RESEARCH

Brain solute transport is more rapid in periarterial than perivenous spaces

Vegard Vinje^{1*}, Erik NTP Bakker² and Marie E Rognes^{1,3}

* Correspondence:

vegard@simula.no

¹Department of Scientific Computing and Numerical Analysis, Simula Research Laboratory, Martin Linges vei 25, 1364, Fornebu, Norway
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Background: Perivascular fluid flow, of cerebrospinal or interstitial fluid in spaces surrounding brain blood vessels, is recognized as a key component underlying brain transport and clearance. An important open question is how and to what extent differences in vessel type or geometry affect perivascular fluid flow and transport. **Methods:** Using computational modelling in both idealized and image-based geometries, we study and compare fluid flow and solute transport in pial (surface) periarterial and perivenous spaces. **Results:** Our findings demonstrate that differences in geometry between arterial and venous pial perivascular spaces (PVSs) lead to higher net CSF flow, more rapid tracer transport and earlier arrival times of injected tracers in periarterial spaces compared to perivenous spaces. **Conclusions:** These findings can explain the experimentally observed rapid appearance of tracers around arteries, and the delayed appearance around veins without the need of a circulation through the parenchyma, but rather by direct transport along the PVSs.

Keywords: Perivascular space; Cerebrospinal fluid; Computational biology; Fluid mechanics

Perivascular fluid flow, of cerebrospinal or interstitial fluid in spaces surrounding brain blood vessels, is recognized as a key component underlying brain transport and clearance [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In spite of its potential importance, several aspects of perivascular fluid flow are poorly characterized. In particular, the shape and structure of perivascular spaces (PVSs), as well as differences between periarterial and perivenous spaces and flow, remain enigmatic and disputed.

Traditionally, PVSs at the brain surface (pial PVSs) have been described and represented as annular structures surrounding the vasculature, either disjoint from the subarachnoid space (SAS) [6, 7, 3, 8], or as widenings of the SAS with reduced flow resistance [9]. When observing injected tracers in the murine brain via in-vivo two-photon imaging and fluorescence microscopy, the tracer is predominantly found along pial arteries and arterioles [7, 10]. Careful examination of such images [11, Figure 1D] indicates that after an initial spread of tracer along arteries, the tracer is later present over the entire surface of the brain, thus suggesting tracer movement from the pial PVS into the adjacent SAS. The PVSs around arteries also appear to connect to the PVSs of veins, either via the SAS or directly where arteries and veins cross [11, Figure1]. These observations correspond well with other postmortem confocal images in which the tracer signal in the PVSs of arteries, veins, and SAS were continuous [9].

Perivascular fluid flow around arteries has now been studied extensively, while less evidence exists regarding the role and characteristics of perivenous flow. In and around

large arteries on the brain surface, it seems well documented that the bulk fluid flow direction follows the blood flow direction [7, 10]. Perivenous spaces have been suggested as exit routes, draining interstitial fluid and solutes out of the brain and the brain environment [12]. Evidence for this view includes later arrival of intracisternally injected tracer to venous PVSs compared to arterial PVSs, and tracer accumulation around venous PVSs after intraparenchymal injection [3]. However, in earlier work, it was suggested that the direction of PVS fluid flow varies in a more unpredictable manner [2].

The anatomy, shape, and structure of perivascular spaces may vary by location and change over time [5]. In particular, there may be persistent and systematic differences between the spaces surrounding pial arteries and veins [9]. A key open question is how and to what extent differences in shape affect PVS fluid flow and transport. Several theoretical and computational models have used the space between two concentric cylinders to model the PVS [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. Mestre *et al.* [7] have suggested, based on live tracer studies, that PVSs may be non-connecting, with two disjoint compartments forming on each side of pial arteries. Following these observations, Tithof *et al.* [18] considered a confined PVS separated from the SAS, and found that an elliptic PVS was optimal for fluid transport given a constraint on the cross sectional area. An elliptical model with two disjoint PVSs was also assumed by Kedarasetti *et al.* to study perivascular pumping [19]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the physiological implications of differences in shape on periarterial and perivenous flow and solute transport have not been adequately characterized. Perivenous flow within the brain parenchyma has been studied in a 1D-model by Faghih and Sharp [20], but models of the perivenous space at a level of detail comparable to the modeling studies mentioned above [13, 14, 15, 16, 19] is lacking.

In this paper, using computational modelling in both idealized and image-based geometries, we study and compare fluid flow and solute transport in pial (surface) periarterial and perivenous spaces. We first systematically address how PVS fluid velocities change with differences in arterial and venous PVS geometries. Next, we predict arrival differences of tracer in perivascular spaces based on differences in fluid velocities. Our findings demonstrate that fluid flow and solute transport is more rapid in spaces of periarterial shape when compared to spaces of perivenous shape, and that these geometrical differences alone predict a delayed tracer arrival in pial perivenous spaces.

