An Exhaustive Multiple Knockout Approach to Understanding Cell Wall Hydrolase Function in *Bacillus subtilis*

Sean Wilson^{1,2}, Ethan Garner^{1,2,*}

¹ Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States.

² Center for Systems Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States.

Corresponding Author: Ethan C. Garner

NW 445.20, Northwest Building, 52 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

*E-mail: egarner@g.harvard.edu

ABSTRACT

Most bacteria are surrounded by their cell wall, a highly crosslinked protective envelope of peptidoglycan. To grow, bacteria must continuously remodel their wall, inserting new material and breaking old bonds. Bond cleavage is performed by cell wall hydrolases, allowing the wall to expand. Understanding the functions of individual hydrolases has been impeded by their redundancy: single knockouts usually present no phenotype. We used an exhaustive multiple-knockout approach to determine the minimal set of hydrolases required for growth in *Bacillus subtilis*. We identified 42 candidate cell wall hydrolases. Strikingly, we were able to remove all but two of these genes in a single strain; this “ $\Delta 40$ ” strain shows a normal growth rate, indicating that none of the 40 hydrolases are necessary for cell growth. The $\Delta 40$ strain does not shed old cell wall, demonstrating that turnover is not essential for growth.

The remaining two hydrolases in the $\Delta 40$ strain are LytE and CwlO, previously shown to be synthetically lethal. Either can be knocked out in $\Delta 40$, indicating that either

hydrolase alone is sufficient for cell growth. Environmental screening and zymography revealed that LytE activity is inhibited by Mg^{2+} and that RlpA-like proteins may stimulate LytE activity. Together, these results demonstrate that the only essential function of cell wall hydrolases in *B. subtilis* is to enable cell growth by expanding the wall and that LytE or CwlO alone is sufficient for this function. These experiments introduce the $\Delta 40$ strain as a tool to study hydrolase activity and regulation in *B. subtilis*.

IMPORTANCE

In order to grow, bacterial cells must both create and break down their cell wall. The enzymes that are responsible for these processes are the target of some of our best antibiotics. Our understanding of the proteins that break down the wall – cell wall hydrolases – has been limited by redundancy among the large number of hydrolases many bacteria contain. To solve this problem, we identified 42 cell wall hydrolases in *Bacillus subtilis* and created a strain lacking 40 of them. We show that cells can survive using only a single cell wall hydrolase; this means that to understand the growth of *B. subtilis* in standard laboratory conditions, it is only necessary to study a very limited number of proteins, simplifying the problem substantially. We additionally show that the $\Delta 40$ strain is a research tool to characterize hydrolases, using it to identify 3 ‘helper’ hydrolases that act in certain stress conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Most bacterial cells are surrounded by a peptidoglycan (PG) cell wall – a load-bearing structure that protects cells from lysing due to their high internal turgor (1). Bonds must be broken in the PG for cells to expand during growth (2). PG is built from disaccharide subunits linked to stem peptides. As new PG is inserted into the wall, the

disaccharides are polymerized into long chains, and their stem peptides are crosslinked into the existing wall (3).

The enzymes that break PG bonds are termed cell wall hydrolases (hereafter 'hydrolases'). Hydrolases fall into several broad categories with different chemical specificities (4). Amidases cleave the stem peptide from the sugar subunit. Endopeptidases cleave bonds between peptides within the stem peptide. Lytic transglycosylases (LTGs), lysozymes/muramidases, and glucosaminidases cleave between sugar subunits. A wide array of different protein domains are capable of hydrolase activity – for example, there are at least 7 distinct domains with LTG activity and well over 100 distinct domains with hydrolase activity discovered thus far (5, 6).

Hydrolase activity is essential: without the breakage of PG bonds, the cell wall cannot expand to accommodate the accumulating biomass it contains (2). Hydrolases are also involved in a variety of other processes that require modification of the cell wall: turning over old PG, cell separation, and sporulation, conjugation, and motility (4, 7). Perhaps owing to the multiple cellular functions that require hydrolases, many bacteria have a large number of hydrolases. *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*, for example, each contain at least 20 hydrolases (4, 8). The large number of hydrolases in each bacterium, combined with a high degree of functional and enzymatic redundancy between them, has made it difficult to identify specific cellular functions for many hydrolases. Single knockouts rarely present clear phenotypes due to compensation by other hydrolases (4, 9). However, multiple-knockout approaches in *B. subtilis* have been successful in revealing the importance of LytE and CwlO for cell growth, uncovering the

role of LytC and LytD in cell wall turnover, and identifying LytE, LytF, and CwlS as cell separation hydrolases (4, 10, 11).

lytE and *cwlO* had been previously shown to be synthetically lethal when both are deleted in *B. subtilis* (10, 12). The requirement of LytE or CwlO for cell growth was demonstrated via microscopy: upon depletion of LytE in a *cwlO* null mutant, or vice versa, cell elongation slows and then stops completely before cells lyse (12). To test whether any other hydrolases were essential for *B. subtilis* growth, we employed an exhaustive multiple-knockout approach. We created a minimal hydrolase strain that allows the study of hydrolases in isolation, making it easier to assign functions to uncharacterized hydrolases. Using this multiple hydrolase knockout strain, it is straightforward to assay the biochemical activity and determine the effect of hydrolases alone or in any desired combination on phenotypes like cell width, cell wall turnover, cell growth, or any other process.

RESULTS

Construction of a multiple hydrolase knockout strain

To identify the minimal set of hydrolases required for growth in *B. subtilis* PY79, we constructed a strain in which we sequentially removed as many hydrolases as possible. First, we used PHMMER to screen the *B. subtilis* proteome for proteins homologous to known hydrolases (4, 5, 8, 13, Table S2). We filtered our results to identify candidates for hydrolases involved in growth with the following criteria: candidates needed to: 1. have a functional hydrolase domain, 2. be secreted (enabling access to the cell wall) and 3. be endo-acting, meaning that they can cleave internal bonds rather than acting only on the ends of the stem peptide or glycan chains (exo-

acting) (4, 14), as exo-acting hydrolases, like the D,D-carboxypeptidase DacA or the glucosaminidase NagZ, cannot contribute to cell growth because their activity does not cause PG expansion. Candidates with the potential to be endo-acting were assumed to be endo-acting unless proven otherwise. Candidate hydrolases that fit all above criteria are indicated in Table 1. Cell wall hydrolases not present in PY79, our wild-type (WT) background, are included for completeness.

We next generated single knockouts for each of the candidate hydrolases by replacing the gene with an antibiotic resistance cassette flanked by loxP sites. We then sequentially combined all knockouts into a single strain, using Cre-lox mediated loop outs to remove markers when necessary (Figure 1). After each loop out step, we verified deletion of all modified loci by PCR. After all knockouts had been combined into a single strain, whole-genome sequencing was used to confirm all deletions and to identify any genomic rearrangements or mutations that occurred during the construction process. Despite the multiple rounds of transformation and loop outs this strain was subjected to, we found no evidence of genomic rearrangements, and only 8 SNPs leading to 5 point mutations in genes involved in unrelated processes (Table S1).

Ultimately, this effort produced a strain lacking 40 hydrolases, which we termed “ $\Delta 40$ ”. The $\Delta 40$ strain is lacking all the identified hydrolases that met our criteria save two - LytE and CwlO, two synthetically lethal endopeptidases previously shown to be essential for growth (10). We were able to further knock out either *lytE* or *cwlO* in the $\Delta 40$ strain, but not both, due to their synthetic lethality.

Hydrolase activity is greatly reduced in the $\Delta 40$ strain

To assess whether any other unidentified hydrolases remained in the $\Delta 40$ strain, we first used zymography. Zymography is a renaturing SDS-PAGE assay for that detects hydrolase activity (15), where cells wall binding proteins are extracted from cells and separated by size via SDS-PAGE using a gel impregnated with purified cell walls. Following separation by PAGE, proteins in the gel are renatured, and their activity cleaves the purified cell wall in the gel, leading to clear “bands” in the gel which do not stain with methylene blue, corresponding to the molecular weight of the protein with hydrolase activity. It is important to note that zymography can only report on a subset of hydrolases: hydrolases must successfully refold after denaturation and have *in vitro* activity in the absence of any co-factors. Because either LytE or CwlO must be present for viability, we conducted zymograms on the $\Delta 40$, $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$, and $\Delta 40 \Delta cwlO$ strains (Figure 2A). The $\Delta 40$ strain showed only a single band of hydrolase activity, and this band disappeared upon deletion of *lytE*. Thus, LytE is the only hydrolase detectable via zymography in the $\Delta 40$ strain. We note that, although CwlO is also present in $\Delta 40$, we do not expect to detect it by zymography, as previous zymography experiments have suggested that full-length CwlO is inactive *in vitro* (16), an effect likely arising from CwlO’s auto-inhibitory coiled-coil domain (17).

To detect the activity of hydrolases not visible via zymography, we conducted PG profiling of both wild type (WT) cells and the $\Delta 40$ strain (18), allowing us to determine the abundance of hydrolase products in their cell walls (Figure 2B). During sample preparation for PG profiling, we omitted teichoic acid removal to prevent sample degradation: normally, teichoic acids are removed by treatment with a strong acid (18, 19), but this treatment also caused some hydrolysis of amide bonds in the PG,

complicating our analysis (Figure S1). The omission of the teichoic acid removal step has been previously shown not to affect the results of PG profiling other than the disappearance of phosphate-containing muropeptides, species not included in our analysis (18).

