***Wolbachia* in the spittlebug *Prosapia ignipectus*: Variable infection frequencies, but no apparent effect on host reproductive isolation**

Timothy B. Wheeler[†], Vinton Thompson[§], William R. Conner[†], and Brandon S. Cooper^{†,*}

[†]Division of Biological Sciences
University of Montana
32 Campus Dr.
Missoula, MT 59812

[§]Division of Invertebrate Zoology
American Museum of Natural History
Central Park West at 79th St.
New York, NY 10024

*Corresponding Author
Brandon S. Cooper
Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana
32 Campus Dr., Missoula, MT 59812
brandon.cooper@umontana.edu

Keywords: Cercopidae, cytoplasmic incompatibility, endosymbiosis, host-microbe interaction, speciation

Abstract

Animals serve as hosts for complex communities of microorganisms, including endosymbionts that live inside their cells. *Wolbachia* bacteria are perhaps the most common endosymbionts, manipulating host reproduction to propagate. Many *Wolbachia* cause intense cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) that promotes their spread to high and relatively stable frequencies. *Wolbachia* that cause weak or no CI tend to persist at intermediate, often variable, frequencies. *Wolbachia* could also contribute to host reproductive isolation (RI), although current support for such contributions is limited to a few systems. To test for *Wolbachia* frequency variation and effects on host RI, we sampled several local *Prosapia ignipectus* (Fitch)(Hemiptera: Cercopidae) spittlebug populations in the northeastern USA over two years, including closely juxtaposed Maine populations with different monomorphic color forms, “black” and “lined”. We discovered a group-B *Wolbachia* (wPig) infecting *P. ignipectus* that diverged from group-A *Wolbachia*—like model wMel and wRi strains in *Drosophila*—6 to 46 MYA. Populations of the sister species *Prosapia bicincta* (Say) from Hawaii and Florida are uninfected, suggesting that *P. ignipectus* acquired wPig after their initial divergence. wPig frequencies were generally high and variable among sites and between years. While phenotyping wPig effects on host reproduction is not currently feasible, the wPig genome contains three divergent sets of CI loci, consistent with high wPig frequencies. Finally, Maine monomorphic black and monomorphic lined populations of *P. ignipectus* share both wPig and mtDNA haplotypes, implying no apparent effect of wPig on the maintenance of this morphological contact zone. We hypothesize *P. ignipectus* acquired wPig horizontally as observed for many *Drosophila* species, and that significant CI and variable transmission produce high but variable wPig frequencies.

Introduction

Animals interact with microorganisms that influence their behavior, physiology, and fitness (Hurst and Jiggins, 2000; Brownlie *et al.*, 2009; McFall-Ngai *et al.*, 2013; Fredericksen *et al.*, 2017; Gould *et al.*, 2018; Hague, Caldwell and Cooper, 2020). These include associations between hosts and vertically transmitted endosymbionts that live inside their cells (McCutcheon, Boyd and Dale, 2019). Hosts may acquire endosymbionts cladogenically from common ancestors (Raychoudhury *et al.*, 2009; Koga *et al.*, 2013; Toju *et al.*, 2013), from sister species via hybridization and introgression (Turelli *et al.*, 2018; Cooper *et al.*, 2019), or horizontally in ways that are not fully understood (O'Neill *et al.*, 1992; Huigens *et al.*, 2000; Ahmed *et al.*, 2015). While few examples exist, endosymbionts can contribute to host reproductive isolation (RI) and speciation (Coyne and Orr, 2004; Matute and Cooper, 2021), highlighting the importance of discovering and characterizing endosymbiont-host associations.

Maternally transmitted *Wolbachia* bacteria are widely distributed (Werren, Baldo and Clark, 2008; Zug and Hammerstein, 2012; Weinert *et al.*, 2015), infecting many arthropods and two groups of parasitic nematodes (Bandi *et al.*, 1998), making *Wolbachia* perhaps the most common endosymbiont in nature. In *Drosophila*, introgressive and horizontal *Wolbachia* acquisition seem to predominate (Conner *et al.*, 2017; Turelli *et al.*, 2018; Cooper *et al.*, 2019), but cladogenic acquisition during host speciation has been observed in other taxa (Raychoudhury *et al.*, 2009; Gerth and Bleidorn, 2017). Many *Wolbachia* manipulate host reproduction to propagate in host populations. For example, many strains cause cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) that reduces the egg hatch of uninfected embryos fertilized by *Wolbachia*-infected sperm (Hoffmann and Turelli, 1997). However, if females are also infected, the embryos survive, “rescuing” CI and promoting *Wolbachia* spread to high frequencies (Hoffmann, Turelli and Harshman, 1990; Turelli and Hoffmann, 1995; Barton and Turelli, 2011; Kriesner *et al.*, 2013).

Wolbachia may contribute to host RI (Coyne and Orr, 2004; Matute and Cooper, 2021), with the best evidence coming from *Drosophila*. *Wolbachia* contribute to assortative mating and postzygotic isolation between co-occurring *D. paulistorum* semi-species (Miller, Ehrman and Schneider, 2010), and to reinforcement of isolation between uninfected *D. subquinaria* and *Wolbachia*-infected *D. recens* (Shoemaker, Katju and Jaenike, 1999; Jaenike *et al.*, 2006). In contrast, *Wolbachia* do not contribute to RI in the *D. yakuba* clade, which includes *wYak*-infected *D. yakuba*, *wSan*-infected *D. santomea*, and *wTei*-infected *D. teissieri* (Cooper *et al.*,

2017). Thus, while some results from *Drosophila* strongly support contributions of *Wolbachia* to RI, and interest in the possibility of such effects remains high, it is unknown whether *Wolbachia* effects on RI are common in nature.

Wolbachia frequencies differ significantly among infected host taxa, ranging from very low to obligately fixed infections (Bandi *et al.*, 1998; Kriesner *et al.*, 2013; Cooper *et al.*, 2017; Miller, Ehrman and Schneider, 2010). *Wolbachia* effects on reproduction (e.g., CI) and fitness, in combination with imperfect maternal transmission, govern its frequencies in host populations (Caspari and Watson, 1959; Hoffmann, Turelli and Harshman, 1990). Intensive sampling of a few systems has revealed both stable and variable *Wolbachia* frequencies within host populations. *Wolbachia* that cause intense CI, like *w*Ri in *Drosophila simulans*, persist at high and relatively stable frequencies, balanced by imperfect maternal transmission (Kriesner *et al.*, 2013; Turelli *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, *Wolbachia* that cause weak or no CI tend to occur at variable intermediate frequencies (Hoffmann, Clancy and Duncan, 1996; Hamm *et al.*, 2014; Kriesner *et al.*, 2016; Cooper *et al.*, 2017; Meany *et al.*, 2019). These include *w*Mel-like *Wolbachia* frequencies that vary spatially in *D. melanogaster* and *D. yakuba* (Kriesner *et al.*, 2016; Hague *et al.*, 2020), and temporally in *D. yakuba* and *D. santomea* (Cooper *et al.*, 2017; Hague, Caldwell and Cooper, 2020). In all but a few systems, limited sampling has left a gap in knowledge about whether *Wolbachia* frequency variation is common (Hughes *et al.*, 2011; Hamm *et al.*, 2014; Cattel *et al.*, 2016; Schuler *et al.*, 2016; Ross *et al.*, 2020).

