Glycine receptor $\alpha 3K$ governs mobility and conductance of L/K splice variant heteropentamers

Running title: Glycine receptor $\alpha 3L/K$ heteropentamerization

Veerle Lemmens^{1,2,*}, Bart Thevelein^{1,*}, Svenja Kankowski^{3,4}, Hideaki Mizuno⁵,
Jochen C. Meier⁴, Susana Rocha², Bert Brône⁶, Jelle Hendrix^{1,2,#}

¹ Dynamic Bioimaging Lab, Advanced Optical Microscopy Centre and Biomedical Research Institute, UHasselt, B-3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium.

² Molecular Imaging and Photonics, Chemistry Department, KU Leuven, B-3001 Heverlee, Belgium.

³ Institute of Neuroanatomy and Cell Biology, Hannover Medical School, D-30625 Hannover, Germany

⁴ Division Cell Physiology, Zoological Institute, Technical University Braunschweig, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany.

⁵ Laboratory of Biomolecular Network Dynamics, Biochemistry, Molecular and Structural Biology Division, Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, B-3001 Heverlee, Belgium

⁶ Neurophysiology Lab, Biomedical Research Institute, UHasselt, B-3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium.

* These authors contributed equally.

Correspondence:

Jelle.hendrix@uhasselt.be

Hasselt University

Agoralaan C (BIOMED)

B-3590 Diepenbeek

Tel. +32 11 269213

Summary

The glycine receptor $\alpha 3$ is key to the central nervous system's physiology and involved in chronic pain and epilepsy. In this paper, Lemmens et al. reveal and functionally characterize $\alpha 3$ splice variant heteropentamerization via advanced single-molecule fluorescence image analysis.

Abstract

Glycine receptors (GlyRs) are ligand-gated pentameric chloride channels in the central nervous system. GlyR- $\alpha 3$ is a possible target for chronic pain treatment and temporal lobe epilepsy. Alternative splicing into K or L variants determines the subcellular fate and function of GlyR- $\alpha 3$, yet it remains to be shown whether its different splice variants can functionally co-assemble, and what the properties of such heteropentamers would be. Here, we subjected GlyR- $\alpha 3$ to a combined fluorescence microscopy and electrophysiology analysis. We employ masked Pearson's and dual-color spatiotemporal correlation analysis to prove that GlyR- $\alpha 3$ splice variants heteropentamerize, adopting the mobility of the K variant. Fluorescence-based single-subunit counting experiments revealed a variable and concentration ratio dependent hetero-stoichiometry. Via single-channel on-cell patch clamp we show heteropentameric conductances resemble those of the $\alpha 3K$ splice variant. Our data are compatible with a model where $\alpha 3$ heteropentamerization fine-tunes mobility and activity of GlyR $\alpha 3$ channels, which is important to understand and tackle $\alpha 3$ related diseases.

Keywords

Glycine receptors, ligand gated ion channels, image correlation spectroscopy, single-molecule fluorescence, Pearson's correlation coefficient, subunit counting, protein co-assembly, diffusion, stoichiometry, electrophysiology, patch clamp.

Declarations

Funding

We acknowledge the UHasselt Advanced Optical Microscopy Centre (AOMC). Prof. Em. Marcel Ameloot, the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO, project G0H3716N) and the province of Limburg (Belgium) (tUL Impuls II) are acknowledged for funding the microscopy hardware. V. Lemmens is grateful for a doctoral scholarship from the UHasselt (17DOC11BOF) and KU Leuven (C14/16/053) Special Research Funds (BOF).

Conflicts of interest / competing interests

No conflicts of interest apply.

Ethics approval

Not applicable

Availability of data and material

All data and material are available upon request.

Code availability

Fluctuation imaging and co-localization analyses were performed in the software package PAM [71]. The software is available as source code, requiring MATLAB to run, or as pre-compiled standalone distributions for Windows or MacOS at <http://www.cup.uni-muenchen.de/pc/lamb/software/pam.html> or hosted in Git repositories under <http://www.gitlab.com/PAM-PIE/PAM> and <http://www.gitlab.com/PAM-PIE/PAMcompiled>. A detailed user manual is available at <http://pam.readthedocs.io>.

Author contributions

Conceptualization Meier J.C., Brône B. and Hendrix J.; Investigation and formal analysis Lemmens V. and Thevelein B.; Software development Hendrix J.; Writing the original draft Lemmens V., Thevelein B. and Hendrix, J.; Review and editing by all authors.

Introduction

Neuronal communication in the central nervous system (CNS) is fine-tuned via ionotropic channel proteins such as glycine receptors (GlyRs). Belonging to the family of pentameric ligand-gated ion channels (pLGICs), GlyRs help regulate motor coordination and sensory signal processing [1, 2]. In humans, GlyRs are expressed as one of three α isoforms (α 1-3) that heteropentamerize with the β isoform if the latter is present. In this paper we focus on the α 3 isoform of GlyR, which in the human body is found in the spinal cord's dorsal horn, the brain stem and the hippocampus[3]. In addition, high RNA levels were also found in the cerebral cortex, the amygdala and in the pituitary gland [4]. It is involved in temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) [5-8] and, due to its crucial involvement in inflammatory pain perception, it is a major target for chronic pain treatment [9]. Because of its specific localization in the CNS, targeting α 3 could lead to reduced side effects compared to other GlyR- α isoforms.

GlyR- α 3 is produced as one of two possible splice variants, α 3K or α 3L. Post-transcriptional exclusion of exon 8 from the GlyR- α 3 coding mRNA [3, 10-12] results in the α 3K variant lacking 15 amino acids (TEAFALEKFYRFSDT) in the large intracellular loop between transmembrane α -helices TM3 and TM4 (Fig. 1A). GlyR- α 3L is the predominant variant in a healthy brain, outweighing α 3K approximately five-fold. Although both variants are always co-expressed in neurons, GlyR- α 3K primarily localizes somatodendritically [5, 6, 13, 14], while α 3L mostly localizes at the presynapse due to interaction with vesicular trafficking factor SEC8 [6], where it stimulates neurotransmitter release [6, 15-17], similar to other presynaptic chloride channels. Finally, neuronal cells additionally co-expressing GlyR- β endogenously will also contain postsynaptic heteropentameric α - β GlyRs, due to interaction with the postsynaptic scaffold protein gephyrin [18, 19].

Previous reports have used fluorescence microscopy and electrophysiology to investigate the properties of homomeric GlyR- α 3. Apart from their overall subcellular localization, fluorescence fluctuation imaging and single-particle tracking revealed that in live cells both (immunostained HA-tagged) splice variants exhibited free and confined diffusion in the membrane. Both fast (diffusion constant $D \sim 0.1 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) and slow-diffusing ($D \sim 0.01 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) species could be observed for both variants, with slow and confined diffusion being more prevalent for α 3L than α 3K [20, 21]. Fluorescence imaging using primary spinal cord or hippocampal neurons, or HEK293 cell lines, also evidenced that α 3L is more prone to clustering in the cell membrane [5, 22, 23]. This suggests a role for the insert in the intracellular loop in directly promoting pentamer-pentamer interactions, whether or not combined with linking to immobile submembranous components that enhance the clustering process. It has also been shown using cell culture based whole-cell patch clamp experiments that, overall, α 3L expressing cells exhibit slower desensitization kinetics than α 3K [3, 24]. The longer TM3-TM4 intracellular loop seems to confer a larger stability to the α 3L variant leading to slower desensitization, an effect that clustering seems to undo [25]. Finally, main-state single-channel conductances of 63-105 pS were

observed for the $\alpha 3L$ variant by different groups [25-29]. For the $\alpha 3K$ variant, one group reported a similar conductance of 69 pS, suggesting the TM3-TM4 loop does not contribute to regulating the ion flux through the open channel [25].

Besides the molecular and functional differences of the $\alpha 3$ homomers described above, it is intriguing to know whether the two $\alpha 3$ splice variants can also form heteropentamers, and if they do, which effects this would have on GlyR function. Indeed, the pathological effect of the increased K-to-L expression ratio in TLE patients with a severe disease course hints to a functional direct interaction between the splice variants [5]. The existence of $\alpha 3L/K$ heteropentamers in HEK293 cells was already suggested [22] via co-localization analysis of the differently labeled splice variants, although in this report the distinction between clusters of overlapping homopentamers or actual heteropentamers could not be made.

In this paper, we hypothesize that GlyR- $\alpha 3$ K/L splice variants functionally interact in a cell-biological context. If so, we would like to know what the molecular and functional properties of such heteropentamers are. We first develop strategies for expressing and imaging single GlyR- $\alpha 3$ pentamers in the membrane of live cells. We then use a combination of different quantitative fluorescence microscopy imaging and analysis methods including Pearson's co-localization, raster/temporal image correlation spectroscopy [30, 31] and subunit counting via stepwise photobleaching [32, 33] to investigate the mobility, heteropentamerization and heterostochiometry of co-expressed GlyR- $\alpha 3$ K/L splice variants. Then, we subject GlyR- $\alpha 3$ expressing cells to functional analysis via single-channel patch clamp. In the discussion section we integrate the results from the different types of experiments we performed and compare this with the present state of knowledge to better understand the cell-biological consequences of GlyR splice variant heteropentamerization.

Results

Advanced methodology for imaging single-pentamer properties of glycine receptors

Physiologically, GlyR- $\alpha 3$ molecules are present both as single pentamers and clusters of pentamers. As we were specifically interested in single pentamers, we first developed a cell-based fluorescent GlyR expression system and an analysis methodology that allows specifically analyzing the molecular properties of single GlyR- $\alpha 3$ pentamers in a way that is unbiased by clusters. First, to visualize the $\alpha 3L$ and $\alpha 3K$ isoforms of GlyR, we used plasmids encoding the GlyR N-terminally tagged with a green (eGFP) or red (mCherry) fluorescent protein (FP) (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1E-G) and transiently transfected these in HEK293 cells. These do not express GlyR endogenously but are known to be a relevant model system for studying GlyRs [3]. Immunocytochemistry (Fig. S1) and whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology (Fig. S2) confirmed a subcellular distribution and activity much like the endogenous situation, respectively, of the FP-tagged receptor.

