celldeath: a tool for detection of cell death in transmitted light microscopy images by deep learning-based visual recognition

Alejandro La Greca^{*a**} Nelba Pérez^{*a**} Sheila Castañeda^{*a*} Paula Melania Milone,^{*a*} María Agustina Scarafía^{*a*} Alan Miqueas Möbbs^{*a*} Ariel Waisman^{*a,b*} Lucía Moro^{*a,b*} Gustavo Sevlever^{*a*} Carlos Luzzani^{*a,b*} Santiago Gabriel Miriuka^{*a,b*‡}

^{*a*}Laboratorio de Investigación Aplicada a Neurociencias, FLENI-CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

^bConsejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

* These authors contributed equally to this work

‡ Corresponding author: smiriuka@fleni.org.ar

Lead Contact

Santiago Gabriel Miriuka

Running title

Cell death detection by deep learning.

Competing interest

The authors declare no competing interest.

celldeath: a tool for detection of cell death in transmitted light microscopy images by deep learning-based visual recognition

Alejandro La Greca^{*a**}, Nelba Pérez^{*a**}, Sheila Castañeda^{*a*}, Paula Melania Milone,^{*a*}, María Agustina Scarafía^{*a*}, Alan Miqueas Möbbs^{*a*}, Ariel Waisman^{*a,b*}, Lucía Moro^{*a,b*}, Gustavo Sevlever^{*a*}, Carlos Luzzani^{*a,b*}, Santiago Gabriel Miriuka^{*a,b*‡}

^aLaboratorio de Investigación Aplicada a Neurociencias, FLENI-CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina. ^bConsejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

* These authors contributed equally to this work

‡ Corresponding author: smiriuka@fleni.org.ar

Abstract

Cell death experiments are routinely done in many labs around the world, these experiments are the backbone of many assays for drug development. Cell death detection is usually performed in many ways, and requires time and reagents. However, cell death is preceded by slight morphological changes in cell shape and texture. In this paper, we trained a neural network to classify cells undergoing cell death. We found that the network was able to highly predict cell death after one hour of exposure to camptothecin. Moreover, this prediction largely outperforms human ability. Finally, we provide a simple python tool that can broadly be used to detect cell death.

Keywords: cell death, apoptosis, deep learning, machine learning, artificial intelligence, computer vision, neural networks, microscopy

1 Introduction

In the past few years there has been an in-2 creasing interest in artificial intelligence. The combination of newer algorithms for mod-4 elling biological data and increasing com-5 putational capacities have sparked an over-6 whelming amount of research for academic 7 and biomedical purposes (Lee et al., 2017). 8 In particular, deep learning (DL) models in-9 spired in neural networks (NN) have proved to 10 be powerful. These models, called convolu-11 tional neural networks (CNN), employ back-12 propagation algorithms to reconfigure its pa-13 rameters in successive layers while attempt-14

Preprint submitted to BioRxiv

ing to represent the input data (LeCun et al., 15 2015), allowing them to classify complex and 16 large sets of information, including digital images. Therefore, one of the most active fields 18 is image recognition (Camacho et al., 2018; 19 Voulodimos et al., 2018). 20

Cell death is a complex event found in nor-21 mal and pathological contexts (D'Arcy, 2019). 22 For this reason, it is widely studied in biomed-23 ical research and it is a hallmark of many ex-24 periments, particularly in the context of drug 25 discovery (Kabore et al., 2004; Merino et al., 26 2018). Many different assays have been de-27 veloped in the past decades in order to analyse 28 cell death. All of them involve the analysis of 29

February 8, 2021

particular features of a dying cell, including 30 DNA fragmentation, cell membrane protein 31 flipping, protein modifications, etc (Elmore, 32 2007; Majtnerová and Roušar, 2018; Kay and 33 Fairn, 2019). In any case, there is need for time 34 and money in order to perform these assays. 35 An interesting approach by Chen and collab-36 orators using weakly supervised CNN models 37 demonstrated that they could confidently de-38 tect and count dead cells in brightfield images 39 of cell cultures (Chen et al., 2019). 40

Recently, we published that NN can be 41 used to classify transmitted light microscopy 42 (TLM) images of differentiating pluripotent 43 stem cells at one hour and even less, with an 44 accuracy higher than 99% (Waisman et al., 45 2019). Hence, we demonstrated that apply-46 ing DL over TLM images can be a power-47 ful technology for specific purposes: we can 48 identify the early stages of complex processes 49 like differentiation or cell death, with nearly no 50 money spent and with high precision. Exper-51 imental confirmation of these processes other-52 wise would require the use of an assay often 53 involving time and money in several orders of 54 magnitude. We are confident that our expe-55 rience and that of many others will radically 56 change the way fields in biology are engaged 57 (Webb, 2018; Moen et al., 2019). 58

In the present work we aimed to develop a 59 simple tool for easy, fast and accurate clas-60 sification of cell death in culture using TLM 61 images. We believe that this tool can be used 62 in any scientific lab running cell death experi-63 ments, particularly in those cases when mas-64 sive and repetitive experimental settings are 65 needed such as drug screening in cancer re-66 search. 67

68 **Results**

⁶⁹ We defined a cell death model in all cell ⁷⁰ lines used in this work -three pluripotent stem cell (PSC) lines and four cancer cell (CC) 71 lines- by incubating them with camptothecin 72 (CPT), a topoisomerase I inhibitor. We have 73 previously demonstrated that this molecule in-74 duces a very rapid cell death signaling in hu-75 man embryonic stem cells that derives in apop-76 tosis García et al. (2014). In each of the seven 77 cell lines we titrated drug concentration and 78 exposure time and took TLM images hourly in 79 both DMSO (vehicle) and CPT-treated cells. 80