Methods

Domains and meshes

We studied families of three-dimensional geometries Ω of pial PVSs: (a) families of idealized PVSs around an artery (A0) and/or a vein (V0), and (b) an image-based set of PVSs surrounding an artery (A1) and/or a vein (V1) extracted from human optical coherence tomography (OCT) images. To compare with experimental data of tracer transport, all vessels and PVSs were scaled to the mouse size (such that $r = 20\mu\text{m}$ for the arteries in agreement with [7]). Cross-sections of the periarterial and perivenous spaces are illustrated in Figures 1 (idealized) and 2 (image-based); these cross-sections were extruded by 5 mm along the third axis to define the computational domains.

Each cross-section of the idealized periarterial spaces was assumed to surround a circular artery and to be continuous with the SAS with more open space on each side of the vessel than above and below [9]. The outer PVS surfaces were defined by spline curves

via sets of control points (for .geo-files, see the data set [21]). The minimal SAS height (h) was set to $5\mu\text{m}$, the maximal SAS height (including the artery with diameter $2r = 40\mu\text{m}$) was set to $45\mu\text{m}$, and the PVS width representing the distance between the arterial surface and the end of the PVS tapering was set to $40\mu\text{m}$. For the idealized perivenous spaces, the vein was assumed to form an ellipse with major axis $r_a = 32\mu\text{m}$ and minor axis $r_b = 12.5\mu\text{m}$. The cross-sectional area of the artery and the vein are thus equal. In the narrow SAS region, the perivenous height was comparable to that of the artery (h), while the maximal SAS height (including the vein) was $30\mu\text{m}$.

To systematically quantify the effect of PVS geometry on maximal PVS flow velocity, we created two further families of computational domains parametrized by (i) a vascularity $\rho \in [0, 1]$ (with $\rho = 0$ and $\rho = 1$ corresponding to the venous and arterial PVS, respectively, and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ linearly transforming between these two geometries) and (ii) the periarterial width $w \in (30, 70)\mu\text{m}$. For both families, we uniformly sampled 100 meshes. The gradual changes in geometry for the two cases are visualized in Figure 5 and Additional Files 1–2.

The image-based geometries were constructed from previously published OCT data [10] in which the PVSs surrounding two vessels were easily recognizable and identifiable, and meshed via Gmsh [22].

Computational fluid dynamics in the pial PVS

In the pulsatile perivascular flow observed experimentally [7, 10], flow amplitudes are similar compared to the net flow ($\approx 10 \mu\text{m/s}$ vs $\approx 20 \mu\text{m/s}$). The dominant transport mechanism over time will thus be the net movement of fluid, with only minor effects of mixing [23]. Therefore, together with the assumptions that pial PVSs are continuous with the SAS [9] and open [24], we modelled the convective flow in the PVS as an incompressible Stokes flow; that is, the fluid velocity $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}(x, y, z)$ and pressure $p = p(x, y, z)$ for $(x, y, z) \in \Omega$ solve

$$\mu \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{v} - \nabla p = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0, \quad (1b)$$

under additional given boundary conditions.

In the extruded domains considered here, in which each cross-section of each geometry is constant, the incompressible Stokes equations subject to a constant pressure difference over the domain length can be reduced to the following equation for the axial velocity $v = v(x, y)$ (velocity in the z -direction) over the two-dimensional cross-sections:

$$\mu \nabla^2 v - \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 0. \quad (2)$$

We let the flow be driven by a constant pressure gradient $\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 1.46 \text{ mmHg/m}$. Even though such a gradient has only been reported as a pulsatile gradient [25], static gradients of this magnitude have been shown to create net velocities of around $20 \mu\text{m/s}$ in the PVS [16] in agreement with experimental observations of injected microspheres in pial PVS. We also conducted experiments with a lower pressure gradient: $\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 0.01 \text{ mmHg/m}$ [25] corresponding to the third circulation's production of CSF alone [26]. On the vessel wall, and on the upper (dura mater) and lower (pia mater) walls we assumed a no slip condition (i.e. $v = 0$), while on the side walls (extending further in the SAS), we used the natural Neumann (symmetry) condition ($\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$).

Convection-diffusion modelling

We simulated the distribution and evolution of a solute (e.g. tracer or microspheres) in the pial PVS in response to a steady injection at one end ($z = 0$) of the PVS geometries. We assume that the solute concentration $u = u(x, y, z, t) \in \Omega$ will be governed by the convection-diffusion system:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla u = D \nabla^2 u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (3a)$$

$$u = 1 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_{\text{in}}, \quad (3b)$$

$$D \nabla u \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_e, \quad (3c)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{at } t = 0, \quad (3d)$$

where v is the convective fluid velocity, and D is the diffusion coefficient in water for the given solute. We denote the inlet end of the geometry by $\partial\Omega_{\text{in}}$, while $\partial\Omega_e$ is the remaining part of the domain boundary $\partial\Omega$. The boundary and initial conditions (3b) - (3d) describe a constant injection at one end of the PVS geometry with no initial tracer anywhere in the PVS or SAS. To model pure diffusion in the PVS, we set $v = 0$. When including convection, we first solved the pressure-driven Stokes Equation (2) to find the fluid velocity $v = v(x, y) = v(x, y, z)$.