Our PG profiling assay has limitations: as PG profiling relies on muramidase digestion to yield soluble muropeptides for HPLC analysis, we could not use this assay to detect hydrolases with muramidase activity. Likewise, D,D-endopeptidases cannot be detected by PG profiling, as they produce products that are indistinguishable from unmodified PG.

We compared the relative abundance of different PG hydrolase products in the $\Delta 40$ and WT strains (Figure 2B). The $\Delta 40$ strain showed an absence of amidase activity and a strong reduction of glucosaminidase activity, indicating that these classes of hydrolases had been successfully reduced in the $\Delta 40$ strain. The residual glucosaminidase activity could represent A) a yet unknown minor glucosaminidase with a novel fold or B) sample degradation during PG purification. We observed an increase in D,L-endopeptidase activity in the $\Delta 40$ strain, an expected result given $\Delta 40$ retains the D,L-endopeptidases LytE and CwlO. In agreement with previous work (18), L,D-endopeptidase activity was not detected in any strain.

Unexpectedly, the $\Delta 40$ strain also showed an increase in LTG activity (Figure 2B). We found that this remaining LTG activity required SweC, a recently characterized membrane-bound CwlO co-factor homologous to the *E. coli* LTG MltG (20) (Table 1). Removing *sweC* from the $\Delta 40$ strain eliminated all detectable LTG activity (Figure 2B). However, as SweC's catalytic PG hydrolase domain is cytoplasmic (21), SweC cannot

target the cell wall directly and thus is unlikely to be the direct cause of the residual LTG activity. *B. subtilis* also contains a second membrane protein homologous to MltG, called YrrL. YrrL's catalytic domain is predicted to be extracellular, although YrrL is likely too small to reach far enough into the cell wall space to directly participate in cell wall expansion. Rather, YrrL may instead be involved in the insertion of new cell wall material, as previously proposed for MltG in *E. coli* (20). If YrrL is indeed the source of the residual LTG activity, its activity must require SweC; perhaps YrrL is activated by interactions with SweC or SweD, similar to what has been seen for CwIO (21).

Cell growth and morphology are similar in the $\Delta 40$ strain relative to wild type.

We next characterized the growth rate of the $\Delta 40$ strain. The $\Delta 40$ strain grew at the same rate as WT cells in both rich (CH) and synthetic media (S7₅₀ with glucose and amino acids, see Methods for details) (Figure 3A and B). This indicates that the activity of LytE and CwIO together are sufficient for normal cell growth. To investigate the individual effects of each of these enzymes, we made knockouts of *lytE* and *cwIO* in both WT and $\Delta 40$ backgrounds. $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$ and $\Delta 40 \Delta cwIO$ both exhibited a ~10% reduction in growth rate compared to $\Delta 40$. We observed cell lysis in both $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$ and $\Delta 40 \Delta cwIO$ strains in phase-contrast images, which could contribute to their slower growth rates as measured in bulk by OD₆₀₀ (Figure 3D). On the other hand, $\Delta lytE$ or $\Delta cwIO$ in a WT background had the same growth rate as WT. This suggests either that in WT cells, other hydrolases participate in but are not required for growth, or that LytE and CwIO are not being expressed highly enough to maintain normal growth on their own in the $\Delta 40$ background.

Next, we quantified cell dimensions in these strains using FM 5-95 membrane stain. $\Delta 40$ cells had a WT cell length and were 3% wider ($p < 0.0001$, unpaired t-test with Welch's correction). $\Delta cw/O$ cells were 13% wider and 18% shorter than WT cells, a phenotype that persisted in the $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ strain ($p < 0.0001$ for all comparisons: unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for width comparisons, Mann-Whitney test for length comparisons). $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ cells were less able to control their width as compared to $\Delta 40$ cells, having a 1.5x wider cell width distribution (7.5% vs. 11.33% coefficient of variation, F test $p < 0.001$). In contrast, $\Delta lytE$ cells were only slightly wider than WT cells (1%, $p < 0.0001$, unpaired t-test with Welch's correction), and $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$ cells were slightly narrower (1%, $p < 0.0001$, unpaired t-test with Welch's correction) than $\Delta 40$ strain alone, with a slight decrease in length (Figure 3C, $p < 0.0001$, Mann-Whitney test). Thus, Cw/O appears to be involved in cell width maintenance, as removing *cw/O* causes changes in cell width both in $\Delta 40$ and WT backgrounds, consistent with previous reports (12). Furthermore, given that removing *cw/O* increases the cell width coefficient of variation in the $\Delta 40$ background but does not increase the width variation when deleted from WT cells, other hydrolases must also have a role in width homeostasis.

$\Delta 40$ cells do not turn over their cell wall

Hydrolases are involved in cell wall turnover, where old PG material is shed from the cell wall (22). We measured the rate of cell wall turnover of both WT and $\Delta 40$ cells using pulse-chase labeling with the radioactive cell wall precursor 3H -N-acetylglucosamine (3H -GlcNAc). This revealed that, while WT cells turn over PG at a rate of about 50% per generation in agreement with previous work (22), turnover in $\Delta 40$ strain was absent, with a rate not significantly different from zero ($p = 0.4837$) (Figure

3A). These results demonstrate that LytE and CwlO, the only identifiable remaining hydrolases in the $\Delta 40$ strain, do not contribute to cell wall turnover. Furthermore, this data reveals that cell wall turnover is not an essential process: cell growth only requires the cleavage of bonds so the cell can expand.

As hydrolases have been shown to be involved in the regulation of cell wall thickness (23), we measured the cell wall thickness of the $\Delta 40$ strain by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), finding it was not significantly different from WT ($p=0.1382$) (Figure 3B). This suggests that cell wall turnover has no impact on cell wall thickness and that none of the 40 hydrolases removed in the $\Delta 40$ strain are responsible for cell wall thickness regulation. Given previous studies have demonstrated hydrolases regulate cell wall thickness, the unchanged cell wall thicknesses observed in our $\Delta 40$ demonstrate that LytE and CwlO are the hydrolases controlling cell wall thickness, as these hydrolases are the only non-integral membrane hydrolases remaining.

$\Delta 40$ $\Delta cwlO$ cells are sensitive to various stresses, including ionic stress

Although the $\Delta 40$ strain grows normally under our standard lab conditions, we wondered whether the absence of so many hydrolases would sensitize cells to stress conditions. We used a spot dilution assay to measure the viability of our strains under a variety of stress conditions: temperature, ionic stress, pH, and osmotic pressure (Figure 5). In all conditions, including our control (37°C), $\Delta 40$ cells had fewer CFUs than WT. This is expected because $\Delta 40$ cells grow in long chains, and thus cells are cannot readily separate into individual CFUs; we do not believe that this reflects an overall change in viability because $\Delta 40$ grows at the same rate as WT (Figure 3). In all stress

conditions $\Delta 40$ cells were similarly viable to WT cells, as were $\Delta lytE$, $\Delta cw/O$, and $\Delta 40$ $\Delta lytE$ cells. However, $\Delta 40$ $\Delta cw/O$ cells were susceptible to multiple stresses, including low pH, low temperature, and ionic stress.

We were particularly intrigued by the susceptibility of $\Delta 40$ $\Delta cw/O$ to Mg^{2+} . Mg^{2+} is coordinated between PG and teichoic acids (24), and this Mg^{2+} binding is thought to give structural stability to the cell wall (25, 26). High levels of Mg^{2+} are often protective against cell wall perturbations, including knockouts of hydrolases, PBPs, or components of the rod complex (10, 27); thus, the Mg^{2+} sensitivity of the $\Delta 40$ $\Delta cw/O$ strain seemed counterintuitive. Our experiments indicated $\Delta 40$ $\Delta cw/O$ cells were sensitive to both Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} ; growth was inhibited by the addition of 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM $MgSO_4$, and 10 mM $CaCl_2$, but not by the addition of 20 mM NaCl, suggesting that the growth inhibition was not due to changes in ionic strength or chloride ions. We did observe growth inhibition due to ionic stress at far higher salt concentrations (500 mM NaCl). Notably, cells were not sensitive to an equivalent osmotic stress (1M sorbitol), indicating the sensitivity is to ionic stress, not osmotic.

As $\Delta cw/O$ mutants in the WT background were Mg^{2+} insensitive, we sought to identify which hydrolases caused cells to be sensitive to Mg^{2+} when they were removed. To find these hydrolases, we returned to intermediate strains used to construct the $\Delta 40$ strain, which are missing subsets of hydrolases. We transformed a cw/O knockout into these intermediate strains, then screened these crosses for the same small colony phenotype and the Mg^{2+} sensitivity seen in the $\Delta 40$ $\Delta cw/O$ strain. This identified two genes: *yabE* and *ydjM*. Notably, during construction of the $\Delta 40$ strain, we had noticed that *yoch* seemed significant – at several intermediate verification steps, a WT copy of

yocH had reintegrated itself. Furthermore, a $\Delta ydjM \Delta yocH \Delta cw/O$ mutant was previously demonstrated to be sick, with short and sometimes anucleate cells (10). Because *yabE*, *ydjM*, and *yocH* have similar hydrolase domains, and because *yocH* and *ydjM* had been identified previously to be involved in a synthetic sick interaction with *cw/O*, we additionally tested whether the removal of *yocH* contributed to the $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ Mg^{2+} sensitivity phenotype, and found that it did.

