Activity-induced gene expression and long-range enhancer-promoter contacts in cohesin-deficient neurons

Lesly Calderon^{1,2}§, Felix D Weiss^{1,2}§, Jonathan A Beagan³§, Marta S Oliveira^{1,2}, Yi-Fang Wang^{1,2}, Thomas Carroll^{1,2}, Gopuraja Dharmalingam^{1,2}, Wanfeng Gong³, Kyoko Tossell^{1,2}, Vincenzo de Paola², Chad Whilding^{1,2}, Mark A. Ungless^{1,2}, Amanda G Fisher^{1,2}, Jennifer E Phillips-Cremins^{3,4,5}, Matthias Merkenschlager^{1,2}*

¹ MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, UK

² Institute of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Du Cane Road, London W12 0NN, UK

³ Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

⁴ Epigenetics Program, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

⁵ Department of Genetics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

§ Equal contribution

Present addresses

IMP, Vienna, Austria (LC)

Institute of Innate Immunity, University of Bonn, Germany (FDW)

Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA (JAB)

Rockefeller University, NY, USA (TC)

Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, UK (KT)

Mental Health Innovations, London, UK (MAU)

* Address correspondence to matthias.merkenschlager@lms.mrc.ac.uk

Abstract

Cohesin and CTCF are major drivers of 3D genome organization. Even though human mutations underscore the importance of cohesin and CTCF for neurodevelopment, their role in neurons is only just beginning to be addressed. Here we conditionally ablate *Rad21* in cortical neurons, revealing a prominent role for cohesin in the expression of genes that facilitate neuronal maturation, homeostasis, and activation. In agreement with recent reports, activity-dependent genes were downregulated at baseline. However, in contrast to current models that attribute impaired activity-dependent gene expression to a role for cohesin and CTCF in anchoring enhancer-promoter contacts, we show that nearly all activity-dependent genes remain inducible in the absence of cohesin. While CTCF-based chromatin loops were substantially weakened, long-range contacts still formed robustly between activity-dependent enhancers and their target immediate early gene promoters. We suggest a model where neuronal cohesin facilitates the precise level of activity-dependent gene expression, rather than inducibility *per se*, and where inducibility of activity-dependent gene expression is linked to cohesin-independent enhancer-promoter contacts. These data expand our understanding of the importance of cohesin-independent enhancer-promoter contacts in regulating gene expression.

Introduction

Human mutations in CTCF and cohesin-related factors result in intellectual disability¹⁻³ and are accompanied by defects in neuronal gene expression⁴. In addition to canonical functions in the cell cycle⁵, cohesin cooperates with the sequence-specific DNA binding protein CTCF in the 3-dimensional (3D) organization of the genome. Cohesin forms CTCF-based loops by a process that generates self-interacting domains that are defined by an increased probability of chromatin contacts within the range of cohesin-mediated loop extrusion⁶⁻¹⁰. This organization is thought to contribute to regulated gene expression by facilitating appropriate enhancer-promoter interactions¹¹⁻¹⁴ and its disruption can cause human disease¹⁵⁻¹⁶, including neurodevelopmental disorders¹⁷.

Here we establish an experimental system to address the role of cohesin in neuronal 3D genome organisation and gene expression, independently of essential cohesin functions in the cell cycle. We used developmentally regulated *Nex*^{Cre} expression^{18,19} to inducibly deplete the cohesin subunit RAD21 in immature post-mitotic mouse neurons *in vivo*. This approach revealed a previously unrecognised role for cohesin in neuronal maturation, and allowed an in depth characterisation of cohesin's role in neuronal gene expression. Acute proteolytic degradation of RAD21 (RAD21-TEV, Ref. ⁴) corroborated that cohesin is required for the expression genes involved in neuronal maturation, homeostasis, and activation.

Activity-dependent neuronal genes and their associated enhancers are important for neuronal morphology, the formation of synapses and circuits, and ultimately learning and memory²⁰⁻²⁴. Activity-dependent gene expression is accompanied by acetylation of H3K27, recruitment of RNAP2, cohesin, and other chromatin binding proteins²²⁻²⁸. 3D contacts between enhancers and promoters increase in response to neuronal activation at a subset of activity-dependent genes^{25,27,29}, and activity-dependent gene expression is impaired in the absence of cohesin or CTCF (Refs. ^{26,29}). Current models attribute impaired activity-dependent gene expression to a role for cohesin and CTCF in anchoring specific enhancer-promoter contacts at activity-dependent neuronal genes^{3,25,26,28,29}. In contrast to this model, we show that nearly all activity-dependent genes remain inducible in the absence of cohesin. At the activity-dependent *Fos* locus, inducible transcription was associated with enhancer acetylation, eRNA transcription, and activity-dependent contacts between a set of well-characterized enhancers and the *Fos* promoter. Enhancer-promoter contacts that were activity-dependent at the *Arc* locus in wild-type cells became constitutive in Rad21 NexCre neurons. We suggest a model where neuronal cohesin is important for the precise level of activity-dependent gene expression, rather than inducibility *per se*, and where inducibility of

activity-dependent gene expression is linked to enhancer-promoter contacts that form within this framework by convergent mechanisms, which confer at least partial independence of cohesin³⁰⁻³⁷.

Results

Conditional deletion of cohesin in immature post-mitotic neurons

To explore the role of cohesin in post-mitotic neurons, we deleted the essential cohesin subunit RAD21 (*Rad21*^{lox}, Ref. ³⁸) in immature cortical and hippocampal neurons using *Nes*^{Cre} (Ref. ^{18,19}). Explant cultures of wild-type and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nes*^{Cre} E17.5/18.5 cortex contained >95% MAP2⁺ neurons, with <1% GFAP⁺ astrocytes or IBA1⁺ microglia (Fig. 1a). Immunofluorescence staining showed the loss of RAD21 protein specifically in GAD67⁺ neurons (Fig. 1b, c). This was expected, as *Nes*^{Cre} is expressed in excitatory but not in inhibitory neurons^{18,19}. Consistent with the presence of ~80% of GAD67⁺ and ~20% GAD67⁻ neurons in the explant cultures, *Rad21* mRNA expression was reduced by 75-80% overall (Fig. 1d, left). There was a corresponding reduction in RAD21 protein (Fig. 1d, middle). To focus our analysis on cohesin-deficient neurons we combined *Nes*^{Cre}-mediated deletion of *Rad21* with *Nes*^{Cre}-dependent expression of an epitope-tagged ribosomal subunit (*Rpl22*-HA RiboTag; Ref. ³⁹). We verified that *Nes*^{Cre}-induced RPL22-HA expression was restricted to RAD21-depleted neurons (Supplementary Fig. 1a) and performed high throughput sequencing of *Rpl22*-HA RiboTag-associated mRNA (RiboTag RNA-seq). Comparison with total RNA-seq showed that *Nes*^{Cre} RiboTag RNA-seq captured excitatory neuron-specific transcripts, such as *Slc17a7* and *Camk2a*. Transcripts selectively expressed in astrocytes (*Gfap*, *Aqp4*, *Mlc1*), microglia (*Aif1*) and inhibitory neurons (*Gad1*, *Gad2*, *Slc32a1*) were depleted from *Nes*^{Cre} RiboTag RNA-seq (Supplementary Fig. 1b). RiboTag RNA-seq enabled an accurate estimate of residual *Rad21* mRNA, which was <5% in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nes*^{Cre} cortical neurons (Fig. 1d, right). These data show near-complete loss of *Rad21* mRNA and undetectable levels of RAD21 protein in *Nes*^{Cre}-expressing *Rad21*^{lox/lox} neurons. Loss of RAD21 abrogates cohesin-based chromatin loops⁸. Accordingly, analysis of chromatin conformation by 5C showed a substantial reduction of CTCF-based loop strength in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nes*^{Cre} neurons (Fig. 1e).

Fig. 1 Conditional cohesin deletion in post-mitotic neurons

a) E17.5-E18.5 cortices were dissociated and plated on poly-D-lysine. After 10d, cultures were stained for pan neuronal (MAP2), astrocyte (GFAP) and microglia (IBA1) markers, and cell type composition was determined by quantitative analysis of immunofluorescence images. Based on 6 *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and 8 *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} different samples analysed in 4 independent experiments.

b) Immunofluorescence staining of *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neuronal explant cultures for RAD21 and MAP2 (left) and distribution of RAD21 expression by MAP⁺ neurons (right). Note the discontinuous distribution of RAD21 expression in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons. Three independent experiments per genotype. DAPI marks nuclei. Scale bar = 60 μm.

c) Immunofluorescence staining for RAD21, MAP2, and the marker of GABAergic inhibitory neurons, GAD67 (left). Distribution of RAD21 expression in GAD67⁺ and GAD67⁻ neurons (right). Note that the discontinuous distribution of RAD21 expression in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neuronal explant cultures is due to GAD67⁺ GABAergic inhibitory neurons. Three independent experiments for *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and 6 independent experiments for *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre}. DAPI marks nuclei. Scale bar = 20 μm.

d) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *Rad21* mRNA expression in *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} cortical explant cultures (mean ± SEM, n=18). *Hprt* and *Ubc* were used for normalization (left). RAD21 protein expression in *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} cortical explant cultures was quantified by fluorescent immunoblots (mean ± SEM, n=6) and normalised to LaminB (center). *Nex*^{Cre} RiboTag RNA-seq of analysis of *Rad21* mRNA expression in *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} cortical explant cultures (right, 3 independent biological replicates).

e) 5C heat maps of a 1.72 Mb region on chromosome 2, comparing *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} cortical explant cultures. CTCF ChIP-seq (Ref. ⁴⁰) and mm9 coordinates are shown for reference. Arrowheads mark the position of CTCF-based loops. Results were consistent across two replicates and 3 chromosomal regions. Histograms below show the quantification of representative CTCF-based loops (arrowheads) in two independent biological replicates for control and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons.

