1	The long-time orphan protist Meringosphaera mediterranea Lohmann, 1902 [1903] is a
2	centrohelid heliozoan
3	
4	Vasily V. Zlatogursky ^{a,c} , Yegor Sh□shkin ^a , Daria Drachko ^{a, b} , Fabien Burki ^{c, d}
5	^a St. Petersburg State University, Department of Invertebrate Zoology, Faculty of Biology, St.
6	Petersburg, 199034, Russia
7	^b Laboratory of Cellular and Molecular Protistology, Zoological Institute RAS, St.
8	Petersburg, 199034, Russia
9	^c Department of Organismal Biology, Systematic Biology Program, Uppsala University,
10	Kåbovägen 4A, SE-75236, Uppsala, Sweden
11	
12	^d Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden
13	
14	
15	Correspondence
16	Vasily V. Zlatogursky, St. Petersburg State University, Department of Invertebrate Zoology,
17	Faculty of Biology, St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia
18	Telephone number: +79030998896, Fax number: +78123289703, e-mail:
19	v.zlatogursky@gmail.com
20	

21

- 22 ABSTRACT. Meringosphaera is an enigmatic marine protist without clear phylogenetic
- affiliation, but it has long been suggested to be a chrysophytes-related autotroph.
- 24 Microscopy-based reports indicate that it has a worldwide distribution, but no sequence data
- 25 exists so far. We obtained the first 18S rDNA sequence for *M. mediterranea* (identified using
- 26 light and electron microscopy) from the West Coast of Sweden. Observations of living cells
- 27 revealed granulated axopodia and up to 6 globular photosynthesizing bodies about 2 μm in
- 28 diameter, the nature of which requires further investigation. The ultrastructure of barbed
- 29 undulating spine scales and patternless plate scales with a central thickening is in agreement
- 30 with previous reports. Molecular phylogenetic analysis placed *M. mediterranea* inside the
- 31 NC5 environmental clade of Centroplasthelida (Haptista) along with additional
- 32 environmental sequences, together closely related to Choanocystidae. This placement is
- 33 supported by similar scales in *Meringosphaera* and Choanocystidae. We searched the Tara
- 34 Oceans 18S-V9 metabarcoding dataset which revealed four OTUs with 95.5-98.5%
- 35 similarity, with oceanic distribution similar to that based on morphological observations. The
- 36 current taxonomic position and species composition of the genus are discussed. The
- 37 planktonic lifestyle of *M. mediterranea* contradicts the view of some authors that centrohelids
- 38 enter the plankton only temporarily.
- 39 Keywords: Centrohelids; External skeleton; Heliozoa; Protists; Systematics; Ultrastructure

40

41 IN 1887, Victor Hensen, a German zoologist and the founder of planktology (Lussenhop 42 1974) reported a finding of what he described as a yellow microscopic plant with rigid 43 flexuous outgrowths (starr gewundenen ausläufer) in the plankton of the Baltic sea (von 44 Hensen 1887). A few years later, a similar organism was found by Lohmann near the coast of 45 Sicily, who erected for it the new genus *Meringosphaera* Lohmann, 1902 [1903]¹ (from 46 greek $\mu \Box \rho \eta \gamma \xi$ - bristle and $\sigma \phi \alpha \Box \rho \alpha$ - ball, globe). According to Lohmann (1902 [1903] p. 47 68), the genus was home to unarmoured (ohne Panzer) green chromatophores-bearing cells 48 without encircling groove (Gürtelfurche), but with long bristles for floating (Schwebborsten). 49 In M. mediterranea—the species that was later fixed as type (Loeblich and Tappan 1963)-50 Lohmann described four chromatophores, which had a peripheral position and a cup-like 51 shape. In contrast to the original description by Hensen, Lohmann reported green, not yellow 52 color of the chromatophores, but he considered this species an alga of undetermined origin 53 (protophyten unsioherer Stellung) (Lohmann 1902 [1903]). In addition to *M. mediterranea*, 54 Lohmann included the description of three additional species. In the following years, a dozen 55 additional species of *Meringosphaera* were described by various authors but due to a vague 56 genus diagnosis it contained a collection of unlikely related forms, most of which were later 57 transferred to other genera (see Silva (1979) for review of the taxonomic history). 58 Nevertheless, the type species—Meringosphaera mediterranea Lohmann, 1902 [1903]—is 59 notable and recognizable even by light microscopy (Leadbeater 1974). It is often reported 60 from marine plankton habitats worldwide (Table S1; Fig. 1). In some regions, it can be one of 61 the most common and abundant planktonic species (LeRoi and Hallegraeff 2006; Thorrington-Smith 1970), reaching the concentration of 8 \times 10⁴ cells 1⁻¹ (Booth et al. 1982). 62 *M. mediterranea* has been reported from the surface down to 125 m deep waters (micrograph 63 64 JRYSEM-305-020 on mikrotax.org) and demonstrated a temperature tolerance from 0 to 65 30 °C (Hallegraeff 1983; Thomsen 1982). 66 Despite the numerous reports of Meringosphaera worldwide, the taxonomic 67 affiliation of the genus has remained mysterious. Wille (1909) classified it within the green 68 algal family Oocystaceae, based on the presence of the green chromatophores and superficial 69 resemblance to the genera Micractinium and Oocystis. Pascher (1912; 1917; 1932) and 70 Schiller (1916; 1925) placed the genus in the yellow-green algal order Heterococcales. This 71 view was mostly based on the observation of two-layered siliceous walls in the cysts of 72 Meringosphaera triseta (Pascher 1917), but this species was later shown to be a diatom 73 (Throndsen and Zingone 1994). Schiller (1916) also studied M. mediterranea and showed 74 that the cells are surrounded by a rigid siliceous shell (Hulle), from which siliceous bristles 75 emerge. Each bristle arises from the low circular cup, located upward on the shell. Norris 76 (1971) studied material from the Indian Ocean and placed Meringosphaera in the family 77 Aurosphaeraceae in Chrysophyceae, based on a "golden tinge" of the living cells. 78 Additionally, Norris provided the first ultrastructural account of the "bristles", which were

