Plasma membrane damage limits replicative lifespan in yeast and human fibroblasts

Keiko Kono^{1#}, Yoshikazu Johmura², Yohsuke Moriyama¹, Yumiko Masukagami¹, Koutarou Nishimura³, Hunter Barbee¹, Hiroshi Takase⁴, Shinju Sugiyama¹, Yoshikatsu Sato⁵, Tetsuya Higashiyama^{5,6} and Makoto Nakanishi^{2#}

Affiliations

1 Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, 1919-1 Tancha, Onna, Okinawa 904-0495, Japan.

2 Division of Cancer Cell Biology, The Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo, 4-6-1 Shirokanedai, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8639, Japan

3 Memorial Sloan Kettering Institute, Cell Biology Program and Center for Epigenetics Research, 1275 York Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA.

4 Core Laboratory, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Nagoya City University, 1 Kawasumi, Mizuho cho, Mizuho ku, Nagoya, Aichi 467-8601, Japan

5 Institute of Transformative Bio-Molecules (WPI-ITbM), Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan

6 Department of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

***Correspondence to:** keiko.kono @oist.jp (K.K.); mkt-naka@ims.u-tokyo.ac.jp (M.N.)

Abstract

Plasma membrane damage (PMD) occurs in all cell types due to environmental perturbation and cell-autonomous activities. However, cellular outcomes of PMD remain largely unknown except for recovery or death. Here, using yeast and human fibroblasts, we show that cellular senescence, irreversible cell cycle arrest contributing to organismal aging, is the third outcome of PMD. To identify the genes essential for PMD response, we performed a systematic yeast genome-wide screen. The screen identified 48 genes. The top hits in the screen are the endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) genes. Strikingly, the replicative lifespan regulator genes are enriched in our 48 hits, and indeed, PMD limits the replicative lifespan in budding yeast; the ESCRT activator AAA-ATPase *VPS4*-overexpression extends it. In normal human fibroblasts, PMD induces cellular senescence via p53. Our study demonstrates that PMD limits replicative lifespan in two different eukaryotic cell types and highlights an underappreciated but ubiquitous senescent cell subtype, namely PMD-dependent senescent cells.

Introduction

Cells experience a variety of perturbations on the plasma membrane ranging from physical attack to pathogen invasion. The plasma membrane is also damaged by physiological activities including muscle contraction (1, 2, 3). There is a growing appreciation that failed plasma membrane damage (PMD) response causes various diseases. For example, mutations in the PMD-repair protein dysferlin can lead to one form of muscular dystrophy (4); mutations in the PMD response factor lipid scramblase TMEM16F causes Scott syndrome (5, 6).

The PMD response is considered to be classified into two simple outcomes of recovery or death. Regarding the recovery response, plasma membrane repair mechanisms involving many factors, such as Ca^{2+} and the endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) complex, has been extensively studied in multiple eukaryotic systems (1-4, 7-11). In contrast, the PMD-induced cell death response, pyroptosis, has been studied mostly in mammalian cells and in the context of immune response or cancer treatment (12, 13). Likewise, a unified view of PMD response in eukaryotes remains elusive partly because of the lack of a universal PMD induction method. In particular, the PMD-inducing chemicals utilized in mammalian cells such as Streptolysin O or perforin cannot be easily adopted to cell types with a rigid cell wall including yeast.

Yeast serves as an excellent genetic tool to comprehensively identify genes required for fundamental cellular processes in eukaryotes. Previously, we demonstrated that budding yeast is equipped with a mechanism for repairing laser induced PMD (14, 15). Although laser damage is a universal method applicable for both budding yeast and higher eukaryotes, it cannot be easily employed in large-scale analysis.

Here, we developed a simple PMD induction method that can be utilized in budding yeast and human cultured cells. Using the assay, we performed a systematic yeast genetic

1 screen and showed that PMD limits replicative lifespan in budding yeast and normal human
2 fibroblasts. Our study demonstrates the third outcome of PMD in addition to recovery or
3 death, which may generally be relevant in eukaryotes.