In total, we identified three genes, *yabE*, *ydjM*, and *yocH*, whose absence in a $\Delta cw/O$ background caused the Mg^{2+} sensitivity: A $\Delta yabE \Delta ydjM \Delta yocH \Delta cw/O$ strain showed a similar stress profile to $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$, including sensitivity to $MgCl_2$ and $CaCl_2$ (Figure 6A). *yabE*, *ydjM*, and *yocH* are 3 uncharacterized RlpA-like superfamily domain-containing proteins expressed during exponential growth. Like *lytE* and *cw/O*, *yocH* and *ydjM* are in the *walR* regulon, while *yabE* is regulated by *sigA* (Table 1). All are likely lytic transglycosylases: *yocH* has been shown to have lytic activity and has homology to the *E. coli* lytic transglycosylase *mltA* (28), and all three share a similar catalytic domain. Because *yabE*, *ydjM*, and *yocH* all contain a RlpA-like protein domain, we refer to these genes collectively as RLPAs, and to the triple deletion of all three genes as $\Delta RLPAs$.

LytE is inhibited by Mg^{2+} *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and RLPAs suppress Mg^{2+} lethality *in vivo*

Finally, we sought to identify the source of Mg^{2+} growth inhibition in the $\Delta RLPAs \Delta cw/O$ background. Because LytE is essential in the absence of Cw/O, we hypothesized that the sensitivity of the $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ strain to Mg^{2+} (and, by extension, the sensitivity of the $\Delta RLPAs \Delta cw/O$ strain to Mg^{2+}) could be explained by Mg^{2+} inhibition of LytE. To investigate this, we first characterized the response of $\Delta cw/O$ cells to the removal of

LytE. We constructed an otherwise wildtype strain with *cw/O* knocked out and *lytE* under inducible control and monitored its growth by time-lapse phase-contrast microscopy. When *lytE* was induced, cell growth was normal (Movie S1). When *lytE* induction was removed, cell growth initially slowed, followed by a period of ‘stuttery’ growth, where elongating cells intermittently shrank while showing accompanying fluctuations in their phase contrast signal (Movie S2). Ultimately, cells lysed about 1-2 doubling times after the removal of *lytE* induction as previously observed (10, 12). Next, we performed the same imaging in the Δ RLPAs Δ *cw/O* strain after the addition of 10 mM MgCl₂ and observed the same ‘stuttery’ phenotype, suggesting that LytE function might be inhibited by Mg²⁺ (Movie S4). Without the addition of Mg²⁺, cell growth of the Δ RLPAs Δ *cw/O* strain was normal (Movie S3). In WT cells or Δ *cw/O* cells, the presence of Mg²⁺ has no effect on cell viability or growth – growth is only inhibited in the absence of the RLPAs. Thus, the RLPAs appear to allow LytE to maintain its activity in the presence of Mg²⁺.

To test whether LytE activity is directly inhibited by Mg²⁺, we performed zymography with the addition of Mg²⁺ to the renaturation buffer on the Δ 40 Δ *cw/O* strain, where LytE activity is easy to detect as it is the only remaining band (Figure 2A). Indeed, LytE activity was strongly reduced in the presence of 25 mM MgCl₂ (Figure 6B). Additionally, we reasoned that if the Mg²⁺-sensitivity phenotype was due to direct inhibition of LytE by Mg²⁺, increasing the levels of LytE should protect cells from death by increasing the total amount of LytE activity. Indeed, overexpression of LytE allowed cells to survive in the presence of higher levels of Mg²⁺, although 100 mM MgCl₂ still inhibited growth (Figure 6C).

Thus, we conclude that LytE activity is inhibited by Mg^{2+} both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Furthermore, our data indicates that the RPLAs allow LytE to maintain normal function in the presence of Mg^{2+} , though the specific mechanism is unclear. Whether the RLPAs act directly or indirectly on LytE remains to be determined, but we anticipate that the RLPAs interact with and activate LytE similar to what has been observed for the *Mycobacterium smegatis* hydrolases RipA and RpfB: RipA's C-terminus (containing a NLPC/P60 domain like LytE) interacts with RpfB's RlpA-like LTG domain (29), and RipA and RpfB have synergistic activity *in vitro* (30). By analogy, LytE's catalytic NLPC/P60 domain may interact with the RlpA-like domains in YabE, YdjM, and YochH, leading to increased LytE activity, allowing LytE to continue to function in the presence of Mg^{2+} . The Δ RLPAs Δ cw/O strain also has increased sensitivity to ionic stress and low temperatures, suggesting RLPAs might stimulate LytE activity under those conditions as well.

DISCUSSION

Bacterial cell growth requires the action of PG hydrolases, but previous *in vivo* hydrolase studies have been impeded by their diversity and redundancy. We constructed and validated a *B. subtilis* strain lacking all hydrolases potentially involved in cell growth besides LytE and CwIO. These deletions constitute 40 genes in total, representing 10% of secreted proteins and 1% of all genes. The resulting Δ 40 strain enables the investigation of given hydrolases and the cellular contexts in which they function, and in this work, allowed several new discoveries regarding their sufficiency, regulation, and genetic interplay.

First, we found that not only is the $\Delta 40$ strain viable, it grows at the same rate as WT cells under standard lab growth conditions. This demonstrates that LytE and CwlO alone can function to expand the cell wall to allow cell growth. Furthermore, as single knockouts of LytE and CwlO in the $\Delta 40$ strain are viable and allow growth (albeit at a slightly reduced rates with some shape defects), this demonstrates *B. subtilis* requires only one of these two hydrolases to grow.

Second, the $\Delta 40$ strain allowed us to discover a yet-to-be-identified LTG which requires SweC for its activity in the $\Delta 40$ strain. Given that the only remaining candidate LTG we identified in the $\Delta 40$ strain is YrrL, it is likely that SweC is required for YrrL activity. As CwlO activity is dependent on SweC, and SweC forms a complex with SweD, FtsE, and FtsX (21), YrrL might be an additional member of this complex, and SweCD/FtsEX might regulate both YrrL and CwlO. These experiments demonstrate how the $\Delta 40$ strain can be used to interrogating the regulation or direct biochemical activity of any other *B. subtilis* hydrolase: as the majority of cell wall hydrolase activity is removed in the $\Delta 40$ strain, PG profiling can be used to identify the specific activities of individual hydrolases reintroduced back into the $\Delta 40$ background.

Likewise, our minimal hydrolase strain allowed us to show that RlpA-like lytic transglycosylases enhance LytE activity *in vivo* and that this enhancement can be important for growth under conditions where LytE activity is inhibited, including the presence of divalent cations, ionic stress, and cold. Although the mechanism for LytE enhancement is unclear, we hypothesize that RlpAs stimulate LytE activity via a direct interaction, as has been observed in *M. smegmatis* (30). Synthetic lethal or synthetic sick interactions are straightforward to identify and characterize in the $\Delta 40$ strain, giving

a useful tool to interrogate genetic relationships between different hydrolases or between hydrolases and other genes of interest – such as those involved in cell wall synthesis.

Surprisingly, the $\Delta 40$ strain grows normally under standard lab conditions. What, then, is the function of these 40 hydrolases, and why does *B. subtilis* encode so many of them? This multitude of hydrolases likely arises from the fact that hydrolases are involved in other processes aside from cell growth such as sporulation (4) and cell motility (31). Additionally, some hydrolases might be only be needed under nutrient conditions not tested here, such as during phosphate limitation where teichoic acids are not produced, where cells may require hydrolases that are not regulated by teichoic acids (32–34). Finally, these other hydrolases may be important during non-exponential growth states such as during stationary phase, where the recycling of cell wall turnover products, lacking in the $\Delta 40$ strain, reduces cell lysis (35). Thus, a broader screen of the sensitivity of the $\Delta 40$ strain in different nutrient and environmental conditions will allow researchers to determine which hydrolases are useful for which conditions.

In summary, the $\Delta 40$ minimal hydrolase strain provides a powerful experimental background to investigate the function, regulation, and interplay of hydrolases, improving our understanding of precisely how these enzymes conduct their cellular tasks. In the future, individual hydrolases can be reintroduced into the $\Delta 40$ strain to investigate their specific activities in the absence of confounding contributions from the other 39 genes. Using the $\Delta 40$ strain, PG profiling can determine the biochemical activity of hydrolases. Uncovering synthetic genetic interactions between hydrolases and other genes of interest – now easy to do for all 40 hydrolases at once – will allow us

to flesh out our understanding of bacterial cell growth. Understanding the function of cell wall hydrolases is essential for a complete understanding of how bacteria grow, and the $\Delta 40$ strain will allow rapid progress to this end.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Carl Wivagg, Alex Bisson, Matthew Holmes, Ferran Garcia-Pichel, and Susanne Neuer for helpful advice and discussions, and Georgia Squires for both helpful advice, discussions, and reading of the manuscript. This work was funded by National Institutes of Health Grants DP2AI117923-01 to ECG, as well as support from the Volkswagen Foundation. This work was supported by the NSF-Simons Center for Mathematical and Statistical Analysis of Biology at Harvard (1764269) and the Harvard Quantitative Biology Initiative. Some work was performed at the Center for Nanoscale Systems at Harvard University, supported by NSF ECS-0335765. HPLC-MS work was performed by the Harvard Center for Mass Spectrometry Core Facility, and sequencing was performed by the Bauer Core Facility at Harvard University.

METHODS

Strains, media, and growth conditions

Glycerol stocks stored at -80°C were struck onto LB agar plates. For strain bSW61 (*lytE::pSpac-lytE*, ΔcwI O), these plates were additionally top spread with 1 mM IPTG. After incubation overnight at 37°C, colonies were inoculated into 1 mL media and grown on a roller at 37°C until they reached mid-exponential-phase growth (OD ~0.2). Cells were diluted 1:10 in prewarmed media and again grown until mid-exponential phase; this process was repeated until the start of the experiment. Alternately, a 1:10 dilution series of cells were grown overnight in media on a roller at 25°C. The next day, the culture whose OD₆₀₀ was nearest to 0.2 was diluted 1:10 and grown in media at 37°C as above. S7₅₀AA indicates S7₅₀ media with added amino acids as in (36).