Fig. 1: Low copy number imaging of GlyR- $\alpha 3L$ -FP in HEK293 cells allows quantifying single pentamer properties. A) Subunit structure of GlyR- $\alpha 3L$ -FP with the fluorescent protein eGFP or mCherry (FP) fused to the terminus of the extracellular N-terminal domain and the position of the TM3-TM4 loop insert for GlyR- $\alpha 3L$ in green. B) Representative cell with high copy number GlyR expression from a plasmid with a strong promoter. Scale bar, 10 μm . C) Representative fixed cell with low copy number GlyR expression using a plasmid with a truncated promoter. Scale bar, 10 μm . The inset is a magnification of the area indicated by the white square, white spots indicate single receptors, the yellow circle corresponds to the data in the upper part of

panel D. D) Step-wise photobleaching subunit counting identify low numbers of fluorescent eGFP per fluorescent spot in the low copy number cells. E) Confocal fluorescence image of a live cell expressing GlyR used for RICS analysis. The edge of the cell is outlined in blue (region of interest, ROI1) and the high intensity clusters, automatically selected via frame-to-frame intensity thresholding (see Materials and Methods for more details), are highlighted in red (ROI2). Scale bar, 10 μ m. F) The 1D section of the average 2D RICS autocorrelation function (the reader is referred to Fig. S3A-B for images of the 2D correlation functions) at spatial lag (ξ , 0) of a confocal image series of GlyR- α 3L-eGFP expressing cells using either all pixels within ROI1 or within ROI1 minus ROI2. The reader is also referred to Video S1-2 for the different ROIs. The mean brightness ϵ and mean diffusion coefficient are determined from the amplitude and shape of the correlation function, respectively. G) Representative example of the molecular brightness (in kphotons/second) of diffusing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP assemblies within ROI1 or within ROI1 minus ROI2, and, as a reference, molecular brightness of diffusing cytosolic eGFP₅ measured as close to the bottom membrane as possible. H) Representative example of the diffusion coefficient of diffusing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP within ROI1 or within ROI1 minus ROI2.

Then, we followed a three-pronged approach to achieve the required low (single-molecule) and intermediate (10-100 nM) expression levels that are ideally suited for the planned single-pentamer analyses and for the diffusion analysis, respectively. We truncated the CMV promotor (similar to [34]), reduced the amount of GlyR encoding plasmid DNA while retaining the transfection efficiency via co-transfection with a non-coding plasmid [35] and limited the time between transfection and fixation or live-cell imaging. Using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) we imaged fixated cells expressing the normal- or low-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP plasmids (Fig. 1B-C). Indeed, with the latter plasmids we could easily find cells that clearly exhibited individual diffraction limited fluorescent spots (Fig. 1C), presumably single pentamers.

We next set out to prove whether these spots corresponded to single GlyR pentamers by recording time-lapse fluorescence images of transiently transfected cells and counting the number of eGFP molecules per diffraction-limited spot using single-spot photobleaching step measurements (Fig. 1D, top). As can be seen from the frequency distribution of the number of steps, a variety of bleaching steps ranging from 1 to 10 was observed (Fig. 1D, bottom). This has been observed before in bleaching experiments of GlyR- α 1 in HEK293 cells [32, 36] and is attributed to a mixture of incomplete maturation of the fluorescent proteins, prebleaching of the eGFP, and single pentamers that are overlapping at a spatial scale smaller than the optical resolution. To analyze the data quantitatively, we fitted the distribution to a binomial model (Eq. 1, see Materials and Methods). This analysis resulted in a probability of 47% for eGFP to be matured and unbleached and in 88% of spots not overlapping with

other spots. Both of these values are similar to previous experiments on GlyR- $\alpha 1$ in HEK293 cells [32, 36]. This experiment thus suggests that in the low-expressing HEK293 cells, about 88% of detected fluorescent spots were likely single pentamers.

Finally, to corroborate that the majority of GlyRs detected in the cell membrane were indeed single pentamers, we used confocal raster image correlation spectroscopy (RICS). Practically, we performed experiments in cells with intermediate expression levels of GlyR- $\alpha 3$ -eGFP (ideally 10-100 nM [37]) (Fig. 1E). In such cells, we observed both regions with diffuse fluorescence, as well as regions with high-intensity fluorescent clusters, the latter presumably being GlyR aggregates that have been observed before [20]. After spatial autocorrelation of the images (Eq. 5) and fitting the resulting data to Eq. 6, we obtained both the molecular brightness ϵ (Eq. 7) and the mobility (diffusion constant, D) of the GlyR complexes diffusing in the membrane (Fig. 1F). The ϵ informs on the average number of fluorescing eGFP moieties in the diffusing complexes and, via comparison with a control protein, can be used to assess their average stoichiometry. The D , on the other hand, reports on the average size of these diffusing complexes, with slower diffusion indicative of larger complexes. When we included all pixels belonging to the cell membrane into the analysis (ROI1 in Fig. 1E, Fig. S3A and Video S1), the ϵ that we measured was significantly higher than that of a control protein, a cytosolic tandem eGFP pentamer (eGFP5) that we measured as close to the cell membrane as possible (Fig. 1G). When we additionally excluded the regions with an intense fluorescence signal (ROI1 minus ROI2 in Fig. 1E, Fig. S3B and Video S2), the brightness ϵ of the diffusing GlyR complexes was indistinguishable from that of the eGFP5 control Fig. 1G. Additionally, this experiment seems to show that properties of single GlyR pentamers can be specifically studied, in the case of intermediate-expression cells, by masking out regions containing clusters. The observed diffusion constant also depended on the ROI that was selected for the RICS analysis. Indeed, diffusion analysis in 'ROI1 minus ROI2' resulted in overall increased mobility, which directly proves the masking procedure efficiently removed the high-stoichiometry GlyR clusters (Fig. 1H).

In summary, we generated HEK293 cells expressing low amounts of GlyR- $\alpha 3$ splice variants labeled with fluorescent proteins and validated single-molecule and fluctuation imaging tools that allow focusing on the properties of single pentameric complexes excluding GlyR clusters. In the rest of the paper all analyses are performed on single GlyR pentamers, unless explicitly stated otherwise. Specifically, we take a closer look at the two splice variants, and at what happens when they are co-expressed in cells.

Single homopentameric K and L variants exhibit a different diffusion signature

As a follow-up of the work of Notelaers et al. [20, 21], we next investigated the mobility of the two different splice variants GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-eGFP with RICS [38, 39] and temporal image correlation spectroscopy (TICS) [40], using image masking to specifically focus on single pentamers. RICS, which analyses μ s-ms intensity fluctuations occurring within confocal image frames, is typically used to quantify the mobility of faster protein populations ($D \approx 0.1$ - $500 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) while TICS, in which tens-of-milliseconds camera pixel intensity fluctuation are correlated over time, is typically used to quantify the mobility of proteins diffusing on a relatively slow timescale ($D \approx 0.001$ - $0.1 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$). Parallel application of both techniques allow identifying and characterizing different possible mobile protein populations [41]. Essential to this is choosing imaging conditions suited to the type of diffusion process (for RICS, see [42], for TICS, see [43]).

For RICS, we acquired confocal image series of living cells expressing either GlyR- α 3L-eGFP or GlyR- α 3K-eGFP at 37°C as illustrated in Fig. 2A. Because in confocal microscopy the laser scans pixel per pixel and line per line while proteins diffuse, the resulting image will contain spatial fluorescence intensity fluctuations along any direction in the image, as depicted in Fig. 2B along the direction of a single line scan. We spatially correlated each image frame in the series (Eq. 5) and via fitting of the average spatial autocorrelation function (Fig. 2C-D, Eq. 6), we determined that the diffusion coefficients D of GlyR- α 3L-eGFP ($D = 0.26 \pm 0.11 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) and GlyR- α 3K-eGFP ($D = 0.29 \pm 0.08 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) were within experimental error the same (Fig. 2E). At least within the timescale of a single RICS image frame, the K and L variants thus exhibit similar diffusion.

Fig. 2: RICS and TICS evidence two diffusive subpopulations of single GlyR pentamers. A)

Representative confocal microscopy image of the first frame from an image series of a HEK293 cell expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP. Frame-based intensity thresholding was used to remove GlyR clusters and the extracellular region from the analysis. Scale bar $10 \mu\text{m}$. B) Photon count values fluctuating along the yellow arrow in A. C) 3D autocorrelation with the grey outlining showing the average $(\xi, 0)$ and $(0, \psi)$ autocorrelation function. D) Average $(\xi, 0)$ and $(0, \psi)$ autocorrelation

function and fit. Top graph displays the weighted residuals for the fit in the bottom graph. E) Average diffusion coefficient and standard deviation obtained via RICS for GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-eGFP. F) Representative TIRF microscopy image of the first frame from an image series of a HEK293 cell expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP. Frame-based intensity thresholding was applied to remove GlyR clusters (indicated in red) and the extracellular region (indicated in light gray). Scale bar 10 μ m. G) Camera count values fluctuating along the yellow arrow in F which is directed into the plane of the image to represent its direction through time. H) Average temporal autocorrelation function and fit. Top graph displays the weighted residuals for the fit in the bottom graph. I) The average diffusion coefficient and standard deviation obtained via TICS for single GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-eGFP. Here, a dynamic mask was used, calculated per frame, to omit both mobile and immobile GlyR clusters from the analysis. J) The average diffusion coefficient and standard deviation obtained via TICS for GlyR- α 3L-eGFP when co-expressed with GlyR- α 3K-mCh or GlyR- α 3L-mCh compared to co-expression of GlyR- α 3K-eGFP with GlyR- α 3K-mCh. Image masking was based on the average intensity of the time series, so only static clusters were removed. Error bars on the bar graphs represent the standard deviation from $n = 9-22$ different cell measurements (Table S1-2). *** p -value < 0.001 obtained via an unpaired two sample t -test with unequal variance of the data.

For TICS, time-lapse images were acquired using TIRF-based widefield microscopy in living cells at room temperature (Fig. 2F). As the frame rate using a camera is much faster than for confocal microscopy, and oftentimes similar to the time it takes molecules to diffuse in and out of image pixels, fluorescence intensities tend to fluctuate from frame to frame due to molecular diffusion, as illustrated in Fig. 2G. By temporally autocorrelating each pixel's fluorescence time trace (Eq. 10) and fitting a model to the obtained mean temporal autocorrelation function (Fig. 2H, Eq. 11), the diffusion coefficient can likewise be determined. In this way we obtained a diffusion coefficient of $D = 0.089 \pm 0.023 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ for GlyR- α 3K-eGFP and a significantly lower diffusion coefficient of $D = 0.057 \pm 0.014 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ for GlyR- α 3L-eGFP (Fig. 1I). First, this analysis reveals a second diffusive GlyR species, as values for D were significantly lower as observed with RICS, even when RICS measurement were performed at RT (Fig. S2F). More interestingly, however, the slower component of the L variant is significantly lower than the slow component of the K variant. To investigate the possibility that this could be related to inefficient removal of clusters from the analysis, which would affect the clustering-prone L variant more than the K variant, and thus also the observed mobility (Fig. S3C) [5, 22, 23], we performed a detailed comparison of different masking procedures (Fig. S3D). This showed a dependence of the observed D for both K and L on the type of mask used: whole cell (Video S3), static mask (Video S4, mask calculated on the average of all frames), dynamic mask (Video S5, calculated per frame), a

significantly slower diffusion of the L variant was always observed. In other words, when looking at diffusing of single pentamers of GlyR- α 3 on the slow TICS timescale, the L variant exhibits a slower mobility than the K variant.