4

5

Results

Establishing a simple and universal PMD-inducing method

To investigate the conserved features of PMD response in eukaryotes, we needed a simple and reliable PMD-inducing method that can be 1) applicable for both budding yeast and human cells, and 2) utilized in large-scale analyses. A candidate chemical was sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) because the budding yeast mutants defective in PMD response fail to grow in the presence of SDS (14, 15). We tested whether SDS breaks cell wall and plasma membrane, which would lead to the penetration of a scarcely membrane-permeable fluorescent chemical, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). To prevent Ca²⁺-dependent membrane resealing (8), EGTA was added to the control sample. DAPI penetrated 74.5±4.9% (mean±SD) of cells treated with SDS and EGTA, and cells with only SDS treatment were 9.8±3.9% DAPI positive (Fig. 1A and B). These results suggest that SDS breaks the plasma membrane, and the damage is immediately resealed by Ca²⁺-dependent mechanisms.

To investigate whether SDS also damages the cell wall, we observed chitin that staunches the laser-induced cell wall damage (14). We found local chitin spots in 84.9±5.5% of cells after SDS treatment (Fig. S1A, white arrows), analogous to the phenotype after laser damage (Fig. S1B, yellow arrows). Consistently, transmission electron microscopy images showed that SDS treatment induced local ingression of the cell wall and plasma membrane structure (Fig. 1C, yellow arrows). These results suggest that SDS breaks both cell wall and plasma membrane, and the damage is local, forming individual spots.

Next, phosphatidylserine (PS) externalization was examined because PMD leads to local PS externalization in human cells (16). Unexpectedly, PS was externalized at the bud scars, former cytokinesis sites marked by circular chitin staining in budding yeast, prior to the SDS treatment (Fig. 1D, upper panels). In addition to the signal at the bud scars, PS externalizing spots increased after SDS treatment, and the PS spots colocalized with chitin

spots (Fig 1D, lower panels, and E). Moreover, two major repair proteins, Pkc1-GFP and Myo2-GFP, were recruited locally, but not globally, to the cortex after SDS treatment (Fig. S1C, yellow arrows). Altogether, these results demonstrate that SDS induces local plasma membrane and cell wall damage in budding yeast.

Next, we examined whether SDS damaged the plasma membrane of human cultured cells. We found that SDS treatment induced the influx of fluorescent dyes, DAPI and FM1-43, into HeLa cells (Fig. 1F and G, Fig. S2A-D). In addition, the PS-externalizing spots at the cell periphery increased after SDS treatment in HeLa cells (Fig. 1H and I, Fig. S3A), analogous to the treatment with other membrane-poring reagents (16). Live-cell imaging confirmed that PS-externalizing spots and blebs increased after SDS treatment in WI-38 cells (Fig. S3B). ESCRT-III (CHMP4A) signals were detected at the PS-externalizing spots/blebs (Fig S3C). These results indicate that SDS treatment induces PMD in human cells. Using this simple treatment, we designed a genome-wide screen to identify factors required for PMD response in budding yeast.

Identification of PMD response genes in budding yeast

To identify genes essential for PMD responses, we performed a genome-wide screen using two yeast libraries: a non-essential gene deletion library (17), and a DAmP library in which mRNA levels of essential genes are decreased to 20–50% (18). These two libraries account for 96% of all open reading frames in budding yeast. We identified 48 mutants that were reproducibly sensitive to SDS (Fig. 2A, Fig S4A and B). The screening hits could be manually classified into 19 functional groups. The largest group in the hits was ESCRT with eight genes (Fig. 2B). GO enrichment analysis (<http://geneontology.org>) revealed that the cellular processes associated with ESCRT were highly enriched (Fig 2C and D, Table S1). Thus, ESCRT's cellular function is essential for PMD response.

PMD limits the replicative lifespan of budding yeast

The gene sets required for the cellular/subcellular processes after PMD should be enriched in our hits. Therefore, to identify the reported phenotype enriched for our hits, we performed the model organism Phenotype Enrichment Analysis (*modPhEA*) (19, <http://evol.nhri.org.tw/phenome2>). We found that the genes associated with the phenotype “replicative lifespan” were significantly enriched (Table S2). Motivated by this finding, we performed a replicative lifespan analysis (20) using our screening hits. All three mutants tested (*snf7Δ*, *ups34Δ*, and *erg2Δ*) showed markedly shorter replicative lifespan compared with that of wild type cells (Fig. 2E), further suggesting a link between the PMD responses and replicative lifespan regulation. Next, we examined the replicative lifespan of wild type cells in the presence or absence of SDS. We found that SDS significantly shortened the replicative lifespan (Fig. 2F). Consistent with these results, overexpression of the ESCRT-activator AAA-ATPase *VPS4* extended the replicative lifespan (Fig. 2G). These results suggest that PMD limits the replicative lifespan of budding yeast, and ESCRT’s function is critical for the regulation of replicative lifespan.

