A deep-sea sulfate reducing bacterium directs the formation of zero-valent sulfur via sulfide oxidation

Rui Liu^{1,2,5}, Yeqi Shan^{1,2,3,5}, Shichuan Xi^{3,4,5}, Xin Zhang^{4,5}, Chaomin Sun^{1,2,5*}

¹CAS and Shandong Province Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology & Center of Deep Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

²Laboratory for Marine Biology and Biotechnology, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, China

³College of Earth Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁴CAS Key Laboratory of Marine Geology and Environment & Center of Deep Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

⁵Center of Ocean Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China

* Corresponding author

Chaomin Sun Tel.: +86 532 82898857; fax: +86 532 82898857.

E-mail address: sunchaomin@qdio.ac.cn

Key words: sulfate reducing bacteria, cold seep, zero-valent sulfur, in situ, sulfide oxidation

Running title: Formation of zero-valent sulfur by SRB

23 **Abstract**

24 Zero-valent sulfur (ZVS) is a critical intermediate in the biogeochemical sulfur cycle.

25 Up to date, sulfur oxidizing bacteria have been demonstrated to dominate the

26 formation of ZVS. In contrast, formation of ZVS mediated by sulfate reducing

27 bacteria (SRB) has been rarely reported. Here, we report for the first time that a

28 typical sulfate reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio marinus* CS1 directs the formation of

29 ZVS via sulfide oxidation. In combination with proteomic analysis and protein

30 activity assays, thiosulfate reductase (PhsA) and sulfide: quinone oxidoreductase

31 (SQR) were demonstrated to play key roles in driving ZVS formation. In this process,

32 PhsA catalyzed thiosulfate to form sulfide, which was then oxidized by SQR to form

33 ZVS. Consistently, the expressions of PhsA and SQR were significantly up-regulated

34 in strain CS1 when cultured in the deep-sea cold seep, strongly indicating strain CS1

35 might form ZVS in its real inhabiting niches. Notably, homologs of *phsA* and *sqr*

36 widely distributed in the metagenomes of deep-sea SRB. Given the high abundance of

37 SRB in cold seeps, it is reasonable to propose that SRB might greatly contribute to the

38 formation of ZVS in the deep-sea environments. Our findings add a new aspect to the

39 current understanding of the source of ZVS.

40

41

42

43

44

Introduction

Zero-valent sulfur (ZVS) is a central intermediate in the biogeochemical sulfur cycle¹⁻³, and forms conspicuous accumulations at sediment surface under the sea floor including the cold seep and the hydrothermal systems⁴⁻⁶. In marine environments, ZVS commonly occurs in some forms such as polysulfides (S_n^{2-}), polymeric sulfur (S_n) or cyclooctasulfur (S_8)^{7,8}. The production of ZVS has been regarded as a bio-signature of sulfur-oxidizing microorganisms^{9,10}. The process of ZVS production begins with the formation of polysulfide through the oxidation of thiosulfate or sulfide^{3,11-13}. For the formation process of ZVS mediated by thiosulfate oxidation, there are at least four pathways identified in the sulfur oxidizing bacteria (SOB)^{11,13}, including Sox pathway¹⁴, tetrathionate (S_4I) intermediate pathway¹⁵, Sox- S_4I interaction system¹⁶ and a novel pathway mediated by thiosulfate dehydrogenase (TsdA) and thiosulfohydrolase (SoxB)¹⁷. For the formation process of ZVS mediated by sulfide oxidation, sulfide: quinone oxidoreductase (SQR) has been proposed to be the key enzyme to catalyze the formation of ZVS in various sulfur-oxidizing Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria^{11,12,18}. SQR is a membrane associated protein that oxidizes sulfide to ZVS and transfers electrons to the membrane quinone pool with flavin adenine dinucleotide¹⁹. As a key sulfide detoxifying enzyme, SQR is present in many bacteria, archaea and the mitochondria of eukaryotic cells, classified into six types (Type I to VI)¹⁹⁻²¹. Since no more pure cultures containing the *sqr* gene are available^{22,23}, the function of SQR in *D*-proteobacteria (e.g. most of typical sulfate reducing bacteria) is obscure.

Up to date, most of progresses about the formation of ZVS are related to sulfur oxidizing bacteria but rarely associated with sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB). Notably, a novel pathway of ZVS generation mediated by the dissimilatory sulfate reduction has been recently observed in a syntrophic consortium of anaerobic methanotrophic archaea (ANME) and SRB²⁴⁻²⁶. ANME were firstly proposed to drive the formation of ZVS via coupling the anaerobic methane oxidation (AOM) with the sulfate reduction²⁵, which provided experimental evidence for the first time to confirm the ZVS generation from the dissimilatory sulfate reduction. However, this proposal was challenged by other researchers, who insisted that the passage of sulfur species by ANME as metabolic intermediates for their SRB partners was unlikely²⁷. In addition, based on a methanogenic bioreactor system and metagenomics approaches, some researchers proposed a novel ZVS formation pathway mediated by dissimilatory sulfate reduction^{24,28}, in which SRB might utilize sulfate-to-ZVS as an alternative pathway to sulfate-to-sulfide to alleviate the inhibitive effects of sulfide. This proposal was also needed to be further verified given that the canonical pathway of the dissimilatory sulfate reduction mediated by SRB reduces sulfate to sulfide without the production of ZVS^{29,30}. Till to date, the pure culture of SRB has not been isolated from both AOM enrichment cultures and the methanogenic bioreactor as mentioned above^{25,28}, which hindering the researchers to test whether SRB could directly drive ZVS formation via dissimilatory sulfate reduction or some other unknown pathways.

The typical dissimilatory sulfate reduction system contains a combination of sulfate adenylyltransferase (Sat) and adenylyl-sulfate reductase (AprAB) initiating the

reduction of sulfate to sulfite, and then sulfite reductases catalyzing the reduction of sulfite to sulfide³¹. On the other hand, sulfide could also be produced by thiosulfate disproportionation process in SRB³². Potentially, SRB might form ZVS or even elemental sulfur from sulfide driven by SQR or other proteins with similar functions. However, to our best knowledge, there is no evidence that pure isolate of SRB could form ZVS via oxidizing sulfide that generated by dissimilatory sulfate reduction or thiosulfate disproportionation.

In the present study, a strictly anaerobic strain of *Desulfovibrio marinus* CS1 was isolated from the surface sediments of a cold seep in the South China Sea, and it was surprisingly found to form ZVS in the presence of thiosulfate. In combination with genomic, proteomic and biochemical approaches, PhsA and SQR were demonstrated to be responsible for the formation of ZVS in strain CS1, which is a novel pathway driving ZVS formation present in SRB. Based on the metagenomics analysis, the broad distribution of this novel pathway and its potential contribution to the deep-sea sulfur cycle were also investigated and discussed.