Finally, we wanted to investigate whether co-expression of K would affect the mobility of L at the level of pentamers. Practically, we co-expressed GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and the red mCherry FP-tagged version of the short GlyR isoform (GlyR- α 3K-mCh) and performed single-color TICS on the acquired eGFP channel image series. Interestingly, we observed an increased diffusion coefficient for GlyR- α 3L-eGFP in the presence of GlyR- α 3K-mCh (Fig. 2J, Table S2, $D = 0.061 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$; the value is slightly different than in Fig. 2I because of the different mask used) as compared to cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3L-mCh (Fig. 2J, Table S2, $D = 0.044 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) or as compared to GlyR- α 3L-eGFP alone (Fig. S3D, Table S2, $D = 0.047 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$). As expected, co-expression of GlyR- α 3K-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCh (Table S2, $D = 0.068 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) did not affect the mobility of the former as compared to GlyR- α 3K-eGFP alone (Table S2, $D = 0.074 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$). These results are strongly indicative of a direct K-L interaction at the level of single pentamers, which we will investigate using dual-color imaging.

Co-localization and co-diffusion proves GlyR- α 3L/K heteropentamerization

To investigate whether GlyR heteropentamerization could be the cause of the increased mobility observed for GlyR- α 3L-eGFP when co-expressed with GlyR- α 3K-mCherry in the membrane of HEK293 cells, we recorded dual-color images via alternating-excitation TIRF microscopy (Fig. 3A).

Fig. 3: Co-localization and co-diffusion of GlyR- α 3 isoforms in HEK293 cells at single-molecule expression confirms the presence of GlyR- α 3L/K heteropentamers. A) Representative dual-color TIRF image of a HEK293 cell co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP (green) and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry (red). Using intensity thresholding over the average of the 5 first frames the cell membrane was selected and bright regions containing GlyR clusters were omitted. Scale bar 10 μm . B) Pearson's

correlation coefficient of cells expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry and cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3L-mCherry plasmids. Both experimental groups have significantly higher co-localization compared to the negative control with GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and Lyn-mCherry. The ρ values are shown for cells including (grey) and excluding (dark grey) GlyR clusters. C) Spatial ρ from representative cells expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry (left), GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3L-mCherry (middle) or GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and Lyn-mCherry (right). D) Representative dual-color TIRF image of a HEK293 cell co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP (green) and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry (red). Intensity thresholding was applied over the average of the 400 frames (static ROI) to remove GlyR clusters and the extracellular region. Scale bar 10 μ m. E) Dual-color fluorescence trace for one selected pixel over time (yellow arrow orthogonal to the image in D). F) Mean temporal autocorrelation (green and red) and cross-correlation (yellow) of all included pixels after intensity thresholding. Error bars are the 95% confidence intervals. G) The relative cross-correlation (Eq. 12) for cells expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry, GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3L-mCherry plasmids, and the negative control with GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and Lyn-mCherry. H) Average diffusion coefficient and standard deviation obtained via TICCIS for cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCh or GlyR- α 3L-mCh, or for cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3K-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCh. Error bars represent the standard deviation from $n = 5-22$ measurements (see Table S2-3 for n). *** p -value < 0.001 obtained via an unpaired two sample t -test with unequal variance of the data.

To quantify the similarity of the two images and hence the colocalization of the two splice variants in the membrane, we calculated the Pearson's correlation coefficient ρ (Eq. 8). The ρ describes the degree of correlation between green and red channel pixel intensities of a dual-color image [44, 45]. The values of ρ can range from 1 to -1, with 1 a perfect correlation, 0 when there is no correlation and -1 for when there is an inverse relationship (exclusion) between the images. The pixels included in the analysis were confined to the region of the cell membrane since the extracellular region holds pixels with both low green and red intensity values which falsely increases the ρ value [46]. In addition, when cells contained regions with clustering GlyRs, these regions were also omitted by static ROI intensity thresholding to ensure Pearson's analysis was performed only on the heteropentamer fraction. This revealed a positive Pearson's correlation coefficient calculated for images of cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L and GlyR- α 3K, similar to the one calculated for cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L labeled with eGFP and mCherry (Fig. 3B), and significantly higher than for the negative control cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and the monomeric membrane protein Lyn-mCherry that does not interact with GlyR. To confirm that the Pearson's coefficient was indeed determined mainly by the fluorescent receptors, and less by cellular background, ρ was calculated as a function of the pixel shift between the images in the x and

y direction (Fig. 3C). For cells expressing GlyR- α 3L and GlyR- α 3K a clear positive peak was seen, indicative of real co-localization. For cells expressing non-interacting GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and Lyn-mCherry, this peak was generally absent or very small and wide (Fig. 3C, right), indicative of a specific co-localization.

Although Pearson's correlation is an excellent qualitative proof for protein-protein co-localization, to more directly investigate the hetero-oligomerization of the slowly diffusing GlyR- α 3 population we used dual-color cross-correlation TICS (TICCS) in HEK293 cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry [31, 40]. For TICCS, image acquisition of the bottom membrane was performed using dual-color fast alternating TIRF-based excitation microscopy (Fig. 3D). For each pixel position in the image series, the fluorescence time traces (Fig. 3E) were temporally auto- and cross-correlated (Fig. 3F, Eq. 10). While the temporal autocorrelation and cross-correlation functions on their own allow determining molecular parameters such as mobility (Eq. 11), the relative cross-correlation additionally is a proof for their co-diffusion, and even a measure for the interaction affinity between them [47]. For cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry we measured a high relative cross-correlation (Fig. 3G, Eq. 12) that was similar to cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3L-mCherry. This is a result from a similar high interaction affinity. Note that even for constantly interacting or even covalently linked molecules the maximum interaction value is typically around 50-60% (it never reaches the theoretical 100%) since it is limited due to factors such as incomplete fluorescent protein maturation or the partial overlap between green and red microscope detection volumes [48]. As a negative control, we analyzed cells containing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and Lyn-mCherry (Fig. 3G). We observed a very low cross-correlation amplitude (Fig. S3E) and significantly lower relative cross-correlation.

In contrast to single-color fluctuation experiments, dual-color TICCS offers the additional possibility to focus specifically on the diffusion properties of the heteropentameric complexes containing both eGFP and mCherry fluorophores. In line with the single-color experiments, these data also show that GlyR- α 3L/K complexes exhibit higher diffusion coefficients compared to GlyR- α 3L-eGFP/mCherry homopentamers (Fig. 3H, Table S2). Interestingly though, the heteropentamer D derived from the cross-correlation function was completely indistinguishable from that of K homopentamers, indicating that the K splice variant dominates the diffusion pattern of K/L heteropentamers. Together, the Pearson's correlation and dual color TICCS experiments prove that GlyR- α 3L and GlyR- α 3K are localizing and diffusing as a complex. As the masked analyses we perform allow focusing on GlyR pentamers, this must mean the GlyR- α 3K and GlyR- α 3L splice variants can heteropentamerize. Moreover, heteropentamer diffusion is dictated by the short-loop splice variant K. We next wondered whether these heteropentamers existed in a defined heterostochiometry or not.

The stoichiometry of GlyR heteropentamers depends on the relative subtype expression

To provide further insights into the stoichiometry of heteropentamers we performed two types of experiments: single-molecule step-wise photobleaching and molecular brightness analysis. For the first experiment, we performed continuous TIRFM single-molecule imaging of the eGFP labels in fixated cells co-expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry and analyzed the resulting single-molecule traces with a step-finding algorithm to count the number of fluorescing eGFPs in a single complex (Fig. 4A). As the co-localization and fluctuation experiments showed that under such experimental conditions, these complexes are most likely heteropentamers containing both eGFP and mCherry fluorophores, it is expected that compared to samples containing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP homopentamers (Fig. 1D), the number of eGFP moieties per complex should be lower. Indeed, the experimental data revealed a distribution with, on average, less eGFP subunits per spot compared to GlyR- α 3L-eGFP homopentamers (Fig. 4B; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test $p < 0.01$).

Fig. 4: Automated subunit analysis and molecular brightness analysis shows the effect of relative expression on the GlyR stoichiometry. A) Representative images of HEK293 cells expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP (green) and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry (red). Scale bar 10 μ m. B) Step distribution histogram of GlyR- α 3L-eGFP in the presence (light grey, 301 spots) and absence (black, 477 spots) of GlyR- α 3K-mCherry. In the presence of GlyR- α 3K-mCherry there is a significant shift towards a lower number of GlyR- α 3L-eGFP subunits. ** p -value < 0.01 obtained with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. C) Fitted binomial distribution functions with a sum of a 5th order

binomial and n^{th} order ($n=1-4$) binomial. See Table S4 for heteromeric fraction and p -value for the fit (χ^2 -test). D) Representative confocal microscopy image of the first frame from an image series of a HEK293 cell expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry. Scale bar 10 μm . E) Molecular brightness comparison of the membrane-bound monomeric protein Lyn-eGFP to GlyR- α 3L-eGFP in either the presence or absence of GlyR- α 3K-mCherry. Error bars represent the standard deviation from $n = 5-20$ measurements (see Table S5 for n). * p -value < 0.05 obtained via an unpaired two sample t -test with unequal variance of the data. F) Molecular brightness of GlyR- α 3L-eGFP (light grey) and monomeric Lyn-eGFP (dark grey) in the presence of variable amount of GlyR- α 3K-mCherry. The semilog line fit shows a decrease in brightness for GlyR- α 3L-eGFP upon increasing ratio of GlyR- α 3K-mCherry to GlyR- α 3L-eGFP.

We fitted the resulting step frequency distribution using two binomials, one representing the heteropentamer (up to 4 GlyR- α 3L-eGFP subunits) and the other the homopentamer fraction (Eq. 2, Fig. 4C). The maturation probability (p_m) and probability of overlapping spots (1-A) was fixed to 47% and 12%, respectively, based on the experiments on homopentamers (Fig. 1D), while the relative fraction of heteropentamers was fitted. The goodness-of-fit obtained via the χ^2 -test (Supplementary Table S4) was best for a 3rd order binomial and a heteropentameric fraction of 36% (goodness-of-fit P -value from a χ^2 test = 0.725, with 1 being a perfect fit). However, as relatively good fits were obtained as well with a 2nd (P -value = 0.332) or 4th (p -value = 0.672) order binomial for a heteropentameric fraction of 23% and 67% respectively, these analyses are compatible with a scenario where heteropentamers contain on average 2-4 eGFP-containing subunits.

For the molecular brightness analysis, we recorded a confocal image series of living cells expressing GlyR- α 3L-eGFP alone, or together with GlyR- α 3K-mCherry (Fig. 4D) and analyzed the molecular brightness in the eGFP detection channel via dynamic-ROI based RICS (Eq. 7). As expected, this revealed a significantly lower molecular brightness for L/K heteropentamers as compared to L homopentamers (Fig. 4E, Table S5). Interestingly, the molecular brightness calculated in the eGFP channel scaled with the signal (count rate) ratio of GlyR- α 3K-mCh compared to GlyR- α 3L-eGFP (Fig. 4F), and a few cells even exhibited a similar molecular brightness as observed for the monomeric control Lyn-eGFP, meaning the presence of heteropentameric GlyRs with only a single L-eGFP subunit. Taken together, these experiments suggest the stoichiometry of GlyR- α 3 heteropentamers is not fixed but variable, and depends on the relative expression of the L and K subtypes.