Prosapia ignipectus (Fitch) (Hemiptera: Cercopidae) is one of about 14 species of *Prosapia* and one of two commonly found in the USA, the other being its sister species *P. bicincta* (Say) (Hamilton, 1977). *P. ignipectus* occurs in southern Ontario, Canada and the northeastern USA from Minnesota to Maine (Hamilton, 1977, 1982; Peck, 1999; Carvalho and Webb, 2005; Thompson and Carvalho, 2016). These species vary in male genital morphology and in associations with host plants, with *P. ignipectus* monophagous on the late season C4 perennial grass *Schizachyrium scoparium* (Little bluestem) (Hamilton, 1982; Thompson, 2004) and *P. bicincta* polyphagous on a variety of C4 grasses, but not including Little bluestem (Fagan and Kuitert, 1969; Thompson, 2004). Both species have conspicuous dorsal coloration, standing out against their respective host plants. All *P. bicincta* individuals have a single narrow transverse orange line across the widest part of the pronotum and a pair of narrow orange lines across the elytra. Most *P. ignipectus* individuals have a solid black dorsal surface, but in Maine

some *P. ignipectus* have *P. bicincta*-like coloration (Figure 1). Notably, only 10 km separate monomorphic black and monomorphic lined *P. ignipectus* populations in western Maine, with little evidence of a hybrid zone and no obvious physical barriers to mixing across the boundary (Thompson and Carvalho, 2016). This morphological contact zone has persisted for at least 90 years. About 45 km southwest of this abrupt transition between aposematic color forms, three other *P. ignipectus* populations were found to be polymorphic with both black and lined forms—these populations are surrounded by monomorphic black populations. It has been hypothesized that *Wolbachia* determined RI may contribute to preservation of the sharp Maine morphological contact zone (Thompson and Carvalho, 2016).

Here, we use collections of *P. ignipectus* from several sites in the northeastern USA across two years, in combination with collections of *P. bicincta* from Hawaii and Florida, USA, to assess modes of *Wolbachia* acquisition and to test for *Wolbachia* frequency variation through space and time. By sampling monomorphic black and lined populations and typing both *Wolbachia* and mtDNA haplotypes, we also test for contributions of *Wolbachia* to host RI. Finally, we generate whole genome *Wolbachia* data for phylogenetic analysis and to search for loci associated with inducing and rescuing CI (Beckmann, Ronau and Hochstrasser, 2017; LePage *et al.*, 2017; Shropshire *et al.*, 2018).

Figure 1. Sister species *P. ignipectus* and *P. bicincta* have conspicuous dorsal coloration. All *P. bicincta* individuals have a single narrow transverse orange line across the widest part of the pronotum and a pair of narrow orange lines across the elytra. Most *P. ignipectus* individuals have a solid black dorsal surface, but in Maine some *P. ignipectus* have *P. bicincta*-like coloration. *P. ignipectus* monophagous on the late season C4 perennial grass *Schizachyrium scoparium* (Little bluestem). Little bluestem photo by Krzysztof Ziarnik, Kenraiz (CC BY-SA 4.0, <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0>).

Methods

Sampling

We netted specimens from Little bluestem; sorted them by species, sex, and color form; and preserved them in 95% ethanol. The 2019 specimens ($N = 4$ sites) were collected on August 23. The 2020 specimens ($N = 9$ sites) were collected on August 9 (Silver Lake, NH), August 17 (Wonalancet, NH), and August 20 (all Maine localities) (Supplemental Table 1). Collection sites were on the verges of public rights of way or privately owned land. In two cases (New Vineyard and New Portland) they correspond to sites reported in Thompson and Carvalho (2016). Specimens were collected near the height of abundance for *P. ignipectus*, which starts to emerge in adult form in late July and early August. We also sampled three additional spittlebug species at these sites: *Lepyronia quadrangularis* (Say) ($N = 25$), *Philaenus spumarius* (L.) ($N = 5$), and *Philaenarcys killa* (Hamilton) ($N = 24$), all of the family Aphrophoridae. Like, *P. ignipectus*, *P. killa* is a monophage on Little bluestem. *L. quadrangularis* is a polyphage but often abundant on Little bluestem. *P. spumarius* is an extreme polyphage, with a preference for forbes (herbaceous perennial dicots) but is occasionally collected from Little bluestem in the company of *P. ignipectus*. By screening them for *Wolbachia* we tested for the possibility of horizontal *Wolbachia* transfer through plant interactions (Chrostek *et al.*, 2017). Lastly, because identification of infections in sister hosts enables formal analysis of modes of *Wolbachia* acquisition (Turelli *et al.*, 2018; Conner *et al.*, 2017; Cooper *et al.*, 2019; Raychoudhury *et al.*, 2009), we also obtained samples of the sister species *P. bicincta* from Hawaii ($N = 60$) and Florida ($N = 40$) to screen for infections. *P. bicincta* is native to the southeastern USA (Fagan and Kuitert, 1969; Thompson and Carvalho, 2016), but has recently been introduced into the Kona Region of Big Island, Hawaii (Thorne *et al.*, 2018).

Wolbachia typing

We generated whole genome *Wolbachia* data to type the *Wolbachia* infecting *P. ignipectus* and to search for loci associated with CI. We extracted 800ng of high molecular weight DNA (Qiagen Genomic-tip 20/G; Qiagen, Germany) from one black New Vineyard female (see below), and then input and sequenced it (Ligation Sequencing Kit, SQK-LSK109; FLO-MIN106 flow cell) for 48 hours (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). We mapped raw nanopore reads (5.8Gb of data) to all known *Wolbachia* sequences (NCBI taxid 953) with

BLASTn and extracted reads where at least 60% of their length mapped (qcovs >= 60). We then corrected and assembled reads using canu 2.1.1 (Koren *et al.*, 2017, 2018; Nurk *et al.*, 2020) and polished the *Wolbachia* assembly using nanopolish 0.13.2 (Loman, Quick and Simpson, 2015). We annotated our *Wolbachia* assembly plus the genomes of model group-A (wMel, Wu *et al.*, 2004; and wRi, Klasson *et al.*, 2009) and group-B (wPip-Pel, Klasson *et al.*, 2008; and wMau, Meany *et al.*, 2019) strains using Prokka v.1.11 (Seemann, 2014). We used only genes present in single copy and with identical lengths in all genomes. To assess the quality of our assembly, we excluded wPig and repeated this with only wMel, wRi, wPip, and wMau.

Preliminary analysis of a few loci placed the *P. ignipectus* *Wolbachia* in group-B (see below), but we performed Bayesian analyses using the GTR + Γ + I model for sequence evolution using whole genome data to confirm this (Höhna *et al.*, 2016). Genes were concatenated and partitioned by codon position, with a rate multiplier, σ , assigned to each partition to accommodate variable substitution rates. We used flat, symmetrical Dirichlet priors on the stationary base frequencies, π , and the relative-rate parameters, η , of the GTR model (i.e., Dirichlet(1,1,1,...)). As in Turelli *et al.* (2018), we used a $\Gamma(2,1)$ hyperprior on the shape parameter, α , of the discrete- Γ model (adopting the conventional assumption that the β rate parameter equals α , so that the mean rate is 1; (Yang, 1994). The Γ model for rate variation assigns significant probability near zero when the $\alpha < 1$ (accommodating invariant sites). The $\Gamma(2,1)$ hyperprior on α assigns 95% probability to the interval (0.36, 4.74), allowing for small and large values. Four independent runs for each gene set produced concordant topologies. We diagnosed MCMC performance using Tracer 1.7 (Rambaut *et al.*, 2014).

***Wolbachia* and mtDNA haplotyping of black and lined color morphs**

To confirm that the same *Wolbachia* strain infects different *P. ignipectus* populations and color morphs, we amplified and Sanger sequenced five protein-coding *Wolbachia* genes (*coxA*, *hcpA*, *fbpA*, *ftsZ*, and *wsp*) in both directions (Eurofins Genomics LLC, Louisville, Kentucky)(see below, Supplemental Table 2). We also amplified and Sanger sequenced *gatb*, but sequence quality was consistently too low to include in our analyses. Samples included one infected female of each color form (black or lined), from each of the four populations (Carthage, New Portland, New Vineyard, and Strong) sampled in both years (Supplemental Table 1).

To specifically assess if *Wolbachia* might contribute to the morphological contact zone between New Vineyard (monomorphic black) and New Portland (monomorphic lined) *P. ignipectus*, we also we amplified and Sanger sequenced the *cytochrome C oxidase I (CoI)* mitochondrial locus from one male and one female from these populations, with the exception of one (New Vineyard black male) that did not produce usable sequence. We also produced *CoI* sequences for one black and one lined female from the polymorphic Strong population.

We visually inspected each sequence for quality and ambiguities, and consensus sequences were used as queries for a BLASTn search and the NCBI “nr” database to confirm that orthologous genes were amplified (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). We then used the “multiple locus query” function of the multi locus sequence typing (MLST) database to type *Wolbachia* (Baldo *et al.*, 2006). Together these data enable us to test for differentiation in *Wolbachia* and mtDNA between populations and color forms, including between populations monomorphic for different color forms separated by only 10 km in Maine.