Results

Cultivation and identification of a typical sulfate reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio marinus* CS1 from the deep-sea cold seep. Despite a high proportion of SRB has been reported in deep-sea cold seeps³³⁻³⁶, the lack of cultured representatives from deep sea has hampered a more detailed exploration of this important group. With this, we anaerobically enriched the surface sediment samples collected from the deep-sea cold seep with a modified sulfate reducing medium (SRM)

at 28 °C for one month, enriched samples were then plated on the solid SRM in Hungate tubes. Given the presence of Fe²⁺ in the medium, typical SRB would form black FeS precipitation. As expected, the enrichment formed a large amount of black color colonies in the solid SRM, indicating the dominant presence of SRB in the enrichment. Single colonies with black color were subsequently purified several times using the dilution-to-extinction technique at 28 °C under a strict anaerobic condition. Surprisingly, based on the 16S rRNA gene sequencing, these colonies belong to a same SRB strain designated CS1. The 16S rRNA gene sequence of strain CS1 shared a high similarity of 99.54% with *Desulfovibrio marinus* E-2^T (accession no. NR_043757.1). Additionally, strain CS1 also clustered with *D. marinus* E-2^T according to the phylogenetic analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1a). The ANI and AAI analyses of genomes between strain CS1 and another strain *D. marinus* P48SEP (accession no. ASM762508) were respective 98.95% and 99.87% (Supplementary Figs. 1b and 1c), which were higher than the accepted threshold (both ANI and AAI value above 95%) for same species^{37,38}. Thus, strain CS1 was identified as a member of *D. marinus* and designated *D. marinus* CS1 in this study. Accordingly, *D. marinus* CS1 showed black color in the agar plate containing Fe²⁺ (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Under transmission and scanning electron microscopy observation, the cells of strain CS1 were short rod-like, approximately 2 µm × 0.5 µm in size, and had a single flagellum (Supplementary Figs. 2b and 2c).

Diverse sulfur metabolic pathways existing in *D. marinus* CS1. To obtain a deeper insight into the characterization of *D. marinus* CS1, the genome of *D. marinus* CS1

was completely sequenced (Supplementary Table 1). When analyzing the genome sequence of strain CS1, we found a complete dissimilatory sulfate reduction pathway and a partial assimilatory sulfate reduction pathway present in *D. marinus* CS1 (Supplementary Fig. 2d, Supplementary Table 2). For dissimilatory sulfate reduction pathway, two conserved gene clusters responsible for transforming sulfate to sulfite and sulfite to sulfide (Supplementary Fig. 2e), were identified in *D. marinus* CS1. Notably, a homologous gene encoding SQR that usually involved in sulfide oxidation was surprised to be identified in the genome of *D. marinus* CS1 (Supplementary Fig. 2d and Supplementary Table 2). Given the presence of SQR-like protein and its usual sulfur oxidation activity, we speculated that some unexplored sulfur oxidation pathways might exist in strain CS1.

Responses of *D. marinus* CS1 to different sulfur sources. Considering the presence of diverse sulfur metabolic pathways in *D. marinus* CS1, we sought to explore the responses of strain CS1 to different sulfur containing compounds including sulfate, sulfite, thiosulfate and sulfide. First, we monitored the growth dynamics of strain CS1 that cultured in SRM medium supplemented with above sulfur sources for up to two months. Surprisingly, the supplement of different sulfur sources showed a similar or even better growth status compared to the control group when cultured strain CS1 for up to two months, though some of them inhibited bacterial growth at the beginning of the incubation period (Fig. 1a). Especially, the supplement of 40 mM $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ or 10 mM Na_2SO_3 in the medium could significantly promote the growth of strain CS1 when the cells entered the stationary phase (Fig. 1a). Therefore, strain CS1 showed

different responses to different sulfur sources. On the other hand, cells of strain CS1 showed an extended morphology under the treatment of $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (Fig. 1d), Na_2SO_3 (Fig. 1e) and Na_2S (Fig. 1f) compared to that in the control group (Fig. 1a) or supplement of Na_2SO_4 (Fig. 1b).

To understand the underlying mechanisms of strain CS1 responding to different sulfur sources, we conducted a proteomic analysis of strain CS1 that cultured in the medium supplemented with $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$, Na_2SO_3 and Na_2S , respectively. Since the growth status of *D. marinus* CS1 in different sulfur sources was inconsistent, we collected bacterial cells for proteome analysis when the OD_{600} value was about 0.08~0.1 for both experimental and control groups. The proteomic results showed that compared to the control group total 1070, 1255 and 1012 proteins were significantly differentially expressed in the experimental groups containing $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$, Na_2SO_3 and Na_2S ($P < 0.05$), respectively. Notably, most key enzymes associated with dissimilatory sulfate reduction (such as QmoA, QmoB, QmoC, AprA, AprB, Sat, DsrA and DsrB) were evidently down-regulated when cultured strain CS1 in the medium supplemented with $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (Fig. 1g), Na_2SO_3 (Fig. 1h) and Na_2S (Fig. 1i), indicating that the supplement of $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$, Na_2SO_3 and Na_2S suppressed the process of dissimilatory sulfate reduction in strain CS1. In contrast, the expressions of proteins related to thiosulfate and sulfide metabolisms, such as PhsA (thiosulfate reduction), MetB and SQR (sulfide oxidation), were significantly up-regulated when cultured strain CS1 in the medium supplemented with $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (Fig. 1g), Na_2SO_3 (Fig. 1h) and Na_2S (Fig. 1i).

***D. marinus* CS1 produces ZVS via metabolizing thiosulfate.** It's noting that some obvious white substances were observed in the medium supplemented with 40 mM $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ when cultured *D. marinus* CS1 for about 20 days (Fig. 2a). In our previous study, we found a deep-sea bacterium *Erythrobacter flavus* 21-3 that isolated from the same sampling site of strain CS1 could oxidize $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ to form ZVS through a novel sulfur oxidation pathway¹⁷. To clarify whether these white substances produced by strain CS1 were also ZVS, the SEM and EDS assays were conducted for initial assessment. SEM results showed that the white substances formed regular crystals (Fig. 2b), which were further identified as elemental sulfur by EDS (Fig. 2c). On the other hand, Raman spectrum showed that three strong peaks at 154, 221 and 475 cm^{-1} were identified toward the white substances produced by *D. marinus* CS1 (Fig. 2d). According to the cyclooctasulfur standard, these peaks corresponded to the bending and stretching modes of the 8-fold ring, and belonged to the typical characteristics of S_8 (Fig. 2e)^{6,8,17}. Meanwhile, the formation of ZVS mediated by strain CS1 was tracked across the whole two-month incubation period in the medium supplemented with thiosulfate. The results showed that ZVS could be detected after three-week incubation and its amount reached a stationary phase after five-week incubation (Fig. 3a), which presented a similar pattern with the growth curve of strain CS1 grown in the medium supplemented with thiosulfate (Fig. 1a). Accordingly, the concentration of thiosulfate decreased along with the formation of ZVS, while the concentration of sulfate almost remained unchanged (Fig. 3a). In comparison, strain CS1 could not form any ZVS in the medium absent of extra thiosulfate (Fig. 3b), while the

199 concentration of sulfate decreased along with the bacterial growth (Fig. 3b). All the
200 above results indicated that strain CS1 drove the formation of ZVS via metabolizing
201 thiosulfate.

202 **PhsA and SQR play key roles in driving ZVS formation in *D. marinus* CS1.** Next,
203 we sought to ask what determines the formation of ZVS in strain CS1 in the presence
204 of thiosulfate. Given PhsA and SQR existing in strain CS1 and their evident
205 expression up-regulation in the conditions supplemented with different sulfur sources
206 (Figs. 1g-i), we thus speculate whether PhsA might metabolize thiosulfate to sulfide
207 which in turn is oxidized to ZVS by SQR. To verify this assumption, we analyzed the
208 dynamics of the expression levels of *phsA* and *sqr* along with the formation of ZVS as
209 shown in Figure 4A. The results showed the expression level of *phsA* presented a
210 decreasing trend from the beginning to the end of the incubation period though it was
211 significantly up-regulated when compared to the control (Fig. 3c). On the other hand,
212 the expression level of *sqr* was only markedly up-regulated after three-week
213 incubation when compared to the control and then presented a decreasing trend till the
214 end of the incubation period (Fig. 3d), which showed a similar pattern of ZVS
215 formation as shown in Figure 4A. Indeed, the above results were consistent well with
216 our speculation that PhsA and SQR respectively catalyze thiosulfate to form sulfide
217 and then ZVS.