¹ The volume with his work was issued with the year '1903' on the title page, but according to bibliographic notes of Oltmanns (1903, column 210), Zschokke (1903, p. 324), Matzdorff (1903a p. 116; 1903b p. 191), Graf zu Solms Laubach and Oltmanns (1904 column 103), and Krumbach (1907 p. 463), the actual year of its issue is 1902.

79 shown to be undulating tapering spine scales with short barbs directed towards the scale apex. 80 The "shell" in turn was described as a layer of overlapping patternless plate scales with a 81 central narrow thickening. Later, this characteristic morphology was observed and confirmed 82 in multiple additional studies of the marine plankton. Leadbeater (1974) supported a 83 chrysophycean affinity based on specimens collected in the Mediterranean sea, noting the 84 similarity of the spine and plate scales with those of the chrysophyte *Chrysophaerella* spp. 85 Parke performed staining of the cell with dilute cresyl blue, which resulted in a rose-red color 86 suggesting the presence of chrysolaminarin reserve products, again supporting a chrysophyte 87 affiliation (personal communication of M. W. Parke in Leadbeater 1974). Moestrup (1979) 88 found similar siliceous scales near the coast of New Zealand, which he also interpreted as 89 chrysophycean affinities. 90 In the end of the XX century several authors expressed some doubt on the algal nature

91 of *Meringosphaera* and suggested its possible relationship with centrohelid heliozoans. 92 Thomsen was the first author to mention striking similarities between the scales of 93 *Meringosphaera* with the siliceous scales of centrohelid heliozoans, particularly those of 94 *Choanocystis perpusilla*—one of the first ultrastructurally studied (Petersen and Hansen 95 1960) centrohelids (personal communication of Thomsen in Moestrup (1979 pp. 65, 92). 96 Dürrschmidt (1985) published an extensive study of the centrohelid scales and also noted that 97 the morphology of *Meringosphaera* plate and spine scales "indicates close affinities to the 98 heliozoa". A similar view was expressed by Vørs (1992), who initially also suggested a 99 relationship to the centrohelid *Choanocystis* based on the scale similarity. However, in a later 100 report, Vørs and co-authors (1995) listed Meringosphaera among incertae sedis taxa and 101 only vaguely referred to this organism as heliozoan-like heterotroph. The "chromatophores" 102 were interpreted by Vørs as colored food vacuoles after preying on algae, not actual 103 organelles. Ikävalko and Gradinger (1997) also reported a heterotrophic and centrohelid-104 related nature of this organism based on their observation of colorless living cells with no 105 detectable chlorophyll, even when studied by epifluorescence microscopy with blue light 106 excitation.

107 However, in most of the more recent publications, the suggestions of heliozoan-108 related nature were dismissed and *Meringosphaera* is referred to as chrysophyte (Fragoso 109 2016; Hasle and Heimdal 1998; LeRoi and Hallegraeff 2006; Liu and Chen 2015; Percopo et 110 al. 2011; Scott and Marchant 2005; Viličić et al. 2002) or xanthophyte (Cărăuş 2002), 111 although sometimes also as *incertae sedis* taxon (Adl et al. 2005, 2012, 2018; Bergesch et al. 112 2008; Bosak et al. 2012). In order to clarify the systematic position of *M. mediterranea*, we 113 obtained the first 18S rDNA sequences for the genus based on several individual cells 114 collected on the West Coast of Sweden, and combined these to microscopic observations of 115 living cells and transmission electron microscopy. We unambiguously shown that 116 Meringosphaera belong to centrohelids, specifically to the environmental marine clade NC5. 117 118 **MATERIAL AND METHODS** 119

- 120 Sampling and cell isolation
- 121

122 The material for this study was obtained from marine samples collected at two stations along 123 the West Coast of Sweden: Anholt East (N 56°40'00" E 12°07'00") and Å17 (N 58°16'29" E 124 10°30'47"). Samples were collected on 17.10.2018 at Anholt, and on 11.11.2018 and 125 07.12.2018 at Å17 by SMHI (Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute) on the R/V 126 Aranda, simply by collecting about 1 liter of surface water with a bucket. The water salinity 127 at the sampling sites was around 27 psu at Anholt E and 33 psu at Å17. The water 128 temperature was around 13 degrees in October, 10 degrees in November and around 8 129 degrees in December. The samples were transported to the laboratory on cooling packs in a 130 foam plastic box. In the laboratory, the samples were passed through a $5-15 \,\mu\text{m}$ pore size 131 paper membrane (VWR, Cat No. 516-0813) by gravity filtration to avoid damaging the cells-132 *M. mediterranea* cells are very fragile. The filters were washed in a 60 mm plastic Petri dish 133 with 10 ml of filter-sterilized marine water. The dishes were scanned for characteristic M. 134 *mediterranea* morphology using a 40 \times lense of the Nikon Eclipse Ts2R inverted 135 microscope, equipped with phase contrast. The detected cells were photographed with a 136 Nikon D5300 camera and collected with a tapered Pasteur pipette. In general, we observed 137 between 10 and 50 Meringosphaera cells per dish using this approach. The collected cells 138 were placed on a glass slide for microscopy or frozen in 200 µl PCR tubes for molecular 139 experiments. The DIC and fluorescent images were obtained from temporary preparations 140 observed with Leica DMRXE microscope. 141 142 **Electron microscopy** 143 144 Preparation of the scales for scanning electron microscopy was conducted according to 145 Zlatogursky (2014). The cells were air-dried on the surface of a coverslip. The coverslips 146 were washed with distilled water, attached to specimen stubs, gold-coated and observed with 147 a Zeiss Auriga working station operated at 5 kV. Scales were measured in EM images. 148 149 Genome amplification and PCR