Analysis of CI loci

Recent work has identified CI-causing factors (*cifs*) associated with WO prophage in *Wolbachia* genomes (Beckmann, Ronau and Hochstrasser, 2017; LePage *et al.*, 2017; Shropshire *et al.*, 2018; Shropshire and Bordenstein, 2019; Shropshire, Leigh and Bordenstein, 2020). Two genes (*cifA/B*) transgenically expressed in male *D. melanogaster* induce CI, while one gene (*cifA*) expressed in females rescues it. To identify *cif* loci, we used BLASTn to search for *cif* homologs in our whole genome raw reads, querying the Type 1 *cif* pair in *wMel*, the Type 2 pair in *wRi*, the Type 3 pair in *wNo*, the Type 4 pair in *wPip*, and the Type 5 pair in *wStri* (Lindsey *et al.*, 2018; Bing *et al.*, 2020; Martinez *et al.*, 2020). We later broadened our search for Type 1 pairs by querying *wPip* and *wNP*a pairs (Klasson *et al.*, 2008; Gerth and Bleidorn, 2017). For each Type, we extracted raw reads that covered at least 40% of the genes. We then corrected and assembled the reads with canu 2.1.1 (Koren *et al.*, 2017, 2018; Nurk *et al.*, 2020), producing sequences with about a 1% error rate. We limit our analyses to the discovery of *cif* types, since we did not generate additional sequence data to further correct the long reads. The assembled genes were compared to those in Martinez *et al.* (2020).

Analysis of *Wolbachia* frequency variation

To test for *Wolbachia* frequency variation, we extracted DNA from many individuals from each collection using a standard squish buffer protocol and identified *Wolbachia* infections using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Simpliamp ThermoCycler; Applied Biosystems, Singapore) (Meany *et al.*, 2019). We amplified the *Wolbachia* surface protein (*wsp*) (Braig *et al.*, 1998) and arthropod-specific 28S rDNA, which served as a positive control (Baldo *et al.*, 2006) (Supplemental Table 2). PCR products were visualized using 1% agarose gels. Assuming a binomial distribution, we estimated exact 95% confidence intervals for *Wolbachia* frequencies for each collection. We used Fisher's exact test (FET) to determine differences in frequencies among sites, between years, between sexes, and between color forms.

Results

P. ignipectus likely acquired its group-B *Wolbachia* following initial divergence from *P. bicincta*

Across all samples, *Wolbachia* infection frequency (p) in *P. ignipectus* is high ($p = 0.93$ [0.90, 0.95]; $N = 486$). Based on five Sanger sequenced loci, the multiple sequence query of the MLST database supports that a group-B strain, most closely related to *Wolbachia* in Chloropidae (Diptera) (ID 93, ST 104), infects our *P. ignipectus* samples—we call this strain *wPig*. Preliminary phylogenetic analyses using only our five Sanger sequenced genes also placed *wPig* in group B. Our draft *wPig* assembly size (1.32Mb, $N50 = 91,011$) falls in the range of complete *Wolbachia* genomes (e.g., *wMel* at 1.26Mb and *wRi* at 1.44Mb), despite its fragmentation (50 contigs). In total, we extracted 65 single-copy homologs of equal length (43,473 total bp) for our phylogenetic analysis, which also places *wPig* in group B (Figure 2). When excluding the *wPig* genome, we were able to extract an additional 135 homologs (16,7241 bp) from *wMel*, *wRi*, *wPip*, and *wMau*. This indicates that significant residual error in the *wPig* assembly reduces the number of homologs meeting our equal length criteria for inclusion. Finer placement of *wPig* among group-B strains will require the generation of short-read data to further correct our draft *wPig* assembly. Thus, we do not attempt to place *wPig* precisely among group-B strains.

Figure 2. An estimated phylogram for model group-A (wRi, Klasson *et al.*, 2009); and (wMel, Wu *et al.*, 2004) and group-B (wPip_Pel, Klasson *et al.*, 2008); and (wMau, Meany *et al.*, 2019) *Wolbachia*, plus wPig. All nodes have Bayesian posterior probabilities of 1. The divergence time of groups A and B is superimposed from (Meany *et al.*, 2019). The phylogram shows significant variation in the substitution rates across branches, with long branches separating groups A and B.

None of the *P. bicincta* samples from Hawaii and Florida were *Wolbachia* infected. Even if some *P. bicincta* are *Wolbachia* infected, as previously reported for one individual used as a PCR control in another study (Anderson, Rustin and Eremeeva, 2019), *Wolbachia* infection frequency (p) must be very low across the *P. bicincta* range, given our species estimate and credible interval ($p = 0.0$ [0.0, 0.04]; $N = 100$), keeping in mind the possibility that the Hawaiian population may have experienced a recent bottleneck during introduction and may not be representative of the species in the native range. Very low frequency *Wolbachia* infections in global *P. bicincta* populations, in combination with generally high wPig frequencies in *P. ignipectus*, indicates that *P. ignipectus* likely acquired wPig after its initial divergence from *P. bicincta*. Because testing predictions about modes of *Wolbachia* acquisition requires formal analysis of *Wolbachia*, host nuclear, and host mtDNA phylograms and chronograms, we are unable to distinguish between introgressive and horizontal wPig transfer (Raychoudhury *et al.*, 2009; Conner *et al.*, 2017; Gerth and Bleidorn, 2017; Turelli *et al.*, 2018; Cooper *et al.*, 2019). We discuss this further below.

Of the additional species we netted from Little bluestem, all *L. quadrangularis* were uninfected ($p = 0.0$ [0.0, 0.14]; $N = 25$), all *P. spumarius* were infected ($p = 1.0$ [0.48, 1.0]; $N = 5$), and only one *P. killa* individual was infected ($p = 0.04$ [0.001, 0.21]; $N = 24$). Because *Wolbachia* that infect *P. spumarius* and wPig in *P. ignipectus* are both at high frequency, we also typed the *Wolbachia* infecting *P. spumarius* to determine if a wPig-like variant also infects this host species. The multiple sequence query in the MLST database supports that a different group-B strain, most closely related to the thrip species *Aptinothrips rufus* (ID 1945, ST 509) infects *P. spumarius*. Generating more sequence data will be required to resolve the phylogenetically

relationships of these and other group-B strains, including *Wolbachia* in *P. spumarius* (Lis, Maryńska-Nadachowska and Kajtoch, 2015).

No apparent effect of wPig on the maintenance of the morphological *P. ignipectus* contact zone

The Strong, Carthage, and Dixfield *P. ignipectus* populations (Figure 3) were polymorphic for the black and lined forms (Figure 1, Supplemental Table 1), like three populations close to Rumford, Maine sampled in earlier work (Thompson and Carvalho, 2016). This set of mixed color-form populations runs roughly from Rumford northeast to Strong, but not to the sharp boundary dividing the monomorphic black New Vineyard population from the monomorphic lined New Portland population. It has the appearance of a hybrid zone, but one that does not reach the definitive boundary between the forms. The existence of distinct color forms both within and between the populations sampled facilitated investigation of the relationship, if any, between *Wolbachia* infection and patterns of color form occurrence.

Table 1. wPig infection frequencies in *P. ignipectus* at each sampled site across both years.

Site	GPS coordinates	N	Infected	p [Confidence Interval]
Carthage	44 36 44N, 70 28 10W	116	98	0.84 [0.77, 0.91]
New Portland	44 52 17N, 70 07 00W	72	68	0.94 [0.86, 0.98]
New Vineyard	44 45 14N, 70 08 01W	77	67	0.87 [0.77, 0.94]
Strong	44 47 08N, 70 13 42W	69	68	0.99 [0.92, 1.0]
Silver Lake	43 53 01N, 71 10 41W	20	19	0.95 [0.75, 1.0]
Dixfield	44 34 10N, 70 27 21W	41	41	1.0 [0.91, 1.0]
Weld	44 41 27N, 70 25 30W	33	32	0.97 [0.84, 1.0]
Wilton	44 37 58N, 70 18 10W	26	26	1.0 [0.87, 1.0]
Wonalancet	43 54 38N, 71 21 29W	32	31	0.97 [0.84, 1.0]

Sample sizes (N), infection frequencies (p), and exact 95% binomial confidence intervals for each site.

