1 Profiling acetogenic community dynamics in 2 anaerobic digesters - comparative analyses 3 using next-generation sequencing and T-RFLP

4

5 Running title: Comparative analysis of acetogenic community

6

7 Abhijeet Singh^{1*}, Bettina Müller¹, Anna Schnürer^{1*}

8 ¹Anaerobic Microbiology and Biotechnology Group, Department of Molecular Sciences,
9 Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Almas Allé 5, Uppsala, SE-750 07, Uppsala,
10 Sweden

11 *For correspondence. E-mail: abhijeet.singh@slu.se; anna.schnurer@slu.se; Tel. +46
12 18671000; Fax +46 18672000; Address: Department of Molecular Sciences, Box 7025,
13 75007 Uppsala, Sweden

14

15 GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

16

17

18

19 ONE SENTENCE SUMMARY

20 Our high-throughput FTHFS gene AmpSeq method for barcoded samples and unsupervised
21 analysis with AcetoScan accurately reveals temporal dynamics of acetogenic community
22 structure in anaerobic digesters.

23 ABSTRACT

24 Acetogens play a key role in anaerobic degradation of organic material and in maintaining
25 biogas process efficiency. Profiling this community and its temporal changes can help
26 evaluate process stability and function, especially under disturbance/stress conditions, and
27 avoid complete process failure. The formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase (FTHFS) gene can be
28 used as a marker for acetogenic community profiling in diverse environments. In this study,
29 we developed a new high-throughput FTHFS gene sequencing method for acetogenic
30 community profiling and compared it with conventional T-RFLP of the FTHFS gene, 16S
31 rRNA gene-based profiling of the whole bacterial community, and indirect analysis via 16S
32 rRNA profiling of the FTHFS gene-harboring community. Analyses and method
33 comparisons were made using samples from two laboratory-scale biogas processes, one
34 operated under stable control and one exposed to controlled overloading disturbance.
35 Comparative analysis revealed satisfactory detection of the bacterial community and its
36 changes for all methods, but with some differences in resolution and taxonomic
37 identification. FTHFS gene sequencing was found to be the most suitable and reliable method
38 to study acetogenic communities. These results pave the way for community profiling in
39 various biogas processes and in other environments where the dynamics of acetogenic
40 bacteria have not been well studied.

41 **Keywords:** 16S rRNA gene, acetogen, FTHFS gene, T-RFLP, biogas

42 INTRODUCTION

43 Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a microbiological process through which almost any
 44 biodegradable material can be transformed into renewable biofertiliser and biogas, which is
 45 mainly a mixture of methane (60-70%) and carbon dioxide (30-40%) (Petersson and
 46 Wellinger 2009; SGC 2012; Ma, Yin and Liu 2017; Ruan *et al.* 2019). Anaerobic digestion
 47 technology currently serves the purpose of carbon recycling of various waste streams via
 48 biogas and organic fertiliser, but also has immense potential in alleviating climate change and
 49 hypertrophication (Scarlat, Dallemand and Fahl 2018; Winqvist *et al.* 2019). The amount and
 50 composition of the biogas produced, and the efficiency and stability of the process, are
 51 influenced by various parameters such as feedstock composition, digester technology,
 52 operating parameters and the composition and activity of the microbiological community
 53 engaged in the process (Pöschl, Ward and Owende 2010; Angelidaki *et al.* 2011; Herrmann *et*
 54 *al.* 2012; Wellinger, Murphy and Baxter 2013; Lebuhn *et al.* 2015; Horváth *et al.* 2016;
 55 Schnürer and Jarvis 2017).

56

57 The AD process comprises four major complex and interrelated microbiological steps
 58 (hydrolysis, acidogenesis, anaerobic oxidation, methanogenesis) carried out by a complex
 59 microbial community composed of archaea, obligate and facultative anaerobic bacteria and
 60 anaerobic fungi (Zhou *et al.* 2002; Hattori 2008; Thauer *et al.* 2008; Angelidaki *et al.* 2011;
 61 Dollhofer *et al.* 2015; Schnürer 2016; Vinzelj *et al.* 2020). Acetogenic bacteria play a critical
 62 role in the AD process by performing both reductive acetogenesis and syntrophic oxidation of
 63 organic acids, and thus act as a vital link between the hydrolysing and fermenting microbial
 64 community and the methanogenic archaea (Ryan, Forbes and Colleran 2008; Ryan *et al.*
 65 2010; Hori *et al.* 2011; Ivarsson *et al.* 2016; Drake *et al.* 2017; Williams, Joblin and Fonty
 66 2020). The overall community is influenced by many parameters, *e.g.* organic substrate,

hydraulic retention time (HRT), organic loading rate (OLR) and temperature (Sun *et al.* 2014; Moestedt *et al.* 2016). For an efficient biogas process, different microbiological steps must be balanced and synchronised, otherwise the process can experience disturbance and accumulation of degradation intermediates such as volatile fatty acids (VFA) (Schnürer 2016; Schnürer and Jarvis 2017). One strong regulating parameter for the microbial community is the ammonium/ammonia level, set by the substrate and operating conditions (De Vrieze *et al.* 2015; Robles *et al.* 2018). High levels of free ammonia often result in significant inhibition of methanogenesis and sometimes also of hydrolysis and fermentation (Siegert and Banks 2005; Wang *et al.* 2009; Franke-Whittle *et al.* 2014; Schnürer 2016; Westerholm, Moestedt and Schnürer 2016; Schnürer and Jarvis 2017; Czatzkowska *et al.* 2020). As a consequence, ammonia inhibition also results in accumulation of VFA, particularly propionate, which can further enhance inhibition, cause process instability and reduced methane production (Schnürer and Nordberg 2008; Rajagopal, Massé and Singh 2013; Frank *et al.* 2016; Moestedt *et al.* 2016; Schnürer 2016). Under such stress conditions, acetogenesis/syntrophic acetate oxidation involving specialist methanogens (less sensitive towards ammonia) for methane production is the major pathway which drives the process forward (towards methanogenesis) (Hori *et al.* 2011; Westerholm *et al.* 2011; Schnürer 2016; Westerholm, Moestedt and Schnürer 2016). Conclusively, the acetogenic community can be a good marker when monitoring the health and stability of the biogas process (Hattori 2008; Müller *et al.* 2016; Singh *et al.* 2020).

87

In recent years, various molecular biological techniques have been applied to investigate and understand the composition, structure and dynamics of the AD microbiome and its implications for the biogas process (Cabezas *et al.* 2015; Lebuhn *et al.* 2015; Schnürer 2016). Advanced and accurate meta-omics technologies (metagenomics, metaproteomics,

metatranscriptomics, metabolomics) can help resolve the phylogeny, interactions and functions of microbial species (Vanwonterghem *et al.* 2016). However, these methodologies are generally used to obtain snapshots of the microbial community (Prosser 2015) and are less practical (too expensive, laborious and resource-intensive) for tracking the temporal dynamics over extended periods (Greninger 2018; Martin *et al.* 2018). Thus, less expensive and relatively easier molecular marker-based analysis techniques are generally used to track the temporal dynamics of the whole microbial community involved in the biogas process or a selected fraction of that community. These techniques include fluorescence *in-situ* hybridisation (FISH), single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP), denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR), terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) and amplicon sequencing (AmpSeq) of the 16S rRNA gene (Čater, Fanedl and Logar 2013; Robles *et al.* 2018). However, few studies have specifically focused on acetogenic community dynamics or on developing a high-throughput method for reliable acetogenic community profiling (Singh *et al.* 2020). Since acetogenesis is a physiological process, and not a phylogenetic characteristic, development of acetogen-specific 16S rRNA gene primers is practically impossible (Ljungdahl 1986; Drake 1994a; Lovell 1994; Lovell and Leaphart 2005; Drake, Gößner and Daniel 2008; Singh *et al.* 2019, 2020). Therefore, established molecular analysis methods/pipelines based on the 16S rRNA gene cannot be used for analysis of the acetogenic community. The Wood-Ljungdahl pathway (WLP) is a characteristic of acetogens (Drake 1994b; Peretó *et al.* 1999; Lever 2012; Poehlein *et al.* 2012) and the marker gene formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase (FTHFS) has been successfully used to decode the potential acetogenic community (Hori *et al.* 2011; De Vrieze and Verstraete 2016; Müller *et al.* 2016). While FTHFS is also present in the genome of non-acetogenic bacteria, sulphate-reducing bacteria and methanogens, still it has been successfully used for over two decades as

the marker of choice in acetogenic community analysis (Ljungdahl 1986; Lovell, Przybyla and Ljungdahl 1990; Lovell and Leaphart 2005; Ohashi *et al.* 2007; Drake, Gößner and Daniel 2008; Gagen *et al.* 2010; Moestedt *et al.* 2016; Schuchmann and Müller 2016; Singh *et al.* 2019, 2020). Recently, we published a database (AcetoBase) (Singh *et al.* 2019) and an analysis pipeline (AcetoScan) (Singh *et al.* 2020), and successfully demonstrated proof-of-concept for targeting the potential acetogenic community in biogas reactor samples and unsupervised analysis of FTHFS AmpSeq data. Our previous studies showed that AcetoBase and AcetoScan can be used for reliable monitoring of the acetogenic community in multiplexed samples in biogas reactors.

