1 Time series models for prediction of Leptospirosis in different climate zones in Sri

2 Lanka

3 Authors

4 ***Janith Warnasekara^{1, 2*}, Agampodi SB¹, Abeynayake R^{2, 3}**

5

6 1. Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Allied

7 Sciences, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka

8 2. Postgraduate Institute of Agriculture, University of Peradeniya

9 3. **Department of Agribusiness Management, Faculty of Agriculture and**

10 **Plantation Management, Wayamba University of Sri Lanka**

11

12 ***Corresponding Author**

13 **Email – jwarnasekara@yahoo.com**

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 Abstract

21 In tropical countries such as Sri Lanka, where leptospirosis—a deadly disease with a
 22 high mortality rate—is endemic, prediction is required for public health planning and
 23 resource allocation. Routinely collected meteorological data may offer an effective
 24 means of making such predictions. This study included monthly leptospirosis and
 25 meteorological data from January 2007 to April 2019 from Sri Lanka. Factor analysis
 26 was used first with rainfall data to classify districts into meteorological zones. We used
 27 a seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average (SARIMA) model for univariate
 28 predictions and autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model for multivariable analysis
 29 of leptospirosis with monthly average rainfall, temperature, relative humidity (RH),
 30 solar radiation (SR) and the number of rainy days/month (RD). Districts were classified
 31 into wet (WZ) and dry (DZ) zones and highlands (HL) based on the factor analysis of
 32 rainfall data. The WZ had the highest leptospirosis incidence; there was no difference
 33 in the incidence between the DZ and HL. Leptospirosis was fluctuated positively with
 34 rainfall, RH and RD, whereas temperature and SR were fluctuated negatively.
 35 ARIMA(1,0,0)(0,1,1)₁₂, ARIMA(1,0,0)(1,1,1)₁₂, and ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1)₁₂ were the
 36 best univariate models for DZ, WZ, and HL, respectively. Despite its known
 37 association, rainfall was positively significant in the WZ only at lag 5 ($P = 0.03$) but
 38 was negatively associated at lag 2 and 3 ($P = 0.04$). RD was positively associated for
 39 all three zones. Temperature was positively associated at lag 0 for the WZ and HL (P
 40 < 0.009) and was negatively associated at lag 1 for the WZ ($P = 0.01$). There was no
 41 association with RH in contrast to previous studies. Based on altitude and rainfall data,
 42 meteorological variables could effectively predict the incidence of leptospirosis with

different models for different climatic zones. These predictive models could be effectively used in public health planning purposes.

Introduction

Globally, leptospirosis accounts for nearly 1 million patients, 58,000 deaths and the loss of 2.9 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) annually(1,2). This disease, which is mainly associated with urban slum living conditions and occupational or recreational activities(3,4), is caused by a spirochete of the genus *Leptospira*(5). Any mammal, bird or marsupial can harbour and can have leptospirosis(6), although humans are considered an accidental host of *Leptospira*(5). *Leptospira* enter the body of the host animal via small abrasions or following contact with mucosal membranes. Before entering into the host, *Leptospira* must survive in water or in soil under different environmental conditions. Although there are free-living non-pathogenic saprophytic *Leptospira* in the environment, the survival of pathogenic *Leptospira* in the environment is not well understood. Ecological conditions conducive to *Leptospira* need to be evaluated to determine proper preventive measures for leptospirosis(7). Climatic conditions affect the growth and survival of many microorganisms, and temperature, precipitation, relative humidity and wind play a role in vector-borne diseases(8). Rainfall, temperature, daily sunshine, relative humidity and solar radiation are associated with leptospirosis in many different settings(9–13). Moisture facilitates *Leptospira* growth, and thus an increase in the number of rainy days increases the survival of these bacteria. The optimal temperature for growth and survival for *Leptospira* is 30 °C. Although an optimal ambient temperature facilitates the growth and survival of *Leptospira*(14), high temperatures and increased solar radiation have a negative impact on rainfall and limit the survival of the organism. Relative humidity is

67 also associated with disease occurrence(11,12). In addition, hot and humid conditions
68 promote the growth and survival of rodents, which are a known vector for
69 *Leptospira*(15–17). As environmental predictors are not uniform across different
70 regions(13,15), research is needed to create regionally specific models to predict
71 leptospirosis.

72

73 Sri Lanka is a hotspot for leptospirosis and has had several recent outbreaks(1,2,18,19),
74 yet few studies have been carried out in Sri Lanka to determine associations between
75 meteorological data and leptospirosis. A wavelet time series modelling done for the
76 Kandy district showed associations of rainfall, the number of wet days per week, days
77 with rainfall >100 mm per week, minimum temperature, average temperature and
78 average humidity with leptospirosis(20). Two studies done by S. R. Gnanapragasam
79 developed univariate seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average (SARIMA)
80 models to describe the incidence of leptospirosis in Kurunegala, Rathnapura, Colombo,
81 Gampaha and Kaluthara districts and for the western province.(21,22) A spatial
82 epidemiological analysis by Robertson et al.(23) is the only study analyzing
83 leptospirosis data from the dry zone of Sri Lanka, although meteorological parameters
84 were not analysed. Plouffe Cameron et al. performed a comprehensive analysis to
85 predict leptospirosis in Sri Lanka, but the only meteorological variable considered was
86 rainfall and the major results presented were limited to the Colombo, Kaluthara and
87 Mathale districts(24). These Sri Lankan studies were all focused on one area or one
88 administrative district. However, epidemiological factors, clinical parameters and
89 environmental conditions are different in the wet and dry zones of Sri Lanka(25,26).
90 Also, the central highlands of the country behave dissimilarly to the dry and wet zones
91 with respect to meteorological parameters(26). It is likely that the meteorological

parameters associated with leptospirosis and/or the strength of their associations also differ across these climate zones. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the meteorological parameters associated with the incidence of leptospirosis and to evaluate the best leptospirosis prediction models for the wet and dry zones and highlands of Sri Lanka.

Materials and Methods

Data sources

We extracted disease incidence data publicly available through the disease surveillance system of Sri Lanka and obtained routinely collected meteorological data from the meteorology department of Sri Lanka(25,26). For the disease surveillance system, the first physician who suspects an incidence of the disease notifies the patient that he/she has a possible case of leptospirosis. This notification is done based on the surveillance case definition for notifiable diseases of Sri Lanka(27). Notifications are delivered via all government and private hospital/healthcare delivery institutions in Sri Lanka. Notification data are sent to the epidemiology unit, which is the national-level institution responsible for infectious disease control, through the medical officer of health divisions. The data summarized by district are published on a weekly basis(25). The leptospirosis notification process was streamlined as a result of a heavy outbreak reported during 2008(28). Therefore, we extracted weekly leptospirosis data from January 2007 until April 2019 (available at www.epid.gov.lk) and compiled monthly data separately for all 25 districts of Sri Lanka. District-level monthly meteorological data on rainfall, relative humidity, temperature, solar radiation and the number of rainy days per month from January 2007 to April 2019 were purchased from the meteorological department of Sri Lanka(26).