Transient PMD limits replicative lifespan of normal human fibroblasts

To test the possibility that PMD shortens replicative lifespan in human cells, we performed a long-term culture of normal human fibroblasts (BJ and WI-38) in the presence or absence of PMD. Indeed, cell proliferation was inhibited in an SDS concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3A and B).

To minimize side effects, we transiently treated normal human fibroblasts with SDS. HCA-2 cells were incubated with SDS-containing media for 24 hrs, washed with medium, and then cultured in fresh medium. As a result, cell proliferation was inhibited after the

treatment, and the proportion of senescence-associated β -galactosidase (SA- β -gal)-positive cells was increased after six days (Fig. 3C-E). Consistently, the protein levels of p53, an essential senescence regulator in mammalian cells (21, 22), and its target, p21, increased in cells treated with SDS as early as 24 hrs after SDS wash away (Fig. 3F). The levels of p16^{INK4A} also increased 48 hrs after the SDS wash away (Fig. 3G). Altogether, PMD triggers cellular senescence in normal human fibroblasts.

PMD induces senescence via p53 in normal human fibroblasts

The best-characterized cellular senescence mechanism is ATM/ATR-dependent upregulation of p53-p21, which is activated after many senescence-inducing stimuli, including telomere shortening, DNA damage, and oncogene activation (23, 24). We tested whether this pathway is activated after PMD. Importantly, SDS increased the protein levels of p53 and p21, but not phospho-ATM, γ H2AX, or phospho-Chk1 (Fig. 3F). Consistently, immunostaining with the γ H2AX antibody was negative in the PMD cells at 24 hrs after the SDS wash away (Fig. 4A). These results suggest that the ATM/ATR pathway is dispensable for PMD-dependent upregulation of p53-p21.

To test this possibility further, HCA-2 cells were treated with the inhibitors of ATM and DNA-PKs (Fig. 4B and C). Both inhibitors did not alter SDS-dependent upregulation of p53 and p21. In contrast, p53-knockdown abolished p21 induction (Fig. 4D) and significantly decreased the proportion of SA- β -gal-positive cells at 6 days after SDS wash away (Fig. 4E). Altogether, we conclude that PMD induces cellular senescence via p53 but not ATM/ATR (Fig. 4F).

PMD-dependent senescent cells (PMDS) and replicative senescent cells have PS-externalizing spots/blebs, but DNA damage-dependent senescent cells do not

1 Since PS-externalizing spots/blebs were observed after PMD (Fig. 1H and I, Fig. S3A-C), we
2 determined whether such spots/blebs exist in senescent cells. As expected, Annexin V-
3 positive PS-externalizing spots/blebs were detected in PMDS (Fig. 5A, SDS). Intriguingly,
4 the replicative senescent cells, but not IR-treated senescent cells, had the Annexin V
5 spots/blebs (Fig. 5A, RS and IR). Analogous to the PMD cells, CHMP4A signals were detected
6 at $21.5 \pm 5.0\%$ (mean $\pm$ S.E.M.) of PS-externalizing spots/blebs in replicative senescent cells
7 (Fig. 5B). Some PS spots colocalize with projection-like structures in the bright field image
8 (Fig. 5A, white arrows); therefore, we performed a focused ion beam scanning electron
9 microscope (FIB-SEM) analysis. We found that untreated young normal human fibroblasts
10 (HCA-2) had smooth plasma membranes (Fig. 5C, upper). In contrast, PMDS had
11 projections (Fig. 5E, lower). The heights of the projections were diverse (280 nm to 2.5 μ m).
12 The widths of the projections were relatively uniform (100–130 nm). Altogether, these
13 results demonstrate that PS-externalizing projections are the common feature of PMDS and
14 replicative senescent cells, but not of DNA damage-dependent senescent cells.

Discussion

Here, we report that PMD limits replicative lifespan in two different eukaryotic cell types. We have developed a simple and universal PMD-inducing method and designed a systematic genome-wide screen using budding yeast. Based on the screen, we find that PMD limits replicative lifespan in budding yeast, but the overexpression of *VPS4* extends it. In normal human fibroblasts, PMD induces cellular senescence mediated by p53. Our work demonstrates that cellular senescence is a universal but underappreciated cellular consequence of PMD in eukaryotes.