The α 3K electrophysiological signature dominates in heteropentamers

At this stage, we revealed the existence of K/L heteropentamers and investigated their molecular organisation. Lastly, we wanted to investigate possible functional differences between

heteropentamers and homopentamers. Indeed, this might help to understand the consequences of an aberrant L/K ratio as observed in TLE. Practically, we used on-cell single-channel patch-clamp electrophysiology as opposed to whole-cell measurements to avoid averaging out activities of different co-existing species. Moreover, to verify the GlyR expression levels and ensure patch clamp measurements were performed under identical conditions as the fluorescence experiments, the patch clamp setup was mounted directly onto the single-molecules fluorescence microscope used for the stepwise photobleaching and TICS/TICCS experiments. Cells were transfected with either GlyR- α 3L-eGFP or GlyR- α 3K-mCherry, or with both. Importantly, the transfection conditions were similar to those used in the TICCS measurements, where we showed a high prevalence of heteropentamers for cells transfected with both plasmids. We selected cells with proper expression levels using fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 5A) and then performed on-cell single-channel electrophysiology to determine different possible conductance states (Fig. 5B).

Fig. 5. On-cell measurements show activated α 3K yields a high-current conducting state. A)

Transfected cells are identified by eGFP or mCherry fluorescence. Scale bar 5 μ m. B) Example of a current time trace and analysis of a single opening event during which a peak current is seen.

On-cell measurements are performed by placing a patch pipette to measure chloride currents

through a single glycine receptor. For each single channel event, a histogram of all measured currents is fit with a double gaussian, yielding a best fit value for the mean baseline current and the mean peak current. Subtracting the mean baseline current from the mean peak current yields the 'mean current of the single opening event' C-E) Examples of single-channel current traces with accompanying histograms of 'mean currents of the single opening events' of cells transfected with $\alpha 3L$ ($n = 10$, red), $\alpha 3K$ ($n = 18$, blue) or $\alpha 3L$ and $\alpha 3K$ ($n = 15$, black). Histograms were fit with a double Gaussian to identify the most common peak amplitudes of single events. For $\alpha 3K$ and for $\alpha 3L$ and $\alpha 3K$ an example of both a low and a high current single-channel trace is shown.

Like this, we could reveal two frequently occurring states for all tested conditions (Fig. 5C-E), with the first conductance state (around 2.3 pA) similar for all conditions (K, L and K/L), but the second conductance state showing significantly lower currents for $\alpha 3L$ (around 4.7 pA) compared to $\alpha 3K$ and $\alpha 3L + \alpha 3K$ (around 6.4 pA). Contrary to what is known from [25-29] literature, our data seem to indicate that the presence of the $\alpha 3K$ subunit in the GlyR yields higher currents.

Discussion

The glycine receptor is a ligand-gated chloride channel that plays a crucial role in the general physiology of the CNS. Its $\alpha 3$ isoform, in particular, is involved epilepsy and chronic pain [5, 9, 26]. Two splice variants of $\alpha 3$ exist (Fig. 1A). As homopentamers, the splice variants differ in subneuronal distribution, electrical conductance and desensitization, clustering tendency, interactions with subcellular components and diffusion properties [3, 5, 6, 20-22], yet it is not known whether L and K variants can heteropentamerize. Indeed, such a process may lead to new or intermediate properties, or properties biased more towards one variant or the other. In an attempt to provide a more detailed fundamental cell-biological understanding of the working of GlyR- $\alpha 3$, we thus investigated the hypothesis that the different splice variants of GlyR- $\alpha 3$ can assemble into functional heteropentamers. To prove this hypothesis via advanced fluorescence imaging we first had to set up a new quantitative image analysis methodology for studying single pentamers in a complex sample, cell membranes containing both single pentamers and clusters of the same protein. Then, using this methodology we revisited prior work on the diffusion properties of GlyR- $\alpha 3$ splice variants in HEK293 cells to unequivocally prove whether RNA splicing determines the membrane mobility of the protein. Only hereafter could we embark on proving the existence of GlyR- $\alpha 3$ L/K heteropentamers, and on quantifying their molecular and functional properties.

Tools for studying defined molecular species in the case of oligomerization/clustering

A first methodological aim was to set up the necessary experimental tools to quantify single GlyR- $\alpha 3$ pentamer properties in cells. This is particularly challenging because of the tendency of GlyR- $\alpha 3$ to form subcellular clusters [5, 22, 23] that would overshadow the analysis. In previous research done by Notelaers et al., GlyR- $\alpha 3$ properties were investigated using fluctuation spectroscopy, single-molecule and super-resolution fluorescence methods, yet it was not explicitly investigated which observed species were representative of single pentamers or clusters [20-22]. Indeed, although image correlation spectroscopy (ICS) methods are quite robust in quantifying concentrations, diffusion and stoichiometry for monodisperse samples [49], they perform particularly badly in the case of polydisperse ones containing clusters, aggregates or multimeric species [50-52]. Here, we exploited the molecular fluorescence brightness of fluorescent protein labeled GlyR splice variants to validate that our methodology does specifically allow studying single pentamer properties. On the one hand, we performed subunit counting via stepwise photobleaching [32, 33] experiments in low-expressing cells in which fluorescent homomeric GlyR- $\alpha 3$ L-eGFP was present as clearly discernable fluorescent spots (Fig. 1C-D). This way we found that the number of fluorescence bleaching steps per spot was similar to the previously studied GlyR- $\alpha 1$ under non-clustering conditions in HEK293 cells [32, 36]. On the other hand, we used a more recent extension of classical ICS called arbitrary-region ICS (ARICS)

[50], where image series are segmented based on the local pixel fluorescence intensity, to specifically quantify the average molecular fluorescence brightness for single GlyR pentamer complexes diffusing in the live cell membrane (Fig. 1E-H; Video S1-2). With this analysis, we could show that results for (the more clustering-prone) homomeric GlyR- α 3L-eGFP expressing cells were in line with non-clustering stoichiometric control proteins. This finally proved that our cellular expression system, HEK293 cells expressing fluorescent protein labeled GlyR splice variants from a crippled CMV promotor, and single-molecule photobleaching and segmented ICS analyses, are adequate for studying single pentamer properties, even when a non-negligible clustering subpopulation is present. Apart from the investigations performed in the rest of our paper, the methodological toolbox presented here can be applied for examining protein interactions, oligomerization, mobility and stoichiometry of other oligomeric receptors or multimeric proteins. Also, relative to the original methodological publication on segmented ICS [50] (detailed protocol in [53]), we did extend our in-house developed software for robust segmented single- and dual-color raster and temporal ICS analysis. This software can be downloaded free-of-charge (see Materials and Methods), is fully documented (<https://pam.readthedocs.io/en/latest/mia.html>), can be operated via a convenient graphical user interface from Microsoft and Apple operating systems, accepts a variety of images/videos, and can export figures and videos directly in publication-format.

Specific subcellular interactions of single GlyR- α 3L pentamers decrease their membrane mobility

Physiologically, GlyR- α 3 is present in cells both as clustered and single pentamers. While α 3K is more randomly distributed over the cell membrane, the situation for α 3L is balanced somewhat more in favor of clusters. The α 3L interacts with submembranous components specifically enriched at the presynapse, where it can promote (at glutamatergic nerve termini) neurotransmitter release [6]. Functionally, clustering of α 3L thus seems to be an efficient way to promote this local enrichment. Notelaers et al. previously reported that overall, the subcellular mobility of α 3L was lower than of α 3K [20, 21]. For systems undergoing Brownian diffusion, the mobility (more specifically, the translational diffusion constant) of a freely-diffusing entity scales inversely with its size (Einstein-Smoluchowski relation). For membrane proteins in particular, mobility scales with the radius of the transmembrane region [54] [54, 55]. For the specific case of GlyR- α 3L, receptor clustering would increase the size of the diffusing complex, and this would reduce mobility. Likewise, however, strong interactions of GlyR- α 3 with large or immobile submembranous components would also likely reduce its overall mobility. As a follow-up of the work of Notelaers et al., we investigated whether a difference in single-pentamer mobility between the L and K variants can also be detected using our experimental setup. To this extent, we performed both confocal and TIRF-based microscopy and ICS analysis of GlyR- α 3 expressing HEK293 cells to study the diffusion properties of the two splice variants. We segmented the images

before ICS analysis to exclude those pixel regions containing GlyR clusters. Via confocal raster ICS (RICS) analysis we observed a fast freely diffusing component for both isoforms with similar diffusion coefficients ($D_{\alpha 3L} = 0.26 \pm 0.11 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ and $D_{\alpha 3K} = 0.29 \pm 0.08 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) (Fig. 2A-E, Table S1). The existence of this freely diffusing component, that has been described before [21], suggests that at least a fraction of the GlyR- $\alpha 3$ population does not interact with immobile cellular components, or that the mere limited affinity for the latter defines the presence of a significant unbound component. The presence of functional GlyRs with relatively high mobility is, however, not surprising. It could allow for a faster reconstitution of non-desensitized GlyR receptor pools, as has been shown previously for the AMPA receptor, another ligand-gated ion channel [56]. When we studied the diffusion of single GlyR- $\alpha 3$ pentamers using TIRF-based temporal ICS (TICS), we observed a second, much less mobile species for both splice variants, which, interestingly, was even less mobile for $\alpha 3L$ as compared to $\alpha 3K$ ($D_{\alpha 3L} = 0.057 \pm 0.014 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ and $D_{\alpha 3K} = 0.089 \pm 0.023 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) (Fig. 2F-I, Table S1-S2). This observation, in term, strongly suggests that the 15-residue-longer loop of GlyR- $\alpha 3L$ relative to GlyR- $\alpha 3K$ does indeed stabilize interactions with cellular interaction partners such as proteins or lipids, independent of GlyR clustering [6]. For primary neuron hippocampal cells, vesicular transport protein SEC8 targets the GlyR- $\alpha 3L$ to the presynapse, and in vivo, GlyR- $\alpha 3L$ was indeed detected at presynaptic terminals of glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons [6]. An interesting follow-up study would be to use site-directed mutagenesis of the insert region to more closely study sequence motifs of GlyR- $\alpha 3L$ binding partners that control axonal receptor trafficking and localization. Conversely, GlyR- $\alpha 3K$ is mainly distributed somatodendritically, but is also expected to be present in axonal and presynaptic compartments as this splice variant lacks a subcellular targeting signal and hence diffuses throughout the neuronal plasma membrane. This notion is furthermore supported by a recent study showing that there is no GlyR- β protein expression in hippocampal neurons [57], which could target the GlyR- α/β heteropentamers to postsynaptic gephyrin-positive scaffolds [19, 58].

GlyR- $\alpha 3L$ and GlyR- $\alpha 3K$ splice variants form heteropentamers of variable stoichiometry.

