1 **TNF signalling fine-tunes Langerhans cell transcriptional** 2 **programmes mediating adaptive immunity.**

3 James Davies¹, Andres F. Vallejo¹, Sofia Sirvent¹, Gemma Porter¹, Kalum
4 Clayton¹, Yamkela Qumbelo², Patrick Stumpf³, Jonathan West^{4,5}, Clive M. Gray²,
5 Nyaradzo T.L Chigorimbo-Murefu², Ben MacArthur^{4,5} and Marta E Polak^{1,5*}
6

7 ¹Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Sir Henry Wellcome Laboratories, Faculty of
8 Medicine, University of Southampton, SO16 6YD, Southampton, UK

9 ²Division of Immunology, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine,
10 Department of Pathology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

11 ³Human Development and Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of
12 Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

13 ⁴Cancer Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, SO16 6YD,
14 Southampton, UK

15 ⁵Institute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK.
16
17

18 *Correspondence to:
19 Dr. Marta E Polak
20 Systems Immunology Group
21 Clinical and Experimental Sciences
22 Faculty of Medicine
23 University of Southampton,
24 SO16 6YD, Southampton, UK
25 e-mail: m.e.polak@soton.ac.uk
26

27 **Keywords:** Langerhans cells, single cell transcriptomics, gene regulatory network,
28 mathematical modelling, adaptive immunity, immunotolerance, immunogenic,
29 transcriptional programming

ABSTRACT

Langerhans cells (LCs) reside in the epidermis as a dense network of immune system sentinels, coordinating both immunogenic and tolerogenic immune responses. To determine molecular switches directing induction of LC immune activation, we performed mathematical modelling of gene regulatory networks identified by single cell RNA sequencing of LCs exposed to TNF, a key pro-inflammatory signal produced by the skin. Our approach delineated three programmes of LC phenotypic activation (immunogenic, tolerogenic or ambivalent), and confirmed that TNF enhanced LC immunogenic programming. Through regulon analysis followed by mutual information modelling, we identified *IRF1* as the key transcription factor for the regulation of immunogenicity in LCs. Application of a mathematical toggle switch model, coupling *IRF1* with tolerance-inducing transcription factors, determined the key set of transcription factors regulating the switch between tolerance and immunogenicity, and correctly predicted LC behaviour in LCs derived from different body sites. Our findings provide a mechanistic explanation of how combinatorial interactions between different transcription factors can coordinate specific transcriptional programmes in human LCs, interpreting the microenvironmental context of the local tissue microenvironments.

48 INTRODUCTION

49 Langerhans cells (LCs) act as immune sentinels at the epidermis and, through antigen
50 presenting function, are responsible for maintaining tissue immune homeostasis (Nestle *et*
51 *al.*, 2009). In the steady-state, a network of LCs resides within the dense assembly of
52 epidermal keratinocytes (KCs), sensing the environment and capturing antigens through
53 intercellular extension and retraction of dendritic processes (Clausen and Stoitzner, 2015).
54 On encounter with antigen, LCs cease to phagocytose and instead upregulate pathways
55 associated with maturation, including MHC II antigen presentation, T cell co-stimulation and
56 migration to local lymph nodes for priming of T cell immunity (Reis e Sousa, Stahl and Austyn,
57 1993). In the context of diverse signalling from the external environment and epidermal
58 microenvironment, LCs can promote immunogenic responses to protect against harmful
59 pathogens, or promote tolerogenic responses to prevent unwarranted inflammation to self-
60 antigen and innocuous agents (Polak *et al.*, 2017)(Sirvent *et al.*, 2020)(Clayton *et al.*,
61 2017)(Banchereau and Steinman, 1998). The correct orchestration of immunogenic vs
62 tolerogenic responses by LCs to the different stimuli they encounter is therefore expected to
63 be fundamental to the maintenance of skin health. However, the molecular mechanisms for
64 this decision-making process are largely unknown.

65
66 Recent investigations by us and others characterised plasticity in LC-driven adaptive immune
67 responses, dependent on LC activation state and signalling from the skin microenvironment.
68 In the absence of inflammation, migratory LC are marked with enhanced expression of
69 immunocompetency genes and they preferentially promote induction of Th2 CD4⁺ T cell
70 responses (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020)(Polak *et al.*, 2014)(Polak *et al.*, 2012)(Klechevsky *et al.*,
71 2008), and tolerogenic FOXP3⁺ Treg responses (Davies *et al.*, 2019)(Seneschal *et al.*,
72 2012)(Kitashima *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, with TNF signalling, LC immunogenicity is

enhanced (Barker *et al.*, 1991). TNF is a skin proinflammatory cytokine, which is produced by epidermal KCs, as well as dermal DCs, plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) and NK cells (Cumberbatch, Dearman and Kimber, 1997)(Singh *et al.*, 2016)(Hjorton *et al.*, 2018) in response to immunogenic stimuli. TNF stimulation of migratory LC heightens their ability to drive CD8 T cell activity through antigen cross-presentation (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020)(Polak *et al.*, 2014)(Polak *et al.*, 2012). Consistent with enhanced T cell activation, TNF stimulation promotes the upregulation of costimulatory molecules and maturation markers in LC, as well as promoting migration (Berthier-Vergnes *et al.*, 2005)(Cumberbatch *et al.*, 1999)(Epaulard *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, TNF signalling augments LC mediated anti-viral immunity to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), Influenza and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) antigen (Epaulard *et al.*, 2014)(Polak *et al.*, 2017).

Immune cell function and changes in behaviour, such as the ones observed for LCs, are encoded by unique transcriptomic expression profiles – transcriptional programmes (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020)(Xue *et al.*, 2014)(Werner, Barken and Hoffmann, 2005)(Hoffmann *et al.*, 2002). These transcriptional programmes are coordinated by gene regulatory networks (GRNs) in which transcription factors (TFs) cooperate to define a specific, signal-induced immune outcome (Singh, Khan and Dinner, 2014)(Lin *et al.*, 2015). Importantly, interactions with the external environment, tissue status (health or disease) or local microenvironmental signalling, can directly regulate the behaviour of GRN, alter transcriptional programmes and induce functional changes in cells.