Strain construction

The wild type strain for this work was *B. subtilis* PY79. Strains used in this study are listed in Table S3. Constructs were created using Gibson assembly of PCR products. Linear Gibson assembly products were transformed into competent *B. subtilis*. Transformants were selected on LB plates containing the appropriate antibiotic. The resulting strains were verified by PCR. Constructs used in this study, as well as any plasmids used to create each construct, are listed in Table S3. Primers, along with strain construction details, are listed in Table S4. Resistance cassettes and promoters were amplified from purified plasmids (listed in Table S3), all other fragments were amplified from WT gDNA.

To combine knockouts, the parent strain was transformed with PCR product containing the locus (homology arms + resistance cassette) or gDNA as indicated. All

resistance cassettes used have loxP sites flanking the cassette, allowing Cre-based loop out using plasmid pDR244 (a gift from David Rudner) of the cassette to yield a markerless knockout. Removal of the plasmid was accomplished by shifting streaks to 42°C where it cannot be replicated due to a temperature-sensitive origin. Successful loop outs were confirmed via loss of antibiotic resistance.

PHMMER search

We used pfamscan version 1.6 to search the *B. subtilis* 168 and PY79 proteomes for all pfam domains using default parameters: e-value: 0.01, significance E-values [hit]: 0.03, significance bit scores [sequence]: 25, significance bit scores [hit]: 22. We then filtered the list for domains of interest, found in Table S3, and removed any cytoplasmic proteins.

PG purification, HPLC conditions, and MS data analysis

PG purification was conducted as in (37), with the addition of a protein digestion step. Cells were grown in a baffled flask to an OD of ~0.5 in 50 mL of CH media. Cells were mixed 50/50 with 50 mL of boiling 10% SDS and boiled for 15 min in a water bath, then pelleted at 5000x g and washed 5x with ddH₂O. Cells were then resuspended in 2 mL DNase/RNase buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM CaCl₂) with 20 uL DNase I and 20 uL RNase A, then incubated overnight at 37°C and washed 3x with ddH₂O to remove nucleic acids. Next, cells were resuspended in 2 mL Proteinase K buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1 mM CaCl₂) with 20 uL Proteinase K, incubated overnight at 45°C, and washed 3x with ddH₂O to remove proteins. Purified PG at this point was ready for use in zymography as substrate. For PG profiling, the PG was resuspended in 12.5 mM NaHPO₄ pH 5.5 with 5000 units of mutanolysin and digested overnight (16h)

at 37°C on a roller to yield soluble muropeptides. Undigested material was pelleted by spinning at 16000x g for 5 mins and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. Soluble muropeptides were reduced with sodium borohydride (1 mg/mL) for 30 mins and the reaction was stopped by adding 10 uL 30% phosphoric acid. The pH was adjusted to 4-6 using NaOH, and the reduced soluble muropeptides were characterized by high-resolution LC-MS operating in both positive and negative mode. Soluble reduced muropeptides were separated on a Waters column with the following method: column temperature 52°C, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, linear gradient of solvent A (0.1% formate) to 10% solvent B (acetonitrile + 0.1% formate) over 80 min.

Mass spectrometry data was analyzed using a custom MATLAB program, available at https://bitbucket.org/garnerlab/wilson_40_2020/. For each compound, at each time point, theoretical m/z values were compared with observed m/z with a cutoff of 0.05 Da. Charge was determined from the isotopic distribution. To be considered a match, each compound needed to have the appropriate charge, isotopic distribution, and m/z value, and we required that a compound be present on both the positive and negative scans. We filtered out any compounds that were less than 1% the abundance of the most abundant species at each time point. Finally, if multiple compounds were found at the same time point, the less abundant ones were filtered out.

Zymography

Zymography was performed as in (38), but using PG prepared as detailed under PG purification. Purified PG was sonicated at max power on a sonicator for 2 min. A 15% polyacryamide bis-Tris gel was prepared with the purified PG. 50 mL of cells were grown in a baffled flask with shaking to an OD of ~0.5. Cells were concentrated by

centrifugation at 5000x g for 5 min, resuspended in 1 mL of supernatant, then further concentrated by centrifugation at 16,000x g for 2.5 min. All media was aspirated, the centrifuge tube turned around, and centrifuged again at 16,000x g for 2.5 min. Cell wall binding proteins were extracted by resuspending the pellet in an equal volume of 8% SDS. This mixture was heated at 95°C for 2 min then centrifuged at 16,000x g for 2.5 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, 6x SDS-PAGE Laemmli buffer was added, and the extracted proteins were loaded on the gel. Gels were run in SDS-MOPS buffer at constant amps (20 mA) for 4-6 hours, then washed with ddH₂O, and placed in renaturation solution (1% Triton-X 100, 25 mM Tris pH 7.5). The gel was incubated for 16h at 37°C with gentle shaking, then stained 4h with a methylene blue staining solution (1% methylene blue, 0.1% KOH), washed several times with ddH₂O, and destained overnight in 500 mL ddH₂O with gentle shaking. Gels were photographed using a Canon SC1011 scanner in a standard plastic page protector.

Growth rates

Cells were grown to an OD of ~0.3-0.5 on a roller drum at 37°C and diluted to an OD of ~0.05 in baffled flasks in a water bath shaker at 37°C. Samples were withdrawn at 5 min intervals and OD was measured in a plastic cuvette using a Biowave Cell Density Meter CO8000. T vs. OD curves were fit to a single exponential ($OD = Ae^{BT}$) to extract a growth rate (B).

Turnover rates

Cells were grown in S7₅₀AA to an OD of ~0.3-0.5 on a roller drum at 37°C and diluted to an OD of ~0.05 in 3 mL of prewarmed media containing 1 uCi of ³H-N-acetylglucosamine (specific activity: 20 Ci/mmol, American Radiolabeled Chemicals,

Inc., St. Louis, MI, USA) in 25mm wide test tubes in a water bath shaker at 37°C. Cells were labeled for 3 generations (OD ~0.4), then filtered, washed twice with prewarmed media, and resuspended in 25 mL of prewarmed media. Samples were withdrawn at 5 min intervals and OD was measured in a plastic cuvette using a Biowave Cell Density Meter CO8000. Samples were mixed 50:50 with ice cold 10% TCA + 20 mM unlabeled GlcNAc, incubated on ice for 10 mins, then filtered and washed. Filters were dried, resuspended in Ultima Gold LSC cocktail (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) and radioactivity was measured using a scintillation counter (Tri-Carb 2100 TR, PerkinElmer). Counts/min vs. OD plots were fit to a single exponential ($CPM = Ae^{BT}$) to extract a turnover rate (B).

Cell dimensions

Cells were grown to an OD of ~0.3-0.5 in a water bath shaker at 37°C. 1 mL of culture was stained with FM 5-95 and concentrated to 100 uL by centrifugation at 2000x g and resuspension. 5 uL of concentrated cells were spotted under 2% agarose pads in CH containing 0.5 ug/mL FM 5-95. Images were collected on a Nikon Ti-E microscope using a Nikon CFI Plan Apo DM Lambda 100X Oil objective, 1.45 NA, phase ring Ph3 using an ORCA-Flash4.0 V2 sCMOS camera. Analysis was performed using Morphometrics v1.1 (39). Zero length or width cells were discarded, as well as any cells with width greater than length. Outliers were removed using Graphpad Prism ROUT with default parameters (1%).

Electron microscopy and cell wall thickness

Electron microscopy was performed as in (40). Briefly, exponentially growing cells were fixed in 100 mM MOPS buffer pH 7 containing 2% paraformaldehyde, 2.5%

gluteraldehyde, and 1% dimethyl sulfoxide overnight at 4°C, washed, stained with 2% osmium tetroxide in 100 mM MOPS for 1 hr, washed, and stained overnight with 2% uranyl acetate. The cells were then dehydrated and embedded in Embed 812 resin.

Serial ultrathin sections (80 nm) were cut with a Diatome diamond knife (EMS, PA) on a Leica Ultracut UCT (Leica Microsystems, Germany) and collected on 200-mesh thin-bar formvar carbon grids. Sections were imaged on a Hitachi HT7800 transmission electron microscope.

Images collected were segmented (inner cell wall, outer cell wall) using DeepCell (41), and cell wall thickness was measured using a custom Matlab program available at https://bitbucket.org/garnerlab/wilson_40_2020/. Briefly, the distance between the inner and outer cell wall was measured every 10 nm along a user-defined line, and the mean of that measurement was taken to be the cell's cell wall thickness.

Spot dilution assay

Cells were grown to an OD of 0.5 and diluted 1:10 into 100 uL of LB media in a 96 well plate. A 1:10 serial dilution series was made, and 3 uL of each dilution was spotted onto the plate using a multichannel pipettor. The plates were allowed to dry and incubated in at 37°C or 42°C as indicated for 18h. Plates incubated at 25°C or 18°C were left for additional time (24h and 48h, respectively). Plates were photographed using a Canon SC1011 scanner with the lid open.

For the colony morphology assay in Figure 1, this protocol was followed except that a colony of cells of each strain were simply resuspended in 100 uL of media using a toothpick (omitting the broth culture step).