150

151 Frozen single cells in PCR tubes were thawed and subjected to lysis and multiple 152 displacement amplification (MDA) using the REPLI-g UltraFast Mini kit (Qiagen) following 153 the manufacturer's instructions. The product of the MDA reactions were 10X diluted and 154 used as templates in PCR amplification of the 18S rDNA gene using broad eukaryotic primers: PF1 5'-TGCGCTACCTGGTTGATCCTGCC-3' (Keeling 2002) and FAD4 5'-155 156 TGATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTAC-3' (Deane et al. 1998; Medlin et al. 1988). One of the 157 obtained PCR products was purified with ExoProStar 1-Step kit (GE Healthcare US77702) 158 and Sanger-sequenced directly at Macrogen (Netherlands). The obtained sequence was 159 deposited in GenBank under accession number $\frac{\#\#\#\#\#\#\#}{\#}$ (to be inserted prior to publication). 160 161 **Phylogenetic analyses**

162

2

The sequence was quality-checked for ambiguous bases in Chromas Pro, and
manually aligned using SeaView v. 4.3.5 (Gouy et al. 2010) on an available alignment

165 including a broad diversity of eukaryotes. Then 1531 unambiguously aligned positions were

- 166 selected for phylogenetic analysis. Initial Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses indicated that
- 167 *M. mediterranea* is a centrohelid, thus in subsequent analyses we included a broad diversity
- 168 of sequences for this group. The final tree reconstruction was done using RAxML v. 8
- 169 (Stamatakis 2014), using the GTR model and 4 gamma categories to take into account across
- 170 sites rate heterogeneity, after model selection in Modeltest (Posada and Crandall 1998).
- 171 Assessment of clade support was performed with bootstrap resampling using 1,000 replicates.
- 172

173 Search against TARA

174

The search against Tara oceans OTU 18S V9 v. 2 database was performed using the Ocean
Barcode Atlas website (http://oba.mio.osupytheas.fr/), using our complete 18S rDNA as a

- 177 query and the vsearch algorithm with 98% similarity threshold.
- 178
- 179 **RESULTS**
- 180

181 Light microscopy

182

183 The light microscopic description is mostly based on material collected from Anholt 184 sampling site. The observed *M. mediterranea* cells were 4–9 µm in diameter, typically with 185 6–9 prominent axopodia and up to 13 undulating spine scales per optical section (Fig. 2C, D). 186 Axopodia were distinctively granulated, $6-16 \,\mu m$ long, usually exceeding the cell diameter 187 by 1.5–2 times. Cells without visible axopodia were sometimes also observed. The cells were 188 always motionless, passively attached to the substratum or floating. All the specimens 189 observed had a yellow-greenish tinge, containing several globular photosynthesizing bodies. 190 One cell was squeezed with a coverslip, which revealed 6 distinct photosynthesizing bodies 191 of about 2 µm in diameter (Fig. 2A). The chlorophyll autofluorescence emanating from the 192 photosynthesizing bodies was clearly visible when subjected to fluorescence microscopy with 193 blue excitation and green-red emission (Fig. 2B).

194

195 Electron microscopy

196

197 The skeletal elements of the cells (plate scales and spine scales) from Å17 (mostly) as well as 198 from Anholt sampling points were studied with scanning electron microscopy (Fig. 3). The 199 spine scales were typically 16–25 μ m long, but in one case a single 31 μ m giant spine scale 200 was observed. The shaft of each scale with 9–13 undulations was covered with barbs (Fig. 201 3A, B). Usually, there were 1-3 longer barbs, about twice longer than the shaft diameter at 202 the proximal part of the scale (Fig. 3C) and multiple (15–24) shorter barbs distributed in a 203 helicoidal pattern along the whole scale length. Shorter barbs were flattened, triangular, and 204 slightly curved in the direction of the scale tip. Spine scale shafts were hollow inside with 205 internal septa, which seemed to be located at the inflection points between undulations. The 206 bases of the spine scales were convex, with a single indentation and multiple (10–13) short

teeth along the margin. The plate scales were patternless, oval $1.8-3.2 \times 1.4-2.1 \mu m$, with a short central thickening.

209

210 Molecular phylogeny

211

212 A good quality sequence of the 18S rDNA gene of 1720 bp was obtained from SAG SC462 213 from Anholt, which we considered the first sequence for the genus *Meringosphaera* (see 214 discussion). A comparison in GenBank by BLASTn for highly similar sequences returned 215 only unnamed environmental sequences belonging to centrohelids. The best environmental 216 hit was KF130174 with 99.65% similarity and the best named hit - Chlamydaster sterni 217 KY857824 with 93.62% similarity. In order to place this sequence in a phylogenetic context, 218 a tree reconstruction including a broad selection of centrohelid taxa was performed. This 219 analysis recovered a moderately supported position (81% bootstrap support) of the sequence 220 within the NC5 environmental clade of Pterocystida (Fig. 4), following the environmental 221 clade nomenclature of Cavalier-Smith and Chao (2012) and Sh I shkin et al. (2018). The NC5 222 clade included several additional environmental sequences (of a marine plankton origin 223 wherever specified) and was sister to the Ch1 clade, containing the closest group with named 224 species Choanocystidae.