218 Given the absence of genetic operation system of strain CS1, we further verified
219 the above functions of PhsA and SQR in *Escherichia coli*. First, we respectively
220 overexpressed *phsA* (E8L03_06385) and *sqr* (E8L03_05425) of *D. marinus* CS1 in *E.*

221 *coli* BL21(DE3) cells (Supplementary Fig. 3). PhsA has been proven to catalyze the
222 decomposition of thiosulfate into sulfite and H₂S³⁹⁻⁴¹. Accordingly, the overexpression
223 of PhsA in *E. coli* significantly promoted the production of H₂S (Fig. 4a), indicating
224 that PhsA of strain CS1 indeed functioned as an enzyme that catalyzing thiosulfate to
225 H₂S. On the other hand, SQR has potentials to oxidize sulfide to ZVS as shown in
226 Figure 1D. As expected, the overexpression of SQR could efficiently remove the H₂S
227 produced by *E. coli* when cultured in the medium supplemented with 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃
228 (Fig. 4b), which benefits the bacterial cells to alleviate the toxin effects of H₂S. We
229 thus further analyzed the activity of sulfide oxidation mediated by SQR in *E. coli*
230 BL21(DE3) that cultured in the medium supplemented with different concentrations
231 of Na₂S (5 mM, 10 mM, 20 mM, 30 mM and 40 mM). If SQR could convert sulfide
232 to ZVS, the toxicity of sulfide to *E. coli* cells would be significantly weakened.
233 Indeed, the overexpression of SQR in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) could significantly promote
234 ($P < 0.01$) bacterial growth when compared with the control group regardless of the
235 concentrations of Na₂S supplemented in the medium (Fig. 4c). Therefore, we propose
236 that PhsA and SQR might drive ZVS formation in strain CS1 via respectively
237 catalyzing thiosulfate and sulfide.

238 As SQR is a key enzyme catalyzing sulfide to form ZVS, we further analyzed
239 SQR homologs identified in different microbes. In total, six types (Type I to Type VI)
240 have been identified in bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes¹⁹. Based on the phylogenetic
241 analysis, SQR in *D. marinus* CS1 was clustered into the branch of Type III SQRs with
242 two conserved amino acid residues at Cys159 and Cys331 (Supplementary Fig. 4).

243 Homologous sequences of SQR in strain CS1 were also identified in other SRB-D
244 species that including *Desulfovibrio indonesiensis*, *Desulfohalovibrio alkalitolerans*,
245 *Desulfatirhabdium butyrativorans*, *Desulfospira joergensenii*, *Pseudodesulfovibrio* sp.
246 SRB007 and *Pseudodesulfovibrio* sp. zrk46 (Supplementary Fig. 4). It's noting that
247 *Pseudodesulfovibrio* sp. SRB007 and *Pseudodesulfovibrio* sp. zrk46 are two deep-sea
248 SRB that isolated from the same sampling site as that of strain CS1.

249 **Proteomic analysis of sulfur metabolism of *D. marinus* CS1 in the deep sea.** As
250 shown above, *D. marinus* CS1 responded to different sulfur-containing compounds
251 and formed ZVS in the laboratorial condition. Given that strain CS1 is a typical
252 deep-sea sulfate reducing bacterium, it is necessary to explore its sulfur metabolisms
253 in the deep-sea environment to mimic its lifestyle in the isolation niches. Taking the
254 advantage of a cruise in May 2020, we cultured strain CS1 in the deep-sea cold seep
255 for 10 days (Fig. 5a). Based on the environmental parameters of sites of *in situ* test
256 and strain CS1 isolation (Supplementary Table S3), the two sites possessed pretty
257 similar conditions. After 10 days incubation, bacterial cells in different groups were
258 collected and performed proteomic assays after verification of their purity. As
259 expected, according to the proteome data, the expressions of most key proteins
260 associated with sulfate reduction (both assimilatory and dissimilatory) in the “In situ”
261 group were significantly up-regulated compared to those in the laboratorial condition
262 (Fig. 5b), strongly indicating the dominant function of sulfate reduction for strain CS1
263 to thrive in the deep-sea environment. Surprisingly, the expression of SQR was most
264 up-regulated in the “In situ” group when compared to that cultivated in the

laboratorial condition (Fig. 5b). Given that SQR was also significantly up-regulated when stimulating strain CS1 with thiosulfate (Fig. 3d) and sulfite (Fig. 1h) in laboratorial conditions and broadly distributed in different bacteria (Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5), we propose that SQR might play an essential role in driving sulfide oxidation in strain CS1 and other microbes. Meanwhile, the expression of PhsA was also evidently up-regulated in the “In situ” group (Fig. 5b), indicating thiosulfate metabolization is a major metabolic pathway for strain CS1 in the deep-sea environment. Given the fact that the expressions of SQR and PhsA were simultaneously up-regulated, we prefer the proposal that strain CS1 could form ZVS in the deep-sea environment.

Overall, based on our present results, a proposed model towards central sulfur metabolisms of *D. marinus* CS1 was constructed (Fig. 6). First, sulfate is transported into the cells and then reduced to sulfite through both dissimilatory and assimilatory reduction pathways. Thereafter, sulfite is further reduced to sulfide mediated by the DSR complex via a typical sulfite dissimilatory reduction pathway. Meanwhile, thiosulfate is reduced to sulfide by PhsA. Finally, part of the generated sulfide is used for amino acids (e.g. cysteine and methionine) synthesis, and the rest is oxidized to polysulfide or even ZVS by SQR. The formed ZVS is finally exported to the outside of cells, contributing to form the mass ZVS around cold seep that observed in our previous reports^{6,17}.

SRB potentially contribute to the formation of ZVS in deep sea. Based on our above results, *D. marinus* CS1 was demonstrated to form ZVS in the laboratorial

conditions and possible deep-sea environment. We next sought to clarify the abundance of SRB in the deep-sea cold seep and their potentials for the formation of ZVS. As SRB belong to *D*-proteobacteria (SRB-D), the abundance of SRB-D was thus investigated by using the operational taxonomic units (OTUs) method with the sample collected from the surface (0-20 cm) of sediments. The results showed that the ration of SRB-D to the whole bacterial community was about 10% (Supplementary Fig. 6a). Among them, orders Desulfuromonadales and Desulfobacterales respectively accounted for 70% and 20%, while order Desulfovibrionales only accounted for less than 10% (Supplementary Fig. 6a). To obtain deeper insights into the distribution of genes associated with sulfur metabolisms in SRB-D, metagenomic sequencing was performed with samples collected from different depth intervals from the sedimental surface. As expected, genes associated SRB-D had a very high abundance in the cold seep sediments, whose percentages respectively accounted for 10.38%, 16.88%, 21.10%, 10.75% and 5.62% of the whole bacterial community in the samples C1, C4, C2, C3 and C5 (Supplementary Fig. 6b). After careful annotation and analyses of genes obtained from the metagenomic sequencing, we found that key genes responsible for both sulfate dissimilatory and assimilatory reduction pathways broadly distributed in the metagenomes of SRB-D and other bacteria in different samples (Supplementary Fig. 6c). And genes *sqr* and *phsA* could be identified in different samples with relative high proportions, strongly indicating the SRB-D in different depths of sediments have potentials to form ZVS.

