The multi-functional reovirus $\sigma 3$ protein is a virulence factor that suppresses stress granule formation to allow viral replication and myocardial injury

Yingying Guo¹, Meleana Hinchman¹, Mercedes Lewandrowski¹, Shaun Cross^{1,2}, Danica M. Sutherland^{3,4}, Olivia L. Welsh³, Terence S. Dermody^{3,4,5}, and John S. L. Parker^{1*}

¹*Baker Institute for Animal Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853;* ²*Cornell Institute of Host-Microbe Interactions and Disease, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853;* ³*Departments of Pediatrics and Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15224;* and ⁵*Institute of Infection, Inflammation, and Immunity, UPMC Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, PA 15224*

Running head: REOVIRUS SIGMA3 PROTEIN SUPPRESSES STRESS GRANULES DURING INFECTION

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Baker Institute for Animal Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Hungerford Hill Road; Ithaca, NY 14853. Phone: (607) 256-5626. Fax: (607) 256-5608. E-mail: jsp7@cornell.edu

Word count for abstract: 261

Word count for text: 12282

Abstract

The mammalian orthoreovirus double-stranded (ds) RNA binding protein $\sigma 3$ is a multifunctional protein that promotes viral protein synthesis and facilitates viral entry and assembly. The dsRNA-binding capacity of $\sigma 3$ correlates with its capacity to prevent dsRNA-mediated activation of protein kinase R (PKR). However, the effect of $\sigma 3$ binding to dsRNA during viral infection remains largely unknown. To identify functions of $\sigma 3$ dsRNA-binding activity during reovirus infection, we engineered a panel of 13 $\sigma 3$ mutants and screened them for the capacity to bind dsRNA. Six mutants were defective in dsRNA binding, and mutations in these constructs cluster in a putative dsRNA-binding region on the surface of $\sigma 3$. Two recombinant viruses expressing these $\sigma 3$ dsRNA-binding mutants, K287T and R296T, display strikingly different phenotypes. In a cell-type dependent manner, K287T, but not R296T, replicates less efficiently than wild-type (WT) virus. In cells in which K287T virus demonstrates a replication deficit, PKR activation occurs and abundant stress granules (SGs) are produced at late times post-infection. In contrast, the R296T virus retains the capacity to suppress activation of PKR and does not form SGs at late times post-infection. These findings indicate that $\sigma 3$ inhibits PKR independently of its capacity to bind dsRNA. In infected mice, K287T produces lower viral titers in the spleen, liver, lungs, and heart relative to WT or R296T. Moreover, mice inoculated with WT or R296T viruses develop myocarditis, whereas those inoculated with K287T do not. Overall, our results indicate that $\sigma 3$ functions to suppress PKR activation and subsequent SG formation during viral infection and that these functions correlate with virulence in mice.

Introduction

Viral infection induces host cell responses that act to inhibit viral replication. A strong catalyst for these host responses is the synthesis of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) during viral replication. Binding of dsRNA to innate immune sensors such as Toll-like receptor 3, retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I), and melanoma differentiation-association protein 5 (MDA5) initiates signaling that leads to secretion of type I and type III interferons (IFNs) [1]. IFNs then bind to their cognate cell-surface receptors to induce signaling and transcription of a large suite of IFN-stimulated antiviral genes [2].

Viral dsRNA produced during infection also can bind to other cellular innate immune sensors. Two well-characterized dsRNA-binding sensors are protein kinase R (PKR) and 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthase I (OAS). Following binding to dsRNA, these sensors activate signaling pathways that lead to inhibition of translation initiation and degradation of mRNA [3,4]. PKR is one of four cellular kinases, general amino acid control nonderepressible 2 (GCN2), heme-regulated eIF2 α kinase (HRI), PKR, and PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK), that can phosphorylate the α subunit of eukaryotic initiation factor 2 (eIF2) [5,6]. Phosphorylation of eIF2 α leads to sequestration of the GDP-GTP exchange factor eIF2B in an inactive GDP-bound complex with eIF2. This sequestration rapidly decreases the concentration of the eIF2•GTP•methionyl-initiator tRNA ternary complex (TC). As a consequence, translation initiation is inhibited and the integrated stress response is activated [5].

Another consequence of PKR activation is the assembly of cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein (RNP) stress granules (SGs) [7]. Cellular and viral mRNAs that stall on 43S ribosomes because of decreased availability of TCs are sequestered in SGs [8]. Cytosolic pathogen recognition receptors such as PKR, MDA5, and RIG-I concentrate in SGs and, thus, SGs are hypothesized to act as signaling hubs for cellular antiviral responses [9]. Many viruses have evolved mechanisms to block or overcome the activation of PKR and prevent SG formation. For

example, influenza A virus nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) binds to PKR and blocks its activation, thereby preventing PKR-mediated phosphorylation of eIF2 α and SG formation [10]; poliovirus strains block SG formation by 3C-mediated cleavage of the SG-nucleating protein, GTPase-activating protein-binding protein 1 (G3BP1) [11]. SGs are not the only type of RNP-containing granules that can form in cells in response to dsRNA. While SGs are the most well characterized, RNP granules that are RNase L-dependent and PKR-independent also form in cells treated with poly(I:C) (a dsRNA mimic). These granules are termed RNase L-dependent bodies (RLBs) and are proposed to be induced during viral infection [12]. Whether RLBs are induced during reovirus infection is not known.

Mammalian orthoreoviruses (reoviruses) are nonenveloped viruses that package a genome of 10 segments of dsRNA enclosed in a double-layered capsid. The outer-capsid layer serves to attach the virion to host cells and mediates viral penetration of cell membranes. Outer-capsid proteins are removed during viral entry to deposit a viral core particle in the cytoplasm. Transcription of the viral genome begins when the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase packaged in the core particle is activated after removal of the outer-capsid proteins. Viral mRNAs are extruded through pores at the five-fold axes of symmetry. During passage through turrets, the viral mRNAs are capped by guanylttransferase and methyltransferase enzymes [13].

Because reoviruses contain a dsRNA genome, they may have evolved mechanisms to prevent dsRNA-mediated activation of host innate defense mechanisms. One mechanism in which reoviruses likely avoid activating these sensors is by synthesizing their dsRNA genome segments in a viral core particle following encapsidation of single-stranded viral mRNAs representing each genome segment [14]. Reoviruses also encode a protein that can bind dsRNA, the viral outer-capsid protein, σ 3 [15]. Overexpression of wild-type (WT) σ 3, but not a dsRNA-binding-deficient mutant of σ 3, rescues the inhibition of translation induced by PKR overexpression [16]. In addition, overexpression of σ 3 rescues replication of E3L-deficient

vaccinia virus and VAI-deficient adenoviruses, demonstrating that $\sigma 3$ can complement other
 PKR-inhibiting viral proteins [17,18]. These findings led to the hypothesis that $\sigma 3$ absorbs
 dsRNA in infected cells, thereby preventing PKR activation and global translational inhibition.
 However, this model does not explain why some strains of reoviruses replicate less efficiently in
 PKR-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) [19], where dsRNA-activation of PKR
 cannot occur, or why reoviruses replicate less efficiently in MEFs that only express a non-
 phosphorylatable form of eIF2 α , findings that suggest reovirus replication benefits from
 activation of the integrated stress response [20]. Moreover, distribution of $\sigma 3$ to both the
 cytoplasm and nucleus and the enrichment of $\sigma 3$ in ribosomal fractions suggest that $\sigma 3$ plays as
 yet unknown roles during viral infection [21,22].

Mechanisms that promote efficient reovirus translation are poorly understood. Reovirus mRNAs
 are noncanonical, being non-polyadenylated with relatively short 5' and 3' untranslated regions
 (UTRs). Polyadenylation at the 3' end of host mRNAs promotes efficient translation and protects
 the host mRNAs from degradation by 3'-exonucleases. However, despite being non-
 polyadenylated, reovirus mRNAs are efficiently translated [23]. Viral mRNAs with shorter 5'
 UTRs are generally translated less efficiently than those with longer 5' UTRs. In addition,
 translational control elements have been identified in the 3' UTR of reovirus mRNAs [24]. During
 infection, levels of viral mRNAs increase during infection and, if viral mRNA degradation occurs,
 it does not prevent abundant viral translation. Infection with some reovirus strains (e.g., type 2
 Jones [T2J], type 3 clone 87, etc.) at high multiplicity induces host-cell translational shutoff [25].
 Virus-induced host shutoff by such strains depends on PKR and RNase L to different extents.
 However, infection with other strains (e.g., type 3 Dearing) does not substantially impair host-
 cell translation. Strain-specific differences in translational shut-off have been genetically
 mapped to the $\sigma 3$ -encoding S4 genome segment [25]. It has been hypothesized that host
 translational shut-off efficiency correlates with the concentration of free $\sigma 3$ not complexed with

its partner outer-capsid protein, $\mu 1$, in the cytoplasm of infected cells [26]. How reovirus escapes host translational shutoff and maintains its own translation in the presence of activated PKR and phosphorylated eIF2 α is not understood.

Reovirus infection induces formation of SGs that can be detected between 2 - 6 hours (h) post-infection (pi). However, by 12 h pi, SGs are repressed and cannot be induced by treatment with sodium arsenite (SA), a potent inducer of SG formation that activates the HRI stress kinase [27,28]. The early induction of SG formation depends on eIF2 α phosphorylation but occurs in cells individually lacking PKR, PERK, GCN2, and HRI [27], suggesting that at least two eIF2 α kinases are activated. The early induction of SGs does not require viral transcription or protein synthesis, as UV-inactivated virions induce SG formation [27]. Formation of SGs early in infection is hypothesized to provide a competitive advantage for translation of viral mRNA. This idea also is supported by the finding that treatment of cells with SA to induce SG formation prior to infection enhances reovirus replication [29]. As infection proceeds, SGs are dissipated, even though eIF2 α remains phosphorylated. However, if translation is chemically inhibited during infection, SG disassembly is inhibited, suggesting that a newly made protein is involved in SG disassembly [28]. At later stages of viral infection, SG proteins such as T-cell intracellular antigen-1-related protein (TIAR) and G3BP1 localize to the periphery of viral factories (VFs) [30]. An interaction between viral nonstructural proteins μ NS/ σ NS and G3BP1 is required for this G3BP1 re-localization [30,31]. Co-expressing μ NS and σ NS dramatically changes the distribution of SG proteins and interferes with the formation of SA-induced SGs [30]. These data suggest that μ NS and σ NS are involved in disassembly of SA-induced SGs. However, the mechanism underlying SG disassembly during virus infection remains unclear, and other viral and host factors may be involved.

In this study, we engineered a panel of $\sigma 3$ mutants and identified six that lacked detectable dsRNA-binding activity. We recovered recombinant viruses expressing two of these $\sigma 3$ mutants,

K287T or R296T, in the type 1 Lang (T1L) background. Surprisingly, only the T1L-K287T mutant displayed impaired replication in A549, Caco2, and bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) relative to WT virus. Moreover, T1L-K287T-infected A549 cells demonstrate more viral mRNA but less viral protein, and this virus was incapable of repressing SG formation at late times post-infection. Using newborn mice, we found that T1L-K287T produces lower viral titers at sites of secondary replication such as the liver, lungs, spleen, and heart relative to WT and T1L-R296T. Furthermore, unlike WT and T1L-R296T, the K287T mutant does not cause myocarditis. Our results indicate that $\sigma 3$ regulates SG dynamics during viral infection and that this effect is required for efficient viral replication and pathogenesis.

Results

Identification and characterization of dsRNA-binding defective mutants of $\sigma 3$. The reovirus outer-capsid protein $\sigma 3$ is hypothesized to prevent PKR activation by binding and sequestering viral dsRNA during infection. To test this hypothesis, we first engineered mutants of $\sigma 3$ guided by the structure of the $\sigma 3$ dimer and previously predicted dsRNA-binding residues [32] (Fig. 1A). We replaced basic residues on the surface of $\sigma 3$, including residues R236, R239, K291, and K293 that were previously reported to be required for dsRNA binding [22,33,34]. Mutants were prepared individually as single point mutations or in combinations (Fig. 1A). Mutant proteins were expressed in mammalian cells and tested for the capacity to bind a dsRNA mimic in a poly(I:C) pulldown assay (Figs. 1B, 1C, and 1D). Three mutants (R236T, R239T, and K291T) expressed poorly and two (R208T and K293T) had moderately decreased expression levels (Fig. 1D). Of those mutants that were expressed comparable to WT $\sigma 3$, four mutant proteins (K287T, R202T, R296T, and R326T) were incapable of binding poly(I:C) (Fig. 1C and D). Our findings are consistent with the prediction made by Olland et al. that a patch of basic residues distributed across the $\sigma 3$ dimer interface is required for dsRNA binding [32] (Fig. 1A, red colored residues).

When expressed alone, WT $\sigma 3$ assembles as a dimer [32]. To confirm that the dsRNA-binding mutants are not impaired in the capacity to form dimers, we expressed N-terminally FLAG- and HA-tagged versions of each mutant and determined whether immunoprecipitation with an anti-FLAG antibody co-immunoprecipitated the HA-tagged version. All of the mutants were capable of dimerizing (Fig. S1A). When $\sigma 3$ is co-expressed with its partner outer-capsid protein, $\mu 1$, three copies of $\sigma 3$ assemble with a trimer of $\mu 1$ to form a heterohexamer [35]. The surface of $\sigma 3$ that interacts with $\mu 1$ is not near the residues required for dsRNA-binding. However, to ensure that mutations we introduced do not affect assembly of $\sigma 3$ - $\mu 1$ heterohexamers, we co-expressed $\mu 1$ and each of the WT or dsRNA-binding mutants of $\sigma 3$ in HEK293 cells and

assessed assembly of $\mu 1$ and $\sigma 3$ by coimmunoprecipitation using a $\mu 1$ -specific monoclonal antibody. All of the $\sigma 3$ dsRNA-binding mutants tested coimmunoprecipitated with $\mu 1$, indicating that the assembly of $\mu 1$ - $\sigma 3$ heterohexamers is not impaired for the dsRNA-binding mutants (Fig. S1B). These data demonstrate that other non-dsRNA-binding functions of $\sigma 3$ remain intact.