225

226 Metabarcoding dataset search

227

228 We investigated the oceanic distribution of the Meringosphaera sequence derived from SAG 229 SC462. A search against the Tara Oceans 18S V9 v. 2 metabarcoding dataset returned four 230 hits (OTUs 18Sv.9-v.2 346365; 18Sv.9-v.2 557845; 18Sv.9-v.2 1004; 18Sv.9-v.2 35493), 231 which had a global distribution (Fig. 5), maximal relative abundances in surface waters, but 232 were also quite common in the vicinity of the deep chlorophyll maximum. In general, these OTUs had a low relative abundance, representing at most 2.33e⁻³. In the mesopelagic zone, 233 234 the OTUs were less abundant but still present worldwide. The temperature tolerance range 235 was high, varying from 2 to 33 \Box , while salinity tolerance was quite narrow, varying between 236 32 and 41 ppt and never below 28 ppt (Fig. 5). This matched our own observation that 237 Meringosphaera occurred frequently on the Swedish West coast where the salinity is at about 238 33 ‰, but could never be detected in samples from the Baltic Sea proper with a much lower 239 salinity. The OTUs were found in all the size fractions, except the finest (0.8–3 μ m). 240

241 **DISCUSSION**

242

243 The morphological analysis by light microscopy and the ultrastructure of the specimens

studied strongly suggests that these organisms correspond to *Meringosphaera mediterranea*.

245 Most of the species described under the genus name Meringosphaera have eventually found

their homes in other genera, considered synonymous or too distinct and/or poorly described

to safely keep them in the genus (Table 1). In fact, Silva (1979) proposed that the species

248 diversity of the genus *Meringosphaera* should be restricted to *M. mediterranea* and *M.*

249 aculeata Pascher, 1932. M. aculeata, despite the considerable similarity to M. mediterranea,

250 is distinct in having fewer undulations per scale and very long barbs, which Wulff (1919) was 251 able to detect with light microscopy. His fig. 14 taf. II is in a good agreement with type 2 252 scales on scanning electron micrographs (fig. 4 and 5 of Norris (1971)), as well as with the 253 micrograph JRYSEM-317-330 published on the microtax.org website. Other micrographs, 254 published under the name *M. mediterranea* are quite heterogeneous and probably represent 255 several closely related species. For example, the morphotype with stellate base of the spine 256 scale is very distinctive (fig. 3 and 8 of Norris (1971); fig. 2 of Vørs and co-authors (1995); 257 micrograph JRYSEM-260-16 on microtax.org). The cells in our study were similar to typical 258 M. mediterranea as in fig. 1 of Norris (1971); Plate 4 B–F of Leadbeater (1974); fig. 6 of 259 Vørs (1992) and many other published micrographs, both by details of the ultrastructure and 260 morphometric characters.

261 The new *M. mediterranea* sequence was clearly positioned in the environmental NC5 262 group in centrohelids. In total, the NC5 group now contains 12 environmental sequences in 263 GenBank, all marine, in addition to the new Meringosphaera 18S rDNA sequence. Our 264 phylogeny also suggested a weak relationship to Ch1 - a mostly environmental clade with 265 only two morphologically characterized sequences, representing the family Choanocystidae. 266 Although this relationship requires confirmation due to the low bootstrap support, it is in 267 agreement with the view of some authors (Moestrup 1979; Vørs 1992) who emphasized the 268 similarity in the scale structure between *M. mediterranea* and *Choanocystis* spp. Since 269 Choanocystis is a very species-rich genus with 18 described species (Mikrjukov 1995; 270 Tihonenkov and Mylnikov 2010; Zlatogursky 2010, 2014), only two of which have been 271 sequenced (Sh \square shkin et al. 2018), it is possible that some of the morphotypes attributed to 272 *Choanocystis* actually belong to the NC5 clade. This is even more probable for the four 273 exclusively marine species. For example, Choanocystis antarctica Tihonenkov et Mylnikov, 274 2010 is one of the best candidates to be closely related to *Meringosphaera* since it also has a 275 typical barb on each spine scale and each spine scale in this species possesses a single 276 undulation.

277 The search using the new *M. mediterranea* sequence against the Tara Oceans 18S V9 278 v. 2 database returned four OTUs with > 98% similarity, which we attribute to the same 279 species. The geographic distribution of these OTUs is in good agreement with the 280 morphology-based reports of *M. mediterranea*, confirming that this species is a global 281 member of the oceanic plankton communities (compare Fig. 1 and Fig. 5). The finding of a 282 global planktonic centrohelid species is at odds with the idea that centrohelids are only 283 temporarily found in the water column. Mikrjukov (2002) argued that centrohelids are 284 permanent important consumers in both freshwater and marine benthic communities but are 285 only temporarily playing key ecological roles in the plankton, usually twice a year for a 286 month. The common occurrence of *M. mediterranea* in many localities instead indicates that 287 some centrohelids at least represent permanent members of planktonic communities. Our 288 Tara Oceans search also confirmed a broad temperature tolerance as noted by Hallegraeff 289 (1983), but a salinity tolerance restricted to oceanic values (Fig. 6). Finally, the vertical 290 profile of Meringosphaera-related OTUs showed a distribution from the surface to mesopelagic zone, with relative abundance up to 2.27e⁻³ at deep chlorophyll maximum, which 291 292 may be correlated to the presence of photosynthetic bodies in this species (Fig. 5, 6). The 293 nature of these photosynthetic bodies is one of the most outstanding questions regarding

Meringosphaera, but unfortunately we failed to obtain sequence data that could help identify
 their origin. These bodies could correspond to transient associations such as kleptoplasts or
 facultative symbionts, stable endosymbionts, or even permanent photosynthetic organelles.