To confirm that these cell lines were un-81 dergoing apoptosis we performed different 82 Inhibition of topoisomerase I reassays. 83 sults in replication-dependent DNA double 84 strand breaks (DBSs) Strumberg et al. (2000), 85 which lead to the phosphorylation of H2AX 86 $(\gamma H2AX)$ and activation of tumour suppres-87 sor protein p53 Sedelnikova et al. (2003); Sor-88 det et al. (2009). Consistently, iPS1 pluripo-89 tent stem cells treated with CPT $1\mu M$ for 90 1.5h showed an increment in nuclear signal of 91 γ H2AX as well as accumulation of p53 (Fig 92 1A). Compared to vehicle, the distributions of 93 nuclear signals were significantly different for 94 both marks (Fig 1B). We observed similar re-95 sults in H9 embryonic stem cells and in iPS2 96 induced pluripotent stem cells. 97

Significant CPT-dependent activation and 98 nuclear localization of γ H2AX and p53 (vs. 99 DMSO) were also found in MCF7 cancer cell 100 line at 6h of treatment (Fig 1C and D). All 101 CC lines showed similar results between 3 and 102 6h of treatment with CPT. Interestingly, al-103 though CC lines generally evince high prolif-104 eration rates, they were practically unaffected 105 by $1\mu M$ treatment with CPT and a concen-106 tration of $10\mu M$ was necessary to induce the 107 apoptogenic signaling. 108

Longer treatments with CPT resulted in a 109 steady γ H2AX and p53 nuclear signal in iPS1 110 and MCF7 cells compared to vehicle (S1 FigA 111 and B), indicating that CPT treatment effectively triggers a sustained response to damaged 113

¹¹⁴ DNA in both PSC and CC lines.

Apoptosis is a complex process and one 115 of its earliest characteristic features is phos-116 phatidylserine (PS) exposure on the outer side 117 of the cell membrane Nagata et al. (2016). 118 Identification of PS residues on the surface of 119 intact cells through its interaction with An-120 nexin V protein enables detection of early 121 stages of apoptosis by flow cytometry analy-122 sis. Treatment with CPT between 3 and 6h sig-123 nificantly increased the percentage of PS⁺/7-124 AAD⁻ cells (Q3) compared to vehicle in both 125 iPS1 and MCF7 cells (Fig 1E and F, respec-126 tively). Positive values for each quadrant were 127 determined using single stained and double 128 stained untreated samples (S1 FigC and D). 129

Taken together, these results indicate that
CPT treatment induced damage to DNA which
eventually resulted in cell death by apoptosis
in PSC and CC lines.

134 CNN training and overall performance

Transmitted light microscopy images from 135 all cell lines were taken at 1, 2 and 3h post 136 induction of cell death with CPT. Minor mor-137 phological changes, if any, are observed by the 138 first hour for all cell lines (Fig 2). In fact, 139 deep and thorough observation is needed to 140 capture subtle alterations in a few cell lines. 141 For example, some degree of cell-to-cell de-142 tachment was registered in PSC lines as well as 143 in T47D cells, and in PC3 cells, increased cell 144 volume was observed in a portion of the im-145 ages. However, none of these were markedly 146 noticeable features and they were only present 147 in a fraction of the images. Although later 148 timepoints evinced more pronounced morpho-149 logical changes (cell shrinkage, further de-150 tachment, nuclear condensation), they were 151 not easily or readily detected without proper 152 preparation. 153

¹⁵⁴ Considering these minor morphological ¹⁵⁵ changes, we challenged 5 experienced researchers (who had never seen the images be-156 fore) to correctly classify a randomly-picked 157 set of 50 1h images (pre-training) as CPT or 158 DMSO (vehicle). After the initial trial (with-159 out revealing performance), we "trained" the 160 researchers by showing them 500 labelled im-161 ages (CPT or DMSO) and then asked them to 162 classify a new set of 50 images (post-training). 163 Selection of images for trials and trainings 164 was performed regardless of cell line or treat-165 ment. Classification performance by investiga-166 tors before and after training was completely 167 random (close to 50% correct answers), indi-168 cating that they failed to retrieve specific fea-169 tures which unequivocally identified each la-170 bel (Fig 3A, grey bars). Moreover, decision 171 making was mostly independent of image-172 related biases as very few "all incorrect" an-173 swers were registered for any given image (S2 174 Fig). 175

To assess whether deep learning-based 176 models could outdo human performance in 177 the early assay-free detection of cell death 178 features, we trained a Convolutional Neural 179 Network (CNN) using 1h CPT- and DMSO-180 treated images from all cell lines. The trained 181 CNN was able to correctly classify between 9 182 and 10 out of 10 images in the validation and 183 test sets (98.18pm0.33% and 96.56pm0.24%) 184 accuracy, respectively; see Methods for def-185 inition on validation and test sets) (Fig 3A, 186 blue bars). Results presented here are based 187 on ResNet50 NN architecture, though other ar-188 chitectures showed similar results (ResNet34: 189 98% accuracy during validation and 95% in 190 test) (S3 FigA). While CNN robustness has 191 been extensively tested in many situations 192 Anzanello and Fogliatto (2011), learning is-193 sues due to model set up -namely underfitting 194 and overfitting Pérez-Enciso and Zingaretti 195 (2019)- are not uncommon and they are of-196 ten associated to an unsuitable number of user-197 defined parameters for representing input data 198