Loss of cohesin from immature post-mitotic neurons perturbs neuronal gene expression

Using RiboTag RNA-seq to profile gene expression specifically in cohesin-depleted neurons we identified 1028 downregulated and 572 upregulated transcripts in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} cortical neurons (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 2), with preferential deregulation of neuron-specific genes ($P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$; <http://bioinfo5pilm46.mit.edu:318/neuvenn/>). Gene ontology (Fig. 2b) and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA, Supplementary Fig. 2b) showed that downregulated genes in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons were enriched for synaptic transmission, adhesion connectivity, neuronal development and signaling, and for genes linked to human ASD ($P = 5.10 \times 10^{-15}$, Supplementary Fig. 2c, Ref. ⁴¹). Neuronal activity-dependent genes²¹ such as *Fos*, *Arc*, *Egr1*, and *Bdnf* were deregulated 3 times more frequently than non-activity-dependent, constitutively expressed genes in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons (Fig. 2c, $P < 1.03 \times 10^{-16}$, odds ratio = 3.27). Upregulated genes showed no comparable functional enrichment (Fig. 2b).

These data show that immature post-mitotic neurons require cohesin to establish or maintain the correct level of expression of genes that support neuronal maturation, including the growth and guidance of axons, the development of dendrites and spines, and the assembly, function, and plasticity of synapses. We therefore examined the impact of cohesin deletion on the maturation of immature postmitotic neurons.

Figure 2. Loss of cohesin from immature post-mitotic neurons perturbs neuronal gene expression

a) Volcano plot representing log₂ fold-change (FC) versus significance (-log₁₀ of adjusted P values) of downregulated genes (1028) and upregulated genes (572) in RiboTag RNA-seq of *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* versus *Rad21^{+/+} Nex^{Cre}* neurons. Red marks *Rad21*.

b) Analysis of gene ontology of biological functions of deregulated genes in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons. Enrichment is calculated relative to expressed genes.

c) The percentage of constitutive and activity-dependent genes deregulated in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons in explant culture at baseline as determined by RiboTag RNA-seq. The P-value (Fisher Exact Test) and Odds ratio indicate that activity-dependent genes are more frequently deregulated than constitutive genes.

A role for cohesin in the maturation of post-mitotic neurons

Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre} embryos were found at the expected Mendelian ratios throughout gestation, however postnatal lethality was evident (Supplementary Fig. 3a). *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* cortical neurons did not show increased proliferation (Supplementary Fig. 3b), no upregulation of apoptosis or DNA damage markers (Supplementary Fig. 3b) or stress-related gene expression (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Brain weight (Supplementary Fig. 3d) and cellularity (Supplementary Fig. 3e) were comparable between *Rad21^{+/+}* and *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neocortex. The neuronal transcription factors TBR1, CTIP2 and CUX1 were expressed beyond the boundaries of their expected layers in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* cortices and

deeper layers appeared disorganised (Fig. 3a). These findings are consistent with the reported cohesin-dependence of neuronal guidance molecule expression⁴²⁻⁴⁴ and migration⁴⁵. To assess the impact of cohesin on morphological maturation, we cultured cortical neurons in the presence of wild type glia⁴⁶. Compared to freshly explanted E18.5 neurons (Fig. 3b), neurons acquired considerable morphological complexity after 14 days in explant culture (Fig. 3c). We sparsely labeled neurons with GFP to visualize processes of individual neurons (Fig. 3d) and used Sholl analysis⁴⁷ to quantitate the number of axonal crossings, the length of dendrites, the number of terminal points, the number of branch points and the number of spines in GAD67-negative *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and GAD67-negative *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons. Cohesin-deficient neurons displayed reduced morphological complexity across scales (Fig. 3c, d), with reduced numbers of axonal branch and terminal points, and reduced numbers of dendritic spines, the location of neuronal synapses (Fig. 3d). Taken together, these data show that the changes in neuronal gene expression that accompany cohesin deficiency have a tangible impact on neuronal morphology, and that cohesin is required for neuronal maturation.

Figure 3. Cohesin contributes to the maturation of post-mitotic neurons

a) Schema of cortical layers⁴⁸ showing subplate (SP), layer 6 (VI), layer 5 (V), the cortical plate (CP), and the marginal zone (MZ). Immunofluorescence analysis of the neuronal transcription factors CUX1, TBR1, and CTIP2 at E16.5. Representative of 3 biological replicates. Scale bar = 100 μ m.

b) Morphology of E18.5 neurons after 1 d in explant culture. Immunofluorescence staining for the pan-neuronal marker MAP2, tubulin beta 3 (TUBB3), and DAPI. Scale bar = 20 μ m.

c) Morphology of *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} cortical neurons in explant culture on rat glia⁴⁶. Cultures were sparsely labeled with GFP to visualize individual cells and their processes, and stained for GAD67 to exclude GABAergic neurons. Dendritic traces of GFP⁺ neurons. Scale bar = 50 μ m.

d) Sholl analysis of *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} cortical neurons in explant cultures shown in c). Shown is the number of crossings, dendritic length, terminal points, branch points and spines per 10 μ m. Three independent experiments, 32 *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} and 28 *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons except for the number of spines (two independent experiments, 10 *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} and 10 *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons). * adj. *P* < 0.05, ** adj. *P* < 0.01, *** adj. *P* < 0.001, **** adj. *P* < 0.0001. Scale bar = 10 μ m.

Activity-dependent gene expression is sensitive to acute depletion of cohesin

Neuronal maturation and activity-dependent gene expression are closely connected: The expression of activity-dependent genes promotes neuronal maturation, morphological complexity, synapse formation, and connectivity. In turn, neuronal maturation, morphological complexity, synapse formation and connectivity facilitate the expression of activity-dependent genes²⁰⁻²⁴. To address whether the downregulation of activity-dependent genes observed in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons was a cause or a consequence of impaired maturation we examined gene expression changes 24 h after acute proteolytic degradation of RAD21-TEV depletion in post-mitotic neurons⁴. We found remarkable overlap between acute degradation of RAD21-TEV and genetic cohesin depletion in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons (*P* < 2.22e-16, odds ratio = 8.24 for all deregulated genes, odds ratio = 23.81 for downregulated genes; Fig. 4a). As illustrated by the key GO term groups related to synapse, adhesion and neuronal/nervous system development, the great majority of genes that were significantly deregulated in either cohesin depletion system showed the same trend in regulation in the other (Synapse: *P* < 2.22e-16, odds ratio = 11.26, *R*_S = 0.62; Adhesion: *P* < 2.22e-16, odds ratio = 15.21, *R*_S = 0.6; Neuronal and nervous system development: *P* < 2.22e-16, odds ratio = 9.92, *R*_S = 0.58; Fig. 4b). Activity-dependent genes were enriched among deregulated genes in response to acute RAD21-TEV cleavage (*P* < 2.22e-16, Odds Ratio = 6.48), and were preferentially downregulated (Fig. 4c). We conclude that activity-

dependent genes and genes that facilitate neuronal maturation and homeostasis are affected by the acute depletion of cohesin.

Figure 4. Activity-dependent gene expression is sensitive to acute cohesin depletion

a) GSEA of the gene set downregulated (DEseq2, adj. $P < 0.05$) in RAD21-TEV neurons in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons (left) and GSEA of genes downregulated in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons (DEseq2, adj. $P < 0.05$) in RAD21-TEV neurons.

b) Scatter plots of gene expression within aggregate GO terms, comparing RAD21-TEV with *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons. Genes that were found deregulated in at least one of the genotypes are shown. P -values and odds ratios refer to the probability of finding the observed patterns of co-regulation by chance. R_s : Spearman's rank coefficient.

c) Deregulation of constitutive and activity-dependent genes 24h after acute cohesin depletion by inducible proteolytic cleavage of RAD21-TEV; adj. $P < 0.05$ based on DEseq2 analysis of 3 RNA-seq replicates per experiment. Two independent experiments are shown.

Activity-dependent genes are not enriched for CTCF binding

The transcription of neuronal genes is controlled by neuronal enhancers^{3,21,22,25-27,29}. Genes located near constitutive (n= 15695) and KCl-inducible (n=9350) neuronal enhancers²² were preferentially deregulated in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons⁴ (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Activity-dependent genes were significantly enriched near neuronal enhancers (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Failure to express activity-dependent genes in cohesin- or CTCF-deficient neurons has been thought to result from a role for cohesin and CTCF in anchoring enhancers to the promoters of activity-dependent neuronal genes^{3,25,26,29}. However, analysis of CTCF ChIP-seq (Ref. ⁴⁰) showed that only a minority (11.8%) of activity-dependent gene promoters (Supplementary Fig. 4c) were directly bound by CTCF. Similarly, only ~10% of neuronal enhancers⁴⁹ had CTCF ChIP-seq peaks within 1kb (Supplementary Fig. 4c, peaks called at $P < 1E-04$). While activity-dependent genes with CTCF promoter binding showed a trend towards more frequent deregulation compared to activity-dependent genes without CTCF promoter binding, this difference was not significant ($P = 0.11$, odds ratio = 1.86), and we found that more than half (55.0%) of all activity-dependent genes that lacked CTCF promoter binding were nevertheless deregulated in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons (Supplementary Fig. 4d).

While CTCF-based, cohesin-mediated promoter-enhancer loops are demonstrably important for the regulation of a subset of neuronal genes⁴², our analysis indicates that the disruption of direct CTCF-based cohesin-mediated enhancer-promoter loops is not a prerequisite for gene deregulation in cohesin-deficient neurons. This questions the generality of models where the regulation of activity-dependent genes is strictly cohesin- and CTCF-dependent^{3,25,26,29}. An alternative view of gene regulation is that enhancer-promoter interactions are facilitated by CTCF and cohesin not by direct CTCF-based looping, but by increased contact probabilities within CTCF- and cohesin-dependent TADs and contact domains^{6,7,11-14} (Supplementary Fig. 4e). In this latter scenario, activity-dependent genes, although downregulated at baseline, would still be responsive to signals that induce neuronal activation. To distinguish between these models, we asked to what extent activity-dependent genes remained inducible by neuronal activation in the absence of cohesin, and whether such induction would be accompanied by activation-induced enhancer-promoter contacts.