ESCRT activation associated with replicative lifespan extension in budding yeast

ESCRT is involved in various cellular processes, including nuclear membrane repair, multivesicular body formation, vacuolar membrane repair, autophagosome formation, and microautophagy of the endoplasmic reticulum (11, 25, 26). Here, we find ESCRT in our screen for PMD response factors. Moreover, we show that ESCRT is important for budding yeast replicative lifespan regulations based on two lines of evidence: 1) a yeast mutant lacking an ESCRT-III component (*snf7Δ*) showed a short replicative lifespan (Fig. 2E), and 2) *VPS4*-overexpression extended the replicative lifespan of budding yeast (Fig. 2G).

ESCRT can contribute to the replicative lifespan by at least two scenarios that are not mutually exclusive. First, ESCRT-dependent plasma membrane repair may prevent cell lysis and extend the replicative lifespan through the ESCRT's function in plasma membrane repair as established in human cells (11, 26) and recently suggested in yeast (27). Second, ESCRT-dependent vacuolar membrane repair may extend the replicative lifespan of budding yeast. This explanation also makes sense because vacuolar acidification defects limit the replicative lifespan in budding yeast (28, 29). Our study demonstrates that the PMD response shares many regulators, including ESCRT, with replicative lifespan regulation,

and that incomplete PMD response explains a mechanism underlying DNA damage-independent short replicative lifespan.

Molecular mechanisms underlying PMD-dependent replicative lifespan shortening

We previously reported that PMD induces cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) inhibitor Sic1 stabilization in budding yeast (15). Analogous to budding yeast, here we show that PMD promotes upregulation of two CDK inhibitors, p21 and p16^{INK4A}, in normal human fibroblasts (Fig. 3F and G).

The next question is how PMD triggers the CDK inhibitor upregulation. We demonstrate that p53 was essential for the upregulation of p21 in normal human fibroblasts (Fig. 4D), but the ATM/ATR pathway was dispensable (Fig. 4B and C). Other group demonstrated that high cytosolic Ca²⁺ levels induce mitochondrial impairment, leading to upregulation of p53/p21 via cAMP-responsive element-binding protein (CREB) (30). Therefore, Ca²⁺ influx after PMD (8) may mediate the upregulation of the p53-p21 axis in normal human fibroblasts. The absence of p53 in budding yeast suggests that the molecular details are not identical in budding yeast and human cells. Nonetheless, the upregulation of CDK inhibitors is a common mechanism promoting the PMD-dependent cell cycle arrest in both systems.

Local PS externalization as a marker of specific senescent cell subtypes

Here, we observed PS-externalizing spots/blebs in PMD-dependent and replicative senescent cells, but they were absent from DNA damage-dependent senescent cells (Fig. 5A). Although PS externalization after PMD was reported (16), the origin of the PS-externalizing spots/blebs in the replicative senescent cells remains unknown. Plasma membrane repair and cytokinesis share the membrane resealing machinery, including ESCRT (3,11);

therefore, repeated cytokinesis could induce the accumulation of the PS-externalizing spots/blebs during replicative senescence. This idea is consistent with our finding that PS was externalized at the bud scars, former cytokinesis sites, in budding yeast (Fig. 1D). Alternatively, the lipid scramblases (31) could be activated in replicative senescent cells. In either case, our results demonstrate that the accumulation of PS-externalizing spots/blebs is the feature of PMDS and replicative senescent cells.

Overall, our results highlight an underappreciated subtype of senescent cells. Recent studies demonstrated that senolytic drugs clearing senescent cells ameliorate age-associated disorders (32, 33). Our study, therefore, may serve as a basis for further studies aimed at developing new therapeutic strategies for PMD-associated diseases, including muscular dystrophy and Scott syndrome, as well as organismal aging.

Materials and Methods

Media, strains, and genetic manipulations

Standard procedures were employed for DNA manipulations, as well as for *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* handling. Yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) was used in most of experiments. SD was used for live-cell imaging. Yeast culture was performed at 25°C unless otherwise indicated. The *S. cerevisiae* strains used in this study are listed in Table S3.

DAPI-penetration assay

A budding yeast overnight culture in YPD was refreshed and incubated for an additional 2-6 hours until the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.1-0.3. For Fig. 1A and B, the media was switched to YPD+20 mM EGTA, YPD+0.02% SDS, YPD+20 mM EGTA+0.02% SDS, and control YPD, for 15 min. All of these media contained 2 ng/ml DAPI. DAPI-positive cells were counted under Celldiscoverer 7 (Zeiss).