Study setting

Although Sri Lanka is a small island, different geographical and climatic zones lead to diverse patterns of leptospirosis. There are several epidemiological and clinical differences in leptospirosis reported from different parts of Sri Lanka(23,29). There are three major climatic zones in Sri Lanka, namely the wet zone (annual rainfall, >2500 mm), intermediate zone (1750–2500 mm) and dry zone (<1750 mm) (Fig 1). The central highlands (hill country) of Sri Lanka overlap the dry, wet and intermediate zones and have low average temperatures, with an average minimum of 18 °C(26). Leptospirosis that occurs in the highlands is slightly different than that which occurs in the wet and dry zones, and thus the highlands were considered as a different geographical area for the purpose of this study(30). Cases of leptospirosis are commonly reported in the wet zone, whereas they are less frequently reported in the dry zone. The wet zone receives heavy rainfall associated with the southwestern monsoon rains, which favours the growth and survival of *Leptospira*. The dry zone environment is less favourable for *Leptospira*, as it receives rain only in association with northeastern monsoon rains, which occur from November to January(26). Several outbreaks of leptospirosis have, however, been reported in the dry zone since 2008(18,31).

Fig 1. Distribution of climate zones and meteorological data collection centres in relation to administrative districts of Sri Lanka.

Geographical area base analysis

For this study, Sri Lanka was classified into three different climatic zones based on rainfall. We used a factor analysis based on principal component factoring method for

the rainfall data to confirm or redefine the traditional climatic zone categorization. Three districts, namely Badulla, Kurunegala and Hambanthota were excluded from the factor analysis as they each consisted of both dry and wet zones within their district boundaries. Table 1 shows the three geographical areas considered in the study and the districts belonging to each area.

Table 1. Districts and meteorological data collection sites within Sri Lanka according to meteorological zones

Dry Zone		Wet Zone		Highlands	
Districts	Meteorological data collection centers	Districts	Meteorological data collection centers	Districts	Meteorological data collection centers
Jaffna	Jaffna	Colombo	Colombo	Kandy	Katugasthota
Mannar	Mannar	Gampaha	Katunayaka	Mathale	Nuwaraeliya
Kilinochchi	Vauniya	Kaluthara	Rathmalana	Nuwaraeliya	
Vauniya	Anuradhapura	Galle	Galle		
Mulathiv	Polonnaruwa	Mathara	Rathnapura		
Anuradhapura	Puttalama	Rathnapura			
Polonnaruwa	Tricomalee	Kegalle			
Puttalama	Batticalo				
Tricomalee	Monaragala				
Batticalo	Potuvil				
Ampara	Mahailluppallama				
Monaragala					

Data processing

The analysis was based on the refined meteorological zones as determined by the principal component factor analysis. For each area, we created the variable ‘monthly leptospirosis cases’ by summing all the reported cases of all the districts within that geographical area. Each variable was generated for 148 time points with data from January 2007 to April 2019. Then the average of each meteorological parameter was calculated for each area using the data of the districts within the area. Five meteorological variables were created for each zone using five meteorological

parameters namely monthly rainfall, monthly rainy days, monthly relative humidity, monthly temperature and monthly solar radiation.

Data analysis

Basic analysis

All data analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23 and Eviews version 10. Summary statistics were obtained for all climatic and disease cases. The incidence of leptospirosis was calculated per 100,000 population in each zone and incidence numbers were compared using the Mann-Whitney *U*-test. To identify monthly variation in leptospirosis cases and meteorological parameters, the standardized (Z transformed) values of all variables were plotted in line diagrams. The Spearman correlation coefficient (*r*) was used as a measure of the association of leptospirosis cases with each meteorological parameter. Solar radiation was excluded from the multivariable analysis as a result of missing values.

Time series analysis

Models using previously reported leptospirosis data (univariate analysis)

SARIMA models were applied using SPSS to detect best-fitting univariate models for the three geographical zones. The model designation SARIMA/ARIMA (p, d, q) (P, D, Q)_s consists of regular autoregressive (AR-p) and moving average (MA-q) terms, which account for correlations with low lags. In addition, seasonal AR (P) and seasonal MA (Q) terms account for correlations with seasonal lags. For the purpose of this study, seasonality was analysed over a time frame of months i.e., there were 12 time periods in one season (Seasonality for the model is 12 months). The terms 'D' and 'd' indicate the number of seasonal differencing and regular differencing, respectively, used to make the mean of the data series stationary, and the term 's' indicates the seasonality.

183

184 The SARIMA model specification is $\{ARIMA(1,1,1)(1,1,1)_{12}\}$

185 $(1 - \phi_1 B)(1 - \Phi_1 B^{12})(1 - B)(1 - B^{12})y_t = (1 + \theta_1 B)(1 + \beta_1 B^{12})e_t$

186 where $(1 - \phi_1 B)$ = non-seasonal AR(1), $(1 - \Phi_1 B^{12})$ = seasonal AR(1), $(1 - B)$ =

187 non-seasonal difference, $(1 - B^{12})$ = seasonal difference, y_t = forecasted value,

188 $(1 + \theta_1 B)$ = non-seasonal MA(1), $(1 + \beta_1 B^{12})$ = seasonal MA(1), e_t = error term.

189 **Model validation (univariate)**

190 To detect the serial correlation of the univariate SARIMA models, the Ljung-Box Q

191 statistic was used, and the Shapiro-Wilk test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test were used

192 to detect the normality of residuals. The Ljung-Box Q statistic was calculated using the

193 following equation:

194
$$Q(m) = n(n+2) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{r_j^2}{n-j};$$

195 where $Q(m)$ = Ljung-Box Q statistic, m = time lag, r_j = accumulated sample

196 autocorrelation, n = length of the time series.

197 The test statistic (W) of the Shapiro-Wilk test was calculated as follows:

198
$$W = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_{(i)})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2},$$

199 where $x_{(i)}$ = i^{th} order statistics, a_i = coefficient calculated by the covariance matrix, $\bar{x}$ =

200 sample mean.

201 The test statistic (D_n) of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was calculated as follows:

202
$$D_n = \sup_x |F_n(x) - F(x)|;$$

203 where $\sup_x$ = supremum of the set of distances and F = distribution function.

204 **Models using climate variables with previously reported leptospirosis**

205 **data (multivariable analysis)**

206 **Pretesting data**

207 For multivariable analyses, all the variables were tested for mean stationarity using the
208 unit root test of Eviews. In the unit root test, the stylized trend-cycle decomposition of
209 a time series y_t is given by

$$210 \quad y_t = TD_t + z_t$$

211 where TD_t is a deterministic linear trend and z_t is the AR(1) process.