Co-clusters of GlyR- $\alpha 3$ splice variants have already been reported [22]. The single-color TICS experiments we performed in the present study, however, provided a first hint towards a direct interaction between $\alpha 3L$ and $\alpha 3K$ splice variants in the form of heteropentamers, since co-expression of $\alpha 3K$ increased the mobility of single $\alpha 3L$ pentamers ($D_{\alpha 3L} = 0.047 \pm 0.012 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ and $D_{\alpha 3L+K} = 0.061 \pm 0.011 \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) (Table S2). Hetero-oligomers of different isoforms of GlyR- α/β [59] and of other ion channels such as the NMDA receptors have been described before, and also the biogenesis of GlyR- $\alpha 3$ would be compatible with it [60]. For GlyR- $\alpha 3$ they are of specific interest because of the differential subcellular localization of splice variants [6] and because of their different electrophysiological desensitization signatures [3].

To provide a more conclusive answer, we first demonstrated co-localization between GlyR- α 3L and GlyR- α 3K upon co-expression in HEK293 cells using a spatial version of Pearson's colocalization analysis that is more robust against coincidental pixel co-localization (Fig. 3A-C, Fig. S3H, Table S3) [44, 61]. Subsequently, we used dual color temporal ICS (TICCS) to unequivocally demonstrate, for the first time, heteropentamerization of GlyR- α 3L and GlyR- α 3K (Fig. 3D-G, Table S2). Finally, we employed direct subunit counting via stepwise photobleaching to quantify that the average stoichiometry of heteropentamers is 2-4 α 3L-eGFP-containing subunits (Fig. 4A-C, Table S4). A non-negligible homomeric fraction was also present in all datasets, which furthermore supports the absence of a defined heterostochiometry. Direct subunit counting via stepwise photobleaching was previously used to show that α 1 and β isoforms, genetically labeled with fluorescent proteins, heteropentamerize in a α 3 β 2 stoichiometry [59]. Finally, we carried out molecular brightness analysis to reveal that the heterostochiometry is indeed variable and depends on the expression ratio of both splice variants (Fig. 4D-F, Table S5). Putting all stoichiometry data together we did not provide any evidence for a highly specific α 3L/K stoichiometry.

GlyR- α 3L/K heteropentamers have GlyR- α 3K-like mobility and conductance characteristics.

The presence of heteropentamers can have several implications for GlyR- α 3 function. In this paper, we investigated the subcellular mobility and electrophysiological signature of heteropentamers. Single-color TICS provides a readout of mobility, and evidenced that co-expression of α 3K increased the mobility of α 3L (Fig. 2J, Table S2). Of course, in the case of a subcellular mixture of homo- and heteropentamers, such single-color measurements only provide an average view, which is why we next performed a mobility analysis of only those species containing both α 3K and α 3L via image cross-correlation analysis via dual-color temporal ICS (TICCS) (Fig. 3H, Table S2). From these experiments it became apparent that the diffusion signature of the K isoform is dominant for the mobility of the heteropentamers. This additionally suggests that the subcellular interactions of α 3L that render its mobility slow are multivalent rather than monovalent. As many as 5 units of α 3L thus seem to be needed to result in its homomeric mobility signature. This might mean that the affinity of subcellular interactions of α 3L is rather low, and that an avidity effect leads to the observed reduced mobility of homomers. Finally, combined on-cell patch clamp and fluorescence microscopy allowed us to investigate the single channel current of GlyR- α 3 in cells expressing both GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry. A GlyR- α 3K-shifted conductance was observed for cells containing heteropentamers, which was larger in amplitude compared to currents from GlyR- α 3L-eGFP homopentamers.

As GlyR- α 3L adopts the mobility signature of GlyR- α 3K in heteropentamers, in regions of the brain where co-expression of GlyR- α 3L and GlyR- α 3K occurs, this could mean that heteropentamerization influences GlyR renewal in the plasma membrane, and as a result GlyR functionality. Consequently,

614 this further stresses the importance of well-regulated alternative splicing for GlyR- α 3 signaling. As in
 615 healthy people there is an increased presence of GlyR- α 3L compared to GlyR- α 3K, a small increase in
 616 alternative splicing would have an effect on even more GlyR- α 3L pentamers due to
 617 heteropentamerization. Due to heteropentamerization a higher fraction of GlyR- α 3L containing
 618 pentamers will have a higher mobility, which could enable faster reconstitution of the
 619 non-desensitized GlyR receptor pool [56]. The results from electrophysiology in particular also point to
 620 the possibility that the neuronal output can be increased by GlyR- α 3 heteropentamers, particularly in
 621 conditions such as TLE where increased RNA editing and resulting gain-of-function receptors lever out
 622 rules of homeostatic regulation of the neuronal output [6, 14]. Importantly, subcellular trafficking and
 623 localization (pre- or postsynaptic, or e.g. in the distal and basolateral membrane compartments of
 624 epithelial cells) must be logically and interpretively distinguished from terms that describe single
 625 channel signatures of mobility and electrophysiology (conductance states). Indeed, a single receptor
 626 pentamer with specific mobility and conductance states can lead to very different outcomes depending
 627 on its subcellular localization. For example, due to its very small surface, the electrical capacity (C) of a
 628 presynapse is much lower compared to the somatodendritic compartment, and hence, one single
 629 channel conductance of chloride ions (Q) through the presynaptic plasma membrane will have a much
 630 greater impact on membrane potential (U) compared to the same conductance in the somatodendritic
 631 compartment ($\Delta U = Q/C$).

Conclusion

In this work, we investigated the long (L) and short (K) intracellular loop splice variants of the GlyR- α 3 isoform, that is related to chronic pain and temporal lobe epilepsy. We unambiguously showed that these splice variants co-assemble into electrophysiologically active heteropentamers in live HEK293 cells. To do this, we had to set up and validate a combination of advanced single-molecule fluorescence, fluorescence fluctuation correlation and patch clamp methods, as the GlyR- α 3 tends to cluster inside cell membrane, and this clustering is extraordinarily challenging for quantitative investigations. First and foremost, this work constitutes a methodological framework that can be used for investigating other types of complex hetero-oligomerizing molecular systems in a cell-biological context. Biologically, it turned out that, while the GlyR- α 3L was well-known to determine the subcellular localization of GlyR- α 3 channels, GlyR- α 3K is leading in the regulation of both the in-membrane mobility of GlyR- α 3, as well as in the ion channel's activity. Indeed, heteropentamers were both more mobile than L homomers, and exhibited a larger open-state electrical conductance. Future research could be aimed at studying GlyR heteropentamer clustering, localisation and activity in primary neuron cells, as this would corroborate the importance of heteropentamers in neuronal signaling. Likewise, measuring channel open times would prove that heteropentamerization is important for fine-tuning of neuronal activity, which would, in turn, provide insights into the desensitization behaviour of heteropentamers.

Materials and methods

DNA plasmids

Plasmids encoding mouse GlyR- α 3L or α 3K containing an N-terminal eGFP or mCherry were already described [62] or obtained accordingly using standard molecular cloning technology by replacing mCherry with eGFP. N-terminal eGFP insert was amplified with PCR (5'-CGGTCTCCGGAATGGTGAGCAAGGGC-3' and 5'-GGCCTCCGGAAGTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC-3'), the GlyR- α 3L/K plasmids and the amplified eGFP insert were digested with BspE1. The vector plasmids were treated with calf intestine phosphatase before the ligation was performed. The enhancer region of the cytomegalovirus promotor in the GlyR- α 3-coding plasmids was shortened similar as in [34] to reduce expression levels by mutagenesis. We did this by amplification of the GlyR-FP plasmids using PCR with primers 5'-ATATGGTACCTGGGAGGTCTATATAAGCAGAG-3' and 5'-ATAAGGTACCCCAGGCGGGCCATTTACCGTA-3' followed by digestion with KpnI (ThermoFisher Scientific, Merelbeke, Belgium) and ligation using instant sticky-end ligase Master mix (NEB, Bioké Leiden, Nederland). Plasmids used as a negative control (Lyn-FP) were first used in [63] as a negative control for membrane receptor dimerization and encode the tyrosine-protein kinase Lyn coupled to a fluorescent protein eGFP or mCherry. Plasmids expressing eGFP or an oligomeric chain of 3 or 5 eGFPs (eGFP, eGFP₃ and eGFP₅) previously used in [64] were used as an stoichiometric reference.

Cell culture and transfection

Human embryonic kidney 293 cells (HEK293 cells, provided by Dr. R. Koninckx, Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium) were cultured up to a maximum passage number of 20, at 37 °C and under a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere in complete DMEM medium (D6429, Sigma-Aldrich, Overijse, Belgium) supplemented with 10% FCS (Sigma-Aldrich). At least 24 h before transfection, 150,000 cells were plated in complete medium in a 35-mm diameter #1.5 (170 μ m glass thickness) glass bottom dish (MatTek, Bratislava, Slovak Republic). Cells were transfected via calcium phosphate-DNA co-precipitation [65]. The phosphate-DNA mix contained 86 μ L HEPES-buffered saline (HBS) (280 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 15 mM D-glucose, 1.5 mM Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.1,) and 2000 ng total plasmid DNA per dish including the 50-1000 ng FP-tagged encoding plasmids supplemented with an empty plasmid vector (pCAG-FALSE, Addgene plasmid #89689) depending on the aimed fluorescence level [35]. To this mix 5.1 μ L 2.5 M CaCl₂ was added, and after 10 min of incubation at room temperature (RT) the mix was added dropwise to the cells.

Immunostaining

Fixated cells expressing human GLRA3 were permeabilized at RT with permeabilization buffer (40 mL PBS, 2 g sucrose, 400 μ L 10% Triton X-100) during 5 min, after which the cells were washed twice with washing buffer (40 mL PBS + 40 μ L Triton X-100 10%). Next, cells were incubated for 10 min with proteinase K (0.1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Merelbeke, Belgium) for antigen retrieval. After washing once again with washing buffer, a blocking buffer (40 mL PBS + 0.4 g BSA + 40 μ L Triton X-100 10%) was added to the cells. Finally, cells were incubated for 1 h with the primary anti-GLRA2 (1/2000 in blocking buffer; ab97628 Abcam, Cambridge, England), washed 3 times with blocking buffer and incubated for 2 h with Alexa 647 anti-rabbit (1/250, A21247, Thermo Fisher Scientific). After washing the cells 3 times with PBS, the cells were stored at 4 °C for limited time.

Total internal reflection and widefield fluorescence microscopy imaging

A Zeiss ELYRA PS.1 inverted microscope with a Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.46 oil DIC M27 objective lens and Andor iXon+ 897 EMCCD camera operated at EM gain ~200 was used in total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) mode to selectively excite molecules near (< 200 nm) the bottom cell membrane. Images were recorded at room temperature using a multiband emission filter LBF 488/561 at a resolution of 256x256 pixels² and a pixel size of 150 nm. The 488 nm and 561 nm HR diode-pumped solid-state lasers were used. Immunostaining imaging was done on the same setup, using an additional 642 nm HR diode-pumped solid-state laser. The reported laser powers were measured on the objective lens with immersion oil using a calibrated S170C microscope slide power sensor head (Thorlabs, Dortmund, Germany). Imaging was done using the ZEN software (Zeiss).