Fluorescence imaging and image analysis in yeast

The laser damage assay was performed as described previously (14) with modifications. A yeast culture grown overnight was refreshed and incubated for an additional 2-6 hours until the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.1-0.3. Cells were then spotted onto an agarose bed (SD medium+1.2% agarose) on glass slides. LSM 780 NLO confocal laser scanning microscopy (Zeiss) was used to induce the damage and to monitor the fluorescent and bright-field images. Signal quantification was performed using FIJI software (34).

Electron microscopy of yeast

Yeast cells sandwiched between copper grid were subjected to quick freezing using an isopentane/propane mixture of cold chemicals. The copper grid was diverged under liquid

nitrogen gas atmosphere, soaked in 2% osmium/acetone solution, and then stored at -80°C for 2 days. The samples were placed at -20°C for 3 hours, at 4°C for 1 hour, and then at room temperature. The samples were washed with acetone, and subsequently with propylene oxide, and then embedded in epoxy resin (Quetol-651). Observation was performed under JEM-1011J (JEOL) or JEM-1400Plus (JEOL).

Yeast genome-wide screen

SDS sensitivity was determined using freshly prepared YPD plates containing 0.02% SDS. Cells grown on YPD plates for 3 days were pin-plated onto the SDS-containing plates and incubated at 30°C for 3 days. The mutants identified in the first screening (249 mutants) were then freshly grown from -80°C stock. Single colonies were isolated, streaked on YPD and YPD 0.02% plates, and incubated at 30°C for 3-5 days. The incubation time was optimized for each strain. We obtained 109 mutants from the library, which were lethal on YPD+0.02% SDS plates. Subsequently, we independently constructed 119 mutants using our wild type (BY23849) as a parental strain. At least three independent colonies were constructed per each mutant. Single colonies were isolated, streaked on YPD and YPD 0.02% plates, and incubated at 25°C for 3-5 days (optimized for each strain). In the end, we obtained 48 mutants as confirmed hits, which were reproducibly lethal specifically on YPD+0.02% SDS plates.

Replicative lifespan analysis in yeast

Replicative lifespan analysis was performed as described (20). Briefly, newly formed daughter cells were separated after every cell division by a glass needle for tetrad analysis under the microscope. During the night, the plates were incubated at 12°C.

Co-staining of Annexin V-Alexa 568 and calcofluor white in yeast

Externalized PS detection in yeast was performed as described (35) with some modifications. Yeast cells were cultured in YPD for overnight, diluted to 1/10 in fresh YPD, and then incubated for additional 2-3 hours. Cells were collected and washed once with Sorbitol buffer (35 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.8, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 1.2 M sorbitol). Cells were incubated in 98 μ l Sorbitol buffer + 2 μ l of 2.5 mg/ml Zymolyase 100T (SEIKAGAKU CORPORATION) for 90 min (wild type) or 60 min (*pTEF1-VPS4*) at room temperature with gentle shaking. Cells were then washed once with Sorbitol buffer, resuspended in 19 μ l Annexin V binding buffer + 1 μ l Annexin-V-Alexa 568, and incubated for 20 min at room temperature with gentle shaking. Supernatant was removed and cells were suspended in 9.8 μ l Annexin V binding buffer + 0.2 μ l Calcofluor White Stain (Sigma-Aldrich, Fluka). Images were acquired using Axio Observer z1 (Zeiss).

Cell culture methods

Cell culture methods, senescence induction by irradiation and SA- β -gal staining were performed as described (21, 36). Immunoblotting was performed following a standard protocol. Antibodies used in this study were listed in Table S2. Normal human fibroblasts (HCA-2, WI-38, or BJ) were used. ATM inhibitor (KU-55933, Sigma-Aldrich) and DNA-PK inhibitor (NU7026, Sigma-Aldrich) were added to the medium at a final concentration of 10 μ M. For Fig. 3A and B, cells were grown in the medium containing 0.006-0.01% SDS or no SDS (control), and passage was performed every 3-4 days. After every passage, the cells were grown in the medium without SDS for 16 hrs, and then switched to the SDS-containing medium. For Fig. 4A, cells were treated with the medium containing 0.01% SDS for 24 hours, washed twice with medium and covered with the fresh medium, and then incubated for six additional days. During the six days, medium was changed every two days. Annexin

V-Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) staining was performed following the manufacturer's instructions. Images were acquired using a BZ-9000 all-in-one fluorescence microscope (Keyence) or LSM-780 Confocal Microscopy (Zeiss). For time-lapse imaging (Fig. S3B), WI-38 cells were incubated with the medium containing 0.008% SDS and 1:5,000 diluted pSIVA-IANBD (Novus Biological). Images were acquired by Celldiscoverer 7 (Zeiss).