212 In addition, outliers of the dependent variable were adjusted and interpolated using
213 Eviews. Variance was stationary for all variables except the dependent variable
214 (number of patients). Therefore, the dependent variable was transformed into a natural
215 logarithm to make the data stationary for variance. Transformed data produced the best
216 results in the zonal level analysis. Therefore, all climate zone level time series models
217 presented in this paper include a natural log-transformed dependent variable.

218 **Model fitting (multivariable)**

219 The autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) technique can be used for non-stationary
220 data as well as for time series with a mixed order of integration. In our data set, three
221 variables for dry zone data and two variables for wet zone data achieved a stationary
222 mean in the 1st difference $\{I(1)\}$ (Table 6). There are several advantages of ARDL
223 models. Irrespective of the difference order— $I(0)$ or $I(1)$ or a combination—ARDL
224 models can be applied. Also, all underlying variables stand as a single equation in
225 ARDL models. Therefore, endogeneity is less of a problem in ARDL models.(32)

226

227 The ARDL (p, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) model specification is

$$228 \quad \phi(L, p)y_t = \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i(L, q_i)x_{it} + \delta w_t + u_t$$

229 where

$$\phi(L,p) = 1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \dots - \phi_p L^p;$$

and

$$\beta(L,q) = 1 - \beta_1 L - \beta_2 L^2 - \dots - \beta_q L^q, \text{ for } I = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k, u_t \sim \text{iid}(0, \sigma^2)$$

and where p = number of patients; q_1 , q_2 , q_3 and q_4 represent rainfall, rainy days, temperature and relative humidity, respectively; L = the lag operator and w_t = the vector of deterministic variables.

Model validation (multivariable)

Within the meteorological dataset the first 108 time points were used to create the models and the next 40 time points were used to validate the models. The lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the lowest mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) were used for the selection of the best-fitting models:

$$\text{MAPE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left| \frac{O_t - y_t}{O_t} \right|$$

where n = number of time points, O_t = observed value, y_t = forecasted value and

$$\text{AIC} = -(\log\text{-likelihood}) + 2K$$

where K is the number of model parameters.

To identify serial autocorrelation of the best-[fitting] model, the Lagrange multiplier (LM) test was applied. The LM test is the product of the coefficient of determination (R^2) from auxiliary regression and the sample size, $\text{LM} = nR^2$, where R^2 = coefficient of determination and n = number of time points. A non-significant (i.e., $P > 0.05$) value for the LM test indicates that the residuals of the time series model are not serially auto correlated.

Residuals were checked for normality using the Jarque-Bera (JB) test, which is based on sample skewness and sample kurtosis. In addition, the residuals were tested for the presence of the ARCH effect to determine heteroscedasticity.

$$\text{JB test statistic} = n \left[\frac{S^2}{6} + \frac{(K-3)^2}{24} \right]$$

where S = skewness and K = kurtosis.

Results

Meteorological zone classification

The factor analysis extracted two components. The first component resulted in the grouping of meteorological data collection centres in the dry zone, and the second component resulted in the grouping of meteorological data collection centres in the wet zone. However, the Katugasthota (in the Kandy district) and Nuwaraeliya centres showed higher factor loadings for both components. These two districts are situated in the central highlands of the country. Therefore, these two districts and Mathale district (the only other district in the central highlands, which does not have a major meteorological centre) were considered as the “highlands”. Fig 2 shows the component matrix in rotated space.

Fig 2. Classification of Sri Lankan climatic zones based on rainfall represented as a component plot in rotated space.

The fig 2 clearly demarcate the dry areas towards component 1 (‘x’ axis) and wet areas towards component 2 (‘y’ axis) and highlands towards center. Accordingly, meteorological data collection centers and their respective districts were classified as belonging to the wet zone, dry zone or highlands (Table 1). Kurunegala, Badulla and

Hambanthota districts were excluded from the initial categorization, as their geographical areas showed considerable overlap with these three climate zones.

Descriptive analysis of zonal-level data

The incidence of leptospirosis was significantly higher in the wet zone as compared with the other two zones (Tables 2 and 3). The incidence was not significantly different between the dry zone and highlands, although the incidence was slightly higher in the highlands.

Table 2 – Descriptive statistics of the model parameters used to describe the incidence of leptospirosis cases and meteorological variables

Parameter	Dry zone			Wet Zone			High Lands		
	Median	Min	Max	Median	Min	Max	Median	Min	Max
No of leptospirosis patients per month	27.5	3	265	136.5	3	1023	18	0	219
Monthly incidence of leptospirosis per 100,000 population	0.54	.06	5.2	1.42	0.03	10.59	0.70	0.00	8.52
Rainfall	79.5	1.6	559.3	187.1	7.6	744.8	131.2	3.6	554.4
Relative Humidity	79.0	70.2	88.8	82.8	75.4	87.3	85.0	68.5	91.6
Temperature	28.5	25.1	30.5	27.7	26.3	29.8	20.7	18.7	22.7
Rainy days	7.0	1.4	21.8	15.7	2.5	27.4	15.0	1.5	26.5

*SD- Standard deviation; Min-minimum; Max-maximum; Md - Median

Table 3 –Comparison of the number of leptospirosis cases among meteorological zones of Sri Lanka (Mann-Whitney *U*-test)

Comparison	Incidence	
	Z value	P value
Dry vs. Wet	8.6	0.000
Dry vs. High	1.8	0.059
Wet vs. High	7.2	0.000

* Z =z value; P = p value

Monthly fluctuations in patient numbers in conjunction with meteorological data

Figs 3–5 show the monthly fluctuations of the standardized meteorological parameters with standardized patient numbers. In the dry zone, a single outbreak occurs from October to January, whereas two annual outbreaks occur in the wet zone (March and August to November) and highlands (May and October to January). Rainfall, the number of rainy days and relative humidity showed fluctuations similar to those of patient numbers, whereas solar radiation and temperature showed opposite fluctuations with respect to patient numbers for all three zones. In the dry zone, relative humidity fluctuated in a very similar pattern to the number of patients. Rainfall, the number of rainy days and relative humidity peaks were observed before the patient peaks in the highlands and dry zone; however, this relationship was not OR consistent] in the wet zone.