Subunit counting by photobleaching analysis

TIRF images were acquired as described above using cells transfected with 50 ng GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and 0-500 ng GlyR- α 3K-mCherry which were fixated 22 h post-transfection for 24 h at 4 °C using 3% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline. Before acquiring the images for the photobleaching analysis, in each cell mCherry was photobleached with the 561 nm laser (5% power, 2.5 mW) in order to eliminate Förster resonance energy transfer between eGFP and mCherry. Next, 2000 frames were acquired at 100 ms per frame using the 488 nm laser at high enough power to induce step-wise photobleaching (1.5% power, 660 μ W). Data analysis was performed using the Progressive Idealization and Filtering (PIF) software kindly provided by Dr. Rikard Blunck [32]. Molecules were located by selecting of 5x5 pixels² spots with the signal-to-noise ($\delta F/F$) setting at 20%. Next, intensity time traces were extracted from a 3x3 pixels² region in the center of each spot. Partially overlapping spots were excluded from analysis. Photobleaching steps were identified via a step-finding algorithm when steps had a minimum length of 3 frames, and steps were not allowed to vary more than 60% in amplitude

compared to other steps in the time trace. In addition, a minimal step signal-to-noise value of 2 was required. Cells with more than 10% accepted traces were included in the step frequency histogram. The step distribution of cells expressing only GlyR- α 3L-eGFP was analyzed using the sum of two binomial distributions:

$$B(x; n + 2n, p_m) \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

$$= A \frac{n!}{x! (n - x)!} p_m^x (1 - p_m)^{n-x} + (1 - A) \frac{2n!}{x! (2n - x)!} p_m^x (1 - p_m)^{2n-x}$$

where B is the likelihood of observing x bleaching steps, n is the number of fluorescent eGFP molecules present in a single GlyR complex ($n = 5$), p_m is the probability that the fluorophore is matured and non-bleached at the start of the recording, A is the fraction of spots containing not more than one GlyR complex and $1-A$ is the fraction of spots containing two GlyR complexes. This equation assumes the fraction of spots containing more than two pentamers is negligible. In general, the p_m -value reported in studies using subunit counting via stepwise photobleaching is typically on the low side (50-80%) [32, 33, 66] compared to other studies (~80%) [67, 68]. The broad range is appointed to variability between experimental groups such as the used cell line, fluorescent protein [69], temperature during maturation [32], cell fixation and fluorophore prebleaching [70]. To describe the step distribution of cells expressing both GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry and determine the stoichiometry (*het*) of the heteropentamers, Eq. 1 was extended to:

$$B(x; n + 2n + \text{het} + 2\text{het}, p_m) \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

$$= H[B(x; n + 2n, p_m)] + (1 - H) [B(x; \text{het} + 2\text{het}, p_m)]$$

Here H represents the fraction of homopentamers and $1-H$ represents the fraction of heteropentamers in the sample. Fitting this equation to the bleaching histograms of cells transfected with both, GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry, gives best fit values for H and *het*. Goodness-of-fit was determined using the chi-squared test. A good fit is indicated by a low χ^2 value with $p > 0.05$, the model does not fit the data if $p < 0.05$ [59].

Correlation analysis

Fluctuation imaging and co-localization analyses were performed in the software package PAM [71]. In all equations that follow, pre-processed intensity images $I_i(x, y, t)$ are converted into fluctuation images $\delta I_i(x, y, t)$ prior to correlation analysis by subtracting the mean image intensity $\langle I_i \rangle$:

$$\delta I_i(x, y, t) = I_i(x, y, t) - \langle I_i \rangle \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

where i is the imaging channel, (x, y, t) denote the pixel coordinates and the angled brackets represent the average of all pixels included into the region-of-interest used for analysis.

Raster image correlation spectroscopy

We used an inverted Zeiss LSM880 laser scanning microscope with a Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.4 Oil DIC M27 oil objective and MBS488/594 beam splitter to image live cells transfected with 100 ng GlyR- α 3L-eGFP, 100 ng GlyR- α 3K-eGFP or 50 ng Lyn-eGFP alone and/or combined with 0-1000 ng GlyR- α 3K-mCherry, between 22-28 h post-transfection. Since RICS is ideally suited for capturing fast dynamics [31, 38, 41], the cells were held at 37 °C. However, to allow comparisons of RICS and TICS data, we did carry out limited RICS experiments at RT too (Fig. S3F). This revealed that the species observed with RICS still exhibited faster diffusion than those observed with TICS when measured at RT, and thus indeed represents a different subpopulation. Images were collected using parameters appropriate for RICS [42], i.e. 256x256 pixels² with a 50 nm pixel size. Pixel dwell, line and image times were 8.19 μ s, 4.92 ms and 1.26 s, respectively. The eGFP species were excited with a 488 nm argon-ion laser (0.3%, 1 μ W) and mCherry species with a 594 nm HeNe laser (1%, 6 μ W). Fluorescence was detected using a spectral detector (Zeiss Quasar) operated in photon counting mode in 23 spectral bins with ~9 nm bin width ranging from 490 nm to 695 nm. For quantitative analysis of eGFP-tagged molecules, bins 1-11 (490 nm to 589 nm) were summed for further analysis. Prior to autocorrelation analysis, we excluded contributions from slow processes such as cell and cell organelle movement using a moving average correction according to [39, 41, 72]:

$$I_{RICS}(x, y, f) = I(x, y, f) - \langle I(x, y, f) \rangle_{\Delta F} + \langle I \rangle_{XYF} \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

in which $I(x, y, f)$ corresponds to each individual image, $\langle I(x, y, f) \rangle_{\Delta F}$ is the local mean image calculated over a short 3-frame interval from frame $f - \Delta F$ to frame $f + \Delta F$ with $\Delta F = 1$, and $\langle I \rangle_{XYF}$ is the mean intensity over all frames. Next, pixels outside the cell were removed by freehand-drawing based selection of the cell membrane, while GlyR clusters were removed using frame-based intensity thresholding. Specifically, both green and red images were first individually masked by intensity thresholding to remove (equalize to zero) pixels belonging to high-intensity clusters of fluorescence [50]. The final mask contained pixels that were included in each individual image's mask and was smoothed using a 3x3 median filter as described above for co-localization analysis. Subsequently, the autocorrelation function was calculated per image frame using the arbitrary region-of-interest RICS (ARICS) algorithm [50]:

$$G(\xi, \psi) = \frac{\langle \delta I_{RICS}(x, y) \cdot \delta I_{RICS}(x + \xi, y + \psi) \rangle}{\langle I_{RICS} \rangle^2} \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

in which ξ and ψ are the spatial lags in pixels, the $\cdot$ is the correlation operator, the angled brackets represent the average of all included pixels within the mask and $\langle I_{RICS} \rangle$ is the average of all moving-

average corrected pixels included into the region-of-interest used for analysis. To compare different datasets, we often plot only the $(\xi, 0)$ correlations (example in Fig. 1F) or $(\xi, 0)$ and $(0, \psi)$ correlations (example in Fig. 2D). Finally, the autocorrelation function was fitted with a one-component model assuming a two-dimensional Gaussian point spread function to obtain the diffusion coefficient, D , and average number of molecules in the focus, N .

$$G(\xi, \psi) = \frac{\gamma}{N} \left(1 + \frac{4D |\xi \tau_p + \psi \tau_l|}{\omega_r^2} \right)^{-1} \exp \left(- \frac{\delta r^2 (\xi^2 + \psi^2)}{\omega_r^2 + 4D |\xi \tau_p + \psi \tau_l|} \right) \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

Here γ is the shape factor for a 2D Gaussian and equals $2^{-3/2}$ [73], τ_p and τ_l are pixel and line dwell times, δ_r is the pixel size and ω_r the lateral waist of the focus determined by calibration measurements (Fig. S4B). The RICS data was also used for calculating the molecular brightness of eGFP-containing diffusing molecules. Brightness (ε), expressed in kilophotons emitted per diffusing complex per second at the center of the confocal spot, was calculated by dividing the mean intensity of the image series (F) by the number of molecules obtained via RICS autocorrelation analysis (N_{ACF1})

$$\varepsilon = \frac{F}{N_{ACF1}}. \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

As stoichiometric references, cells were transfected with 5-10 ng eGFP, eGFP₃ or eGFP₅ encoding plasmids and investigated 22-28 h post-transfection as described above. When determining N , the moving average correction bias on the correlation amplitude was also corrected for as described before (Eq. 11 in [50]). Finally, stably focusing on the bottom membrane was achieved using a Zeiss Definite Focus.2 which acquired 60 frames at two different z-positions above the coverslip with an interval of 0.4 μm , alternating height each image frame, after which the time series at the z-position with highest average intensity was selected for analysis. We did also observe a clear effect of focus height above the coverslip on the molecular brightness, but not on the diffusion coefficient as shown in Fig. S3G, and as described before [41].

Pearson's co-localization analysis

A 400-frame TIRF image series of live cells transfected with 100 ng GlyR- α 3-eGFP and 150 ng GlyR- α 3-mCherry or 50 ng Lyn-mCherry was acquired 22h-28h post transfection at 80 ms per frame using alternating 2-color excitation. The eGFP species were excited during 20 ms at 488 nm (0.75% power, $\sim 330 \mu\text{W}$), followed by 20 ms excitation of the mCherry species at 561 nm (1.5% power, $\sim 750 \mu\text{W}$). A modified image correlation calculation was used to calculate the Pearson's correlation coefficient ρ and to check the specificity of ρ [44, 61]. Image masking was performed as for RICS analysis. The ρ of the masked images was then calculated using:

$$\rho(\xi, \psi) = \frac{\langle \delta I_1(x, y) \cdot \delta I_2(x + \xi, y + \psi) \rangle}{\langle \sigma_1 \rangle \cdot \langle \sigma_2 \rangle} \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$

The $\rho(0,0)$ is the classical Pearson's coefficient, $\rho \approx 1$ means green- and red-labeled containing molecular complexes are overlapping, $\rho \approx 0$ means a random distribution and a value approaching -1 would mean exclusion. For the Pearson's analysis, the same data as for fluctuation analysis was used, which contains significant shot noise. We therefore made an average of the first 5 image frames to obtain the most reliable Pearson's correlation analysis (Fig. S3H).