Recombinant $\sigma 3$ -mutant viruses are viable. To determine the function of dsRNA-binding during reovirus infection, we used reverse genetics [36] to engineer five recombinant viruses carrying the $\sigma 3$ mutations that disrupt dsRNA-binding (R208T, K287T, K293T, R296T, and R326T) together with one mutant that retained the capacity to bind dsRNA (K297T) in the T1L and type 3 Dearing (T3D) backgrounds. All mutants were successfully recovered in L929 cells and sequence-confirmed to possess the predicted mutations. The mutants replicated with kinetics and produced peak titers comparable to WT virus in L929 cells during single- (Fig. S2A) and multiple (Fig. S2B) replication cycles, indicating that the lack of dsRNA-binding capacity by $\sigma 3$ is not detrimental to replication in L929 cells.

$\sigma 3$ dsRNA-binding-defective mutant viruses do not activate PKR or eIF2 α to any greater extent than WT virus in L929 cells. By sequestering dsRNA, $\sigma 3$ is thought to prevent activation and autophosphorylation of PKR, thus preventing subsequent phosphorylation of eIF2 α and inhibition of translation initiation [16–18,37]. Thus, we anticipated that viruses expressing mutant forms of $\sigma 3$ incapable of binding dsRNA would activate PKR to higher levels. Although we observed no differences in replicative capacity of the mutants in L929 cells (Fig. S2), we wondered whether these viruses may have other mechanisms to counter the inhibition of translation initiation that occurs because of increased activation of PKR and downstream phosphorylation of eIF2 α . Therefore, we assessed the levels of phosphorylated PKR and eIF2 α in L929 cells that were mock-infected or infected with WT T1L or either of two dsRNA-binding mutants, K287T and R296T, in the T1L background. Surprisingly, we found that mock-infected L929 cells displayed constitutively phosphorylated PKR and high levels of phosphorylated eIF2 α

(Fig. S3). However, the levels of phosphorylated PKR and eIF2 α in WT-infected L929 cells were higher than those in mock-infected cells. Moreover, levels of phosphorylated PKR and phosphorylated eIF2 α in L929 cells infected with K287T and R296T viruses were not substantially higher than those in the WT-infected cells (Fig. S3). Based on these findings, we conclude that L929 cells have constitutively phosphorylated PKR and that WT and mutant reoviruses replicate to high titers in these cells despite the presence of phosphorylated PKR and eIF2 α . Activation of the integrated stress response, which occurs following eIF2 α phosphorylation, appears to benefit reovirus replication [20]. In addition, pretreatment of L929 cells with SA, which promotes SG formation, enhances reovirus replication [29]. The L929 cells used to propagate reoviruses are routinely grown in spinner culture and are highly susceptible to reovirus infection. Based on these findings, we conclude that our laboratory L929 cells, although susceptible to the σ 3 dsRNA-binding mutants, would not be useful to discriminate potential effects of the mutant viruses on host responses to infection.

The T1L-K287T mutant produces lower titers than WT virus in epithelial cells and bone marrow dendritic cells (BMDCs). To determine whether the dsRNA-binding mutants display replication deficits in other cell types, we assessed their capacity to replicate in epithelial cells (A549 and Caco-2) and murine bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) (Fig. 2A-C). A549 cells were infected with 10 PFU per cell of WT T1L or mutant viruses, and viral yields at 24, 48, and 72 h pi were determined. T1L-K287T titer was approximately 10-fold less than that of WT T1L at 24, 48, and 72 h pi. T1L-R296T titer did not significantly differ from WT T1L titer at any interval pi. Similarly, we found that T1L-K287T replicated less efficiently than WT T1L in Caco-2 cells (Fig. 2B). In BMDCs, while all viruses replicated poorly, the T1L-K287T and T1L-R296T mutants replicated less efficiently than WT T1L (Fig. 2C). Collectively, these data demonstrate cell type-specific replication deficits for K287T and R296T viruses, indicating different cellular responses to infection.

Proteolytic processing of WT, T1L-K287T, and T1L-R296T viruses are comparable. During reovirus entry, the $\sigma 3$ outer-capsid protein is proteolytically cleaved in endosomes and removed from the viral particle [38]. Removal of $\sigma 3$ is required to activate the $\mu 1$ protein for cellular membrane penetration (reviewed in [39]). While the engineered $\sigma 3$ mutations are not near previously identified cleavage sites in $\sigma 3$, we thought it possible that proteolytic processing of $\sigma 3$ -K287T could be delayed. We used limited trypsin proteolysis to replicate endosomal disassembly steps and compared the kinetics of $\sigma 3$ processing (Fig. S4). Kinetics of $\sigma 3$ processing for the WT, K287T, and R296T viruses were comparable, making it unlikely that differences in viral entry kinetics explain the differences in replication we noted in A549 cells and BMDCs.

The K287T mutant virus synthesizes more viral mRNA, but less viral protein and less negative-sense viral RNA than WT virus. To further investigate mechanisms underlying the replication deficit of the K287T mutant in A549 lung epithelial cells, we infected cells with 100 PFU per cell of WT T1L or the $\sigma 3$ mutants and assayed levels of viral mRNA, viral protein, and negative-sense RNA. Despite producing lower yields than WT virus, K287T produced 2.5 to 4-fold higher levels of viral mRNA than WT (Fig. 3B). However, the higher levels of viral mRNA in K287T-infected cells were accompanied by lower levels of viral proteins than those in WT-infected cells (Fig. 3C). Negative-sense viral RNA accumulation is an indirect measure of dsRNA synthesis, as reoviruses package mRNA into viral core particles prior to replication. Consistent with reduced viral titers, cells infected with the K287T mutant contained ~ 4-fold less negative-sense S4 RNA than WT-infected cells (Fig. 3A). The R296T mutant also synthesized 1.5 to 3-fold more viral mRNA, had decreased protein expression, and synthesized ~ 25% less negative-sense S4 RNA relative to WT virus, but these differences were not statistically significant (Fig. 3A-C).

The findings of diminished protein expression suggested that translation in K287T- and R296T-infected cells is inhibited, and the findings that viral mRNA levels are increased suggested that viral mRNA is sequestered and protected from normal turnover and translation. Therefore, we assessed phosphorylation of PKR and eIF2 α in A549 cells that were either mock-infected or infected with T1L, K287T, and R296T (Fig. 3D). While cells infected with the K287T mutant displayed increased phosphorylation of PKR and eIF2 α , levels of phosphorylated PKR and eIF2 α in WT- and R296T-infected cells did not differ appreciably from those in mock-infected cells (Fig. 3D). Collectively, these data suggest that the capacity of $\sigma 3$ to bind dsRNA is not required to suppress the activity of PKR, as evidenced by near WT replication, protein synthesis, and viral RNA synthesis of the R296T mutant. However, these data additionally suggest that some mutations that ablate the capacity of $\sigma 3$ to bind dsRNA appear to overlap with a region of the protein responsible for blocking the activity of PKR.

The T1L-K287T mutant virus induces abundant stress granule formation. Based on results presented thus far, we suspected that K287T (and perhaps to a lesser extent R296T) mRNA could not fully engage the translational machinery due to viral mRNA sequestration in stress granules (SGs). SGs are liquid-liquid phase-separated structures that form in the cytoplasm of cells under conditions of stress, where mRNAs bound to stalled 48S translation initiation complexes are sequestered along with SG markers such as TIAR and G3BP1 (reviewed in [7]). SGs form early after reovirus infection (as early as 2 h pi), peak at 6 h pi, and disappear later in infection (24 h pi), at which time reovirus-infected cells are resistant to exogenous induction of SGs [27,28]. To test differences in SG formation, we infected cells with WT or mutant viruses and assayed reovirus infection and TIAR-positive puncta at 6 and 18 h pi using immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 4A). At 6 h pi, ~ 2-3% of all virus-infected cells contained TIAR-positive granules (Fig. 4B). However, by 18 h pi significantly more K287T-infected cells contained TIAR-positive granules (mean, 46.4%) than WT- (mean, 2.6%) or R296T-infected

cells (mean, 5.0%) (Fig. 4B). To further confirm that the TIAR-positive granules observed in K287T-infected cells were SGs, we co-immunostained for another SG marker protein, G3BP1. We found that the TIAR and G3BP1 markers often co-localized in cytoplasmic granules of K287T-infected cells (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, G3BP1 and TIAR co-localized to some degree with μ NS, a marker for reovirus replication sites or factories. Based on these findings, we hypothesized that the T1L-K287T virus is defective in suppressing SGs after their initial formation.

Viral mRNA partially localizes to SGs in K287T- and R296T-infected cells. Excess viral mRNA in K287T-infected cells and abundant accumulation of SGs at late times post-infection prompted us to evaluate the intracellular distribution of viral mRNA. We used smFISH to visualize S4 viral mRNA in mock-, WT-, K287T-, and R296T-infected cells and co-immunostained cells with markers for SGs (TIAR) and VFs (λ 2). In mock-infected cells, as expected, control *GAPDH* mRNA was distributed throughout the cytoplasm (Fig. S5). In WT-infected cells, *GAPDH* mRNA distribution appeared diffuse and similar to that in mock-infected cells (Fig. S5), whereas most S4 mRNA was highly concentrated in VFs, indicating that viral mRNAs, but not cellular mRNAs, localize to VFs (Fig. 5 and S5). As in previous experiments, few TIAR-positive SGs were detected in WT-infected cells. In K287T-infected cells, S4 mRNA co-localized with the marker for VFs, but the level of co-localization was less than that in WT-infected cells. In addition, K287T S4 mRNA often co-localized with TIAR-positive SGs (Fig. 5). Occasionally, we observed triple co-localization of TIAR, S4 mRNA, and VFs (λ 2) in K287T-infected cells (Fig. 5). Notably, VFs in K287T-infected cells were often small. In R296T-infected cells, S4 mRNA mostly co-localized with VFs. However, S4 mRNA co-localized with TIAR-positive SGs when they were present. Collectively, these data demonstrate that SGs in K287T- and R296T-infected cells sequester viral mRNA and suggest that eIF2 α phosphorylation

promotes translational inhibition and the resultant SG sequestration of viral mRNA impairs viral replication.

Ribonucleoprotein (RNP) granule formation by the $\sigma 3$ -mutant viruses depends on PKR and RNase L to different extents. RNP granules called RNase L bodies (RLBs) due to their dependence on RNase L form in cells transfected with poly(I:C), a dsRNA mimic [12,40]. RLBs are distinct from SGs in that they (i) are smaller and more punctate, (ii) are dependent on RNase L but form independently of PKR activation and eIF2 α phosphorylation, and (iii) differ in their protein composition [12,40]. Treatment of A549 cells with poly(I:C) induces formation of RLBs, rather than SGs, due to the repression of SGs by activated RNase L [12]. The granules that form in reovirus-infected cells at early times post-infection (2 - 4 h pi) require viral core particles to access the cytoplasm of newly infected cells as well as phosphorylation of eIF2 α , but their formation does not require viral transcription or translation of viral mRNAs [27], suggesting that these granules are SGs. RNP granules in reovirus-infected cells form in the absence of PKR, HRI, PERK, or GCN, suggesting that at least two of the stress kinases that phosphorylate eIF2 α are involved in the formation of SG after entry of the reovirus core particle into the cytoplasm [27]. Alternatively, the granules observed in PKR knock-out (KO) MEFs are RLBs. After viral replication begins, dsRNA accumulates in infected cells and could induce formation of RLBs, or dsRNA could be released from core particles damaged during viral entry [41]. The marker proteins used thus far, TIAR and G3BP1, localize to both RLBs and SGs (Fig. S6). To determine whether the TIAR/G3BP1-positive granules detected in K287T- and R296T-infected A549 cells at 18 h pi are SGs or RLBs, we first assessed the requirement for PKR and RNase L in granule formation using A549 cells lacking PKR, RNase L, or both. As expected, TIAR-positive RNP granules were rare or absent in cells infected with WT virus at 18 h pi (Fig. 6A). In contrast, infection with the K287T virus produced TIAR-positive granules in the cytoplasm of $60.0 \pm 7.8\%$ and $58.2 \pm 6.6\%$ of WT and RNase L KO cells, respectively (Fig. 6A),

indicating that the granules formed independently of RNase L. However, $8.9 \pm 5.4\%$ of K287T-infected PKR KO cells contained TIAR-positive granules, indicating that formation of most granules was PKR-dependent, while some granules formed independently of PKR and were likely RLBs. TIAR-positive granules were completely absent in infected double-knockout (DKO) cells. Notably, TIAR-positive granules were present in $14.6 \pm 1.2\%$, $10.2 \pm 1.6\%$, and $10.0 \pm 2.6\%$ of WT, PKR KO, and RNase L KO cells infected with the R296T mutant, respectively. Again, no RNP granules were detected in DKO cells. These findings suggest that the R296T mutant differs from the K287T mutant in that it suppresses PKR-dependent granule formation more efficiently. Taken together, these findings suggest that $\sigma 3$ functions to suppress both SGs and RLBs during infection, but that PKR-dependent SGs are the predominant form of RNP granule that is induced and suppressed by $\sigma 3$ in reovirus-infected cells.

To further delineate SG and RLB induction by reovirus, we used immunofluorescence detection of SG and RLB markers to characterize the granules present in K287T- and R296T-infected cells. No protein markers have been confirmed to uniquely mark RLBs. However, several proteins localize only to SGs (TIA1, FMRP and PUM1) and not RLBs [12]. We used TIA1 to uniquely label SGs and G3BP1 to label both SGs and RLBs. We confirmed that the presence of TIA1 distinguishes SGs from RLBs by treating WT, PKR KO, RNase L KO, and DKO A549 cells with poly(I:C) or SA. As previously shown, poly(I:C) treatment of WT or PKR KO cells led to the formation of G3BP1-positive/TIA1-negative RLBs and the formation of G3BP1-positive/TIA1-positive SGs in RNase L KO cells [12] (Fig. S7). SA treatment, which activates the stress kinase HRI, induced SGs in all cell lines, indicating that this pathway of SG formation does not require PKR or RNase L (Fig. S7). Having confirmed the specificity of these markers, we analyzed patterns of TIA1 and G3BP staining in K287T- and R296T-infected cells (Fig. S8). We found that K287T-infected WT and RNase L KO cells contained TIA1/G3BP1 double-positive granules, indicative of SGs. However, in infected PKR KO cells, the granules were TIA1-negative/G3BP1-

positive, indicating that they were RLBs. As before, no granules were detected in DKO cells. In R296T-infected WT cells, the majority of granules were TIA1-negative/G3BP1 positive, indicative of RLBs. However, a few cells had a mixture of TIA-1/G3BP1-double positive granules and TIA1-negative/G3BP1-positive granules, suggesting that the R296T mutant mostly induces RLBs but does not completely suppress SGs. Consistent with this hypothesis, the granules that formed in R296T-infected RNase L KO cells were TIA1/G3BP1 double-positive granules, indicative of SGs. Collectively, these data demonstrate that the K287T virus predominantly induces PKR-dependent SGs, while the R296T virus mostly induces RLBs.