We found no evidence for wPig genetic differentiation between *P. ignipectus* populations or color forms. Regions of the five wPig genes we sequenced were identical, except for a single nucleotide position in *wsp*, where the Strong lined sample differed from all others. In addition to populations sharing wPig type based on MLST loci, wPig frequency did not vary between color

forms (black: $p = 0.93$ [0.90, 0.95], $N = 338$; lined: $p = 0.92$ [0.86, 0.96], $N = 123$; FET, $P = 0.69$), among only males (black: $p = 0.84$ [0.75, 0.90], $N = 98$; lined: $p = 0.90$ [0.79, 0.97], $N = 51$; FET, $P = 0.33$), or among females (black: $p = 0.97$ [0.94, 0.99], $N = 240$; lined: $p = 0.93$ [0.85, 0.98], $N = 72$; FET, $P = 0.19$), across all samples. *wPig* frequency also did not differ between New Vineyard (monomorphic black) and New Portland (monomorphic lined) populations (FET, $P = 0.16$).

We found no evidence for differentiation in *CoI* mtDNA haplotype between the New Vineyard and New Portland *P. ignipectus* populations, where all samples were identical across the 680 bp that we recovered. The black and lined females from the polymorphic Strong population also did not differ from each other, or from other populations, across this region.

Thus, *wPig* and mtDNA haplotypes were not differentiated between populations or color forms..

Our mtDNA haplotypes are also very similar to ten *P. ignipectus* samples included in the Barcode of Life Database (BOLD) (Footit, Maw and Hebert, 2014). A single base-pair insertion present in all of our samples is absent from all ten BOLD samples. Four other sites in *CoI* that are polymorphic among the BOLD samples are fixed in our samples for one of the BOLD alleles. mtDNA haplotypes of *P. ignipectus* and *P. bicincta* also differ by less than 2 percent (Footit, Maw and Hebert, 2014).

The *wPig* genome contains three divergent types of *CI* loci

We identified Type 1, 3, and 4 *cifs* in the *wPig* genome (Martinez *et al.*, 2020). This specific complement of *cifs* is not found in any other published *Wolbachia* genomes, but close relatives to each *wPig* *cif* Type are. For instance, the *wPig* Type 1 genes are 99% identical to those in the genome of the *Wolbachia* infecting the gall-inducing wasp *Diplolepis spinosa* (Cynipidae), but less than 90% similar to any others (Martinez *et al.*, 2020). The Type 3 *wPig* genes are 99% identical to those in the genome of the *Wolbachia* infecting *D. spinosa*, the Staphylinid beetle *Diploeciton nevermanni*, and the water strider *Gerris buenoi*. The *wPig* Type 4 genes are 99% identical to those in *Wolbachia* infecting *Nomada* bees (*wNLeu*, *wNFla*, and *wNPpa*), but less than 95% identical to other Type 4 *cifs*. The *Wolbachia* infecting *D. spinosa* does not have Type 4 *cifs*, distinguishing it from *wPig*. None of the *wPig* *cifs* are truncated relative to copies with 99% identity. Additional sequencing is required to make more detailed *cif* comparisons.

Pervasive wPig frequency variation

wPig varied in frequency in several ways. First, frequency varied spatially among all samples (FET, $P = 0.001$)(Table 1), among sites in 2019 (FET, $P < 0.0001$), and 2020 (FET, $P = 0.033$). This variation occurred over a geographic radius of only 20 km in 2019 and 70 km in 2020 (Figure 3). Second, frequency varied across all samples between 2019 ($p = 0.88$ [0.82, 0.92]; $N = 169$) and 2020 ($p = 0.95$ [0.92, 0.97]; $N = 317$) (FET, $P = 0.003$). For the four sites we sampled in both years, frequencies were only significantly different between 2019 ($p = 0.73$ [0.56, 0.86]; $N = 37$) and 2020 ($p = 1.0$ [0.91, 1.0]; $N = 40$) in New Vineyard (FET, $P < 0.001$). Third, across all samples wPig frequency was higher in females ($p = 0.95$ [0.93, 0.97]; $N = 332$) than males ($p = 0.86$ [0.80, 0.91]; $N = 154$) (FET, $P = 0.001$). However, this was driven mostly by a paucity of infected males in New Vineyard (males: $p = 0.69$ [0.50, 0.84], $N = 32$; females: $p = 1.0$ [0.92, 1.0], $N = 45$; FET, $P < 0.0001$), with no differences in wPig frequency between males and females in other populations. wPig frequency in males was relatively low in 2019 ($p = 0.17$ [0.02, 0.48]; $N = 12$), but fixed in 2020 ($p = 1.0$ [0.83, 1.0]; $N = 20$). We interpret these results as pervasive spatial, and rare temporal and sex-specific, variation in wPig frequency.

Figure 3. wPig frequency varies through space and time. Circle size denotes sample size, with outline and fill color denoting sampling year and infection status, respectively. Sample means and 95% binomial confidence intervals are reported for each sample. The dashed back line denotes the geographical separation of monomorphic black and monomorphic lined *P. ignipectus* populations.

Discussion

Our results suggest that *wPig* is a group-B *Wolbachia* acquired after the initial divergence of *P. ignipectus* from *P. bicincta*. Analysis of *Wolbachia* and mtDNA haplotypes indicates that *wPig* has no apparent effect on the *P. ignipectus* morphological contact zone in Maine. Across all samples, *wPig* occurs at very high frequencies, consistent with our discovery of three divergent sets of CI loci in the *wPig* genome. Finally, we document pervasive spatial, and rare temporal, *wPig* frequency variation. We discuss this in more detail below.

Wolbachia acquisition in spittlebugs

In contrast to very high *wPig* frequencies in *P. ignipectus*, we found no evidence of *Wolbachia* in our sample of 100 *P. bicincta*. A prior report of one infected *P. bicincta* sample indicates that *Wolbachia* could infect this species (Anderson, Rustin and Eremeeva, 2019). If so, it must be at very low frequencies, given our credible interval here ($p = 0.0$ [0.0, 0.04]; $N = 100$). Mathematical models predict that intense CI drives *Wolbachia* to high frequencies, balanced by imperfect maternal transmission (Hoffmann, Turelli and Harshman, 1990; Turelli and Hoffmann, 1995); conversely, *Wolbachia* that do not cause strong CI tend to occur at much lower frequencies (Hamm *et al.*, 2014; Kriesner *et al.*, 2016; Cooper *et al.*, 2017; Hague *et al.*, 2020). While crossing to test for CI in the laboratory is not currently feasible in this system, the presence of three sets of CI loci in the *wPig* genome, combined with its very high frequencies, suggests that *wPig* causes intense CI.

How did *P. ignipectus* acquire *wPig*? There are three possibilities: cladogenic transmission from its most recent common ancestor with its sister species, presumably *P. bicincta* or a close relative; by introgression from *P. bicincta* or another close relative; or by horizontal transmission (O'Neill *et al.*, 1992). Given that we find no evidence for a high frequency *Wolbachia* in *P. bicincta*, cladogenic acquisition seems implausible. Without more extensive analysis of close relatives, we cannot rule out introgression. However, opportunities for introgression with species other than *P. bicincta* have likely been limited. Other species of the genus *Prosapia* or family Cercopidae occur no further north than the USA-Mexico border region, about 1,400 km from the nearest *P. ignipectus* populations and 3,000 km from the populations studied here.