(too few or too many). Incremental learn-199 ing of our CNN through each epoch (iterative 200 process by which all samples in dataset took 201 part in updating weights and parameters of the 202 model) was diagnosed by simultaneously as-203 sessing the Loss function in the training and 204 validation sets (Fig 3B). A minimum value in 205 Loss function was achieved within 50 epochs, 206 when both the training and validation sets con-207 verged at a loss value close to zero (stabi-208 lization). Extended training periods (over 200 209 epochs) did not dramatically improve accuracy 210 values (S3 FigA) or loss function outcome (S3 21 FigB). 212

Learning curves (loss function) clearly 213 showed that our model was not only suitable, 214 but also capable of learning from input data 215 (i.e. non-flat training curves) which is not the 216 case in underfitted models. However, reduced 217 generalization capabilities of the model (over-218 fitting) are sometimes more difficult to detect 219 considering that in fact the model is learning 220 too well from training set. To test for this 221 possibility we trained our model for over 100 222 epochs and found a potential inflection point 223 (validation curve starts to increase over train-224 ing curve) around 280 (S3 FigB), which sug-225 gests that our model was well-fitted and only 226 exhibited overfitting if trained for excessive 227 periods of time. 228

229 CNN identifies very early features of cell death

Grouping all cell lines and training the NN 230 with only two classes (or labels), reduced po-231 tential outcomes to a binary choice between 232 CPT or DMSO (vehicle). The final goal in this 233 scenario was to train a model where, irrespec-234 tive of cell basal morphology, the CNN was 235 able to identify cell death. As pointed out be-236 fore (CNN vs. human), successful classifica-237 tion at 1h was very high (average accuracy of 238 five runs in the validation set of $98.18 \pm 0.33\%$ 239 and 96.58±0.24% in the test set), reaching 240

maximum accuracy values for validation and 241 test sets of 98.67% and 97.23%, respectively, 242 when we compared all non-exposed (DMSO) 243 images versus all exposed ones (CPT) (Table 244 1). Moreover, employing a pretrained model, 245 in which starting weights are defined before-246 hand rather than randomly initialized, on the 247 same setting (imagenet CsvD) did not improve 248 accuracy. Appropriate visual description for 249 classification performance of our model was 250 rendered as a confusion matrix, in which pre-251 dictions on each image were contrasted to ac-252 tual labels (true value). In coherence with 253 accuracy values, the matrix showed very few 254 misclassification events for the total 4,188 im-255 ages consisting of 65 false positives (predicted 256 CPT, but actually DMSO) and 52 false nega-257 tives (predicted DMSO, but actually CPT) (Fig 258 3C). Furthermore, we found that employing 259 the same model on longer exposure times to 260 CPT (2 and 3h) slightly favoured an increase 261 in validation accuracy and attenuated false de-262 tection, probably because drug-associated ef-263 fects became more pronounced (S3 FigC and 264 D). 265

To further test our model, we trained the 266 NN to classify each cell line in each treatment 267 (ALL vs. ALL) demonstrating a good per-268 formance as well (Fig 3D). In this case, clas-269 sification was considerably improved by us-270 ing a pretrained model (imagenet AvsA), with 271 a final highest accuracy of 87% in the test 272 set (Table 1). Although the matrix showed 273 very few misclassification events in general, 274 the model frequently confused DMSO-treated 275 iPS1 for DMSO-treated iPS2 and CPT-treated 276 iPS1 for CPT-treated iPS2 (Fig 3E), probably 277 due to their induced-pluripotent nature. Impor-278 tantly, it rarely failed to discriminate CPT from 279 DMSO. This diagonally-populated matrix in-280 dicates that the CNN was capable of identi-281 fying cell-specific death features to correctly 282 discriminate all labels (predicted=actual). We 283

corroborated this finding by training, validating and testing the CNN with each cell line
individually (Table 1), and again classification
performance was excellent, indicating that the
model can be confidently and easily applied to
single or multicellular experimental layouts.

However, we discovered that if we pur-290 posely set aside all images of one cell line dur-291 ing training, in some cases our model showed 292 reduced capacity to discriminate CPT from 293 DMSO images of that cell line during test-294 ing (Valid. accuracy >> Test accuracy). Even 295 though validation accuracies were remarkably 296 high for all training sets (Fig 3F), the model 297 failed to accurately discriminate labels during 298 testing with PC3 (53%) and U2OS (64%) can-299 cer cell lines (Table 2). In contrast, testing on 300 the other cell lines resulted in accuracy val-301 ues over 75%, particularly in PSC lines, which 302 means that the CNN was partially able to clas-303 sify images from "unknown" cells. Thus we 304 believe that some features found useful for 305 classification during validation might be ex-306 trapolated to unseen cell lines, but that highly 307 cell-specific facets may interfere with pattern 308 matching. Therefore, it is preferable that train-309 ing of our model includes the cell line on 310 which cell death prediction is intended. 311