Replication deficit of K287T is rescued in PKR-deficient cells. Because TIAR-positive granule formation at 18 h pi appeared dependent on both PKR and RNase L, we investigated whether loss of PKR, RNase L, or both would rescue the viral replication deficit of K287T. We infected WT, PKR KO, RNase L KO, or DKO A549 cells with 10 PFU per cell of WT or the K287T σ 3-mutant virus and quantified viral yields at 24 h pi. In WT cells, we confirmed that the K287T mutant produced significantly less virus at 24 h pi than WT virus (Fig. 6B). However, in PKR KO cells, yields of WT and K287T did not differ significantly. In addition, the K287T mutant produced to ~ 3-fold higher titer in PKR KO cells than in WT A549 cells. Notably, yields of WT virus in PKR KO cells were ~ 5-fold less than yields in WT A549 cells, consistent with previous findings that lack of PKR diminishes yields of some reovirus strains [19]. In RNase L KO cells, the K287T mutant produced ~ 10-fold less virus than WT and showed a similar replication pattern to that in WT cells (Fig. 6B). The yield of WT virus in RNase L KO cells was similar to its yield in WT A549 cells. In DKO cells, WT and K287T produced comparable yields (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, despite the lack of PKR in DKO cells, WT virus produced yields similar to those in WT cells, suggesting that any growth defect due to lack of PKR is overcome when RNase L is also absent. Collectively, these data indicate that PKR impairs replication of the K287T mutant.

PKR and eIF2 α are hyperphosphorylated in A549 cells infected with K287T. Since K287T infection of PKR KO cells produced 6-fold fewer RNP granule-containing cells than infection of WT cells, we hypothesized that PKR and eIF2 α phosphorylation are required to form SGs in K287T-infected cells. We therefore examined levels of phosphorylated PKR and eIF2 α in WT-, K287T-, and R296T-infected WT, PKR KO, RNase L KO, and DKO A549 cells. We observed abundant phosphorylation of both PKR and eIF2 α in K287T-infected WT and RNase L KO cells (Fig. 6C). In contrast, T1L and R296T produced comparable and minimal levels of phosphorylated PKR and eIF2 α , independent of the cell type used (Fig. 6C). These data indicate that PKR and eIF2 α phosphorylation correlates with SG formation in K287T-infected cells.

The K287T mutant is less virulent than WT virus in mice. Because the dsRNA-binding mutants displayed cell-type specific replication impairment and differences in stress-induced responses, we evaluated whether these mutants differed from WT virus in the capacity to cause disease in newborn mice. Neonatal C57BL/6 mice were inoculated perorally with WT, K287T, or R296T virus strains, and viral yields and gross pathology in various tissues were assessed. While all viruses produced similar titers in the intestine at 1 d pi, significant differences in K287T viral loads were detected at later times and in sites of secondary replication such as the spleen, liver, lungs, and in particular, the heart (Figs 7 and 8). While K287T titers in the heart were similar to WT and R296T viruses at 1, 2, and 4 d pi, a significant decrease in titers of this virus was observed at 8 d pi (Fig. 7). Interestingly, there were no significant differences in viral titers observed in the brain, suggesting that viral dissemination is not altered and that there is a tissue-specific impairment of K287T replication at other sites. Furthermore, in contrast to WT and R296T, 287T did not induce detectable inflammation of the heart tissue, or myocarditis, which is associated with aberrant deposition of calcium in the myocardium [42] (Fig. 8A, arrowheads). To quantify myocarditic damage in an independent cohort of mice, we processed

and stained reovirus-infected heart tissue for Alizarin red, to detect calcium deposits, and reovirus antiserum, to detect viral replication (Fig. 8B). We observed decreased foci of both viral replication and myocardial damage in the K287T-inoculated mice at 8 d pi (Fig. 8C). Based on these results, we speculated that K287T infection in mice initiates SG formation and an antiviral response early in infection that impairs late steps in disease formation. To test this hypothesis, we conducted RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) of reovirus-infected heart tissue at 4 dpi to identify early changes in host transcripts that may lead to the myocarditic phenotype observed at 8 dpi. RNA harvested from the hearts of mock-, WT-, K287T-, R296T-infected mice (3 mice per group) were subjected to sequencing. Transcripts were quantified and compared between mock-infected and virus-infected mice. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with a false discovery rate (FDR) p -value of less than 0.05 and an absolute $\log_2$ fold change of greater than 1.5 were identified (Fig. 9A). A total of 268, 227, and 291 upregulated genes were detected in WT-, K287T-, and R296T-infected hearts, respectively, while only a few downregulated genes were identified in all three groups (Fig. 9B). As expected, immune and antiviral associated genes were upregulated. Of the upregulated DEGs identified, 212 are shared between WT and both mutant viruses. Only 18 genes for WT, 11 genes for K287T, and 41 genes for R296T were uniquely upregulated in each group. Collectively, there were no significant changes in gene expression between WT and either mutant virus. However, when we compared the $\log_2$ fold changes of the 212 shared upregulated genes between WT and K287T or between WT and 296T ($\log_2$ fold change [WT] - $\log_2$ fold change [mutant]), we found that the shared genes were not as abundantly upregulated in K287T-infected mice. Indeed, the structure of the volcano plots (Fig. 9A) shows a similar trend that genes in the K287T-infected mice were upregulated to a lesser extent than those in WT- and R296T-infected mice. In R296T-inoculated hearts, these genes are upregulated to the same or sometimes greater extent than in WT-infected hearts (Fig. 9C). When comparing the expression levels of these 212 DEGs between WT and each mutant, we found no statistically significant difference across any gene. Thus, a

427 dsRNA-binding mutant of $\sigma 3$ that promotes activation of PKR and abundant SG formation *in*
428 *vitro*, displays tissue-specific attenuation in viral replication and disease in mice, likely due to
429 activation of a coordinated innate immune response to dampen viral infection.
430

Discussion

During viral replication, many if not all viruses synthesize dsRNA, which is a potent pathogen-associated molecular pattern sensed in the cytoplasm of cells by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as RIG-I and MDA5 [3]. PRR-activated signaling induces secretion of type I and III IFNs and, on binding of these cytokines to their cognate receptors, an antiviral response is evoked through the synthesis of IFN-stimulated gene products. Many viruses encode dsRNA-binding proteins that may act to bind and sequester dsRNA to prevent activation of PRRs such as RIG-I and MDA5, effector kinases such as PKR, and other dsRNA-activated host defense pathways (e.g., 2',5'-oligoadenylate synthase-RNase L) [43]. Although this is an appealing model, supporting evidence for some viruses has been inconsistent, and the dsRNA-binding capacity of these proteins may not function to sequester dsRNA. For example, the capacity of the vaccinia virus (VV) E3L protein to inhibit PKR activation does not correlate with its capacity to bind dsRNA [44]. Furthermore, activation of PKR by VV lacking E3L was not rescued by expression of a dsRNA-binding ortholog from sheep pox virus but was complemented by a myxoma virus orthologue [45]. Similarly, the influenza dsRNA-binding protein NS1 inhibits PKR activation by a direct interaction that is thought to prevent the dsRNA-induced conformational change in the protein rather than by sequestering dsRNA. Indeed, a recombinant influenza virus bearing a dsRNA-binding defective NS1 still blocks activation of PKR [46].

The mammalian orthoreovirus dsRNA-binding protein $\sigma 3$ suppresses dsRNA-mediated activation of PKR [37]. It was hypothesized that $\sigma 3$ binds and sequesters free dsRNA to prevent activation of PKR and subsequent inhibition of global translation [16,33,34,37,47,48]. Support for this hypothesis was provided by *in vitro* findings that addition of purified $\sigma 3$ blocks activation of partially purified PKR and the identification of three $\sigma 3$ residues important for dsRNA-binding that fail to prevent activation of PKR [16]. Although these findings are compelling, they only correlate the dsRNA-binding capacity of $\sigma 3$ with inhibition of PKR activation. Importantly, the

function of dsRNA-binding by $\sigma 3$ in the context of reovirus infection has not been examined. Here, we found that six basic residues (R202, R208, K287, K293, R296, and R326) that lie across the dimer interface of $\sigma 3$ in a patch are each involved in binding dsRNA (Fig. 1), as was predicted from the structure of $\sigma 3$ [32]. We found that residues previously reported as being involved in binding dsRNA (K291, R239, and R236) were poorly expressed when they were exchanged with threonine residues (Fig. 1). We noted that these residues are fully or partially buried in the structure of the $\sigma 3$ dimer [32], suggesting that alterations of those residues cause $\sigma 3$ to misfold. We focused on two mutants, K287T and R296T, which displayed no detectable dsRNA-binding and were recovered as recombinant viruses in the T1L background.

Although K287T and R296T were both defective in dsRNA-binding, only the K287T virus had a replication defect in A549 cells relative to WT virus (Fig. 2). We found that the K287T mutant was incapable of suppressing activation of PKR or phosphorylation of eIF2 α during infection, whereas the R296T mutant suppressed PKR phosphorylation and eIF2 α phosphorylation comparable to WT virus (Figs. 3 and 6). We also found that the K287T mutant produced decreased levels of viral proteins at 18 h pi. We attribute the decreased protein expression to failure of $\sigma 3$ -K287T to suppress PKR-mediated phosphorylation of eIF2 α , as the replication defect of K287T as well as protein expression was rescued in PKR KO A549 cells (Figs 3 and 6). Interestingly, despite lower levels of protein expression, viral mRNA levels were higher in K287T-infected cells than in cells infected with WT virus, and viral mRNA could be detected in SGs (Figs. 3 and 5). These findings indicated that viral mRNA, possibly associated with stalled 48S ribosomes, was sequestered in SGs, and viral mRNA turnover was subsequently decreased. Taken together, these findings indicate that (i) inhibition of dsRNA-mediated activation of PKR by $\sigma 3$ does not require dsRNA binding (ii) efficient viral replication requires PKR suppression by $\sigma 3$, and (iii) $\sigma 3$ is required for suppressing SG formation.

The presence of abundant G3BP1-positive, TIA-1-positive SGs was a prominent feature in A549 cells infected with the K287T mutant virus (Figs. 6 and S8). SGs are liquid-liquid phase-separated organelles that form in response to a variety of environmental stresses (e.g., virus infection, oxidative stress, heat shock, cold shock, osmotic stress, and UV irradiation) in a process dependent on disassembly of polysomes [49]. SGs appear to form as a result of increasing concentrations of non-protein-bound or free RNA in the cytoplasm, as would occur after polysome disassembly [50,51]. Canonical SGs contain multiple RNA-binding proteins, components of the cellular translational machinery, and mRNAs associated with stalled 48S ribosomes [7]. SGs form in reovirus-infected cells early after infection (2 to 6 h pi) but then largely dissipate by ~ 12 h pi [27]. Later in the infectious cycle (24 h pi), infected cells are resistant to SA-induced formation of SGs [28]. The early induction of SGs by reovirus infection requires uncoating of incoming viral particles, but viral transcription or translation of viral proteins is not required [27]. As phosphorylation of eIF2 α is required for early SG formation following reovirus infection [27], it is likely that release of dsRNA from incoming damaged particles activates PKR leading to phosphorylation of eIF2 α , disassembly of polysomes, and assembly of SGs. Damaged core particles may enter the cytoplasm, or dsRNA may be released from degraded core particles in endolysosomes and then access the cytoplasm by RNA transmembrane transporters such as SIDT1 or SIDT2 [52,53]. While this seems likely, early SG formation still occurs in PKR KO cells and in cells lacking each of the three other individual kinases responsible for phosphorylation of eIF2 α (HRI, PERK, and GCN2), suggesting that more than one stress kinase was activated early in reovirus infection [27]. We found that transfection of poly(I:C) (a dsRNA mimic) or infection with the R296T mutant induced the formation of G3BP1-positive/TIA1-negative RNase L-dependent bodies (RLBs) in WT cells and PKR KO cells, whereas infection with the K287T mutant induced RLBs in PKR KO cells (Fig. S8). RLBs form in WT A549 cells treated with poly(I:C) (Fig. S7) and, although RLBs share much of the protein content of SGs, they are distinct in that they do not contain TIA1 [12]. RNP

granules are formed in WT, PKR KO, and RNase L KO cells infected with K287T or R296T (Fig. S8). However, we did not detect RNP granules in A549 cells lacking both PKR and RNase L following infection with WT or mutant viruses at 18 h pi. Similarly, we did not detect RNP granules in A549 DKO cells infected with WT or mutant viruses at 1000 pfu per cell at 6 h pi (data not shown), leading us to hypothesize that the granules formed in PKR KO cells are RLBs rather than SGs [27]. Based on our observations and previously published results, we propose that the RNP granules that form in reovirus-infected cells between 2 and 6 h after infection occur secondary to cytoplasmic release of dsRNA from incoming particles that subsequently activates PKR or the OAS/RNase L signaling pathways.

At late times post-infection (24 h pi), SGs are absent from reovirus-infected cells, and they cannot be induced by treatment with SA [28]. The late suppression of SGs occurs independently of eIF2 α phosphorylation, and drugs that inhibit translational initiation by binding to eIF4 α are also incapable of inducing SGs in reovirus-infected cells, indicating that the mechanism of late suppression of SGs does not involve suppression of PKR activation or phosphorylation of eIF2 α . In contrast, SA treatment and other treatments that lead to polysome disassembly at 6 h pi can still induce SG formation [30]. Considered together with our current findings, the early appearance of SGs dependent on eIF2 α phosphorylation and the late suppression of SGs independent of eIF2 α phosphorylation during reovirus infection suggests that suppression of PKR phosphorylation by $\sigma 3$ is important early in the infectious cycle. Paradoxically, the replication of some reovirus strains (T2J and T3D) is impaired in PKR KO and RNase L KO cells [19]. Although replication of reovirus T1L is moderately suppressed in PKR KO A549 cells compared with WT cells, there is little effect on replication in RNase L KO cells (Fig. 6B). Similarly, replication of strains T2J and T3D is decreased by ~ 10-fold in MEFs expressing the S51A non-phosphorylatable form of eIF2 α [20]. These findings suggest that at least some degree of phosphorylation of eIF2 α is beneficial in some way for reovirus replication.