308

309 Discussion

310 Zero-valent sulfur (ZVS), in the form of elemental sulfur and dissolved polysulfide
 311 sulfur, is commonly measured in the highly reducing, sulfidic environments that
 312 characterize AOM ecosystems including the cold seeps²⁵. SRB, a kind of important
 313 population inhabiting in cold seeps, have a pivotal role in the sulfur cycle, from which
 314 the generation of ZVS represents a novel pathway^{24,28}. ZVS generation from SRB was
 315 proposed to be mediated by the dissimilatory sulfate reduction under unfavorable
 316 conditions, e.g., inhibitive high-concentrations of sulfide^{24,28}. Hence, sulfide is a key
 317 intermediate for SRB to produce ZVS. It is noting that sulfide could be generated
 318 from thiosulfate via a reduction process catalyzed by PhsA, a kind of thiosulfate
 319 reductase (Supplementary Fig. 2d). Therefore, it is possible that SRB could also
 320 generate ZVS from thiosulfate in addition to sulfate. However, till to date, there is no
 321 any study showing the process and mechanisms of ZVS production from thiosulfate
 322 mediated by SRB. In the present study, we report for the first time that *D. marinus*
 323 CS1, a typical deep-sea sulfate reducing bacterium, could generate ZVS from
 324 thiosulfate coordinately mediated by PhsA and SQR. In this process, PhsA catalyzes
 325 thiosulfate to form sulfide, which is then oxidized by SQR to form ZVS.

326 Thiosulfate has been mentioned as an important shunt in marine environment for
 327 coupling of reductive and oxidative pathways of the sulfur cycle³². And the reduction
 328 of thiosulfate is a crucial process for anaerobic energy metabolism of SRB in marine
 329 sediments^{42,43}. In surface marine sediments (0~10 cm depth), totally 15%~50% of
 330 thiosulfate is reduced by sulfate reducing microorganisms, and approximately

30%~60% of sulfide is produced during this process³². PhsA or its homologs are crucial for catalyzing thiosulfate to sulfide, which greatly contributes to an intraspecies sulfur cycle that drives S_0 respiration in different bacteria^{39,44}. For *D. marinus* CS1, *phsA* was identified in its genome and was proposed to encode PhsA protein to reduce thiosulfate to sulfide (Supplementary Fig. 2d). Indeed, its expression level was significantly up-regulated in the medium supplemented with different sulfur sources in the laboratorial (Figs. 1g-1i) and deep-sea *in situ* (Fig. 5b) conditions, strongly indicating it is essential for sulfur cycling of *D. marinus* CS1. Especially, its expression was evidently up-regulated across the whole two-month incubation period in the presence of thiosulfate (Fig. 3c) and it was believed to play an indispensable role for strain CS1 to reduce thiosulfate to generate sulfide. Consistently, its mediation of thiosulfate to sulfide was verified in *E. coli* cells with the overexpression system (Fig. 4a).

As we known, sulfide is a highly toxic compound for microorganisms and eukaryotes^{45,46}. However, on the other hand, sulfide is also a very common intermediate of sulfur cycle in most microorganisms, and microbes have evolved different strategies to transform it to other forms given its strong toxicity. Indeed, the addition of Na_2S significantly slowed down the growth of strain CS1 and it took a very long time and much energy for the bacterial cells to remove the toxicity effects of sulfide (Fig. 1a). SQR, a kind of oxidoreductase, enables to oxidize sulfide to ZVS and has potentials to alleviate the toxicity of sulfide¹⁹. Accordingly, we identified a gene encoding SQR in the genome of strain CS1 (Supplementary Fig. 2d). Notably,

the expression dynamics of SQR showed a very similar pattern to the formation of ZVS when cultured strain CS1 in the medium supplemented with thiosulfate (Figs. 3a and 3d), indicating SQR is closely related to the formation of ZVS from thiosulfate in strain CS1. Based on the function of SQR, we believe that SQR identified in strain CS1 is capable of oxidize sulfide to ZVS for toxicity removal, which was confirmed by the effects of SQR overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) to reduce the toxicity of Na₂S from 5 mM to 40 mM (Fig. 4). It is noting that another member of *Desulfovibrio* genus (*D. pigers* Vib-7) could not grow in the medium containing 6 mM or higher concentration of sulfide⁴⁷, while strain CS1 could tolerate up to 10 mM sulfide (Fig. 1a). Interestingly, the homologous sequence of SQR in strain CS1 was absent in the genome of *D. piger* (LT630450.1). Therefore, it is reasonable to deduce that SQR is an essential protein to drive sulfide oxidation to ZVS and thereby increasing the tolerance of *D. marinus* CS1 to sulfide.

Notably, in the deep-sea *in situ* environment, the expressions of both PhsA and SQR were markedly up-regulated (Fig. 5b). Due to the time limitation of our cruise, we were unable to culture *D. marinus* CS1 for a longer time (e.g. up to 60 days or longer) to observe the formation of ZVS. However, based on the fact that both expressions of PhsA and SQR were significantly up-regulated, we firmly believe that strain CS1 should form ZVS in the deep-sea cold seep. Through the metagenomic analysis, we found homologues of SQR of strain CS1 also broadly distributed in other SRB species and bacteria inhabiting in the same cold seep environment of the South China Sea (Supplementary Fig. 5, Supplementary Dataset 1). Moreover, homologs of

key proteins (including PhsA and SQR) involved in sulfur cycle in *D. marinus* CS1 were found to widely exist in the metagenomes of SRB and other bacteria that living in the sediments of the South China Sea (Supplementary Fig. 6c). Therefore, we propose that the novel ZVS formation pathway from thiosulfate metabolization mediated by PhsA and SQR is used by a lot of SRB or even other bacteria in the deep-sea environments, which might play an undocumented role in the sulfur cycle and encourages the re-evaluation of the contribution of SRB to the formation of ZVS in the deep ocean.

Methods

Isolation and cultivation of *D. marinus* CS1. To isolate SRB from the deep-sea environment, cold seep sediment samples were collected by R/V *KEXUE* in the South China Sea (119°17'04.956"E, 22°06'58.384"N) at a depth of approximately 1,143 m in September 2017 (Supplementary Table S3). The samples were cultured by using the modified sulfate reducing medium (SRM) that containing 6.5 g PIPES (C₈H₁₈N₂O₆S₂), 2.7 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 4.3 g MgCl₂·6H₂O, 0.25 g NH₄Cl, 0.5 g KCl, 0.14 g CaCl₂, 0.14 g K₂HPO₄·3H₂O, 0.01 g Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂·6H₂O, 0.1 g CH₃COONa, 2.24 g CH₃CHOHCOONa, 20 mM absolute ethanol, 1 mL trace elements solution (Supplementary Table S4), 1 mL vitamins solution (Supplementary Table S5), 0.5 g cystine and 0.001 g resazurin in 1 liter filtered sea water, and 15 g/L agar was added to prepare the corresponding solid medium. After a month anaerobic enrichment at standard atmospheric pressure, a 50 µL culture was spread on the solid SRM medium prepared in the Hungate tubes, which were further anaerobically incubated at 28 °C

for 7 days. Individual colonies were picked respectively by sterilized bamboo sticks and then cultured in the SRM broth. Strain CS1 was isolated and purified by the Hungate roll-tube method for several rounds until considered to be axenic. Genomic DNA extraction and PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene sequence of strain CS1 were performed as previously described previously¹⁷.