Overall, the limited data are consistent with relatively recent non-cladogenic transmission, a process that seems to be common among *Drosophila* species (Turelli *et al.*, 2018). It may also be common among spittlebugs. This would be in stark contrast to obligate transovarial endosymbionts associated with amino acid nutrition in spittlebugs and other hemipterans (Koga *et al.*, 2013). In addition to the thrip-related *Wolbachia* found in *P. spumarius* in this study, Nakabachi *et al.* (2020) report that two spittlebug species, *Aphrophora quadrinotata* Say and *Philaenus maghresignus* Drosopoulos & Remane (both *Aphrophoridae*), harbor *Wolbachia* with 16S rRNA sequence that is identical to *Wolbachia* in two psyllid species, two whiteflies, an aphid, a planthopper, two leafhoppers, two grasshoppers, a mosquito and a weevil. Likewise, Lis *et al.* (2015) report that *Wolbachia* they studied in *P. spumarius* is closely related to strains in vespids, drosophilids, whiteflies, chrysomelid beetles and weevils based on five MLST loci. Kapantaidaki *et al.* (2021) also report *Wolbachia* infections at low levels in *P. spumarius*, as well as higher frequencies in *Neophilaenus campestris* (Fallén) (*Aphrophoridae*). Based on five MLST loci, their *N. campestris* strain is closely related to *Wolbachia* found in a leafhopper (Hemiptera) and cluster with *Wolbachia* from a planthopper, a scale insect and a psyllid (all Hemiptera), as well as two chrysomelid beetles, two butterflies, a parasitic wasp and a mosquito. Koga *et al.* (2013, table S3) report the presence of *Wolbachia* in the spittlebug *Cosmoscarta heros* (F.) (Cercopidae), in addition to *A. quadrinotata* and *P. maghresignus*.

In contrast, five specimens of *Poophilus costalis* (Walker) (*Aphrophoridae*) (Wiwatanaratnabutr, 2015), six specimens of *Philaenus tessellatus* Melichar (Lis, Maryńska-Nadachowska and Kajtoch, 2015), 37 specimens of *Philaenus signatus* Melichar (Lis, Maryńska-Nadachowska and Kajtoch, 2015; Kapantaidaki *et al.*, 2021), and single specimens of *Philaenus arslani* Abdul-Nour & Lahoud, *Philaenus loukasi* Drosopoulos & Asche, and *Philaenus tarifa* Remane & Drosopoulos (Lis, Maryńska-Nadachowska and Kajtoch, 2015) were not infected. Based on limited sequence data, the emerging pattern suggests that *Wolbachia* infection is widespread, but far from ubiquitous among spittlebugs, and that when it does occur, it often involves *Wolbachia* strains similar to those infecting distantly related insects. Whole *Wolbachia* and host genomic data is sorely needed to test our hypothesis that horizontal *Wolbachia* acquisition might be common in spittlebugs.

Little contribution of *w*Pig to the *P. ignipectus* morphological contact zone

We find no evidence for differentiation in *w*Pig or mtDNA haplotypes among *P. ignipectus* color forms. This includes the monomorphic black (New Vineyard) and lined (New Portland) populations that are separated by only 10 km in Maine, with no obvious barriers to dispersal or reproduction (Thompson and Carvalho, 2016). We also found no variation in *w*Pig or mtDNA haplotypes between black and lined individuals in the polymorphic Strong population. *w*Pig frequency also did not vary between color forms. These data indicate that *w*Pig is unlikely to significantly contribute to the maintenance of the *P. ignipectus* morphological contact zone.

How common are *Wolbachia* effects on host RI? Obligate *Wolbachia* infections in co-occurring *D. paulistorum* semi-species contribute to assortative mating and generate hybrid inviability and male sterility (Miller, Ehrman and Schneider, 2010). *Wolbachia* also contribute to reinforcement between *Wolbachia*-infected *D. recens* and uninfected *D. subquinaria* (Shoemaker, Katju and Jaenike, 1999; Jaenike *et al.*, 2006). In contrast, *Wolbachia* do not contribute to premating, gametic, or postzygotic RI among the three *D. yakuba*-clade host species (Cooper *et al.*, 2017). While the crossing schemes used in these *Drosophila* studies to dissect *Wolbachia* contributions to RI are not feasible in *P. ignipectus* and many other systems, our genetic data here lend support to our prior conjecture that *Wolbachia* contributions to RI observed in some *Drosophila* may be the exception rather than the rule (Turelli, Lipkowitz and Brandvain, 2014; Cooper *et al.*, 2017).

Pervasive *w*Pig frequency variation

Mathematical models indicate that imperfect maternal transmission, *Wolbachia* fitness effects, and the severity of CI govern *Wolbachia* frequencies in host populations. *Wolbachia* that cause intense CI tend to occur at high and stable frequencies, balanced by imperfect maternal transmission (Barton and Turelli, 2011; Turelli and Hoffmann, 1995; Hoffmann, Turelli and Harshman, 1990; Turelli and Hoffmann, 1991; Carrington *et al.*, 2011; Kriesner *et al.*, 2013); while *Wolbachia* that cause weak or no CI tend to persist at intermediate, often variable frequencies (Hamm *et al.*, 2014; Kriesner *et al.*, 2016; Cooper *et al.*, 2017; Hague *et al.*, 2020). Accumulating evidence for variable infection frequencies (Hamm *et al.*, 2014; Kriesner *et al.*, 2016; Schuler *et al.*, 2016; Hughes *et al.*, 2011; Lis, Maryńska-Nadachowska and Kajtoch,

2015; Cooper *et al.*, 2017), including our discovery here, highlights that infection frequencies are not static, even for high frequency variants.

With the exception of model systems like *wRi* in *D. simulans*, few estimates of the key parameters required to approximate population frequency dynamics and equilibria of *Wolbachia* exist (Turelli and Hoffmann, 1995; Carrington *et al.*, 2011). *wMel*-like *Wolbachia* frequencies in the *D. yakuba* clade vary through space and time in west Africa (Cooper *et al.*, 2017), due in part to effects of cold temperatures on *wYak* titer (Hague *et al.*, 2020). CI strength also varies in the *D. yakuba* clade, which may influence infection frequencies (Cooper *et al.*, 2017; Hague, Caldwell and Cooper, 2020). *wMel* frequencies vary with latitude in *D. melanogaster* populations, potentially due to *wMel* fitness costs in the cold (Kriesner *et al.*, 2016). Interestingly, hot temperatures reduce *wMel* CI strength and transmission in transinfected *Aedes aegypti* used for biocontrol of human disease (Ross *et al.*, 2017, 2020), suggesting that temperature may generally influence key parameters underlying *Wolbachia* infection frequencies.

What underlies variable *wPig* frequencies in nature? High *wPig* frequencies and the presence of three divergent sets of *cifs* suggest, but do not confirm, that *wPig* causes strong CI. It seems plausible that some or all of these loci were horizontally acquired (Cooper *et al.*, 2019), but additional sequence data are required to test this. We hypothesize that variable *wPig* transmission rates contribute to the frequency variation we observe, potentially due to environmental effects on titer, as observed for *wYak* (Hague *et al.*, 2020). Temporal variation in transmission was also observed for *wRi* between two samples of *D. simulans* collected from Ivanhoe, California in April and November of 1993 (Turelli and Hoffmann, 1995; Carrington *et al.*, 2011), although the relative stability of *wRi* frequencies in global *D. simulans* populations suggests that its transmission persists across a range of environmental conditions. Additional analyses of *Wolbachia* titer and transmission in the field, and across environmental contexts, are needed to better understand the causes of *Wolbachia* frequency variation. Comparing the titer and transmission of *Wolbachia* that occur at different frequencies in nature—for example, those that do and do not cause intense CI—would be particularly useful.

Data Accessibility Statement

All data will be uploaded to DRYAD or GenBank upon acceptance.

Competing Interests Statement

We declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions Section

Timothy B. Wheeler: Data curation, Investigation, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Vinton Thompson:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **William R. Conner:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Brandon S. Cooper:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Thorne and A.G. Dale for *P. bicincta* collections and D. McVicar and F. Selchin for access to the Wonaloncet site. Michael Turelli provided comments that greatly improved an earlier draft. We also thank M. Hague, D. Shropshire, and K. Van Vaerenberghe for very helpful comments. Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award number R35GM124701 to B.S.C., and by the University of Montana Genomics Core.