Finally, we analysed the images in search 312 of the features which potentially contributed 313 the most to classification. To do so we em-314 ployed class activation maps (CAM) that re-315 construct heatmap-like visualizations merging 316 the information provided by the last convolu-317 tional layer and the model predictions Zhou 318 et al. (2015). In other words, these heatmaps 319 represent the score of each feature used dur-320 ing the decision making process as a colour-321 guided graphic which may facilitate human 322 interpretation. Even though it was not clear 323 which characteristics were in fact supporting 324 the decision, our results demonstrate that clas-325 sification was based upon features present in 326

cell-occupied regions of the images (high activation areas) (Fig 4). 328

329

Discussion

Deep learning techniques are being increas-330 ingly used in the biomedical field (Cao et al., 331 2018; Moen et al., 2019). Specifically for de-332 tection of morphological changes, we (Wais-333 man et al., 2019) and others (Chen et al., 2016; 334 Ounkomol et al., 2018; Richmond et al., 2017; 335 Jimenez-Carretero et al., 2018) have previ-336 ously applied deep learning for different ex-337 perimental approaches using TLM. For exam-338 ple, Ounkomol et al provided evidence that a 339 DL model can predict immunofluorescence in 340 TLM cells (Ounkomol et al., 2018). Jimenez-341 Carretero et al predicted fluorescent toxic-342 ity looking at changes in stained cell nuclei 343 (Jimenez-Carretero et al., 2018). In a simi-344 lar paper than ours, Richmond et al applied 345 a CNN on TLM images in order to predict 346 phototoxicity, but their accuracy was approx-347 imately 94.5%, probably related to the shal-348 low network they used. Moreover, it took them 349 16h of training to reach this level, whereas our 350 model gets $\approx 99\%$ accuracy in approximately 351 3-4h using a similar hardware. Finally, they 352 did not provide any easy way to reproduce and 353 apply their findings. 354

In this work we showed that convolutional 355 neural networks can be trained to recognize 356 very early features of cell death. We trained 357 the NN with images taken just after one hour 358 of starting cell death induction, at which point 359 the human eye was unable to identify mor-360 phological changes to correctly classify a set 361 of images. We conducted a standard "single-362 blind" test in which several trained investi-363 gators from our institution assessed a set of 364 images and attempted to classify them into 365 treated (CPT) or vehicle (DMSO). Although 366 we allowed them to train after the initial trial, 367

investigators were unable to properly identify 368 the very early changes in cell death. In fact, 369 their results were practically random. How-370 ever, their low performance may be related to 371 the fact that any regular cell culture exhibits 372 some degree of cell death, and actually our 373 experiments showed that a few cells in the 374 control group displayed translocation of an-375 nexin V (Fig 1E and F). While this might con-376 stitute a potential confounding factor for the 377 researcher, it does not apparently impact on 378 CNN learning. As usual with whole-image-379 recognition approaches, it is not always pos-380 sible to clearly identify which image features 38 shift the balance towards an accurate classifi-382 cation, though the model is probably recogniz-383 ing subtle alterations in cell membrane, cyto-384 plasmic vesicles and/or changes in the nuclear 385 morphology proper of the ongoing cell death 386 process. 387

In our experiments we found that DL al-388 gorithms can reach high accuracy values for 389 detection of morphological changes in TLM 390 images. Particularly, PSC lines produced bet-391 ter test results than CC lines in all conditions, 392 indicating that CPT-induced features are per-393 haps more easily recognizable in the former. 394 Consistently, the effects of CPT treatment col-395 lected by flow cytometry and immunofluores-396 cence were already visible by 1h in PSC lines, 397 while it took no less than 3h and higher CPT 398 concentrations to achieve similar results in CC 399 lines. In line with our observations, previ-400 ous results demonstrated that pluripotent cells 401 were in fact more sensitive to CPT treatment 402 compared to differentiated cells (Liu et al., 403 2013; García et al., 2016) and it is also pos-404 sible that the accumulation of mutations asso-405 ciated with cancer cell lines could have con-406 ferred some degree of tolerance against DNA 407 damage. 408

Improving training results of a CNN is not an easy challenge. While it is true that implementing models based on widely known ar-411 chitectures (e.g. ResNet50) incorporates many 412 standard settings and default hyperparameter 413 values, fine-tuning a model is typically an em-414 pirical endeavour. One of the major determi-415 nants in achieving well-trained models relies 416 on the number of samples employed in the run 417 (Kavzoglu, 2009; Mathur and Foody, 2008). 418 This was clearly demonstrated when we fur-419 ther explored the capabilities of our model by 420 introducing more labels to the same training 421 set (less images per label), which resulted in 422 a weaker performance. Instead of the initial 423 binary setting (CPT vs. DMSO), in this case 424 labels included the name of each cell line as 425 well (ALL vs. ALL) culminating in accu-426 racy values on the test set that dropped nearly 427 15%. When increasing sample size is not fea-428 sible, there are still several options to enhance 429 performance (e.g. data augmentation, learn-430 ing rates adjustment). The use of pretrained 431 models that carry weights information from 432 training on benchmark datasets like ImageNet 433 (transfer learning), might help to reduce train-434 ing time and generalization errors (prediction) 435 (Yosinski et al., 2014). 436

Besides the proof of concept regarding the 437 ability of NN for cell death detection, we also 438 provide a set of scripts wrapped in a python-439 based tool for a straightforward implementa-440 tion of this technology. In everyday labora-441 tory practice, this may be a significant advan-442 tage for designing and running experiments as 443 it is possible to scale-up throughput and more 444 importantly readout. In particular, the use 445 of these technologies together with automa-446 tion in highly repetitive assays should increase 447 reproducibility and reduce costs. With min-448 imal knowledge on deep learning and com-449 mand line usage, any researcher can run our 450 scripts to get results similar to ours on their 451 own sets of images. 452

In conclusion, we found that DL can be ap- 453

Table.