Electron microscopic analysis. The morphological characteristics of *D. marinus* CS1 were observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) (S-3400N; Hitachi, Japan) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) (HT7700; Hitachi, Japan). The ZVS produced by strain CS1 in the medium supplemented with Na₂S₂O₃ was identified via Energy-Dispersive Spectrum (EDS) (model 550i, IXRF systems, USA) equipment with SEM and Raman spectra confocal microscope (WITec alpha300 R system; WITec Company, Germany), respectively, as described in our previous study¹⁷. After incubated in the medium supplemented with 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃ for 30 days, the milky white supernatant in strain CS1 medium was collected by centrifugation (5,000 g, 10 min) and lyophilized, then the pellet was used for EDS analysis at an accelerating voltage of 5 keV for 30 s and Raman spectra.

Genome sequencing and annotation. Genomic DNA was extracted from *D. marinus* CS1 that cultured for 7 days at 28 °C. The harvested DNA was detected by the agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified by Qubit 3.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Whole-genome sequence determinations of strain CS1 were carried out with the PacBio (Pacific Biosciences, USA) and Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, USA) sequencing platform. The genome of strain CS1 was sequenced by PacBio platform

(PacBio, USA) using single molecule real-time (SMRT) technology. Sequencing was performed at the Beijing Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. The low quality reads were filtered by the SMRT Link v5.0.1 and the filtered reads were assembled to generate one contig without gaps and was manually circularized by deleting an overlapping end.

The genome relatedness values were calculated by Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) based on BLASTN algorithm with recommended species criterion cut-offs 95% (JSpecies WS, <http://jspecies.ribohost.com/jspeciesws/>) and the amino acid identity (AAI) based on AAI-profiler with values above 95~97 % correspond to the same species (<http://ekhidna2.biocenter.helsinki.fi/AAI/>). To determine the phylogenetic position of *D. marinus* CS1, the 16S rRNA gene sequence was analyzed by the BLAST programs (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>), and the phylogenetic tree was reconstructed with MEGA X⁴⁸.

Growth assays of *D. marinus* CS1 in the medium supplemented with different sulfur sources. Growth assays were performed under the standard atmospheric pressure. Briefly, 15 mL fresh *D. marinus* CS1 was cultured in SRM supplemented with or without 20 mM Na₂SO₄, 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃, 10 mM Na₂SO₃ and 10 mM Na₂S for two months at 28 °C in 2 L anaerobic bottles, respectively. Each condition had three replicates. Bacterial growth status was monitored by measuring the absorbance value at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀). For the morphological observation, cells of strain CS1 with OD₆₀₀ values at 0.08~0.1 were collected and recorded under the TEM as described above.

Proteomic analysis. Proteome analysis was performed by PTM BIO (PTM Biolabs Inc., China). Briefly, cell suspensions of *D. marinus* CS1 with an OD₆₀₀ value of 0.08~0.1 were collected from different groups at different time points: 7 days for control group and Na₂SO₄ supplement group, 14 days for Na₂S₂O₃ supplement group and Na₂S supplement group, and 42 days for Na₂SO₃ supplement group, respectively. Thereafter, the cells were checked by 16S rRNA sequencing to confirm the purity of the culture and performed further proteomic analysis. For proteome analyses, the cells of strain CS1 were washed with 10 mM phosphate buffer solution (PBS pH 7.4), resuspended in lysis buffer (8 M urea, 1% Protease Inhibitor Cocktail) and disrupted by sonication. The remaining debris was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 g at 4 °C for 10 min. Finally, the supernatant was collected and the protein concentration was determined with a BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Trypsin digestion, TMT labeling, HPLC fractionation, LC-MS/MS analysis, database search and bioinformatics analysis are detailedly described in the supplementary information. Analysis of the differentially expressed proteins was performed using HemI software⁴⁹.

To perform the proteomic analysis with the cells that cultured in the deep-sea cold seep, strain CS1 was firstly cultured in SRM for 7 days under laboratorial conditions, and then was separated into two parts: one was equally divided into three dialysis bags (8,000-14,000 Da cutoff, which allowing the exchanges of substances smaller than 8,000 Da but preventing bacterial cells from entering or leaving the bag; Solarbio, China) as the "In situ" group; the other part was equally divided into three

anaerobic bottles and incubated for 10 days in the laboratorial conditions as the control group. The “In situ” group was placed simultaneously in the cold seep (E119°17'04.429", N22°07'01.523") for 10 days in the June 2020 during the cruise of R/V *KEXUE*. After 10 days incubation in the deep sea, the bags were taken out and the cells were immediately collected and saved in the -80 °C freezer. 16S rRNA sequencing was performed to ensure the purity of cells of strain CS1 that collected from the *in situ* cultivation. The proteomic assays were performed as described above.

Analytical techniques for the determination of different sulfur compounds and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) assay. To detect the changes of concentrations of thiosulfate and sulfate, 50 mL cultures of *D. marinus* CS1 was collected from groups supplemented with or without 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃ at the 7th, 14th, 21st, 28th, 35th and 61st day, respectively. Meanwhile, the growth status was monitored. After centrifugation at 12,000 g at 4 °C for 30 min, concentrations of thiosulfate and sulfate in the supernatant were respectively measured by iodometric and barium sulfate turbidimetry as described previously^{50,51}. The concentration of ZVS (S₈) in the medium was detected according to the method described previously⁵². Briefly, 1 mL cultured medium was extracted three times using a total of 5 mL chloroform. The extracted sample was measured on a UV-Vis spectrometer (Infinite M1000 Pro; Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) at 270 nm⁵².

To perform the qRT-PCR assay, total RNAs of cells collected at different time points were extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). First-strand cDNA synthesis was carried out with ReverTra Ace® qPCR RT Master Mix (TOYOBO,

Japan) based on the manufacturer's instructions. The expression levels of *sqr* and *phsA* were determined using qRT-PCR on different cDNA samples obtained from cultures as described above. Specific primers were designed according to the corresponding sequences in the genome of *D. marinus* CS1 (Supplementary Table S6). The comparative threshold cycle (CT) ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method was used to analyze the expression level⁵³. Two 16S rRNA gene primers for *D. marinus* CS1 (Supplementary Table S6) were used as internal controls to verify successful transcription and to calibrate the cDNA template for corresponding samples. qRT-PCR was performed using a Quant StudioTM 6 Flex (Life Technologies, USA), and the collected data were analyzed with the system's accompanying SDS software. Dissociation curve analysis of amplification products was performed at the end of each PCR to confirm that only one PCR product was amplified and detected. All data were given in terms of relative mRNA expressed as means $\pm$ standard error (N=4).

Functional assays of PhsA and SQR of *D. marinus* CS1. To detect the functions of SQR and PhsA that identified in *D. marinus* CS1, the genes encoding these two proteins were respectively cloned and overexpressed in *E. coli*. First, the open reading frame of *sqr* or *phsA* was amplified from the *D. marinus* CS1 genome using the KOD OneTM PCR Master Mix (TOYOBO, Japan) with corresponding primers (Supplementary Table S6). The PCR product was purified by using a DNA Gel Extraction Kit (TsingKe, China), and then was cloned in the plasmid pMD19-T simple (TAKARA, Japan). The DNA fragment was digested with *EcoRI/XhoI* and *BamHI/EcoRI* (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), respectively, and ligated into the

507 same restriction enzymes sites of expression vector pET28a (+) (Merck, Germany).
508 The recombinant plasmids were transformed into competent cell *E. coli* BL21(DE3)
509 (TsingKe, China), and transformants were incubated in Luria-Bertani broth (10 g NaCl,
510 10 g tryptone and 5 g yeast extract per liter of Milli-Q water) supplemented with 50
511 µg/mL kanamycin at 37 °C. Protein expression was induced at an OD₆₀₀ around 0.6
512 with 0.1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG), and the cells were
513 cultured for further 20 h at 16 °C. The resultant proteins were separated by
514 SDS-PAGE, and visualized with Coomassie Bright Blue R250 staining.