Activity-dependent genes remain inducible in cohesin-deficient neurons

To address the impact of cohesin on the dynamic regulation of activity-dependent genes we asked to what extent their expression would remain responsive to neuronal activation signals in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons. We treated neuronal explant cultures first with tetrodotoxin + D-AP5 (TTX) to block neuronal signaling and then with KCl, a pharmacological inducer of activity-dependent genes, and performed RNA-seq (Fig. 5a; Ref. ²¹). Consistent with reduced baseline expression of activity-dependent genes, TTX affected more activity-dependent genes in control neurons than in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* (49.5% versus 28.5%; $P = 5.89 \times 10^{-11}$ by two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; Fig. 5b). TTX reduced the fraction of activity-dependent genes that were downregulated in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* from 50% under baseline conditions to 27% (Fig. 5a, middle). Unexpectedly, the vast majority of genes that were KCl-responsive in control neurons remained significantly inducible in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons (93.8% at 1h and 97.9% at 6h KCl treatment, adj. $P < 0.05$. Fig. 5c), including early (*Npas4*, *Gadd45b*) and late activity-dependent genes (*Bdnf*, *Homer1*, *Dusp14* Supplementary Fig. 5). For most of these genes, inducibility remained not only statistically significant (adj. $P < 0.05$), but also robust (adj. $P < 0.05$ and log2 fold-change > 1 ; 88.9% at 1h KCl and 81.4% at 6h KCl). Of 154 activity-dependent genes found downregulated at baseline, 76 were 'rescued' to control expression levels. Not all activity-dependent genes were induced to normal levels by KCl treatment of *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons. Some (29%) failed to reach control levels, while others (16%) were expressed at increased levels (Fig. 5a, bottom).

To ask whether activity-dependent genes remained inducible in cohesin-deficient neurons by the physiological stimulus BDNF, we defined genes that responded to BDNF in control cells early or late (log2 FC > 1 , adj. $P < 0.05$, 16 genes at 30 min and 261 genes at 120 min in RAD21-TEV neurons without RAD21-TEV cleavage). Cohesin-deficient neurons continued to show strong induction (log2 FC > 1 , adj. $P < 0.05$) of all early BDNF-inducible genes and of most late BDNF-inducible genes (213 of 261, 82%) 24 hours after RAD21-TEV cleavage (Fig. 5d).

Figure 5. Activity-dependent genes remain inducible in cohesin-deficient neurons

a) Top: The percentage of constitutive and activity-dependent genes deregulated in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons in explant culture at baseline as determined by RNA-seq. Analysis of previously defined activity-dependent genes²¹. Middle: Fraction of constitutive and activity-dependent genes deregulated in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons in the presence of TTX and D-AP5 (TTX). Bottom: Fraction of constitutive and activity-dependent genes deregulated in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons after 6h stimulation with KCl.

b) Expression of activity-dependent genes in explant cultures of *Rad21*^{+/+} and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons under baseline conditions that allow for cell-cell communication versus TTX/D-AP5 (TTX). Comparison by two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that *Rad21*^{+/+} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons showed stronger expression of activity-dependent genes than *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons ($P = 5.89 \times 10^{-11}$).

c) Fraction of activity-dependent genes significantly induced by KCl in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons at 1 and 6h. In wild-type neurons, 117 and 810 genes were induced ≥ 2 -fold at 1 and 6h of KCl treatment, respectively.

d) Fraction of activity-dependent genes that were significantly induced by BDNF at 30 and 120min in RAD21-TEV neurons 24h after RAD21 cleavage. In control RAD21-TEV neurons, 16 and 261 activity-dependent genes were induced ≥ 2 -fold 30 and 120min after BDNF treatment, respectively. Most of these remained inducible 24h after RAD21-TEV cleavage. Dark orange: Strongly induced: $\log_2 FC > 1$, adj. $P < 0.05$; light orange: moderately induced ($\log_2 FC > 0.5$, adj. $P < 0.05$); grey: weakly induced (adj. $P < 0.05$); white: not induced (adj. $P > 0.05$).

Cohesin is not essential for chromatin contacts between inducible enhancers and promoters at the activity-dependent *Fos* and *Arc* loci

To examine the mechanism of inducible activity-dependent gene expression in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons we focused on the immediate early response gene *Fos*. As other activity-dependent genes, *Fos* expression in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons was reduced at baseline and in the presence of TTX, yet *Fos* remained inducible when *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons were stimulated with KCl (Fig. 6a) or with BDNF (Supplementary Fig. 6). Neuronal *Fos* enhancers have been extensively characterised^{27,50}. Enhancers 1, 2 and 5 are marked by activation-dependent acetylation of H3K27 (H3K27ac), and are actively transcribed in cortical neurons in response to KCl (Ref.⁵⁰). Activation-dependent eRNA transcription of *Fos* enhancers remained intact in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons (Fig. 6b). Activation-induced H3K27ac of *Fos* enhancers was also preserved in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons (Fig. 6c). In wild-type neurons, enhancers 1 and 2 show inducible chromatin contacts with the *Fos* promoter that form rapidly in response to neuronal activation²⁷ (Fig. 6d). Unexpectedly, *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons retained the ability to robustly and dynamically induce contacts between the *Fos* promoter and *Fos* enhancers 1 and 2 (Fig. 6d). Quantification showed that inducible enhancer-promoter contacts at the *Fos* locus were of comparable strength in control and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons (Fig. 6e, top and center), while a structural CTCF-based loop surrounding the *Fos* locus was substantially weakened (Fig. 6e, bottom).

The expression of the immediate early response gene *Arc* was reduced in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons at baseline, but, like *Fos*, *Arc* remained inducible by stimulation with KCl (Supplementary Fig. 7a, top) and BDNF (Supplementary Fig. 7a, bottom). Stimulation of wild-type neurons triggers the formation of chromatin contacts between the *Arc* promoter and an *Arc*-associated activity-induced enhancer²⁷ (Supplementary Fig. 7b). As observed for *Fos*, *Arc* promoter-enhancer contacts were retained in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons (Supplementary Fig. 7b, c), while CTCF-based loops surrounding the *Arc* locus were weakened (Supplementary Fig. 8b, c). In contrast to control neurons, *Arc* promoter-enhancer contacts became at least partially independent of activation signals *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons (Supplementary Fig. 7b, c).

These data indicate that cohesin is required for the correct baseline expression of activity-dependent genes, but largely dispensable for inducible transcription and for specific activation-induced enhancer-promoter contacts (Fig. 6f, see discussion for details).

Figure 6. Specific enhancer-promoter contacts can be robustly induced in cohesin-deficient neurons.

a) Expression of the activity-dependent *Fos* gene at baseline, after TTX/D-AP5 (TTX), and KCl-stimulation (left, mean log₂-transformed counts from 3 biological replicates, * adj. *P* < 0.05).

b) Enhancer transcripts in control and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons were quantified based on normalized RNA-seq reads within 1kb of the eRNA transcription start site. An intergenic region on chr11 was used as a negative control (71.177.622-71.177.792).

c) H3K27ac ChIP normalized to H3 in control and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons at a control site, *Fos* enhancer 1 and *Fos* enhancer 2 after TTX/D-AP5 (TTX) or 1h KCl (KCl).

d) Interaction score heatmaps of the 65 kb region immediately surrounding *Fos* obtained by 5C. Black frames highlight interactions between the *Fos* gene and upstream enhancers 1 and 2. Previously published⁴⁰ CTCF-ChIP-seq is shown for orientation and H3K27ac ChIP-seq in inactive (TTX-treated) and activated neurons is shown to annotate enhancer

regions²⁷. RNA-seq in TTX-treated and 1h KCl-activated control and *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons shows KCl-inducible transcription of *Fos* enhancers in wild-type and cohesin-deficient neurons. Two independent biological replicates are shown in Supplementary Fig. 8a.

e) Quantification of 5C contacts between the *Fos* promoter and *Fos* enhancer 1 (top), the *Fos* promoter and *Fos* enhancer 2 (middle), and CTCF-marked boundaries of the sub-TAD containing *Fos* (bottom). Two replicates per genotype and condition.

f) Model for how cohesin-mediated domain-wide contacts alter the probability of enhancer-promoter contacts, and in this way fine-tune the transcription of activity-dependent genes at baseline and in response to activation. In the absence of cohesin, many activity-dependent genes are expressed at inappropriate levels, but most remain responsive to inducing activation signals. At the *Fos* and *Arc* loci, cohesin is not required for chromatin contacts between inducible enhancers and their target promoters. See text for details.

Discussion

Experimental depletion of cohesin in immature post-mitotic neurons defines a role for cohesin in neuronal gene expression and neuronal maturation. We find extensive downregulation of genes related to synaptic transmission, connectivity, neuronal development and signaling in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons. These gene classes are central to neuronal identity, and their wide-spread downregulation is likely to contribute to the observed maturation defects of *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons. Acute proteolysis of RAD21-TEV and longer-term genetic cohesin depletion in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nex*^{Cre} neurons corroborated a prominent role for cohesin in the expression of genes that facilitate neuronal maturation, homeostasis, and activation. In agreement with earlier studies, we find that the expression of activity-dependent genes is highly dependent on cohesin^{3,25,26}. Unexpectedly, the inducibility of activity-dependent genes was largely preserved, and specific enhancer-promoter contacts remained inducible in cohesin-deficient neurons.

Earlier work had shown that 3D genome organization can remain largely intact in cells that are partially depleted of cohesin^{38,51,52} or CTCF (Refs. ^{9,51}). In the *Nex*^{Cre} *Rad21* system, cohesin is depleted to undetectable levels in *Nex*^{Cre}-expressing cells, which comprise ~80% of neuronal explant cultures. Importantly, this depletion substantially reduces the strength of CTCF-based chromatin loops. The observation that the inducibility of activity-dependent genes and of specific enhancer-promoter contacts were retained in this setting underscores that the underlying mechanisms operate independently of cohesin.

Precedent for cohesin-independent contacts between promoters and enhancers comes from studies where auxin-induced degradation of RAD21 in HeLa cells removed most pre-existing enhancer-promoter interactions, while others persisted in the absence of cohesin⁵³. Ectopic cross-boundary contacts formed when existing boundaries were removed⁵³. In contrast to such persistent or ectopic contacts, the enhancer-promoter contacts described here are physiological and specific, and enable the regulated expression of activity-dependent genes. At the *Fos* locus, enhancers show signal-responsive induction of H3K27ac as well as inducible transcription, and engage in signal-dependent promoter contacts to enable the regulated activity-dependent gene expression in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nes*^{Cre} neurons.