Data availability

518 All custom software used in this work is available at
519 https://bitbucket.org/garnerlab/wilson_40_2020/. Raw HPLC-MS data for PG profiling
520 experiments available from <ftp://massive.ucsd.edu/MSV000086886>
521 (doi:10.25345/C5R21D). Raw and error corrected sequencing reads for whole genome
522 sequencing available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/study/?acc=PRJNA702153>
523 (BioProject PRJNA702153).
524
525

Name (alias)	PY79	UniProt	Locus tag	Regulons	e-value	References	Activity
AMIDASE							
Amidase_2 (PF01510)							
cwlA	Y/KO	P24808	BSU25900		5.60E-19	(42–44)	
cwlH (yqeE)	Y/KO	P54450	BSU25710	gerE ⁺ , sigK ⁺	7.50E-25	(45)	
xlyA	Y/KO	P39800	BSU12810	xpf ⁺	1.00E-23	(46)	
xlyB (yjpB)	Y/KO	O34391	BSU12460		2.20E-18	similarity; xlyA	
blyA (yomC)	N	O31982	BSU21410		2.30E-18	(47)	
Amidase_3 (PF01520)							
cwlC	Y/KO	Q06320	BSU17410	sigK ⁺	3.4E-44	(48, 49)	
cwlD	Y/KO	P50864	BSU01530	lexA ⁺ , sigE ⁺ , sigG ⁺	1.10E-48	(19, 50, 51)	
lytC (cwlB)	Y/KO	Q02114	BSU35620	sigA ⁺ , sigD ⁺ , sinR ⁺ , slrR ⁺ , yvrHB ⁺	6.4E-54	(7, 49, 52–54)	
yqil	Y/KO	P54525	BSU24190	sigA ⁺	5.50E-56	(55)	
yrvJ	Y/KO	O32041	BSU27580	sigH ⁺	2.30E-44	similarity; lytC	
Amidase_6 (PF12671)							
yhbB (ygaQ)	Y/KO	O31589	BSU08920	sigE ⁺	1.50E-39	uncharacterized	
yjcM	Y/KO	O31635	BSU11910	abrB ⁺ , sigD ⁺	1.30E-23	uncharacterized	
SpolIP (PF07454)							
spolIP	Y/KO	P37968	BSU25530	sigE ⁺ , sigF ⁺ , sigG ⁺ , spoVT ⁺	9.70E-79	(56, 57)	amidase, DDEP
Beta-lactamase (PF00144)							
pbpE	Y/KO	P32959	BSU34440	sigW ⁺	3.40E-61	(58)	not shown
pbpX	Y/KO	O31773	BSU16950	sigM ⁺ , sigV ⁺ , sigW ⁺ , sigX ⁺	7.90E-54	similarity; pbpE	
amiE (ybbE)	Y	O05213	BSU01670	murR ⁺	7.40E-76	does not hydrolyse PG (14)	
N-ACETYLGLUCOSAMIDASE/LYTIC TRANSGLYCOSYLASE							
Glyco_hydro_3 (PF01915)							
nagZ (yzaA, ybbD)	Y	P40406	BSU01660	murR ⁺	6.50E-131	does not hydrolyse PG (14)	
Glyco_hydro_18 (PF00704)							
yaaH (sleL)	Y/KO	P37531	BSU00160	sigB ⁺ , sigE ⁺ , spolIID ⁺	1.20E-26	cleaves small fragments (59–61)	
ydhD	Y/KO	O05495	BSU05710	sigE ⁺	9.60E-30	similarity; yaaH	
ykvQ	Y/KO	O31682	BSU13790	sigK ⁺	2.50E-23	similarity; yaaH	
yvbX	Y/KO	O32258	BSU34020		1.10E-33	similarity; yaaH	

Glucosaminidase (PF01832)							
lytD (cwIG)	Y/KO	P39848	BSU35780	sigD ^Δ , sigG ^Δ	3.30E-11	(7, 62, 63)	
lytG	Y/KO	O32083	BSU31120		6.80E-24	(64)	
3D (PF06725)							
yabE	Y/KO	P37546	BSU00400	sigA ^Δ	1.80E-22	similarity; yuiC, yocH	probably LTG
yocH	Y/KO	O34669	BSU19210	abrB ^Δ , sigA ^Δ , spo0A ^Δ , walR ^Δ	3.50E-22	(28)	probably LTG
yuiC	Y/KO	O32108	BSU32070	codY ^Δ , sigF ^Δ	9.40E-21	(65)	probably LTG
yorM	N	O31901	BSU20330		7.90E-11	similarity; yuiC, yocH	probably LTG
Hydrolase_2 (PF07486)							
cwlJ (ycbQ)	Y/KO	P42249	BSU02600	sigE ^Δ , sigK ^Δ , spoIIID ^Δ	1.60E-18	(66)	probably LTG
sleB (ypeA)	Y/KO	P50739	BSU22930	sigG ^Δ	1.20E-25	(67–69)	probably LTG
ykvT	Y/KO	O31685	BSU13820	walR ^Δ	5.80E-28	similarity; sleB, cwlJ	probably LTG
SLT (PF01464)							
xkdO	Y/KO	P54334	BSU12680	xpf ^Δ	6.20E-20	similarity; cwlQ, cwIP	probably LTG
cwlQ (yjbJ)	Y/KO	O31608	BSU11570	sigD ^Δ	1.70E-34	(70, 71)	LTG + muramidase
yqbO	Y/KO	P45931	BSU26030		5.70E-24	similarity; cwlQ, cwIP	probably LTG
cwIP (yomI)	Y/KO	O31976	BSU21350		1.80E-32	(72)	muramidase, DDEP
Lysozyme_like (PF13702)							
yocA	Y/KO	O34636	BSU19130		4.30E-59	similarity; CwlT	probably muramidase
cwlT (yddH)	N	P96645	BSU04970	immR ^Δ	2.10E-42	(73)	muramidase, DLEP
DPBB_1 (PF03330)							
ydjM (yzvA)	Y/KO	P40775	BSU06250	phoP ^Δ , walR ^Δ	1.00E-09	similarity; PaRlpA (74)	probably LTG
yoaJ (EXLX1)	N	O34918	BSU18630	fur ^Δ	2.90E-07	does not hydrolyse PG (75)	
SpoIID (PF08486)							
spoIID (spoIIC)	Y/KO	P07372	BSU36750	sigE ^Δ , spoIIID ^Δ	1.30E-23	(56, 76)	LTG
lytB (cwBA)	Y	Q02113	BSU35630	sigA ^Δ , sigD ^Δ , sinR ^Δ , slrR ^Δ , yvrHB ^Δ	3.90E-23	does not hydrolyse PG (77, 78)	
YceG (PF02618)							
yrpL (mltG)	Y	O34758	BSU27370	spo0A ^Δ	3.20E-90	similarity; EcMltG (20)	probably LTG
sweC (yqzC)	Y	O32023	BSU24940	spo0A ^Δ	1.40E-07	similarity; EcMltG (20)	

PEPTIDASE							
DL-endorpeptidase							
NLPC/P60 (PF00877)							
cwIO (yzkA,yvcE)	Y	P40767	BSU34800	sigA*, walR*	4.40E-29	(16)	DLEP
cwIS (yojL)	Y/KO	O31852	BSU19410	abh ⁺ , abrB ⁺ , ccpA ⁺ , sigD ⁺ , sigH ⁺	4.70E-29	(11)	DLEP
lytE (papQ, cwIF)	Y	P54421	BSU09420	sigA ⁺ , sigH ⁺ , sigI ⁺ , spo0A ⁺ , walR ⁺	3.30E-28	(79, 80)	DLEP
lytF (cwlE, ydhD)	Y/KO	O07532	BSU09370	sigD ⁺ , sinR ⁺ , slrR ⁺	1.40E-28	(81, 82)	DLEP
pgdS (ywtD)	Y	P96740	BSU35860	sigD ⁺	1.00E-22	does not hydrolyze PG (83)	
ykfC	Y/KO	O35010	BSU12990	codY*	1.80E-29	(84)	DLEP
cwlT (yddH)		P96645	BSU04970	immR*	3.50E-34	(73)	
Peptidase_M14 (PF00246)							
yqgT	Y/KO	P54497	BSU24830		2.70E-27	similarity to B. sphaericus EP1, (85)	probably DLEP
Peptidase_C92 (PF05708)							
yycO	Y/KO	Q45607	BSU40280	sigK ⁺	5.0E-5		
LD-endorpeptidase							
Peptidase_M15 (PF01427)							
cwlK (ycdD)	Y/KO	O34360	BSU02810		3.10E-20	(86)	LDEP
Peptidase_M23 (PF01551)							
lytH (yunA, yutA)	Y/KO	O32130	BSU32340	sigK ⁺	1.80E-21	(87)	LDEP
spolIQ	Y	P71044	BSU36550	sigF ⁺	1.00E-24	no evidence for PG hydrolysis	
spolVFA	Y	P26936	BSU27980	sigE ⁺ , spolIID ⁺	3.40E-12	no evidence for PG hydrolysis	
cwlP (yomI)	N	O31976	BSU21350		1.80E-27	(72)	muramidase, DD-EP

526 **Table 1: List of cell wall hydrolases in *Bacillus subtilis* identified using PHMMER.**

527 Cell wall hydrolases were identified via a PHMMR (13) search with default parameters
528 of the *B. subtilis* subsp. 168 and *B. subtilis* subsp. PY79 proteome for PFAM domains
529 associated with known cell wall hydrolases (Table S2). For each hydrolase, we report its
530 name (and any aliases), whether it is knocked out in the $\Delta 40$ strain (KO) or present in
531 PY79 (Y/N), its UniProt accession number, its locus tag, any reported regulons it is a
532 member of (^ indicates source Faria et al. 2016, * indicates source SubtiWiki), the
533 PHMMR search significance e-value, and any relevant references showing its
534 biochemical activity. Abbreviations: DLEP, D,L-endopeptidase; LDEP, L,D-
535 endopeptidase; LTG, lytic transglycosylase.
536

Figure 1: Construction of the $\Delta 40$ strain via sequential knockout and loopout.

