # AB120055
DL-AP5	Tocris	Cat # 0105
Adenosine 5'-triphosphate magnesium salt	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # A9187
Guanosine 5' Triphosphate Sodium Salt Hydrate	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # G8877
Phosphocreatine disodium salt hydrate	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # P7936
CNQX disodium salt hydrate	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # C239
SR-95531 (gabazine)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # S106
Human NRG1-β	R&D systems	Cat # 396-HB-050
Human NRG1-β	PROSPEC	Cat # CYT-733
Lidocaine N-ethyl Bromide (QX-314)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # L5783
Phosphocreatine disodium salt hydrate	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # P7936
Guanosine 5' Triphosphate Sodium Salt Hydrate	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # G8877
Adenosine 5'-triphosphate magnesium salt	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # A9187
Adenosine	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # 01890
Cesium Hydroxide	MP Biomedicals	Cat # 101328
4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-Piperazineethanesulfonic Acid (HEPES)	Fisher bioreagents	Cat # BP310-100
Sodium Chloride	Fisher Chemical	Cat # S271
Dextrose Anhydrous	Fisher Chemical	Cat # D16
1,2-Bis(2-Aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (BAPTA)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # A4926
Ethylene glycol-bis(2-amino-ethylether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # E4378
Potassium D-gluconate	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # G4500
Potassium chloride	Fisher Chemical	Cat # P217
Tetraethylammonium chloride	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # T2265
Calcium Chloride Dihydrate	Fisher Chemical	Cat # C79
Magnesium Chloride Hexahydrate	Fisher Chemical	Cat # M33
D-Gluconic acid	Sigma Aldrich	Cat # G1951
D-Sucrose	Fisher bioreagents	Cat # BP220
Deposited Data		
Raw and analyzed data	This paper and Mendeley DATA	
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
B6 mouse: C57BL/6J	The Jackson Laboratory	RRID:IMSR_JAX:000664
L4-cre mouse: B6;C3- Tg(Scnn1a-cre)3Aibs/J	The Jackson Laboratory	RRID:IMSR_JAX:009613
Ai32 mouse: B6;129S-Gt(ROSA)26Sor ^{tm32(CAG-COP4_{H134R/EYFP})Hze/J}	The Jackson Laboratory	RRID:IMSR_JAX:012569
Ai14 mouse: B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor ^{tm14(CAG-tdTomato)Hze/J}	The Jackson Laboratory	RRID:IMSR_JAX:007914
PV-cre mouse: B6;129P2-Pvalbtm1(cre)Arbr/J	The Jackson Laboratory	RRID:IMSR_JAX:008069
PV-tdTomato mouse: C57BL/6-Tg(Pvalb-tdTomato)15Gfng/J	The Jackson Laboratory	RRID:IMSR_JAX:027395

PV-GFP mouse: CB6-Tg(Gad1-EGFP)G42Zjh/J	The Jackson Laboratory	RRID:IMSR_JAX:007677
Software and Algorithms		
Prism	GraphPad	RRID:SCR_002798
IgorPro	Wavemetrics	RRID:SCR_000325
Imaris	Oxford Instruments	RRID:SCR_007370
ImageJ	NIH	RRID:SCR_003070
ImageQuant TL	Cytiva	RRID:SCR_018374
Black Zen software	Zeiss	RRID:SCR_018163