Material parameters

We set the CSF viscosity $\mu = 0.7 \text{ Pa s}$ [16]. The diffusion coefficient D varies by molecular size, and small particles typically diffuse faster than larger particles. We set the diffusion coefficient to $D = 1.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ to represent unhindered diffusion in the CSF-filled PVS of the large (2000 kDa) tracer used for volumetric imaging by Mestre et al [7]. This estimate is based on reported apparent diffusion coefficients $D^* = D\lambda^2$ for 70 kDa Dextran of $0.84 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ in brain neuropil with a tortuosity λ of 1.6 [27] (thus $D = 2.15 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$), and $5.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ (thus $D = 1.36 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$) for the smaller 3 kDa Texas Red dextran [3, 28]; and the reported apparent diffusion coefficients in articular cartilage of approximately $7.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for 3 kDa, $3.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for 70 kDa, and $4.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for 500 kDa dextran [29]. Microspheres of approximately $1 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter [7] are larger than these substances, and would be expected to diffuse even slower. In addition to the small diffusion coefficient for the 2000 kDa tracer, we performed simulations using the $\approx 100\times$ higher diffusion coefficient of 3 kDa Texas Red dextran as well.

Quantities of interest

The concentration u is a normalized value (between 0 and 1) and set to 1 at the injection site ($z = 0$). As our primary quantity of interest, we measure the average tracer concentration $\bar{u}$, averaged over the cross-section $\partial\Omega_{\text{end}}$ at the end of the domain (where $z = L$), over time:

$$\bar{u}(t) = \frac{1}{A} \int_{\partial\Omega_{\text{end}}} u \, dx, \quad (4)$$

where A is the area of $\partial\Omega_{\text{end}}$. In addition to $\bar{u}(t)$, we report the velocity and concentration fields in the periarterial and perivenous spaces.

Numerical solution and verification

The partial differential equations were solved using the finite element method and the FEniCS software suite [30]. The reduced Stokes equations (2) were solved using a first order (continuous piecewise linear) approximation (in space). The convection-diffusion equations (3) were solved using a first order approximation in space and time (implicit Euler scheme) with a uniform time step $\Delta t = 10 \text{ s}$ and mesh resolution $\Delta x = 1 \mu\text{m}$ until $T = 120 \text{ minutes}$. The discrete velocity field $v = v(x, y)$ was interpolated onto each vertex of the three-dimensional mesh: $v(x, y, z) = v(x, y)$. For the pure diffusion simulations, we used a time step of $\Delta t = 1 \text{ day}$ and an end time of $T = 150 \text{ days}$. Numerical convergence tests were performed to ensure that the reported results were independent of mesh resolution and the choice of time step (see Additional File 3). The resolution of the mesh used for simulations are shown in Additional File 4.

Results

In all experiments tracer spread from the site of injection towards the site of measurement (See Figure 3A, B). The rate of transport of tracer was dominated by convection and varied between different geometries.

Diffusive transport is too slow to explain tracer movement along PVS

Even when measured only 5 mm from the injection site, diffusion was too slow to transport the large tracers of interest within the time frame (a few minutes) observed in experiments [7]: it took up to 10 days for an increase in tracer concentration to be detectable at the PVS outlets (Figure 3A). After 20 days, the average concentration at the outlet was 1-2 % of the concentration at the injection site. Moreover, diffusion transported the tracer along the artery and along the vein at the same pace (Figure 3). Figure 3B and C show the distribution along the artery (B) and the vein (C) after 20 days of diffusive transport. The tracer was evenly distributed at all cross-sections. Thus, for pure diffusion, the shape of the PVS did not influence the tracer transport.

Figure 3 Diffusion of large tracers in PVS occurs on the time scale of days. In A) the concentration of tracer at the point of measurement is shown for the artery and the vein. The two graphs are identical, showing that diffusion occurs at a similar rate in the two geometries. Tracer distribution after 20 days of diffusive transport is shown for the artery (B) and the vein (C).