The activation of inducible *Fos* enhancers involves H3K27ac (Ref. ²⁷), recruitment of RNA polymerases²⁸ and Mediator complex²⁵, as well as active transcription⁵⁰. Our data show that cohesin is not required for the recruitment of histone acetyl transferase activity and of the transcriptional machinery to inducible *Fos* enhancers. Importantly, RNAP2, Mediator, the transactivation domains of sequence-specific transcription factors and the C-terminal domain of the chromatin reader BRD4 contain intrinsically disordered domains that support the formation of molecular condensates enriched for transcriptional mediators³⁰⁻³⁴. The formation of such condensates^{30,32} is guided by specific sequences in the underlying chromatin template³⁴ and can actively contribute to nuclear topology^{54,55}. These and related findings³⁵ suggest mechanisms that converge with cohesin to confer robustness to the formation of enhancer-promoter contacts.

While our results highlight the robustness to E-P contacts to the loss of cohesin, other recent studies have shown that E-P contacts can be resilient to the loss or inhibition of components of the transcriptional machinery such as Mediator³⁷ and BRD4 (Ref. ³⁶). Although cohesin belongs to an evolutionarily ancient family of SMC protein complexes⁵, specific interactions of cohesin with CTCF are a relatively recent innovation of genome organisation in vertebrates⁵⁶. Enhancer-promoter contacts clearly pre-date this innovation, as illustrated for example in *D. melanogaster*, where transcription-based mechanisms contribute⁵⁷. High resolution techniques such as deep *in situ* Hi-C (Ref. ⁴⁰) and micro-C (Ref. ^{58,59}) have revealed an abundance of chromatin contacts, only some of which are based on direct CTCF binding. Hence, as gene regulatory mechanisms have become more complex and the number and distance of regulatory elements have increased, convergent mechanisms have been co-opted, which cooperate in the establishment and maintenance of enhancer-promoter contacts in vertebrates^{30-37,60}.

Our data suggest a revised perspective for the regulation of inducible genes (Fig. 6f) where cohesin and CTCF are important not primarily for new enhancer-promoter loops in response to activating stimuli, but for forming CTCF-based self-interacting domains^{6,7,11-14}. For the majority of neuronal genes, this CTCF-based framework facilitates appropriate expression by modulating probabilistic interactions between genes and enhancers rather than forming direct, CTCF-based enhancer-promoter loops. In the absence of cohesin, the framework of CTCF-based contacts is weakened, and many neuronal genes are expressed at inappropriate levels. However, the majority of activity-dependent genes remain responsive to inducing signals and, at the *Fos* and *Arc* loci at least, chromatin contacts between inducible enhancers and their target promoters are robust to cohesin depletion.

Acknowledgements. We thank G. Little, M. Clements, and S. Parrinello (University College London) for advice and practical instruction, S. Di Giovanni and J. Merckenschlager (Rockefeller University) for comments on the manuscript, L. Game for sequencing, and J. Elliott and B. Patel for cell sorting. This work was funded by the Medical Research Council UK, The Wellcome Trust (Investigator Award 099276/Z/12/Z to MM), EMBO and HFSP (HFSP LT00427/2013, EMBO ALTF 1047-2012 TO LC).

Author contributions. LC, FDW, JAB, MSO, WG and KT did experiments, LC, FDW, JAB, MSO, Y-FW, TC, GD, KT, and MM analysed data, LC, FDW, JAB, MAU, AGF, JEP-C and MM conceptualised the study, CW provided tools for image acquisition and analysis; LC, FDW, JAB, AGF, JEP-C and MM wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Data availability. RNAseq and 5C data generated in this study have been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE122277.

References

- 1 Deardorff, M. A., Noon, S. E. & Krantz, I. D. Cornelia de Lange Syndrome. in *GeneReviews®* (eds. Adam, M. P. et al.) (University of Washington, Seattle, 2018).
- 2 Gregor, A. et al. De novo mutations in the genome organizer CTCF cause intellectual disability. *Am J Hum Genet* 93, 124–31 (2013).
- 3 Rajarajan, P., Gil, S. E., Brennand, K. J. & Akbarian, S. Spatial genome organization and cognition. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 17, 681–691 (2016).

- 4 Weiss FD, Calderon L, Wang Y-F, Georgieva R, Guo Y, Cvetesic N, Kaur M, Dharmalingam G, Krantz ID, Lenhard B, Fisher AG, Merkenschlager M. Partial rescue of neuronal genes deregulated in Cornelia de Lange Syndrome by cohesin. *bioRxiv* 2020.06.06.136432 (2020)
- 5 Nasmyth, K. & Haering, C. H. Cohesin: its roles and mechanisms. *Annu Rev Genet* **43**, 525–58 (2009).
- 6 Fudenberg, G. et al. Formation of Chromosomal Domains by Loop Extrusion. *Cell Rep.* **15**, 2038–2049 (2016).
- 7 Rao, S. S. P. et al. A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. *Cell* **159**, 1665–1680 (2014).
- 8 Rao, S. S. P. et al. Cohesin Loss Eliminates All Loop Domains. *Cell* **171**, 305-320.e24 (2017).
- 9 Nora, E. P. et al. Targeted Degradation of CTCF Decouples Local Insulation of Chromosome Domains from Genomic Compartmentalization. *Cell* **169**, 930-944.e22 (2017).
- 10 Schwarzer, W. et al. Two independent modes of chromatin organization revealed by cohesin removal. *Nature* **551**, 51–56 (2017).
- 11 Dekker, J. & Mirny, L. The 3D Genome as Moderator of Chromosomal Communication. *Cell* **164**, 1110–1121 (2016).
- 12 Merkenschlager, M. & Nora, E. P. CTCF and Cohesin in Genome Folding and Transcriptional Gene Regulation. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* **17**, 17–43 (2016).
- 13 McCord, R., Kaplan, N. & Giorgetti, L. Chromosome Conformation Capture and Beyond: Toward an Integrative View of Chromosome Structure and Function. *Molecular Cell* **77**, 688-708 (2020)
- 14 Kim S, Shendure J. Mol Cell. Mechanisms of Interplay between Transcription Factors and the 3D Genome. 2019 Oct 17;76(2):306-319. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.08.010.
- 15 Lupiáñez, D. G. et al. Disruptions of Topological Chromatin Domains Cause Pathogenic Rewiring of Gene-Enhancer Interactions. *Cell* **161**, 1012–1025 (2015).
- 16 Spielmann, M., Lupiáñez, D. G. & Mundlos, S. Structural variation in the 3D genome. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **19**, 453–467 (2018).
- 17 Won, H. et al. Chromosome conformation elucidates regulatory relationships in developing human brain. *Nature* **538**, 523–527 (2016).
- 18 Goebbels, S. *et al.* Genetic targeting of principal neurons in neocortex and hippocampus of NEX-Cre mice. *Genesis* **44**, 611–21 (2006).
- 19 Hirayama, T., Tarusawa, E., Yoshimura, Y., Galjart, N. & Yagi, T. CTCF is required for neural development and stochastic expression of clustered Pcdh genes in neurons. *Cell Rep.* **2**, 345–57 (2012).
- 20 Gallo, F.T., Katche, C., Morici, J.F., Medina, J.H., and Weisstaub, N.V. Immediate Early Genes, Memory and Psychiatric Disorders: Focus on c-Fos, Egr1 and Arc. *Front Behav Neurosci* **12**, 79. (2018)
- 21 Kim, T. K. et al. Widespread transcription at neuronal activity-regulated enhancers. *Nature* **465**, 182–7 (2010).
- 22 Malik, A. N. et al. Genome-wide identification and characterization of functional neuronal activity-dependent enhancers. *Nat Neurosci.* **17**: 1330-9. doi: 10.1038/nn.3808 (2014).
- 23 Greer, P. L. & Greenberg, M. E. From synapse to nucleus: calcium-dependent gene transcription in the control of synapse development and function. *Neuron* **59**, 846–60 (2008).

- 24 Yap, E.L., and Greenberg, M.E. Activity-Regulated Transcription: Bridging the Gap between Neural Activity and Behavior. *Neuron* 100, 330-348.(2018)
- 25 Schaukowitch, K. *et al.* Enhancer RNA facilitates NELF release from immediate early genes. *Mol. Cell* **56**, 29–42 (2014).
- 26 Yamada T, Yang Y, Valnegri P, Juric I, Abnoui A, Markwalter KH, Guthrie AN, Godec A, Oldenborg A, Hu M, Holy TE, Bonni A. Sensory experience remodels genome architecture in neural circuit to drive motor learning. *Nature*. 2019 May;569(7758):708-713.
- 27 Beagan JA, Pastuzyn ED, Fernandez LR, Guo MH, Feng K, Titus KR, Chandrashekar H, Shepherd JD, Phillips-Cremens JE. Three-dimensional genome restructuring across timescales of activity-induced neuronal gene expression. *Nat Neurosci*. 2020 Jun;23(6):707-717. doi: 10.1038/s41593-020-0634-6.
- 28 Kim B, Luo Y, Zhan X, Zhang Z, Shi X, Yi J, Xuan Z, Wu J. Neuronal Activity-Induced BRG1 Phosphorylation Regulates Enhancer Activation. *bioRxiv* 2020.09.01.278101 (2020)
- 29 Sams, D. S. *et al.* Neuronal CTCF Is Necessary for Basal and Experience-Dependent Gene Regulation, Memory Formation, and Genomic Structure of BDNF and Arc. *Cell Rep*. **17**, 2418–2430 (2016).
- 30 Sabari, B. R. *et al.* Coactivator condensation at super-enhancers links phase separation and gene control. *Science* 361, eaar3958 (2018).
- 31 Boija, A. *et al.* Transcription factors activate genes through the phase-separation capacity of their activation domains. *Cell* 175, 1842–1855 e16 (2018).
- 32 Cho WK, Spille JH, Hecht M, Lee C, Li C, Grube V, Cisse II. Mediator and RNA polymerase II clusters associate in transcription-dependent condensates. *Science*. 2018 361: 412-415 (2018)
- 33 Li J, Dong A, Saydamnova K, Chang H, Wang G, Ochiai H, Yamamoto T, Pertsinidis A. Single-Molecule Nanoscopy Elucidates RNA Polymerase II Transcription at Single Genes in Live Cells. *Cell*. Jul 11;178(2):491-506.e28. 2019
- 34 Li J, Hsu A, Hua Y, Wang G, Cheng L, Ochiai H, Yamamoto T, Pertsinidis A. Single-gene imaging links genome topology, promoter–enhancer communication and transcription control. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* (2020)
- 35 Wang S, Hu H, Li X. Hundreds of motif pairs may facilitate enhancer-promoter interactions. *BioRxiv* 10.1101/2020.12.29.424656 (2020)
- 36 Crump NT, Ballabio E, Godfrey L, Thorne R, Repapi E, Kerry J, Tapia M, Hua P, Filippakopoulos P, Davies J. O. J., Milne T.A. BET inhibition disrupts transcription but retains enhancer-promoter contact BET inhibition disrupts transcription but retains enhancer-promoter contact. *Nat Commun*. 12, 223. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20400-z.
- 37 El Khattabi L, Zhao H, Kalchschmidt J, Young N, Jung S, Van Blerkom P, Kieffer-Kwon P, Kieffer-Kwon KR, Park S, Wang X, Krebs J, Tripathi S, Sakabe N, Sobreira DR, Huang SC, Rao SSP, Pruett N, Chauss D, Sadler E, Lopez A, Nóbrega MA, Aiden EL, Asturias FJ, Casellas R. A Pliable Mediator Acts as a Functional Rather Than an Architectural Bridge between Promoters and Enhancers. *Cell* 178:1145-1158.e20. (2019)
- 38 Seitan, V. C. *et al.* A role for cohesin in T-cell-receptor rearrangement and thymocyte differentiation. *Nature* **476**, 467–71 (2011).
- 39 Sanz, E. *et al.* Cell-type-specific isolation of ribosome-associated mRNA from complex tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U A* **106**, 13939–44 (2009).
- 40 Bonev B, Mendelson Cohen N, Szabo Q, Fritsch L, Papadopoulos GL, Lubling Y, Xu X, Lv X, Hugnot JP, Tanay A, Cavalli G. Multiscale 3D Genome Rewiring during Mouse Neural Development. *Cell* 171: 557-572 (2017)