DNA damage assessment

plied for cell death recognition in transmit-454 ted light microscopy images and we provide 455 a user-friendly tool to be implemented in any 456 lab working on cell death. 457

Materials and methods 458

Cell culture and cell death induction 459

The four cancer cell lines and the three 460 pluripotent stem cells used in this analysis 461 were kept in a humidified air-filtered atmo-462 sphere at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Osteosar-463 coma U2OS cells and breast cancer MCF7 464 cells were routinely cultured in Dulbecco's 465 Modified Eagle Medium (ref. 12430054, 466 DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, United 467 States) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine 468 serum (NTC-500, FBS; Natocor, Argentina) 469 and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (ref. 15140-470 122, Pen/Strep; Thermo Fisher Scientific, 471 United States), while prostate cancer PC3 cells 472 and breast cancer T47D cells were cultured in 473 Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (ref. 474 22400089, RPMI; Thermo Fisher Scientific, 475 United States) supplemented with 10% FBS 476 and Pen/Strep. Induced pluripotent stem cells 477 (iPS1 and iPS2, both previously developed in 478 our lab (Questa et al., 2016)) and embryonic 479 stem cells (H9) were maintained on GeltrexTM 480 (ref. A1413302; Thermo Fisher Scientific, 481 United States)-coated dishes using Essential 8 482 flex defined medium (ref. A2858501, E8 flex; 483 Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States), re-484 placing it each day. All cells were detached 485 with TrypLETM Select 1X (ref. A1217702; 486 Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) every 487 4 or 5 days depending on density. For death 488 induction experiments, approximately 3x10⁵ 489 cells were seeded in the 4 central wells of 490 12-well dishes (ref. 3513; CORNING Inc., 491 United States), thus reducing potential border 492 effects. The following day cancer cells were 493 serum-deprived for 24h and then all cell lines 494

permeabilized in 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBS and 0.1% Triton X-100 solution for 1h, followed by blocking in 10%

were treated either with camptothecin $1-10\mu M$

(ref. C9911, CPT; Sigma-Merck, Argentina)

or DMSO (ref. D2660, dimethyl sulfoxide;

Sigma-Merck, Argentina) for the times indi-

cated in experiments. To prevent addition of

high doses of DMSO in high-concentration

CPT treatments, more concentrated stock so-

lutions were employed. Transmitted light mi-

croscopy images were taken immediately be-

fore adding the treatments and every hour un-

til conclusion. Summarized information and

further details on cell lines can be found in S1

Immunostaining was performed as previ-

ously described (Moro et al., 2018) with minor

modifications. Briefly, cells treated with CPT

or DMSO were fixed in 4% paraformalde-

hyde for 30min at room temperature and

washed 3 times with PBS. Then, they were

normal goat serum/PBS and 0.1% Tween20 solution. Incubation with primary antibodies against γ H2AX (rabbit IgG, ref. ab2893; Abcam, United States) and p53 (mouse IgG, ref. ab1101; Abcam, United States) were performed overnight at 4°C in 1:100 dilutions in blocking solution and later secondary antibody incubation with Alexa Fluor 594 (antimouse, ref. R37121; Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) and Alexa Fluor 488 (antirabbit, ref. A11034; Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) was done in the dark at room temperature for 1h together with DAPI. Cells were washed and then imaged on EVOS fluorescence microscope (Thermo Fisher Scien-

tific, United States). Nonspecific secondary

antibody binding was evaluated in the ab-

sence of primary antibodies. Images from four

fields of three independent replicates were pro-

8

507

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

508 509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

cessed and analysed automatically using custom macro scripts (ImageJ software) to determine mean fluorescent intensity per nucleus and statistical significance between CPTtreated and vehicle-treated cell populations
was evaluated by Welch Two Sample t-test using R.

544 AnnexinV assay

Translocation of phosphatidylserine (PS) 545 residues in apoptotic cells was detected with 546 AnnexinV-FITC (ref. 556547; BD Pharmin-547 gen, United States) and AnnexinV-PE (ref. 548 559763; BD Pharmingen, United States) com-549 mercial kits, following instructions from man-550 ufacturer. Untreated and treated cells (CPT 551 or DMSO) were collected from wells with 552 TrypLETM 1X (including supernatants), incu-553 bated with reagents provided in the kit and fi-554 nally ran on BD Accuri Flow Cytometer. Re-555 sults from three independent replicates were 556 analysed using FlowJo (v7.6) software and 557 statistical significance between CPT-treated 558 and DMSO-treated cell populations from third 559 quadrant (Q3) was evaluated by Welch Two 560 Sample t-test using R. 561

562 Transmitted light imaging

Cell images were captured in EVOS micro-563 scope using a 20x objective and setting light 564 intensity at 40%. Between 30 and 50 images 565 were taken across each of the 4 central wells 566 (2 with CPT and 2 with DMSO) of multiwell 567 plates (4 independent experiments) for each of 568 the 7 cell lines described in Cell culture and 569 cell death induction, avoiding field overlap-570 ping or any places with few or no cells and 571 stored as png files. Size of these images was 572 originally 960x1280 pixels, though we applied 573 a short python script (image-slicer) to slice 574 them into four parts in order to obtain four 575

images from each one (480,640,3). This produced a total of 58596 images considering all 577 timepoints (0, 1, 2 and 3h). 578

579

612

Deep learning analysis

For deep learning training and predic-580 tion, we used fast.ai (v1.0.60), a frontend 581 Briefly, training was of PyTorch (v1.4). 582 done by using several different convolutional 583 neural networks. ResNet50 architecture (He 584 et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016; Howard 585 et al., 2018), however, was chosen among 586 different options (ResNet34, ResNet101 and 587 DenseNet121) because it rendered excellent 588 results and it is widely known. Specifications 589 on the CNN may be found in S2 Table. For 590 analyses, images from all cell lines were 591 split in four as previously explained resulting 592 in a total of 15224 images from 1h, 15312 593 from 2h and 15032 from 3h treatments. We 594 assigned an entire independent experiment 595 (1 of 4) as the test set and then randomly 596 divided the other 3 into 70% for training and 597 30% for validation. Final number of images 598 in each set for all conditions assayed in this 599 work are detailed in S3 Table. Pretrained 600 model weights were obtained from available 601 trainings on benchmark ImageNet dataset. 602 Class activation maps (CAM) were con-603 structed following specifications by the fastai 604 project using CPT-treated and DMSO-treated 605 random PSC images (Zhou et al., 2015). A 606 python script with details on hyperparameter 607 values used during trainings is available 608 https://github.com/miriukaLab/celldeath. in 609 Hardware specifications may be found in 610 celldeath/blob/master/machineDetails.md. 611