Periarterial geometries induce higher fluid velocities

The imposed pressure gradients induced fluid velocities in all geometries, with greater velocities in the larger open spaces surrounding the arteries (both idealized and image-based) than in other regions (see Figure 4). The peak (and average) fluid velocity in the idealized periarterial and perivenous spaces was 11 (3) and 5 (1) $\mu\text{m/s}$, respectively, and 17 (6) and 3 (1) $\mu\text{m/s}$ in the image-based periarterial and perivenous spaces. The velocities were higher on each side of the blood vessels, with little or no flow over the top or below the vessel. We note that even though the PVS forms an open space around the artery continuous with the SAS, the PVS flow pattern generated two distinct regions with higher fluid velocities. In the SAS far away from the vessel, the maximal fluid velocity was steady at $\approx 1 \mu\text{m/s}$ in the idealized artery and vein models. Using the maximal fluid velocities u reported above, and the characteristic length $L = 5 \text{ mm}$, the Peclet numbers $\text{Pe} = \frac{Lu}{D}$ ranged from $\text{Pe} = 85000$ (A1) to $\text{Pe} = 110$ (V1 with a 100-fold increase in diffusion coefficient).

With the pressure gradient corresponding to CSF production alone (according to the third circulation), the peak fluid velocity was 0.1 $\mu\text{m/s}$ in the idealized artery, approximately 100 times lower than in the other experiments and would not be able to explain rapid movement of tracer in the PVS (data not shown). In the geometry with the lowest convective velocity (the image-based perivenous space, V1), transport of 3 kDa Texas Red dextran was still dominated by convection. The 100-fold increase in diffusion coefficient accelerated transport by 11-12 minutes, and in particular the tracer concentration at the measurement site exceeded a threshold of 0.7 after 75 vs 87 minutes.

Perivascular flow velocities vary linearly with PVS vascularity and width

To determine the main geometrical parameters affecting the perivascular flow, we examined the peak perivascular fluid velocity resulting from a gradual change from the idealized periarterial geometry to the idealized perivenous geometry, and from changing PVS widths (see Methods). Linear transitions between these parameterized geometries resulted in near linear changes in peak perivascular flow velocities (Figure 5, Supplementary Videos S1-S2). An increase in PVS width from 40 μm (default periarterial geometry) to 70 μm increased PVS velocities from 11 (3) $\mu\text{m/s}$ to 23 (9) $\mu\text{m/s}$, while a reduction to 30 μm PVS width resulted in PVS velocities of 7 (2) $\mu\text{m/s}$. Thus, a narrow periarterial space generated similar perivascular flow velocities as the idealized perivenous space (7 (2) $\mu\text{m/s}$ vs 5 (1) $\mu\text{m/s}$). We note that the linear increase observed is in

contrast to the quadratic relationship between radius and maximal flow velocity in a cylinder.

Tracer appears first around arteries, then around veins

Simulations of convective and diffusive transport via (3) in the perivascular spaces revealed substantial differences between the periarterial and perivenous transport characteristics and the tracer arrival times at the measurement site (Figure 6).

In the periarterial spaces, the average tracer concentration at the measurement site rapidly grew after 5–20 minutes, with the most rapid transport and time to peak concentration (≈ 25 min) in the image-based periarterial spaces. In the perivenous spaces, the measured concentration remained low until after 30–45 minutes before increasing from 35–45 minutes and peaking after more than 1.5 hours. These differences are directly attributable to the differences in PVS velocities and geometries: in the idealized models, the peak and average periarterial fluid velocities were approximately twice those of the vein, and the convective front thus appears twice as fast around the artery. The difference between the artery and the vein was greater in the image-based model, due to faster transport along the artery and slower transport along the vein.

Tracer patterns depend on time of measurement

In Figures 7–8, we visualize the concentration field (above a given threshold) at the PVS outlets at a series of time points. After around 20 minutes, tracer had started to appear around the artery in the idealized model (Figure 7, $t = 27$ min). The corresponding apparent structure of the periarterial space is two disjoint compartments, one on each side of the artery. However, after 60 minutes, the tracer was distributed in the entire PVS cross-section. Analogously, 70 minutes after injection tracers appeared around the idealized vein and also seem to reveal two disjoint avenues of transport. After 100 minutes, both the arterial and venous PVSs were filled at the cross-sectional area at the measurement site in the idealized models. For the image-based models, the trends were similar, but included asymmetry due to the asymmetric geometries (Figure 8). After 15–20 minutes, tracer appeared on both sides of the image-based periarterial space, while it took up to 90 minutes for the venous PVS to reach similar levels of concentration at the measurement site.

Discussion

In this study, we used computational fluid dynamics to investigate differences in tracer transport along surface vessels of the brain. The geometrical models involved both idealized and image-based vessels. In both cases, we found higher PVS velocities in periarterial spaces compared to perivenous spaces. These differences were caused by the geometrical differences between the spaces and resulted in much faster transport along arteries than along veins. The surface vessels and PVSs modeled in this study should be distinguished from penetrating vessels and capillaries which may have different surroundings [31, 32].