- 41 Banerjee-Basu, S. & Packer, A. SFARI Gene: an evolving database for the autism research community. *Dis. Model. Mech.* **3**, 133–135 (2010).
- 42 Guo, Y. *et al.* CRISPR Inversion of CTCF Sites Alters Genome Topology and Enhancer/Promoter Function. *Cell* **162**, 900–910 (2015).
- 43 Kawauchi, S. *et al.* Multiple organ system defects and transcriptional dysregulation in the Nipbl(+/-) mouse, a model of Cornelia de Lange Syndrome. *PLoS Genet* **5**, e1000650 (2009).
- 44 Remeseiro, S., Cuadrado, A., Gomez-Lopez, G., Pisano, D. G. & Losada, A. A unique role of cohesin-SA1 in gene regulation and development. *EMBO J.* **31**, 2090–102 (2012).
- 45 van den Berg, D. L. C. *et al.* Nipbl Interacts with Zfp609 and the Integrator Complex to Regulate Cortical Neuron Migration. *Neuron* **93**, 348–361 (2017).
- 46 Kaech, S. & Banker, G. Culturing hippocampal neurons. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 2406–15 (2006).
- 47 Sholl, D. A. Dendritic organization in the neurons of the visual and motor cortices of the cat. *J. Anat.* **87**, 387–406.1 (1953).
- 48 Greig, L. C., Woodworth, M. B., Galazo, M. J., Padmanabhan, H. & Macklis, J. D. Molecular logic of neocortical projection neuron specification, development and diversity. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **14**, 755–769 (2013).
- 49 Osterwalder, M. *et al.* Enhancer redundancy provides phenotypic robustness in mammalian development. *Nature* **554**, 239–243 (2018).
- 50 Joo JY, Schaukowitch K, Farbiak L, Kilaru G, Kim TK. Stimulus-specific combinatorial functionality of neuronal c-fos enhancers. *Nat Neurosci.* 2016 Jan;19(1):75–83.
- 51 Zuin J, Dixon JR, van der Reijden MI, Ye Z, Kolovos P, Brouwer RW, van de Corput MP, van de Werken HJ, Knoch TA, van IJcken WF, Grosveld FG, Ren B, Wendt KS. Cohesin and CTCF differentially affect chromatin architecture and gene expression in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **111**: 996–1001 (2014)
- 52 Sofueva S, Yaffe E, Chan WC, Georgopoulou D, Vietri Rudan M, Mira-Bontenbal H, Pollard SM, Schroth GP, Tanay A, Hadjur S. *EMBO J.* 2013; **32**: 3119–29.
- 53 Thiecke MJ, Wutz G, Muhar M, Tang W, Bevan S, Malysheva V, Stocsits R, Neumann T, Zuber J, Fraser P, Schoenfelder S, Peters JM, Spivakov M. Cohesin-Dependent and -Independent Mechanisms Mediate Chromosomal Contacts between Promoters and Enhancers. *Cell Rep.* 2020 Jul 21;32(3):107929.
- 54 Shin Y, Chang YC, Lee DSW, Berry J, Sanders DW, Ronceray P, Wingreen NS, Haataja M, Brangwynne CP. Liquid Nuclear Condensates Mechanically Sense and Restructure the Genome. *Cell.* **175**: 1481–1491.e13 (2018)
- 55 Brangwynne CP. Liquid Nuclear Condensates Mechanically Sense and Restructure the Genome. *Cell.* 2019 Mar 7;176(6):1518. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.025.
- 56 Li Y, Haarhuis JHI, Sedeño Cacciatore Á, Oldenkamp R, van Ruiten MS, Willems L, Teunissen H, Muir KW, de Wit E, Rowland BD, Panne D. The structural basis for cohesin-CTCF-anchored loops. *Nature* **578**: 472–476 (2020)
- 57 Rowley MJ, Corces VG. Organizational principles of 3D genome architecture. *Nat Rev Genet.* **19**: 789–800 (2018)
- 58 Hsieh TS, Cattoglio C, Slobodyanyuk E, Hansen AS, Rando OJ, Tjian R, Darzacq X. Resolving the 3D Landscape of Transcription-Linked Mammalian Chromatin Folding. *Mol Cell.* **78**: 539–553.e8 (2020)

59 Krietenstein N, Abraham S, Venev SV, Abdennur N, Gibcus J, Hsieh TS, Parsi KM, Yang L, Maehr R, Mirny LA, Dekker J, Rando OJ. Ultrastructural Details of Mammalian Chromosome Architecture. *Mol Cell*. 78: 554-565.e7 (2020)

60 Hadjur S, Williams LM, Ryan NK, Cobb BS, Sexton T, Fraser P, Fisher AG, Merkenschlager M. Cohesins form chromosomal cis-interactions at the developmentally regulated *IFNG* locus. *Nature* 460: 410-3 (2009)

Methods

Mice. Mouse work was done under a UK Home Office project licence and according to the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act. Mice carrying the floxed *Rad21* allele (*Rad21^{lox}*, Ref. ³⁸), in combination with the Cre recombinase in the Nex locus (*Nex^{Cre}*, Ref. ¹⁸) and where indicated *Rpl22(HA)^{lox/lox}* RiboTag (Ref. ³⁹) were on a mixed C57BL/129 background. For timed pregnancies the day of the vaginal plug was counted as day 0.5. Genotypes were determined by PCR as previously reported^{38,39}. *Rad21^{tev/tev}* mice have been described^{4,61,62}.

Neuronal cultures. For *Nex^{Cre}* experiments, mouse cortices were dissected and dissociated from individual E17.5-E18.5 mouse embryos as described⁶³ with minor modifications. Dissociated neurons were maintained in Neurobasal medium with B27 supplement (Invitrogen), 1 mM L-glutamine, and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin for 10 days in vitro. Cells were plated at a density of 0.8×10^6 cells per well on 6-well plates pre-coated overnight with 0.1 mg/ml poly-D-lysine (Millipore) and one third of the media in each well was replaced every 3 days. Cultures were treated with 5 μ M Cytosine β -D-arabinofuranoside (Ara-C, Sigma) from day 2-4. For immunofluorescence staining neurons were plated on 12 mm coverslips (VWR) coated with poly-D-lysine at a density of 0.1×10^6 cells per coverslip. For cell-type-specific isolation of ribosome-associated mRNA, neurons from both cortices from each individual mouse embryo were seeded in a 10 cm dish.

For RAD21-TEV experiments, mouse cortices were dissected and dissociated on E14.5 – 15.5 as described⁴. Neurons were maintained in Neurobasal medium with B27 supplement (Invitrogen), 1mM L-glutamine, and 100U/ml penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were plated at a density of $1.25 \times 10^5/\text{cm}^2$ on 0.1mg/ml poly-D-lysine (Millipore) coated plates, and half the media was replaced every 3 days. Cultures were treated with 5 μ M Ara-C at day 5. For cleavage of RAD21-TEV, neurons were plated as described above and transduced at day 3 with lentivirus containing ERT2-TEV at a multiplicity of infection of 1. For ERT2-TEV dependent RAD21-TEV degradation, neurons were treated on culture day 10 with 500nM 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) or vehicle (ethanol) for 24 hours.

For KCl depolarization experiments, neuronal cultures were pre-treated with 1 μ M tetrodotoxin (TTX, Tocris) and 100 μ M D-(-)-2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (D-AP5, Tocris) overnight to reduce endogenous neuronal activity prior to stimulation. Neurons were membrane depolarized with 55 mM extracellular KCl by addition of prewarmed depolarization buffer (170 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM HEPES pH7.5) to a proportion of 0.43 volumes per 1ml volume of neuronal culture medium in the well. For BDNF induction experiments, neuronal cultures were treated with BDNF (50ng/ml) for the indicated period of time at 10 days *in vitro*.