515 Different concentrations of Na₂S (5 mM, 10 mM, 20 mM, 30 mM and 40 mM)
516 was respectively added in LB medium inoculated with *E. coli* BL21(DE3) containing
517 the recombinant plasmid *sqr*/pET28a (+). The *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells transformed
518 with plasmid pET28a (+) were used as the negative control. After induced with 0.1
519 mM IPTG, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells overexpressing with or without recombinant SQR
520 (rSQR) were cultured at 37 °C for 24 h. And then the growth statuses of *E. coli*
521 *sqr*/pET28a (+)/BL21(DE3) (overexpressing rSQR) and the negative control *E. coli*
522 pET28a (+)/BL21(DE3) that treated with different concentrations of Na₂S, were
523 measured on the Infinite M1000 Pro UV-Vis spectrometer (Tecan, Switzerland) at 600
524 nm (OD₆₀₀). The lead acetate test papers were used to detect the amount of hydrogen
525 sulfide (H₂S) which reflecting the capability of PhsA for reducing thiosulfate to
526 producing H₂S. *E. coli* *sqr*/pET28a (+)/BL21(DE3), *phsA*/pET28a (+)/BL21(DE3)
527 and the negative control *E. coli* pET28a (+)/BL21(DE3) were cultured in the medium
528 supplemented with 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃, respectively. After induced with 0.1 mM IPTG,

529 the production of H₂S was detected after incubation at 37 °C for 24 h.

530 **Data availability.** The genomic data of *D. marinus* CS1 have been deposited to the
531 NCBI with the accession number of CP039543.1. The mass spectrometry proteomics
532 data have been deposited to the Proteome Xchange Consortium via the PRIDE⁵⁴
533 partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD023247. The raw metagenomic
534 sequencing data have been deposited to NCBI Short Read Archive (accession
535 numbers: SRR13052532, SRR13063401, SRR13336710, SRR13065122 and
536 SRR13065132). The raw amplicon sequencing data have also been deposited to NCBI
537 Short Read Archive (accession number: SRR13360429).

538 **Statistical analysis.** The significant differences among groups were subjected to
539 one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) and multiple comparisons by using
540 the SPSS 18.0 program. A statistical significance was defined in our study by $P <$
541 0.05 (indicated by * in all figures) or $P < 0.01$ (indicated by ** in all figures).

542 **Acknowledgements**

543 This work was funded by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese
544 Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA22050301), China Ocean Mineral Resources
545 R&D Association Grant (Grant No. DY135-B2-14), Key Deployment Projects of
546 Center of Ocean Mega-Science of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No.
547 COMS2020Q04), Major Research Plan of the National Natural Science Foundation
548 (Grant No. 92051107), National Key R and D Program of China (Grant No.
549 2018YFC0310800), the Taishan Young Scholar Program of Shandong Province

(tsqn20161051), and Qingdao Innovation Leadership Program (Grant No. 18-1-2-7-zhc) for Chaomin Sun. This study is also funded by the CAS Interdisciplinary Innovation Team (Grant No. JCTD-2018-12) and Open Research Project of National Major Science & Technology Infrastructure (RV KEXUE) (Grant No. NMSTI-KEXUE2017K01).

Author contributions

RL and CS conceived and designed the study; RL conducted most of the experiments; YS helped to perform the *in situ* experiments; SX and XZ helped to perform the Raman spectra analysis; RL and CS lead the writing of the manuscript; all authors contributed to and reviewed the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no any competing interests.

References:

1. Schippers, A. & Jorgensen, B. B. Biogeochemistry of pyrite and iron sulfide oxidation in marine sediments. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* **66**, 85-92 (2002).
2. Holmkvist, L., Ferdelman, T. G. & Jorgensen, B. B. A cryptic sulfur cycle driven by iron in the methane zone of marine sediment (Aarhus Bay, Denmark). *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* **75**, 3581-3599 (2011).
3. Wasmund, K., Mussmann, M. & Loy, A. The life sulfuric: microbial ecology of sulfur cycling in marine sediments. *Env Microbiol Rep* **9**, 323-344 (2017).
4. White, S. N. Laser Raman spectroscopy as a technique for identification of seafloor hydrothermal and cold seep minerals. *Chem Geol* **259**, 240-252 (2009).
5. White, S. N., Dunk, R. M., Peltzer, E. T., Freeman, J. J. & Brewer, P. G. In situ Raman analyses of deep-sea hydrothermal and cold seep systems (Gorda Ridge and Hydrate Ridge). *Geochem Geophys Geosy* **7** (2006).
6. Zhang, X. et al. Development of a new deep-sea hybrid Raman insertion probe and its application to the

- 574 geochemistry of hydrothermal vent and cold seep fluids. *Deep-Sea Res Pt I* **123**, 1-12 (2017).
- 575 7. Lichtschlag, A., Kamyshny, A., Ferdelman, T. G. & deBeer, D. Intermediate sulfur oxidation state compounds
- 576 in the euxinic surface sediments of the Dvurechenskii mud volcano (Black Sea). *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* **105**,
- 577 130-145 (2013).
- 578 8. Berg, J. S., Schwedt, A., Kreutzmann, A. C., Kuypers, M. M. M. & Milucka, J. Polysulfides as intermediates
- 579 in the oxidation of sulfide to sulfate by *Beggiatoa* spp. *Appl Environ Microb* **80**, 629-636 (2014).
- 580 9. Gleeson, D. F. et al. Biosignature detection at an arctic analog to Europa. *Astrobiology* **12**, 135-150 (2012).
- 581 10. Hamilton, T. L., Jones, D. S., Schaperdoth, I. & Macalady, J. L. Metagenomic insights into S(0) precipitation
- 582 in a terrestrial subsurface lithoautotrophic ecosystem. *Front Microbiol* **5** (2015).
- 583 11. Ghosh, W. & Dam, B. Biochemistry and molecular biology of lithotrophic sulfur oxidation by taxonomically
- 584 and ecologically diverse bacteria and archaea. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **33**, 999-1043 (2009).
- 585 12. Duzs, A. et al. A novel enzyme of type VI sulfide: quinone oxidoreductases in purple sulfur photosynthetic
- 586 bacteria. *Appl Microbiol Biot* **102**, 5133-5147 (2018).
- 587 13. Meyer, B., Imhoff, J. F. & Kuever, J. Molecular analysis of the distribution and phylogeny of the soxB gene
- 588 among sulfur-oxidizing bacteria - evolution of the Sox sulfur oxidation enzyme system. *Environ Microbiol* **9**,
- 589 2957-2977 (2007).
- 590 14. Sakurai, H., Ogawa, T., Shiga, M. & Inoue, K. Inorganic sulfur oxidizing system in green sulfur bacteria.
- 591 *Photosynthesis research* **104**, 163-176 (2010).
- 592 15. Dam, B., Mandal, S., Ghosh, W., Das Gupta, S. K. & Roy, P. The S4-intermediate pathway for the oxidation
- 593 of thiosulfate by the chemolithoautotroph *Tetrathiodibacter kashmirensis* and inhibition of tetrathionate
- 594 oxidation by sulfite. *Research in microbiology* **158**, 330-338 (2007).
- 595 16. Hensen, D., Sperling, D., Truper, H. G., Brune, D. C. & Dahl, C. Thiosulphate oxidation in the phototrophic
- 596 sulphur bacterium *Allochrocatium vinosum*. *Molecular microbiology* **62**, 794-810 (2006).
- 597 17. Zhang, J. et al. A novel bacterial thiosulfate oxidation pathway provides a new clue about the formation of
- 598 zero-valent sulfur in deep sea. *ISME J* **14**, 2261-2274 (2020).
- 599 18. Lahme, S. et al. Comparison of sulfide-oxidizing *Sulfurimonas* strains reveals a new mode of thiosulfate
- 600 formation in subsurface environments. *Environ Microbiol* **22**, 1784-1800 (2020).
- 601 19. Marcia M, Ermler U, Peng GH & Michel H. A new structure-based classification of sulfide: quinone
- 602 oxidoreductases. *Proteins* **78**, 1073-1083 (2010).
- 603 20. Lencina, A. M., Ding, Z. Q., Schurig-Briccio, L. A. & Gennis, R. B. Characterization of the Type III sulfide:

-
- 604 quinone oxidoreductase from *Caldivirga maquilensis* and its membrane binding. *Biochim Biophys Acta*
605 **1827**, 266-275 (2013).
- 606 21. Brito, J. A. et al. Structural and functional insights into sulfide: quinone oxidoreductase. *Biochemistry* **48**,
607 5613-5622 (2009).
- 608 22. Muller, H., Marozava, S., Probst, A. J. & Meckenstock, R. U. Groundwater cable bacteria conserve energy by
609 sulfur disproportionation. *ISME J* **14**, 623-634 (2020).
- 610 23. van Vliet, D. M. et al. The bacterial sulfur cycle in expanding dysoxic and euxinic marine waters. *Environ*
611 *Microbiol* (2020).
- 612 24. Fang, W. W., Liang, Z. W., Liu, Y. L., Liao, J. Y. & Wang, S. Q. Metagenomic insights into production of zero
613 valent sulfur from dissimilatory sulfate reduction in a methanogenic bioreactor. *Bioresour Technol Rep* **383**
614 (2019).
- 615 25. Milucka, J. et al. Zero-valent sulphur is a key intermediate in marine methane oxidation. *Nature* **491**, 541-546
616 (2012).
- 617 26. Labrado, A. L., Brunner, B., Bernasconi, S. M. & Peckmann, J. Formation of large native sulfur deposits does
618 not require molecular oxygen. *Front Microbiol* **10** (2019).
- 619 27. Yu, H. et al. Comparative genomics and proteomic analysis of assimilatory sulfate reduction pathways in
620 anaerobic methanotrophic archaea. *Front Microbiol* **9** (2018).
- 621 28. Fang, W., Gu, M., Liang, D., Chen, G. H. & Wang, S. Generation of zero valent sulfur from dissimilatory
622 sulfate reduction under methanogenic conditions. *J Hazard Mater* **383** (2020).
- 623 29. Perez-Lopez, R. et al. Sulfate reduction processes in salt marshes affected by phosphogypsum: Geochemical
624 influences on contaminant mobility. *J Hazard Mater* **350**, 154-161 (2018).
- 625 30. Grabarczyk, D. B. et al. Structural basis for specificity and promiscuity in a carrier protein/enzyme system
626 from the sulfur cycle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, E7166-7175 (2015).
- 627 31. Anantharaman, K. et al. Expanded diversity of microbial groups that shape the dissimilatory sulfur cycle.
628 *ISME J* **12**, 1715-1728 (2018).
- 629 32. Jorgensen, B. B. A thiosulfate shunt in the sulfur cycle of marine sediments. *Science* **249**, 152-154 (1990).
- 630 33. Feng, D. et al. Cold seep systems in the South China Sea: An overview. *J Asian Earth Sci* **168**, 3-16 (2018).
- 631 34. Wegener, G., Krukenberg, V., Riedel, D., Tegetmeyer, H. E. & Boetius, A. Intercellular wiring enables
632 electron transfer between methanotrophic archaea and bacteria. *Nature* **526**, 587-590 (2015).
- 633 35. McGlynn, S. E., Chadwick, G. L., Kempes, C. P. & Orphan, V. J. Single cell activity reveals direct electron

634 transfer in methanotrophic consortia. *Nature* **526**, 531-535 (2015).

635 36. Wu, Y., Qiu, J. W., Qian, P. Y. & Wang, Y. The vertical distribution of prokaryotes in the surface sediment of
636 Jiaolong cold seep at the northern South China Sea. *Extremophiles* **22**, 499-510 (2018).

637 37. Richter, M., Rossello-Mora, R., Glockner, F. O. & Peplies, J. JSpeciesWS: a web server for prokaryotic
638 species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 929-931 (2016).

639 38. Medlar, A. J., Toronen, P. & Holm, L. AAI-profiler: fast proteome-wide exploratory analysis reveals
640 taxonomic identity, misclassification and contamination. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W479-W485 (2018).

641 39. Burns, J. L. & DiChristina, T. J. Anaerobic respiration of elemental sulfur and thiosulfate by *Shewanella*
642 *oneidensis* MR-1 Requires psrA, a homolog of the phsA gene of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium
643 LT2. *Appl Environ Microb* **75**, 5209-5217 (2009).

644 40. Aketagawa, J., Kobayashi, K. & Ishimoto, M. Purification and properties of thiosulfate reductase from
645 *Desulfovibrio vulgaris*, Miyazaki F. *J Biochem* **97**, 1025-1032 (1985).

646 41. Chen, Z. G. et al. The complete pathway for thiosulfate utilization in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Environ*
647 *Microb* **84** (2018).

648 42. Bak, F. & Cypionka, H. A novel type of energy metabolism involving fermentation of inorganic sulphur
649 compounds. *Nature* **326**, 891-892 (1987).

650 43. Jorgensen, B. B. & Bak, F. Pathways and microbiology of thiosulfate transformations and sulfate reduction in
651 a marine sediment (kattagat, denmark). *Appl Environ Microbiol* **57**, 847-856 (1991).

652 44. Wells, M. et al. Respiratory selenite reductase from *Bacillus selenitireducens* strain MLS10. *J Bacteriol* **201**
653 (2019).

654 45. Beauchamp, R. O., Jr., Bus, J. S., Popp, J. A., Boreiko, C. J. & Andjelkovich, D. A. A critical review of the
655 literature on hydrogen sulfide toxicity. *Crit Rev Toxicol* **13**, 25-97 (1984).

656 46. Blachier, F. et al. Luminal sulfide and large intestine mucosa: friend or foe? *Amino acids* **39**, 335-347 (2010).

657 47. Kushkevych, I., Dordevic, D. & Vitezova, M. Toxicity of hydrogen sulfide toward sulfate-reducing bacteria
658 *Desulfovibrio piger* Vib-7. *Arch Microbiol* **201**, 389-397 (2019).

659 48. Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis
660 across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**, 1547-1549 (2018).

661 49. Deng, W. K., Wang, Y. B., Liu, Z. X., Cheng, H. & Xue, Y. HemI: A toolkit for illustrating heatmaps. *Plos*
662 *One* **9** (2014).

663 50. Trueper, H. G. & Schlegel, H. G. Sulphur metabolism in Thiorhodaceae. I. quantitative measurements on

-
- growing cells of *Chromatium Okenii*. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **30**, 225-238 (1964).
51. Kelly, D. P. & Wood, A. P. in *Methods in Enzymology* Vol. 243 475-501 (Academic Press, 1994).
52. Houghton, J. L. et al. Thiosulfate oxidation by *Thiomicrospira thermophila*: metabolic flexibility in response to ambient geochemistry. *Environ Microbiol* **18**, 3057-3072 (2016).
53. Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(T)(-Delta Delta C) method. *Methods* **25**, 402-408 (2001).
54. Perez-Riverol, Y. et al. The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D442-D450 (2019).