References

- Ahmed, M. Z. *et al.* (2015) ‘The intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia* uses parasitoid wasps as phoretic vectors for efficient horizontal transmission’, *PLoS Pathogens*, 11(2), p. e1004672. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004672.
- Altschul, S. F. *et al.* (1990) ‘Basic local alignment search tool’, *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215(3), pp. 403–410. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- Anderson, M. L., Rustin, R. C. and Eremeeva, M. E. (2019) ‘Pilot survey of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) from southeastern Georgia, USA for *Wolbachia* and *Rickettsia felis* (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae)’, *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases*, 56(2), pp. 92–97. doi: 10.4103/0972-9062.263714.
- Baldo, L. *et al.* (2006) ‘Multilocus sequence typing system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*’, *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 72(11), pp. 7098–7110.
- Bandi, C. *et al.* (1998) ‘Phylogeny of *Wolbachia* in filarial nematodes’, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 265(1413), pp. 2407–2413.
- Barton, N. and Turelli, M. (2011) ‘Spatial waves of advance with bistable dynamics: cytoplasmic and genetic analogues of Allee effects’, *The American Naturalist*, 178(3), pp. E48–E75.
- Beckmann, J. F., Ronau, J. A. and Hochstrasser, M. (2017) ‘A *Wolbachia* deubiquitylating enzyme induces cytoplasmic incompatibility’, *Nature Microbiology*, 2(5), p. 17007.
- Bing, X.-L. *et al.* (2020) ‘Genomic analysis of *Wolbachia* from *Laodelphax striatellus* (Delphacidae, Hemiptera) reveals insights into its “Jekyll and Hyde” mode of infection pattern’, *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 12(2), pp. 3818–3831. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evaa006.
- Braig, H. R. *et al.* (1998) ‘Cloning and characterization of a gene encoding the major surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*’, *Journal of Bacteriology*, 180(9), pp. 2373–2378. doi: 10.1128/JB.180.9.2373-2378.1998.
- Brownlie, J. C. *et al.* (2009) ‘Evidence for metabolic provisioning by a common invertebrate endosymbiont, *Wolbachia pipientis*, during periods of nutritional stress’, *PLoS Pathogens*, 5(4), p. e1000368.
- Carrington, L. B. *et al.* (2011) ‘A re-examination of *Wolbachia*-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility in California *Drosophila simulans*’, *PLoS One*, 6(7), p. e22565.
- Carvalho, G. S. and Webb, M. D. (2005) *Cercopid spittle bugs of the New World: Hemiptera, Auchenorrhyncha, Cercopidae*. 1. ed. Sofia Moscow: Pensoft (Pensoft series faunistica, 49).
- Caspari, E. and Watson, G. (1959) ‘On the evolutionary importance of cytoplasmic sterility in mosquitoes’, *Evolution*, 13(4), pp. 568–570.

514 Cattel, J. *et al.* (2016) ‘*Wolbachia* in European populations of the invasive pest *Drosophila*
515 *suzukii*: regional variation in infection frequencies’, *PLoS One*, 11(1), p. e0147766.

516 Chrostek, E. *et al.* (2017) ‘Horizontal transmission of intracellular insect symbionts via plants’,
517 *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02237.

518 Conner, W. R. *et al.* (2017) ‘Genome comparisons indicate recent transfer of wRi-like
519 *Wolbachia* between sister species *Drosophila suzukii* and *D. subpulchrella*’, *Ecology and*
520 *Evolution*, 7(22), pp. 9391–9404.

521 Cooper, B. S. *et al.* (2017) ‘*Wolbachia* in the *Drosophila yakuba* complex: pervasive frequency
522 variation and weak cytoplasmic incompatibility, but no apparent effect on reproductive
523 isolation’, *Genetics*, 205(1), pp. 333–351.

524 Cooper, B. S. *et al.* (2019) ‘*Wolbachia* acquisition by *Drosophila yakuba*-clade hosts and
525 transfer of incompatibility loci between distantly related *Wolbachia*’, *Genetics*, 212(4), pp.
526 1399–1419.

527 Coyne, J. A. and Orr, H. A. (2004) *Speciation*. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.

528 Fagan, E. B. and Kuitert, L. C. (1969) ‘Biology of the Two-Lined Spittlebug, *Prosapia bicincta*,
529 on Florida Pastures (Homoptera: Cercopidae)’, *The Florida Entomologist*, 52(3), pp. 199–206.
530 doi: 10.2307/3493854.

531 Foottit, R. G., Maw, E. and Hebert, P. D. N. (2014) ‘DNA barcodes for Nearctic
532 Auchenorrhyncha (Insecta: Hemiptera)’, *PloS One*, 9(7), p. e101385. doi:
533 10.1371/journal.pone.0101385.

534 Fredericksen, M. A. *et al.* (2017) ‘Three-dimensional visualization and a deep-learning model
535 reveal complex fungal parasite networks in behaviorally manipulated ants’, *Proceedings of the*
536 *National Academy of Sciences*, 114(47), pp. 12590–12595. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1711673114.

537 Gerth, M. and Bleidorn, C. (2017) ‘Comparative genomics provides a timeframe for *Wolbachia*
538 evolution and exposes a recent biotin synthesis operon transfer’, *Nature Microbiology*, 2(3), p.
539 16241.

540 Gould, A. L. *et al.* (2018) ‘Microbiome interactions shape host fitness’, *Proceedings of the*
541 *National Academy of Sciences*, 115(51), pp. E11951–E11960. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1809349115.

542 Hague, M. T. *et al.* (2020) ‘Environmental and genetic contributions to imperfect wMel-like
543 *Wolbachia* transmission and frequency variation’, *Genetics*, 215(4), pp. 1117–1132.

544 Hague, M. T., Caldwell, C. N. and Cooper, B. S. (2020) ‘Pervasive effects of *Wolbachia* on host
545 temperature preference’, *mBio*, 11(5).

546 Hamilton, K. G. A. (1977) ‘Review of the world species of *Prosapia* Fennah (Rhynchota:
547 Homoptera: Cercopidae)’, *The Canadian Entomologist*, 109(4), pp. 621–630. doi:
548 10.4039/Ent109621-4.

549 Hamilton, K. G. A. (1982) *The spittlebugs of Canada: Homoptera--Cercopidae*. Ottawa, Ont:
550 Biosystematics Research Institute : Canadian Govt. Pub. Centre, Supply and Services Canada
551 [distributor] (The Insects and arachnids of Canada, pt. 10).

552 Hamm, C. A. *et al.* (2014) ‘*Wolbachia* do not live by reproductive manipulation alone: infection
553 polymorphism in *Drosophila suzukii* and *D. subpulchrella*’, *Molecular Ecology*, 23(19), pp.
554 4871–4885.

555 Hoffmann, A. A., Clancy, D. and Duncan, J. (1996) ‘Naturally-occurring *Wolbachia* infection in
556 *Drosophila simulans* that does not cause cytoplasmic incompatibility’, *Heredity*, 76(1), pp. 1–8.

557 Hoffmann, A. A. and Turelli, M. (1997) ‘Cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects’, in O’Neill, S.
558 L., Hoffmann, A. A., and Werren, J. H. (eds) *Influential passengers: inherited microorganisms
559 and arthropod reproduction*. Oxford University Press, pp. 42–80.

560 Hoffmann, A. A., Turelli, M. and Harshman, L. G. (1990) ‘Factors affecting the distribution of
561 cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*.’, *Genetics*, 126(4), pp. 933–948.

562 Höhna, S. *et al.* (2016) ‘RevBayes: Bayesian phylogenetic inference using graphical models and
563 an interactive model-specification language’, *Systematic Biology*, 65(4), pp. 726–736.

564 Hughes *et al.* (2011) ‘Variable infection frequency and high diversity of multiple strains of
565 *Wolbachia pipientis* in *Perkinsiella planthoppers*’, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*,
566 77(6), pp. 2165–2168. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02878-10.

567 Hughes, G. *et al.* (2011) ‘*Wolbachia* infections in *Anopheles gambiae* cells: transcriptomic
568 characterization of a novel host-symbiont interaction’, *PLoS pathogens*, 7(2), p. e1001296.

569 Huigens, M. E. *et al.* (2000) ‘Infectious parthenogenesis’, *Nature*, 405(6783), pp. 178–179. doi:
570 10.1038/35012066.

571 Hurst, G. D. and Jiggins, F. M. (2000) ‘Male-killing bacteria in insects: mechanisms, incidence,
572 and implications.’, *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 6(4), pp. 329–336.