Thus, we hypothesised that the decision-making process of LC-driven immunity is determined by the context of the signalling environment, through alteration of transcriptional programmes underpinning LC activation. We assumed that, while spontaneous migration in

the absence of pro-inflammatory signalling reflects the scenario in which LCs mediate peripheral immune homeostasis, TNF signalling favours immunogenicity. We sought to identify specific TFs defining immunogenic and tolerogenic programmes in LCs and to determine the regulatory interactions between the phenotype-defining TFs. Combining single cell transcriptome analyses with a published toggle switch ordinary differential equation (ODE) model defining two divergent sets of TF expression, containing self-amplification and mutual inhibition (Huang *et al.*, 2007), we identified regulatory modules defining immunogenic (*IRF1*, *IRF4*) and tolerogenic (*IRF4*, *RELB*, *ELK1*, *KRAS*, *SOX4*) LC phenotypes. The model was used to predict LC transcriptional programmes across abdominal skin, breast skin and foreskin-derived migrated LC, and provides a mechanistic explanation of how combinatorial interactions between different transcription factors can coordinate tissue and activation-specific transcriptional programmes in human LCs.

RESULTS

TNF enhances immunogenic transcriptional programming in migratory LC

In order to mediate protective immune responses, epidermal LCs must respond appropriately to environmental cues. To investigate transcriptional programmes induced by epidermal pro-inflammatory cytokines in LCs, we performed single cell analysis of human primary migrated LCs exposed to 24h stimulation with TNF vs unstimulated control. Clustering and dimensionality reduction analysis of 737 cells (UMAP, ScanPy, version=1.5.0) revealed that LC migrated from abdominal skin and cultured in the presence or absence of TNF contained a predominant large cluster, confirmed to be LCs through high expression of MHC II genes (*CD74*, *HLA-DRB1*, *HLA-DRB5*), as well as two additional populations identified to be melanocytes (*TYRP1*, *TYR*) and T cells (*CD3D*) (Logistic regression, ScanPy pipeline, version=1.5.0), which were removed from downstream analysis (Supplementary figure 1A-

123 C). The heterogeneity of the 737 migrated LCs cultured with or without TNF (unstimulated =
124 375, TNF stimulated = 362) was then analysed. Overall, the cells appeared relatively
125 homogeneous, consisting of one overall large population of LCs comprising sub clusters of
126 unstimulated and TNF stimulated LCs, which appear to diverge away from each other (Figure
127 1A). Differentially expressed gene (DEG) analysis comparing migrated LCs with and without
128 TNF identified 61 genes upregulated in unstimulated LCs and 87 genes upregulated in TNF
129 stimulated LCs (MAST, adj.p-value<0.05, Supplementary figure 1D). Gene ontology analysis
130 of the 61 genes upregulated in unstimulated LCs showed they were associated with secretion
131 by cell (adj. P-Value=5.3E-3) and regulation of the immune response (adj. P-Value=5.3E-3,
132 Figure 1B&C), with the latter influenced by the upregulation of the TF *KRAS* (Figure 1B,
133 Supplementary figure 1E). Gene ontology analysis for the 87 genes upregulated in migrated
134 TNF-stimulated LCs revealed association with cytokine-mediated signalling pathways (adj.
135 P-Value=2.2E-7) and positive regulation of alpha-beta T cell activation (adj. P-Value=1.5E-
136 4)(Figure 1B&C). This signature was influenced by the expression of the TF *IRF1*. Using an
137 immunogenic gene signature comprising genes upregulated in TNF stimulated LC (0hr-24hr
138 DEGs) from bulk RNA-seq data (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020) and a previously described tolerogenic
139 gene signature comprising genes associated with dendritic cell tolerogenic function (Davies
140 *et al.*, 2019) (Supplementary Table 1), z-scores representing the activation of each
141 programme were calculated for individual LCs (Figure 1D). Differences in z-score
142 (Immunogenic-tolerogenic) were calculated, with the positive values reflecting LCs with
143 increased immunogenic signature expression. This revealed that overall, TNF stimulated LCs
144 display an enhancement for the immunogenic signature (Median = 0.02107) compared to
145 unstimulated (Median = -0.09535, unpaired t-test, p=<0.001)(Figure 1E). Overall TNF
146 stimulation enhances LC transcriptomic programmes associated with immunogenic
147 responses and T cell activation.

Figure 1. TNF enhances immunogenic transcriptional programming in migratory LC.
A. UMAP dimensionality reduction analysis of scran-normalised single cell data from unstimulated (375) and TNF stimulated (362) migrated LCs originating from the same donor.
B. Gene ontology analysis (Toppgene) for the 61 upregulated DEGs in unstimulated migrated LCs and 87 upregulated DEGs in TNF stimulated migrated LCs (FDR corrected $p < 0.05$)
C. Trackplots displaying genes included in ontologies upregulated in unstimulated migrated LC (regulation of immune response) and TNF stimulated migrated LC (positive regulation of $\alpha\beta$ T cell activation and cytokine- mediated signalling pathway).
D. UMAP marker plots displaying immunogenic z-scores and tolerogenic signature z-scores in individual LC. Immunogenic z-scores were derived from the expression of genes identified to be upregulated in TNF stimulated LC (0hr-24hr DEGs) from bulk RNA-seq data (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020). Tolerogenic signature z-scores were derived from the expression of genes associated with dendritic cell tolerogenic function (Davies *et al.*, 2019).
E. Differences in z-scores (immunogenic – tolerogenic z-score) were calculated to compare the proportion of unstimulated and TNF stimulated migrated LC displaying an elevated immunogenic profile. Unpaired t-test, ****= $p < 0.001$.

IRF1 expression controls immunogenic transcriptional programming

To identify the key TF regulators of programming in unstimulated vs TNF-stimulated migrated LC, SCENIC (Aibar *et al.*, 2017) single cell regulatory network inference analysis was

performed (Figure 2A, z-score enrichment ≥ 0.1). Here, TNF stimulated LC displayed enrichment of the *IRF1* regulon (Figure 2B, z-score=0.2), which, along with the upregulated expression of *IRF1* from DEG analysis (Figure 2C, MAST), strongly highlighted this TF as being a candidate critical for immunogenic LC programming. In unstimulated LC, the most enriched regulon was *SOX4*, although this enrichment was more moderate (Figure 2A, z-score=0.1). Interestingly, *IRF4*, which has been demonstrated to be critical for both LC immunocompetent and tolerogenic programming (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020)(Davies *et al.*, 2019), displayed homogenous regulon enhancement and expression across both populations (Supplementary Figure 2A-B).