Co-staining of AnnexinA5–Alexa647 and CHMP4A in human cells

HeLa cells were plated on a glass bottom dish (greiner bio-one) coated with 0.1% gelatine one day before the experiment. HeLa cells were treated with 0.008% SDS in growth medium (9% FBS DMEM) for 1h at 37°C. Replicative senescent WI-38 cells were plated on 0.1% gelatine coated coverslips (Mastunami) submerged in 6 well cell culture dish (VIOLAMO). Cells were washed twice with growth medium and externalized PS was stained with AnnexinA5–AlexaFluor-647conjugate (Invitrogen) diluted to 0.002% (v/v) in growth medium for 15 min at room temperature. Cells were washed twice with growth medium and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 15 min at 37°C. Cells were permeabilized and blocked in 1xPBS+ containing 0.1% saponin (Sigma) and 5% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 40 min at room temperature. Subsequently cells were incubated overnight with primary antibody (Anti-CHMP4A antibody: Sigma-Aldrich HPA068473) in 1% BSA in 1xPBS+ at 4°C. Cells were washed four times with PBS+0.5% FBS and incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary AlexaFluor 488 conjugated antibody (Invitrogen A32731) for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were washed four times with PBS+ 0.5% FBS. For coverslip samples, coverslips were mounted on slide glass using Antifade Glass mounting medium (Invitrogen). Images were taken with SP8 confocal microscope (Leica).

Sample preparation for FIB-SEM

Normal human fibroblast cells (HCA-2) were fixed with a 3% glutaraldehyde solution in 100 mM cacodylate buffer (pH7.4) for 1 h at 4°C. The cells were then washed with 7.5% sucrose and then en bloc staining was performed following a procedure from NCMIR methods for 3D EM (<https://ncmir.ucsd.edu/sbem-protocol>). Epon 812-embedded specimens were glued onto an aluminum FIB-SEM rivet with conductive epoxy resin. 15 × 15 μm (1000 × 1000 pixels) images were acquired at 20 nm intervals. More than 200 images were collected to reconstitute a single cell.

Stack alignment, segmentation, and 3D representation

The image stacks were automatically aligned by Fiji/ImageJ software. Plasma membrane structure segmentation and three-dimensional image-reconstitution were performed manually with the AMIRA software (FEI Visualization Science Group, Burlington, MA, USA).

References

1. N.W. Andrews, P.E. Almeida, M. Corrotte, Damage control: cellular mechanisms of plasma membrane repair. *Trends. Cell Biol.* 24, 734-742 (2014).
2. P.L. McNeil, R.A. Steinhardt, Plasma membrane disruption: repair, prevention, adaptation. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 19, 697-731 (2003).
3. K.J. Sonnemann, W.M. Bement, Wound repair: toward understanding and integration of single-cell and multicellular wound responses. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 27, 237-263 (2011).
4. R. Bashir, S. Britton, T. Strachan, S. Keers, E. Vafiadaki, M. Lako, I. Richard, S. Marchand, N. Bourg, Z. Argov, M. Sadeh, I. Mahjneh, G. Marconi, M.R. Passos-Bueno, E. de S. Moreira, M. Zatz, J.S. Beckmann, K. Bushby, A gene related to *Caenorhabditis elegans* spermatogenesis factor *fer-1* is mutated in limb-girdle muscular dystrophy type 2B. *Nat. Genet.* 20, 37-42 (1998).
5. J. Suzuki, M. Umeda, P.J. Sims, S. Nagata, Calcium-dependent phospholipid scrambling by TMEM16F. *Nature.* 468, 834-838 (2010).
6. N. Wu, V. Cernysiov, D. Davidson, H. Song, J. Tang, S. Luo, Y. Lu, J. Qian, I.E. Gyurova, S.N. Waggoner, V.Q. Trinh, R. Cayrol, A. Sugiura, H.M. McBride, J.F. Daudelin, N. Labrecque, A. Veillette, Critical Role of Lipid Scramblase TMEM16F in Phosphatidylserine Exposure and Repair of Plasma Membrane after Pore Formation. *Cell Rep.* 30, 1129-1140.e5 (2020).
7. P.L. McNeil, T. Kirchhausen, An emergency response team for membrane repair. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 6, 499-505 (2005).
8. M. Nakamura, A.N.M. Dominguez, J.R. Decker, A.J. Hull, J.M. Verboon, S.M. Parkhurst, Into the breach: how cells cope with wounds. *Open Biol.* 8, 180135 (2018).
9. Y. Zhen, M. Radulovic, M. Vietri, H. Stenmark. Sealing holes in cellular membranes.