The aims of the present study were to 1) further evaluate AcetoBase and AcetoScan for profiling and monitoring the temporal dynamics of acetogenic communities in biogas reactors and 2) compare this new high-throughput AmpSeq method targeting the acetogenic community with conventional methods such as T-RFLP and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Specifically, three different methods (16S rRNA gene AmpSeq, T-RFLP and AmpSeq of FTHFS gene) were evaluated for their ability to monitor the dynamics of the potential acetogenic community in two laboratory-scale biogas processes. In addition, the FTHFS gene-harbours community was analysed indirectly by profiling the corresponding 16S rRNA genes using the 16S rRNA database RibocetoBase (this study), which was deduced from the Silva rRNA database (Quast *et al.* 2013). The selected biogas reactors were operated with food waste under high-ammonia conditions. Method comparisons were performed using samples from a stable control reactor and a reactor exposed to controlled overloading resulting in instability, followed by a recovery phase. Samples from these biogas digesters were used because the dynamics of both the acetogenic and syntrophic acetate-oxidising bacterial community had been identified in our previous studies, enabling comparative

analysis (Westerholm *et al.* 2015; Müller *et al.* 2016; Singh *et al.* 2020). We evaluated the overall potency and utility of the different methods by visualisation of potential acetogenic community dynamics in a biogas environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and processing

Samples were collected in a time-series manner (Supp. data 1) from two parallel mesophilic (37 °C) continuously stirred-tank biogas reactors (active volume 5 L), denoted GR1 (experimental) and GR2 (control), in the Anaerobic Microbiology and Biotechnology Laboratory, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden. Both reactors were operated with mixed food waste at an OLR of ~2.5 g volatile solids (VS) L⁻¹ day⁻¹, ammonium-nitrogen (NH₄⁺-N) ~5.4 g/L (free ammonia (NH₃) 0.6-0.9 g/L) and HRT 30 days, while other operating parameters were as described previously (for reactor D^{TE}37 (Westerholm *et al.* 2015). Before the start of the experiment, both reactors had a stable carbon dioxide (32-35%) and methane content (58-60%). To disrupt the stable microbial community, a controlled overloading disturbance was induced in GR1 by increasing the OLR to ~4.09 g VS L⁻¹ day⁻¹, while control reactor GR2 continued at OLR ~2.5 g VS L⁻¹ day⁻¹. The increase in organic load (Δ ~1.59 g VS L⁻¹ day⁻¹) marked the start of the experiment (day 0). The first sample was taken one day after the start of the experiment and the reactors were operated for 350 days with subsequent sampling. Monitoring was based on gas composition and total VFA in the reactors, using GR2 as reference. The OLR for GR1 was returned to ~2.5 g VS L⁻¹ day⁻¹ when the carbon dioxide content in biogas was observed to be ~50 % (at ~125 days). Extraction of genomic DNA from the samples was performed in triplicate using the FastDNATM Spin kit for soil (MP Biomedicals), with an additional wash step with 5.5 M

guanidinium thiocyanate (Sigma-Aldrich 2020) for humic acid removal (Singh 2020a).

Samples and extracted DNA were stored at -20 °C until further use.

Experimental T-RFLP library preparation and data analysis

Sixteen samples from different time points (Fig. 1; Supp. data 1) were used for T-RFLP profiling and partial FTHFS gene amplicons were generated by the primer pairs and PCR protocol developed in our previous study (Müller, Sun and Schnürer 2013), with the modifications of FAM labelled FTHFS_fwd (5'-FAM-CCACICCCISYIGGNGARGGNAA-3') and non-labelled FTHFS_rev (5'-ATITTIGCIAAIGGNCCNCCNTG-3'). The FTHFS amplicons were purified by E-Gel[®] iBase™ Power System (Invitrogen 2012) and E-Gel[®] EX with SYBR[®] Gold II, 2% SizeSelect pre-cast agarose gel (Invitrogen 2014, 2017). The eluted FTHFS amplicons were digested separately with restriction enzyme AluI (NEB 2020a) and Hpy188III (NEB 2020b) overnight, followed by digestion termination at 80 °C for 20 minutes. Digested amplicons were subjected to capillary electrophoresis for restriction fragment detection, which was carried out at the genotyping facility of the SNP&SEQ Technology Platform, Science for Life Laboratory, National Genomics Infrastructure, Uppsala (UGC 2018). The data from the target channel were extracted from the raw data in ABIF file format with the help of Peak Scanner™ software (Applied Biosystems 2006) and quantitative data were saved in data-frame in .csv file format. Restriction fragment data analysis was performed in Microsoft Excel 2013 (Microsoft 2013) and data visualisation was done in RStudio version 3.5.2 (RStudio Team 2015). Experimental (*Ex*) TRFs in the size range 50-640 bp were selected for clustering, quantitative analysis and visualisation. The diversity of the *Ex* oTRFs was visualised by 1) principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) using command cmdscale (package *stats* version 3.6.2) (R Core Team 2019) with Euclidean distances and 2) non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using command vegdist, metaMDS (package *vegan* version 2.5-6) (Oksanen *et al.* 2019), with Bray-Curtis

dissimilarity (Bray and Curtis 1957). To fit the environmental parameters on the respective diversity plots, command envfit (package *vegan*) was used.

***In silico* T-RFLP analysis for AcetoBase reference FTHFS nucleotide dataset**

The T-RFLP profile of FTHFS gene fragments was simulated using the reference nucleotide dataset retrieved from AcetoBase, which consists of ~6820 non-redundant full-length taxonomically annotated FTHFS nucleotide sequences (Singh *et al.* 2019). A dataset of *in silico* (IS) PCR amplicons was generated by aligning the full-length FTHFS nucleotide reference dataset and FTHFS clone sequences generated in a previous study (Müller *et al.* 2016). Multiple sequence alignment was performed with the FAMSA alignment program (Deorowicz, Debudaj-Grabysz and Gudys 2016), with 1000 bootstrap iterations and single linkage guide tree. The resulting alignment was then trimmed to a length corresponding to the clone sequences of approximately 588 base pairs (bp). Clone sequences were removed from the alignment and all the gaps in alignment were deleted. This dataset of ungapped FTHFS nucleotide reference of ~588 bp was saved as a multi-fasta formatted file and was used as an input file for the IS restriction digestion and T-RFLP analysis program REDigest (Singh 2020b). For the IS analysis, the tagged forward (5'-CCNACNCCNNNNNGGNGANGGNAA-3'; 23 bp) and reverse (5'-ATNTTNGCNAANGGNCCNCCNTG-3'; 23 bp) primer sequences were added to the input sequences. A separate IS analysis with the restriction enzyme AluI and Hpy188III was performed for the input file. For the quantitative and taxonomic analysis, IS TRFs smaller than 50 bp and greater than 640 bp (approximately [588+23+23]) were removed and excluded from the analysis. The moving average method (Smith *et al.* 2005; Fredriksson, Hermansson and Wilén 2014) was applied for binning IS TRFs with a size difference of ± 2 bp into an operational terminal restriction fragment unit (oTRF).

High-throughput sequencing library preparation

The 16S rRNA gene (V3-V4) amplicon library was prepared with the primers 515F (Hugerth *et al.* 2014) and 805R (Herlemann *et al.* 2011) using the protocol described by Müller *et al.* (2016). Partial FTHFS gene amplicons were generated with the custom-indexed FTHFS primers (FWD 5'-CCNACNCCNSYGGNGARGGNAA-3' and REV 5'-ATNTTNGCNAANGGNCCNCCNTG-3'), for which the barcoded strategy was adopted from Hugerth *et al.* (2014). The multiplexed amplicon library was prepared by pooling equal amounts (20 ng) of each sample. For both 16S rRNA and FTHFS gene amplicon libraries, paired-end sequencing was performed (at the sequencing facility of the SNP&SEQ Technology Platform) on Illumina MiSeq with 300 base pairs (bp) read length using v3 sequencing chemistry (UGC 2018).

Development of RibocetoBase

RibocetoBase is a subset of the 16S rRNA training dataset (Silva SSU taxonomic training data formatted for DADA2, version 138) (McLaren 2020) representing the FTHFS gene-harbouring accessions present in AcetoBase (Singh *et al.* 2019). To develop RibocetoBase, complete genomes/genomic assemblies of taxonomic identifiers for the AcetoBase accessions were downloaded from the NCBI FTP genome server (NCBI 2020) (accessed May 2020) and screened for the presence of 16S rRNA gene sequences. From among 7928 AcetoBase accessions, 6857 genomes/assemblies were successfully retrieved and 16S rRNA gene sequences were extracted with strict filtering parameters (percentage identity 95%, evalue 1e-5, window size 0) in the BLAST+ nucleotide homology search algorithm (Camacho *et al.* 2009), using the Silva SSU training dataset as reference. This showed that 1071 AcetoBase accessions were lacking complete genome/assembly sequences in the NCBI FTP genome server and could not be used for 16S rRNA gene sequence screening. All the extracted

sequences were collected in a single fasta file and duplicate/redundant sequences were filtered out using the DupRemover program (Singh 2020c). RibocetoBase contains 9169 taxonomically annotated sequences for which the size distribution range is 300-2072 bp and most sequences have size around 1500 bp (Supp. Fig. S1A). The RibocetoBase database was saved in a compressed multi-fasta file format and used for acetogenic community taxonomic assignments based on 16S rRNA AmpSeq data. The length and taxonomic distribution of RibocetoBase are shown in Supp. Fig. S1B. In referring to the FTHFS gene-harboursing community inferred from 16S rRNA gene amplicons in the remainder of this paper, the term ‘Riboceto-community’ is used. Etymologically, Riboceto-community is derived from RibocetoBase and it refers to the acetogenic community inferred from 16S ribosomal RNA gene amplicons.