Whilst unstimulated LCs displayed significant upregulation of *KRAS* and enrichment of the *SOX4* regulon, these findings were relatively weak and less exclusive to unstimulated LC in contrast to the clear upregulation of *IRF1* in TNF α stimulated LC (Figure 2B&C, Supplementary Figure 2B). We therefore explored whether these TFs acted in accordance with core TF mediators of programming in migrated LC which have previously been associated with coordinating immunocompetent and tolerogenic regulation, including *IRF4*, *RELB*, *ELK1*, *KLF6* and *HMGN3* (Davies *et al.*, 2019). Using partial information decomposition analysis (PIDC) (Chan, Stumpf and Babbie, 2017) gene regulatory network inference of the 61 genes upregulated in unstimulated migrated LC, along with *KRAS*, *SOX4*, *IRF4*, *RELB*, *ELK1*, *KLF6* and *HMGN3*, a directed PIDC (TF $\rightarrow$ target gene edges only) network graph depicting regulatory interactions between TFs and target genes was generated (correlation score >1.5). Here, *KRAS* and *SOX4* could be observed to be components of a highly interconnected regulatory hub with *IRF4*, *RELB* and *ELK1* (Supplementary Figure 2C). This regulatory hub could be associated with controlling the expression of 33 unstimulated LC upregulated genes, highlighting its importance for

transcriptional programming in unstimulated LC (Supplementary Figure 2D). PIDC analysis was also performed to identify targets of *IRF1* within the TNF-upregulated gene list to discern the TFs influence on the transcriptomic programming on TNF-stimulated LC. Here, 64/87 (74%) TNF-upregulated genes were identified to be targets of *IRF1* (Figure 2D-E). Furthermore, PIDC analysis of *IRF1* along with the core migrated LC TFs and the 87 genes upregulated in TNF stimulated LC, suggested *IRF1* upregulation added an additional layer of regulation beneath the core network of *ELK1*, *RELB*, *IRF4* and *HMGN3* to mediate immunostimulatory programming. (Supplementary figure 2E).

Figure 2. IRF1 expression controls immunogenic transcriptional programming.
A. SCENIC regulatory network and inference clustering analysis revealed TF regulons which were enriched in unstimulated and TNF stimulated migrated LCs. Z-score heatmap (yellow -> blue) of enriched regulons are displayed (z-score>0.1).
B. UMAP marker plot displaying *IRF1* regulon enrichment (z-score) in individual LCs.
C. Violin plot displaying the level of transcriptomic expression of *IRF1* in unstimulated and TNF stimulated migrated LCs, MAST, ****= $p < 0.001$.
D. Venn Diagram displaying the overlap in TNF stimulated LC upregulated genes identified to be targets of *IRF1* in PIDC analysis (edge weight >1).
E. PIDC network graph displaying *IRF1* targets (edge weight >1) identified within TNF stimulated LC upregulated genes.

A toggle switch mathematical model predicts immunostimulatory vs tolerogenic

LC phenotypes from single cell transcriptomic data

Single cell analysis revealed distinct programming of unstimulated and TNF-stimulated LCs determined by differentially regulated TFs. To explore how the balance of LC phenotypes is controlled, we utilised a tri-stable toggle switch ODE model in which different activation programmes can be described based on the expression of a selected number of programme (immunogenic vs tolerogenic) defining TFs (Huang *et al.*, 2007). The ODE model contains 2 equations which each represent the activation of immunogenic (*I*) and tolerogenic (*T*) programmes, respectively (Figure 3A). Each equation contains 3 terms, which represent auto-amplification (dotted box), cross-inhibition of opposing programmes (dashed box) and first order state decay (solid box). The model therefore assumes that the regulatory programmes that define each programme auto-amplify their own expression, whilst inhibiting the expression of the opposite programme. The tri-stable model describes a phenotypic ‘attractor landscape’ in which LCs can fall into an immunogenic (A), a tolerogenic (B) or an ambivalent (C, equal ability to stimulate tolerogenic and immunogenic responses) state (Figure 3B). In the phase portrait, (A) and (B) therefore represent states in which the expression of TFs from either programme is dominant over the other, whilst (C) represents a state in which there is balanced expression of both immunogenic and tolerogenic programmes. The model can therefore be utilised on single cell data to predict the phenotypic state of individual LCs by plotting single LC trajectories in state space using single cell expression data z-scores of phenotype-defining TFs.

The model has been systematically tested by iterative application of distinct transcription factor combinations (Supplementary Table 2). For defining the immunogenic phenotype, *IRF1* alone or in combination with *IRF4* was tested. The inclusion of *IRF4* for immunogenic

239 regulation was based on previous analysis demonstrating the importance of *IRF4* for both
 240 immunizing and tolerizing T cell activation, as well as immunocompetent LC programming
 241 (Vander Lugt *et al.*, 2017)(Sirvent *et al.*, 2020), which was supported by our PIDC analysis
 242 which revealed extensive interconnectivity of these TFs. For defining the tolerogenic
 243 phenotype, combinations of *KRAS*, *SOX4*, *IRF4*, *RELB* and *ELK1* were investigated. To
 244 define the best model however, we reflected on which models best followed the hypothesis
 245 that unstimulated migrated LCs are mutually efficient at inducing immunogenic and
 246 tolerogenic responses. Likewise, the model predictions would need to reflect the differences
 247 in z-score signature enrichment observations, in which TNF stimulated LC exhibited an
 248 increase in immunogenic signatures and a reduction in tolerogenic signatures (Figure 1E).
 249 Overall, many model iterations depicted the observations that the TNF-stimulated LC
 250 population contain increased quantities of immunogenic LCs (Supplementary Table 2).
 251 However, model 14, in which both *IRF1* and *IRF4* depicted the immunogenic phenotype and
 252 *KRAS*, *SOX4*, *IRF4*, *RELB* and *ELK1* depicted the tolerogenic phenotype, was best at
 253 predicting results in line with both criteria (Figure 3C, Supplementary Table 2). Here, the
 254 relative quantities of immunogenic (34.93%), tolerogenic (33.60%) and ambivalent (31.47%)
 255 LCs in unstimulated LCs was equal, whilst TNF stimulated LCs displayed an increase in
 256 immunogenic (41.99%) and ambivalent (40.05%) programmed LCs and a decrease in
 257 tolerogenic (17.96%) LCs.

Figure 3. A toggle switch mathematical model predicts immunogenic vs tolerogenic LC phenotypes from single cell transcriptomic data.

A. Dynamical system representing the activation of immunogenic (I) and tolerogenic (T) programmes in LCs. The dotted box represents terms describing the auto-amplification of each respective programme. The dashed box represents terms describing the cross-inhibition from opposing programmes, whilst the solid box depicts the first-order decay rate (k) for each programme.