297 Regardless of the final answer on these bodies, here we've undoubtedly placed an important

298 player in the marine planktonic ecosystem to its correct phylogenetic home.

299

300 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

301

This study was supported by a scholarship from Carl Tryggers Stiftelse to VZ (PI: FB) and the Russian Science Foundation grant no. 20-74-10068. Equipment of the core facility centers

304 "Resource Center for Nanotechnology", "Development of molecular and cell technologies",
 305 "Computing center SPbU" and "Culturing of microorganisms" of Saint Petersburg State

306 University was applied. FB was supported by a grant from Science for Life Laboratory.

306 University was applied. FB was supported by a grant from Science for Life Laboratory.

307 Authors are grateful to Ann-Turi Skjevik for providing SMHI samples.

308

309 LITERATURE CITED

310

311	Adl, S.M., Simpson, A.G.B., Farmer, M.A., Andersen, R.A., Anderson, R.A., Barta, J.,
312	Bowser, S.S., Brugerolle, G., Fensome, R., Fredericq, S., James, T.Y., Karpov, S.A.,
313	Kugrens, P., Krug, J., Lane, C., Lewis, L.A., Lodge, J., Lynn, D.H., Mann, D.,
314	McCourt, R.M., Mendoza, L., Moestrup, O., Mozeley-Standridge, S.E., Nerad, T.A.,
315	Shearer, C., Smirnov, A.V., Spiegel, F. & Taylor, F.J.R. 2005. The new higher level
316	classification of eukaryotes with emphasis on the taxonomy of protists. J. Eukaryot.
317	Microbiol., 52: 399–451.
318	Adl, S.M., Simpson, A.G.B., Lane, C.E., Lukeš, J., Bass, D., Bowser, S.S., Brown, M.W.,

- Adi, S.M., Shipson, A.O.D., Eale, C.E., Eukes, J., Bass, D., Bowser, S.S., Brown, M. W.,
 Burki, F., Dunthorn, M., Hampl, V., Heiss, A., Hoppenrath, M., Lara, E., le Gall, L.,
 Lynn, D.H., McManus, H., Mitchell, E.A.D., Mozley-Stanridge, S.E., Parfrey, L.W.,
 Pawlowski, J., Rueckert, S., Shadwick, L., Schoch, C.L., Smirnov, A. & Spiegel,
 F.W. 2012. The Revised Classification of Eukaryotes. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 59:
 429–514.
- Adl, S.M., Bass, D., Lane, C.E., Lukeš, J., Schoch, C.L., Smirnov, A., Agatha, S., Berney, C.,
 Brown, M.W., Burki, F., Cárdenas, P., Čepička, I., Chistyakova, L., Del Campo, J.,
 Dunthorn, M., Edvardsen, B., Eglit, Y., Guillou, L., Hampl, V., Heiss, A.A.,
- 327 Hoppenrath, M., James, T.Y., Karpov, S., Kim, E., Kolisko, M., Kudryavtsev, A.,
- Lahr, D.J.G., Lara, E., Le Gall, L., Lynn, D.H., Mann, D.G., Massana I Molera, R.,
- Mitchell, E.A.D., Morrow, C., Park, J.S., Pawlowski, J.W., Powell, M.J., Richter,
 D.J., Rueckert, S., Shadwick, L., Shimano, S., Spiegel, F.W., Torruella I Cortes, G.,
 Youssef, N., Zlatogursky, V. & Zhang, O. 2018. Revisions to the Classification,
- Youssef, N., Zlatogursky, V. & Zhang, Q. 2018. Revisions to the Classification,
 Nomenclature, and Diversity of Eukaryotes. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, 66: 4–119.
- Bergesch, M., Odebrecht, C. & Moestrup, Ø. 2008. Nanoflagellates from coastal waters of
 southern Brazil (32°S). *Bot. Mar.*, 51: 35–50.
- Booth, B.C., Lewin, J. & Norris, R.E. 1982. Nanoplankton species predominant in the
 subarctic Pacific in May and June 1978. *Deep Sea Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 29:
 185–200.
- Bosak, S., Ljubešić, Z. & Viličić, D. 2012. SEM examination of fine structure of
 Meringosphaera mediterranea, a microalga of enigmatic taxonomic position. Croat.
 Microsc. Symp. Book Abstr. 42.
- 341 Cărăuş, I. 2002. Algae of Romania. Stud. Şi Cercet. Univ. Bacau Biol., 7: 1–694.