In agreement with previous studies [15, 33], we show that for large molecules, such as e.g. 2000 kDa dextran, pure diffusion is too slow to explain the rapid tracer transport observed along the brain surface [7, 10]. Diffusive transport of the large tracers studied here occurs on the scale of days and months and not minutes or hours. Dispersion induced by arterial pulsations has been proposed as a mechanism to explain solute transport even in the absence of bulk flow [15, 33]. However, an increase by a factor of approximately two as demonstrated by Asgari et al. [15] does not seem sufficient to account for the rapid transport of large tracers in pial PVSs [7, 10]. By analytical models, Troyetsky et al. [23] have reached the same conclusion, namely that bulk flow velocities of $\approx 20 \mu\text{m/s}$ are much more effective in transporting tracers than dispersion by arterial pulsations. On the other hand, within the brain parenchyma, transport of smaller tracers (e.g. <1

kDa gadubutrol) occur but slightly faster than by diffusion alone [34, 35, 36], and within a similar order of magnitude.

In our study, periarterial fluid velocities were but slightly lower than experimental evidence suggests (peak velocities of 11-23 $\mu\text{m/s}$ compared to $\approx 10\text{-}40 \mu\text{m/s}$) [7, 10]. Pulsatile flow patterns, not included in our models here, could further enhance tracer transport to some extent. According to data presented by Iliff et al. [11, Figure 4C,D], reduced vessel pulsations reduce tracer intensity at the measurement site by a factor close to 2. Local arterial wall pulsations can induce a small net flow, of 0.1 $\mu\text{m/s}$ over a 5 mm long PVS or up to 7 $\mu\text{m/s}$ in very long (100 mm) PVSs [16]. On the other hand, tracer infusions increase the intracranial pressure (ICP) [11], and may also give rise to a small but long-lasting ICP gradient [37]. Yet, the experimentally observed rapid flows of macroparticles and large tracers in the pial PVSs do not seem to hinge upon such ICP increases [38]. A small static pressure gradient seems to be necessary for net flow of the reported magnitude [19, 16], yet the required gradient is of similar magnitude as naturally pulsatile pressure gradients within the brain [25].

In our simulations, tracers appeared first around arteries (after 5–20 minutes), then around veins (1–2 hours). These observations can be ascribed to the fact that PVS velocities were higher in the vicinity of arteries than around veins. According to the original glymphatic hypothesis [3], CSF flows in along arteries, CSF/ISF flows through the interstitium, and ISF is cleared along paravenous routes. The later appearance of tracers around veins on the brain surface would then be explained by this recirculation and

clearance pathway. However, the delay observed by Iliff et al. [3] (0-30 min vs 1-2 hours for arteries vs veins) compares well with the results from our simulations for which no such recirculation was assumed. Instead, our data suggest that differences in periarterial and perivenous geometries explain the later appearance of tracer around veins, and not necessarily a full recirculation through a (glymphatic) interstitial pathway. We also note that, in agreement with our hypothesis, Ma et al. [39] observed spread of tracer directly from branches of the middle cerebral artery to some of the larger veins. In their study, it was concluded that tracer spread over the dorsal hemisphere was confined both to larger caliber arteries and veins at the brain surface [39].

Weller and coauthors [40] and many subsequent studies [41, 13, 15, 8, 14, 19, 16, 17] have suggested or assumed that pial PVSs take the form of annular or elliptic tubes that are separate(d) from the SAS, while Nedergaard et al. [7, 18] also suggest that the PVS forms disjoint compartments on each side of the blood vessels. We show here that thresholded representations of tracer concentration, and thus likely volumetric fluorescence images, will highlight areas with high bulk flow and essentially hide areas with low bulk flow if the measurement site is some distance away from the site of injection. More precisely, tracer images can, at some time points, display PVSs as separate both from the SAS and each other when they in fact form one continuous space (Figures 7-8) The effect of this gap on bulk flow may not be large, especially if the gap connecting two PVS

around a vessel is small, but allows for diffusion and mixing of particles between the two spaces.

Imaging of the brain surface of humans and mice with OCT suggests that the PVS are widened sections of the SAS [10]. The round shape of arteries, which results from the relatively high intravascular pressure, may physically open up the space between the arachnoid and pia mater. Further away from vessels, the SAS may nearly collapse and not even be detectable. This could create the impression that PVS are separated from the SAS. From volumetric imaging of tracer transported via bulk flow, we show that the distinction between the two views might not be obvious. In both cases, more tracer will be seen in the large PVS areas on each side of the vessel, while there will be less, or even no tracer at all in other areas. In particular, our results demonstrate that tracer accumulation around vessels is not in disagreement with the theory that the PVS and SAS form one continuous space. In data provided by Schain *et al.* [8], the spread of tracer along PVS occurs rapidly, and travels ≈ 1 mm in a few minutes. Transport along the cortex surface perpendicular to the PVS however, is much slower and only covers a few μm during the same time period, a transport more in line with diffusion given the size of tracer (3 kDa) used in their experiments [8]).