High-throughput sequencing data analysis

For the 16S rRNA AmpSeq data, Illumina adapters and primer sequences from the raw data were trimmed and quality filtering for sequences with a Phred score below 20 was performed with Cutadapt (version 2.2) (Martin 2011). De-noising and generation of a taxonomy table and abundance/ASV table were done in package *dada2* (version 1.14.1) (Callahan *et al.* 2016) in R programming language (version 3.5.2)/RStudio (version 1.2.5033) (R Core Team 2013; RStudio Team 2015). Genus-level taxonomic assignment of the amplicon sequence variants (ASV) was done with the function `assignTaxonomy`, using the Silva taxonomic training dataset (version 138) formatted for *dada2* (Version 1) (McLaren 2020). Taxonomic assignment of Riboceto-community was done using the RibocetoBase training dataset formatted for *dada2* (this study). The results were visualised individually for the community inferred from 16S rRNA AmpSeq data (16S-community) and Riboceto-community with package *phyloseq* (version 1.30.0) (McMurdie and Holmes 2013) and *vegan* (version 2.5.6) (Oksanen *et al.* 2019) in RStudio (version 1.2.5033) (RStudio Team 2015).

Unsupervised FTHFS gene sequence data analysis was performed using the AcetoScan pipeline (version 1.0) (Singh *et al.* 2020). The parameters used for the AcetoScan analysis were -r 1, -m 300, -n 150, -q 21 and -c 10, while other parameters were defaults (AcetoScan user-manual). Customised visualisation of the AcetoScan results was done with package *phyloseq* (version 1.30.0) and *vegan* (version 2.5.6) (Oksanen *et al.* 2019) in RStudio (version 1.2.5033) (RStudio Team 2015). All data processing analyses were performed on a Debian Linux-based system with x86_64 architecture and a 3.4 GHz Intel® Core™ i7-6700 processor.

RESULTS

Biogas reactor operation and performance profile

The performance profile of the reactors is presented in Supp. data 1. The content of carbon dioxide (%) and of methane (%) and VFA levels were used as indicators of process performance of both GR1 and GR2. In the reference reactor GR2, small fluctuations in carbon dioxide and methane content were observed, with no accumulation of organic acids. With the increased organic load in GR1 ($\Delta \sim 1.59 \text{ g VS L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$), an increase in carbon dioxide content and a decrease in methane content were detected, indicating deteriorating performance of GR1. An increase in the VFA concentration was also noticed in GR1 from day 84, with a peak of 24.6 g/L on day 133. On returning the OLR for GR1 to $\sim 2.5 \text{ g VS L}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ($\sim$ day 125), an increase in methane content was recorded, followed by a decrease in the concentration of total VFA and carbon dioxide content (Supp. Data 1, Supp. Fig 2). The increase in methane content and decrease in carbon dioxide content indicated recovery of the process performance to a level similar to GR2.

Quantitative analysis of terminal restriction fragments

Quantitative analysis of *Ex* TRFs was done using the experimental data stored in data-frames during the preliminary analysis. *Ex* TRFs differing by ± 2 bp in size were binned to produce an *Ex* oTRF. This binning of *Ex* TRFs helped to identify, quantify and visualise the *Ex* TRFs (Fig. 1, Supp. Fig. S3). Similarly, *IS* TRFs were binned in *IS* oTRFs to compare with *Ex* oTRFs. However, the binning strategy caused differences in the size of a TRF generated from *IS* restriction digestion of a sequence and an oTRF from the same sequence (Fig. 2). Therefore, in the absence of an *IS* TRF equal to an *Ex* oTRF, the taxonomy of the *IS* oTRF (± 2 bp) is considered in the discussion. The *Ex* oTRFs 636 and 640 bp were the unrestricted fragments, and are thus not considered in the discussion.

Experimental T-RFLP profiles generated from GR1 and GR2

The restriction profile of FTHFS gene fragments from GR1 revealed significantly different community dynamics during (1-125 days) and after (126-350 days) the disturbance phase. The community composition in GR1 during the initial phase of disturbance was very similar to that in GR2, but gradually changed under the influence of disturbance and increasing total VFA concentration. The restriction profile from GR1 and GR2 with *AluI* consisted of 32 and 31 *Ex* oTRFs, respectively, with total 40 unique *Ex* oTRFs. Of these 40 *Ex* oTRFs, 23 were seen in both reactors and 17 *Ex* oTRFs were unique to either GR1 (nine unique *Ex* oTRFs, of size 112, 128, 264, 324, 332, 384, 512, 528 and 612 bp) or GR2 (eight unique *Ex* oTRFs, of 152, 296, 300, 392, 420, 436, 488 and 576 bp). Among the unique *Ex* oTRFs in GR1, two (264 and 528 bp) were observed to have relative abundance (RA) $>1\%$, while none of the eight unique *Ex* oTRFs in GR2 was seen to have RA $>1\%$ during the experimental period (Fig. 1). In GR1, the disappearance of *Ex* oTRFs 236 and 520 bp during the early disturbance phase (day 63) and their reappearance during the recovery phase (day 191) were strongly connected with the increasing/high and decreasing/low level of total VFA, respectively. *Ex*

oTRFs 132, 136 and 264 bp were only observed between day 105 and 231, whereas *Ex* oTRF 72 bp disappeared between day 119 and 191, when VFA accumulation was high. *Ex* oTRF 528 bp was only observed (RA >1%) from day 259 to 350 (Fig. 1A). *Ex* oTRFs 272, 328 and 632 bp were the most prominent *Ex* oTRFs before the VFA levels increased. No such significant changes were noticed in GR2, except that *Ex* oTRF 232 bp disappeared and *Ex* oTRF 236 bp appeared between day 287 and day 350 (Fig. 1B). Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the AluI restriction profile resulted in close clustering of samples from GR2, while samples from GR1 were dispersed under the influence of increased carbon dioxide content (%) and total VFA concentration (g/L). The environmental vector for methane content (%) was opposite to that for carbon dioxide content and total VFA concentration, indicating that methane content decreased when the carbon dioxide content and total VFA concentration increased and *vice versa* (Fig. 1C). Similar to the AluI restriction profiles of GR1 and GR2, the restriction profile with restriction enzyme Hpy188III also resulted in visually different *Ex* oTRF composition and dynamics in GR1 compared with GR2. The *Ex* oTRF profile in GR1 was similar to that in GR2 during the initial phase of disturbance, but gradually changed with the increase, followed by a decrease, in total VFA concentration. The experimental T-RFLP profile generated from Hpy188III restriction digestion is presented in additional text (Fig. A1). Results of NMDS analysis for both GR1 and GR2 with restriction enzyme AluI and Hpy188III showed similar trends to those seen in PCoA analysis (Supp. Fig. S7A and S7B).

330

331

A)

332

333

B)

334

Figure 1 - Experimental terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) profile representing *Ex* oTRF with restriction enzyme AluI for **A**) experimental reactor GR1 and **B**) control reactor GR2. The red line represents the level of total volatile fatty acids (g/L) at the respective time-point. **C**) Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot showing microbial beta diversity reactors GR1 and GR2 using FTHFS T-RFLP profile with AluI. VFA, CH₄ and CO₂ are the environmental vectors which represent the level of total volatile fatty acids (g/L), methane content (%) and carbon dioxide content (%), respectively.

In silico T-RFs from AcetoBase FTHFS nucleotide dataset

The *IS* restriction digestion of the FTHFS nucleotide dataset with restriction enzyme AluI resulted in 360 *IS* TRFs, among which 326 *IS* TRFs were within the size range 50-640 bp (Supp. Fig. S3A). With restriction enzyme Hpy188III, the total number of *IS* TRFs was 399, of which 363 *IS* TRFs were within the size range 50-640 bp (Supp. Fig. S3B). These *IS* TRFs with size ranging between 50 and 640 bp were used for the oTRF binning. After binning the

IS TRFs, 140 and 142 oTRFs were generated for AluI and Hpy188III, respectively, and used for further analysis and comparison (Fig. 2, Supp. data 2, Supp. Fig. S4).

356

357 **Figure 2** - Tabular plot representing the T-RFLP profile during comparison of experimental
358 oTRF versus *in silico* TRF, *in silico* oTRF and count of taxa (phyla and genera) with
359 restriction enzyme AluI.

360

361 **Comparison of experimental and *in silico* T-RFLP profile for AluI and taxonomic**
362 **prediction of TRFs**

363 The taxonomic predictions for the TRFs were made by comparing the *Ex* oTRF profile to the
364 *IS* TRF profile generated from the sequences of known taxonomy. The T-RFLP profiles of *Ex*
365 oTRFs from GR1 and GR2 generated with AluI were different from those obtained for the *IS*
366 TRFs in terms of the size and number of the restriction fragments (Fig. 2, Supp. Data 2). The
367 taxonomy of the *Ex* oTRF 72 bp represented only one hit in the *IS* analysis, belonging to the
368 phylum Actinobacteria and genus *Salinibacterium*, while *IS* oTRF represented nine hits (70

(2), 71 (2), 72 (1) and 73 (4) bp) belonging to five phyla and eight genera. *Ex* oTRF 132 bp was represented by three *IS* TRFs (belonging to two phyla and three genera) and by 343 *IS* oTRFs (16 phyla and 87 genera). The most dominant *Ex* oTRF, *i.e.*, 136 bp, between day 119 and 140 (Fig. 1A) represented 190 and 244 hits in the *IS* TRF and *IS* oTRF profile, respectively (Fig 2). The *IS* TRF hits belonged to six phyla and 50 genera and the *IS* oTRF hits belonged to 10 phyla and 74 genera (Fig. 2, Supp. Data 2), including *Clostridium* (*C. ultunense*, *C. beijerinckii*, *C. perfringens*, *C. formicaceticum*), *Clostridioides*, *Dorea*, *Eubacterium*, *Prevotella*, *Proteus*, *Sporomusa* and *Terrisporobacter* etc.