B. Phase portrait of the toggle switch model in which the two programmes (immunogenic and tolerogenic) auto-amplify their own expression and are mutually repressive. Black circles (A, B and C) represent end points for trajectories at stable attractors representing an immunogenic programme (A), tolerogenic programme (B) or an ambivalent programme (C).

C. Pie charts summarising the numbers and percentages of LC assigned to each phenotype through utilising the toggle-switch model for trajectory plotting. For each trajectory, representing an individual unstimulated or TNF-stimulated LC, the x-axis represents z-scores combining normalised *IRF1/IRF4* expression values; the y-axis represents the z-scores combining *SOX4*, *KRAS*, *IRF4*, *RELB* and *ELK1* expression values. Z-scores were scaled to fit phase portrait boundaries.

IRF1/IRF4 toggle-switch determines body-site specific differences in LC

immunogenic programming

278 We next sought to validate the power of the model to predict differences in transcriptomes
 279 from LCs of independent datasets, including a single cell dataset of migrated breast skin-
 280 derived and foreskin-derived LC. Comparative analysis of z-score enrichment for
 281 immunogenic vs tolerogenic signatures revealed that foreskin LCs more frequently display a
 282 predominant immunogenic phenotype (Figure 4A, $p=0.039$). This enhanced immunogenic
 283 programme in foreskin LCs could be seen in the expression of inflammatory pathway-
 284 associated transcripts, which importantly, included *IRF1* (Figure 4B). The model was then
 285 applied, using the same parameters and TFs as in Figure 3C, to test model predictions of
 286 immunogenic, tolerogenic and ambivalent populations amongst breast skin and foreskin-
 287 derived LC. Here the model predicted breast skin LCs to be 9.35% immunogenic, 37.92%
 288 tolerogenic and 52.73% ambivalent, whilst foreskin LCs were predicted to be 16.67%
 289 immunogenic, 29.17% tolerogenic and 54.17% ambivalent (Figure 4C). Model predictions of
 290 increased immunogenicity in foreskin LC therefore reflected transcriptomic observations in
 291 which foreskin derived LC display enhancement of immunogenic programming.

Figure 4. IRF1/IRF4 toggle-switch determines body-site specific differences in LC immunogenic programming.

A. Differences in z-scores (immunogenic – tolerogenic z-score) were calculated to quantify the proportion of breast derived skin and foreskin migrated LCs that display elevated immunogenic profiles. Unpaired t-test, $p < 0.05$.

B. Trackplots comparing the expression of transcripts associated with immunogenic LC function across breast skin derived and foreskin migrated LCs.

C. Pie charts summarising the numbers and percentages of LC assigned to each phenotype through utilising the toggle-switch model for trajectory plotting. For each trajectory, representing an individual breast-derived or foreskin-derived migratory LC, the x-axis represents z-scores combining normalised IRF1/IRF4 expression values; the y-axis represents the z-scores combining SOX4, KRAS, IRF4, RELB and ELK1 expression values. Z-scores were scaled to fit phase portrait boundaries.

DISCUSSION

Immune cell function and behaviour are encoded by unique transcriptomic expression profiles – transcriptional programmes (Xue *et al.*, 2014). Changes in the transcriptional programmes, which reflect status of health or disease or environmental signalling, are coordinated by gene regulatory networks (GRNs) in which transcription factors (TFs) play an

essential role (Singh, Khan and Dinner, 2014)(Lin *et al.*, 2015). However, large scale investigations into the activity of individual GRN components and interactions between specific modules which underlie different transcriptomic programmes, and in particular the kinetics in which those programmes are executed, are difficult to investigate using functional *in vitro* methods (Ay and Arnosti, 2011). Therefore, mathematical modelling techniques are increasingly being utilised to counter this problem and include methods such as ordinary differential equation (ODE) modelling and Petri net modelling (Loriaux and Hoffmann, 2012)(Livigni *et al.*, 2018). Mathematical modelling can permit investigations of dynamic biological systems *in silico* to assess how different molecular signals can alter regulatory network behaviour. For example, Petri net modelling has revealed the LC IRF-GRN underlying immunogenic immune activation in response to different stimuli (Polak *et al.*, 2017). However, Signalling Petri Net (SPN) and similar methods allow only qualitative assessment of network behaviour, and limit the strength of predictions. In contrast, ODE modelling has allowed exploration of small TF networks and specific network elements, such as positive feedback based switches, which can define cell lineage determination and operon activation (Huang *et al.*, 2007)(Gardner, Cantor and Collins, 2000).

In GRNs, TFs act in concert with each other to coordinate different expression programmes. However, specific cellular phenotypes are determined by the increased expression of specific phenotype-defining TFs. For example, in macrophages, whilst *NFKB1*, *JUNB* and *CREB1* define core programmes of activation, *STAT4* is specifically upregulated in the context of chronic inflammation, which correlates with increased expression of a unique gene expression programme (Xue *et al.*, 2014).

The plasticity of migrated LC to induce both immunogenic and tolerogenic adaptive T cell responses (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020)(Polak *et al.*, 2014)(Polak *et al.*, 2012)(Klechevsky *et al.*,

2008)(Davies *et al.*, 2019) has revealed the complexity in discerning the decision-making process of LCs to drive either immunogenic or tolerogenic responses and has highlighted the question as to how LCs skew T cell activation to favour responses that are preferential in different biological contexts such as inflammation. Here we analysed single cell transcriptomic data arising from unstimulated and TNF-stimulated migrated LC, to discern the divergent programming of LCs in response to inflammatory stimuli and uncover critical TFs which govern immunogenic gene regulation.

The epidermal inflammatory cytokine TNF is a powerful mediator of inflammation and its effects on enhancing LC activation and programming of immunogenic T cells has previously been demonstrated (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020)(Stoitzner *et al.*, 1999)(Théry and Amigorena, 2001). Here we demonstrate at the single cell level that, compared to unstimulated LCs, TNF causes divergent transcriptional programming characterised by upregulation of genes associated with inflammatory cytokine signalling processes and T cell activation, thus reflecting their enhanced immunogenic function *in vitro*. Interestingly whilst the effects of TNF were clear, there was still significant overlap between the stimulated and unstimulated populations, suggesting that common transcriptomic features, likely associated with migration and immunocompetency, were still present. Importantly, as highlighted from our analysis, the TF *IRF1* was revealed to be a critical component of the TNF-enhanced transcriptomic programme, which appeared to be projected onto the core migrated LC transcriptional network to enhance immunogenic programming. The association of *IRF1* with inflammatory pathway activation has been observed in other systems. In DCs, TLR-9-mediated *IRF1* induction leads to the induction of IFN γ and interferon-stimulated genes, driving efficient anti-viral immune responses (Schmitz *et al.*, 2007). *IRF1* activation in macrophages is associated with the polarisation of macrophages towards the pro-inflammatory M1 phenotype (Chistiakov *et al.*, 2018). In fibroblast like synoviocytes (FLS), which are implicated in the

inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis, TNF-mediated induction of *IRF1* leads to induction of inflammatory mediators, such as IFN γ (Bonelli et al., 2019). In contrast, *IRF4* has been conclusively demonstrated as a transcription factor critical for LC immunocompetent programming and DC capacity to induce immunogenic T cell activation (Vander Lugt et al., 2017)(Sirvent et al., 2020). Therefore, we hypothesised that together, *IRF1* and *IRF4* complementarily coordinate LC immunogenic programming. In our model, while expression of *IRF4* induces LC maturation and immune-competence, expression of *IRF1*, induced by TNF signalling, fine-tunes the programmes towards immunogenicity. Additionally, we revealed that in unstimulated LCs, *KRAS* and *SOX4* interact with components of a core network of TFs enhanced upon LC migration (*IRF4*, *RELB* and *ELK1*), previously demonstrated to be responsible for immunocompetent and tolerogenic regulation (Davies et al., 2019). This revealed the preference by unstimulated, migrated LC, for homeostatic regulation as compared to the immunogenic regulation enhanced in TNF-stimulated, migrated LC.

In vivo analysis of LC behaviour in humans is unfeasible and *in vitro* methods to observe phenotypic behaviours are constrained. The utilisation of mathematical modelling is therefore fundamental to augmenting comprehension of phenotypic programmes of LC *in situ*. Importantly, interpretation of transcriptomic observations in light of a well-established toggle-switch model of general cell fate specification (Huang et al., 2007) permitted an unprecedented opportunity to explore the determinants regulating immunogenic vs tolerogenic programmes in LC. Analysis of this model indicates that 3 stable phenotypes are possible, which could reflect the phenotypic landscape in which LC can adopt predominantly immunogenic or tolerogenic programmes, or an intermediate ambivalent programme, in which immunogenic and tolerogenic activation are mutually present and in balance. Such “multilineage priming” is common in cell fate switches and may have an important role in

regulating LC fate decisions. Using the model in which *IRF1/IRF4* determine immunogenicity and *KRAS/SOX4/IRF4/RELB/ELK1* determine tolerogenicity, we demonstrated that model predictions were reflective of our transcriptomic data. Moreover, the model allowed prediction of *in vitro* phenotypic features of enhanced immunogenicity in TNF stimulated LC (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020)(Polak *et al.*, 2014).

The foreskin microenvironment is associated with increased need for effective anti-microbial responses and is reported to be a pro-inflammatory/ immunologically active tissue marked by elevated pro-inflammatory cytokines and infiltration of effector immune cells (Prodger *et al.*, 2012)(Sennepin *et al.*, 2017)(Zhou *et al.*, 2011)(Gray *et al.*, 2020). Apart from baseline and mitogen-induced TNF and IFN γ secretion by foreskin CD8 T cells being higher than levels secreted by CD8 T cells in the blood (Prodger *et al.*, 2012), the foreskin is most likely in a consistent state of inflammation being driven by infiltrating T cells and elevated LC's upon exposure to a multitude of microbial stimuli (Gray *et al.*, 2020). These inflammatory-associated characteristics of the foreskin site were reflected in transcriptomic observations made during comparison of LC derived from breast skin and foreskin, in which immunogenic programming was enhanced in foreskin LC. Here, we again showed that model predictions were reflective of transcriptomic observations, highlighting the power of the model across anatomically diverse LC datasets.

Overall, we have shown that epidermal signalling, such as pro-inflammatory TNF, can modulate the proportion of LCs exhibiting different immunological programmes. This may therefore, reflect how LCs balance the need for different immunological responses to diverse biological stimuli. Furthermore, we have highlighted specific TF regulators critical for the modulation of both immunogenic and tolerogenic LC programmes, which, when translated into a mathematical model, have demonstrated the potential to predict LC phenotypes across different LC transcriptomic datasets. This opens opportunities to apply the model for

predicting LC activation states and behaviour across different biological contexts in health and disease, and provides a tool for assessment of LC activation status in human skin.

METHODS

Human LC isolation: Human skin abdominoplasty samples were collected with written consent from donors with approval by the South East Coast - Brighton & Sussex Research Ethics Committee in adherence to Helsinki Guidelines [ethical approvals: REC approval: 16/LO/0999]. Fat and lower dermis was cut away and discarded before dispase (2 U/ml, Gibco, UK, 20h, +4°C) digestion. Foreskin tissue was collected from the Medical Male Circumcision HIV prevention programme in Cape Town, South Africa. Tissue was collected with consent and approved by the University of Cape Town [ethics approvals HREC: 566/2012]. Inner and outer foreskin was dissected and processed in an identical manner to the abdominoplasty samples. Migrated LCs were extracted from epidermal explant sheets cultured in media (RPMI, Gibco, UK, 5% FBS, Invitrogen, UK, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin, Sigma, UK) for 48 hours. Migrated LC were purified through fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). TNF stimulated migrated LCs were incubated for 24 hours with 25ng/ml TNF α . Antibodies used for cell staining were pre-titrated and used at optimal concentrations. A FACS Aria flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, USA) and FlowJo software was used for analysis. For FACS purification LCs were stained for CD207 (anti-CD207 PeVio700), CD1a (anti-CD1a VioBlue) and HLA-DR (anti-HLA-DR Viogreen, Miltenyi Biotech, UK).

Drop-seq: After FACS purification, single LCs were co-encapsulated with primer coated barcoded Bead SeqB (Chemgenes, USA) within 1 nL droplets (Drop-seq). Drop-seq microfluidic devices according to the design of Macosko *et al.* were fabricated by soft

lithography, oxygen plasma bonded to glass slides and functionalised with fluorinated silane (1% (v/v) trichloro(1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyl)silane in HFE-7500 carrier oil). Open instrumentation syringe pumps and microscopes (see [dropletkitchen.github.io](https://github.com/dropletkitchen)) were used to generate and observe droplets, using conditions and concentrations according to the Drop-seq protocol, 607 steady-state LC and 208 migrated LC from mastectomy skin were converted into 'STAMPs' for PCR library amplification (High Sensitivity DNA Assay, Agilent Bioanalyser) and tagmentation (Nextera XT, Illumina, UK). Sequencing of libraries was executed using NextSeq on a paired end run (1.5x10E5 reads for maximal coverage) at the Wessex Investigational Sciences Hub laboratory, University of Southampton.