In terms of limitations of our study, we have not included arterial pulsations, brain compliance, or fluid or solute transport across the pial membrane. Arterial pulsations have been proposed to be the main driver of pulsatile PVS flow [11, 38] or even net flow [13, 7]. However, as recently pointed out by Troyetsky *et al.* [23], the bulk flow, and not the oscillatory movement, is the main mechanism of transport. As our simulated net flow was close to the experimentally observed range, we would expect to see but a minor additional effect of vessel wall pulsations or pulsatile pressure gradients on tracer transport. Similarly, by including brain compliance one could imagine a minor additional change in fluid velocities and dispersion [42].

Further, the OCT images used to generate the image-based geometries have relatively poor resolution compared to the size of the PVS. Yet, our findings show that any consistent difference in shape between arterial and venous PVS will lead to a change in transport velocity, and consequently a different time of appearance of tracer around arteries versus veins. We also note that we (by image quality) selected only two vessels to generate the image-based domains although we expect there to be large differences within both types of vessels (arteries and veins). The two extracted vessels were of different size, which may impact the size of the adjacent PVS. The images were extracted in 2D and extruded in the z -direction, and the PVS velocity was computed independent of the z -coordinate (velocity distribution is equal in all cross-sections of PVS). We thus omit bifurcations and the curvature of the vessel. However, as PVS flow has a very low Reynolds number ($Re < 0.01$), and as we have previously shown that bifurcations do not introduce complex flow patterns in the PVS [16], we therefore consider this simplification to be reasonably valid. The concentration threshold used to render volumetric images was arbitrarily set; a change in this value would not alter our conclusions, but would shift the arrival time of tracer shown in Figures 7–8.

In conclusion, we have shown that geometry differences between arterial and venous pial PVSs lead to higher net CSF flow, more rapid tracer transport and earlier arrival times of injected tracers in periarterial spaces compared to perivenous spaces. These findings are in agreement with experimentally observed differences in tracer arrival

times, and can explain the rapid appearance of tracers around arteries, and the delayed appearance around veins without the need of a circulation through the parenchyma, but rather by direct transport along the PVS.

Additional information

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author's contributions

V.V., M.E.R. conceived the experiments. V.V., M.E.R. and E.N.T.P.B wrote the paper. E.N.T.P.B provided OCT imaging data. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement 714892. We would like to thank Dr. M. Almasian and Dr. B. Bedussi for their contribution to the OCT images.

Availability of data and materials

The mesh-files used in this study can be found at the repository [21]. Additional files can be provided upon request to the corresponding author.

Author details

¹Department of Scientific Computing and Numerical Analysis, Simula Research Laboratory, Martin Linges vei 25, 1364, Fornebu, Norway. ² Amsterdam University Medical Center, Dept. of Biomedical Engineering and Physics, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ³ University of Bergen, Department of Mathematics, , Bergen, Norway.

References

1. Rennels, M.L., Gregory, T.F., Blaumanis, O.R., Fujimoto, K., Grady, P.A.: Evidence for a 'paravascular' fluid circulation in the mammalian central nervous system, provided by the rapid distribution of tracer protein throughout the brain from the subarachnoid space. *Brain research* **326**(1), 47–63 (1985)
2. Ichimura, T., Fraser, P., Cserr, H.F.: Distribution of extracellular tracers in perivascular spaces of the rat brain. *Brain research* **545**(1-2), 103–113 (1991)
3. Iliff, J.J., Wang, M., Liao, Y., Plogg, B.A., Peng, W., Gundersen, G.A., Benveniste, H., Vates, G.E., Deane, R., Goldman, S.A., *et al.*: A paravascular pathway facilitates csf flow through the brain parenchyma and the clearance of interstitial solutes, including amyloid β . *Science translational medicine* **4**(147), 147–111147111 (2012)
4. Bakker, E.N., Bacskaï, B.J., Arbel-Ornath, M., Aldea, R., Bedussi, B., Morris, A.W., Weller, R.O., Carare, R.O.: Lymphatic clearance of the brain: perivascular, paravascular and significance for neurodegenerative diseases. *Cellular and molecular neurobiology* **36**(2), 181–194 (2016)
5. Troili, F., Cipollini, V., Moci, M., Morena, E., Palotai, M., Rinaldi, V., Romano, C., Ristori, G., Giubilei, F., Salvetti, M., *et al.*: Perivascular unit: This must be the place. the anatomical crossroad between the immune, vascular and nervous system. *Frontiers in neuroanatomy* **14**, 17 (2020)
6. Weller, R., Subash, M., Preston, S., Mazanti, I., Carare, R.: Perivascular drainage of amyloid- β peptides from the brain and its failure in cerebral amyloid angiopathy and alzheimer's disease. *Brain pathology* **18**(2), 253–266 (2008)
7. Mestre, H., Tithof, J., Du, T., Song, W., Peng, W., Sweeney, A.M., Olveda, G., Thomas, J.H., Nedergaard, M., Kelley, D.H.: Flow of cerebrospinal fluid is driven by arterial pulsations and is reduced in hypertension. *Nature communications* **9**(1), 1–9 (2018)
8. Schain, A.J., Melo-Carrillo, A., Strassman, A.M., Burstein, R.: Cortical spreading depression closes paravascular space and impairs glymphatic flow: implications for migraine headache. *Journal of Neuroscience* **37**(11), 2904–2915 (2017)
9. Bedussi, B., van der Wel, N.N., de Vos, J., van Veen, H., Siebes, M., VanBavel, E., Bakker, E.N.: Paravascular channels, cisterns, and the subarachnoid space in the rat brain: A single compartment with preferential pathways. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* **37**(4), 1374–1385 (2017)
10. Bedussi, B., Almasian, M., de Vos, J., VanBavel, E., Bakker, E.N.: Paravascular spaces at the brain surface: Low resistance pathways for cerebrospinal fluid flow. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* **38**(4), 719–726 (2018)
11. Iliff, J.J., Wang, M., Zeppenfeld, D.M., Venkataraman, A., Plog, B.A., Liao, Y., Deane, R., Nedergaard, M.: Cerebral arterial pulsation drives paravascular csf–interstitial fluid exchange in the murine brain. *Journal of Neuroscience* **33**(46), 18190–18199 (2013)
12. Jessen, N.A., Munk, A.S.F., Lundgaard, I., Nedergaard, M.: The glymphatic system: a beginner's guide. *Neurochemical research* **40**(12), 2583–2599 (2015)
13. Wang, P., Olbricht, W.L.: Fluid mechanics in the perivascular space. *Journal of theoretical biology* **274**(1), 52–57 (2011)
14. Sharp, M.K., Carare, R.O., Martin, B.A.: Dispersion in porous media in oscillatory flow between flat plates: applications to intrathecal, periarterial and paraarterial solute transport in the central nervous system. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **16**(1), 13 (2019)