342 343	Cavalier-Smith, T. & Chao, E.EY. 2012. <i>Oxnerella micra</i> sp. n. (Oxnerellidae fam. n.), a tiny naked centrohelid, and the diversity and evolution of heliozoa. <i>Protist</i> , 163: 574–
344	601.
345	Deane, J.A., Hill, D.R.A., Brett, S.J. & McFadden, G.I. 1998. Hanusia phi gen. et sp. nov.
346 347	(Cryptophyceae): characterization of ' <i>Cryptomonas</i> sp. Φ.' <i>Eur. J. Phycol.</i> , 33: 149–154.
348	Dürrschmidt, M. 1985, Electron microscopic observations on scales of species of the genus
349	Acanthocystis (Centrohelidia, Heliozoa) from Chile, I. <i>Arch Protistenkd</i> , 129: 55–87.
350 351	555–568.
352	Fragoso, G.M. 2016. Biogeography of spring phytoplankton communities from the Labrador
353 354	Sea: drivers, trends, ecological traits and biogeochemical implications. Dissertation. University of Southampton, 187 p.
355	Gouv M. Guindon S. & Gascuel O. 2010. SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical
356	user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. <i>Mol. Biol. Evol.</i> ,
357	2/1221-224.
358 359	62 (6/7): columns 101–105.
360	Hallegraeff G M 1983 Scale-bearing and Loricate Nanoplankton from the East Australian
361	Current Bot Mar 26: 493–516
362	Hasle G R & Heimdal B R 1998 The net phytoplankton in Kongsfjorden Svalbard July
363	1988 with general remarks on species composition of arctic phytoplankton <i>Polar</i>
364	<i>Res.</i> , 17: 31–52.
365	Ikävalko, J. & Gradinger, R. 1997. Flagellates and heliozoans in the Greenland Sea ice
366	studied alive using light microscopy. <i>Polar Biol.</i> , 17: 473–481.
367	Keeling, P.J. 2002. Molecular phylogenetic position of <i>Trichomitopsis termopsidis</i>
368	(Parabasalia) and evidence for the Trichomitopsiinae. Eur. J. Protistol., 38: 279–286.
369	Krumbach, T. 1907. Hydroidea und Acalephae (mit Ausschluss der Siphonophora) für
370	1901—1903. Archiv für Naturgeschichte (Abteilung B), 67(3): 423-568.¶
371	Leadbeater, B. 1974. Ultrastructural observations on nanoplankton collected from the coast of
372	Jugoslavia and the Bay of Algiers. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K., 54: 179–196.
373	LeRoi, JM. & Hallegraeff, G.M. 2006. Scale-bearing nanoflagellates from southern
374	Tasmanian coastal waters, Australia. II. Species of chrysophyceae (Chrysophyta),
375	prymnesiophyceae (Haptophyta, excluding Chrysochromulina) and prasinophyceae
376	(Chlorophyta). Bot. Mar., 49: 216–235.
377	Liu, H. & Chen, R. 2015. New Recorded Phytoplankton Species of Meringosphaera
378	mediterranea Lohmann (Chrysophyceae) in the Yellow Sea. J. Yantai Univ. Nat. Sci.
379	<i>Eng.</i> , 4: 300–302.
380	Loeblich, A.R. & Tappan, H. 1963. Type fixation and validation of certain calcareous
381	nannoplankton genera. Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington, 76: 191–196.
382	Lohmann, H. 1902[1903]. Neue Untersuchungen über den Reichthum des Meeres an
383	Plankton und über die Brauchbarkeit der verschiedenen Fangmethoden. Zugleich auch
384	ein Beitrag zur Kenntniss des Mittelmeerauftriebs. In: Wissenschaftl.
385	Meeresontersuchung. herausgeg. v. d. Kommiss. zur Untersuch, d. deutschen Meere
386	in Kiel und d. Biol. Anstalt auf Helgoland. Abteilung Kiel. N. F. 7:1–86.¶
387	Lohmann, H. 1913. Über Coccolithophoriden. Verh. Dtsch. Zool. Ges., 23: 143-164.
388	Lohmann, H. 1908. Untersuchungen zur Feststellung des vollständigen Gehaltes des Meeres
389	an Plankton. Wiss. Meeresuntersuch. Kiel. 10: 129–370.
390	Lussenhop, J. 1974. Victor Hensen and the Development of Sampling Methods in Ecology. J.
391	Hist. Biol., 7: 319–337.