Ex oTRF 264 bp, which was unique to GR1, did not appear in *IS* restriction digestion, but *IS* TRFs 262 (7), 263 (11), 265 (1) and 266 bp (5) were generated. This can be explained by the fact that experimental and *IS* TRFs were clustered (± 2 bp), and thus specific *IS* TRF of 264 bp may not exist. However, if taxonomy of *IS* TRFs generated from the reference dataset is considered, six out of seven *IS* TRFs of size 262 bp belonged to the genus *Treponema*. *IS* TRF 263 bp was related to the genus *Blautia* and *IS* TRF 265 bp was generated from the genus *Moorella* (Supp. Data 2). One out of five *IS* TRFs of size 266 bp was from the genus *Acetobacterium*. *Ex* TRF 236 bp was not generated in *IS* analysis, but clustering produced 61 *IS* oTRFs of size 236 bp, which belonged to five phyla and 35 genera (Fig. 2, Supp. Data 2). The *IS* oTRF of 236 bp consisted of *IS* TRF 235 (60) and 237 (1) bp and were taxonomically associated with genera like *Blautia*, *Clostridium*, *Hungateiclostridium*, *Oxobacter*, *Prevotella*. *Ex* oTRF 520 bp, present in high relative abundance in GR1, represented only one hit in the *IS* analysis, belonging to the phylum Fusobacteria. However, when *IS* TRF of size 522 bp was also considered, there were 60 *IS* TRFs clustered into *IS* oTRF of 524 bp. Among these *IS* oTRF, taxonomically nine phyla and 39 genera were represented by *IS* oTRF 524 bp, including species (Candidatus) *Cloacimonetes* bacterium HGW-Cloacimonetes-1. The *IS* TRF profile was lacking *Ex* oTRFs of 632 bp. However, 33 *IS* oTRFs of 632 bp were

generated, belonging to 10 phyla and 20 genera. The taxonomy predicted for the major AluI *Ex* oTRFs and their relative abundance is presented in Supp. Fig. S5. *Clostridium* was the most abundant and diverse genus, followed by *Eubacterium*, represented by the major *Ex* oTRFs generated by AluI.

Riboceto-community structure and dynamics

Analysis of Riboceto-community revealed three known phyla and one unknown bacterial phylum (Bacteria.NA) with RA >1%. These phyla were Actinobacteriota (RA 1-5%), Firmicutes (6-52%), Bacteroidota (28-90%) and Bacteria.NA (1.1-50.6%) in both GR1 and GR2 (Fig. 3A). At the class level, eight classes were found to have RA >1%, of which five major classes were Bacilli (RA 1-18%), Bacteroidota (38-90%), Bacteria.NA (1.2-51%), Clostridia (1.34-32%) and an unknown class of Firmicutes (1.2-13.5%) in both GR1 and GR2. At the family level, the families that differed most between the experimental and control reactors during the disturbance phase in G1 were an unknown family of Bacteroidales and Tannerellaceae (Supp. Fig. S6). The RA of Bacteroidales.NA increased and decreased with the rising and falling level of total VFA in GR1, respectively. Similarly, the RA of Tannerellaceae decreased and increased with the change in VFA level of GR1. The family Bacteria.NA in GR1 disappeared and reappeared with the rise and fall in total VFA level. In contrast, these unknown families of Bacteroidales and Tannerellaceae had a relatively stable presence throughout the operational phase in GR2. The unknown bacterial family Bacteria.NA was observed to have fluctuating RA in GR2. The family Hungateiclostridiaceae was only observed in GR1 (RA >2%) (Suppl. Fig. S6). Genus-level community analysis (RA >1%) revealed patterns similar to the family-level analysis for Bacteria.NA and Clostridia.NA genera (Fig. 3B). The most noteworthy change was the appearance of the genera *Tissierella* (day 84-133) and *Proteiniphilum* (day 95-140), and an unknown member of the family Tannerellaceae (day 105-182), with the increase in total VFA. These genera

were observed sporadically in GR2, but RA was found not to be above 2.2% during the whole operational phase. PCoA analysis with weighted UniFrac distance matrix indicated distinct clustering of the samples from GR1 and GR2, and the environmental vectors carbon dioxide content (%) and total VFA (g/L) were opposite to methane content (%) (Fig. 3C). The NMDS analysis of community based on 16S rRNA gene sequences represented the separate clustering of the samples from GR1 and GR2 and dispersal of the samples under the influence of environmental vectors (CH₄, CO₂ and VFA) (Suppl. Fig. S7C).

A)

B)

C)

Figure 3 - Bar plot representing Riboceto-community in experimental reactor GR1 and control reactor GR2 at the **A**) phylum level (relative abundance (RA) >1%) and **B**) genus level (RA >1%). VFA, CH₄ and CO₂ represent the level of total volatile fatty acids (g/L), methane content (%) and carbon dioxide content (%), respectively. **C**) Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot with weighted UniFrac distance matrix visualising beta diversity of the

whole microbial community in samples from reactors GR1 and GR2 under the influence of the environmental parameters carbon dioxide content (%), total VFA (g/L) and methane content (%).

Potential acetogenic community structure based on FTHFS gene amplicons - “FTHFS-community”

The high-throughput sequencing followed by data analysis with AcetoScan for FTHFS gene amplicons indicated that, at the phylum level, six phyla (Actinobacteria, Candidatus Cloacimonetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Spirochaetes and Synergistetes) had RA >1%. Candidatus Cloacimonetes and Firmicutes were the most abundant phyla, making up approximately 80% of the total community in both GR1 and GR2 during the study period. In GR1, phylum Candidatus Cloacimonetes showed increased RA during days 1-125 and decreased RA during days 125-350. The phyla Candidatus Cloacimonetes and Firmicutes were seen to be relatively stable (with smaller occasional fluctuations in RA) in GR2 (Fig. 4A). At the class level, community structure and dynamics seen for the class Actinobacteria, unclassified Candidatus Cloacimonetes and Clostridia were similar to those seen at the phylum level for GR1 and GR2. However, an increase in RA >1% for the class Negativicutes (1.2-3.2 %) was noted in GR1 from around day 91 to day 182, in line with the increase in VFA levels. Occasional appearance (RA >1%) of the classes Tissierellia and Spirochaetia was also seen, but only in GR1. At the order level, RA of the orders Selenomonadales, Tissierelliales and Spirochaetales were found to increase (up to 8%) and decrease (to ≤1%) with the increase and decrease in total VFA levels in GR1, respectively. These orders were not observed in GR2, where ~90% of the community was composed mainly of an unknown order of phylum Candidatus Cloacimonetes. At the genus level, appearance and increase (3-40%) in the RA of an unclassified Peptococcaceae genus with the increase in VFA levels was

also observed, followed by appearance of the genus *Eubacterium* (RA 5-11%) from day 99 to day 238. The genus *Marvinbryantia* also showed increasing RA (7-40%) from day 133 to day 82, and then declined again to RA <1%. The genera *Eubacterium* and *Marvinbryantia* were not observed in RA >1% and unclassified Peptococcaceae did not exceed RA >2% in control reactor GR2 (Fig. 4B).

At the species level, (Candidatus) *Cloacimonetes* bacterium HGW-Cloacimonetes-1 was only seen to have RA >1% after day 259 in GR1, while in GR2 it was seen (RA >1-3%) throughout the operational phase. Moreover, the species *Eubacterium limosum*, *Clostridium beijerinckii*, *Marvinbryantia formatexigens*, *Treponema berlinense*, *Tissierella creatinophila*, *Caloranaerobacter* sp. and *Pelosinus propionicus* were only detected in GR1 during the disturbance phase or when the level of total VFA was high. The unknown genus of the family Peptococcaceae was observed to have increasing RA (1-40%) in GR1 with the increase in total VFA (0.5-18.6 g/L) and its RA decreased (to ≤1%) with the decrease in total VFA level, while it was detected to have almost constant RA of ≤2% in GR2 (Fig. 4C). PCoA analysis of the potential acetogenic community inferred from FTHFS AmpSeq indicated very tight clustering of the samples from the control reactor G2 (Fig. 4D). The samples from the experimental reactor GR1 were dispersed along the environmental vectors for carbon dioxide content (%) and total VFA (g/L), and opposite to methane content (%) (Fig. 4D). NMDS analysis of FTHFS-community indicated trends similar to 16S-community beta diversity, where GR1 and GR2 samples showed distinct clusters based on the experimental and recovery period in GR1 and influence of environmental vectors (CH₄, CO₂, VFA) (Suppl. Fig. S7D).

A)

B)

494

C)

495

496

497

D)

498

499 **Figure 4** - Bar plot of acetogenic community based on FTHFS gene amplicons in
500 experimental reactor GR1 and control reactor GR2 at **A**) phylum level (relative abundance
501 (RA) >1%), **B**) genus level (RA >1%) and **C**) species level (RA >1%). VFA, CH₄ and CO₂

represent the level of total volatile fatty acids (g/L), methane content (%) and carbon dioxide content (%), respectively. **D**) Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot with weighted UniFrac distance matrix visualising beta diversity of the potential acetogenic community inferred from FTHFS gene sequencing in samples from reactors GR1 and GR2 under the influence of environmental parameters carbon dioxide content (%), total VFA (g/L) and methane content (%).

DISCUSSION

All the methods used in this study for community analysis revealed a similar pattern in terms of community dynamics during the disturbance and recovery phase in the experimental reactor (GR1) and relatively stable community structure in the control reactor (GR2). An increase in carbon dioxide content (%) and total VFA concentration (g/L) and decrease in methane content (%) were the main indicators of disturbance in both FTHFS- and 16S- community structure for GR1 compared with GR2. However, there were considerable differences in the community profile when taxonomy associated with the method taken into consideration. Differences arose because different methods produce slightly differing results and have their advantages and disadvantages. However, detailed comparison of the methods revealed 1) similarity in temporal dynamics, taxonomy and RA abundance of respective taxa/TRFs and 2) some differences and plausible reasons for these.