15. Asgari, M., De Zélicourt, D., Kurtcuoglu, V.: Glymphatic solute transport does not require bulk flow. *Scientific reports* **6**, 38635 (2016)
16. Daversin-Catty, C., Vinje, V., Mardal, K.-A., Rognes, M.E.: The mechanisms behind perivascular fluid flow. *bioRxiv* (2020)
17. Romanò, F., Suresh, V., Galie, P.A., Grotberg, J.B.: Peristaltic flow in the glymphatic system. *Scientific reports* **10**(1), 1–17 (2020)
18. Tithof, J., Kelley, D.H., Mestre, H., Nedergaard, M., Thomas, J.H.: Hydraulic resistance of periarterial spaces in the brain. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **16**(1), 19 (2019)
19. Kedarasetti, R.T., Drew, P.J., Costanzo, F.: Arterial pulsations drive oscillatory flow of csf but not directional pumping. *Scientific reports* **10**(1), 1–12 (2020)
20. Faghih, M.M., Sharp, M.K.: Is bulk flow plausible in perivascular, paravascular and paravenous channels? *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **15**(1), 1–10 (2018)
21. Vinje, V., Bakker, E.N., Rognes, M.E.: Mesh Files for Idealized and Image-based Periarterial and Perivenous Spaces. doi:10.5281/zenodo.4629927. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4629927>
22. Geuzaine, C., Remacle, J.-F.: Gmsh: A 3-d finite element mesh generator with built-in pre-and post-processing facilities. *International journal for numerical methods in engineering* **79**(11), 1309–1331 (2009)
23. Troyetsky, D.E., Tithof, J., Thomas, J.H., Kelley, D.H.: Dispersion as a waste clearance mechanism in pressure-driven flow through open penetrating perivascular spaces. 73rd Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Fluid Dynamics (2020)
24. Min Rivas, F., Liu, J., Martell, B.C., Du, T., Mestre, H., Nedergaard, M., Tithof, J., Thomas, J.H., Kelley, D.H.: Surface periarterial spaces of the mouse brain are open, not porous. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* **17**(172), 20200593 (2020)
25. Vinje, V., Ringstad, G., Lindstrøm, E.K., Valnes, L.M., Rognes, M.E., Eide, P.K., Mardal, K.-A.: Respiratory influence on cerebrospinal fluid flow—a computational study based on long-term intracranial pressure measurements. *Scientific reports* **9**(1), 1–13 (2019)
26. Cushing, H., et al.: The third circulation and its channels. *Lancet* **2**, 851–857 (1925)
27. Syková, E., Nicholson, C.: Diffusion in brain extracellular space. *Physiological reviews* **88**(4), 1277–1340 (2008)
28. Holter, K.E., Kehlet, B., Devor, A., Sejnowski, T.J., Dale, A.M., Omholt, S.W., Ottersen, O.P., Nagelhus, E.A., Mardal, K.-A., Pettersen, K.H.: Interstitial solute transport in 3D reconstructed neuropil occurs by diffusion rather than bulk flow. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201706942 (2017)
29. Leddy, H.A., Guilak, F.: Site-specific molecular diffusion in articular cartilage measured using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching. *Annals of biomedical engineering* **31**(7), 753–760 (2003)
30. Alnæs, M., Blechta, J., Hake, J., Johansson, A., Kehlet, B., Logg, A., Richardson, C., Ring, J., Rognes, M.E., Wells, G.N.: The fenics project version 1.5. *Archive of Numerical Software* **3**(100) (2015)
31. Pizzo, M.E., Wolak, D.J., Kumar, N.N., Brunette, E., Brunnquell, C.L., Hannocks, M.-J., Abbott, N.J., Meyerand, M.E., Sorokin, L., Stanimirovic, D.B., et al.: Intrathecal antibody distribution in the rat brain: surface diffusion, perivascular transport and osmotic enhancement of delivery. *The Journal of physiology* **596**(3), 445–475 (2018)
32. Hannocks, M.-J., Pizzo, M.E., Huppert, J., Deshpande, T., Abbott, N.J., Thorne, R.G., Sorokin, L.: Molecular characterization of perivascular drainage pathways in the murine brain. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* **38**(4), 669–686 (2018)