Fig 3. Monthly distribution of the standardized incidence of leptospirosis cases and meteorological parameters in the dry zone of Sri Lanka

Fig 4. Monthly distribution of the standardized incidence of leptospirosis cases and meteorological parameters in the wet zone of Sri Lanka

Fig 5. Monthly distribution of the standardized incidence of leptospirosis cases and meteorological parameters in the highlands of Sri Lanka

Correlation analysis between variables

Before proceeding to the time series analysis, we analysed the association between the average number of patients and meteorological parameters using the Spearman correlation coefficient (Table 4). Both rainfall and the number of rainy days showed a statistically significant positive correlation in the dry and wet zones, but the associations were not significant in the highlands. Relative humidity showed a

significant positive correlation across all three zones, whereas temperature showed a significant negative correlation across all three zones.

Table 4: Correlation coefficient (*r*) between the number of patients with leptospirosis and individual meteorological variables for each of the climatic zones

Variable	Dry Zone		Wet Zone		High Lands	
	r value	p value	r value	p value	r value	p value
Rain fall	+0.21	0.010	+0.31	<0.000	+0.09	0.265
Rainy days per month	+0.22	0.005	+0.23	0.004	+0.15	0.069
Temperature	- 0.37	<0.000	-0.18	0.025	- 0.25	0.002
Relative Humidity	+0.40	<0.000	+0.26	0.001	+0.19	0.020

****r* – Spearman correlation**

Models using the previously reported leptospirosis (univariate models) for climate zones

SARIMA models were developed to fit the monthly fluctuations in leptospirosis for each zone. Fig 6 shows the distribution of monthly leptospirosis cases from January 2007 to April 2019 for the three geographical zones, and seasonality was clearly visible in this graph. The highest number of cases was reported from the wet zone, whereas the lowest was from the highlands.

The best-fitting SARIMA models differed for the three zones, indicating different disease patterns (Table 5). All three models include substantial seasonal components, confirming the seasonality of leptospirosis.

Fig 6. Monthly reported cases of leptospirosis from January 2007 to April 2019 (natural log-transformed data, Ln), by meteorological zones in Sri Lanka

Table 5 – Best-fitting univariate SARIMA models to describe the incidence of leptospirosis in three climate zones in Sri Lanka

Zone	Model	Ljung-Box Q	Stationary R ²	BIC	MAPE	Residual Normality
Dry	ARIMA (1,0,0)(0,1,1) ₁₂	0.23	0.71	-1.0	12.81	0.65
Wet	ARIMA (1,0,0)(1,1,1) ₁₂	0.59	0.60	-2.0	5.35	0.08
High Land	ARIMA (0,1,1)(0,1,1) ₁₂	0.53	0.59	-1.2	14.29	0.84

Models using climate data and leptospirosis data (multivariable analysis)

We used the unit root test to determine whether the variable means were stationary (Table 6). The order of integration of the variables was not consistent for the dry and wet zones, and the mean was stationary for all variables for the highlands. Therefore, the ARDL model was used for further analysis.

Table 6 – Unit root test for variables to assess the order of integration

Zone	Variable	Level t	Level p	1 st diff. t	1 st diff.p
Dry	Patient number	-5.77	0.0000		
	Rain fall	-7.62	0.0000		
	Rainy days	-2.79	0.0609	-11.86	0.0000
	Temperature	-2.47	0.1226	-12.91	0.0000
	Relative Humidity	-1.96	0.3010	-12.52	0.0000
Wet	Patient number	-5.07	0.0000		
	Rain fall	-11.07	0.0000		
	Rainy days	-8.80	0.0000		
	Temperature	-2.15	0.2243	-5.65	0.0000
	Relative Humidity	-2.40	0.1436	-10.24	0.0000
Hill country	Patient number	-4.15	0.0011		
	Rain fall	-9.06	0.0000		
	Rainy days	-8.04	0.0000		
	Temperature	-3.69	0.0052		
	Relative Humidity	-8.21	0.0000		

* t = t value ; p = p value

358

359 Table 7 summarizes the results of the multivariable analysis. In the dry zone, the lag 1
 360 effect of the dependent variable and the lag 1 and 2 effect of monthly rainy days showed
 361 significant association with the number of patients in the current month. None of the
 362 other variables were significant for the dry zone. In the wet zone, lag 1 and 3 of the
 363 dependent variable were positively associated. Lag 5 of rainfall was positively
 364 associated, whereas lag 2 and 3 were negatively associated. Lag 2 and 3 of monthly
 365 rainy days were positively associated, and this association was statistically stronger
 366 than the effect of rainfall. Lag 0 of monthly average temperature had a positive
 367 association, whereas lag 1 had a negative association. In the highlands, lag 1 and 2 of
 368 the dependent variable showed a positive association. Also, lag 1 of rainy days and lag
 369 0 of temperature showed positive associations with the incidence of leptospirosis. For
 370 all three zones, relative humidity was not significantly associated with disease
 371 incidence, which is in contrast to findings from studies conducted in other parts of the
 372 world(11).

373

374 **Table 7– Multivariable ARDL models for the three climate zones**

Dry zone Model –ARDL(2, 0, 2, 0, 0) Natural log transformation of dependent variable						
Variable	Lag	Coefficient	t statistics	p value	Model diagnostics	
Patients	-1	0.369321	3.792	0.0003	Adjusted R ²	0.53
Patients	-2	0.125735	1.408	0.1622	AIC	1.76
Rainfall	0	0.000945	0.630	0.5301	MAPE	11.03
Rainy days	0	0.012066	0.331	0.7407	Residual NL	0.36
Rainy days	-1	0.046245	3.157	0.0021	Residual SC	0.23
Rainy days	-2	0.033328	2.234	0.0278	Residual HE	0.51
Temperature	0	0.023727	0.333	0.7398		
Relative Humidity	0	-0.026243	-0.871	0.3856		
Constant		2.153198	0.606	0.5454		
Wet zone Model –ARDL(3, 5, 3, 1, 0) Natural log transformation of dependent variable						
Variable	Lag	Coefficient	t statistics	p value	Model diagnostics	

Patients	-1	0.429866	4.463	0.0000	Adjusted R ² AIC MAPE Residual NL Residual SC Residual HE	0.61
Patients	-2	0.029948	0.283	0.7777		0.79
Patients	-3	0.221521	2.353	0.0209		5.74
Rainfall	0	0.000882	1.824	0.0716		0.32
Rainfall	-1	-0.000176	-0.378	0.7057		0.31
Rainfall	-2	-0.000949	-2.084	0.0401		0.85
Rainfall	-3	-0.000926	-2.005	0.0481		
Rainfall	-4	3.86E-05	0.113	0.9100		
Rainfall	-5	0.000690	2.171	0.0326		
Rainy days	0	0.010046	0.688	0.4931		
Rainy days	-1	0.009669	0.813	0.4182		
Rainy days	-2	0.025726	2.225	0.0286		
Rainy days	-3	0.034874	2.968	0.0039		
Temperature	0	0.322836	3.129	0.0024		
Temperature	-1	-0.269324	-2.452	0.0162		
Relative Humidity	0	0.016734	0.531	0.5962		
Constant		-2.428762	-0.705	0.4821		
Highlands Model –ARDL(2, 0, 1, 0, 0) Natural log transformation of dependent variable						
Variable	Lag	Coefficient	t statistics	p value	Model diagnostics	
Patients	-1	0.648806	6.403	0.0000	Adjusted R ²	0.69
Patients	-2	0.236795	2.371	0.0197	AIC	1.36
Rainfall	0	0.000610	1.063	0.2900	MAPE	13.94
Rainy days	0	-0.009342	-0.549	0.5840	Residual NL	0.38
Rainy days	-1	0.021201	2.104	0.0379	Residual SC	0.99
Temperature	0	0.180980	2.676	0.0087	Residual HE	0.44
Relative Humidity	0	0.025075	1.150	0.2526		
Constant		-5.772529	-2.702	0.0081		

Fig 7 shows the natural log–transformed observed and predicted values of leptospirosis cases for the three geographical zones.