Based on our current findings and previously published results, we suggest a model for the early action of $\sigma 3$ and the development of VFs (Fig. 10). We propose that initial formation of RNP granules (SGs or RLBs) is induced by release of dsRNA from damaged incoming reovirus particles or from dsRNA that forms following folding of newly transcribed viral mRNAs. In support of the latter possibility, the reovirus s1 mRNA is a potent activator of PKR [54]. The presence of dsRNA in the cytoplasm then promotes the formation of SGs, RLBs, or both. G3BP1 appears to be required for the phase separation that leads to assembly of SGs and presumably RLBs. Post-translational modifications of G3BP1 alter its propensity to condense into SGs with demethylation of arginine residues in its RGG motif being associated with increased SG formation in response to SA-mediated phosphorylation of eIF2 α [55]. In contrast, phosphorylation of G3BP1 at serine 149, which is located in the first of three intrinsically disordered regions in the protein, by casein kinase 2 increases its threshold for RNA-driven stress granule formation [50,51,56]. We hypothesize that changes in the posttranslational modification status of G3BP1 driven by signaling events following PKR phosphorylation or RNase L activation leads G3BP1 to undergo RNA-driven phase transition. Thus, early activation of PKR or RNase L by dsRNA released during reovirus entry or formed on initial viral transcription would produce a more favorable cytosolic environment for RNA-driven condensation of G3BP1 into RNP granules. Subsequent transcription of viral mRNAs would release free RNA locally into the cytosol around core particles that would drive the formation of small phase-separated G3BP1-containing RNP granules. Small SGs do not contain phosphorylated eIF2 α , as recruitment of PKR and phosphorylation of eIF2 α occur after the SGs reach a critical size [57]. Viral mRNAs transcribed in small SGs would be retained by interactions with G3BP1 and other RNA-binding proteins and thus prevented from diffusing away from the viral core particle. We speculate that translation of early viral mRNAs can occur in small G3BP1-containing condensates that surround transcribing core particles, in keeping with observations that translation of some cellular proteins occurs in SGs [58]. We hypothesize

that early formation of such granules around transcribing cores is beneficial for viral replication. This concept is supported by the observation that pre-treatment of cells with SA enhances reovirus replication and protein synthesis [29]. Synthesis of viral proteins in close proximity to the transcribing core particle would promote the formation of VFs around these particles. Importantly, PKR is activated following recruitment to SGs, but it is not recruited until SGs reach sufficient size [57,59,60]. Expression of $\sigma 3$ would act to prevent activation of PKR in the enlarging SG/VF and allow viral mRNA translation to continue. As the concentration of reovirus nonstructural proteins σNS and μNS increases, we envision that VFs would replace the initial G3BP1-dependent SG replication site and act to suppress *de novo* SG formation. The reovirus nonstructural protein σNS associates with G3BP1, and co-expression of σNS with μNS suppresses SA-induction of SG formation [30]. In infected cells and cells expressing μNS and σNS , SG proteins such as G3BP1 become redistributed around the periphery of the VFs or $\mu NS/\sigma NS$ VF-like structures [30]. We suggest that the capacity of SGs to recruit RNA-binding proteins and components of the translational machinery is usurped by the nascent VFs. Others have noted the occasional co-localization between G3BP1 and other proteins that localize to SGs and μNS -containing VFs [28,30], and here we often noted co-localization between SG proteins G3BP1 and TIAR with μNS in K287T-infected cells (Fig. 4C). Translation is compartmentalized in VFs in reovirus-infected cells, and active translation likely occurs on the margins of VFs [61]. Thus, we propose that reoviruses use SGs to seed the formation of VFs around transcribing viral core particles.

Infection with some reovirus strains causes myocarditis in neonatal mice [62]. Reovirus-induced myocarditis is not immune-mediated, and infection will cause myocarditic lesions in nude mice or mice lacking B- and T-cells [42,63]. Reovirus-induced cardiac damage occurs as a consequence virus-induced apoptosis of myocytes, and disease does not occur in mice lacking caspase 3 or in WT mice treated with inhibitors of apoptosis [64–67]. Comparisons of reovirus

strains that vary in the capacity to cause myocarditis have identified phenotypic and genetic differences that correlate with myocarditic potential. Myocarditic strains synthesize more viral mRNA than non-myocarditic strains in infected primary cardiac myocytes, and this phenotype is determined by genetic differences in the S1 and M1 genes responsible for encoding the $\sigma 1/\sigma 1s$ and $\mu 2$ viral proteins, respectively. Despite synthesizing larger quantities of viral mRNA, myocarditic strains do not replicate to higher titers than non-myocarditic strains in primary cardiac myocytes, and myocarditic and non-myocarditic strains are equally efficient at infecting primary cardiac myocytes [68]. Increased synthesis of viral mRNA by myocarditic strains is a consequence of their increased capacity to suppress antiviral responses. Myocarditic strains induce less IFN- α/β than non-myocarditic strains in infected myocytes or are less sensitive to the antiviral effects of IFNs [69]. Reovirus genes M1, L2, and S2 are determinants of viral strain-specific differences in induction and sensitivity to IFN- α/β [68]. However, the reovirus $\mu 2$ -encoding M1 gene is the primary determinant of strain differences in reovirus-induced myocarditis. As a consequence of a single amino-acid polymorphism at amino acid residue 208, the $\mu 2$ protein from myocarditic strains can repress IFN- β signaling [70]. The mechanism by which $\mu 2$ represses IFN signaling is likely multifactorial. Inhibition of IFN signaling by $\mu 2$ correlates with nuclear distribution of IFN regulatory factor 9 (IRF9), and $\mu 2$ interacts with the mRNA splicing factor SRSF2 in nuclear speckles and modifies cellular splicing, perhaps affecting the IFN response [71,72]. The idea that myocarditic strains that synthesize greater amounts of viral RNA in cardiac myocytes would synthesize less IFN is somewhat puzzling, as increased viral RNA could be thought to better stimulate PRRs and lead to an enhanced IFN response. One suggestion is that the negative effects of increased viral RNA is counteracted by the increased translation and synthesis of $\sigma 3$, which acts to dampen the effects of dsRNA and limit activation of PRRs and downstream IFN synthesis [37,73]. The $\sigma 3$ protein may play a direct role in suppression of IFN- β synthesis independent of its capacity to bind dsRNA [73]. The pathway of induction of type I IFN by reovirus infection in cardiac myocytes requires PKR, as

synthesis of IFN is severely compromised in PKR KO cardiac myocytes [74]. Similarly, IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) is required for induction of IFN- β in primary cardiac myocytes, and mice lacking IRF3 develop severe myocarditis [75,76]. Finally, mice lacking the p50 subunit of NF- κ B are highly susceptible to reovirus-induced myocarditis such that normally non-myocarditic strains can cause the disease [77]. It is thought that activation of NF- κ B in cardiac myocytes is required for an IFN- α/β response to viral infection. However, activation of NF- κ B in primary cardiac myocytes is largely recalcitrant to reovirus infection or treatment with tumor necrosis factor- α , demonstrating only a minimal response when compared to cardiac fibroblasts. Primary cardiac myocytes express high basal levels of type I IFNs because of constitutive activation of the mitochondrial antiviral signaling pathway (MAVS) [78], and it is possible that the minimal NF- κ B response to infection is sufficient to stimulate a protective IFN response. The minimal NF- κ B response may benefit cardiac myocytes by preventing pro-apoptotic effects of NF- κ B [79]. Indeed, NF- κ B is not required for reovirus-induced apoptosis in the heart [77]. Our findings that the σ 3-K287T dsRNA-binding mutant virus does not induce myocarditis in mice and activates PKR and phosphorylation of eIF2 α fit with previous observations of the protective role of PKR in suppressing reovirus-induced myocarditis [74]. The other σ 3 dsRNA-binding mutant virus (R296T) did not activate PKR in cultured cells and induced myocarditis in mice. Similar to WT T1L, the R296T mutant is capable of suppressing an IFN response in HEK293 cells [73], indicating that dsRNA-binding is also not required for this function.

We show that the reovirus σ 3 protein is required for viral pathogenesis and functions to suppress PKR activation independently of its capacity to bind dsRNA. This work contributes new information about properties of σ 3 and enhances an understanding of how viruses evade cell-intrinsic defenses to achieve efficient replication.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jen Grenier and Faraz Ahmed for assistance with RNAseq analysis, Dr. Lauren Webb for assistance in BMDCs culture, and Mr. Andrew Brodrick for assistance with confocal microscopy. This research was supported by grants AI121216 to J.S.L.P and AIAI038296 to T.S.D. from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. STC was funded through the Cornell Institute of Host-Microbe Interactions and Disease (CIHMID) and NIH grant T32 AI145821.

Materials and methods

Cells and viruses

HEK293T were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented to contain 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, 1% sodium pyruvate, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Caco-2 cells were cultured in EMEM supplemented to contain 20% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, 1% non-essential amino acids, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. L929 cells were cultured in Joklik's modified Eagle's minimal essential medium (Sigma) supplemented to contain 5% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 0.25 µg/ml of amphotericin B. BHK-T7 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented to contain 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 1 mg/ml G418. A549 parental cells, PKR KO, RNase L KO, PKR/RNase L DKO cells were kind gifts from Dr. Roy Parker's lab at the University of Colorado and were cultured in DMEM/F12 supplemented to contain 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin.

All viruses used in this paper were recovered using reverse genetics [80,81]. Reovirus gene segments in pT7 vector plasmids were co-transfected with pCAG-FAST, pCAG-D1R, and pCAG-D12L into BHK-T7 cells using TransIT-LT1 (Mirus) following the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 2 days before being frozen at -80°C. Two freeze-thaw cycles were performed before plaque isolation. All viruses were purified, and dsRNA genome material was extracted and verified by sanger sequencing.

Plasmids

For engineering of plasmids that express $\sigma 3$ WT and mutants, $\sigma 3$ WT and mutant sequences flanked by BamHI and EcoRI sites were synthesized commercially by ATUM and then cloned into pWPXL-GFP plasmids to replace GFP sequence through T4 ligation (NEB). pWPXL-Flag and pWPXL-HA vectors were generated by ATUM by replacing GFP with Flag- or HA- 'stuffer sequences' flanked by BamHI and EcoRI sites. Then, $\sigma 3$ WT or mutant sequences were cloned into pWPXL-Flag or pWPXL-HA using T4 ligation. For pT7- $\sigma 3$ (T1L) or pT7- $\sigma 3$ (T3D) plasmids, small DNA fragments (~ 200 bp) containing the indicated $\sigma 3$ mutant sites were synthesized by ATUM and were used to replace the pT7- $\sigma 3$ WT sequences using T4 ligation.

SDS-PAGE, immunoblotting, and antibodies

To detect $\sigma 3$, $\mu 1$, μ NS, σ NS, actin, and other unphosphorylated cellular proteins, cell pellets were lysed on ice in NETN buffer (100 mM NaCl; 20 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0; 0.5 mM EDTA; 0.5 % [v/v] Nonidet P-40) for 30 mins before being diluted in 5X SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Samples were then boiled at 95°C for 10 mins and resolved in 4-15 % Mini-PROTEAN® TGXT™ Precast Protein Gels (BioRad) for immunoblot analysis. To detect phosphorylated PKR and eIF2 α , cell pellets were collected in denaturing lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0; 50 mM NaCl; 0.5 % [v/v] Nonidet P-40; 0.5 % deoxycholate; 0.5 % SDS; 1 mM EDTA). After 30 mins incubation on ice, lysate was then diluted in 5X SDS-PAGE sample buffer and boiled at 95°C for 10 mins prior to immunoblotting analysis.

The following antibodies were used for immunoblotting: chicken anti- μ NS polyclonal antibody [61], guinea pig anti- σ NS polyclonal antibody [82], and rabbit anti- $\sigma 3$ polyclonal antibody [83]. The mouse anti- $\sigma 3$ monoclonal antibody 4F2, mouse anti- $\mu 1$ antibody 4A3, and mouse anti- $\lambda 2$ antibody 7F4 were deposited to the DSHB by Dr. Terence Dermody. Other commercial antibodies used are detailed in Table S1.

Poly (I:C) pull down assay

Poly (C) coated agarose beads were resuspended with poly (I) in 50 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.0-150 mM NaCl to form poly (I:C) beads as previously described [84,85]. Poly (I:C) Beads were then incubated with cell lysates derived from pWPXL- σ 3 transfected cells. Two h post incubation, beads were pelleted by centrifugation at 1700 rpm for 2 mins and washed 4 times using NETN buffer. Beads were boiled in SDS sample buffer. Eluted proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting.

dsRNA extraction from reovirus-infected cells

To verify sequences of recombinant viruses, dsRNA was extracted from reovirus-infected cells for sanger sequencing. Generally, L929 cells were infected with P2 virus stock at 5 PFU per cell. Cells were harvested for total RNA extraction using TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher) when > 30% of cells showed cytopathic effect. After total RNA extraction, Lithium chloride was added (final concentration is 2 M) to precipitate ssRNA at 4°C for at least 8 h. RNA was pelleted by centrifugation in a microcentrifuge at 12,000 rpm for 10 mins. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and 400 μ l of 100% ethanol and 33.33 μ l of ammonium acetate (stock concentration 7.5 M) was added. After incubation at 4°C for 2 h, dsRNA was pelleted, washed with 75% ethanol and resuspended in water. Viral dsRNA was denatured at 95°C for 3 mins and then S4 gene segments were amplified by RT-PCR. PCR product was sent for sanger sequencing.

Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells

Single cell suspensions of mouse bone marrow cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 20 ng/ml GM-CSF (PeproTech 315-03). Fresh medium containing 20 ng/ml GM-CSF was provided on days 3, day 6, and day 8 post-isolation. On day 10, cells were gently harvested and counted/validated using flow cytometry to detect surface markers CD11c+, MHC II, CD40, and CD80. Cells were then incubated with 10 PFU per cell of reovirus at 37°C for 1 h. After 1 h of binding, cells were washed with PBS that was pre-warmed at 37 °C, resuspended in pre-warmed RPMI medium

supplemented to contain 5 ng/ml GM-CSF, and seeded into non-tissue-culture treated 24-well plates at a density of 1×10^6 cells per well. At 24 h pi, cells were harvested to assess viral titer by plaque assay.