Transcriptomic data analysis: The Drop-seq protocol from the McCarroll lab was followed for converting sequencer output into gene expression data. The bcl2fastq tool from Illumina was used to demultiplex files, remove UMIs from reads and deduce captured transcript reads. Reads were then aligned to human hg19 reference genome using STAR. Analyses was performed using the python-based Scanpy pipeline(version 1.5.0), (Wolf, Angerer and Theis, 2018). High quality barcodes, discriminated from background RNA barcodes, were selected based on the overall UMI distribution using EmptyDrops (Lun *et al.*, 2019). Low quality cells, with a high fraction of counts from mitochondrial genes (20% or more) indicating stressed or dying cells were removed. In addition, genes with expression detected in <10 cells were excluded. Datasets were normalised using scran, using rpy2 within python (Lun, Bach and Marioni, 2016). Highly variable genes (top 2000) were selected using distribution criteria: min_mean=0, max_mean=4, min_disp=0.1. A single-cell neighbourhood graph was computed on the first principal components that sufficiently explain the variation in the data using 10 nearest neighbours. Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) was performed for dimensionality reduction. The Leiden algorithm (Traag, Waltman and van Eck,

2019) was used to identify clusters within cell populations (Leiden $r = 0.5$, $n_pcs=30$). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between cell clusters were identified using MAST (FDR corrected p -value <0.01 , $\log FC>1$). Gene ontology analysis was performed using Toppgene (FDR corrected p -value <0.05), describing biological pathways associated with gene lists. Z-scores for tolerogenic and immunogenic gene signatures were calculated for each single LC. Tolerogenic signature was composed of genes identified to be associated with DC tolerogenic function and previously shown to be enriched in tolerogenic migrated LC (Davies *et al.*, 2019). The immunogenic signature was composed of 0-24 hour TNF α stimulated LC upregulated DEGs, identified from bulk RNA-seq data (Sirvent *et al.*, 2020). To differentiate LC with predominantly immunogenic or tolerogenic transcriptomic expression profiles immunogenic – tolerogenic z-scores were calculated for each single cell. The more positive the difference in z-score values, the more immunogenic and the more negative the difference in z-scores, the more tolerogenic. Regulatory network inference analysis was performed using single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering (SCENIC) within python (Aibar *et al.*, 2017).

Directional PIDC: Notebooks from Chan *et al.* were adapted for the analysis and run using Julia V 1.0.5 in Jupyter Notebook. Directional network inference of *IRF1* with TNF stimulated LC upregulated DEGs was performed using PIDC algorithm (Chan, Stumpf and Babbie, 2017) using scan-normalised expression data. Inference of unstimulated and TNF stimulated migrated LC TF -> target networks was performed using scan-normalised expression data of core LC TFs (Davies *et al.*, 2019), plus *IRF1* in TNF stimulated LC and the upregulated DEGs for unstimulated and TNF stimulated LCs, respectively. Edge weights were exported, and sorted to include only transcription factors as targets. Hierarchical network was visualised using yED.

486

487 **Mathematical modelling:** The toggle-switch ODE model was adapted from Huang et al.
 488 (Huang *et al.*, 2007), in which the observed functional interactions are depicted in an
 489 'influence' network, rather than molecular mechanisms of interaction. The model is
 490 constructed from two first order ODEs which govern changes in immunogenic and tolerogenic
 491 programmes respectively. Each ODE is composed of 3 terms, with the regulatory influences
 492 modelled using Hill functions to describe sigmoidal associations. The first term describes
 493 auto-amplification of each programme; the second term describes the cross inhibition
 494 between opposing programmes; the final term allows for programme decay at a constant
 495 rate.

496 To make a more parsimonious model we assumed that the parameters that characterise
 497 generic interactions are constant (i.e. $a, b, k=1$, $n=4$ and $\theta=0.5$) in accordance with these
 498 parameters creating a stable attractor landscape containing 3 states as described in (Huang
 499 et al.).

500

501 Analysis and plotting of the ODE model was performed within MATLAB (Mathworks, Inc.).
 502 Trajectories were found using the ode45 solver and phase portraits were produced using the
 503 `quiver` command. TF expression values or z-scores representing expression of multiple
 504 TFs in each single cell were exported from Scanpy scRNA-seq analysis, scaled to fit phase
 505 portrait boundaries and then utilised as time 0 starting points from which trajectories were
 506 calculated and plotted. The total number of cells trajectories ending at each of the 3 attractors
 507 after simulation was quantified and then plotted as pie charts in GraphPad Prism 8 software
 508 for comparison.

509

Data Sharing Statement: For original data, please contact m.e.polak@soton.ac.uk. RNA-sequencing data are available at GEO under accession number GSE166079.

Acknowledgments:

We acknowledge the use of the IRIDIS High Performance Computing Facility and Flow Cytometry Core Facilities, together with support services at the University of Southampton. The study was funded by a Sir Hendy Dale Fellowship from Wellcome Trust, 109377/Z/15/Z. Development of single cell Drop-Seq technology was funded by MRC grant MC_PC_15078. Foreskin LC isolation was funded by a South African NRF Thuthuka funding grant, TTK150624120787.

Supplementary material

TNF signalling fine-tunes Langerhans cell transcriptional programmes associated with adaptive immunity.

James Davies¹, Andres F. Vallejo¹, Sofia Sirvent¹, Gemma Porter¹, Kalum Clayton¹, Yamkela Qumbelo², Patrick Stumpf³, Jonathan West^{4,5}, Clive M. Gray², Nyaradzo T.L Chigorimbo-Murefu², Ben MacArthur^{4,5} and Marta E Polak^{1,5*}

Supplementary Table 1. Gene lists of the LC immunogenic signature derived from (Sirvent et al. 2020) and tolerogenic signature derived from (Davies et al. 2019).

Supplementary Table 2. Toggle-switch model iterations utilising different combinations of immunogenic vs tolerogenic defining TFs in LCs.