Comparison of T-RFLP profile and structure and dynamics of Riboceto-, 16S-, FTHFS- community

FTHFS gene-based community dynamics: T-RFLP versus AmpSeq

On comparing the FTHFS gene-based community and taxonomic predictions of AluI *Ex* oTRFs, it was observed that unknown bacteria of the family Peptococcaceae and *M. formatexigens* were present in significantly high relative abundance in FTHFS-community in GR1, but were not detected in the *Ex* oTRF profile (via its taxonomic prediction). This was

likely because, as the *in silico* digestion results indicated, the TRFs generated by these two species were smaller than 50 bp (Supp. Data 2), and thus were not included in the T-RFLP analysis. In the *IS* T-RFLP profile of *AluI* and *Hpy188III*, some *IS* oTRFs were represented by several genera, such as *Clostridium* (*C. ultunense*, *C. beijerinckii*, *C. perfringens*, *C. formicaceticum*), *Clostridioides*, *Dorea*, *Eubacterium*, *Prevotella*, *Proteus* and *Sporomusa*, *Terrisporobacter* etc. Although no exact match for a particular genus (species) was found for *IS* oTRFs, all of these genera are relevant for the community dynamics because they include most of the known acetogens (Drake, Gößner and Daniel 2008; Singh *et al.* 2019). The appearance and increase in RA of certain *EX* oTRFs in GR1 and their predicted taxonomy illustrate the important role of these acetogens in VFA metabolism. Comparison of dynamics deduced from the FTHFS gene T-RFLP and AmpSeq indicated similar trends in the RA of associated or predicted taxa. However, our *in silico* analysis suggested that accurate identification and prediction of exact taxa based on TRF identity is not feasible.

Congruence of RibocetoBase taxonomic annotations with 16S-community

Analysis of Riboceto-community (Fig. 3) showed similar trends to those seen for 16S-community dynamics (additional text, Fig. A2). At phylum level, the major phyla observed in Riboceto-community and 16S-community were very similar, although increased resolution for these dynamics was observed for Riboceto-community (*e.g.*, acetogenic community) (Fig. 3A) compared with 16S-community (additional text, Fig. A2A). At phylum level, 16S-community in GR1 showed complete disappearance of the phylum Cloacimonadota between day 95 and day 225 and presence of phylum Actinobacteriota in only a few samples. In line with this, Riboceto-community indicated reduced RA of the phylum Cloacimonadota during days 95-225, although unlike in 16S-community it did not completely disappear. In Riboceto-community, the phylum Actinobacteriota (RA >1% intermittently) was observed in both GR1 and GR2. 16S-community showed similar trends to Riboceto-community at phylum level,

554 where Candidatus Cloacimonetes was highly reduced during the disturbance but did not
555 disappear and Actinobacteriota was observed in both reactors. In the comparison of Riboceto-
556 community (Fig. 3A) and 16S-community (additional text, Fig. A2A), it was noticed that the
557 phylum Cloacimonadota was annotated “NA” in Riboceto-community. This is because
558 RibocetoBase taxonomy is based on NCBI taxonomy (Federhen 2012), while 16S rRNA
559 gene reference dataset taxonomy is based on Arb-Silva (Quast *et al.* 2013), recently amended
560 with GTDB taxonomy (Chaumeil *et al.* 2019; Parks *et al.* 2020). If the taxonomy of the “NA”
561 sequences in the Riboceto-community fraction is traced using Silva aligner (Quast *et al.*
562 2013) or RDP sequence match (Cole *et al.* 2014), and taxonomy is compared to GTDB
563 taxonomy, the taxonomic affiliations of ASVs can be compared/validated for “NA” taxa.
564 Thus, these differences in the taxonomic classification systems created differences between
565 the taxonomy associated with the community dynamics. Other reasons for the assignment of
566 phylum Cloacimonadota (GTDB taxonomy) or Candidatus Cloacimonetes (NCBI taxonomy)
567 as unknown bacterial phylum in the RibocetoBase dataset are: 1) lack of complete
568 genomes/genomic assemblies for the Candidatus Cloacimonetes accessions present in
569 AcetoBase and 2) absence of 16S rRNA gene sequences in these genomes/genomic
570 assemblies, with 5S or 23S subunits mostly present in these genomic assemblies. Thus, the
571 16S rRNA gene sequence could not be extracted from the Cloacimonadota/Candidatus
572 Cloacimonetes genomes for the RibocetoBase dataset and identified ASVs could not be
573 annotated. A comparison of FTHFS-community and 16S-community also indicated the
574 reason for the high RA of Actinobacteria in the FTHFS profile and its low RA in the 16S
575 rRNA gene profile. The genus *Varibaculum timonense*, which was previously classified in
576 the family Actinomycetaceae (AcetoBase) (Singh *et al.* 2019) under old NCBI taxonomy
577 (Federhen 2012) has been reclassified to *Urmitella timonensis* in the family Tissierellaceae
578 (GTDB 2020a) according to GTDB taxonomy (Chaumeil *et al.* 2019). Hence, amendments in

RibocetoBase taxonomy according to GTDB taxonomy would be required for correct taxonomic annotations and community profiling. However, indirect inference using RibocetoBase, *i.e.* FTHFS gene-harbouring bacteria from AcetoBase, would be a satisfactory method for potential acetogenic community profiling in cases where the 16S rRNA gene can be used as the marker of choice.

Resolution of acetogenic community structure: functional gene versus taxonomic marker

The FTHFS gene is a functional gene marker of the acetogenic community. A prominent genus in FTHFS-community *i.e.*, Peptococcaceae bacterium (Fig. 4B), was not observed in 16S-community (additional text, Fig. A2B). Further analysis of the Peptococcaceae bacterium operational taxonomic unit (OTU) sequence showed that this OTU was 88.7% similar to the species Peptococcaceae bacterium 1109. There are several possible reasons why Peptococcaceae bacterium 1109 could not be detected in 16S-community, *e.g.* 1) it has been reclassified as class Limnochordia, family DTU010 and genus 1109 according to recent GTDB taxonomy (GTDB 2020b), 2) this species was not targeted by our 16S rRNA gene primers (515F-805R). The genera H1, PeH15, *Proteiniphilum* and LNR_A2-18, which were predominantly seen in 16S-community, were not observed in FTHFS-community. This was because H1, PeH15 and *Proteiniphilum* belong to the phylum Bacteroidota and LNR_A2-18 belongs to the Cloacimonadota. As Bacteroidota do not include any known acetogen (Drake, Gößner and Daniel 2008; Pierce *et al.* 2008; Müller and Frerichs 2013; Singh *et al.* 2019), our FTHFS primers might be unable to target these genera. The genus LNR_A2-18 is not included in AcetoBase because there is no information available for this genus apart from the 16S rRNA gene sequence.

Marvinbryantia formatexigens was represented in high RA in FTHFS-community during the disturbance period in GR1 (Fig. 4C). This species is a known acetogen (Drake, Gößner and

Daniel 2008; Müller and Frerichs 2013; Singh *et al.* 2019) belonging to the family Lachnospiraceae. However, neither *M. formatexigens* nor family Lachnospiraceae was detected to have a significant presence in 16S-community during the disturbance period in GR1 (Fig. A2, Supp. Fig. S8). To validate the coverage of bacterial community by our FTHFS primers, the top phyla and classes from FTHFS-community and 16S-community were compared. In the comparison at phylum level, 16S-community showed higher coverage of Firmicutes than in FTHFS-community (Supp. Fig. S9A, S9B). This is reasonable, since not all Firmicutes contain the FTHFS gene and were therefore targeted in 16S-community, but FTHFS-community. At class level, FTHFS-community illustrated better coverage of class Negativicutes compared with 16S-community (Supp. Fig. S9C, S9D). Since phylum Cloacimonadota has been proposed as an indicator taxon pertaining to reactor disturbances (Calusinska *et al.* 2018; Klang *et al.* 2019; Poirier *et al.* 2020), its correct profiling is very important. Fluctuations (near-disappearance) in RA of the phylum Cloacimonadota and class Cloacimonadia were observed in the control reactor profile of 16S-community (Supp. Fig. S9B, S9D) but not that of FTHFS-community (Supp. Fig. S9A, S9C). Thus, comparative analysis of FTHFS-community and 16S-community illustrated that our FTHFS gene-based sequencing approach appears more accurate and reliable in targeting the correct coverage, dynamics and classification of known and potential acetogens.