392	Matzdorff, C. 1903a. Jahresbericht über die Bryozoen für 1899-1902. Archiv für
393	Naturgeschichte (Abteilung B), 63(2-3): 97–146.¶
394	Matzdorff, C. 1903b. Jahresbericht über die Tunicaten für 1899-1902. Archiv für
395	Naturgeschichte (Abteilung B), 63 (2-3): 147–216.¶
396	Medlin, L., Elwood, H.J., Stickel, S. & Sogin, M.L. 1988. The characterization of
397	enzymatically amplified eukaryotic 16S-like rRNA-coding regions. Gene, 71: 491–
398	499.
399	Mikrjukov, K.A. 1995. Revision of the species composition of the genus <i>Choanocystis</i>
400	(Sarcodina Centroheliozoa) and its fauna in eastern Europe. Zool. Zh., 74: 3-16.
401	Mikrjukov, K.A. 2002. Centrohelid heliozoans (Centroheliozoa). KMK Scientific Press,
402	Moscow.
403	Moestrup, Ø. 1979. Identification by electron microscopy of marine nanoplankton from New
404	Zealand, including the description of four new species. N. Z. J. Bot., 17: 61–95.
405	Norris, R.E. 1971. Extant siliceous microalgae from the Indian Ocean. In: Farinacci, A. (Ed.),
406	Proceedings of the II Planktonic Conference Roma 1970. Presented at the II
407	Planktonic Conference, Edizioni Tecnoscienza. 911–919.
408	Oltmanns, F. 1903. Lozéron, H., La repartition verticale du Plancton dans le lac de
409	Zürich etc. Disa. Zürich. 1902. 84 S. 5 Curven-Taf. Lohmann, H., Neue
410	Untersuchungen über den Reichthum Plankton. des Meeres an z. Erf. deutscher Meere
411	etc. Äbth. Kiel. N.F. 7. 1902.). Botanische Zeitung, 61 (14/15): columns 209–211.
412	Pascher, A. 1912. Zur Gliederung der Heterokonten. Hedwigia, 53: 6-22.
413	Pascher, A. 1917. Von der grünen Planktonalge des Meeres Meringosphaera. Berichte der
414	Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft, 35: 170–175.
415	Pascher, A. 1932. Zur Kenntnis mariner Planktonten. I. Meringosphaera und ihre
416	Verwandten. Arch. Protistenkd., 77: 195–218.
417	Pascher, A. 1938. Heterokonten. In: Kryptogamen-Flora von Deutschland, Osterreich Und
418	Der Schweiz. Lieferung. Academische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig. 481-640.
419	Percopo, I., Siano, R., Cerino, F., Sarno, D. & Zingone, A. 2011. Phytoplankton diversity
420	during the spring bloom in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Bot. Mar., 54: 243-
421	267.
422	Petersen, J.B. & Hansen, J.B. 1960. Elektronenmikroskopische Untersuchunger von zvei
423	Arten der Heliozoa Gattung Acanthocystis. Arch. Protistenkd., 104: 547-552.
424	Posada, D. & Crandall, K. 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution.
425	Bioinformatics, 14:817–818.
426	Schiller, J. 1925. Die planktonischen Vegetationen des adriatischen Meeres. B.
427	Chrysomonadina, Heterokontae, Cryptomonadina, Eugleninae, Volvocales. I.
428	Systematischer Teil. Arch. Protistenkd., 53: 59–123.
429	Schiller, J. 1916. Über neue Arten und Membranverkieselung bei Meringosphaera. Arch.
430	Protistenkd., 36: 198–208.
431	Scott, F.J. & Marchant, H.J. 2005. Antarctic marine protists. Aust. Biol. Resour. Study,
432	Canberra.
433	Sh I shkin, Y., Drachko, D., Klimov, V.I. & Zlatogursky, V.V. 2018. Yogsothoth knorrus gen.
434	n., sp. n. and Y. carteri sp. n. (Yogsothothidae fam. n., Haptista, Centroplasthelida),
435	with Notes on Evolution and Systematics of Centrohelids. Protist, 169: 682–696.
436	Silva, P.C. 1979. Review of the taxonomic history and nomenclature of the yellow-green
437	algae. Arch. Protistenkd., 121: 20–63.
438	Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
439	large phylogenies. Bioinformatics, 30: 1312–1313.
440	Thomsen, H.A. 1982. Planktonic Choanoflagellates from Disko Bugt, West Greenland, with
441	a Survey of the Marine Nanoplankton of the Area. <i>Bioscience</i> , 8: 1–35.

442	Thorrington-Smith, M. 1970. Some new and little known phytoplankton forms from the West	
443	Indian Ocean. Br. Phycol. J., 5: 51–50. Throndson L & Zingono A 1004 Micronomode of the Mediterronoon and C. Bot. Ital. 129.	
444 445	1029–1106.	
446	Tihonenkov, D.V. & Mylnikov, A.P. 2010. New heliozoan (<i>Choanocystis antarctica</i> sp. n.,	
447	Centrohelida) from littoral zone of King-George Island (The South-Shetland Islands,	
448	Antarctic). Zool. Zh., 89: 1283–1287.	
449	Viličić, D., Marasović, I. & Mioković, D. 2002. Checklist of phytoplankton in the eastern	
450	Adriatic Sea. Acta Bot. Croat., 61: 57–91.	
451	von Hensen, V. 1887. Über die Bestimmung des Planktons oder des im Meer treibenden	
452	Materials an Pflanzen und Tieren. Ber Kommn Wiss Unters Dt Meere Kiel, 5: 1–108.	
453	Vørs, N. 1992. Heterotrophic protists (excl. dinoflagellates, loricate choanoflagellates and	
454	ciliates). In: Thomsen H. A. (Ed.), Plankton from Inner Danish Waters: An Analysis	
455 456	of the Autotrophic and Heterotrophic Plankton in Kattegat. HAV 90 Report. Danish National Agency for Environmental Protection, 195–249.	
457	Vørs N Buck N Chavez FP Eikrem W Hansen L E Ostergaard LB & Thomsen	
458	H.A., 1995. Nanoplankton of the equatorial Pacific with emphasis on the	
459	heterotrophic protists. <i>Deep Sea Res. Pt II</i> , 42: 585–602.	
460	Wille, N., 1909. Conjugatae und Chlorophyceae. <i>In:</i> Engler, A., Prantl, K., Pilger, R.K.F.,	
461	Krause, K. (Eds.). Die Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien Nebst Ihren Gattungen Und	
462	Wichtigeren Arten, Insbesondere Den Nutzpflanzen, Unter Mitwirkung Zahlreicher	
463	Hervorragender Fachgelehrten Begründet. W. Engelmann, Leipzig, 1–175.	
464	Wulff, A. 1919. Ueber das Kleinplankton der Barentssee. Wissenschaftliche	
465	Meeresuntersuchungen in Kiel, 13(Heft 1): 95–125.	
466	Zlatogursky, V.V. 2014. Two new species of centrohelid heliozoans: Acanthocystis costata	
467	sp. nov. and Choanocystis symna sp. nov. Acta Protozool., 53: 313-324.	
468	Zlatogursky, V.V. 2010. Three new freshwater species of centrohelid heliozoans:	
469	Acanthocystis crescenta sp. nov., A. kirilli sp. nov., and Choanocystis minima sp. nov.	
470	Eur. J. Protistol., 46: 159–163.	
471	Zschokke, F. 1903. 339. Lohmann, H., Neue Untersuchungen über den Reichthum des	
472	Meeres an Plankton und über die Brauchbarkeit der verschiedenen Fangmethoden.	
473	Zugleich auch ein Beitrag zur Kenntniss des Mittelmeerauftriebs. In: Wissenschaftl.	
474	Meeresontersuchung. herausgeg. v. d. Kommiss. zur Untersuch, d. deutschen Meere	
475	in Kiel und d. Biol. Anstalt auf Helgoland. Abteilung Kiel. N. F. Band 7. 1902. pag.	
476	1—87. Taf. 1—4. 14 Tabellen. Zoologisches Zentralblatt, 10: 324-330.	
477		
478	FIGURE LEGENDS	
479		
480	Fig. 1. The map of the distribution of Meringosphaera mediterranea, based on literature	
481	records. For detailed references see Table S1.	
482		
483	Fig. 2. Meringosphaera mediterranea, collected in Å17 (A. B) and Anholt (C. D) sampling	
484	points Light microscopy general view of the living cell A . Differential interference contrast	
185	(DIC) B The same field as in (A) fluorescent microscopy blue excitation and green-red	
105	(DC). D. The same neru as in (A), nuorescent microscopy, due excitation and green-red	
400	Un distant a deall in a Detai dish. A hansaistisman	
487	Undisturbed cell in a Petri disn. Abbreviations: a - axopodia; g - granules; pb -	
488	photosynthesizing bodies; ss - spine scales. Scale bars 20 µm.	
489		