Data Availability

All images used for training are available upon 613 request. 614

615 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Instituto FleniCONICET and Pérez Companc Foundation for
their continuous support. Also, we extend our
gratitude to Dr. Elba Vazquez, Dr. Adalí Pecci,
Dr. Luciano Vellón, Dr. Martín Stortz and
Dr. Alejandra Guberman for providing many
of the cell lines used.

623 **Declaration of Interests**

⁶²⁴ The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Anzanello, M.J., Fogliatto, F.S. (2011). Learning curve models and applications: Literature review and research directions. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics 41, 573 – 583. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S016981411100062X, doi:https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2011.05.001.
- Camacho, D.M., Collins, K.M., Powers, R.K., Costello, J.C., Collins, J.J. (2018). Next-generation machine learning for biological networks. Cell 173, 1581– 1592. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.015.
- Cao, C., Liu, F., Tan, H., Song, D., Shu, W., Li, W., Zhou, Y., Bo, X., Xie, Z. (2018). Deep learning and its applications in biomedicine. Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 16, 17–32. doi:10.1016/j. gpb.2017.07.003.
- Chen, C.L., Mahjoubfar, A., Tai, L.C., Blaby, I.K., Huang, A., Niazi, K.R., Jalali, B. (2016). Deep learning in label-free cell classification. Sci Rep 6, 21471. doi:10.1038/srep21471.
- Chen, S., Li, A., Lasick, K., Huynh, J., Powers, L., Roveda, J., Paek, A. (2019). Weakly supervised deep learning for detecting and counting dead cells in microscopy images, in: 2019 18th IEEE International Conference On Machine Learning And Applications (ICMLA), pp. 1737–1743. doi:10.1109/ ICMLA.2019.00282.
- D'Arcy, M.S. (2019). Cell death: a review of the major forms of apoptosis, necrosis and autophagy. Cell Biol Int 43, 582–592. doi:10.1002/cbin.11137.
- Elmore, S. (2007). Apoptosis: a review of programmed cell death. Toxicol Pathol 35, 495–516. doi:10. 1080/01926230701320337.

- García, C.P., Videla Richardson, G.A., Dimopoulos, N.A., Fernandez Espinosa, D.D., Miriuka, S.G., Sevlever, G.E., Romorini, L., Scassa, M.E.. (2016). Human pluripotent stem cells and derived neuroprogenitors display differential degrees of susceptibility to bh3 mimetics abt-263, wehi-539 and abt-199. PLoS One 11, e0152607. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0152607.
- García, C.P., Videla Richardson, G.A., Romorini, L., Miriuka, S.G., Sevlever, G.E., Scassa, M.E.. (2014). Topoisomerase i inhibitor, camptothecin, induces apoptogenic signaling in human embryonic stem cells. Stem Cell Res 12, 400–14. doi:10.1016/j. scr.2013.12.002.
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J. (2015). Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1512.03385.
- Howard, J., Thomas, R., Gugger, S. (2018). Fastai.
- Huang, G., Liu, Z., van der Maaten, L., Weinberger, K.Q. (2016). Densely Connected Convolutional Networks. ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1608.06993.
- Jimenez-Carretero, D., Abrishami, V., Fernández-de Manuel, L., Palacios, I., Quílez-Álvarez, A., Díez-Sánchez, A., del Pozo, M.A., Montoya, M.C.. (2018). Tox_(r)cnn: Deep learning-based nuclei profiling tool for drug toxicity screening. PLOS Computational Biology 14, 1–23. URL: https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006238, doi:10. 1371/journal.pcbi.1006238.
- Kabore, A.F., Johnston, J.B., Gibson, S.B.. (2004). Changes in the apoptotic and survival signaling in cancer cells and their potential therapeutic implications. Curr Cancer Drug Targets 4, 147–63. doi:10. 2174/1568009043481551.
- Kavzoglu, T.. (2009). Increasing the accuracy of neural network classification using refined training data. Environmental Modelling & Software 24, 850 858. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364815208002156, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2008.11.012.
- Kay, J.G., Fairn, G.D.. (2019). Distribution, dynamics and functional roles of phosphatidylserine within the cell. Cell Commun Signal 17, 126. doi:10.1186/ s12964-019-0438-z.
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature 521, 436–44. doi:10.1038/ nature14539.
- Lee, J.G., Jun, S., Cho, Y.W., Lee, H., Kim, G.B., Seo, J.B., Kim, N. (2017). Deep learning in medical imaging: General overview. Korean J Radiol 18,

570-584. doi:10.3348/kjr.2017.18.4.570.