Acetogenic community dynamics in the biogas environment

Since there is a lack of studies targeting acetogenic bacteria and examining their role in biogas digester environments, a clear causal attribution for the microbial community changes regarding process parameters is challenging. However, many studies have reported high importance of the acetogenic community in biogas environments and the present study contributed further insights regarding the acetogenic community structure in AD environments. This study also clearly revealed the dynamics and changes in the structure of

this community with an increase and decrease in total VFA concentration. Among the major taxa detected in FTHFS-community, the dynamics of the phylum Candidatus Cloacimonetes, genus Peptococcaceae bacterium and *Marvinbryantia* are worth mentioning. Candidatus Cloacimonetes was found to be highly reduced during the disturbance phase. It has previously been reported to be present in high abundance in high-ammonia systems and is suggested to have a specialist function (syntrophic propionate-oxidation) (Müller *et al.* 2016; Poirier *et al.* 2020) and to be a potential acetogenic candidate (Pelletier *et al.* 2008; Juste-Poinapen *et al.* 2015; Lucas *et al.* 2015; Nobu *et al.* 2015; Ahlert *et al.* 2016; Stolze *et al.* 2018, 2016; Calusinska *et al.* 2018; Nazina *et al.* 2018; Braz *et al.* 2019; Klang *et al.* 2019). In line with the results in the present study, a decrease abundance in the phylum Cloacimonetes in association with process disturbance has been seen previously (Klang *et al.* 2019). The role of the genus Peptococcaceae bacterium or the family Peptococcaceae has not yet been well studied, but several studies suggest a role as an acetogen/syntrophic bacterium in natural and methanogenic environments (Müller *et al.* 2010, 2016; Liu and Conrad 2011; Kato and Yumoto 2015; Tveit *et al.* 2015; Liu *et al.* 2016). Peptococcaceae bacterium 1109 is suggested to be a syntrophic acetate oxidising bacterium (Buettner *et al.* 2019). Therefore the increased RA of this species during the VFA increase in the present study could potentially be associated with high levels of acetate in the disturbance phase, which are suggested to be of importance for other known syntrophic acetate-oxidising bacteria (Westerholm, Moestedt and Schnürer 2016). *Marvinbryantia formatexigens*, which showed increased RA during the period of VFA decrease, is a well-known acetogen in the gut environment (Wolin *et al.* 2003; Rey *et al.* 2010), but its role in biogas environments is not well studied. However, the species has cellulolytic and saccharolytic activity and produces acetate as a sole or main product from its metabolism (Wolin *et al.* 2003). In summary, in this study we successfully revealed the

temporal dynamics of the acetogenic community and changes in the structure of this community structure due to perturbation.

CONCLUSIONS

This study compared temporal changes in the acetogenic community in biogas reactors under the influence of induced disturbance, using three methods widely applied for microbial analysis. Despite differences and limitations of the 16S rRNA gene AmpSeq, T-RFLP and AmpSeq of FTHFS gene methods, all were able to track the temporal dynamics of the microbial community and coherence was found in community profiles at higher taxonomic levels inferred by individual methods. However, T-RFLP and AmpSeq of FTHFS gene were found to be more descriptive and reliable in tracking the dynamics of the acetogenic community. Overall, high-throughput FTHFS gene sequencing of barcoded samples and unsupervised analysis with AcetoScan was found to be a more promising method for monitoring acetogenic community dynamics in biogas reactors than 16S rRNA gene sequencing targeting the whole bacterial community and laborious/limited T-RFLP community profiling. If the recent taxonomic changes and differences between Arb-Silva/GTDB and AcetoBase/NCBI taxonomy are masked, AmpSeq of FTHFS gene may accurately reveal the microbial profile and dynamics of the acetogenic community in anaerobic digesters and in various natural environments (soil, marine, lake sediments, hot springs *etc.*) and gut/oral (insects, animals, human) environments.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The FTHFS gene has been used for more than three decades to identify/track the dynamics of potential acetogenic candidates. In this study, we developed an alternative approach for the

same purpose, using 16S rRNA gene sequences from FTHFS gene-harboursing bacteria. This approach can have wide application in tracking the microbial population when complemented with indirect analysis of potential acetogenic candidates. Further development and improvement of RibocetoBase with more acetogen-specific 16S rRNA gene sequences and amending the NCBI taxonomy with GTDB taxonomy will further assist in identification of FTHFS gene-harboursing candidates with acetogenic potential. Use of FTHFS gene AmpSeq in screening diverse environments could also provide a deeper understanding of acetogenic community structure and its temporal dynamics in natural or constructed environments.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available online.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw sequence data from the multiplexed Illumina MiSeq sequencing for the FTHFS and 16S rRNA gene have been submitted to NCBI, with BioProject accession number PRJNA687725 and PRJNA687735, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Bioprocess Engineer Simon Isaksson for his help in reactor operation, sampling and data collection.

FUNDING

This work was funded and supported by the Swedish Energy Agency (project no. 2014-000725), Västra Götaland Region (project no. MN 2016-00077), and Interreg Europe (project Biogas2020).

REFERENCES

- Ahlert S, Zimmermann R, Ebling J *et al.* Analysis of propionate-degrading consortia from agricultural biogas plants. *Microbiologyopen* 2016;**5**:1027–37.
- Angelidaki I, Karakashev D, Batstone DJ *et al.* Biomethanation and Its Potential. *Methods in Enzymology*. 2011, 327–51.
- Applied Biosystems. *Peak ScannerTM*. Reference Guide; Part# 4382253 Rev. A; www.appliedbiosystems.com; https://www.umassmed.edu/globalassets/deep-sequencing-core/mbcl/forms/peak_scanner_manual.pdf; Accessed 2020-09-09, 2006.
- Bray JR, Curtis JT. An Ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of Southern Wisconsin. *Ecol Monogr* 1957;**27**:325–49.
- Braz GHR, Fernandez-Gonzalez N, Lema JM *et al.* Organic overloading affects the microbial interactions during anaerobic digestion in sewage sludge reactors. *Chemosphere* 2019;**222**:323–32.
- Buettner C, von Bergen M, Jehmlich N *et al.* Pseudomonas spp. are key players in agricultural biogas substrate degradation. *Sci Rep* 2019;**9**:12871.
- Cabezas A, de Araujo JC, Callejas C *et al.* How to use molecular biology tools for the study of the anaerobic digestion process? *Rev Environ Sci Bio/Technology* 2015;**14**:555–93.
- Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ *et al.* DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* 2016;**13**:581–3.
- Calusinska M, Goux X, Fossépré M *et al.* A year of monitoring 20 mesophilic full-scale bioreactors reveals the existence of stable but different core microbiomes in bio-waste and wastewater anaerobic digestion systems. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2018;**11**:1–19.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V *et al.* BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009;**10**:421.
- Čater M, Fanel L, Logar RM. Microbial community analyses in biogas reactors by molecular methods. *Acta Chim Slov* 2013;**60**:243–55.
- Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P *et al.* GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. Hancock J (ed.). *Bioinformatics* 2019, DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848.
- Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA *et al.* Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014;**42**:D633–42.
- Czatkowska M, Harnisz M, Korzeniewska E *et al.* Inhibitors of the methane fermentation

731 process with particular emphasis on the microbiological aspect: A review. *Energy Sci*
732 *Eng* 2020;**8**:1880–97.

733 Deorowicz S, Debudaj-Grabysz A, Gudys A. FAMSA: Fast and accurate multiple sequence
734 alignment of huge protein families. *Sci Rep* 2016;**6**, DOI: 10.1038/srep33964.

735 Dollhofer V, Podmirseg SM, Callaghan TM *et al*. Anaerobic Fungi and Their Potential for
736 Biogas Production. *Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*. 2015, 41–61.

737 Drake H, Ivarsson M, Bengtson S *et al*. Anaerobic consortia of fungi and sulfate reducing
738 bacteria in deep granite fractures. *Nat Commun* 2017;**8**:55.

739 Drake HL. *Acetogenesis*. Drake HL (ed.). Boston, MA: Springer US; ISBN: 978-1-4613-
740 5716-2; DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4615-1777-1, 1994a.

741 Drake HL. Acetogenesis, Acetogenic Bacteria, and the Acetyl-CoA “Wood/Ljungdahl”
742 Pathway: Past and Current Perspectives. *Acetogenesis*. Boston, MA: Springer US,
743 1994b, 3–60.

744 Drake HL, Gößner AS, Daniel SL. Old Acetogens, New Light. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*
745 2008;**1125**:100–28.

746 Federhen S. The NCBI Taxonomy database. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012;**40**:D136–43.

747 Frank JA, Arntzen MØ, Sun L *et al*. Novel Syntrophic Populations Dominate an Ammonia-
748 Tolerant Methanogenic Microbiome. Summers ZM (ed.). *mSystems* 2016;**1**, DOI:
749 10.1128/mSystems.00092-16.

750 Franke-Whittle IH, Walter A, Ebner C *et al*. Investigation into the effect of high
751 concentrations of volatile fatty acids in anaerobic digestion on methanogenic
752 communities. *Waste Manag* 2014;**34**:2080–9.

753 Fredriksson NJ, Hermansson M, Wilén B-M. Impact of T-RFLP data analysis choices on
754 assessments of microbial community structure and dynamics. *BMC Bioinformatics*
755 2014;**15**:360.

756 Gagen EJ, Denman SE, Padmanabha J *et al*. Functional Gene Analysis Suggests Different
757 Acetogen Populations in the Bovine Rumen and Tammar Wallaby Forestomach. *Appl*
758 *Environ Microbiol* 2010;**76**:7785–95.

759 Greninger AL. The challenge of diagnostic metagenomics. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*
760 2018;**18**:605–15.

761 GTDB. *Varibaculum Timonense* (Actinomycetaceae) Reclassified to *Urmitella Timonensis*
762 (*Tissierellaceae*). GTDB;
763 https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/genomes?gid=GCF_900169515.1; Date Accesses: 2020-12-
764 18, 2020a.

765 GTDB. *Peptococcaceae Bacterium 1109* Reclassified to Genus 1109 of Class *Limnochordia*.
766 GTDB;
767 <https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/searches?s=al&q=Peptococcaceae+bacterium+1109>; Date
768 Accesses: 2020-12-18, 2020b.

769 Hattori S. Syntrophic acetate-oxidizing microbes in methanogenic environments. *Microbes*

770 *Environ* 2008;**23**:118–27.

771 Herlemann DPR, Labrenz M, Jürgens K *et al.* Transitions in bacterial communities along the
772 2000 km salinity gradient of the Baltic Sea. *ISME J* 2011;**5**:1571–9.

773 Herrmann C, Heiermann M, Idler C *et al.* Particle Size Reduction during Harvesting of Crop
774 Feedstock for Biogas Production I: Effects on Ensiling Process and Methane Yields.
775 *BioEnergy Res* 2012;**5**:926–36.