33. Rey, J., Sarntinoranont, M.: Pulsatile flow drivers in brain parenchyma and perivascular spaces: a resistance network model study. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **15**(1), 1–11 (2018)
34. Croci, M., Vinje, V., Rognes, M.E.: Uncertainty quantification of parenchymal tracer distribution using random diffusion and convective velocity fields. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **16**(1), 1–21 (2019)
35. Valnes, L.M., Mitusch, S.K., Ringstad, G., Eide, P.K., Funke, S.W., Mardal, K.-A.: Apparent diffusion coefficient estimates based on 24 hours tracer movement support glymphatic transport in human cerebral cortex. *Scientific reports* **10**(1), 1–12 (2020)
36. Ray, L., Iliff, J.J., Heys, J.J.: Analysis of convective and diffusive transport in the brain interstitium. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **16**(1), 1–18 (2019)
37. Vinje, V., Eklund, A., Mardal, K.-A., Rognes, M.E., Støverud, K.-H.: Intracranial pressure elevation alters csf clearance pathways. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **17**, 1–19 (2020)
38. Raghunandan, A., Ladron-de-Guevara, A., Tithof, J., Mestre, H., Nedergaard, M., Thomas, J.H., Kelley, D.H.: Bulk flow of cerebrospinal fluid observed in periarterial spaces is not an artifact of injection. *bioRxiv* (2020)
39. Ma, Q., Ries, M., Decker, Y., Müller, A., Riner, C., Bücker, A., Fassbender, K., Detmar, M., Proulx, S.T.: Rapid lymphatic efflux limits cerebrospinal fluid flow to the brain. *Acta neuropathologica* **137**(1), 151–165 (2019)
40. Zhang, E., Inman, C., Weller, R.: Interrelationships of the pia mater and the perivascular (virchow-robin) spaces in the human cerebrum. *Journal of anatomy* **170**, 111 (1990)
41. Bilston, L.E., Stoodley, M.A., Fletcher, D.F.: The influence of the relative timing of arterial and subarachnoid space pulse waves on spinal perivascular cerebrospinal fluid flow as a possible factor in syrinx development: Laboratory investigation. *Journal of neurosurgery* **112**(4), 808–813 (2010)
42. Kedarasetti, R.T., Turner, K.L., Echagarruga, C., Gluckman, B.J., Drew, P.J., Costanzo, F.: Functional hyperemia drives fluid exchange in the paravascular space. *Fluids and Barriers of the CNS* **17**(1), 1–25 (2020)

Figure 9 *

Additional file 1 (video): Perivascular flows resulting from a gradual change from periarterial to perivenous space.

Figure 10 *

Additional file 2 (video): Perivascular flows resulting from a gradual change in PVS widths.

Figure 11 *

Additional file 3 (figure): Numerical convergence study. Left: Concentration (AU) in idealized perivenous spaces vs time for different time resolutions $\Delta t = 10, 5, 2.5$ s. Right: Concentration (AU) in idealized perivenous spaces vs time for different mesh resolutions (coarse, medium, fine). The refined meshes were constructed by uniform refinement of the original mesh. Simulation results are reported from the 'medium' mesh and the coarsest time step.

Figure 12 *

Additional file 4 (figure): The "medium" mesh resolution on geometries A0 and V0 used to perform the simulations

Figure 13 *

Additional file 5 (video): Concentrations in idealized periarterial and perivenous geometries. A threshold of 0.7 was used to visualize the transport of tracer. Tracers appear earlier around periarterial spaces due to higher convective velocities.

Figure 14 *

Additional file 6 (video): Concentrations in image-based periarterial and perivenous geometries. A threshold of 0.7 was used to visualize the transport of tracer. Tracers appear earlier around periarterial spaces due to higher convective velocities.