Fig 7. Natural log–transformed observed and forecasted values of the leptospirosis cases for the three climate zones

Discussion

Infectious disease modelling using administrative boundaries has been done in Sri Lanka previously with a focus on one or few districts. Leptospirosis, one of the two leading communicable diseases in Sri Lanka has never been modeled to understand the true effect of climate zones on disease incidence. In this study, we carried out a factor

analysis based on rainfall data to confirm the climate zone and a time series analysis of leptospirosis disease incidence was done by climate zones.

As expected, the highest incidence of leptospirosis was reported for the wet zone, which also had the highest rainfall and highest number of rainy days per month. Frequent and sustained wetness of the soil due to heavy rainfall and frequent flooding may have contributed to the high number of patients in the wet zone(9,11). This finding was not, however, consistent across the dry zone and highlands. Although higher rainfall and a greater number of rainy days per month were reported for the highlands relative to the dry zone, the incidence of leptospirosis was not statistically different between these two zones. Lower temperatures and high solar radiation may have had a negative effect on the incidence of leptospirosis in the highlands. Another possibility is that the soil was not retaining water due steep slope in the highlands as compared with the lower-elevation dry zone, making it difficult for *Leptospira* to survive for a longer period. The survival of *Leptospira* in different climate zones has not yet been thoroughly studied, which makes further explanation of these findings difficult. A recently published study revealed that virulence of *Leptospira interrogans* is maintained for more than one year, even with prolonged starvation.(33) This observation provides an explanation for continuous outbreaks occurring in the wet zone. It also underscores the need for scientific studies on the natural survival of *Leptospira* under different environmental conditions.

Monthly fluctuation of rainfall, rainy days and relative humidity are suggestive of positive associations with leptospirosis (Figs 3–5). In the wet zone, however, the association at the early part of the year was different from that of the latter part of the year. Peaks in relative humidity, rainfall and rainy days were observed after the patient peak during the early outbreak, and peaks were observed almost simultaneously with

the outbreak during the latter part of the year. Although there is no exact explanation for this variation, it might be associated with human behaviour associated with rice paddy cultivation. Paddy cultivation is the main occupational risk factor for leptospirosis in Sri Lanka(19). There are two main paddy cultivation seasons in Sri Lanka, referred to as ‘Yala’ and ‘Maha’. The Yala and Maha seasons differ slightly between the wet and dry zones. In the wet zone, paddy land preparation for cultivation for Yala starts at the end of February, and farmers are in regular contact with the mud during March, which is not part of the rainy season in the wet zone. This may explain the isolated patient peak observed during March in the wet zone. The rainy season from May to September and paddy land preparation for Maha from September onwards represent a possible explanation for the second patient peak in the wet zone. This may explain why rainfall has little effect on disease incidence, as the area is wet throughout the year in the wet zone.

Because outbreaks occur in specific climate zones, zonal-level models are of vital importance for predicting leptospirosis. The fitted univariate models differed for the three zones, providing additional support for different disease patterns. The seasonal component of the SARIMA models was significant for all three zones, consistent with a study in Thailand that found different disease patterns in Northern Thailand and Northeastern Thailand(13).

A correlation analysis together with multivariable ARDL models provided additional explanation for the meteorological associations. The average number of rainy days per month showed a consistent positive association with patient numbers for all zones. This association was consistent across several lag effects. Continuous rain over a period of a few months may create a favourable environment for the growth and survival of *Leptospira*. Although several Sri Lankan and outside studies suggest that rainfall is a

strong factor in predicting leptospirosis incidence, the average number of rainy days was highly associated variable than rainfall in this study. Especially in the highlands, rainfall was not correlated with the incidence of leptospirosis. This could be due to the geography of the highlands, as the mountains result in less water retention. Also, marshlands and flooding are not common in the highlands. Even in the multivariable analysis, rainfall was significantly associated with leptospirosis incidence only for the wet zone at lag 0. In contrast, rainfall showed a negative association at lag 2, 3 and 5 for the wet zone. There are studies suggesting that only limited rainfall is associated with vector-borne diseases(16,34). One study conducted in China indicated that heavy rainfall is negatively associated with leptospirosis when the natural habitats of rodents are destroyed(34). This suggests that light, steady rain over several days might promote leptospirosis more than a single heavy rainfall. In contrast, a study in the United States found that floods can wash *L.interrogans* into surface water and spread the disease(35). Also, a Sri Lankan study conducted to compare two outbreaks revealed that *L. interrogans* was the predominant *Leptospira* species in the wet zone during the 2008 outbreak, whereas *L. kirschneri* was the predominant *Leptospira* species in a post-flooding outbreak of leptospirosis in the dry zone (31). Floods are more common in the wet zone than in other zones. The link between *L. interrogans* and flooding may explain the isolated significance of rainfall in the wet zone. This may also explain the lack of significance for rainfall in the highlands.

Although the correlation between relative humidity and the number of patients was significant, the effect was not significant in the multivariable analysis. This may be due to the presence of multicollinearity in the correlation study, although the variance inflation factor for relative humidity was <5. There are several studies suggesting that warm, humid conditions are needed for survival of *L. interrogans* outside the

host(12,15). Although some studies suggest that a higher relative humidity has a negative impact on rodents(36,37), there is evidence suggesting that the primary host of *Leptospira* in Sri Lanka may not be rodents(6,38). Thus we need further studies on the possible hosts of *Leptospira* in Sri Lanka.

The average temperature showed different associations with disease incidence across the three zones. As the dry zone has a higher temperature throughout the year, there may not be an additional effect of temperature on leptospirosis in this zone. The average temperature in the highlands is below the optimal temperature for the growth and survival of *Leptospira*. This may explain the positive association of temperature in the highlands. In the wet zone, temperature showed a positive effect at lag 0 and a negative effect at lag 1. Although an exact reason cannot be given for these contrasting effects, temperature is a positive factor associated with the survival of rodents and rodent densities(15). Further studies are needed to understand the association of temperature with leptospirosis.