776 Hori T, Sasaki D, Haruta S *et al.* Detection of active, potentially acetate-oxidizing syntrophs
777 in an anaerobic digester by flux measurement and formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase
778 (FTHFS) expression profiling. *Microbiology* 2011;**157**:1980–9.

779 Horváth IS, Tabatabaei M, Karimi K *et al.* Recent updates on biogas production - A review.
780 *Biofuel Res J* 2016;**3**:394–402.

781 Hugerth LW, Wefer HA, Lundin S *et al.* DegePrime, a program for degenerate primer design
782 for broad-taxonomic-range PCR in microbial ecology studies. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
783 2014;**80**:5116–23.

784 Invitrogen. *E-Gel™ Safe Imager™ E-Gel Real-Time Transilluminator*. Invitrogen; Part#25-
785 0951; Pub.#MAN0000573; [https://assets.fishersci.com/TFS-](https://assets.fishersci.com/TFS-Assets/LSG/manuals/ibase_safe_imager_man.pdf)
786 [Assets/LSG/manuals/ibase_safe_imager_man.pdf](https://assets.fishersci.com/TFS-Assets/LSG/manuals/ibase_safe_imager_man.pdf); Date accessed: 2020-09-16, 2012.

787 Invitrogen. *E-Gel®*. Invitrogen; Technical Guide; Pub.#MAN0000375; Rev. A.0;
788 http://tools.thermofisher.com/content/sfs/manuals/egelguide_man.pdf; Date accessed:
789 2020-09-16, 2014.

790 Invitrogen. *E-Gel™ SizeSelect™ II Agarose Gels*. Invitrogen; Quick Reference;
791 Cat.#G661012; Pub.#MAN0017341; Rev. B.0;
792 <https://www.thermofisher.com/order/catalog/product/G661012#/G661012>; Date
793 accessed: 2020-09-16, 2017.

794 Ivarsson M, Schnürer A, Bengtson S *et al.* Anaerobic Fungi: A Potential Source of Biological
795 H₂ in the Oceanic Crust. *Front Microbiol* 2016;**7**:1–8.

796 Juste-Poinapen NMS, Turner MS, Rabaey K *et al.* Evaluating the potential impact of proton
797 carriers on syntrophic propionate oxidation. *Sci Rep* 2015;**5**:18364.

798 Kato S, Yumoto I. Isolation of Acetogenic Bacteria That Induce Biocorrosion by Utilizing
799 Metallic Iron as the Sole Electron Donor. 2015;**81**:67–73.

800 Klang J, Szewzyk U, Bock D *et al.* Nexus between the microbial diversity level and the stress
801 tolerance within the biogas process. *Anaerobe* 2019;**56**:8–16.

802 Lebuhn M, Weiß S, Munk B *et al.* Microbiology and Molecular Biology Tools for Biogas
803 Process Analysis, Diagnosis and Control. *Advances in Biochemical*
804 *Engineering/Biotechnology*. 2015, 1–40.

805 Lever MA. Acetogenesis in the energy-starved deep biosphere-a paradox? *Front Microbiol*
806 2012, DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00284.

807 Liu C, Li H, Zhang Y *et al.* Evolution of microbial community along with increasing solid
808 concentration during high-solids anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. *Bioresour*

809 *Technol* 2016;**216**:87–94.

810 Liu F, Conrad R. Chemolithotrophic acetogenic H₂/CO₂ utilization in Italian rice field soil.
811 *ISME J* 2011;**5**:1526–39.

812 Ljungdahl LG. The autotrophic pathway of acetate synthesis in acetogenic bacteria. *Annu Rev*
813 *Microbiol* 1986;**40**:415–50.

814 Lovell CR. Development of DNA Probes for the Detection and Identification of Acetogenic
815 Bacteria. In: Drake HL (ed.). *Acetogenesis*. Chapman & Hall Microbiology Series,
816 Springer US, 1994, 236–53.

817 Lovell CR, Leaphart AB. Community-level analysis: Key genes of CO₂-reductive
818 acetogenesis. *Methods Enzymol* 2005;**397**:454–69.

819 Lovell CR, Przybyla A, Ljungdahl LG. Primary structure of the thermostable
820 formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase from *Clostridium thermoaceticum*. *Biochemistry*
821 1990;**29**:5687–94.

822 Lucas R, Kuchenbuch A, Fetzer I *et al*. Long-term monitoring reveals stable and remarkably
823 similar microbial communities in parallel full-scale biogas reactors digesting energy
824 crops. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2015;**91**, DOI: 10.1093/femsec/fiv004.

825 Ma Y, Yin Y, Liu Y. New insights into co-digestion of activated sludge and food waste:
826 Biogas versus biofertilizer. *Bioresour Technol* 2017;**241**:448–53.

827 Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
828 *EMBnet.journal* 2011;**17**:10.

829 Martin TC, Visconti A, Spector TD *et al*. Conducting metagenomic studies in microbiology
830 and clinical research. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2018;**102**:8629–46.

831 McLaren MR. Silva SSU taxonomic training data formatted for DADA2. 2020, DOI:
832 10.5281/zenodo.3731176.

833 McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and
834 Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. Watson M (ed.). *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:e61217.

835 Microsoft. *Office Suite 2013*. Microsoft; [https://www.microsoft.com/sv-se/microsoft-](https://www.microsoft.com/sv-se/microsoft-365/previous-versions/microsoft-office-2013)
836 365/previous-versions/microsoft-office-2013, 2013.

837 Moestedt J, Müller B, Westerholm M *et al*. Ammonia threshold for inhibition of anaerobic
838 digestion of thin stillage and the importance of organic loading rate. *Microb Biotechnol*
839 2016;**9**:180–94.

840 MP Biomedicals. *FastDNATM SPIN Kit for Soil*. Instruction Manual; Cat# 6560-200; Rev#
841 6560-200-07DEC; MP Biomedicals; [https://eu.mpbio.com/116560000-fastdna-spin-kit-](https://eu.mpbio.com/116560000-fastdna-spin-kit-for-soil-samp-cf)
842 for-soil-samp-cf; Date accessed: 2020-09-16

843 Müller B, Sun L, Schnürer A. First insights into the syntrophic acetate-oxidizing bacteria - a
844 genetic study. *Microbiologyopen* 2013;**2**:35–53.

845 Müller B, Sun L, Westerholm M *et al*. Bacterial community composition and fhs profiles of
846 low- and high-ammonia biogas digesters reveal novel syntrophic acetate-oxidising

847 bacteria. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2016;**9**:1–18.

848 Müller N, Worm P, Schink B *et al.* Syntrophic butyrate and propionate oxidation processes:
849 From genomes to reaction mechanisms. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 2010;**2**:489–99.

850 Müller V, Frerichs J. Acetogenic Bacteria. *eLS* 2013, DOI:
851 doi:10.1002/9780470015902.a0020086.pub2.

852 Nazina TN, Sokolova DS, Babich TL *et al.* Phylogenetic Diversity of Microorganisms from
853 the Sludge of a Biogas Reactor Processing Oil-Containing and Municipal Waste.
854 *Microbiology* 2018;**87**:416–24.

855 NCBI. *NCBI FTP Service*. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), U.S.
856 National Library of Medicine; <ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes>, 2020.

857 NEB. *AluI*. New England Biolabs; Cat#R0137S; [https://international.neb.com/products/r0137-](https://international.neb.com/products/r0137-alui)
858 [alui](https://international.neb.com/products/r0137-alui); Date accessed: 2020-07-01, 2020a.

859 NEB. *HpyI88III*. New England Biolabs; Cat#R0622S;
860 <https://international.neb.com/products/r0622-hpy188iii>; Date accessed: 2020-07-01,
861 2020b.

862 Nobu MK, Narihiro T, Rinke C *et al.* Microbial dark matter ecogenomics reveals complex
863 synergistic networks in a methanogenic bioreactor. *ISME J* 2015;**9**:1710–22.

864 Ohashi Y, Igarashi T, Kumazawa F *et al.* Analysis of Acetogenic Bacteria in Human Feces
865 with Formyltetrahydrofolate Synthetase Sequences. *Biosci Microflora* 2007;**26**:37–40.

866 Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M *et al.* *Vegan: Community Ecology Package*. Version
867 2.5-6; Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN); [https://CRAN.R-](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan)
868 [project.org/package=vegan](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan), 2019.

869 Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil P-A *et al.* A complete domain-to-species taxonomy for
870 Bacteria and Archaea. *Nat Biotechnol* 2020;**38**:1079–86.

871 Pelletier E, Kreimeyer A, Bocs S *et al.* “Candidatus Cloacamonas acidaminovorans”:
872 Genome sequence reconstruction provides a first glimpse of a new bacterial division. *J*
873 *Bacteriol* 2008;**190**:2572–9.

874 Peretó JG, Velasco AM, Becerra A *et al.* Comparative biochemistry of CO₂ fixation and the
875 evolution of autotrophy. *Int Microbiol* 1999;**2**:3–10.

876 Petersson A, Wellinger A. *Biogas Upgrading Technologies - Developments and Innovations*.
877 [https://www.ieabioenergy.com/wp-](https://www.ieabioenergy.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/10/upgrading_rz_low_final.pdf)
878 [content/uploads/2009/10/upgrading_rz_low_final.pdf](https://www.ieabioenergy.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/10/upgrading_rz_low_final.pdf); Date accessed: 2021-01-05, 2009.

879 Pierce E, Xie G, Barabote RD *et al.* The complete genome sequence of *Moorella*
880 *thermoacetica* (f. *Clostridium thermoaceticum*). *Environ Microbiol* 2008;**10**:2550–73.