Colony morphology of each cloning intermediate for the $\Delta 40$ strain. WT cells were transformed with a series of resistance-cassette-marked knockouts (starting with $\Delta cwI/Q$). Periodically, antibiotic resistance cassettes were removed via Cre-loxP mediated loopout (indicated by LO). Arrows indicate sequential integrations (e.g., the strain indicated by $\Delta yocH$ contains $\Delta yocH$ and $\Delta cwI/Q$). Dense cell suspensions were spotted and incubated overnight to visualize colony morphology.

Figure 2: The $\Delta 40$ strain has a substantially reduced cell wall hydrolytic complement

A: Only LytE activity is visible by zymography in the $\Delta 40$ strain. Zymography (renaturing SDS-PAGE gel using purified *B. subtilis* cell walls as substrate) was performed as described in Methods. The activity of cell wall hydrolases causes clearing of the gel. In each pair of lanes, the first was loaded with 20 μ L of cell wall binding protein extract, and the second with 5 μ L. Several major and minor bands of cell wall hydrolytic activity are visible in the wild type strain (strain PY79, lanes 7 and 8). Only one band of cell wall hydrolytic activity is visible in the $\Delta 40$ mutant (strain bSW431, lanes 1 and 2). This band is still visible when *cw/O* is knocked out in the $\Delta 40$ strain ($\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$, strain bSW433, lanes 3 and 4) but disappears in the reciprocal *lytE* knockout ($\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$, strain bSW435, lanes 5 and 6). Thus, this band represents LytE activity.

B: Identification of cell wall hydrolase products in WT and $\Delta 40$ cells by peptidoglycan profiling. Purified cell walls were digested to yield soluble muropeptides which were separated and characterized via HPLC-MS (see Methods for details). The abundance of each cell wall hydrolase product was normalized by the abundance of its precursor compound; each product contains two mDAP residues, and each mDAP can be amidated, so these species were compared separately (for example, the abundance of singly amidated glucosaminidase product was divided by the abundance of singly amidated crosslinked PG, its immediate biochemical precursor). D,L-endopeptidase products are still present as expected, because the strain retains the D,L-endopeptidases LytE and CwlO. Amidase products are largely absent. Glucosaminidase products are substantially reduced in abundance. Lytic

569 transglycosylase products are still present in the $\Delta 40$ strain but disappear if *sweC* is
570 additionally knocked out. Thus, the $\Delta 40$ strain is deficient for several major classes of
571 cell wall hydrolase activity. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW431, $\Delta 40$; bSW537, $\Delta 40$
572 Δ *sweC*.

Figure 3: The $\Delta 40$ strain grows at a similar rate as WT cells and has mild shape defects.

A: The $\Delta 40$ strain has a similar growth rate to WT in rich media. Cultures were grown in CH media at 37°C to an OD of ~0.3-0.5, diluted to an OD of 0.05, and samples were collected every 6 minutes for 1.5 hrs (~3 doublings). OD₆₀₀ vs time plots were fit to a single exponential to obtain the growth rate. Each point represents the doubling time from a single experiment, and solid lines show mean and standard deviation. The dotted line shows the mean WT growth rate, for comparison. While $\Delta 40$ has a similar growth rate to WT, *lytE* and *cw/O* knockouts grow more slowly in this background than in a WT background. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW23, $\Delta cw/O$; bSW295, $\Delta lytE$; bSW431, $\Delta 40$; bSW433, $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$; bSW435, $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$.

B: The $\Delta 40$ strain has a similar growth rate to WT in minimal media. Cultures were grown in S7₅₀AA media at 37°C. Samples were collected and data was analyzed as in (A). Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW431, $\Delta 40$.

C: Cell lengths (left) and widths (right) in hydrolase mutants. Cells were labeled with membrane stain and imaged by epifluorescence microscopy. Cell dimensions were measured from these images using Morphometrics. Solid lines in violins show medians. Dashed line outside violins shows WT median for comparison. Shaded region outside violins shows WT quartiles. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW23, $\Delta cw/O$; bSW295, $\Delta lytE$; bSW431, $\Delta 40$; bSW433, $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$; bSW435, $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$.

D: Representative phase contrast images of hydrolase mutant strains. $\Delta 40$ cells primarily form long chains, $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ cells have variable widths, and $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$ cells sometimes have phase-light, lysed cells still attached to their poles (see Figure S2 for

TEM images). Both $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ and $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$ have a population of phase-light, lysed cells. Scale bar is 10 μm .

Figure 4: The $\Delta 40$ strain has no increase in cell wall thickness and does not turn over cell wall.

A: Cell wall turnover rate is negligible in the $\Delta 40$ strain. *Left:* Pulse-chase radiolabel measurements were used to determine the cell wall turnover rate. Cells were labeled with H^3 -GlcNAc, which incorporates into the cell wall. The 3H -GlcNAc was then washed out and radioactivity was subsequently measured for 3 generations. A decrease in radioactivity indicates that material is being removed from the cell wall, e.g. that cell wall is turning over. Each experiment was replicated at least 3 times. Dotted lines show

single exponential fit to mean data. *Right*: Single exponential fits to each experiment at left. Each point represents the time constant ($-k$) obtained from a fit to a single experiment. Error bars show SD. The $\Delta 40$ turnover rate is not significantly different from zero (one sample t test, $p=0.4837$). Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW431, $\Delta 40$.

B: Cell wall thickness in the $\Delta 40$ strain is similar to WT. Cell wall thickness was measured via transmission electron microscopy as described in Methods. Briefly, exponentially growing cells were fixed, osmicated, stained with uranyl acetate, embedded in Embed 812, sectioned, and imaged without additional staining. Each point is the mean cell wall thickness measured for a single cell. Error bars show SD. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW431, $\Delta 40$.

C: Representative images of cell wall thickness. Representative images of cell wall thickness analyzed in C. Strains used: PY79, WT; bSW431, $\Delta 40$. Scale bar is 50 nm.

Figure 5: The $\Delta 40$ strain has similar viability to WT in a range of stress conditions, but $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ is sensitive to ionic, cold, and low pH stress. Spot dilution assays of different strains under various stress conditions. Cultures of each strain were plated in a 1:10 dilution series onto LB plates containing various stressors and grown overnight at the specified temperature, or at 37°C if not indicated. Most conditions supported normal

627 growth, but growth of the $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ strain was inhibited at 25°C, pH 5.5, or with the
628 addition of 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM $MgSO_4$, 10 mM $CaCl_2$, or 300 mM NaCl. Strains used:
629 PY79, WT; bSW23, $\Delta cw/O$; bSW295, $\Delta lytE$; bSW431, $\Delta 40$; bSW433, $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$;
630 bSW435, $\Delta 40 \Delta lytE$.

631

632

633

Figure 6: Three uncharacterized RlpA-like proteins stimulate LytE activity in the presence of divalent cations.

A: The removal of three RlpA-like proteins makes Δ cw/O cells stress-sensitive.

Spot dilution assays were performed as in Figure 4. Δ yabE Δ yocH Δ ydjM (Δ RLPAs)

Δ cw/O showed the same stress sensitivity profile as Δ 40 Δ cw/O, except that 10 mM

MgSO₄ and 25°C only partially inhibited growth. Strain used: bSW490, $\Delta cw/O \Delta yabE$
 $\Delta yocH \Delta ydjM$.

B: Mg²⁺ directly inhibits LytE activity. Zymography was performed on $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$ cells as in Figure 1A, but with the addition of the indicated concentration of MgCl₂ to the renaturation buffer. 25 mM MgCl₂ almost completely inhibited the activity of LytE. Strain used: bSW433, $\Delta 40 \Delta cw/O$.

C: LytE overexpression rescues Mg²⁺ sensitivity in the $\Delta RLPAs \Delta cw/O$ background. Spot dilutions were performed as in Figure 5A, with the indicated concentration of MgCl₂ and the addition of 1 mM IPTG to drive LytE overexpression. Strains used: PY79, WT; ($\Delta RLPAs$) $\Delta cw/O$, bSW490, $\Delta cw/O \Delta yabE \Delta yocH \Delta ydjM$; ($\Delta RLPAs$) $\Delta cw/O$ lytE overexpression, bSW519, $\Delta cw/O \Delta yabE \Delta yocH \Delta ydjM amyE::pHyperSpank-lytE$.

REFERENCES

1. Brill J, Hoffmann T, Bleisteiner M, Bremer E. 2011. Osmotically controlled synthesis of the compatible solute proline is critical for cellular defense of *Bacillus subtilis* against high osmolarity. *J Bacteriol* 193:5335–5346.
2. Vollmer W. 2012. Bacterial growth does require peptidoglycan hydrolases. *Mol Microbiol* 86:1031–1035.
3. Typas A, Banzhaf M, Gross CA, Vollmer W. 2012. From the regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis to bacterial growth and morphology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 10:123–136.
4. Smith TJ, Blackman SA, Foster SJ. 2000. Autolysins of *Bacillus subtilis*: Multiple enzymes with multiple functions. *Microbiology* 146:249–262.
5. Vermassen A, Leroy S, Talon R, Provot C, Popowska M, Desvaux M. 2019. Cell wall hydrolases in bacteria: Insight on the diversity of cell wall amidases, glycosidases and peptidases toward peptidoglycan. *Front Microbiol* 10.
6. Dik DA, Marous DR, Fisher JF, Mobashery S. 2017. Lytic transglycosylases: concinnity in concision of the bacterial cell wall. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 52:503–542.
7. Blackman SA, Smith TJ, Foster SJ. 1998. The role of autolysins during vegetative growth of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 73–82.
8. Holtje J V. 1995. From growth to autolysis: The murein hydrolases in *Escherichia coli*. *Arch Microbiol* 164:243–254.
9. Heidrich C, Ursinus A, Berger J, Schwarz H, Höltje JV. 2002. Effects of multiple deletions of murein hydrolases on viability, septum cleavage, and sensitivity to

large toxic molecules in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 184:6093–6099.