Virus replication curves

L929 cells were inoculated with different multiplicities of recombinant reovirus (MOI 0.1 for multiple steps grow curve and MOI 5 for single step growth curve). At different intervals post-inoculation, viral titers were determined by plaque assay. For A549, Caco-2, and BMDCs, viruses were inoculated at 10 PFU per cell, and virus titer was measured 24 h pi.

Co-immunoprecipitation assay

For dimerization assays, both Flag-tagged $\sigma 3$ and HA-tagged $\sigma 3$ were co-transfected into HEK293 cells at a 1:1 ratio. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were collected and lysed with NETN buffer on ice for 30 mins. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation and supernatants were incubated with anti-Flag M2 agarose (Sigma) at 4°C for 2 h. Beads were washed 4 times with NETN buffer and subsequently boiled with SDS sample buffer. HA was detected by immunoblot analysis.

For $\mu 1$ - $\sigma 3$ interaction studies, pCI- $\mu 1$ and pWPXL- $\sigma 3$ were co-transfected into HEK293 cells. Cell lysates were incubated with $\mu 1$ monoclonal antibody 4A3 at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with recombinant Protein G agarose (Invitrogen) for 2 h at 4 °C. Beads were pelleted, washed 4 times with NETN, and boiled with SDS sample buffer.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were seeded onto coverslips 24 h prior to infection. At 18 h after reovirus infection, or 8 h after poly(I:C) treatment, or 1 h after sodium arsenite (SA) treatment, cells were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS at room temperature for 10 min. Cells were then incubated in permeabilization buffer (1% BSA and 0.1% TritonX-100 in PBS) for 15 mins, followed by primary antibody incubation (diluted in PBS) at room temperature for 30 mins and fluorescence-

conjugated secondary antibody incubation at room temperature for 30 mins. After washing, coverslips were then mounted onto glass slides with ProLong Gold Anti-Fade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen). Images were collected by Nikon TE2000 inverted microscope and were processed using Photoshop (Adobe) and Illustrator (Adobe) software.

Single-molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH)

To visualize *S4* mRNA in reovirus-infected cells, a set of *S4* mRNA FISH probe consisting of 39 CAL Fluor[®] Red 610 Dye-conjugated primers was designed using Stellaris[®] Probe Designer (Table S2). To co-stain for TIAR and μ NS, a sequential IF +FISH protocol was used. Briefly, A549 cells were infected with 100 PFU per cell of reovirus and fixed with 3% PFA at 18 h pi. After incubation at room temperature for 10 mins, cells were washed twice with PBS, incubated with permeabilization buffer for 15 mins, followed by primary antibodies incubation that are specifically against TIAR and μ NS (diluted in PBS) at room temperature for 30 mins, and fluorescence-conjugated secondary antibody incubation at room temperature for 30 mins. After washing with PBS, cells were washed with 1 ml of Wash buffer A (Biosearch Technologies, SMF-WA1-60). To probe for *S4* mRNA, cells were then incubated with *S4* probes diluted in Hybridization buffer (Biosearch Technologies, SMF-HB1-10) in a humidified chamber at 37°C for 4 h in the dark. After that, cells were then washed in Wash buffer A at 37°C for 1 h in dark. A final wash step was performed using Wash buffer B (Biosearch Technologies Cat# SMF-WB1-2) for 5 mins before mounting coverslips with ProLong Gold Anti-Fade reagent (Invitrogen). Images were collected using Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000 and were processed using Photoshop (Adobe) and Illustrator (Adobe) software.

Limited proteolysis of $\sigma 3$ with trypsin

T1L WT or T1L $\sigma 3$ mutant virions (2×10^{12} particles per ml in 100 μ l) were incubated with 10 μ g/ml trypsin (Sigma) at 8°C in a MyCycler[™] thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). At the indicated intervals,

10 µl of each reaction was mixed with SDS sample buffer and boiled for 10 mins. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue was used to stain gels. Band intensity was analyzed using Image J software.

Infection of mice

Three-to-four-day-old C57BL/6J mice were inoculated perorally with 10⁷ PFU of purified reovirus diluted in PBS. Intramedic polyethylene 0.28/0.61 mm tubing, 1 mL slip-tip syringes, and a 30-gauge needle were used for inoculations. At different intervals post-inoculation, mice were euthanized by isoflurane with a secondary decapitation, and tissues were collected.

For analysis of viral replication, organs were harvested into 1 mL of PBS, frozen and thawed twice, and homogenized using 5 mm stainless-steel beads and a TissueLyser (Qiagen). Virus titers in serum and organ homogenates were determined by plaque assay using L929 cells [86]. For immunohistological and RNA analyses, a separate cohort of similarly inoculated mice was euthanized at 8 or 4 dpi, respectively. Hearts were bisected, and half was collected into TRIzol and snap-frozen. Remaining halved-hearts were fixed in 10% formalin for 24-48 h and transferred to 70% EtOH prior to processing and embedding in paraffin. Consecutive 3-µm sections were stained with H&E, alizarin red, or reovirus antiserum, followed by a DAB secondary stain and hematoxylin counterstain.

All animal husbandry and work conform to the U.S. Public Health Service policy and was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the University of Pittsburgh.

Library preparation and RNA-seq analysis

Total RNA extracted from hearts 4 days post infection (as described above) was used as input for library preparation and analysis. Experimental groups consisted of mock infected mice, T1L-WT, T1L-K287T, an T1L-R296T infected mice. For each experimental group, triplicate samples were obtained. Library preparation was prepared by Cornell University's Transcriptional Regulation and Expression Facility (TReX). Total RNA was first depleted of host (mouse) ribosomal RNA using the NEBNext rRNA Depletion kit according to manufacturer's protocol.

Library preparation was performed using the NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep kit according to manufacturer's protocol. Paired end sequencing of 150 base pairs per read was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 instrument.

RNAseq datasets underwent quality control filtering and adapter removal using cutadapt version 2.1 [87] with the following settings: -a AGATCGGAAGAGC -A AGATCGGAAGAGC -g GCTCTTCCGATCT -G GCTCTTCCGATCT -a AGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG -A AGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG -g CTGTCTCTTATACACATCT -G CTGTCTCTTATACACATCT, -q 30,30, --minimum-length 80, and -u 1. Remaining reads were mapped to the Mus musculus genome assembly GRCm38.95 from Ensembl using HISAT2 version 2.2.1 [88]. Read mapping was tabulated using featureCounts version 2.0.1 [89] to the Mus musculus GRCm38.95 gtf file with the following settings: -t exon -g gene_id. This read count table was used for differential gene expression analysis using DESeq2 version 1.22.2 [90] in Rstudio using R version 3.5.1.

The raw sequencing datasets can be found on NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA699030 and analysis scripts can be found at: https://github.com/scross92/sigma3_mutants_RNAseq_analysis.

RT-qPCR

To quantify *S4/M3* mRNA, total RNA extracted from reovirus-infected cells were used for reverse transcription using SuperScript™ III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) without pre-denaturing steps. Random hexamer primer (Thermo Fisher SO142) was used for RT steps.

After cDNA was generated, *S4/M3* mRNA or housekeeping gene *GAPDH* was assessed by Applied Biosystems™ Fast SYBR™ Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher 43-856012). Primers sequences are as follows: *S4* forward: 5'-CGCTTTTGAAGGTCGTGTATCA; *S4* reverse: 5'-CTGGCTGTGCTGAGATTGTTTT; *M3* forward: 5'-CGTGGTCATGGCTTCATTC; *M3* reverse: 5'-CACATGCTGATAAGGTATAGACAT; *GAPDH* forward: 5'-TGATGACATCAAGAAGGTGGTGAAG; *GAPDH* reverse: 5'-TCCTTGGAGGCCATGTAGGCCAT).

810 To examine replication of viral genome, we used a modified qPCR assay to quantify *S4*
811 negative-sense ssRNA which was described previously [91]. Briefly, total RNA extracted from
812 reovirus-infected cells was denatured at 95°C for 3 mins and subjected to reverse transcription
813 using SuperScript™ III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). A primer (*S4* forward: 5'-
814 CGCTTTTGAAGGTCGTGTATCA) that only binds to the negative-sense strand of *S4* was used
815 to reverse transcribe *S4* RNA to *S4* negative-sense cDNA. Subsequent PCR was performed
816 with both forward and reverse primers for *S4* as described above. All results were normalized to
817 T1L WT.
818

Table S1 Commercial antibodies used in this study

Antibody	Type	Supplier	Cat.No.
PKR (human)	Rabbit	Cell Signaling Technology	3072S
PKR (mouse)	Mouse	Santa Cruz	sc-6282
eIF2a	Rabbit	Santa Cruz	sc-11386
PKRpT446 (mouse)	Rabbit	Upstate Cell Signaling	07-532
PKRpT446 (human)	Rabbit	Abcam	32036
eIF2apS51	Rabbit	CST	9721
actin	Mouse	CST	3700
Flag	Mouse	Sigma-Aldrich	F3165
HA	Mouse	Covance	MMS-101P
Myc	Mouse	BioLegend	658502
G3BP	Mouse	Abcam	56574
TIAR	Rabbit	CST	5137
TIA1	Rabbit	Proteintech	12133-2
RNase L	Rabbit	Abcam	191392

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Identification of double-stranded RNA-binding defective mutants of $\sigma 3$. (A) Space-filling surface view of the $\sigma 3$ dimer structure (PDB ID: 1FN9) showing mutagenized positively charged residues (red and gold). Red indicates residues that disrupted the dsRNA-binding capacity of $\sigma 3$. Gold indicates residues that retain their capacity to bind to dsRNA. Residues labelled in gray indicate those express poorly. The $\sigma 3$ monomers are shown in different shades of gray. (B) Pull-down assay showing capacity of $\sigma 3$, but not σNS to bind poly(I:C). (C and D) Poly(I:C) pull-down assay showing capacity of $\sigma 3$ mutants to bind dsRNA and relative expression levels when proteins were expressed exogenously. Findings are summarized in the table. An asterisk (*) and gray indicate mutants that express poorly. Red indicates residues that disrupted the dsRNA-binding capacity of $\sigma 3$.

Figure 2. T1L, T1L-K287T, or T1L-R296T viral replication in A549, Caco-2, and bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDC). A549 (A) or Caco-2 (B) or BMDC (C) were infected with the indicated viruses at 10 PFU per cell. Plaque assays were used to assess viral yield. Data are reported as mean $\pm$ s.d., $n = 3$ independent experiments. For (A), P values were calculated to analyze the differences comparing with T1L WT using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test (* $P < 0.05$). For (B) and (C), P values were calculated using multiple non-paired t test. * $P < 0.05$, ns = not significant.

Figure 3. A549 cells infected with T1L-K287T contain higher levels of viral mRNA than WT-infected cells despite lower levels of viral replication and lower levels of viral protein. A549 cells were infected with T1L, either WT or K287T or R296T, at 100 PFU per cell. At 18 h pi, the levels of viral (A) s4 negative-sense RNA and (B) s4 and m3 mRNA were assayed by RT-qPCR. Relative RNA levels were first normalized to *GAPDH* levels and then WT RNA levels were set as 1. (C) Representative immunoblot showing the relative viral protein expression for each virus.

The immunoblot data was quantified and is shown to the right of the blot. (D) Levels of phosphorylated PKR and phosphorylated eIF2 α in mock-infected and WT-, K287T- and R296T-infected A549 cells at 18 h pi. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ s.d. of three independent experiments. Non-paired *t* tests were used to evaluate statistical significance. * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01, *** *P* < 0.001, **** *P* < 0.0001, ns = not significant.

Figure 4. Significantly more A549 cells infected with T1L-K287T contain TIAR-positive RNP granules at 18 h pi than cells infected with T1L-WT or -R296T. (A) Cells were infected at 100 PFU per cell with T1L-WT, -K287T, or -R296T. At 18 h pi, cells were fixed and immunostained with mouse anti- λ 2 monoclonal antibody (7F4) and anti-TIAR antibody. White asterisks show infected cells containing TIAR-positive granules. (B) Percentage of infected cells containing TIAR-positive granules at the indicated time points. A minimum of 200 cells were counted for each experiment. Data shown represent the mean $\pm$ s.d. of three independent experiments. Multiple comparison, non-paired *t* tests were used to analyze differences (ns= not significant, **** *P* < 0.0001). (C) T1L-K287T-infected A549 cells at 18 h pi showing co-localization of TIAR, G3BP and μ NS in some cells. White arrows indicate co-localization of these three proteins.

Figure 5. Viral RNA is sequestered in TIAR-positive granules in cells infected with T1L-K287T. Cells were infected at 100 PFU per cell with T1L-WT, -K287T, or -R296T. At 18 h pi, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence staining with antibodies against λ 2 (7F4) and TIAR, followed by secondary antibodies staining. Subsequently, CAL Fluor® Red 610 Dye-conjugated S4 mRNA probes were used to detect localization of S4 mRNA. Red arrowheads indicate colocalization of S4 mRNA and TIAR. Yellow arrowheads indicate colocalization of S4 mRNA and viral factories (λ 2). Red unfilled arrows indicate triple co-localization of TIAR, S4 mRNA and viral factories (λ 2). Images were collected using Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000.

Figure 6. T1L-K287T does not suppress activation of PKR and PKR-dependent stress granule (SG) formation. (A) Formation of TIAR-positive granules in A549 parental, PKR KO, RNase L KO and DKO A549 cells at 18 h pi. The cell lines shown were infected with WT or T1L-K287T or T1L-R296T mutant viruses (100 PFU per cell). At 18 h pi, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence staining with antibodies against TIAR and viral protein $\lambda 2$ and the number of infected cells containing > 3 foci of TIAR-positive granules were counted. Data are expressed as the percent of infected cells containing TIAR-positive granules ($n = 3$, > 200 infected cells counted in 10 randomly selected fields). Data shown represent the mean $\pm$ s.d. of three independent experiments. P values were calculated to analyze the differences comparing with A549 parental cells using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test (**** $P < 0.0001$). (B) Viral replication in A549 parental, PKR KO, RNase L KO and DKO cells. Cells were infected with the indicated viruses (10 PFU per cell). Viral growth is shown as change in viral titer from $T = 0$ to 24 h pi. Data shown represent the mean $\pm$ s.d. of three independent experiments. Multiple comparison, non-paired t tests were used to analyze differences (ns= not significant, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$). (C) PKR and eIF2 α are hyperphosphorylated in T1L-K287T infected A549 cells. Cells were infected as described in (A). A representative immunoblot is shown.