Figure Legends

Fig. 1 Responses of *D. marinus* CS1 to different sulfur sources. (a) Growth assays of *D. marinus* CS1 that cultured in the SRM medium supplemented with different sulfur sources, including Na₂SO₄ (20 mM), Na₂S₂O₃ (40 mM), Na₂SO₃ (10 mM) and Na₂S (10 mM). “Control” indicates *D. marinus* CS1 was cultured in the SRM medium. (b-f) TEM observation of morphology changes of *D. marinus* CS1 that cultured in the medium supplemented with different sulfur sources as shown in panel A. Scale bars, 2 μm. (g-i) Proteomics based heat map showing all significantly down- and up-regulated proteins associated with sulfur metabolism in *D. marinus* CS1 when cultured in the medium supplemented with 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃ (g), 10 mM Na₂SO₃ (h) and 10 mM Na₂S (i), respectively. The numbers shown in the heat map represent the fold change of proteins compared to the control group. Abbreviations: QmoA, CoB-CoM heterodisulfide reductase iron-sulfur subunit A family protein; QmoB, hydrogenase iron-sulfur subunit; QmoC, quinone-interacting membrane -bound oxidoreductase complex subunit QmoC; AprA, adenylyl-sulfate reductase subunit alpha; AprB, adenylyl-sulfate reductase subunit beta; Sat, sulfate adenylyltransferase; DsrA, dissimilatory-type sulfite reductase subunit alpha; DsrB, dissimilatory-type sulfite reductase subunit beta; DsrC, TusE/DsrC/DsvC family sulfur relay protein; MetB, cystathionine gamma-synthase family protein; MetX, homoserine O-acetyltransferase; PhsA, thiosulfate reductase; DmsB, anaerobic dimethyl sulfoxide reductase subunit B; SQR, sulfide: quinone oxidoreductase; SsuA, aliphatic sulfonate ABC transporter. More detailed information about proteins shown in this Figure was

719 listed in the Supplementary Table 2.

720 **Fig. 2 *D. marinus* CS1 produces ZVS when cultured in the medium**
 721 **supplemented with 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃.** (a) Formation of obvious white substances by
 722 *D. marinus* CS1 when cultured in the medium supplemented with 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃
 723 (indicated with red arrows). (b) SEM observation of white substances produced by *D.*
 724 *marinus* CS1 shown in panel a. (c) Identification of major sulfur composition of white
 725 substances produced by *D. marinus* CS1 via energy dispersive spectrum (EDS) assay.
 726 (d) Confirmation of S₈ configuration of white substances produced by *D. marinus*
 727 CS1 via Raman spectra assay. (e) Raman spectrum of standard S₈.

728 **Fig. 3 Monitoring the dynamics of concentrations of different sulfur**
 729 **intermediates and expression levels of *phsA* and *sqr* in the medium supplemented**
 730 **with 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃ across the whole two-month incubation period.** Dynamics of
 731 concentrations of sulfate, thiosulfate and ZVS in the medium supplemented with (a)
 732 or without (b) 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃ across the whole two-month incubation period. The
 733 error bars indicate the standard deviation (S.D.) from three different biological
 734 replicates. Dynamics of the relative expression levels of *phsA* (c) and *sqr* (d) by
 735 qRT-PCR in the medium supplemented with or without 40 mM Na₂S₂O₃ across the
 736 whole two-month incubation period. All data are relative to the expression levels found
 737 in the control group ± the standard error (N = 4). *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01.

738 **Fig. 4 Functional assays of key proteins driving formation of ZVS in *D. marinus***
 739 **CS1.** (a) Overexpression of PhsA in *E. coli* promotes the transformation of S₂O₃²⁻ to

740 H_2S . *E. coli* cells without or with expression of PhsA were incubated in LB medium
741 supplemented with 40 mM $Na_2S_2O_3$ for 24 h. H_2S accumulation was detected with
742 lead-acetate paper strips. (b) Overexpression of SQR in *E. coli* promotes the
743 transformation of H_2S to other forms. *E. coli* cells without or with expression of SQR
744 were incubated in LB medium supplemented with 40 mM $Na_2S_2O_3$ for 24 h. H_2S
745 accumulation was detected with lead-acetate paper strips. (c) Growth assays of *E. coli*
746 cells without or with expression of SQR in LB medium supplemented with respective
747 5 mM, 10 mM, 20 mM, 30 mM and 40 mM Na_2S for 24 h. ** means $P < 0.01$.

748 **Fig. 5 Proteomic analyses of sulfur metabolism of *D. marinus* CS1 that cultured**
749 **in the deep-sea cold seep.** (a) Representative picture showing the *in situ* experimental
750 apparatus used in the deep-sea cold seep. (b) Proteome based heat map showing all
751 different expressed proteins involved in sulfur metabolism after a 10-day incubation
752 of *D. marinus* CS1 in the “In situ” group compared with “Lab condition” group. The
753 numbers in the heat map represent the fold change of proteins compared to Lab
754 conditions group. Abbreviations: DsyB-like, MTHB methyltransferase;
755 dimethylsulphonio propionate biosynthesis enzyme; CysQ, 3'(2'), 5'-bisphosphate
756 nucleotidase. Other abbreviations are the same as shown in Figure 1. More detailed
757 information about proteins shown in this Figure was listed in the Supplementary Table
758 2.

759 **Fig. 6 Proposed model related to sulfur metabolism and ZVS formation of *D.***
760 ***marinus* CS1.** Black solid lines represent the typical sulfate reduction pathway
761 present in *D. marinus* CS1. Black dashed lines represent the direction of electron

transfer. Red lines represent the unique ZVS formation process present in *D. marinus*
 CS1. Abbreviations: APS, adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate; PAPS,
 3'-phosphoadenosine-5'-phosphosulfate (3'-phosphoadenylylsulfate); CysC,
 adenylyl-sulfate kinase; CysH, phosphoadenosine phosphosulfate reductase family
 protein; DsrD, dissimilatory sulfite reductase-associated protein; DsrK,
 [DsrC]-trisulfide reductase; DsrM, sulfate reduction electron transfer complex
 DsrMKJOP subunit; DsrN, cobyrinate a,c-diamide synthase; DsrJ, sulfate reduction
 electron transfer complex DsrMKJOP subunit; DsrO, 4Fe-4S dicluster domain
 -containing protein; DsrP, polysulfide reductase; DsrT, dissimilatory sulfite reductase
 system component; MetE, 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate-homocysteine
 S-methyltransferase; MetH, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase [NAD(P)H]; CysK,
 cysteine synthase. Other abbreviations are the same as shown in Figures 1 and 5. More
 detailed information about proteins shown in this figure was listed in the
 Supplementary Table 2.

776

a

40 mM Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	—	+	—	+
rPhsA/BL21(DE3)	—	—	+	+

b

40 mM Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	—	+	—	+
rSQR/BL21(DE3)	—	—	+	+

c

a

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.23.436689>; this version posted March 23, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

b

	In situ	Lab condition
QmoA (E8L03_09645)	1.23	1.00
QmoB (E8L03_09640)	1.24	1.00
QmoC (E8L03_09635)	1.71	1.00
AprA (E8L03_09650)	1.28	1.00
AprB (E8L03_09655)	1.32	1.00
Sat (E8L03_09660)	1.32	1.00
CysQ (E8L03_10175)	1.95	1.00
DmsB (E8L03_06390)	1.39	1.00
SQR (E8L03_05425)	4.15	1.00
PhsA (E8L03_05425)	1.63	1.00
SsuA (E8L03_10790)	1.23	1.00
DsyB-like (E8L03_02130)	1.36	1.00