Solar radiation was not included in the multivariable model because of missing values in the data set. However, the correlation analysis did show that solar radiation was negatively associated with the number of patients in the dry zone and highlands, whereas there was no association in the wet zone. Bacteria grow well under conditions of high solar radiation, whereas solar UV may inhibit the growth of bacteria. *L. interrogans* can survive for up to 6 hours under UV exposure(39). There is also evidence that bacterial growth is optimal during the rainy season because of lower doses of radiation(40). However, this evidence does not provide adequate explanation for the observations in this study.

This study aimed to provide a meteorological explanation for the variability of leptospirosis across different geographical zones in Sri Lanka. This variability includes

differences in clinical features, unusual clinical manifestations and differences in the severity of outbreaks that have occurred since the major outbreak of 2008(18). However, a meteorological explanation alone is not sufficient to answer the question. We also need to consider factors that have direct effects on human behaviours, host behaviours, host reproduction, susceptibility and bacterial growth and survival. Studies on host animals, as well as on the geographical distribution of etiological agents, the microbiome and microbial survival in different soil types and water sources are crucial for describing the diversity of leptospirosis and to obtain a holistic understanding of the disease patterns(41).

Limitations of the study

First, leptospirosis is underestimated in Sri Lanka(29) which is a common scenario globally due to the nature of the disease. Lack of clinical suspicion, unspecific presentations and lack of point of care diagnostics has been showed to be contributing to this. Yet, the above mentioned underestimations are everywhere in the country thus the effect of that possible underestimation might be having a minimal effect.

Abbreviations

AIC – Akaike information criterion

AR – Auto Regressive

ARCH – Auto Regressive conditional heteroscedasticity

ARDL – Auto Regressive distributed lag

ARIMA – Auto regressive integrated moving average

510 **ARIMAX** – Multivariate auto regressive integrated moving average with input
 511 series
 512 **DALY** – Disability adjusted life years
 513 **IQR** – Inter quartile range
 514 **LM** - Lagrange multiplier
 515 **MA** – Moving Average
 516 **MAPE** – Mean absolute percentage error
 517 **SARIMA** – Seasonal Auto regressive integrated moving average with input series
 518 **SPSS** – Statistical package for social sciences
 519 **UV** –Ultraviolet
 520 **VAR** – Vector Auto Regressive

521 **Acknowledgements**

522 We acknowledge the epidemiological unit of Sri Lanka for giving permission to use the
 523 published leptospirosis data from the weekly epidemiological reports. Also, we
 524 acknowledge the medical students, and the staff of faculty of Medicine and Allied
 525 Sciences, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka for the support given for data entering. We
 526 also thank Mr. Dulara Piyumal for his help with generating the figures.

527 **Funding**

528 Data from the meteorological department were purchased using the dean's award for
 529 research publications received by JW in 2017.

530 **Availability of data and materials**

531 All of the meteorological data are available from the meteorological department of Sri
 532 Lanka, and epidemiological data are freely available on the website of the epidemiology
 533 unit of Sri Lanka.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available in [Zenodo] at <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4290803>.

Author contributions

JW was involved in the research design, literature search, data extraction, data interpretation and final report writing. SA conceived the study, guided the research process, reviewed the extracted data and reviewed and edited the final manuscript. RA guided for the research proposal, the research process and data analysis and edited the final manuscript.

Ethics approval

This study was conducted using secondary data, and all the data used are publicly available. Therefore, ethics approval was not obtained.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Torgerson P, Martinez-Silveira MS, et al. Global Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: A Systematic Review.

- 557 PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015 Sep;9(9):e0003898.
- 558 2. Torgerson PR, Hagan JE, Costa F, Calcagno J, Kane M, Martinez-Silveira MS,
559 et al. Global Burden of Leptospirosis: Estimated in Terms of Disability
560 Adjusted Life Years. PLoS Negl Trop Dis [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2016 Jun
561 12];9(10):e0004122. Available from:
562 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26431366>
- 563 3. Agampodi SB, Karunarathna D, Jayathilala N, Rathnayaka H, Agampodi TC,
564 Karunanayaka L. Outbreak of leptospirosis after white-water rafting: sign of a
565 shift from rural to recreational leptospirosis in Sri Lanka? Epidemiol Infect
566 [Internet]. 2014 Apr [cited 2016 Jul 2];142(4):843–6. Available from:
567 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23800619>
- 568 4. Bandara M, Ananda M, Wickramage K, Berger E, Agampodi S. Globalization
569 of leptospirosis through travel and migration. Global Health [Internet]. 2014
570 Jan [cited 2015 Sep 14];10:61. Available from:
571 <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4131158&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- 572
- 573 5. S. Faine, B. Adler CB and PP. Leptospira and Leptospirosis. 2nd ed. MediSci,
574 Melbourne, Vic. Australia; 1999.
- 575 6. Gamage CD, Koizumi N, Muto M, Nwafor-Okoli C, Kurukurusuriya S,
576 Rajapakse JRP V, et al. Prevalence and carrier status of leptospirosis in
577 smallholder dairy cattle and peridomestic rodents in Kandy, Sri Lanka. Vector
578 Borne Zoonotic Dis [Internet]. 2011 Aug [cited 2016 Jun 13];11(8):1041–7.
579 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21284522>
- 580 7. Agampodi S, Warnasekara J, Jayasundara D, Senawirathna I, Gamage C,
581 Kularatne S, et al. Study protocol: characterising the clinical, epidemiological

- 582 and aetiological aspects of leptospirosis in Sri Lanka: a hospital based clinico-
583 epidemiological study. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2019 Sep 1 [cited 2020 May
584 9];9(9):e027850. Available from:
585 <https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/9/9/e027850.abstract>
- 586 8. Blanco J, Kheradmand H. Climate change : socioeconomic effects [Internet].
587 Intech; 2011 [cited 2019 Oct 7]. Available from:
588 https://books.google.lk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GLqZDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA43&dq=%22leptospirosis%22+AND+%22sri+lanka%22+AND+%22time+series%22&ots=P_DE3bVOrZ&sig=RFMx7c6l0jWn4UzQcisDpSN3UgE&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false
- 592 9. Ghizzo Filho J, Nazário NO, Freitas PF, Pinto G de A, Schlindwein AD.
593 Temporal analysis of the relationship between leptospirosis, rainfall levels and
594 seasonality, Santa Catarina, Brazil, 2005-2015. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo
595 [Internet]. 2018 Jul 30 [cited 2019 Feb 24];60:e39. Available from:
596 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30066807>
- 597 10. Joshi YP, Kim E-H, Cheong H-K. The influence of climatic factors on the
598 development of hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome and leptospirosis
599 during the peak season in Korea: an ecologic study. BMC Infect Dis [Internet].
600 2017 Dec 7 [cited 2019 Feb 24];17(1):406. Available from:
601 <http://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12879-017-2506-6>
- 602 11. SUMI A, TELAN EFO, CHAGAN-YASUTAN H, PIOLO MB, HATTORI T,
603 KOBAYASHI N. Effect of temperature, relative humidity and rainfall on
604 dengue fever and leptospirosis infections in Manila, the Philippines. Epidemiol
605 Infect [Internet]. 2017 Jan 9 [cited 2019 Feb 24];145(01):78–86. Available
606 from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27608858>