For Sholl analysis, dissociated cortical neurons were cultured as described⁴⁶. Astroglial monolayers were adhered to culture dishes and cortical neurons to coverslips, which were then suspended above the glia. Primary cultures of glial cells were prepared from newborn rat cortices. Four days before neuronal culture preparation, glial cells were seeded in 12-well plates at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well and one day before, the medium from the glial feeder cultures was removed and changed to neuronal maintenance medium for preconditioning. Mouse cortices were dissected from E17.5/E18.5 mouse embryos and kept up to 24h in 2 mL of HibernateTM-E Medium (ThermoFisher) containing B27 supplement (Invitrogen) and 1 mM L-glutamine in the dark at 4°C. Embryos were genotyped and the cortices from the desired genotypes were used to prepare neuronal cultures as described before. Neurons were plated on 24-well plates containing poly-D-lysine precoated 12 mm coverslips (VWR) at a density of 0.1×10^6 cells per well. Wax dots were applied to the coverslips, which served as 'feet' to suspend the coverslips above the glial feeder layer. Four hours after neuronal seeding, each coverslip containing the attached neurons was transfer upside down into a well of the 12-well dishes with the glial feeder. Cultures were treated with 5μM Ara-C from day 2-4 and subsequently one third of the media was replaced every 3 days. For sparse neuronal GFP labelling, cortical neurons were transfected using 1μg of peGFP-N1 plasmid along with 2 μl per well of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) after 12 days in culture. Cultures were maintained for 14 days *in vitro* before fixation.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR. RNA was extracted with QIAshredder and RNeasy minikit (Qiagen). Residual DNA was eliminated using DNA-free kit (Ambion) and reverse-transcribed using the SuperScript first-strand synthesis system (Invitrogen). RT-PCR was performed on a CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad) with SYBR Green Master Mix (Bio-Rad) as per the manufacturer's protocol and normalized to *Ubc* and *Hprt* mRNA levels. Relative level of the target sequence against the reference sequences was calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ cycle threshold method. RT-PCR primer sequences:

Gene	Forward (5'- 3')	Reverse (5'- 3')
<i>Ubc</i>	AGGAGGCTGATGAAGGAGCTTGA	TGGTTTGAATGGATACTCTGCTGGA
<i>Hprt</i>	CCTGCTAATTTTACTGGCAACATCAACA	TTGAAATTCCAGACAAGTTTGTTGTTGG
<i>Rad21</i>	AGCACCAGCAACCTGAATGA	GATCGTCAAAGATGCCACCA
<i>Arc</i>	TACCGTTAGCCCCTATGCCATC	TGATATTGCTGAGCCTCAACTG
<i>Fos</i>	AATGGTGAAGACCGTGTCAGGA	TTGATCTGTCTCCGCTTGGAGTGT
<i>Cdkn1a</i>	GCAGACCAGCCTGACAGATT	GAGGGCTAAGGCCGAAGA
<i>Mdm2</i>	TGTGTGAGCTGAGGGAGATG	CACTTACGCCATCGTCAAGA
<i>Cdkn2a</i>	AATCTCCGCGAGGAAAGC	GTCTGCAGCGGACTCCAT
<i>Cdkn2b</i>	AGACTGCAAGCACGAAGAGG	TTGTCTTACTGGGTAGGGTTCAA

Protein analysis. Whole cell extracts were prepared by resuspending cells in PBS with complete proteinase inhibitor (Roche, Cat#18970600), centrifugation, and resuspension in protein sample buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH6.8, 1% SDS, 10% glycerol) followed by quantification using Qubit. Following quantification 0.001% Bromophenol blue and 5% beta-mercaptoethanol were added. Sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was carried out with the Bio-Rad minigel system. 20μg of protein sample and the benchmark pre-stained protein ladder (Biorad, #161-0374) were loaded on to a precast

10% polyacrylamide gel (Biorad, #456-1036). Resolved gels were blotted to a polyninylidene fluoride transfer membrane (Millipore, #IPVH00010) in transfer buffer (48mM Trizma base, 39mM glycine, 0.037% SDS and 20% methanol) using the trans-blot semi-dry electrophoretic transfer apparatus (BioRad). Membranes were incubated for 1 hour with fluorescent blocker (Millipore, HC-08) followed by primary antibody incubation diluted in blocker at an appropriate dilution for 2 hours or at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies were rabbit polyclonal to RAD21 (1:1000; ab154769, Abcam), goat polyclonal to LAMIN B (1:10000; sc-6216; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse monoclonal anti-myc tag (1:500, SC-40, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Secondary antibodies were goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 680 (1:10000; A-21109, ThermoFisher), goat anti-mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 680 1:10,000), and donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 680 (1:10000; A-21084, ThermoFisher). Immobilon-FL PVDF membranes (Millipore) were imaged on an Odyssey instrument (LICOR).

Cell-type-specific isolation of ribosome-associated mRNA. For polysome immunoprecipitation experiments, homogenates from 10 day cortical explant cultures were prepared as described³⁹ with minor modifications. Cells were first washed two times on ice with 10 ml of PBS containing 100 µg/mL cycloheximide (Sigma). Cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 12 mM MgCl₂ (ThermoFisher), 1% IGEPAL CA-630 (Sigma), 1 mM DTT (Sigma), 200 U/mL RNasin (ThermoFisher), 1 mg/mL heparin (Sigma), 100 µg/mL cycloheximide, 1x Protease inhibitor (Sigma) and homogenization with a motor-driven grinder and pestle for about 2 minutes. Samples were then centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 min to create a postmitochondrial supernatant. For immunoprecipitations, 100 µL of Dynabeads™ Protein G (Invitrogen) were coupled directly to 10 µL of rabbit anti-HA antibody (Sigma, H6908). After polysome immunoprecipitation, total RNA was prepared using a RNeasy Plus Mini kit (Qiagen).

RNAseq analysis. Total RNA was obtained in parallel from 10 day explant cultures of dissociated cortical neurons without stimulation (baseline); after overnight treatment with TTX and D-AP5 (TTX); and after overnight treatment with TTX and D-AP5 and depolarization with KCl for 1h (KCl1h) or 6h (KCl6h). RNA was extracted with QIAshredder and RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). RNA-seq libraries were prepared from 600 ng of total RNA (RNA integrity number (RIN) >8.0) with TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Human/Mouse/Rat kit (Illumina). For polysome immunoprecipitation experiments, 300 ng of total RNA was used for library preparation (RIN>9.0). RNA from Rad21-TEV neurons was purified with a PicoPure RNA Isolation kit (Applied Biosystems KIT0204), and 200ng of total RNA was used to prepare libraries using the NEBNext® Ultra™ II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (polyA enrichment), following the manufacturer recommendations. Library quality and quantity were assessed on a Bionalayser and Qubit respectively. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq2500 (v4 chemistry) and at least 40 million paired end 100bp reads per sample were generated per library and mapped against the mouse (mm9) genome. The quality of RNA-seq reads was checked by Fastqc (<https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>) and aligned to mouse genome mm9 using Tophat version 2.0.11 (Ref. ⁶⁴) with parameters “--library-type=fr-firststrand”. Gene coordinates from Ensembl version 67 were used as gene model for alignment. Quality metrics for the RNA-Seq alignment were computed using Picard tools version 1.90 (<https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/>). Genome wide coverage for each sample was

generated using bedtools genomeCoverageBed and converted to bigwig files using bedGraphToBigWig application from UCSC Genome Browser. Bigwig files were visualised using IGV. After alignment, number of reads on the genes were summarised using HTSeq-count (version 0.5.4; Ref. ⁶⁵). All downstream analysis was carried out in R (version 3.4.0). Differentially expressed genes between condition were determined using DESeq2 (Ref. ⁶⁶). P-values calculated by DESeq2 were subjected to multiple testing correction using Benjamini-Hochberg method. Adjusted p-value of 0.05 was used to select the differentially expressed genes. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering of samples were done on the normalised read counts (rlog) computed using DESeq2. KCl-inducible genes were defined as genes in *Rad21*^{+/+} neurons with adjP <0.05 and log2 fold change >=1 in KCl1h versus (vs) TTX or KCl6h vs TTX. As reference we used previously defined activity dependent genes ¹⁹. Constitutive genes were defined as expressed genes in *Rad21*^{+/+} neurons with adj. P >=0.05 in KCl1h vs TTX and KCl6h vs TTX.

GO terms enriched among differentially expressed genes were identified using goseq R package⁶⁷ using all expressed genes in each comparison as background. GSEA was performed as described⁶⁸ using GSEA Desktop v3.0 (<http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea>). 'Wald statistics' from DESeq2 differential expression analysis were used to rank the genes for GSEA. The gene set collections C2 (curated; KEGG 186 gene sets) and C5 (GO ontologies; 5917 gene sets) were obtained from Molecular Signature Database (MSigDB version 6.1; Broad Institute, <http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb>).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation. ChIP was performed as described^{22,69} with minor modifications. Briefly, cells were cross-linked for 10 minutes at room temperature with rotation using 1% formaldehyde in cross-linking buffer (0.1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA and 25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0). The reaction was quenched using 125 mM glycine for 5 minutes with rotation and the cells were washed three times using ice-cold PBS containing complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche). Cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (1% SDS, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.1, 10 mM EDTA pH 8) with EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail and incubated for 30 minutes on ice. Cell lysates were then sonicated 20 times at 4°C (Bioruptor Plus, Diagenode, 30/30 cycles) and centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 1 minute at 4°C to remove cellular debris. 10% of total volume was taken as input. Input samples were reverse crosslinked overnight at 65°C, then incubated for 1 h with 9 mM EDTA pH 8, 3.6 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8 and 36 µg/mL proteinase K at 45°C. Input chromatin purification was performed using phenol-chloroform at 4°C. Total chromatin was pre-cleared for 1h at 4°C with rotation using protein A sepharose beads (P9424, Merck). 3 µg of anti-histone H3 (Abcam, ab1791) and 5 µg of anti-H3K27Ac (Active Motif, 39133) were added overnight at 4°C with rotation. 100 µL of protein A sepharose beads were added to each IP for at least 4 h before being washed with low salt buffer (150 mM NaCl, 2 mM Tris pH 8.1, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100 and 2 mM EDTA pH 8), high salt buffer (500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.1, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100 and 2 mM EDTA pH 8), LiCl salt buffer (0.25 mM LiCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8.1, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM EDTA pH 8) and Tris-EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.1 and 1 mM EDTA pH 8). Chelex-100 (Bio-Rad, catalog number #1421253) was added to the samples, which were then boiled and incubated for 1 h at 55°C with 36 µg/mL proteinase K and boiled once again. Samples were centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 1 minute and the beads washed once with nuclease-free water. The following PCR primers were used: Control region chr11: 71.177.622-71.177.792: forward, 5'-

CATTCCAGGGCAACTCCACT-3', reverse, 5'-CAGGGGCTCCTGTACTACCT-3'; *Fos* enhancer 1 forward, 5'-TCCGGTAAGGGCATTGTAAG-3', reverse, 5'-CAAAGCCAGACCCTCATGTT-3'; *Fos* enhancer forward, 5'-TGCAGCTCTGCTCCTACTGA-3', reverse, 5'-GAGGAGCAAGACTCCCACAG-3'