573 Jaenike, J. *et al.* (2006) ‘Asymmetrical Reinforcement and *Wolbachia* Infection in *Drosophila*’,
574 *PLoS Biology*, 4(10), p. e325. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040325.

575 Kapantaidaki, D. E. *et al.* (2021) ‘Genetic and endosymbiotic diversity of Greek populations of
576 *Philaenus spumarius*, *Philaenus signatus* and *Neophilaenus campestris*, vectors of *Xylella
577 fastidiosa*’, *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), p. 3752. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-83109-z.

578 Klasson, L. *et al.* (2008) ‘Genome evolution of *Wolbachia* strain wPip from the *Culex pipiens*
579 group’, *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 25(9), pp. 1877–1887. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msn133.

580 Klasson, L. *et al.* (2009) ‘The mosaic genome structure of the *Wolbachia* wRi strain infecting
581 *Drosophila simulans*’, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(14), pp. 5725–
582 5730.

583 Koga, R. *et al.* (2013) ‘Evolutionary replacement of obligate symbionts in an ancient and diverse
584 insect lineage’, *Environmental Microbiology*, 15(7), pp. 2073–2081. doi:
585 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12121>.

586 Koren, S. *et al.* (2017) ‘Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer
587 weighting and repeat separation’, *Genome Research*, p. gr.215087.116. doi:
588 [10.1101/gr.215087.116](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116).

589 Koren, S. *et al.* (2018) ‘De novo assembly of haplotype-resolved genomes with trio binning’,
590 *Nature Biotechnology*, 36(12), pp. 1174–1182. doi: [10.1038/nbt.4277](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4277).

591 Kriesner, P. *et al.* (2013) ‘Rapid sequential spread of two *Wolbachia* variants in *Drosophila*
592 *simulans*’, *PLoS Pathogens*, 9(9), p. e1003607.

593 Kriesner, P. *et al.* (2016) ‘Persistence of a *Wolbachia* infection frequency cline in *Drosophila*
594 *melanogaster* and the possible role of reproductive dormancy’, *Evolution*, 70(5), pp. 979–997.

595 LePage, D. P. *et al.* (2017) ‘Prophage WO genes recapitulate and enhance *Wolbachia*-induced
596 cytoplasmic incompatibility’, *Nature*, 543(7644), p. 243.

597 Lindsey, A. R. I. *et al.* (2018) ‘Evolutionary genetics of cytoplasmic incompatibility genes cifA
598 and cifB in prophage WO of *Wolbachia*’, *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 10(2), pp. 434–451.
599 doi: [10.1093/gbe/evy012](https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evy012).

600 Lis, A., Maryańska-Nadachowska, A. and Kajtoch, Ł. (2015) ‘Relations of *Wolbachia* infection
601 with phylogeography of *Philaenus spumarius* (Hemiptera: Aphrophoridae) populations within
602 and beyond the Carpathian contact zone’, *Microbial Ecology*, 70(2), pp. 509–521. doi:
603 [10.1007/s00248-015-0570-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-015-0570-2).

604 Loman, N. J., Quick, J. and Simpson, J. T. (2015) ‘A complete bacterial genome assembled de
605 novo using only nanopore sequencing data’, *Nature Methods*, 12(8), pp. 733–735. doi:
606 [10.1038/nmeth.3444](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3444).

607 Martinez, J. *et al.* (2020) ‘Life and death of selfish genes: comparative genomics reveals the
608 dynamic evolution of cytoplasmic incompatibility’, *Molecular Biology and Evolution*,
609 (msaa209). doi: [10.1093/molbev/msaa209](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa209).

610 Matute, D. R. and Cooper, B. S. (2021) ‘Comparative studies on speciation: 30 years since
611 Coyne and Orr’, *Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution*. doi: [10.1111/evo.14181](https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14181).

612 McCutcheon, J. P., Boyd, B. M. and Dale, C. (2019) ‘The life of an insect endosymbiont from
613 the cradle to the grave’, *Current Biology*, 29(11), pp. R485–R495. doi:
614 [10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.032).

615 McFall-Ngai, M. *et al.* (2013) ‘Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life
616 sciences’, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(9), pp. 3229–3236. doi:
617 [10.1073/pnas.1218525110](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218525110).

618 Meany, M. K. *et al.* (2019) ‘Loss of cytoplasmic incompatibility and minimal fecundity effects
619 explain relatively low *Wolbachia* frequencies in *Drosophila mauritiana*’, *Evolution*, 73(6), pp.
620 1278–1295.

621 Miller, W. J., Ehrman, L. and Schneider, D. (2010) ‘Infectious speciation revisited: impact of
622 symbiont-depletion on female fitness and mating behavior of *Drosophila paulistorum*’, *PLoS*
623 *Pathogens*. Edited by C. Parrish, 6(12), p. e1001214. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001214.

624 Nakabachi, A. *et al.* (2020) ‘16S rRNA Sequencing Detected *Profftella*, *Liberibacter*,
625 *Wolbachia*, and *Diplorickettsia* from Relatives of the Asian Citrus Psyllid’, *Microbial Ecology*,
626 80(2), pp. 410–422. doi: 10.1007/s00248-020-01491-z.

627 Nurk, S. *et al.* (2020) ‘HiCanu: accurate assembly of segmental duplications, satellites, and
628 allelic variants from high-fidelity long reads’, *Genome Research*, 30(9), pp. 1291–1305. doi:
629 10.1101/gr.263566.120.

630 O’Neill, S. L. *et al.* (1992) ‘16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts
631 associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects’, *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
632 *Sciences*, 89(7), pp. 2699–2702.

633 Peck, D. C. (1999) ‘Seasonal fluctuations and phenology of *Prosapia* Spittlebugs (Homoptera:
634 Cercopidae) in upland pastures of Costa Rica’, *Environmental Entomology*, 28(3), pp. 372–386.
635 doi: 10.1093/ee/28.3.372.

636 Rambaut, A. *et al.* (2014) *Tracer*. Available at: <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer>.

637 Raychoudhury, R. *et al.* (2009) ‘Modes of acquisition of *Wolbachia*: horizontal transfer, hybrid
638 introgression, and codivergence in the *Nasonia* species complex’, *Evolution*, 63(1), pp. 165–183.

639 Ross, P. A. *et al.* (2017) ‘*Wolbachia* infections in *Aedes aegypti* differ markedly in their response
640 to cyclical heat stress’, *PLoS Pathogens*, 13(1), p. e1006006.

641 Ross, P. A. *et al.* (2020) ‘Heatwaves cause fluctuations in wMel *Wolbachia* densities and
642 frequencies in *Aedes aegypti*’, *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 14(1), p. e0007958. doi:
643 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007958.

644 Schuler, H. *et al.* (2016) ‘The hitchhiker’s guide to Europe: the infection dynamics of an ongoing
645 *Wolbachia* invasion and mitochondrial selective sweep in *Rhagoletis cerasi*’, *Molecular*
646 *Ecology*, 25(7), pp. 1595–1609.

647 Seemann, T. (2014) ‘Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation’, *Bioinformatics*, 30(14), pp.
648 2068–2069.

649 Shoemaker, D. D., Katju, V. and Jaenike, J. (1999) ‘*Wolbachia* and the evolution of reproductive
650 isolation between *Drosophila recens* and *Drosophila subquinaria*’, *Evolution; International*
651 *Journal of Organic Evolution*, 53(4), pp. 1157–1164. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb04529.x.

Shropshire, J. D. *et al.* (2018) ‘One prophage WO gene rescues cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila melanogaster*’, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(19), pp. 4987–4991.

Shropshire, J. D. and Bordenstein, S. R. (2019) ‘Two-By-One model of cytoplasmic incompatibility: Synthetic recapitulation by transgenic expression of cifA and cifB in *Drosophila*’, *PLoS Genetics*, 15(6), p. e1008221. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008221.

Shropshire, J. D., Leigh, B. and Bordenstein, S. R. (2020) ‘Symbiont-mediated cytoplasmic incompatibility: what have we learned in 50 years?’, *eLife*. Edited by D. Weigel, 9, p. e61989. doi: 10.7554/eLife.61989.