Figure 7. T1L-K287T displays tissue-specific impairment of viral replication. C57BL/6J 3-to-4-day old mice were inoculated perorally with 10^7 PFU of WT, K287T, or R296T virus. At the indicated times post-inoculation, mice were euthanized, and viral titers in organ homogenates were determined by plaque assay. Each symbol represents the viral titer of one mouse ($n = 4-8$ mice/group). Data are log transformed and plotted on a linear scale. Mean viral titer is shown, and error bars indicate SEM. P values were calculated using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Figure 8. Mice inoculated with T1L-K287T do not develop myocarditis. (A-C) C57BL/6J 3-4-day old mice were inoculated perorally with 10^7 PFU of WT, K287T, or R296T virus. Mice were euthanized 8 days post-inoculation. Hearts were photographed, processed for histochemistry, sectioned, and stained with either hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), reovirus polyclonal antiserum, or alizarin red. (A) Gross pathology of hearts. Arrowheads indicate myocarditic lesions visible as white defects in cardiac tissue. Scale bar, 5 mm. (B) Representative images of heart sections for the indicated viruses and stains are shown, with inset regions indicated by a box. Enlarged insets are shown. Scale bar for left panels, 2000 μ m. Scale bar for insets, 50 μ m. (C) Myocarditis severity was scored by quantifying the percent area of heart tissue stained with alizarin red. Each symbol indicates one mouse. *P* values were calculated using a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (*, *P* < 0.05).

Figure 9. T1L-K287T and T1L-R296T viruses exert minor differences on overall transcriptional responses in mouse hearts 4 days pi. (A) Volcano plots depicting relative fold changes of individual genes in reovirus infected (WT, mutants K287T and R296T) mice as compared to uninfected mice. Genes are colored based on an absolute $\log_2$ fold change greater than 1.5 (orange), an adjusted *p*-value less than 0.05 (gold), or both (green). (B) Venn diagrams illustrating the number of unique and shared differentially regulated genes ($\log_2$ fold change greater or less than 1.5, adjusted *p*-value < 0.05) across each reovirus infected group. (C) Difference of $\log_2$ fold changes across the 212 shared upregulated genes as compared to wild-type expression ($\log_2$ fold change (WT)- $\log_2$ fold change (mutant)). Points that are plotted on the horizontal line marked at 0 indicate no difference in fold change. Points plotted above the line indicate higher expression than wild-type and those plotted below the line indicate lower expression than wild-type. No statistical significance in differential gene expression (mutant vs wild-type) was observed across any of these 212 genes.

Figure 10. Model for the function of $\sigma 3$ in establishment of viral factories in reovirus-infected cells.

Figure S1. The capacity of mutants of $\sigma 3$ to dimerize and co-assemble with $\mu 1$ is unaffected by their loss of dsRNA-binding activities. (A) dsRNA-binding defective mutants of $\sigma 3$ remain capable of dimerizing. HA-tagged $\sigma 3$ was co-transfected together with Flag-tagged $\sigma 3$ into HEK293 cells. At 48 h pi, coimmunoprecipitation of HA-tagged $\sigma 3$ with FLAG-tagged $\sigma 3$ WT or dsRNA-binding defective mutants from cell lysates was performed using anti-Flag agarose beads for 2 h, followed by western blotting to detect indicated proteins. (B) dsRNA-binding defective mutants of $\sigma 3$ are capable of assembling with co-expressed $\mu 1$. $\mu 1$ was transfected into HEK293 cells together with either $\sigma 3$ WT or dsRNA-binding defective mutants. At 48 h post-transfection, coimmunoprecipitation of WT and dsRNA-binding mutants of $\sigma 3$ was performed using a monoclonal antibody against $\mu 1$ (4A3). Unless specified, $\sigma 3$ was detected by monoclonal antibody (4F2).

Figure S2. Recombinant T3D and T1L viruses that carry dsRNA-binding defective $\sigma 3$ mutations grow with similar kinetics to WT virus during (A) single and (B) multiple step replication in L929 cells. Cells were infected with the indicated WT or mutant viruses at 5 PFU per cell (single step replication) or 0.1 PFU per cell (multiple step replication). Change in viral titer was determined by plaque assay. Data are reported as mean $\pm$ S.D. of three independent experiments.

Figure S3. Levels of PKR and eIF2a phosphorylation in L929 cells infected with T1L-WT, T1L-K287T, and T1L-R296T are comparable. Cells were mock-infected or infected with the indicated viruses at 5 PFU per cell. At 18 h pi, cell lysates were collected in denaturing lysis buffer for immunoblotting and probed with antibodies against viral proteins μ NS and $\sigma 3$, and cellular proteins PKR, phosphorylated PKR (T446), phosphorylated eIF2a (S51), and actin.

954
 955 **Figure S4.** The *in vitro* trypsin sensitivity of $\sigma 3$ on purified virions of T1L-WT, T1L-K287T and
 956 T1L-R296T are equivalent. (A) Equal concentrations of purified virions were incubated with 10
 957 ng/ml trypsin at 8°C. At the indicated time points, equivalent volumes of digestion aliquots were
 958 collected and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue (representative experiment).
 959 (B) Band intensities of $\sigma 3$ were measured using Image J software and normalized to the
 960 intensity at T = 0. Data shown represent the mean $\pm$ s.d. of two independent experiments.

961
 962 **Figure S5.** S4 mRNA, but not GAPDH mRNA specifically localizes to viral factories. A549 cells
 963 were either mock infected or infected with T1L-WT at 100 PFU per cell. At 18 h pi, cells were
 964 fixed for immunostaining with antibodies against viral protein $\lambda 2$ followed by secondary
 965 antibodies staining. Subsequently, CAL Fluor® Red 610 Dye-conjugated S4 mRNA probes or
 966 Quasar® 670 Dye-conjugated GAPDH mRNA probes were used to detect S4 mRNA or GAPDH
 967 mRNA, respectively. Images were collected using Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000.

968
 969 **Figure S6.** G3BP and TIAR localize to both RNase L-dependent bodies (RLBs) and SGs. A549
 970 cells or A549 RNase KO cells were transfected with poly(I:C) at 500 ng/ml using Lipofectamine
 971 2000 following the manufacturer's instructions. At 8 h post-transfection, cells were fixed and
 972 immunostained for G3BP and TIAR. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI.

973
 974 **Figure S7.** Induction of RLBs and SGs in A549 parental, PKR KO, RNase KO and DKO cells by
 975 transfection with poly(I:C) or treatment with sodium arsenite. Cells as indicated were transfected
 976 with 500 ng/ml poly(I:C) and then incubated for 8 h (upper) or treated with 0.5 mM sodium
 977 arsenite for 1 h (middle) or left untreated (bottom). After transfection with poly(I:C) or treatment
 978 with SA, the cells were fixed and immunostained for TIA1 and G3BP. Nuclei were
 979 counterstained with DAPI.

980

981 **Figure S8.** Formation of SGs (TIA-1-positive, G3BP-positive) and RLBs (TIA-1-negative, G3BP-
 982 positive) in WT, PKR KO, RNase L KO, and DKO A549 cells infected with T1L-K287T and T1L-
 983 R296T viruses. Cells as indicated were infected with either T1L-K287T or T1L-R296T virus at
 984 100 PFU per cell. At 18 h pi, cells were fixed and immunostained for TIA1, G3BP and μ NS.
 985 Merged image is showing colocalization of TIA1 and G3BP1. Images were collected using
 986 Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000.

References

1. Chow KT, Gale M, Loo Y-M. RIG-I and Other RNA Sensors in Antiviral Immunity. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2018;36: 667–694. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-042617-053309
2. Schoggins JW. Interferon-Stimulated Genes: What Do They All Do? *Annu Rev Virol.* 2019;6: 567–584. doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015756
3. Hur S. Double-Stranded RNA Sensors and Modulators in Innate Immunity. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2019;37: 349–375. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-042718-041356
4. Pindel A, Sadler A. The Role of Protein Kinase R in the Interferon Response. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research.* 2010;31: 59–70. doi:10.1089/jir.2010.0099
5. Costa-Mattioli M, Walter P. The integrated stress response: From mechanism to disease. *Science.* 2020;368. doi:10.1126/science.aat5314
6. Wek RC. Role of eIF2 α Kinases in Translational Control and Adaptation to Cellular Stress. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2018;10: a032870. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a032870
7. Ivanov P, Kedersha N, Anderson P. Stress Granules and Processing Bodies in Translational Control. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2019;11: a032813. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a032813
8. Lloyd RE. Regulation of stress granules and P-bodies during RNA virus infection. *Wiley interdisciplinary reviews RNA.* 2013;4: 317–331. doi:10.1002/wrna.1162

- 1005 9. Yoneyama M, Jogi M, Onomoto K. Regulation of antiviral innate immune signaling by
1006 stress-induced RNA granules. *J Biochem.* 2016;159: 279–286. doi:10.1093/jb/mvv122
- 1007 10. Li S, Min J-Y, Krug RM, Sen GC. Binding of the influenza A virus NS1 protein to PKR
1008 mediates the inhibition of its activation by either PACT or double-stranded RNA. *Virology.*
1009 2006;349: 13–21. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2006.01.005
- 1010 11. White JP, Cardenas AM, Marissen WE, Lloyd RE. Inhibition of cytoplasmic mRNA stress
1011 granule formation by a viral proteinase. *Cell Host and Microbe.* 2007;2: 295–305.
1012 doi:10.1016/j.chom.2007.08.006
- 1013 12. Burke JM, Lester ET, Tauber D, Parker R. RNase L promotes the formation of unique
1014 ribonucleoprotein granules distinct from stress granules. *J Biol Chem.* 2020;295: 1426–
1015 1438. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA119.011638
- 1016 13. Dermody TS, Parker JSL, Sherry B. Orthoreoviruses. 6th ed. *Fields Virology.* 6th ed.
1017 Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013. pp. 1304–1346.
- 1018 14. Zweerink HJ, Ito Y, Matsuhisa T. Synthesis of reovirus double-stranded RNA within
1019 virionlike particles. *Virology.* 1972;50: 349–358.
- 1020 15. Huismans H, Joklik WK. Reovirus-coded polypeptides in infected cells: isolation of two
1021 native monomeric polypeptides with affinity for single-stranded and double-stranded RNA,
1022 respectively. *Virology.* 1976;70: 411–424.

- 1023 16. Yue Z, Shatkin AJ. Double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR) is regulated by
1024 reovirus structural proteins. *Virology*. 1997;234: 364–371. doi:10.1006/viro.1997.8664
- 1025 17. Lloyd RM, Shatkin AJ. Translational stimulation by reovirus polypeptide sigma 3:
1026 substitution for VAI RNA and inhibition of phosphorylation of the alpha subunit of
1027 eukaryotic initiation factor 2. *Journal of Virology*. 1992;66: 6878–6884.
- 1028 18. Beattie E, Denzler KL, Tartaglia J, Perkus ME, Paoletti E, Jacobs BL. Reversal of the
1029 interferon-sensitive phenotype of a vaccinia virus lacking E3L by expression of the reovirus
1030 S4 gene. *Journal of Virology*. 1995;69: 499–505.
- 1031 19. Smith JA, Schmechel SC, Williams BRG, Silverman RH, Schiff LA. Involvement of the
1032 interferon-regulated antiviral proteins PKR and RNase L in reovirus-induced shutoff of
1033 cellular translation. *Journal of Virology*. 2005;79: 2240–2250. doi:10.1128/JVI.79.4.2240-
1034 2250.2005
- 1035 20. Smith JA, Schmechel SC, Raghavan A, Abelson M, Reilly C, Katze MG, et al. Reovirus
1036 induces and benefits from an integrated cellular stress response. *Journal of Virology*.
1037 2006;80: 2019–2033. doi:10.1128/JVI.80.4.2019-2033.2006
- 1038 21. Lemay G, Millward S. Expression of the cloned S4 gene of reovirus serotype 3 in
1039 transformed eucaryotic cells: enrichment of the viral protein in the crude initiation factor
1040 fraction. *Virus research*. 1986;6: 133–140.

- 1041 22. Yue Z, Shatkin AJ. Regulated, stable expression and nuclear presence of reovirus double-
1042 stranded RNA-binding protein sigma3 in HeLa cells. *Journal of Virology*. 1996;70: 3497–
1043 3501.
- 1044 23. Gaillard RK, Joklik WK. The relative translation efficiencies of reovirus messenger RNAs.
1045 *Virology*. 1985;147: 336–348.
- 1046 24. Mochow-Grundy M, Dermody TS. The reovirus S4 gene 3' nontranslated region contains a
1047 translational operator sequence. *Journal of Virology*. 2001;75: 6517–6526.
1048 doi:10.1128/JVI.75.14.6517-6526.2001
- 1049 25. Sharpe AH, Fields BN. Reovirus inhibition of cellular RNA and protein synthesis: role of the
1050 S4 gene. *Virology*. 1982;122: 381–391.
- 1051 26. Schmechel S, Chute M, Skinner P, Anderson R, Schiff L. Preferential translation of reovirus
1052 mRNA by a sigma3-dependent mechanism. *Virology*. 1997;232: 62–73.
1053 doi:10.1006/viro.1997.8531
- 1054 27. Qin Q, Hastings C, Miller CL. Mammalian Orthoreovirus Particles Induce and Are Recruited
1055 into Stress Granules at Early Times Postinfection. *Journal of Virology*. 2009;83: 11090–
1056 11101. doi:10.1128/JVI.01239-09
- 1057 28. Qin Q, Carroll K, Hastings C, Miller CL. Mammalian orthoreovirus escape from host
1058 translational shutoff correlates with stress granule disruption and is independent of
1059 eIF2alpha phosphorylation and PKR. *Journal of Virology*. 2011;85: 8798–8810.
1060 doi:10.1128/JVI.01831-10

- 1061 29. Lutz MM, Worth MP, Hinchman MM, Parker JSL, Ledgerwood ED. Mammalian
1062 orthoreovirus Infection is Enhanced in Cells Pre-Treated with Sodium Arsenite. *Viruses*.
1063 2019;11: 563. doi:10.3390/v11060563
- 1064 30. Choudhury P, Bussiere LD, Miller CL. Mammalian Orthoreovirus Factories Modulate Stress
1065 Granule Protein Localization by Interaction with G3BP1. *J Virol*. 2017;91: e01298-17.
1066 doi:10.1128/JVI.01298-17
- 1067 31. Carroll K, Hastings C, Miller CL. Amino acids 78 and 79 of Mammalian Orthoreovirus
1068 protein μ NS are necessary for stress granule localization, core protein λ 2 interaction, and
1069 de novo virus replication. *Virology*. 2014;448: 133–145. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2013.10.009
- 1070 32. Olland AM, Jané-Valbuena J, Schiff LA, Nibert ML, Harrison SC. Structure of the reovirus
1071 outer capsid and dsRNA-binding protein sigma3 at 1.8 Å resolution. *The EMBO journal*.
1072 2001;20: 979–989. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.5.979
- 1073 33. Denzler K, Jacobs BL. Site-Directed Mutagenic Analysis of Reovirus σ 3 Protein Binding to
1074 dsRNA. *Virology*. 1994;204: 190–199. doi:10.1006/viro.1994.1523
- 1075 34. Wang Q, Bergeron J, Mabrouk T, Lemay G. Site-directed mutagenesis of the double-
1076 stranded RNA binding domain of bacterially-expressed sigma 3 reovirus protein. *Virus*
1077 *research*. 1996;41: 141–151.
- 1078 35. Liemann S, Chandran K, Baker TS, Nibert ML, Harrison SC. Structure of the reovirus
1079 membrane-penetration protein, Mu1, in a complex with its protector protein, Sigma3. *Cell*.
1080 2002;108: 283–295.