3C Template Generation. Neuronal cultures were fixed in 1% formaldehyde for 10 minutes (room temp) via the addition (1:10 vol/vol) of the following fixation solution: 50 mM Hepes-KOH (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 11% Formaldehyde. Fixation was quenched via the addition of 2.5 M glycine (1:20 vol/vol) and scraped into pellets. Each pellet was washed once with cold PBS, flash frozen, and stored at -80°C. For each condition, *in situ* 3C was performed on 2 replicates of 4-5 million cells as described²⁵. Briefly, cells were thawed on ice and resuspended (gently) in 250 µL of lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 0.2% Igepal CA630) with 50 µL protease inhibitors (Sigma P8340). Cell suspension was incubated on ice for 15 minutes and pelleted. Pelleted nuclei were washed once in lysis buffer (resuspension and spin), then resuspended and incubated in 50 µL of 0.5% SDS at 62°C for 10 min. SDS was inactivated via the addition of 145 µL H₂O, 25 µL 10% Triton X-100, and incubation at 37°C for 15 min. Subsequently, chromatin was digested overnight at 37°C with the addition of 25 µL 10X NEBuffer2 and 100U (5 µL) of HindIII (NEB, R0104S), followed by 20 min incubation at 62°C to inactivate the HindIII. Chromatin was re-ligated via the addition of 100 µL 10% Triton X-100, 120 µL NEB T4 DNA Ligation buffer (NEB B0202S), 12 µL 10 mg/mL BSA, 718 µL H₂O, and 2000 U (5 µL) of T4 DNA Ligase (NEB M0202S) and incubation at 16°C for 2 hours. Following ligation nuclei were pelleted, resuspended in 300 µL of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5 M NaCl, 1% SDS, plus 25 µL of 20 mg/mL proteinase K (NEB P8107), and incubated at 65°C for 4 hours at which point an additional 25 µL of proteinase K was added and incubated overnight. 3C templates were isolated next day via RNaseA treatment, phenol-chloroform extraction, ethanol precipitation, and Amicon filtration (Millipore MFC5030BKS). Template size distribution and quantity were assessed with a 0.8% agarose gel.

5C Library Preparation. 5C primers which allow for the query of genome folding at ultra-high resolution but on a reduced subset of the genome were designed according to the double-alternating design scheme²⁷ using the My5C primer design software⁷⁰ (<http://my5c.umassmed.edu/my5Cprimers/5C.php>) with universal "Emulsion" primer tails. Regions were designed to capture TAD structures immediately surrounding the genes of interest in published mouse cortex HiC data⁴⁰. 5C reactions were carried out as previously described²⁷. 600 ng (~200,000 genome copies) of 3C template for each replicate was mixed with 1 fmole of each 5C primer and 0.9 µg of salmon sperm DNA in 1x NEB4 buffer, denatured at 95°C for 5 min, then incubated at 55°C for 16 hours. Primers which had then annealed in adjacent positions were ligated through the addition of 10 U (20 µL) Taq ligase (NEB M0208L) and incubation at 55°C for 1 hour then 75°C for 10 min. Successfully ligated primer-primer pairs were amplified using primers designed to the universal tails (FOR = CCTCTC TATGGGCAGTCGGTGAT, REV = CTGCCCCGGGTTCTCATTCTCT) across 30 PCR cycles using Phusion High-Fidelity Polymerase. Presence of a single PCR product at 100 bp was confirmed via agarose gel, then residual DNA <100 bp was removed through AmpureXP bead cleanup at a ratio of 2:1 beads:DNA (vol/vol). 100 ng of the resulting 5C product was prepared for sequencing on the Illumina NextSeq 500 using the NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit (NEB E7370) following the manufacturer's instructions with the

following parameter selections: during size selection, 70 μ L of AMPure beads was added at the first step and 25 at the second step; linked fragments were amplified using 8 PCR cycles. A single band at 220 bp in each final library was confirmed using an Agilent DNA 1000 Bioanalyzer chip, and library concentration was determined using the KAPA Illumina Library Quantification Kit (#KK4835). Finally, libraries were evenly pooled and sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq 500 using 37 bp paired-end reads to read depths of between 11 and 30 million reads per replicate.

5C Interaction Analysis. 5C analysis steps were performed as described²⁷. Briefly, paired-end reads were aligned to the 5C primer pseudo-genome using Bowtie, allowing only reads with one unique alignment to pass filtering. Only reads for which one paired end mapped to a forward/left-forward primer and the other end mapped to a reverse/left-reverse primer were tallied as true counts. Primer-primer pairs with outlier count totals, resulting primarily from PCR bias, were identified as those with a count at least 8-fold higher (100-fold for the lower-quality Arc region) than the median count of the 5x5 subset of the counts matrix centered at the primer-primer pair in question; outlier counts were removed.

Primer-primer pair counts were then converted to fragment-fragment interaction counts by averaging the primer-primer counts that mapped to each fragment-fragment pair (max of 2 if both a forward/left-forward and a reverse/left-reverse primer were able to be designed to both fragments and were not trimmed during outlier removal). We then divided our 5C regions into adjacent 4 kb bins and computed the relative interaction frequency of two bins (i,j) by summing the counts of all fragment-fragment interactions for which the coordinates of one of the constituent fragments overlapped (at least partially) a 12 kb window surrounding the center of the 4 kb i^{th} bin and the other constituent fragment overlapped the 12 kb window surrounding the center of the j^{th} bin. Binned count matrices were then matrix balanced using the ICE algorithm^{71,72} and quantile normalized across all 8 replicates (2 per condition) as previously described²⁷, at which point we considered each entry (i,j) to represent the ‘Relative Interaction Frequency’ of the 4 kb bins i and j. Finally, the background contact domain ‘expected’ signal was calculated using the donut background mode⁷³ and used to normalize the relative interaction frequency data for the background interaction frequency present at each bin-bin pair. The resulting background-normalized interaction frequency (“observed over expected”) counts were fit with a logistic distribution from which p-values were computed for each bin-bin pair and converted into ‘Background-corrected Interaction Scores’ (interaction score = $-10 \cdot \log_2(\text{p-value})$), which have previously shown to be informatively comparable across replicates and conditions²⁵.

Identification of neuronal enhancers. H3K27Ac ChIPSeq and corresponding input datasets²² were from NCBI GEO using accession GSE60192. The sra files were converted to fastq using sratoolkit and aligned to mouse genome mm9 using bowtie version 0.12.8 with default parameters⁷⁴. Sequencing reads aligned to multiple positions in the genome were discarded. Duplicate reads were identified using Picard tools v 1.90 and removed from the downstream analysis. H3K27Ac peaks were identified using macs2 with “--broad” parameters⁷⁵. Gene coordinates were obtained from Ensembl using “biomaRt” R package⁷⁶ and enhancers were assigned to nearest genes using “nearest” function from ‘GenomicRanges’ R package⁷⁷.

Immunocytochemistry. Neurons plated on coverslips were fixed with warmed to 37°C PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde and 4% sucrose for 10 min at room temperature. Neurons were then permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 min and treated with blocking solution (10% normal goat serum, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS) for one hour. Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in 0.1% Triton X-100, 2% normal goat serum in PBS. Appropriate primary antibodies were incubated with samples for two hours at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used were specific to RAD21 (1:500; rabbit polyclonal ab154769, Abcam), MAP2 (1:5000; chicken polyclonal ab611203, Abcam), GAD67 (1:500; mouse monoclonal MAB5406, Millipore), HA (1:1000; mouse monoclonal MMS-101R, Covance), GFAP (1:500; rabbit polyclonal Z0334, Dako) or IBA1 (1:250; rabbit polyclonal 019-19741, Wako). Secondary antibodies were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 647 (A-21244, ThermoFisher), Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 568 (A-11011, ThermoFisher), goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 (A-11001, ThermoFisher), goat anti-chicken IgY (H+L) Alexa Fluor 568 (ab175711, Abcam) conjugates were used at a 1:500 dilution. Cells were mounted in Vectashield medium containing DAPI (Vector Labs).

Embryonic brains were fixed for 4 hours in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, washed in PBS, transferred to 15% sucrose in PBS for cryopreservation, embedded in OCT and stored at -80°C until use. Coronal sections of 10 µm were cut with a Leica cryostat and mounted on glass slides. The sections were washed two times for ten minutes in PBS, blocked with 0.3% TritonX-100, 5% normal goat serum in PBS, at room temperature and incubated overnight with the primary antibody solution. Sections were then washed three times for ten minutes each in PBS and were incubated for one hour in the dark with the secondary antibody solution. The primary antibodies were specific to RAD21 (1:500; rabbit polyclonal ab154769, Abcam), anti-gamma-H2AX (1:3000; rabbit polyclonal A300-081A, Bethyl Laboratories), Cleaved Caspase-3 (Asp175) (1:400; rabbit polyclonal 9661, Cell signalling), TBR1 (1:1000; rabbit polyclonal ab31940, Abcam), CTIP2 (1:500; rat monoclonal [25B6] ab18465, Abcam), CUX-1 (1:400; rabbit polyclonal sc-13024, Santa Cruz) and anti phospho-histone H3 (Ser10) Alexa Fluor 647 conjugate (1:50; rabbit polyclonal 9716, Cell signalling). The secondary antibodies used are described in the previous section. Sections were mounted with Vectashield medium containing DAPI.

Confocal Image analysis and quantification. For quantification analysis of RAD21 negative neurons and inhibitory neurons (GAD67+) images (1024 × 1024 pixels) were acquired using TCS SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems), using a HCX PL APO CS 40x/1.25 lens at zoom factor 1 (373 nm/pixel). Images were acquired with identical settings for laser power, detector gain, and amplifier offset, with pinhole diameters set for 1 airy unit. DAPI-identified nuclei that colocalized with the GAD67 signal, or without RAD21 signal were counted as inhibitory or RAD21 negative neurons, respectively; and were quantified using a processing pipeline developed in CellProfiler (version 2.2, Broad Institute, Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA, www.cellprofiler.org). For astrocytes (GFAP+) and microglia (IBA1+) quantification in dissociated cortical neuronal cultures, the entire coverslips were imaged using a IX70 Olympus microscope equipped with a 4x 0.1 NA Plan-Neofluar lens (1.60 µm/pixel) and the number of astrocytes and microglia were counted. The analysis and quantification of different cell types were done for three different experiments, each experiment containing at least two different samples of each genotype.