Thompson, V. (2004) ‘Associative nitrogen fixation, C₄ photosynthesis, and the evolution of spittlebugs (Hemiptera: Cercopidae) as major pests of neotropical sugarcane and forage grasses’, *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 94(3), pp. 189–200. doi: 10.1079/BER2004293.

Thompson, V. and Carvalho, G. S. (2016) ‘Abrupt geographical transition between aposematic color forms in the spittlebug *Prosapia ignipectus* (Fitch)(Hemiptera: Cercopidae).’, *Psyche*, 2016, p. e3623092. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3623092>.

Thorne, M. S. *et al.* (2018) ‘Two-lined Spittlebug (*Prosapia bicincta* (Say)) in Hawaii’, p. 3.

Toju, H. *et al.* (2013) ‘Diversification of endosymbiosis: replacements, co-speciation and promiscuity of bacteriocyte symbionts in weevils’, *The ISME Journal*, 7(7), pp. 1378–1390. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.27.

Turelli, M. *et al.* (2018) ‘Rapid global spread of wRi-like *Wolbachia* across multiple *Drosophila*’, *Current Biology*, 28(6), pp. 963–971.e8.

Turelli, M. and Hoffmann, A. A. (1991) ‘Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California *Drosophila*’, *Nature*, 353(6343), pp. 440–442.

Turelli, M. and Hoffmann, A. A. (1995) ‘Cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: Dynamics and parameter estimates from natural populations’, *Genetics*, 140(4), pp. 1319–1338.

Turelli, M., Lipkowitz, J. R. and Brandvain, Y. (2014) ‘On the Coyne and Orr-Igin of Species: Effects of intrinsic postzygotic isolation, ecological differentiation, X chromosome size, and sympatry on *Drosophila* speciation’, *Evolution*, 68(4), pp. 1176–1187. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12330>.

Weinert, L. A. *et al.* (2015) ‘The incidence of bacterial endosymbionts in terrestrial arthropods’, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 282(1807), p. 20150249.

Werren, J. H., Baldo, L. and Clark, M. E. (2008) ‘*Wolbachia*: master manipulators of invertebrate biology’, *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6(10), pp. 741–751.

685 Wiwatanaratnabutr, I. (2015) ‘*Wolbachia* infection in leafhoppers and planthoppers: Diversity,
686 density and geographic distribution in tropical rice agroecosystems’, *Journal of Asia-Pacific*
687 *Entomology*, 18(2), pp. 277–282. doi: 10.1016/j.aspen.2015.03.004.

688 Wu, M. *et al.* (2004) ‘Phylogenomics of the reproductive parasite *Wolbachia pipientis* wMel: a
689 streamlined genome overrun by mobile genetic elements’, *PLoS biology*, 2(3), p. e69.

690 Yang, Z. (1994) ‘Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with
691 variable rates over sites: Approximate methods’, *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 39(3), pp.
692 306–314. doi: 10.1007/BF00160154.

693 Zug, R. and Hammerstein, P. (2012) ‘Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: analysis of recent data
694 suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected’, *PLoS One*, 7(6), p. e38544.

695

Supplemental Table 1. Spittlebugs from *Schizachyrium scoparium*, Maine and New Hampshire, August 2019 and 2020

Prosapia ignipectus											Other spittlebug species#				
Locality	Coordinates		Date	Sex	Color form				Total all color forms	Total by locality both sexes	Philaenarcys killa	Lepyronia quadrangularis	Philaenus spumarius		
					Lined*									Black	
					Fully lined	Partially lined	Pronotal line only	Total with any lines							
New Vineyard ME	44 45 14	70 08 01	23-Aug-19	♂	0	0	0	0	12	12	34				
				♀	0	0	0	0	22	22					
			20-Aug-20	♂	0	0	0	0	22	22	68				4
				♀	0	0	0	0	46	46	2				
New Portland ME	44 52 17	70 07 00	23-Aug-19	♂	39	0	0	39	0	39	114				
				♀	75	0	0	75	0	75					
			20-Aug-20	♂	20	1	0	21	0	21	41				
				♀	20	0	0	20	0	20	1				
Strong ME	44 47 08	70 13 42	23-Aug-19	♂	0	0	0	0	6	6	65				
				♀	1	4	1	6	53	59					
			20-Aug-20	♂	0	0	0	0	14	14	32				5
				♀	0	1	0	1	17	18					
Carthage ME	44 36 44	70 28 10	23-Aug-19	♂	6	3	8	17	14	31	169				
				♀	13	38	21	67	66	138					
			20-Aug-20	♂	7	0	9	16	10	26	199				5
				♀	8	34	55	97	76	173	1				
Dixfield ME	44 34 10	70 27 21	20-Aug-20	♂	1	1	2	4	3	11	64		1		
				♀	2	2	7	11	31	53	3				
Weld ME	44 41 27	70 25 30	20-Aug-20	♂	0	0	0	0	11	11	33				
				♀	0	0	0	0	22	22					
Wilton ME	44 37 58	70 18 10	20-Aug-20	♂	0	0	0	0	2	2	27				
				♀	0	0	0	0	25	25	1				
Wonalcet NH	43 54 38	71 21 29	17-Aug-20	♂	0	0	0	0	10	10	32		1		
				♀	0	0	0	0	22	22	1				
Silver Lake NH	43 53 01	71 10 41	9-Aug-20	♂	0	0	0	0	13	13	20	2			
				♀	0	0	0	0	7	7	2				
West Ossipee NH	43 50 15	71 11 20	9-Aug-20	♂	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	33	1		
				♀	0	0	0	0	0	0	48				

*Note 1. Fully lined individuals had a single transverse orange line on the pronotum and two complete lines across the wings. Partially lined individuals were missing one wing line or had one or two interrupted or incomplete wing lines. Pronotum line only individuals lacked wing lines but possessed the pronotal line in full or in partially obscured form.

#Note 2. Other spittlebug species are recorded only for the 2020 collections, which included all specimens in this category tested for the presence of *Wolbachia*.

Supplemental Table 2. PCR primers used in this study

Primer Name	Sequence (5'-- 3')	Reference
Mitochondrial <i>CoI</i>		
<i>LepF</i>	5'-ATTCAACCAATCATAAAGATATTGG-3'	Hebert et al. (2004a)
<i>LepR</i>	5'-TAAACTTCTGGATGTCCAAAAAATCA-3'	Hebert et al. (2004a)
MLST		
<i>coxA_F1</i>	5'-TTGGRGCRATYAACTTTATAG-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>coxA_R1</i>	5'-CTAAAGACTTTKACRCCAGT-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>gatB_F1</i>	5'-GAKTTAAAYCGYGCAGGBGTT-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>gatB_R1</i>	5'-TGGYAAAYTCRGGYAAAGATGA-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>hcpA_F1</i>	5'-GAAATARCAGTTGCTGCAAA-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>hcpA_R1</i>	5'-GAAAGTYRAGCAAGYTCTG-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>fbpA_F1</i>	5'-GCTGCTCCRCTTGGYWTGAT-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>fbpA_R1</i>	5'-CCRCCAGARAAAAYYACTATTC-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>fbpA_F3</i>	5'-GTTAACCCTGATGCYYAYGAYCC-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>fbpA_R3</i>	5'-TCTACTTCCTTYGAYTCDCCRCC-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>wsp_F1</i>	5'-GTCCAATARSTGATGARGAAAC-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>wsp_R1</i>	5'-CYGCACCAAYAGYRCTRTAAA-3'	Baldo et al. 2006
<i>ftsZunif</i>	5'-GGYAARGGTGCRGCAGAAGA-3'	Lo et al. 2002
<i>ftsZunir</i>	5'-ATCRATRCCAGTTGCAAG-3'	Lo et al. 2002
<i>Wolbachia</i> infection screen		
<i>wsp_pcr_F</i>	5'-TGGTCCAATAAGTGATGAAGAAAC-3'	Braig et al. 1998
<i>wsp_pcr_R</i>	5'-AAAAATTAAACGCTACTCCA-3'	Braig et al. 1998
<i>28s_pcr_F</i>	5'-TACCGTGAGGGAAAGTTGAAA-3'	Werren et al. 1995
<i>28s_pcr_R</i>	5'-AGACTCCTTGGTCCGTGTTT-3'	Werren et al. 1995