TICS and dual-color TICS

Sample preparation and TIRF image series recording was performed as described for the Pearson's co-localization analysis. In each pixel the time series are preprocessed to remove the frame-to-frame variation of intensity using [41]:

$$I_{TICS}(x, y, t) = I(x, y, t) - \langle I(t) \rangle_{XY} + \langle I \rangle_{XY} \quad (\text{Eq. 9})$$

where $I(x, y, t)$ is the intensity of any pixel, $\langle I(t) \rangle_{XY}$ is the mean intensity of frame t and $\langle I \rangle_{XY}$ is the mean intensity over all frames. The region inside the cell membrane was selected via freehand-drawing. To exclude high-intensity clusters either dynamic (as described above for RICS) or static image masking was applied (Videos S3-S5). For static region-of-interest (ROI) selection thresholding occurred based on the average intensity of the whole time series. Pixel-based auto- and cross-correlations were calculated using a one-dimensional discrete Fourier transform algorithm [40, 49]:

$$G(x, y, \tau) = \frac{\langle \delta I_{TICS,1}(x, y, t) \delta I_{TICS,2}(x, y, t + \tau) \rangle}{\langle I_{TICS,1}(x, y) \rangle \langle I_{TICS,2}(x, y) \rangle} \quad (\text{Eq. 10})$$

where τ is the time lag and $\delta I_{TICS,1} = \delta I_{TICS,2}$ for autocorrelation of a single imaging channel, while for dual-color cross-correlation $\delta I_{TICS,1}$ and $\delta I_{TICS,2}$ are the values from the green and red image respectively. Finally, a one-component model for 2D diffusion was fitted to the autocorrelation functions (ACFs) and cross-correlation function (CCF) to obtain for each fit the average molecular diffusion coefficient, D :

$$G_{TICS}(\tau) = A_D \left(1 + \frac{4D\tau}{\omega_r^2} \right)^{-1} + A_0 \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

where A_D is the amplitude of the decaying part of the correlation function, ω_r is the radial waist of the point spread function (PSF) inherent to the resolution of the used microscope (Fig. S4A) and A_0 is the offset caused by e.g. immobile molecules. To avoid influence of very slow motion (e.g. cell drift), the data was fitted until a 12-frame lag (i.e., ~ 1 s). The relative cross-correlation was obtained by dividing the amplitude of the cross-correlation function at the center by the mean of the two amplitudes of the corresponding autocorrelation functions.

$$\text{Rel. CC} = \frac{G_{fit,CCF}(0)}{(G_{fit,ACF1}(0) + G_{fit,ACF2}(0))/2} \quad (\text{Eq. 12})$$

Whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology

Cells were transfected with either GlyR- α 3L-eGFP, GlyR- α 3K-eGFP or GlyR- α 3K-mCherry. Recordings were performed at room temperature in voltage-clamp mode using a HEKA EPC10 amplifier (HEKA Electronics, Lambrecht, Germany) controlled by HEKA acquisition software. Patch pipettes (3-4 M Ω) were filled with internal solution containing 120 mM CsCl, 2 mM Na₂ATP, 2 mM MgATP, 10 mM EGTA and 10 mM HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.2 with CsOH. The standard external solution (SES) had a composition of 150 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM glucose and 10 mM HEPES. Glycinergic currents were recorded at a holding potential $V_H = -60$ mV. Different glycine concentrations in SES including 20 μ M, 50 μ M, 100 μ M, 200 μ M, 500 μ M were applied during 10 s. Maximum current amplitude was measured using FitMaster software (HEKA Electronics). The EC₅₀ was calculated by plotting the normalized current as a function of concentration and fitting the data with the Hill equation (GraphPad Prism, La Jolla, CA, USA). For desensitization analysis, the decaying current phase was fitted using a mono-exponential in FitMaster software (HEKA Electronics, Lambrecht, Germany).

On-cell single-channel electrophysiology

Cells were transfected with either GlyR- α 3L-eGFP, GlyR- α 3K-mCherry or both GlyR- α 3L-eGFP and GlyR- α 3K-mCherry. On-cell recordings were performed in voltage clamp mode at RT using a HEKA EPC10 amplifier. The external solution contained 120 mM NaCl, 4.7 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, 14 mM glucose, 20 mM TEA-Cl, 15 mM sucrose, adjusted to a pH of 7.4 with NaOH. Patch pipettes (5 – 15 M Ω) were filled with external solution and 30 – 80 μ M glycine. The holding potential was set at +60 mV. Analysis of on-cell recordings was done using the FitMaster software. Amplitude histograms from single-channel openings were made by manually selecting single-channel opening events with a constant baseline. Histograms were fit with a gaussian fit yielding a mean open amplitude for the event. A histogram was made from all amplitudes in which the most frequently occurring conductance states were identified and fit with a gaussian.

Summary of the Supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the immunocytochemistry of the FP tagged GlyR. Fig. S2 illustrates the functional assessment of fluorescent protein tagged GlyR via electrophysiology, and shows the electrophysiology setup. Fig. S3 shows additional and control image correlation spectroscopy experiments. Fig. S4 shows focus size determination measurements of the Zeiss Elyra PS.1 and LSM880 microscopes. The supplementary tables include diffusion coefficients of the GlyR with frame-based thresholding (Table S1) and with average intensity-based thresholding (Table S2). Table S3 gives Pearson's correlation

868 coefficients to determine co-localization of GlyR- α 3L and GlyR- α 3K. Parameters obtaining from the
869 bleaching histograms fits are in Table S4. Brightness of eGFP tagged proteins can be found in Table S5.

Acknowledgements

We are greatly indebted to Mrs. Petra Bex and Dr. Sam Duwé for expert assistance with electrophysiology and microscopy, respectively. Prof. Em. Marcel Ameloot is thanked for critically reviewing the manuscript. Prof. Gonzalo E. Yevenes and Prof. Gustavo Moraga-Cid (Department of Physiology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Concepción, Concepción, Chile) are thanked for constructive discussions on the patch clamp experiments. Supervised students Hanneke Schroyen, Mahnoor Arif, Sam Vanspauwen and Jolien Broekmans are acknowledged for assistance in conducting experiments.

References

1. Dutertre S, Becker C-M, Betz H. Inhibitory Glycine receptors: An update. *The journal of biological Chemistry*. 2012;287(48):40216-23.
2. Lynch JW, Zhang Y, Talwar S, Estrada-Mondragon A. Glycine Receptor Drug Discovery. *Advances in Pharmacology*. Elsevier; 2017. p. 225-53.
3. Nikolic Z, Laube B, Weber RG, Lichter P, Kioschis P, Poustka A, et al. The human glycine receptor subunit $\alpha 3$. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1998;273(31):19708-14.
4. Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, et al. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science*. 2015;347(6220).
5. Eichler S, Förster B, Smolinsky B, Jüttner R, Lehmann T-N, Fähring M, et al. Splice-specific roles of glycine receptor $\alpha 3$ in the hippocampus. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2009;30:1077-91.
6. Winkelmann A, Maggio N, Eller J, Callskan G, Semtner M, Häussler U, et al. Changes in neural network homeostasis trigger neuropsychiatric symptoms. *The Journal of Clinical investigation* 2014;124:696-711.
7. Çalışkan G, Müller I, Semtner M, Winkelmann A, Raza AS, Hollnagel JO, et al. Identification of parvalbumin interneurons as cellular substrate of fear memory persistence. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2016;26(5):2325-40.
8. Eichler SA, Kirischuk S, Jüttner R, Schafermeier PK, Legendre P, Lehmann TN, et al. Glycinergic tonic inhibition of hippocampal neurons with depolarizing GABAergic transmission elicits histopathological signs of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Journal of cellular and molecular medicine*. 2008;12(6b):2848-66.
9. Harvey RJ, Depner UB, Wässle H, Ahmadi S, Heindl C, Reinold H, et al. GlyR $\alpha 3$: An Essential Target for Spinal PGE₂-Mediated Inflammatory Pain Sensitization. *Science*. 2004;304:884-7.
10. Malosio ML, Grenningloh G, Kuhse J, Schmieden V, Schmitt B, Prior P, et al. Alternative splicing generates two variants of the alpha 1 subunit of the inhibitory glycine receptor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1991;266(4):2048-53.
11. Kuhse J, Kuryatov A, Maulet Y, Malosio M, Schmieden V, Betz H. Alternative splicing generates two isoforms of the $\alpha 2$ subunit of the inhibitory glycine receptor. *FEBS letters*. 1991;283(1):73-7.
12. Oertel J, Villmann C, Kettenmann H, Kirchhoff F, Becker C-M. A Novel Glycine Receptor β Subunit Splice Variant Predicts an Unorthodox Transmembrane Topology ASSEMBLY INTO HETEROMERIC RECEPTOR COMPLEXES. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2007;282(5):2798-807.
13. Zhang L-H, Gong N, Fei D, Xu L, Xu T-L. Glycine uptake regulates hippocampal network activity via glycine receptor-mediated tonic inhibition. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2008;33(3):701-11.
14. Meier JC, Semtner M, Winkelmann A, Wolfart J. Presynaptic mechanisms of neuronal plasticity and their role in epilepsy. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience*. 2014;8:164.
15. Waseem TV, Fedorovich SV. Presynaptic glycine receptors influence plasma membrane potential and glutamate release. *Neurochemical research*. 2010;35(8):1188-95.
16. Kubota H, Alle H, Betz H, Geiger JR. Presynaptic glycine receptors on hippocampal mossy fibers. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*. 2010;393(4):587-91.
17. Ruiz A, Campanac E, Scott RS, Rusakov DA, Kullmann DM. Presynaptic GABA A receptors enhance transmission and LTP induction at hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. *Nature neuroscience*. 2010;13(4):431.
18. Prior P, Schmitt B, Grenningloh G, Pribilla I, Multhaup G, Beyreuther K, et al. Primary structure and alternative splice variants of gephyrin, a putative glycine receptor-tubulin linker protein. *Neuron*. 1992;8(6):1161-70.
19. Meyer G, Kirsch J, Betz H, Langosch D. Identification of a gephyrin binding motif on the glycine receptor β subunit. *Neuron*. 1995;15(3):563-72.
20. Notelaers K, Smisdom N, Rocha S, Janssen D, Meier JC, Rigo J-M, et al. Ensemble and single particle fluorimetric techniques in concerted action to study the diffusion and aggregation of the glycine receptor $\alpha 3$ isoforms in the cell plasma membrane. *biochimica et Biophysica Acta*. 2012;1818:3131-40.