Supplementary figure 1. TNF enhances immunogenic transcriptional programming in migratory LC. **A.** UMAP dimensionality reduction analysis of epidermal cell populations detected 3 distinct subclusters of cells. **B.** Top 10 markers genes for clusters 1-3 (t-test, ScanPy pipeline, version=1.5.0), revealed populations to be LCs (cluster 1), melanocytes (cluster 2) and T cells (cluster 3) **C.** UMAP marker plots displaying the expression of the LC marker *HLA-DRB1*, the T cell marker *CD3D* and the melanocyte marker *TYRP1*. **D.** Heatmap displaying the 61 upregulated DEGs in unstimulated migrated LCs and 87 upregulated DEGs in TNF stimulated migrated LCs (FDR corrected $p < 0.01$, $\log FC > 1$). Gene ontology analysis (Toppgene) results are displayed alongside for unstimulated and TNF stimulated migrated LC upregulated DEGs ($-\log_{10}$ FDR corrected p -values) **E.** Violin plot of *KRAS* expression in unstimulated and TNFα stimulated migrated LC.

Supplementary figure 2. IRF1 expression controls immunogenic transcriptional programming. **A.** UMAP marker plot displaying *IRF4* regulon enhancement (z-scores) within individual LCs. **B.** Violin plot of *IRF4* expression in unstimulated and TNF stimulated migrated LCs. MAST, ****= $p < 0.001$. **C.** PIDC network graph displaying connectivity (edge weight >1.5) between a regulatory module comprising of *SOX4*, *KRAS*, *IRF4*, *RELB* and *ELK1* in unstimulated migrated LCs. **D.** PIDC network graph comprising 38 nodes (5 TFs, 33 output genes) and 107 edges with weight >1.5, hierarchically organized, displaying predicted regulatory modules for the regulatory TF module from Supplementary Figure 2C along with upregulated DEGs in unstimulated LCs. **E.** PIDC network graph comprising 58 nodes (5 TFs, 53 output genes) and 122 edges with weight >1.5, hierarchically organized, displaying predicted regulatory modules for *IRF1* and TFs core to migrated LC (*IRF4*, *HMGN3*, *ELK1* and *RELB*), along with upregulated DEGs in TNF stimulated migrated LCs.

REFERENCES

- Aibar, S. *et al.* (2017) 'SCENIC: Single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering', *Nature Methods*. Nature Publishing Group, 14(11), pp. 1083–1086. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4463.
- Ay, A. and Arnosti, D. N. (2011) 'Mathematical modeling of gene expression: A guide for the perplexed biologist', *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. NIH Public Access, pp. 137–151. doi: 10.3109/10409238.2011.556597.
- Banchereau, J. and Steinman, R. M. (1998) 'Dendritic cells and the control of immunity', *Nature*. Nature Publishing Group, 392(6673), pp. 245–252. doi: 10.1038/32588.
- Barker, J. N. W. N. *et al.* (1991) 'Keratinocytes as initiators of inflammation', *The Lancet*. Elsevier, 337(8735), pp. 211–214. doi: 10.1016/0140-6736(91)92168-2.
- Berthier-Vergnes, O. *et al.* (2005) 'TNF- α enhances phenotypic and functional maturation of human epidermal Langerhans cells and induces IL-12 p40 and IP-10/CXCL-10 production', *FEBS Letters*. No longer published by Elsevier, 579(17), pp. 3660–3668. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2005.04.087.
- Chan, T. E., Stumpf, M. P. H. and Babbie, A. C. (2017) 'Gene Regulatory Network Inference from Single-Cell Data Using Multivariate Information Measures', *Cell Systems*. Cell Press, 5(3), pp. 251-267.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2017.08.014.
- Clausen, B. E. and Stoitzner, P. (2015) 'Functional specialization of skin dendritic cell subsets in regulating T cell responses', *Frontiers in Immunology*. Frontiers Media S.A., 6(OCT), p. 534. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00534.
- Clayton, K. *et al.* (2017) 'Langerhans Cells—Programmed by the Epidermis', *Frontiers in Immunology*. Frontiers, 8, p. 1676. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01676.
- Cumberbatch, M. *et al.* (1999) 'Tumour necrosis factor- α induces Langerhans cell migration in humans', *British Journal of Dermatology*. Br J Dermatol, 141(2), pp. 192–200. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2133.1999.02964.x.
- Cumberbatch, M., Dearman, R. J. and Kimber, I. (1997) *Langerhans cells require signals from both tumour necrosis factor- α and interleukin-1 β for migration*, *Immunology*.
- Davies, J. *et al.* (2019) 'Single cell transcriptomic analysis identifies Langerhans cells immunocompetency is critical for IDO1- dependent ability to induce tolerogenic T cells', *bioRxiv*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, p. 2019.12.20.884130. doi: 10.1101/2019.12.20.884130.

598 Epaulard, O. *et al.* (2014) 'Macrophage- and Neutrophil-Derived TNF- α Instructs Skin
599 Langerhans Cells To Prime Antiviral Immune Responses', *The Journal of Immunology*. The
600 American Association of Immunologists, 193(5), pp. 2416–2426. doi:
601 10.4049/jimmunol.1303339.

602 Gardner, T. S., Cantor, C. R. and Collins, J. J. (2000) 'Construction of a genetic toggle
603 switch in *Escherichia coli*', *Nature*. Nature Publishing Group, 403(6767), pp. 339–342. doi:
604 10.1038/35002131.

605 Gray, C. M. *et al.* (2020) 'Impact of chemokine C–C ligand 27, foreskin anatomy and
606 sexually transmitted infections on HIV-1 target cell availability in adolescent South African
607 males', *Mucosal Immunology*. Springer Nature, 13(1), pp. 118–127. doi: 10.1038/s41385-
608 019-0209-6.

609 Hjorton, K. *et al.* (2018) 'Cytokine production by activated plasmacytoid dendritic cells and
610 natural killer cells is suppressed by an IRAK4 inhibitor', *Arthritis Research and Therapy*.
611 BioMed Central Ltd., 20(1), p. 238. doi: 10.1186/s13075-018-1702-0.

612 Hoffmann, A. *et al.* (2002) 'The I κ B-NF- κ B signaling module: Temporal control and selective
613 gene activation', *Science*. American Association for the Advancement of Science,
614 298(5596), pp. 1241–1245. doi: 10.1126/science.1071914.

615 Huang, S. *et al.* (2007) 'Bifurcation dynamics in lineage-commitment in bipotent progenitor
616 cells', *Developmental Biology*. Academic Press Inc., 305(2), pp. 695–713. doi:
617 10.1016/j.ydbio.2007.02.036.

618 Kitashima, D. Y. *et al.* (2018) 'Langerhans Cells Prevent Autoimmunity via Expansion of
619 Keratinocyte Antigen-Specific Regulatory T Cells', *EBioMedicine*, 27, pp. 293–303. doi:
620 10.1016/j.ebiom.2017.12.022.