- 1081 36. Boehme KW, Ikizler M, Kobayashi T, Dermody TS. Reverse genetics for mammalian
1082 reovirus. *Methods (San Diego, Calif)*. 2011;55: 109–113. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2011.07.002
- 1083 37. Imani F, Jacobs BL. Inhibitory activity for the interferon-induced protein kinase is
1084 associated with the reovirus serotype 1 sigma 3 protein. *Proceedings of the National*
1085 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 1988;85: 7887–7891.
- 1086 38. Sturzenbecker LJ, Nibert ML, Furlong D, Fields BN. Intracellular digestion of reovirus
1087 particles requires a low pH and is an essential step in the viral infectious cycle. *Journal of*
1088 *Virology*. 1987;61: 2351–2361.
- 1089 39. Danthi P, Holm GH, Stehle T, Dermody TS. Reovirus receptors, cell entry, and proapoptotic
1090 signaling. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. 2013;790: 42–71.
1091 doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-7651-1_3
- 1092 40. Burke JM, Moon SL, Matheny T, Parker R. RNase L Reprograms Translation by Widespread
1093 mRNA Turnover Escaped by Antiviral mRNAs. *Molecular Cell*. 2019;75: 1203-1217.e5.
1094 doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.07.029
- 1095 41. Weber F, Wagner V, Rasmussen SB, Hartmann R, Paludan SR. Double-Stranded RNA Is
1096 Produced by Positive-Strand RNA Viruses and DNA Viruses but Not in Detectable Amounts
1097 by Negative-Strand RNA Viruses. *Journal of Virology*. 2006;80: 5059–5064.
1098 doi:10.1128/JVI.80.10.5059-5064.2006
- 1099 42. Sherry B, Schoen FJ, Wenske E, Fields BN. Derivation and characterization of an efficiently
1100 myocarditic reovirus variant. *Journal of Virology*. 1989;63: 4840–4849.

- 1101 43. Saunders LR, Barber GN. The dsRNA binding protein family: critical roles, diverse cellular
1102 functions. The FASEB Journal. 2003;17: 961–983. doi:10.1096/fj.02-0958rev
- 1103 44. Dueck KJ, Hu Y (Sandy), Chen P, Deschambault Y, Lee J, Varga J, et al. Mutational Analysis
1104 of Vaccinia Virus E3 Protein: the Biological Functions Do Not Correlate with Its Biochemical
1105 Capacity To Bind Double-Stranded RNA. Journal of Virology. 2015;89: 5382–5394.
1106 doi:10.1128/JVI.03288-14
- 1107 45. Myskiw C, Arsenio J, Hammett C, Bruggen R van, Deschambault Y, Beausoleil N, et al.
1108 Comparative Analysis of Poxvirus Orthologues of the Vaccinia Virus E3 Protein: Modulation
1109 of Protein Kinase R Activity, Cytokine Responses, and Virus Pathogenicity. Journal of
1110 Virology. 2011;85: 12280–12291. doi:10.1128/JVI.05505-11
- 1111 46. Min J-Y, Krug RM. The primary function of RNA binding by the influenza A virus NS1
1112 protein in infected cells: Inhibiting the 2'-5' oligo (A) synthetase/RNase L pathway. PNAS.
1113 2006;103: 7100–7105. doi:10.1073/pnas.0602184103
- 1114 47. Miller JE, Samuel CE. Proteolytic cleavage of the reovirus sigma 3 protein results in
1115 enhanced double-stranded RNA-binding activity: identification of a repeated basic amino
1116 acid motif within the C-terminal binding region. Journal of Virology. 1992;66: 5347–5356.
- 1117 48. Mabrouk T, Danis C, Lemay G. Two basic motifs of reovirus sigma 3 protein are involved in
1118 double-stranded RNA binding. Biochemistry and cell biology = Biochimie et biologie
1119 cellulaire. 1995;73: 137–145.

- 1120 49. Hofmann S, Kedersha N, Anderson P, Ivanov P. Molecular mechanisms of stress granule
1121 assembly and disassembly. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research*.
1122 2021;1868: 118876. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2020.118876
- 1123 50. Yang P, Mathieu C, Kolaitis R-M, Zhang P, Messing J, Yurtsever U, et al. G3BP1 Is a Tunable
1124 Switch that Triggers Phase Separation to Assemble Stress Granules. *Cell*. 2020;181: 325-
1125 345.e28. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.046
- 1126 51. Guillén-Boixet J, Kopach A, Holehouse AS, Wittmann S, Jahnel M, Schlüßler R, et al. RNA-
1127 Induced Conformational Switching and Clustering of G3BP Drive Stress Granule Assembly
1128 by Condensation. *Cell*. 2020;181: 346-361.e17. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.049
- 1129 52. Nguyen TA, Smith BRC, Elgass KD, Creed SJ, Cheung S, Tate MD, et al. SIDT1 Localizes to
1130 Endolysosomes and Mediates Double-Stranded RNA Transport into the Cytoplasm. *The*
1131 *Journal of Immunology*. 2019;202: 3483–3492. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1801369
- 1132 53. Nguyen TA, Smith BRC, Tate MD, Belz GT, Barrios MH, Elgass KD, et al. SIDT2 Transports
1133 Extracellular dsRNA into the Cytoplasm for Innate Immune Recognition. *Immunity*.
1134 2017;47: 498-509.e6. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2017.08.007
- 1135 54. Bischoff JR, Samuel CE. Mechanism of interferon action. Activation of the human P1/eIF-2
1136 alpha protein kinase by individual reovirus s-class mRNAs: s1 mRNA is a potent activator
1137 relative to s4 mRNA. *Virology*. 1989;172: 106–115.

- 1138 55. Tsai W-C, Gayatri S, Reineke LC, Sbardella G, Bedford MT, Lloyd RE. Arginine
1139 Demethylation of G3BP1 Promotes Stress Granule Assembly. J Biol Chem. 2016;291:
1140 22671–22685. doi:10.1074/jbc.M116.739573
- 1141 56. Reineke LC, Tsai W-C, Jain A, Kaelber JT, Jung SY, Lloyd RE. Casein Kinase 2 Is Linked to
1142 Stress Granule Dynamics through Phosphorylation of the Stress Granule Nucleating
1143 Protein G3BP1. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2017;37. doi:10.1128/MCB.00596-16
- 1144 57. Reineke LC, Dougherty JD, Pierre P, Lloyd RE. Large G3BP-induced granules trigger eIF2 α
1145 phosphorylation. Molecular biology of the cell. 2012;23: 3499–3510.
1146 doi:10.1091/mbc.E12-05-0385
- 1147 58. Mateju D, Eichenberger B, Voigt F, Eglinger J, Roth G, Chao JA. Single-Molecule Imaging
1148 Reveals Translation of mRNAs Localized to Stress Granules. Cell. 2020;
1149 S0092867420315270. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.010
- 1150 59. Reineke LC, Lloyd RE. The stress granule protein G3BP1 recruits PKR to promote multiple
1151 innate immune antiviral responses. Journal of Virology. 2014; JVI.02791-14.
1152 doi:10.1128/JVI.02791-14
- 1153 60. Reineke LC, Kedersha N, Langereis MA, van Kuppeveld FJM, Lloyd RE. Stress granules
1154 regulate double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase activation through a complex
1155 containing G3BP1 and Caprin1. mBio. 2015;6: e02486. doi:10.1128/mBio.02486-14
- 1156 61. Desmet EA, Anguish LJ, Parker JSL. Virus-Mediated Compartmentalization of the Host
1157 Translational Machinery. mBio. 2014;5. doi:10.1128/mBio.01463-14

- 1158 62. Stangl E, Aschauer W, Zähringer J, Hübner G. Reovirus myocarditis. *European Heart Journal*.
1159 1987;8: 407–409. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/8.suppl_J.407
- 1160 63. Sherry B, Li XY, Tyler KL, Cullen JM, Virgin HW. Lymphocytes protect against and are not
1161 required for reovirus-induced myocarditis. *Journal of Virology*. 1993;67: 6119–6124.
- 1162 64. DeBiasi RL, Clarke P, Meintzer S, Jotte R, Kleinschmidt-Demasters BK, Johnson GL, et al.
1163 Reovirus-induced alteration in expression of apoptosis and DNA repair genes with
1164 potential roles in viral pathogenesis. *Journal of Virology*. 2003;77: 8934–8947.
- 1165 65. DeBiasi RL, Edelstein CL, Sherry B, Tyler KL. Calpain inhibition protects against virus-
1166 induced apoptotic myocardial injury. *Journal of Virology*. 2001;75: 351–361.
1167 doi:10.1128/JVI.75.1.351-361.2001
- 1168 66. DeBiasi RL, Robinson BA, Leser JS, Brown RD, Long CS, Clarke P. Critical role for death-
1169 receptor mediated apoptotic signaling in viral myocarditis. *Journal of cardiac failure*.
1170 2010;16: 901–910. doi:10.1016/j.cardfail.2010.05.030
- 1171 67. Kim M, Hansen KK, Davis L, van Marle G, Gill MJ, Fox JD, et al. Z-FA-FMK as a novel potent
1172 inhibitor of reovirus pathogenesis and oncolysis in vivo. *Antiviral therapy*. 2010;15: 897–
1173 905. doi:10.3851/IMP1646
- 1174 68. Sherry B, Baty CJ, Blum MA. Reovirus-induced acute myocarditis in mice correlates with
1175 viral RNA synthesis rather than generation of infectious virus in cardiac myocytes. *Journal*
1176 *of Virology*. 1996;70: 6709–6715.

- 1177 69. Sherry B, Torres J, Blum MA. Reovirus induction of and sensitivity to beta interferon in
1178 cardiac myocyte cultures correlate with induction of myocarditis and are determined by
1179 viral core proteins. *Journal of Virology*. 1998;72: 1314–1323.
- 1180 70. Irvin SC, Zurney J, Ooms LS, Chappell JD, Dermody TS, Sherry B. A Single-Amino-Acid
1181 Polymorphism in Reovirus Protein $\mu 2$ Determines Repression of Interferon Signaling and
1182 Modulates Myocarditis. *Journal of Virology*. 2012;86: 2302–2311. doi:10.1128/JVI.06236-
1183 11
- 1184 71. Zurney J, Kobayashi T, Holm GH, Dermody TS, Sherry B. Reovirus $\mu 2$ protein inhibits
1185 interferon signaling through a novel mechanism involving nuclear accumulation of
1186 interferon regulatory factor 9. *Journal of Virology*. 2009;83: 2178–2187.
1187 doi:10.1128/JVI.01787-08
- 1188 72. Rivera-Serrano EE, Fritch EJ, Scholl EH, Sherry B. A Cytoplasmic RNA Virus Alters the
1189 Function of the Cell Splicing Protein SRSF2. *Journal of Virology*. 2017;91: e02488-16.
1190 doi:10.1128/JVI.02488-16
- 1191 73. Roebke KE, Guo Y, Parker JSL, Danthi P. Reovirus $\sigma 3$ protein limits interferon expression
1192 and cell death induction. *Journal of Virology*. 2020 [cited 31 Aug 2020].
1193 doi:10.1128/JVI.01485-20
- 1194 74. Stewart MJ, Blum MA, Sherry B. PKR's protective role in viral myocarditis. *Virology*.
1195 2003;314: 92–100.

- 1196 75. Noah DL, Blum MA, Sherry B. Interferon regulatory factor 3 is required for viral induction
1197 of beta interferon in primary cardiac myocyte cultures. *Journal of Virology*. 1999;73:
1198 10208–10213.
- 1199 76. Holm GH, Pruijssers AJ, Li L, Danthi P, Sherry B, Dermody TS. Interferon regulatory factor 3
1200 attenuates reovirus myocarditis and contributes to viral clearance. *Journal of Virology*.
1201 2010;84: 6900–6908. doi:10.1128/JVI.01742-09
- 1202 77. O'Donnell SM, Hansberger MW, Connolly JL, Chappell JD, Watson MJ, Pierce JM, et al.
1203 Organ-specific roles for transcription factor NF-kappaB in reovirus-induced apoptosis and
1204 disease. *The Journal of clinical investigation*. 2005;115: 2341–2350. doi:10.1172/JCI22428
- 1205 78. Rivera-Serrano EE, DeAngelis N, Sherry B. Spontaneous activation of a MAVS-dependent
1206 antiviral signaling pathway determines high basal interferon- β expression in cardiac
1207 myocytes. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2017;111: 102–113. doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2017.08.008
- 1208 79. Rivera-Serrano EE, Sherry B. NF- κ B activation is cell type-specific in the heart. *Virology*.
1209 2017;502: 133–143. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2016.12.022
- 1210 80. Kobayashi T, Ooms LS, Ikizler M, Chappell JD, Dermody TS. An improved reverse genetics
1211 system for mammalian orthoreoviruses. *Virology*. 2010;398: 194–200.
1212 doi:10.1016/j.virol.2009.11.037
- 1213 81. Kobayashi T, Antar AAR, Boehme KW, Danthi P, Eby EA, Guglielmi KM, et al. A plasmid-
1214 based reverse genetics system for animal double-stranded RNA viruses. *Cell Host and*
1215 *Microbe*. 2007;1: 147–157. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2007.03.003

1216 82. Becker MM, Peters TR, Dermody TS. Reovirus sigma NS and mu NS proteins form
1217 cytoplasmic inclusion structures in the absence of viral infection. *Journal of Virology*.
1218 2003;77: 5948–5963.