- 1 EMBO J. e106922 (2021).
- 2 10. B.M. Burkel, H.A. Benink, E.M. Vaughan, G. von Dassow, W.M. Bement, A Rho GTPase
- 3 signal treadmill backs a contractile array. *Dev. Cell* 23, 384-396 (2012).
- 4 11. M. Vietri, M. Radulovic, H. Stenmark, The many functions of ESCRTs. *Nat. Rev. Mol.*
- 5 *Cell Biol.* 21, 25-42 (2020).
- 6 12. B.T. Cookson, M.A. Brennan, Pro-inflammatory programmed cell death, *Trends*
- 7 *Microbiol.* 9, 113-114 (2001).
- 8 13. L. Wang, X. Qin, J. Liang, P. Ge, Induction of Pyroptosis: A Promising Strategy for
- 9 Cancer Treatment. *Front Oncol.* 11, 635774 (2021).
- 10 14. K. Kono, Y. Saeki, S. Yoshida, K. Tanaka, D. Pellman, Proteasomal degradation resolves
- 11 competition between cell polarization and cellular wound healing. *Cell* 150, 151-164 (2012).
- 12 15. K. Kono, A. Al-Zain, L. Schroeder, M. Nakanishi, A.E. Ikui, Plasma membrane/cell wall
- 13 perturbation activates a novel cell cycle checkpoint during G1 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
- 14 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 113, 6910-6915 (2016).
- 15 16. A. Draeger, K. Monastyrskaya, E.B. Babiychuk, Plasma membrane repair and cellular
- 16 damage control: the annexin survival kit. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 81, 703-712 (2011).
- 17 17. E.A. Winzeler, D.D. Shoemaker, A. Astromoff, H. Liang, K. Anderson, B. Andre, R.
- 18 Bangham, R. Benito, J.D. Boeke, H. Bussey, A.M. Chu, C. Connelly, K. Davis, F. Dietrich,
- 19 S. W. Dow, M.E. Bakkoury, F. Foury, S.H. Friend, E. Gentalen, G. Giaever, J.H. Hegemann,
- 20 T. Jones, M. Laub, H. Liao, N. Liebundguth, D.J. Lockhart, A. Lucau-Danila, M. Lussier, N.
- 21 M'Rabet, P. Menard, M. Mittmann, C. Pai, C. Rebischung, J.L. Revuelta, L. Riles, C.J.
- 22 Roberts, P. Ross-MacDonald, B. Scherens, M. Snyder, S. Sookhai-Mahadeo, R.K. Storms,
- 23 S. Véronneau, M. Voet, G. Volckaert, T.R. Ward, R. Wysocki, G.S. Yen, K. Yu, K.
- 24 Zimmermann, P. Philippsen, M. Johnston, R.W. Davis, Functional characterization of the
- 25 *S. cerevisiae* genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. *Science* 285, 901-906 (1999).