- Liu, J.C., Guan, X., Ryan, J.A., Rivera, A.G., Mock, C., Agrawal, V., Agarwal, V., Letai, A., Lerou, P.H., Lahav, G. (2013). High mitochondrial priming sensitizes hescs to dna-damage-induced apoptosis. Cell Stem Cell 13, 483–91. doi:10.1016/j. stem.2013.07.018.
- Majtnerová, P., Roušar, T. (2018). An overview of apoptosis assays detecting dna fragmentation. Mol Biol Rep 45, 1469–1478. doi:10.1007/ s11033-018-4258-9.
- Mathur, A., Foody, G.M.. (2008). Multiclass and binary svm classification: Implications for training and classification users. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters 5, 241–245. doi:10.1109/LGRS.2008. 915597.
- Merino, D., Kelly, G.L., Lessene, G., Wei, A.H., Roberts, A.W., Strasser, A. (2018). Bh3-mimetic drugs: Blazing the trail for new cancer medicines. Cancer Cell 34, 879–891. doi:10.1016/j.ccell. 2018.11.004.
- Moen, E., Bannon, D., Kudo, T., Graf, W., Covert, M., Van Valen, D.. (2019). Deep learning for cellular image analysis. Nat Methods 16, 1233–1246. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0403-1.
- Moro, L.N., Amin, G., Furmento, V., Waisman, A., Garate, X., Neiman, G., La Greca, A., Santín Velazque, N.L., Luzzani, C., Sevlever, G.E., et al.. (2018). Microrna characterization in equine induced pluripotent stem cells. PLoS One 13, e0207074. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0207074.
- Nagata, S., Suzuki, J., Segawa, K., Fujii, T. (2016). Exposure of phosphatidylserine on the cell surface. Cell Death Differ 23, 952–61. doi:10.1038/cdd.2016. 7.
- Ounkomol, C., Seshamani, S., Maleckar, M.M., Collman, F., Johnson, G.R.. (2018). Label-free prediction of three-dimensional fluorescence images from transmitted-light microscopy. Nat Methods 15, 917– 920. doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0111-2.
- Pérez-Enciso, M., Zingaretti, L.M. (2019). A guide for using deep learning for complex trait genomic prediction. Genes (Basel) 10. doi:10.3390/ genes10070553.
- Questa, M., Romorini, L., Blüguermann, C., Solari, C.M., Neiman, G., Luzzani, C., Scassa, M.É., Sevlever, G.E., Guberman, A.S., Miriuka, S.G.. (2016). Generation of ipsc line ipsc-fh2.1 in hypoxic conditions from human foreskin fibroblasts. Stem Cell Res 16, 300–3. doi:10.1016/j.scr.2015. 12.026.

- Richmond, D., Jost, A.P.T., Lambert, T., Waters, J., Elliott, H.. (2017). Deadnet: Identifying phototoxicity from label-free microscopy images of cells using deep convnets. arXiv:1701.06109.
- Sedelnikova, O.A., Pilch, D.R., Redon, C., Bonner, W.M. (2003). Histone h2ax in dna damage and repair. Cancer Biol Ther 2, 233–5. doi:10.4161/cbt. 2.3.373.
- Sordet, O., Redon, C.E., Guirouilh-Barbat, J., Smith, S., Solier, S., Douarre, C., Conti, C., Nakamura, A.J., Das, B.B., Nicolas, E., et al.. (2009). Ataxia telangiectasia mutated activation by transcription- and topoisomerase i-induced dna double-strand breaks. EMBO Rep 10, 887–93. doi:10.1038/embor. 2009.97.
- Strumberg, D., Pilon, A.A., Smith, M., Hickey, R., Malkas, L., Pommier, Y. (2000). Conversion of topoisomerase i cleavage complexes on the leading strand of ribosomal dna into 5'-phosphorylated dna double-strand breaks by replication runoff. Mol Cell Biol 20, 3977–87. doi:10.1128/mcb.20.11. 3977–3987.2000.
- Voulodimos, A., Doulamis, N., Doulamis, A., Protopapadakis, E.. (2018). Deep learning for computer vision: A brief review. Comput Intell Neurosci 2018, 7068349. doi:10.1155/2018/7068349.
- Waisman, A., La Greca, A., Möbbs, A.M., Scarafía, M.A., Santín Velazque, N.L., Neiman, G., Moro, L.N., Luzzani, C., Sevlever, G.E., Guberman, A.S., et al.. (2019). Deep learning neural networks highly predict very early onset of pluripotent stem cell differentiation. Stem Cell Reports 12, 845–859. doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.02.004.
- Webb, S.. (2018). Deep learning for biology. Nature 554, 555–557. doi:10.1038/ d41586-018-02174-z.
- Yosinski, J., Clune, J., Bengio, Y., Lipson, H. (2014). How transferable are features in deep neural networks? arXiv:1411.1792.
- Zhou, B., Khosla, A., Lapedriza, A., Oliva, A., Torralba, A.. (2015). Learning deep features for discriminative localization. arXiv:1512.04150.



Figure 1: **Camptothecin treatment induced apoptosis in both iPS1 pluripotent stem cell and MCF7 cancer cell lines.** A) Immunostaining with anti- γ H2AX and anti-p53 of iPS1 pluripotent cell line treated (CPT 1 μ M) or not (DMSO: vehicle) with CPT for 1.5h. Both marks were merged with DAPI to reveal cell nuclei and scale was set to 200 μ m (white bar). Images are representative of four different microscopic fields. B) Distribution of mean signal intensity per nucleus in all fields from A, measured in arbitrary units (log10 a.u.) for γ H2AX (left) and p53 (right) marks. Statistical significance between CPT and DMSO was evaluated by Welch Two-Sample t-test (*p-value=2.2e⁻¹⁶). C) Immunostaining as in A for MCF7 cancer cell line treated (CPT 10 μ M) or not with CPT for 6h. D) Mean signal intensity quantification and statistical significance were determined as in B (#p-value=4.89e⁻⁷; *p-value=2.22e⁻¹⁶). E) Flow cytometry analysis with AnnexinV-PE of iPS1 cells treated with CPT 1 μ M (light blue) for 3h compared to DMSO (red). Incubation with 7-AAD was performed to discriminate dead cells (Q2) from early apoptotic (Q3). Number of events (cells) in each quadrant is presented as mean percentage of total population \pm SEM of three independent replicates. Statistical significance between conditions in Q3 was evaluated with Welch Two-Sample t-test (*p-value=2.5e⁻²). F) MCF7 cancer cells treated with CPT 10 μ M (light blue) for 6h were analysed as in E, though using AnnexinV-FITC instead of PE.