- 607 12. Desvars A, Jégo S, Chiroleu F, Bourhy P, Cardinale E, Michault A. Seasonality
608 of Human Leptospirosis in Reunion Island (Indian Ocean) and Its Association
609 with Meteorological Data. Ojcius DM, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2011 May
610 31 [cited 2019 Feb 24];6(5):e20377. Available from:
611 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21655257>
- 612 13. Chadsuthi S, Modchang C, Lenbury Y, Iamsirithaworn S, Triampo W.
613 Modeling seasonal leptospirosis transmission and its association with rainfall
614 and temperature in Thailand using time-series and ARIMAX analyses. Asian
615 Pac J Trop Med [Internet]. 2012 Jul [cited 2019 Feb 24];5(7):539–46.
616 Available from:
617 <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1995764512600959>
- 618 14. Faine S. Leptospira and leptospirosis. Leptospira and leptospirosis [Internet].
619 1994 [cited 2017 Oct 29]; Available from:
620 <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19952009809>
- 621 15. S I, V H, K B, Y C, P B, B G, et al. Leptospira and Rodents in Cambodia:
622 Environmental Determinants of Infection. Am J Trop Med Hyg [Internet].
623 2012 [cited 2020 May 8];86(6). Available from:
624 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22665613/>
- 625 16. Aars J, Ims RA. Intrinsic and climatic determinants of population demography:
626 The winter dynamics of Tundra Voles. Ecology [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2020
627 May 8];83(12):3449–56. Available from:
628 [https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/6d94/a2dceb8ebe43e028c08ee0cd4a7cf71e19](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/6d94/a2dceb8ebe43e028c08ee0cd4a7cf71e1937.pdf?_ga=2.240551107.1586838550.1588908954-1586117972.1517561110)
629 [37.pdf?_ga=2.240551107.1586838550.1588908954-1586117972.1517561110](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/6d94/a2dceb8ebe43e028c08ee0cd4a7cf71e1937.pdf?_ga=2.240551107.1586838550.1588908954-1586117972.1517561110)
- 630 17. P B, X W, F Z, KA P, S T. Seasonal Rainfall Variability, the Incidence of
631 Hemorrhagic Fever With Renal Syndrome, and Prediction of the Disease in

- 632 Low-Lying Areas of China. *Am J Epidemiol* [Internet]. 1998 [cited 2020 May
633 8];148(3). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9690365/>
- 634 18. Agampodi SB, Peacock SJ, Thevanesam V, Nugegoda DB, Smythe L,
635 Thaipadungpanit J, et al. Leptospirosis outbreak in Sri Lanka in 2008: lessons
636 for assessing the global burden of disease. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* [Internet].
637 2011 Sep [cited 2016 Jun 19];85(3):471–8. Available from:
638 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896807>
- 639 19. Warnasekara JN, Agampodi S. Leptospirosis in Sri Lanka. *Sri Lankan J Infect*
640 *Dis* [Internet]. 2017 Oct 15 [cited 2017 Nov 9];7(2):67. Available from:
641 <https://sljid.sljol.info/article/10.4038/sljid.v7i2.8155/>
- 642 20. Ehelepola NDB, Ariyaratne K, Dissanayake WP. The correlation between local
643 weather and leptospirosis incidence in Kandy district, Sri Lanka from 2006 to
644 2015. *Glob Health Action* [Internet]. 2019 Jan 9 [cited 2019 Feb
645 24];12(1):1553283. Available from:
646 <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/16549716.2018.1553283>
- 647 21. Gnanapragasam SR. Outbreaks of Leptospirosis in selected districts of Sri
648 Lanka. *Int J Acad Res Dev* [Internet]. 2018;3(6):27–32. Available from:
649 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328629559_Outbreaks_of_Leptospirosis_in_selected_districts_of_Sri_Lanka/citations
- 650
- 651 22. Gnanapragasam SR. An Empirical Study on Human Leptospirosis Cases in the
652 Western Province of Sri Lanka. *OUSL J* [Internet]. 2017 Jul 31 [cited 2019 Oct
653 7];12(1):109. Available from:
654 <https://ouslj.sljol.info/article/10.4038/ouslj.v12i1.7354/>
- 655 23. Robertson C, Nelson TA, Stephen C. Spatial epidemiology of suspected
656 clinical leptospirosis in Sri Lanka. *Epidemiol Infect* [Internet]. 2012 Apr [cited

- 657 2016 Jul 2];140(4):731–43. Available from:
658 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21676347>
- 659 24. Plouffe CCF. Space-time modelling of emerging infectious diseases: Assessing
660 leptospirosis risk in Sri Lanka [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 Oct 7]. Available
661 from: [https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Space-time-modelling-of-](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Space-time-modelling-of-emerging-infectious-risk-in-Plouffe/97748f2b2adf893e6c00187550fd66342b279535)
662 [emerging-infectious-risk-in-](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Space-time-modelling-of-emerging-infectious-risk-in-Plouffe/97748f2b2adf893e6c00187550fd66342b279535)
663 [Plouffe/97748f2b2adf893e6c00187550fd66342b279535](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Space-time-modelling-of-emerging-infectious-risk-in-Plouffe/97748f2b2adf893e6c00187550fd66342b279535)
- 664 25. Epidemiology Unit, Sri Lanka [Internet]. [cited 2019 Oct 12]. Available from:
665 <http://www.epid.gov.lk/web/index.php?lang=en>
- 666 26. Meteorology department, Sri Lanka [Internet]. [cited 2019 Oct 12]. Available
667 from: <http://www.meteo.gov.lk/index.php?lang=en>
- 668 27. Lanka S. Surveillance Case Definitions for Notifiable Diseases. 2005 [cited
669 2017 Jun 29]; Available from:
670 [http://medicine.kln.ac.lk/depts/publichealth/Fixed_Learning/Surveillance/Defin](http://medicine.kln.ac.lk/depts/publichealth/Fixed_Learning/Surveillance/Definition/Final-Book.pdf)
671 [ition/Final-Book.pdf](http://medicine.kln.ac.lk/depts/publichealth/Fixed_Learning/Surveillance/Definition/Final-Book.pdf)
- 672 28. Agampodi SB, Peacock SJ, Thevanesam V, Nugegoda DB, Smythe L,
673 Thaipadungpanit J, et al. Leptospirosis outbreak in Sri Lanka in 2008: lessons
674 for assessing the global burden of disease. Am J Trop Med Hyg [Internet].
675 2011 Sep [cited 2016 Jul 2];85(3):471–8. Available from:
676 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896807>
- 677 29. Warnasekara J, Koralegedara I, Agampodi S. Estimating the burden of
678 leptospirosis in Sri Lanka; a systematic review. BMC Infect Dis [Internet].
679 2019 Dec 6 [cited 2019 Feb 11];19(1):119. Available from:
680 <https://bmcinfectdis.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12879-018-3655-y>
- 681 30. Agampodi SB, Dahanayaka NJ, Bandaranayaka AK, Perera M, Priyankara S,