10. Bisicchia P, Noone D, Lioliou E, Howell A, Quigley S, Jensen T, Jarmer H, Devine KM. 2007. The essential YycFG two-component system controls cell wall metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* 65:180–200.
11. Fukushima T, Afkham A, Kurosawa SI, Tanabe T, Yamamoto H, Sekiguchi J. 2006. A new D,L-endopeptidase gene product, YojL (renamed CwlS), plays a role in cell separation with LytE and LytF in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 188:5541–5550.
12. Meisner J, Montero Llopis P, Sham LT, Garner E, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2013. FtsEX is required for CwlO peptidoglycan hydrolase activity during cell wall elongation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* 89:1069–1083.
13. Potter SC, Luciani A, Eddy SR, Park Y, Lopez R, Finn RD. 2018. HMMER web server: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46:W200–W204.
14. Litzinger S, Duckworth A, Nitzsche K, Risinger C, Wittmann V, Mayer C. 2010. Muropeptide rescue in *Bacillus subtilis* involves sequential hydrolysis by β -N-acetylglucosaminidase and N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidase. *J Bacteriol* 192:3132–3143.
15. Foster SJ. 1992. Analysis of the Autolysins of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 during Vegetative Growth and Differentiation by Using Renaturing Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis 174:464–470.
16. Yamaguchi H, Furuhashi K, Fukushima T, Yamamoto H, Sekiguchi J. 2004. Characterization of a new *Bacillus subtilis* peptidoglycan hydrolase gene, *yvcE* (named *cwlO*), and the enzymatic properties of its encoded protein. *J Biosci*

Bioeng 98:174–181.

17. Hashimoto M, Ooiwa S, Sekiguchi J. 2012. Synthetic lethality of the *lytE* *cwI*O genotype in *Bacillus subtilis* is caused by lack of D,L-endopeptidase activity at the lateral cell wall. *J Bacteriol* 194:796–803.
18. Williamson MP, Atrih A, Bacher G, Foster SJ. 1999. Analysis of Peptidoglycan Structure from Vegetative Cells of. *Society* 181:3956–3966.
19. Atrih A, Zöllner P, Allmaier G, Foster SJ. 1996. Structural analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 endospore peptidoglycan and its role during differentiation. *J Bacteriol* 178:6173–6183.
20. Yunck R, Cho H, Bernhardt TG. 2016. Identification of MltG as a potential terminase for peptidoglycan polymerization in bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* 99:700–718.
21. Brunet YR, Wang X, Rudner DZ. 2019. SweC and SweD are essential co-factors of the FtsEX-CwI/O cell wall hydrolase complex in *Bacillus subtilis*. *PLoS Genet* 15:1–27.
22. Pooley HM. 1976. Layered distribution, according to age, within the cell wall of *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 125:1139–1147.
23. Fan DP, Beckman MM. 1971. Mutant of *Bacillus subtilis* demonstrating the requirement of lysis for growth. *J Bacteriol* 105:629–636.
24. Kern T, Giffard M, Hediger S, Amoroso A, Giustini C, Bui NK, Joris B, Bougault C, Vollmer W, Simorre JP. 2010. Dynamics characterization of fully hydrated bacterial cell walls by solid-state NMR: Evidence for cooperative binding of metal ions. *J Am Chem Soc* 132:10911–10919.
25. Matias VRF, Beveridge TJ. 2005. Cryo-electron microscopy reveals native

- polymeric cell wall structure in *Bacillus subtilis* 168 and the existence of a periplasmic space. *Mol Microbiol* 56:240–251.
26. Hussain S, Wivagg CN, Szwedziak P, Wong F, Schaefer K, Izoré T, Renner LD, Holmes MJ, Sun Y, Bisson-Filho AW, Walker S, Amir A, Löwe J, Garner EC. 2018. MreB filaments align along greatest principal membrane curvature to orient cell wall synthesis. *Elife* 7:1–45.
27. Formstone A, Errington J. 2005. A magnesium-dependent mreB null mutant: Implications for the role of mreB in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* 55:1646–1657.
28. Shah IM, Dworkin J. 2010. Induction and regulation of a secreted peptidoglycan hydrolase by a membrane Ser/Thr kinase that detects muropeptides. *Mol Microbiol* 75:1232–1243.
29. Hett EC, Chao MC, Rubin EJ. 2010. Interaction and modulation of two antagonistic cell wall enzymes of mycobacteria. *PLoS Pathog* 6:1–14.
30. Hett EC, Chao MC, Deng LL, Rubin EJ. 2008. A mycobacterial enzyme essential for cell division synergizes with resuscitation-promoting factor. *PLoS Pathog* 4.
31. Sanchez S, Dunn CM, Kearns DB. 2021. CwlQ is required for swarming motility but not flagellar assembly in *Bacillus subtilis*. *bioRxiv* 2021.01.13.426625.
32. Calamita HG, Doyle RJ. 2002. Regulation of autolysins in teichuronic acid-containing *Bacillus subtilis* cells. *Mol Microbiol* 44:601–606.
33. Atilano ML, Pereira PM, Yates J, Reed P, Veiga H, Pinho MG, Filipe SR. 2010. Teichoic acids are temporal and spatial regulators of peptidoglycan cross-linking in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *ProcNatlAcadSciUSA* 107:18991–18996.
34. Yamamoto H, Miyake Y, Hisaoka M, Kurosawa SI, Sekiguchi J. 2008. The major

and minor wall teichoic acids prevent the sidewall localization of vegetative DL-
endopeptidase LytF in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Microbiol* 70:297–310.

35. Reith J, Mayer C. 2011. Peptidoglycan turnover and recycling in Gram-Positive
bacteria. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 92:1–11.

36. Vitkovic L, Cheung HY, Freese E. 1984. Absence of correlation between rates of
cell wall turnover and autolysis shown by *Bacillus subtilis* mutants. *J Bacteriol*
157:318–320.

37. Welsh MA, Taguchi A, Schaefer K, Van Tyne D, Lebreton F, Gilmore MS, Kahne
D, Walker S. 2017. Identification of a Functionally Unique Family of Penicillin-
Binding Proteins. *J Am Chem Soc* 139:17727–17730.

38. Leclerc D, Asselin A. 1989. Detection of bacterial cell wall hydrolases after
denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. *Can J Microbiol* 35:749–753.

39. Ursell T, Lee TK, Shiomi D, Shi H, Tropini C, Monds RD, Colavin A, Billings G,
Bhaya-Grossman I, Broxton M, Huang BE, Niki H, Huang KC. 2017. Rapid,
precise quantification of bacterial cellular dimensions across a genomic-scale
knockout library. *BMC Biol* 15:1–15.

40. Dion MF, Kapoor M, Sun Y, Wilson S, Ryan J, Vigouroux A, van Teeffelen S,
Oldenbourg R, Garner EC. 2019. *Bacillus subtilis* cell diameter is determined by
the opposing actions of two distinct cell wall synthetic systems. *Nat Microbiol*
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0439-0>.

41. Van Valen DA, Kudo T, Lane KM, Macklin DN, Quach NT, DeFelice MM, Maayan
I, Tanouchi Y, Ashley EA, Covert MW. 2016. Deep Learning Automates the
Quantitative Analysis of Individual Cells in Live-Cell Imaging Experiments. *PLoS*

769 Comput Biol 12:1–24.

770 42. Foster SJ. 1991. Cloning, expression, sequence analysis and biochemical
771 characterization of an autolytic amidase of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 trpC2. J Gen
772 Microbiol 137:1987–98.

773 43. Foster SJ. 1993. Analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 prophage-associated lytic
774 enzymes; Identification and characterization of CWLA-related prophage proteins.
775 J Gen Microbiol 139:3177–3184.

776 44. Kuroda A, Sekiguchi J. 1990. Cloning, sequencing and genetic mapping of a
777 *Bacillus subtilis* cell wall hydrolase gene. J Gen Microbiol 136:2209–2216.

778 45. Nugroho FA, Yamamoto H, Kobayashi Y, Sekiguchi J. 1999. Characterization of a
779 new sigma-K-dependent peptidoglycan hydrolase gene that plays a role in
780 *Bacillus subtilis* mother cell lysis. J Bacteriol 181:6230–6237.

781 46. Longchamp PF, Mauel C, Karamata D. 1994. Lytic enzymes associated with
782 defective prophages of *Bacillus subtilis*: Sequencing and characterization of the
783 region comprising the N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase gene of prophage
784 PBSX. Microbiology 140:1855–1867.

785 47. Regamey A, Karamata D. 1998. The N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase
786 encoded by the *Bacillus subtilis* 168 prophage SP β . Microbiology 144:885–893.

787 48. Kuroda A, Asami Y, Sekiguchi J. 1993. Molecular cloning of a sporulation-specific
788 cell wall hydrolase gene of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 175:6260–6268.