490 Fig. 3. Meringosphaera mediterranea, collected in Å17 sampling point. Scanning electron 491 microscopy. A. Individual spine scale. B. General view. C. Close up of plate scales and 492 spine-scales proximal parts. Abbreviations: bp - basal plate of the spine scale; br - barbs; ct -493 central thickening of the plate scale; lbr - elongated barbs on the proximal part of the spine 494 scale; n - notch on the spine scale base; ps - plate scales; sp - spikes on the spine scale base; 495 ss - spine scales. Scale bars: A, B - 2 µm; C - 1 µm. 496 497 Fig. 4. Maximum likelihood tree for 18S rDNA of 141 centrohelids and outgroup of 57 498 sequences (1531 sites; GTR; bootstrap 1,000 replic. 4 rate classes). Outgroup and support 499 values for shallow clades have been removed for clarity. 500 501 Fig. 5. Map of the geographic distribution of four *Meringosphaera*-related OTUs (Tara 502 Oceans 18S V9 v. 2). Circles are proportional to abundance. Plankton organismal size 503 fractions are color-coded. DCM - deep chlorophyll maximum; MES - mesopelagic zone 504 (200-1000 m); MIX - mixed layer; SRF - surface water. 505 506 Fig. 6. Bubble plots, representing the co-variation of four *Meringosphaera*-related OTUs 507 (Tara Oceans 18S V9 v. 2) abundance and an environmental feature at four sampling depth 508 fractions (DCM - deep chlorophyll maximum; MES - mesopelagic zone (200-1000 m); MIX 509 - mixed layer; SRF - surface water). Circles are proportional to abundance. Plankton 510 organismal size fractions are color-coded. 511 512 **Tables** 513 514 **Table 1.** The list of all the species described in the genus *Meringosphaera* and their final 515 taxonomic homes. 516 517 SUPPORTING INFORMATION 518 519 **Table S1.** The summary of literature reports of *Meringosphaera mediterranea*.

.











Table 1. The list of all the species described in the genus *Meringosphaera* and their final taxonomic homes.

Species names (chronologically)	Final destiny
<i>M. mediterranea</i> Lohmann, 1902[1903]	type, valid
<i>M. baltica</i> Lohmann, 1902[1903]	Synonym of <i>M. mediterranea</i> acc. to (Lohmann, 1908)
<i>M. divergens</i> Lohmann, 1902[1903]	Transferred to Sciadosphaera by (Pascher, 1938)
<i>M. hydroidea</i> Lohmann, 1902[1903]	Transferred to Ophiaster by (Lohmann, 1913)
M. serrata Lohmann, 1908	Presumable coccolithophorid acc. to (Pascher, 1932)
M. radians Lohmann, 1908	Transferred to <i>Apedinella</i> (Pedinellida) by (Campbell, 1973)
M. hensenii Schiller, 1916	Presumable separate genus acc. to (Silva, 1979)
M. triseta Schiller, 1916	Synonym of <i>Chaetoceros trondsenii</i> var. <i>trisetosa</i> (Bacillariophyceae) acc. to (Throndsen and Zingone, 1994)
M. merzii Schiller, 1925	Presumable separate genus acc. to (Silva, 1979)
M. tenerrima Schiller, 1925	Transferred to Raphidosphaera by (Silva, 1979)
M. setifera Schiller, 1925	Transferred to Raphidosphaera by (Silva, 1979)
M. aculeata Pascher, 1932	valid
M. brevispina Pascher, 1932	Transferred to Raphidosphaera by (Silva, 1979)
M. sol Pascher, 1932	Transferred to Actinellipsoidion (Xanthophyceae) by (Ettl, 1977)
M. wulffiana Pascher, 1938	Transferred to Raphidosphaera by (Silva, 1979)
M. spinosa Prescott, 1949	Not a member of <i>Meringosphaera</i> complex acc. to (Silva, 1979)