881 Poehlein A, Schmidt S, Kaster AK *et al.* An ancient pathway combining carbon dioxide
882 fixation with the generation and utilization of a sodium ion gradient for ATP synthesis.
883 *PLoS One* 2012;**7**:e33439.

884 Poirier S, Déjean S, Midoux C *et al.* Integrating independent microbial studies to build

885 predictive models of anaerobic digestion inhibition by ammonia and phenol. *Bioresour*
886 *Technol* 2020;**316**:123952.

887 Pöschl M, Ward S, Owende P. Evaluation of energy efficiency of various biogas production
888 and utilization pathways. *Appl Energy* 2010, DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2010.05.011.

889 Prosser JI. Dispersing misconceptions and identifying opportunities for the use of “omics” in
890 soil microbial ecology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2015;**13**:439–46.

891 Quast C, Priesse E, Yilmaz P *et al.* The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project:
892 Improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;**41**:D590–6.

893 R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for
894 Statistical Computing; Vienna, Austria; <http://www.R-project.org>, 2013.

895 R Core Team. *The R Stats Package*. Version: 3.6.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing;
896 Vienna, Austria; <http://www.R-project.org>, 2019.

897 Rajagopal R, Massé DI, Singh G. A critical review on inhibition of anaerobic digestion
898 process by excess ammonia. *Bioresour Technol* 2013;**143**:632–41.

899 Rey FE, Faith JJ, Bain J *et al.* Dissecting the in Vivo Metabolic Potential of Two Human Gut
900 Acetogens. *J Biol Chem* 2010;**285**:22082–90.

901 Robles G, Nair RB, Kleinstaub S *et al.* Biogas Production: Microbiological Aspects. In:
902 Tabatabaei M, Ghanavati H (eds.). *Biogas: Fundamentals, Process, and Operation*.
903 Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, 163–98.

904 RStudio Team. *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R*. RStudio, PBC, Boston,
905 MA; <http://www.rstudio.com>, 2015.

906 Ruan R, Zhang Y, Chen P *et al.* Biofuels: Introduction. In: Pandey A, Larroche C, Dussap C-
907 G, *et al.* (eds.). *Biofuels: Alternative Feedstocks and Conversion Processes for the*
908 *Production of Liquid and Gaseous Biofuels*. Elsevier, 2019, 3–43.

909 Ryan P, Forbes C, Colleran E. Investigation of the diversity of homoacetogenic bacteria in
910 mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic sludges using the formyltetrahydrofolate
911 synthetase gene. 2008:675–80.

912 Ryan P, Forbes C, McHugh S *et al.* Enrichment of acetogenic bacteria in high rate anaerobic
913 reactors under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. *Water Res* 2010;**44**:4261–9.

914 Scarlat N, Dallemand J-F, Fahl F. Biogas: Developments and perspectives in Europe. *Renew*
915 *Energy* 2018;**129**:457–72.

916 Schnürer A. Biogas production: Microbiology and technology. In: Hatti-Kaul R, Mamo G,
917 Mattiasson B (eds.). *Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology*. Vol 156.
918 Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2016, 195–234.

919 Schnürer A, Jarvis Å. *Microbiology of the Biogas Process*. Swedish University of
920 Agricultural Sciences; ISBN: 978-91-576-9546-8;
921 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327388476_Microbiology_of_the_biogas_pro](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327388476_Microbiology_of_the_biogas_process)
922 [cess](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327388476_Microbiology_of_the_biogas_process), 2017.

923 Schnürer A, Nordberg Å. Ammonia, a selective agent for methane production by syntrophic
924 acetate oxidation at mesophilic temperature. *Water Sci Technol* 2008;**57**:735–40.

925 Schuchmann K, Müller V. Energetics and application of heterotrophy in acetogenic bacteria.
926 *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016;**82**:4056–69.

927 SGC. *Basic Data on Biogas*. Swedish Gas Technology Centre; ISBN: 978-91-85207-10-7;
928 <http://www.sgc.se/ckfinder/userfiles/files/BasicDataonBiogas2012.pdf>; Date accessed:
929 2021-01-05, 2012.

930 Siegert I, Banks C. The effect of volatile fatty acid additions on the anaerobic digestion of
931 cellulose and glucose in batch reactors. *Process Biochem* 2005;**40**:3412–8.

932 Sigma-Aldrich. *Guanidine Thiocyanate*. Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany; CAS #593-84-
933 0; Prod. #G9277; <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/sigma/g9277>; Date
934 accessed: 2020-09-16, 2020.

935 Singh A. Genomic DNA extraction from anaerobic digester samples. *protocols.io* 2020a,
936 DOI: [dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bgxkjkxkw](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.bgxkjkxkw).

937 Singh A. *REDigest: A Python GUI for In-Silico Restriction Digestion Analysis for Gene or*
938 *Complete Genome Sequences*. GitHub; <https://github.com/abhijeetsingh1704/REDigest>,
939 2020b.

940 Singh A. *DupRemover: A Simple Program to Remove Duplicate Sequences from Multi-Fasta*
941 *File*. GitHub, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.23842.86724, 2020c.

942 Singh A, Müller B, Fuxelius H-H *et al*. AcetoBase: a functional gene repository and database
943 for formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase sequences. *Database* 2019;**2019**, DOI:
944 10.1093/database/baz142.

945 Singh A, Nylander JAA, Schnürer A *et al*. High-Throughput Sequencing and Unsupervised
946 Analysis of Formyltetrahydrofolate Synthetase (FTHFS) Gene Amplicons to Estimate
947 Acetogenic Community Structure. *Front Microbiol* 2020;**11**:1–13.

948 Smith CJ, Danilowicz BS, Clear AK *et al*. T-Align, a web-based tool for comparison of
949 multiple terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism profiles. *FEMS Microbiol*
950 *Ecol* 2005;**54**:375–80.

951 Stolze Y, Bremges A, Maus I *et al*. Targeted in situ metatranscriptomics for selected taxa
952 from mesophilic and thermophilic biogas plants. *Microb Biotechnol* 2018;**11**:667–79.

953 Stolze Y, Bremges A, Rumming M *et al*. Identification and genome reconstruction of
954 abundant distinct taxa in microbiomes from one thermophilic and three mesophilic
955 production-scale biogas plants. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2016;**9**:156.

956 Sun L, Müller B, Westerholm M *et al*. Syntrophic acetate oxidation in industrial CSTR
957 biogas digesters. *J Biotechnol* 2014;**171**:39–44.

958 Thauer RK, Kaster A-K, Seedorf H *et al*. Methanogenic archaea: ecologically relevant
959 differences in energy conservation. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2008;**6**:579–91.

960 Tveit AT, Urich T, Frenzel P *et al*. Metabolic and trophic interactions modulate methane
961 production by Arctic peat microbiota in response to warming. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

962 2015;**112**:E2507–16.

963 UGC. *Next Generation Sequencing at Uppsala Genome Center (UGC)*. NGI Uppsala,
964 Science for life lab; Sweden; <https://www.scilifelab.se>, 2018.

965 Vanwonterghem I, Jensen PD, Rabaey K *et al*. Genome-centric resolution of microbial
966 diversity , metabolism and interactions in anaerobic digestion. 2016;**18**:3144–58.

967 Vinzelj J, Joshi A, Insam H *et al*. Employing anaerobic fungi in biogas production:
968 challenges & opportunities. *Bioresour Technol* 2020;**300**:122687.

969 De Vrieze J, Saunders AM, He Y *et al*. Ammonia and temperature determine potential
970 clustering in the anaerobic digestion microbiome. *Water Res* 2015;**75**:312–23.

971 De Vrieze J, Verstraete W. Perspectives for microbial community composition in anaerobic
972 digestion: from abundance and activity to connectivity. *Environ Microbiol*
973 2016;**18**:2797–809.

974 Wang Y, Zhang Y, Wang J *et al*. Effects of volatile fatty acid concentrations on methane
975 yield and methanogenic bacteria. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 2009;**33**:848–53.

976 Wellinger A, Murphy J, Baxter D. *The Biogas Handbook*. Woodhead Publishing Limited;
977 ISBN: 978-0-85709-498-8; DOI: 10.1533/9780857097415, 2013.

978 Westerholm M, Moestedt J, Schnürer A. Biogas production through syntrophic acetate
979 oxidation and deliberate operating strategies for improved digester performance. *Appl*
980 *Energy* 2016;**179**:124–35.

981 Westerholm M, Müller B, Arthurson V *et al*. Changes in the acetogenic population in a
982 mesophilic anaerobic digester in response to increasing ammonia concentration.
983 *Microbes Environ* 2011;**26**:347–53.

984 Westerholm M, Müller B, Isaksson S *et al*. Trace element and temperature effects on
985 microbial communities and links to biogas digester performance at high ammonia levels.
986 *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2015;**8**:154.

987 Williams AG, Joblin KN, Fonty G. Interactions Between the Rumen Chytrid Fungi and Other
988 Microorganisms. *Anaerobic Fungi*. CRC Press, 2020, 191–228.

989 Winquist E, Rikkonen P, Pyysiäinen J *et al*. Is biogas an energy or a sustainability product? -
990 Business opportunities in the Finnish biogas branch. *J Clean Prod* 2019;**233**:1344–54.

991 Wolin MJ, Miller TL, Collins MD *et al*. Formate-Dependent Growth and Homoacetogenic
992 Fermentation by a Bacterium from Human Feces: Description of *Bryantella*
993 *formatexigens* gen. nov., sp. nov. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2003;**69**:6321–6.

994 Zhou Z, Takaya N, Nakamura A *et al*. Ammonia Fermentation, a Novel Anoxic Metabolism
995 of Nitrate by Fungi. *J Biol Chem* 2002;**277**:1892–6.

996