1219 83. Knowlton JJ, Fernández de Castro I, Ashbrook AW, Gestaut DR, Zamora PF, Bauer JA, et al.
1220 The TRiC chaperonin controls reovirus replication through outer-capsid folding. *Nature*
1221 *Microbiology*. 2018; 1. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0122-x

1222 84. Yang S, Deng P, Zhu Z, Zhu J, Wang G, Zhang L, et al. ADAR1 Limits RIG-I RNA Detection and
1223 Suppresses IFN Production Responding to Viral and Endogenous RNAs. *J Immunol*.
1224 2014;193: 3436–3445. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1401136

1225 85. Chang M, Collet B, Nie P, Lester K, Campbell S, Secombes CJ, et al. Expression and
1226 Functional Characterization of the RIG-I-Like Receptors MDA5 and LGP2 in Rainbow Trout
1227 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Journal of Virology*. 2011;85: 8403–8412. doi:10.1128/JVI.00445-
1228 10

1229 86. Sutherland DM, Aravamudhan P, Dietrich MH, Stehle T, Dermody TS. Reovirus
1230 Neurotropism and Virulence Are Dictated by Sequences in the Head Domain of the Viral
1231 Attachment Protein. *Journal of Virology*. 2018; JVI.00974-18. doi:10.1128/JVI.00974-18

1232 87. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
1233 *EMBnet.journal*. 2011;17: 10–12. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200

1234 88. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory
1235 requirements. *Nature Methods*. 2015;12: 357–360. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3317

- 1236 89. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
1237 sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30: 923–930.
1238 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656
- 1239 90. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
1240 seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*. 2014;15: 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- 1241 91. Reovirus Nonstructural Protein σ NS Acts as an RNA Stability Factor Promoting Viral
1242 Genome Replication | *Journal of Virology*. [cited 26 Jan 2021]. Available:
1243 <https://jvi.asm.org/content/92/15/e00563-18>
1244

Figure 1

Figure 1. Identification of double-stranded RNA-binding defective mutants of $\sigma 3$. (A) Space-filling surface view of the $\sigma 3$ dimer structure (PDB ID: 1FN9) showing mutagenized positively charged residues (red and gold). Red indicates residues that disrupted the dsRNA-binding capacity of $\sigma 3$. Gold indicates residues that retain their capacity to bind to dsRNA. Residues labelled in gray indicate those express poorly. The $\sigma 3$ monomers are shown in different shades of gray. (B) Pull down assay showing capacity of $\sigma 3$, but not σNS to bind poly I:C. (C and D) Poly I:C pull down assay showing capacity of $\sigma 3$ mutants to bind dsRNA and relative expression levels when proteins were expressed exogenously. Findings are summarized in the table. * and grey indicate mutants express poorly. Red indicates residues that disrupted the dsRNA-binding capacity of $\sigma 3$.

Figure 2

Figure 2. T1L, T1L-K287T, or T1L-R296T viral growth in A549, Caco-2, and bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDC). A549 (A) or Caco-2 (B) or BMDC (C) were infected with the indicated viruses at 10 PFU per cell. Plaque assays were used to assess viral yield. Data are reported as mean $\pm$ s.d., $n = 3$ independent experiments. For (A), P values were calculated to analyze the differences comparing with T1L WT using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test (* $P < 0.05$). For (B) and (C), P values were calculated using multiple non-paired t test. * $P < 0.05$, ns = not significant.

Figure 3

Figure 3. A549 cells infected with T1L-K287T contain higher levels of viral mRNA than WT-infected cells despite lower levels of viral replication and lower levels of viral protein. A549 cells were infected with T1L, either WT or K287T or R296T, at 100 PFU per cell. At 18 h post-infection, the levels of viral (A) s4 negative-sense RNA and (B) s4 and m3 mRNA were assayed by RT-qPCR. Relative RNA levels were first normalized to GAPDH levels and then WT RNA levels were set as 1. (C) Representative immunoblot showing the relative viral protein expression for each virus. The immunoblot data was quantified and is shown to the right of the blot. (D) Levels of phosphorylated PKR and phosphorylated eIF2 α in mock-infected and WT-, K287T- and R296T-infected A549 cells at 18 h post-infection. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ s.d. of three independent experiments. Non-paired *t* tests were used to evaluate statistical significance. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$, **** $P < 0.0001$, ns = not significant.

Figure 4

A

B

C

Figure 4. Significantly more A549 cells infected with T1L-K287T contain TIAR-positive RNP granules at 18 h post-infection than cells infected with T1L-WT or -R296T. (A) Cells were infected at 100 PFU per cell with T1L-WT, -K287T, or -R296T. At 18 h post-infection, cells were fixed and immunostained with mouse anti-λ2 monoclonal antibody (7F4) and anti-TIAR antibody. White asterisks show infected cells containing TIAR-positive granules (B) Percentage of infected cells containing TIAR-positive granules at the indicated time points. A minimum of 200 cells were counted for each experiment. Data shown represent the mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments. Multiple comparison, non-paired *t* tests were used to analyze differences (ns= not significant, **** *P* < 0.0001). (C) T1L-K287T-infected A549 cells at 18 h post-infection showing co-localization of TIAR, G3BP and μNS in some cells. White arrows indicate co-localization of these three proteins.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Viral RNA is sequestered within TIAR-positive granules in cells infected with T1L-K287T. Cells were infected at 100 PFU per cell with T1L-WT, -K287T, or -R296T. At 18 h post-infection, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence staining with antibodies against mouse λ2 (7F4) and TIAR, followed by secondary antibodies staining. Subsequently, CAL Fluor® Red 610 Dye-conjugated S4 mRNA probes were used to detect localization of S4 mRNA. Red arrowheads indicate colocalization of S4 mRNA and TIAR. Yellow arrowheads indicate colocalization of S4 mRNA and viral factories (λ2). Red unfilled arrows indicate triple co-localization of TIAR, S4 mRNA and viral factories (λ2). Images were collected using Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000.

Figure 6

Figure 6. T1L-K287T is unable to suppress activation of PKR and PKR-dependent stress granule (SG) formation. (A) Formation of TIAR-positive granules in A549 parental, PKR $-/-$, RNaseL $-/-$ and DKO A549 cells at 18 h post-infection. The indicated cell lines were infected with WT or T1L-K287T or T1L-R296T mutant viruses (100 PFU per cell). At 18 h post-infection, cells were fixed for immunofluorescence staining with antibodies against TIAR and viral protein $\lambda 2$ and the number of infected cells containing > 3 foci of TIAR-positive granules were counted. Data are expressed as the percent of infected cells containing TIAR-positive granules ($n = 3$, > 200 infected cells counted in 10 randomly selected fields). Data shown represent the mean $\pm$ s.d. of three independent experiments. P values were calculated to analyze the differences comparing with A549 WT cells using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test (**** $P < 0.0001$). (B) Viral replication in A549 parental, PKR $-/-$, RNaseL $-/-$ and DKO cells. Cells were infected with the indicated viruses (10 PFU per cell). Viral growth is shown as change in viral titer from $T = 0$ to 24 h post-infection. Data shown represent the mean $\pm$ s.d. of three independent experiments. Multiple comparison, non-paired t tests were used to analyze differences (ns= not significant, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$). (C) PKR and eIF2 α are hyperphosphorylated in T1L-K287T infected A549 cells. Cells were infected as described in (A). A representative immunoblot is shown.

Figure 7

Figure 7. T1L-K287T elicits tissue-specific impairment of viral titers. C57BL/6J 3-to-4-day old mice were inoculated perorally with 1×10^7 PFU of WT, K287T, or R296T virus. At the indicated times post-inoculation, mice were euthanized and viral titers in organ homogenates were determined by plaque assay. Each symbol represents the viral titer of one mouse ($n = 4-8$ mice/group). Data are log transformed and plotted on a linear scale. Mean viral titer is shown and error bars indicate SEM. P values were calculated using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Figure 8

Figure 8. Mice inoculated with T1L-K287T do not develop myocarditis. (A-C) C57BL/6J 3-4-day old mice were inoculated perorally with 1×10^7 PFU of WT, K287T, or R296T virus. At 8 days post-inoculation, mice were euthanized, hearts were photographed, processed for histochemistry, sectioned, and stained with either hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), reovirus polyclonal sera, or alizarin red. (A) Gross pathology of hearts. Arrowheads indicate myocarditic lesions visible in cardiac tissue. Scale bar, 5 mm. (B) Representative images of stained heart sections for the indicated viruses and stains are shown, with inset regions indicated by a box. Zoom insets are shown. Scale bar for left panels, 2000 μ m. Scale bar for insets, 50 μ m. (C) Myocarditis severity was scored by quantifying the percent area of heart tissue stained with alizarin red. Each symbol indicates one mouse. *P* values were calculated using a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (*, *P* < 0.05).

Figure 9

Figure 9. T1L-K287T and T1L-R296T viruses exert minimal differences on overall transcriptional responses in mouse hearts 4 days post-infection. (A) Volcano plots depicting relative fold changes of individual genes in reovirus infected (WT, mutants K287T and R296T) mice as compared to uninfected mice. Genes are colored based on an absolute log₂ fold change greater than 1.5 (orange), an adjusted p-value < 0.05 (gold), or both (green). (B) Venn diagrams illustrating the number of unique and shared differentially regulated genes (log₂ fold change greater or less than 1.5, adjusted p-value < 0.05) across each reovirus infected group. (C) Difference of log₂ fold changes across the 212 shared upregulated genes as compared to wild-type expression (log₂ fold change (WT)-log₂ fold change (mutant)). Points that are plotted on the horizontal line marked at 0 indicate no difference in fold change. Points plotted above the line indicate higher expression than wild-type and those plotted below the line indicate lower expression than wild-type. No statistical significance in differential gene expression (mutant vs wild-type) was observed across any of these 212 genes.

Figure 10. Model for the function of $\sigma 3$ in establishment of viral factories in reovirus-infected cells.

Figure S1

Figure S1. The capacity of mutants of $\sigma 3$ to dimerize and co-assemble with $\mu 1$ is unaffected by their loss of dsRNA-binding activities. (A) dsRNA-binding defective mutants of $\sigma 3$ remain capable of dimerizing. HA-tagged $\sigma 3$ was co-transfected together with Flag-tagged $\sigma 3$ WT or dsRNA-binding defective mutants from cell lysates was performed using anti-Flag agarose beads for 2 h, followed by western blotting to detect indicated proteins. (B) dsRNA-binding defective mutants of $\sigma 3$ are capable of assembling with co-expressed $\mu 1$. $\mu 1$ was transfected into HEK293 cells together with either $\sigma 3$ WT or dsRNA-binding defective mutants. At 48 h post-transfection, coimmunoprecipitation of WT and dsRNA-binding mutants of $\sigma 3$ was performed using a monoclonal antibody against $\mu 1$ (4A3). Unless specified, $\sigma 3$ was detected by monoclonal antibody (4F2).

Figure S2

Figure S2. Recombinant T3D and T1L viruses that carry dsRNA-binding defective $\sigma 3$ mutations grow with similar kinetics to WT virus during (A) single and (B) multiple step replication in L929 cells. Cells were infected with the indicated WT or mutant viruses at 5 PFU per cell (single step replication) or 0.1 PFU per cell (multiple step replication). Change in viral titer was determined by plaque assay. Data are reported as mean $\pm$ S.D. of three independent experiments.

Figure S3

Figure S3. Levels of PKR and eIF2a phosphorylation in L929 cells infected with T1L-WT, T1L-K287T, and T1L-R296T are comparable. Cells were mock-infected or infected with the indicated viruses at 5 PFU per cell. At 18 h post-infection, cell lysates were collected in denaturing lysis buffer for immunoblotting and probed with antibodies against viral proteins μ NS and σ 3, and cellular proteins PKR, phosphorylated PKR (T446), phosphorylated eIF2a (S51), and actin.

Figure S4

Figure S4. The in vitro trypsin sensitivity of σ_3 on purified virions of T1L-WT, T1L-K287T and T1L-R296T are equivalent. (A) Equal concentrations of purified virions were incubated with 10 ng/ml trypsin at 8°C. At the indicated time points, same amount of aliquots were collected and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue (representative experiment). (B) Band intensities of σ_3 were measured using Image J software and normalized to the intensity at T = 0. Data shown represent the mean $\pm$ s.d. of two independent experiments.

Figure S5

Figure S5. S4 mRNA, but not GAPDH mRNA specifically localizes to viral factories. A549 Cells were either mock infected or infected with T1L-WT at 100 PFU per cell. At 18 h post-infection, cells were fixed for immunostaining with antibodies against viral protein $\lambda 2$ followed by secondary antibodies staining. Subsequently, CAL Fluor® Red 610 Dye-conjugated S4 mRNA probes or Quasar® 670 Dye-conjugated GAPDH mRNA probes were used to detect S4 mRNA or GAPDH mRNA, respectively. Images were collected using Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000.

Figure S6

+poly I:C

Figure S6. G3BP and TIAR localize to both RNase L-dependent bodies (RLBs) and SGs. A549 cells or A549 RNase $-/-$ cells were transfected with poly I:C at 500 ng/ml using Lipofectamine 2000 following the manufacturer's instructions. At 8 h post-transfection, cells were fixed and immunostained for G3BP and TIAR. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI.

Figure S7

Figure S7. Induction of RLBs and SGs in A549 WT, PKR $-/-$, RNase $-/-$ and DKO cells by transfection with poly I:C or treatment with sodium arsenite. Cells as indicated were transfected with 500 ng/ml poly I:C and then incubated for 8 h (upper) or treated with 0.5 mM sodium arsenite for 1 h (middle) or left untreated (bottom). After transfection with poly I:C or treatment with SA, the cells were fixed and immunostained for TIA1 and G3BP. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI.

Figure S8

Figure S8. Formation of SGs (TIA-1-positive, G3BP-positive) and RLBs (TIA-1-negative, G3BP-positive) in WT, PKR $-/-$, RNase L $-/-$, and DKO A549 cells infected with T1L-K287T and T1L-R296T viruses. Cells as indicated were infected with either T1L-K287T or T1L-R296T virus at 100 PFU per cell. At 18 h post-infection, cells were fixed and immunostained for TIA1, G3BP and μ NS. Merged image is showing colocalization of TIA1 and G3BP1. Images were collected using Olympus FLUOVIEW FV3000.