For Sholl analysis of dissociated cortical neurons, samples were imaged using a TCS SP8 confocal microscope, a HC PL APO CS2 40x/1.30 lens at zoom factor 0.75 with a resolution of 2048 x 2048 pixel (189 nm/pixel, 0.5 μ m/stack). Images were acquired with identical settings for laser power, detector gain, and amplifier offset, with pinhole diameters set for 1 airy unit. Approximately 10 neurons were imaged per sample and at least two different samples per genotype in each experiment; each experiment was performed three times. GFP+ neurons were traced using the FilamentTracer package in Imaris software (Bitplane AG). Statistical significance was assessed using a repeat measures ANOVA with a Bonferroni Post Test (Prism-GraphPad Software).

Supplementary references

- 61 Tachibana-Konwalski, K. *et al.* Rec8-containing cohesin maintains bivalents without turnover during the growing phase of mouse oocytes. *Genes Dev* **24**, 2505–16 (2010).
- 62 Cuartero, S. *et al.* Control of inducible gene expression links cohesin to hematopoietic progenitor self-renewal and differentiation. *Nat. Immunol.* **19**, 932–941 (2018).
- 63 Beaudoin, G. M. *et al.* Culturing pyramidal neurons from the early postnatal mouse hippocampus and cortex. *Nat. Protoc.* **7**, 1741–54 (2012).
- 64 Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.* 14(4): R36 (2013).
- 65 Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31: 166-9 (2015). doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.
- 66 Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).
- 67 Young, M. D., Wakefield, M. J., Smyth, G. K. & Oshlack, A. Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. *Genome Biol.* **11**, R14 (2010).
- 68 Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 15545–50 (2005).
- 69 Nelson JD, Denisenko O, Bomsztyk K. Protocol for the fast chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) method. *Nat Protoc.* 1, 179-85 (2006). doi: 10.1038/nprot.2006.27.
- 70 Lajoie, B. R., van Berkum, N. L., Sanyal, A. & Dekker, J. My5C: web tools for chromosome conformation capture studies. *Nat. Methods* 6, 690–691 (2009).
- 71 Imakaev, M. *et al.* Iterative correction of Hi-C data reveals hallmarks of chromosome organization. *Nat. Methods* 9, 999–1003 (2012).
- 72 Gilgenast, T. G. & Phillips-Cremins, J. E. Systematic evaluation of statistical methods for identifying looping interactions in 5C data. *Cell Syst.* 8, 197–211 e113 (2019).
- 73 Su, Y. *et al.* Neuronal activity modifies the chromatin accessibility landscape in the adult brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* 20, 476–483 (2017).
- 74 Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* 10(3): R25 (2009). doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25.
- 75 Zhang Y. *et al.* Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol.* 9: R137 (2008). doi: 10.1186/gb-2008-9-9-r137

76 Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, Huber W. Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nat Protoc.* 4: 1184-91. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2009.97 (2009).

77 Lawrence M, Huber W, Pagès H, Aboyoun P, Carlson M, Gentleman R, Morgan MT, Carey VJ. Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. *PLoS Comput Biol.* ;9(8):e1003118. (2013). doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118

78 Schambra U. B., Lauder J. M., Silver J. Atlas of the prenatal mouse brain. Academic Press (1992)

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. RiboTag validation.

a) *Nex^{Cre}*-dependent Rpl22-HA (RiboTag) expression is restricted to RAD21-negative cells in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons. Immunofluorescence staining for RAD21, the pan-neuronal marker MAP2 and HA (RiboTag) in explant culture. DAPI marks nuclei. Scale bar = 40 μ m.

b) *Nex^{Cre}* RiboTag captures excitatory neuron-specific transcripts such as *Slc17a7* and *Camk2a* and depletes cell type-specific transcripts expressed in inhibitory neurons (*Gad1*, *Gad2*, *Slc32a1*), astrocytes (*Gfap*, *Aqp4*, *Mlc1*), and microglia (*Aif1*). Transcript enrichment (or depletion) was calculated using the normalized counts from *Nex^{Cre}* RiboTag versus standard RNA-seq in *Rad21^{+/+} Nex^{Cre}* neurons.

Supplementary Figure 2. Gene expression in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons

a) Examples of deregulated genes in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons. Genes associated with autism spectrum disorders are highlighted in red.

d) GSEA for downregulated genes in *Nex^{Cre/+} Rad21^{lox/lox}* neurons using gene sets derived from (i) KEGG pathway database, (ii) GO Biological Process Ontology, (iii) GO Molecular Function Ontology, (iv) GO Cellular Component Ontology in the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB).

c) Overlap between human genes associated with autism spectrum disorders from the SFARI database and differentially expressed genes (left), downregulated genes (middle) and upregulated genes (right) in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* cortical neurons.

Supplementary Figure 3. Impact of cohesin loss in immature post-mitotic neurons *in vivo*.

a) Expected Mendelian ratios and observed percentages of live *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre}, *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre}, *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre} mice at the indicated developmental stages, n = 217.

b) Immunofluorescence analysis shows neither the apoptosis marker activated caspase 3 (CC3) nor the DNA damage marker γ H2AX in E16.5 (top) and E18.5 *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre} (bottom, white lines demarcate the cortex). Wild type E16.5 thymi are shown as positive controls for CC3 and γ H2AX. Two biological replicates. Scale bar = 100 μ m. Photomicrographs of coronal brain sections at gestational age E16 modified from the Atlas of the prenatal mouse brain⁷⁸ are shown for orientation.

c) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of gene expression in *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre} E17.5/18.5 cortical explant cultures 10 d after plating. *Hprt* and *Ubc* were used for normalization. Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 cultures per genotype.

d) Brain weights of *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre} and *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre}, *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre} mice at birth (P0). Mean $\pm$ SEM of between 3 and 13 mice per genotype.

e) Embryonic cortices from wild-type and *Rad21*^{+/-lox} *Nex*^{Cre} mice were dissected at E17.5 and E18.5 and dissociated. Cortical cell numbers were determined by counting in Neubauer chambers. Each symbol denotes an independent experiment. Mean $\pm$ SEM are also shown.

Supplementary Figure 4. Enhancer proximity and CTCF occupancy of deregulated genes in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons

a) Preferential deregulation in *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons of genes near constitutive and KCl-inducible neuronal enhancers²⁰. Based on 3 RiboTag RNA-seq replicates per genotype.

b) Enrichment of inducible activity-dependent genes near constitutive and KCl-inducible neuronal enhancers²⁰.

c) CTCF binding at neuronal genes and enhancers. All genes: all expressed genes in total RNA-seq; Activity-dependent genes: Previously defined activity-dependent genes (Kim et al., 2010) that are inducible by KCl in our experiments (KCl minus TTX adj. $P < 0.05$); Constitutive genes: Expressed genes that are not inducible by KCl in our experiments (KCl minus TTX adj. $P > 0.05$); Enhancers: Previously defined forebrain enhancers⁵⁰; CTCF binding: Previously defined CTCF binding peaks⁴⁰ within 1kb of TSS or enhancer.

d) Only a minority of activity-dependent gene promoters are directly bound by CTCF, and most activity-dependent genes that lack CTCF promoter binding are nevertheless deregulated in cohesin-deficient neurons.

e) Models of gene regulation by direct (left) versus domain-wide chromatin contacts (right).
CD: contact domain.

Supplementary Figure 5. Inducible gene expression in cohesin-deficient neurons

Examples of activity-dependent gene expression at baseline, after TTX/D-AP5 (TTX), and KCl-stimulation. Mean log₂-transformed counts from 3 biological replicates (* adj. *P* < 0.05).

Supplementary Figure 6. *Fos* remains inducible by BDNF in cohesin-deficient neurons

Expression of *Fos* mRNA at baseline and at the indicated time after BDNF stimulation. Data points represent biological RT-PCR replicates. *P*-values refer to induction relative to 0 min.
*** *P* < 0.001.

the indicated time after BDNF stimulation (bottom, data points represent biological RT-PCR replicates). *P*-values refer to induction relative to 0 min. * *P* < 0.05, *** *P* < 0.001.

b) Interaction score heatmaps of the ~40 kb region immediately surrounding *Arc* obtained by 5C for resting (TTX) and 1h KCl-activated wild-type (top) and *Rad21^{lox/lox} Nex^{Cre}* neurons (bottom). Black frames highlight interaction between the *Arc* gene (y-axis) and a nearby downstream enhancer (x-axis). Previously published CTCF-ChIP-seq is shown⁴⁰. H3K27ac ChIP-seq in inactive (TTX-treated) and activated neurons is shown to annotate enhancer regions²⁵. Two independent biological replicates are shown in Supplementary Fig. 8c,d.

c) Quantification of 5C data. *Arc* enhancer-promoter loop (top). A CTCF-based loop that braces the *Arc* locus (arrowhead marked with * in panel b) is quantified for comparison (bottom).

Supplementary Figure 8. Replicate 5C experiments.

a) Top: Interaction frequency zoom-in heatmaps of 250 kb region surrounding the *Fos* gene. Dashed lines and arrow heads mark major CTCF binding sites at the boundaries of the domain that contains *Fos*. Note the weakening of these contacts in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nes*^{Cre} neurons. Bottom: Interaction score heatmaps of the 65 kb region immediately surrounding the *Fos* gene. Black frames highlight interactions between the *Fos* gene and upstream

enhancers 1 and 2. H3K27ac ChIP-seq data²⁵ from Bicuculline-treated (active) and TTX-treated (inactive) neurons annotate enhancer regions. Two independent biological replicates are shown.

b) Top: Interaction frequency zoom-in heatmaps of ~200 kb region surrounding the *Arc* gene. Dashed lines and arrow heads mark major CTCF binding sites at the boundaries of domains that contain the *Arc* locus. Note the weakening of these contacts in *Rad21*^{lox/lox} *Nes*^{Cre} neurons. Bottom: Interaction score heatmaps of the ~40 kb region immediately surrounding the *Arc* gene. Black frames highlight interaction between the *Arc* gene (y-axis) and a nearby downstream enhancer (x-axis). H3K27ac ChIP-seq data²⁵ from Bicuculline-treated (active) and TTX-treated (inactive) neurons annotate enhancer regions. Two independent biological replicates are shown.