Figure 2: Transmitted light images used for visual deep learning analysis. Representative images of DMSO (vehicle)- and CPT-treated cell lines for 1, 2 and 3h. Scale bar is displayed in the pictures and equals to 50μ m.



Figure 3: **Results of CNN training.** A) Comparison of human performance versus CNN. Pre ($48.80\pm3.03\%$) and post-training ($46.40\pm3.57\%$) results of five human subjects are shown compared to five separate runs of CNN training for a validation ($98.18\pm0.33\%$) and a test set ($96.58\pm0.24\%$). B) Representative Learning Curve of five independent CNN trainings using CPT and DMSO labels for 50 epochs. Accuracy curve for the same representative run is shown. C) Confusion matrix of CPT versus DMSO for training with highest test accuracy results. The highly accurate model led to very low false positives (65) and false negatives (52) during prediction on test set. D) Representative Learning Curve and accuracy of three independent CNN trainings using all cell lines and treatments as labels for 50 epochs. E) Confusion matrix of training with highest test accuracy results for all-versus-all analysis of test set. F) Validation accuracy results for training sets missing one cell line. The missing cell line was used as test set; testing accuracy for every run is shown in Table 2.

Condition	Train. Loss	Val. Loss	Val. Acc.	Test Acc.
CPTvs.DMSO	0.068	0.045	0.9837	0.9723
imagenet(CvsD)	0.055	0.051	0.9825	0.9790
ALLvs.ALL	0.068	0.330	0.9979	0.8271
imagenet(AvsA)	0.029	0.035	0.9900	0.8658
PC3	0.138	0.041	0.986	0.955
MCF7	0.081	0.146	0.9528	0.9234
T47D	0.204	0.054	0.9746	0.8667
<i>U2O2</i>	0.141	0.002	1.000	0.9444
iPS 1	0.379	0.056	0.998	0.970
iPS 2	0.091	0.0007	1.000	0.948
ESC(H9)	0.007	0.002	1.000	0.996

Table 1: Model performance for different conditions.

Highest value of accuracy achieved in the test set (Test Acc.) among several trainings is presented for each condition at 1h. Corresponding values of the Loss function for training (Train. Loss) and validation (Val. Loss) are shown as well as accuracy on validation set (Val. Acc.). Results of running a pretrained model on CPT vs. DMSO (imagenet CvsD) and ALL vs. ALL (imagenet AvsA) conditions were included.

Cell line out	Train. Loss	Val. Loss	Val. Acc.	Test Acc.
PC3	0.053	0.032	0.9872	0.5283
MCF7	0.054	0.038	0.9901	0.8688
T47D	0.071	0.047	0.9858	0.7734
U2OS	0.043	0.059	0.9800	0.6363
iPS 1	0.063	0.052	0.9820	0.9871
iPS2	0.046	0.056	0.9826	0.9708
ESC(H9)	0.076	0.058	0.9822	0.9752

Table 2: Model performance after removing a cell line from training.

Removed cell line (Cell line out) was used for testing the model. Highest value of accuracy achieved during testing (Test Acc.) for each cell line is shown. Corresponding values of the Loss function for training (Train. Loss) and validation (Val. Loss) are shown as well as accuracy on validation set (Val. Acc.).



Figure 4: **Features contributing to classification.** Representative images of 1h CPT- and DMSO-treated PSC cells (brightfield) and corresponding class activation maps (heatmap). Areas in bright yellow indicate high activation for decision making and areas in purple correspond to low activation. Scale bar is displayed in the pictures and equals to 100μ m.

Supplemental information

S1 Fig. Effect of longer CPT exposure times on γ H2AX and p53 staining and flow cytometry controls. A) iPS1 cells were treated or not (DMSO) with CPT 1uM for 3 and 5h. Cells were stained with anti- γ H2AX or anti-p53 and nuclei were revealed with DAPI. Scale was set to 200um (white bar). B) MCF7 cells were treated or not (DMSO) with CPT 10uM for 8h. Cells were stained as in A. C) Controls used for setting background levels in iPS1 flow cytometry experiments. D) Controls used for setting background levels in MCF7 flow cytometry experiments.

S2 Fig. **Human trials.** Detailed results of five subjects involved in scientific activities tested for their capacity to discriminate cells treated with CPT from DMSO before (Pre-) and after (Post-) being trained with a different set of images.

S3 Fig. **Neural Network performance.** A) Comparison of accuracy results between ResNet50 and ResNet34 architectures using the same input data and parameters. B) Learning curve (training and validation sets) for ResNet50 architecture during extended training (400 epochs). Point of inflection in validation curve is indicated with an arrow inside the inset box. Validation accuracy for the training run is also shown. C) Confusion matrix for images of 2h CPT/DMSO-treated cells. D) Confusion matrix for images of 3h CPT/DMSO-treated cells.

- S1 Table. Description of cell lines used in this work.
- S2 Table. Deep learning model specifications.
- *S3 Table.* Number of images per condition.