- 682 Weerawansa P, et al. Regional differences of leptospirosis in Sri Lanka:
683 observations from a flood-associated outbreak in 2011. PLoS Negl Trop Dis
684 [Internet]. 2014 Jan [cited 2016 Feb 8];8(1):e2626. Available from:
685 [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3894175&tool=pm](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3894175&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract)
686 [centrez&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3894175&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract)
- 687 31. Agampodi SB, Dahanayaka NJ, Bandaranayaka AK, Perera M, Priyankara S,
688 Weerawansa P, et al. Regional differences of leptospirosis in Sri Lanka:
689 observations from a flood-associated outbreak in 2011. PLoS Negl Trop Dis
690 [Internet]. 2014 Jan 16 [cited 2016 Feb 8];8(1):e2626. Available from:
691 <http://journals.plos.org/plosntds/article?id=10.1371/journal.pntd.0002626>
- 692 32. Nkoro E, Uko AK. Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) cointegration
693 technique: application and interpretation. J Stat Econom Methods [Internet].
694 2016 [cited 2020 Jun 4];5(4):63–91. Available from:
695 http://www.scienpress.com/Upload/JSEM%2FVol_5_4_3.pdf
- 696 33. Bierque E, Soupé-Gilbert M-E, Thibaux R, Girault D, Guentas L, Goarant C.
697 *Leptospira interrogans* Retains Direct Virulence After Long Starvation in
698 Water. Curr Microbiol [Internet]. 2020 Jul 18 [cited 2020 Jul 29];1–9.
699 Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00284-020-02128-7>
- 700 34. P B, S T, K D, K P, J N. Climatic, reservoir and occupational variables and the
701 transmission of haemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome in China. Int J
702 Epidemiol [Internet]. 2002 Feb 1 [cited 2020 May 9];31(1):189–93. Available
703 from: <https://europepmc.org/article/med/11914320>
- 704 35. B H, GE G. Outbreak of Hantavirus Infection in the Four Corners Region of
705 the United States in the Wake of the 1997-1998 El Nino-southern Oscillation. J
706 Infect Dis [Internet]. 2000 [cited 2020 May 9];181(5). Available from:

- 707 <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10823755/>
- 708 36. LQ F, XJ W, S L, YL L, SX S, WY Z, et al. Spatiotemporal Trends and
709 Climatic Factors of Hemorrhagic Fever With Renal Syndrome Epidemic in
710 Shandong Province, China. PLoS Negl Trop Dis [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2020
711 May 9];4(8). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20706629/>
- 712 37. Lee S-H, Chung B-H, Lee W-C, Choi I-S. Epidemiology of Hemorrhagic Fever
713 with Renal Syndrome in Korea, 2001-2010. J Korean Med Sci [Internet]. 2013
714 [cited 2020 May 9];28(10):1552. Available from:
715 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3792615/>
- 716 38. Gamage CD, Koizumi N, Perera AKC, Muto M, Nwafor-Okoli C, Ranasinghe
717 S, et al. Carrier status of leptospirosis among cattle in Sri Lanka: a zoonotic
718 threat to public health. Transbound Emerg Dis [Internet]. 2014 Feb [cited 2016
719 Jul 2];61(1):91–6. Available from:
720 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22998409>
- 721 39. S Chadsuthi, J Wong-Ekkabut, W Triampo, G Doungchawee DT. Comparison
722 of the effects of UV-A radiation on *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Bataviae,
723 Canicola and Pomona | African Journal of Biotechnology. African J Biotechnol
724 [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2020 May 9];9. Available from:
725 <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ajb/article/view/80594>
- 726 40. Doungchawee G, Triampo D. Leptospirosis research: Response of pathogenic
727 spirochete to ultraviolet-A irradiation. African J ... [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2020
728 May 9]; Available from:
729 [https://www.academia.edu/34713927/Leptospirosis_research_Response_of_pat](https://www.academia.edu/34713927/Leptospirosis_research_Response_of_pathogenic_spirochete_to_ultraviolet-A_irradiation)
730 [hogenic_spirochete_to_ultraviolet-A_irradiation](https://www.academia.edu/34713927/Leptospirosis_research_Response_of_pathogenic_spirochete_to_ultraviolet-A_irradiation)
- 731 41. Senevirathna I, Jayasundara D, Lefler JP, Chaiboonm KL, Warnasekara J,

- 732 Agampodi S, et al. Complete Genome Sequence of *Leptospira interrogans*
 733 Strains FMAS_KW1, FMAS_KW2 and FMAS_AW1 Isolated from
 734 Leptospirosis Patients from Karawanalla and Awissawella, Sri Lanka. J
 735 Genomics [Internet]. [cited 2020 May 9];2020. Available from:
 736 <http://www.jgenomics.com//creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>
- 737 42. Dahanayaka NJ, Agampodi SB, Bandaranayaka AK, Priyankara S, Vinetz JM,
 738 Vinetz JM. Hantavirus infection mimicking leptospirosis: how long are we
 739 going to rely on clinical suspicion? J Infect Dev Ctries [Internet]. 2014 Aug 13
 740 [cited 2017 Oct 28];8(08):1072–5. Available from:
 741 <http://www.jidc.org/index.php/journal/article/view/4115>
- 742 43. Dahanayaka NJ, Warnasekara YPJN, Rajapakse RMSR, Ranathunga SYK,
 743 Agampodi SB. Validity of Lateral Flow Immunochromatographic-Assays
 744 (LFIA) in diagnosis of leptospirosis. Ceylon Med J [Internet]. 2017 Dec 29
 745 [cited 2017 Dec 31];62(4):248. Available from:
 746 <https://cmj.sljol.info/article/10.4038/cmj.v62i4.8579/>
 747

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.19.431948>; this version posted February 19, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Figure 7