789 49. Smith TJ, Foster SJ. 1995. Characterization of the involvement of two
790 compensatory autolysins in mother cell lysis during sporulation of *Bacillus subtilis*
791 168. J Bacteriol 177:3855–3862.

50. Sekiguchi J, Akeo K, Yamamoto H, Khasanov FK, Alonso JC, Kuroda A. 1995. Nucleotide sequence and regulation of a new putative cell wall hydrolase gene, cwID, which affects germination in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 177:5582–5589.
51. Popham DL, Helin J, Costello CE, Setlow P. 1996. Muramic lactam in peptidoglycan of *Bacillus subtilis* spores is required for spore outgrowth but not for spore dehydration or heat resistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93:15405–15410.
52. Margot P, Karamata D. 1992. Identification of the structural genes for N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase and its modifier in *Bacillus subtilis* 168: inactivation of these genes by insertional mutagenesis has no effect on growth or cell separation. *Mol Gen Genet* 232:359–66.
53. Lazarevic V, Margot P, Soldo B, Karamata D. 1992. Sequencing and analysis of the *Bacillus subtilis* lytRABC divergon: a regulatory unit encompassing the structural genes of the N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase and its modifier. *J Gen Microbiol* 138:1949–1961.
54. Kuroda A, Sekiguchi J. 1991. Molecular cloning and sequencing of a major *Bacillus subtilis* autolysin gene. *J Bacteriol* 173:7304–7312.
55. Fischer KE, Bremer E. 2012. Activity of the osmotically regulated yqiHIK promoter from *Bacillus subtilis* is controlled at a distance. *J Bacteriol* 194:5197–5208.
56. Morlot C, Uehara T, Marquis KA, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2010. A highly coordinated cell wall degradation machine governs spore morphogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Genes Dev* 24:411–422.
57. Chastanet A, Losick R. 2007. Engulfment during sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis* is governed by a multi-protein complex containing tandemly acting autolysins. *Mol*

Microbiol 64:139–152.

58. Palomino MM, Sanchez-Rivas C, Ruzal SM. 2009. High salt stress in *Bacillus subtilis*: involvement of PBP4* as a peptidoglycan hydrolase. *Res Microbiol* 160:117–124.
59. Lambert EA, Sherry N, Popham DL. 2012. In vitro and in vivo analyses of the *Bacillus anthracis* spore cortex lytic protein SleL. *Microbiology* 158:1359–1368.
60. Lambert EA, Popham DL. 2008. The *Bacillus anthracis* SleL (YaaH) protein is an N-Acetylglucosaminidase involved in spore cortex depolymerization. *J Bacteriol* 190:7601–7607.
61. Üstök FI, Chirgadze DY, Christie G. 2015. Structural and functional analysis of SleL, a peptidoglycan lysin involved in germination of *Bacillus* spores. *Proteins Struct Funct Bioinforma* 83:1787–1799.
62. Margot P, Mauël C, Karamata D. 1994. The gene of the N-acetylglucosaminidase, a *Bacillus subtilis* 168 cell wall hydrolase not involved in vegetative cell autolysis. *Mol Microbiol* 12:535–545.
63. Rashid MH, Mori M, Sekiguchi J. 1995. Glucosaminidase of *Bacillus subtilis*: Cloning, regulation, primary structure and biochemical characterization. *Microbiology* 141:2391–2404.
64. Horsburgh GJ, Atrih A, Williamson MP, Foster SJ. 2003. LytG of *Bacillus subtilis* is a novel peptidoglycan hydrolase: The major active glucosaminidase. *Biochemistry* 42:257–264.
65. Quay DHX, Cole AR, Cryar A, Thalassinou K, Williams MA, Bhakta S, Keep NH. 2015. Structure of the stationary phase survival protein YuiC from *B. subtilis*

Crystallography. BMC Struct Biol 15:1–14.

66. Ishikawa S, Yamane K, Sekiguchi J. 1998. Regulation and characterization of a newly deduced cell wall hydrolase gene (cwIJ) which affects germination of *Bacillus subtilis* spores. J Bacteriol 180:1375–1380.

67. Moriyama R, Fukuoka H, Miyata S, Kudoh S, Hattori A, Kozuka S, Yasuda Y, Tochikubo K, Makino S. 1999. Expression of a germination-specific amidase, sleB, of bacilli in the forespore compartment of sporulating cells and its localization on the exterior side of the cortex in dormant spores. J Bacteriol 181:2373–2378.

68. Boland FM, Atrih A, Chirakkal H, Foster SJ, Moir A. 2000. Complete spore-cortex hydrolysis during germination of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 requires SleB and YpeB. Microbiology 146:57–64.

69. Heffron JD, Orsburn B, Popham DL. 2009. Roles of Germination-Specific Lytic Enzymes CwlJ and SleB in *Bacillus anthracis*. J Bacteriol 191:2237–2247.

70. Sudiarta IP, Fukushima T, Sekiguchi J. 2010. *Bacillus subtilis* CwlQ (previous YjbJ) is a bifunctional enzyme exhibiting muramidase and soluble-lytic transglycosylase activities. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 398:606–612.

71. Beachy EH, Keck W, de Pedro MA, Schwarz U. 1981. Exoenzymatic Activity of Transglycosylase Isolated from *Escherichia coli*. Eur J Biochem 116:355–358.

72. Sudiarta IP, Fukushima T, Sekiguchi J. 2010. *Bacillus subtilis* CwlP of the Sp- β prophage has two novel peptidoglycan hydrolase domains, muramidase and cross-linkage digesting DD-endopeptidase. J Biol Chem 285:41232–41243.

73. Fukushima T, Kitajima T, Yamaguchi H, Ouyang Q, Furuhashi K, Yamamoto H,

- Shida T, Sekiguchi J. 2008. Identification and characterization of novel cell wall hydrolase CwIT: A two-domain autolysin exhibiting N-acetylmuramidase and DL-endopeptidase activities. *J Biol Chem* 283:11117–11125.
74. Jorgenson MA, Chen Y, Yahashiri A, Popham DL, Weiss DS. 2014. The bacterial septal ring protein RlpA is a lytic transglycosylase that contributes to rod shape and daughter cell separation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* 93:113–128.
75. Kerff F, Amoroso A, Herman R, Sauvage E, Petrella S, Filée P, Charlier P, Joris B, Tabuchi A, Nikolaidis N, Cosgrove DJ. 2008. Crystal structure and activity of *Bacillus subtilis* YoaJ (EXLX1), a bacterial expansin that promotes root colonization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105:16876–16881.
76. Abanes-De Mello A, Sun YL, Aung S, Pogliano K. 2002. A cytoskeleton-like role for the bacterial cell wall during engulfment of the *Bacillus subtilis* forespore. *Genes Dev* 16:3253–3264.
77. Kuroda A, Rashid MH, Sekiguchi J. 1992. Molecular cloning and sequencing of the upstream region of the major *Bacillus subtilis* autolysin gene: a modifier protein exhibiting sequence homology to the major autolysin and the spoIID product. *J Gen Microbiol* 138:1067–1076.
78. Kuroda A, Sekiguchi J. 1992. Characterization of the *Bacillus subtilis* CwbA protein which stimulates cell wall lytic amidases. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 95:109–113.
79. Margot P, Wahlen M, Gholamhuseinian A, Piggot P, Karamata D. 1998. The *lytE* gene of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 encodes a cell wall hydrolase. *J Bacteriol* 180:749–

752.

80. Ishikawa S, Hara Y, Ohnishi R, Sekiguchi J. 1998. Regulation of a new cell wall hydrolase gene, cwIF, which affects cell separation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 180:2549–2555.
81. Margot P, Pagni M, Karamata D. 1999. *Bacillus subtilis* 168 gene lytF encodes a 7-D- muropeptidase expressed by the alternative vegetative sigma factor , cD. *Microbiology* 145:57–65.
82. Ohnishi R, Ishikawa S, Sekiguchi J. 1999. Peptidoglycan hydrolase LytF plays a role in cell separation with CwIF during vegetative growth of *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 181:3178–3184.
83. Suzuki T, Tahara Y. 2003. Characterization of the *Bacillus subtilis* ywtD gene, whose product is involved in γ -polyglutamic acid degradation. *J Bacteriol* 185:2379–2382.
84. Schmidt DMZ, Hubbard BK, Gerlt JA. 2001. Evolution of enzymatic activities in the enolase superfamily: Functional assignment of unknown proteins in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli* as L-Ala-D/L-Glu epimerases. *Biochemistry* 40:15707–15715.
85. Hourdou ML, Guinand M, Vacheron MJ, Michel G, Denoroy L, Duez C, Englebert S, Joris B, Weber G, Ghuysen JM. 1993. Characterization of the sporulation-related γ -D-glutamyl-(L)mesodiaminopimelic-acid-hydrolysing peptidase I of *Bacillus sphaericus* NCTC 9602 as a member of the metallo(zinc) carboxypeptidase A family: Modular design of the protein. *Biochem J* 292:563–570.

- 907 86. Fukushima T, Yao Y, Kitajima T, Yamamoto H, Sekiguchi J. 2007.
908 Characterization of new L,D-endorpeptidase gene product CwlK (previous YcdD)
909 that hydrolyzes peptidoglycan in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol Genet Genomics* 278:371–
910 383.
- 911 87. Horsburgh GJ, Atrih A, Foster SJ. 2003. Characterization of LytH, a
912 differentiation-associated peptidoglycan hydrolase of *Bacillus subtilis* involved in
913 endospore cortex maturation. *J Bacteriol* 185:3813–3820.
- 914