21. Notelaers K, Rocha S, Paesen R, Smisdom N, De Clercq B, Meier JC, et al. Analysis of $\alpha 3$ GlyR single particle tracking in the cell membrane. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*. 2014;1843:544-53.
22. Notelaers K, Rocha S, Paesen R, Swinnen N, Vangindertael J, Meier JC, et al. Membrane distribution of the glycine receptor $\alpha 3$ studied by optical super-resolution microscopy. *Histochem Cell Biol*. 2014;142:79-90.
23. Patrizio A, Renner M, Pizzarelli R, Triller A, Specht C. Alpha subunit-dependent glycine receptor clustering and regulation of synaptic receptor numbers. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7(1):1-11.
24. Marabelli A, Moroni M, Lape R, Sivilotti LG. The kinetic properties of the $\alpha 3$ rat glycine receptor make it suitable for mediating fast synaptic inhibition. *The Journal of physiology*. 2013;591(13):3289-308.
25. Breiteringer HG, Villmann C, Rennert J, Ballhausen D, Becker CM. Hydroxylated residues influence desensitization behaviour of recombinant $\alpha 3$ glycine receptor channels. *Journal of neurochemistry*. 2002;83(1):30-6.
26. Acuña MA, Yévenes GE, Ralvenius WT, Benke D, Di Lio A, Lara CO, et al. Phosphorylation state-dependent modulation of spinal glycine receptors alleviates inflammatory pain The Journal of Clinical investigation. 2016;126(7):2547-60.
27. Lara CO, Murath P, Muñoz B, Marileo AM, San Martín L, San Martín VP, et al. Functional modulation of glycine receptors by the alkaloid gelsemine. *British journal of pharmacology*. 2016;173(14):2263-77.
28. Moraga-Cid G, San Martín VP, Lara CO, Muñoz B, Marileo AM, Sazo A, et al. Modulation of glycine receptor single-channel conductance by intracellular phosphorylation. *Scientific reports*. 2020;10(1):1-11.
29. Bormann J, Rundström N, Betz H, Langosch D. Residues within transmembrane segment M2 determine chloride conductance of glycine receptor homo-and hetero-oligomers. *The EMBO Journal*. 1993;12(10):3729-37.
30. Schwille P, Meyer-Almes F-J, Rigler R. Dual-color fluorescence cross-correlation spectroscopy for multicomponent diffusional analysis in solution. *Biophys J*. 1997;72(4):1878-86.
31. Digman MA, Wiseman PW, Horwitz AR, Gratton E. Detecting protein complexes in living cells from laser scanning confocal image sequences by the cross correlation raster image spectroscopy method. *Biophys J*. 2009;96(2):707-16.
32. McGuire H, Aourousseau MR, Bowie D, Blunck R. Automating single subunit counting of membrane proteins in mammalian cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2012;287(43):35912-21.
33. Ulbrich MH, Isacoff EY. Subunit counting in membrane-bound proteins. *Nature methods*. 2007;4(4):319-21.
34. Watanabe N, Mitchison TJ. Single-molecule speckle analysis of actin filament turnover in lamellipodia. *Science*. 2002;295(5557):1083-6.
35. Groot-Kormelink PJ, Beato M, Finotti C, Harvey RJ, Sivilotti LG. Achieving optimal expression for single channel recording: a plasmid ratio approach to the expression of $\alpha 1$ glycine receptors in HEK293 cells. *Journal of neuroscience methods*. 2002;113(2):207-14.
36. Wong K, Briddon SJ, Holliday ND, Kerr ID. Plasma membrane dynamics and tetrameric organisation of ABCG2 transporters in mammalian cells revealed by single particle imaging techniques. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular Cell Research*. 2016;1863(1):19-29.
37. Brown C, Dalal R, Hebert B, Digman M, Horwitz A, Gratton E. Raster image correlation spectroscopy (RICS) for measuring fast protein dynamics and concentrations with a commercial laser scanning confocal microscope. *Journal of microscopy*. 2008;229(1):78-91.
38. Digman MA, Brown CM, Sengupta P, Wiseman PW, Horwitz AR, Gratton E. Measuring fast dynamics in solutions and cells with a laser scanning microscope. *Biophys J*. 2005;89(2):1317-27.
39. Digman MA, Sengupta P, Wiseman PW, Brown CM, Horwitz AR, Gratton E. Fluctuation correlation spectroscopy with a laser-scanning microscope: exploiting the hidden time structure. *Biophys J*. 2005;88(5):L33-L6.
40. Wiseman P, Squier J, Ellisman M, Wilson K. Two-photon image correlation spectroscopy and image cross-correlation spectroscopy. *Journal of microscopy*. 2000;200(1):14-25.

980 41. Hendrix J, Baungärtel V, Schrimpf W, Ivanchenko S, Digman MA, Gratton E, et al. Live-cell
981 observation of cytosolic HIV-1 assembly onset reveals RNA-interacting Gag oligomers. *J Cell Biol.*
982 2015;210(4):629-49.

983 42. Longfils M, Smisdom N, Ameloot M, Rudemo M, Lemmens V, Fernández GS, et al. Raster Image
984 Correlation Spectroscopy Performance Evaluation. *Biophysical journal.* 2019;117(10):1900-14.

985 43. Kolin DL, Costantino S, Wiseman PW. Sampling effects, noise, and photobleaching in temporal
986 image correlation spectroscopy. *Biophysical journal.* 2006;90(2):628-39.

987 44. Manders E, Stap J, Brakenhoff G, Van Driel R, Aten J. Dynamics of three-dimensional replication
988 patterns during the S-phase, analysed by double labelling of DNA and confocal microscopy. *Journal of*
989 *cell science.* 1992;103(3):857-62.

990 45. Bolte S, Cordelières FP. A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light microscopy.
991 *Journal of microscopy.* 2006;224(3):213-32.

992 46. Dunn KW, Kamocka MM, McDonald JH. A practical guide to evaluating colocalization in biological
993 microscopy. *American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology.* 2011;300(4):C723-C42.

994 47. Hendrix J, Gijssbers R, De Rijck J, Voet A, Hotta J-i, McNeely M, et al. The transcriptional co-activator
995 LEDGF/p75 displays a dynamic scan-and-lock mechanism for chromatin tethering. *Nucleic acids*
996 *research.* 2011;39(4):1310-25.

997 48. Schrimpf W, Lemmens V, Smisdom N, Ameloot M, Lamb DC, Hendrix J. Crosstalk-free multicolor
998 RICS using spectral weighting. *Methods.* 2018;140:97-111.

999 49. Srivastava M, Petersen NO. Diffusion of transferrin receptor clusters. *Biophys Chem.*
1000 1998;75(3):201-11.

1001 50. Hendrix J, Dekens T, Schrimpf W, Lamb DC. Arbitrary-Region Raster Image Correlation
1002 Spectroscopy. *Biophys J.* 2016;111:1-12.

1003 51. Fogarty KH, Chen Y, Grigsby IF, Macdonald PJ, Smith EM, Johnson JL, et al. Characterization of
1004 cytoplasmic Gag-gag interactions by dual-color z-scan fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy.
1005 *Biophysical journal.* 2011;100(6):1587-95.

1006 52. Vercammen J, Maertens G, Gerard M, De Clercq E, Debyser Z, Engelborghs Y. DNA-induced
1007 polymerization of HIV-1 integrase analyzed with fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy. *Journal of*
1008 *Biological Chemistry.* 2002;277(41):38045-52.

1009 53. Lemmens V, Ramanathan K, Hendrix J. Fluorescence microscopy data for quantitative mobility and
1010 interaction analysis of proteins in living cells. *Data in brief.* 2020:105348.

1011 54. Gambin Y, Lopez-Esparza R, Refay M, Sierrecki E, Gov N, Genest M, et al. Lateral mobility of proteins
1012 in liquid membranes revisited. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 2006;103(7):2098-
1013 102.

1014 55. Naji A, Levine AJ, Pincus PA. Corrections to the Saffman-Delbrück mobility for membrane bound
1015 proteins. *Biophysical journal.* 2007;93(11):L49-L51.

1016 56. Choquet D. Fast AMPAR trafficking for a high-frequency synaptic transmission. *European Journal*
1017 *of Neuroscience.* 2010;32(2):250-60.

1018 57. Weltzien F, Puller C, O'Sullivan GA, Paarman I, Betz H. Distribution of the glycine receptor β -
1019 subunit in the mouse CNS as revealed by a novel monoclonal antibody. *Journal of Comparative*
1020 *Neurology.* 2012;520(17):3962-81.

1021 58. Meier J, Grantyn R. A gephyrin-related mechanism restraining glycine receptor anchoring at
1022 GABAergic synapses. *Journal of Neuroscience.* 2004;24(6):1398-405.

1023 59. Durisic N, Godin AG, Wever CM, Heyes CD, Lakadamyali M, Dent JA. Stoichiometry of the Human
1024 Glycine receptor revealed by direct subunit counting. *The Journal of Neuroscience.* 2012;32:12915-20.

1025 60. Schaefer N, Roemer V, Janzen D, Villmann C. Impaired Glycine receptor trafficking in neurological
1026 diseases. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience.* 2018;11:291.

1027 61. van Steensel B, van Binnendijk EP, Hornsby CD, Van der Voort H, Krozowski ZS, de Kloet ER, et al.
1028 Partial colocalization of glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors in discrete compartments in
1029 nuclei of rat hippocampus neurons. *Journal of cell science.* 1996;109(4):787-92.

62. Förster B, Dzaye OD, Winkelmann A, Semtner M, Benedetti B, Markovic DS, et al. Intracellular glycine receptor function facilitates glioma formation in vivo. *Journal of Cell Science*. 2014;127(17):3687-98.
63. Kluba M, Engelborghs Y, Hofkens J, Mizuno H. Inhibition of receptor dimerization as a novel negative feedback mechanism of EGFR signaling. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10):e0139971.
64. Pack C, Saito K, Tamura M, Kinjo M. Microenvironment and effect of energy depletion in the nucleus analyzed by mobility of multiple oligomeric EGFPs. *Biophysical journal*. 2006;91(10):3921-36.
65. Chen C, Okayama H. High-efficiency transformation of mammalian cells by plasmid DNA. *Molecular and cellular biology*. 1987;7(8):2745-52.
66. Liebsch F, Aurousseau MR, Bethge T, McGuire H, Scolari S, Herrmann A, et al. Full-length cellular β -secretase has a trimeric subunit stoichiometry, and its sulfur-rich transmembrane interaction site modulates cytosolic copper compartmentalization. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2017;292(32):13258-70.
67. Dunsing V, Luckner M, Zühlke B, Petazzi RA, Herrmann A, Chiantia S. Optimal fluorescent protein tags for quantifying protein oligomerization in living cells. *Scientific reports*. 2018;8(1):1-12.
68. Godin AG, Rappaz B, Potvin-Trottier L, Kennedy TE, De Koninck Y, Wiseman PW. Spatial intensity distribution analysis reveals abnormal oligomerization of proteins in single cells. *Biophysical journal*. 2015;109(4):710-21.
69. Arant RJ, Ulbrich MH. Deciphering the subunit composition of multimeric proteins by counting photobleaching steps. *ChemPhysChem*. 2014;15(4):600-5.
70. Hines KE. Inferring subunit stoichiometry from single molecule photobleaching. *Journal of General Physiology*. 2013;141(6):737-46.
71. Schrimpf W, Barth A, Hendrix J, Lamb DC. PAM: A framework for integrated analysis of imaging, single-molecule, and ensemble fluorescence data. *Biophysical journal*. 2018;114(7):1518-28.
72. METS RD, Delon A, Balland M, Destaing O, Wang I. Dynamic range and background filtering in raster image correlation spectroscopy. *Journal of Microscopy*. 2020.
73. Ivanchenko S, Lamb DC. Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy: principles and developments. *Supramolecular Structure and Function* 10. Springer, Dordrecht; 2011. p. 1-30.