621 Klechevsky, E. *et al.* (2008) 'Functional specializations of human epidermal Langerhans
622 cells and CD14+ dermal dendritic cells.', *Immunity*. NIH Public Access, 29(3), pp. 497–510.
623 doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008.07.013.

624 Lin, Q. *et al.* (2015) 'Epigenetic program and transcription factor circuitry of dendritic cell
625 development', *Nucleic Acids Research*. Oxford University Press, 43(20), p. gkv1056. doi:
626 10.1093/nar/gkv1056.

627 Livigni, A. *et al.* (2018) 'A graphical and computational modeling platform for biological
628 pathways', *Nature Protocols*. Nature Publishing Group, 13(4), pp. 705–722. doi:
629 10.1038/nprot.2017.144.

630 Loriaux, P. M. and Hoffmann, A. (2012) 'A framework for modeling the relationship between
631 cellular steady-state and stimulus-responsiveness.', *Methods in cell biology*. NIH Public

632 Access, 110, pp. 81–109. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-388403-9.00004-7.

633 Vander Lugt, B. *et al.* (2017) 'Transcriptional determinants of tolerogenic and immunogenic
634 states during dendritic cell maturation', *The Journal of cell biology*, 216(3), pp. 779–792.
635 doi: 10.1083/jcb.201512012.

636 Lun, A. T. L. *et al.* (2019) 'EmptyDrops: Distinguishing cells from empty droplets in droplet-
637 based single-cell RNA sequencing data', *Genome Biology*. BioMed Central Ltd., 20(1), p.
638 63. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1662-y.

639 Lun, A. T. L., Bach, K. and Marioni, J. C. (2016) 'Pooling across cells to normalize single-
640 cell RNA sequencing data with many zero counts', *Genome Biology*. BioMed Central Ltd.,
641 17(1), p. 75. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-0947-7.

642 Nestle, F. O. *et al.* (2009) 'Skin immune sentinels in health and disease.', *Nature reviews*.
643 *Immunology*. NIH Public Access, 9(10), pp. 679–91. doi: 10.1038/nri2622.

644 Polak, M. E. *et al.* (2012) 'CD70-CD27 interaction augments CD8+ T-cell activation by
645 human epidermal Langerhans cells.', *The Journal of investigative dermatology*. Elsevier,
646 132(6), pp. 1636–44. doi: 10.1038/jid.2012.26.

647 Polak, M. E. *et al.* (2014) 'Distinct Molecular Signature of Human Skin Langerhans Cells
648 Denotes Critical Differences in Cutaneous Dendritic Cell Immune Regulation', *Journal of*
649 *Investigative Dermatology*, 134(3), pp. 695–703. doi: 10.1038/jid.2013.375.

650 Polak, M. E. *et al.* (2017) 'Petri Net computational modelling of Langerhans cell Interferon
651 Regulatory Factor Network predicts their role in T cell activation', *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), p.
652 668. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-00651-5.

653 Prodger, J. L. *et al.* (2012) 'Foreskin T-cell subsets differ substantially from blood with
654 respect to HIV co-receptor expression, inflammatory profile, and memory status', *Mucosal*
655 *Immunology*. NIH Public Access, 5(2), pp. 121–128. doi: 10.1038/mi.2011.56.

656 Reis e Sousa, C., Stahl, P. D. and Austyn, J. M. (1993) *Phagocytosis of antigens by*
657 *langerhans cells in vitro*, *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. doi: 10.1084/jem.178.2.509.

658 Seneschal, J. *et al.* (2012) 'Human epidermal Langerhans cells maintain immune
659 homeostasis in skin by activating skin resident regulatory T cells.', *Immunity*. NIH Public
660 Access, 36(5), pp. 873–84. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.03.018.

661 Sennepin, A. *et al.* (2017) 'The human penis is a genuine immunological effector site',
662 *Frontiers in Immunology*. Frontiers Media S.A., 8(DEC), p. 1732. doi:
663 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01732.

664 Singh, H., Khan, A. A. and Dinner, A. R. (2014) 'Gene regulatory networks in the immune
665 system', *Trends in Immunology*. Elsevier Current Trends, 35(5), pp. 211–218. doi:

666 10.1016/J.IT.2014.03.006.

667 Singh, T. P. *et al.* (2016) 'Monocyte-derived inflammatory Langerhans cells and dermal
668 dendritic cells mediate psoriasis-like inflammation', *Nature Communications*. Nature
669 Publishing Group, 7(1), pp. 1–18. doi: 10.1038/ncomms13581.

670 Sirvent, S. *et al.* (2020) 'Genomic programming of IRF4-expressing human Langerhans
671 cells', *Nature Communications*. Nature Research, 11(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41467-
672 019-14125-x.

673 Stoitzner, P. *et al.* (1999) 'Migration of langerhans cells and dermal dendritic cells in skin
674 organ cultures: augmentation by TNF-alpha and IL-1beta.', *Journal of leukocyte biology*,
675 66(3), pp. 462–70. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10496317> (Accessed:
676 17 January 2019).

677 Théry, C. and Amigorena, S. (2001) 'The cell biology of antigen presentation in dendritic
678 cells', *Current Opinion in Immunology*. Elsevier Current Trends, 13(1), pp. 45–51. doi:
679 10.1016/S0952-7915(00)00180-1.

680 Traag, V. A., Waltman, L. and van Eck, N. J. (2019) 'From Louvain to Leiden: guaranteeing
681 well-connected communities', *Scientific Reports*. Nature Publishing Group, 9(1), pp. 1–12.
682 doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-41695-z.

683 Werner, S. L., Barken, D. and Hoffmann, A. (2005) 'Stimulus Specificity of Gene Expression
684 Programs Determined by Temporal Control of IKK Activity', *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*
685 John Wiley & Sons, 16(11), pp. 7–130. doi: 10.1126/science.1112304.

686 Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. and Theis, F. J. (2018) 'SCANPY: Large-scale single-cell gene
687 expression data analysis', *Genome Biology*. BioMed Central Ltd., 19(1), p. 15. doi:
688 10.1186/s13059-017-1382-0.

689 Xue, J. *et al.* (2014) 'Resource Transcriptome-Based Network Analysis Reveals a Spectrum
690 Model of Human Macrophage Activation'. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.01.006.

691 Zhou, Z. *et al.* (2011) 'HIV-1 Efficient Entry in Inner Foreskin Is Mediated by Elevated
692 CCL5/RANTES that Recruits T Cells and Fuels Conjugate Formation with Langerhans
693 Cells', *PLoS Pathogens*. Edited by G. Silvestri. Public Library of Science, 7(6), p.
694 e1002100. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002100.

695