- 1 18. D.K. Breslow, D.M. Cameron, S.R. Collins, M. Schuldiner, J. Stewart-Ornstein, H.W.
2 Newman, S. Braun, H.D. Madhani, N.J. Krogan, J.S. Weissman, A comprehensive strategy
3 enabling high-resolution functional analysis of the yeast genome. *Nat. Methods* 5, 711-718
4 (2008).
- 5 19. M.P. Weng, B.Y. Liao, modPhEA: model organism Phenotype Enrichment Analysis on
6 eukaryotic gene sets. *Bioinformatics* 33, 3505-3507 (2017).
- 7 20. D.A. Sinclair, Studying the replicative life span of yeast cells. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1048,
8 49-63 (2013).
- 9 21. Y. Johmura, M. Shimada, T. Misaki, A. Naiki-Ito, H. Miyoshi, N. Motoyama, N. Ohtani,
10 E. Hara, M. Nakamura, A. Morita, S. Takahashi, M. Nakanishi, Necessary and sufficient
11 role for a mitosis skip in senescence induction. *Mol. Cell* 55, 73-84 (2014).
- 12 22. A. Rufini, P. Tucci, I. Celardo, G. Melino, Senescence and aging: the critical roles of p53.
13 *Oncogene* 32, 5129-5143 (2013).
- 14 23. F. d'Adda di Fagagna, P.M. Reaper, L. Clay-Farrace, H. Fiegler, P. Carr, T. Von Zglinicki,
15 G. Saretzki, N.P. Carter, S.P. Jackson, A DNA damage checkpoint response in telomere-
16 initiated senescence. *Nature* 426, 194-198 (2003).
- 17 24. T.D. Halazonetis, V.G. Gorgoulis, J. Bartek, An oncogene-induced DNA damage model
18 for cancer developlasma membraneent. *Science* 319, 1352-1355 (2008).
- 19 25. J.A. Schäfer, J.P. Schessner, P.W. Bircham, T. Tsuji, C. Funaya, O. Pajonk, K. Schaeff,
20 G. Ruffini, D. Papagiannidis, M. Knop, T. Fujimoto, S. Schuck, ESCRT machinery mediates
21 selective microautophagy of endoplasmic reticulum in yeast. *EMBO J.* 39, e102586 (2020).
- 22 26. A.J. Jimenez, P. Maiuri, J. Lafaurie-Janvire, S. Divoux, M. Piel, F. Perez, ESCRT
23 machinery is required for plasma membrane repair. *Science* 343, 1247136 (2014).
- 24 27. O. Schmidt, Y. Weyer, S. Sprenger, M.A. Widerin, S. Eising, V. Baumann, M. Angelova,
25 R. Loewith, C.J. Stefan, M.W. Hess, F. Fröhlich, D. Teis, TOR complex 2 (TORC2) signaling

1 and the ESCRT machinery cooperate in the protection of plasma membrane integrity in
2 yeast. *J Biol Chem*, 295, 12028-12044 (2020).

3 28. A.L. Hughes, Gottschling DE, An early age increase in vacuolar pH limits mitochondrial
4 function and lifespan in yeast. *Nature*. 492, 261-265 (2012).

5 29. C.E. Hughes, T.K. Coody, M.Y. Jeong, J.A. Berg, D.R. Winge, A.L. Hughes, Cysteine
6 Toxicity Drives Age-Related Mitochondrial Decline by Altering Iron Homeostasis. *Cell*. 180,
7 296-310.e18 (2020).

8 30. T. Arnould, S. Vankoningsloo, P. Renard, A. Houbion, N. Ninane, C. Demazy, J. Remacle,
9 M. Raes, CREB activation induced by mitochondrial dysfunction is a new signaling pathway
10 that impairs cell proliferation. *EMBO J*. 1, 53-63 (2002).

11 31. S. Nagata, T. Sakuragi, K. Segawa, Flippase and scramblase for phosphatidylserine
12 exposure. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 62,31-38 (2020).

13 32. Y. Johmura, T. Yamanaka, S. Omori, T.W. Wang, Y. Sugiura, M. Matsumoto, N. Suzuki,
14 S. Kumamoto, K. Yamaguchi, S. Hatakeyama, T. Takami, R. Yamaguchi, E. Shimizu, K.
15 Ikeda, N. Okahashi, R. Mikawa, M. Suematsu, M. Arita, M. Sugimoto, K.I. Nakayama, Y.
16 Furukawa, S. Imoto, M. Nakanishi. Senolysis by glutaminolysis inhibition ameliorates
17 various age-associated disorders. *Science*. 371, 265-270 (2021).

18 33. M. Ge, L. Hu, H. Ao, M. Zi, Q. Kong, Y. He, Senolytic targets and new strategies for
19 clearing senescent cells. *Mech Ageing Dev*. 111468 (2021).

20 34. J. Schindelin, I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S.
21 Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.Y. Tinevez, D.J. White, V. Hartenstein, K.
22 Eliceiri, P. Tomancak, A. Cardona, Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image
23 analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676-682 (2012).

24 35. F. Madeo, E. Frohlich, K.U. Frohlich, A yeast mutant showing diagnostic markers of
25 early and late apoptosis. *J. Cell Biol*. 139, 729-734 (1997).

36. G.P. Dimri, X. Lee, G. Basile, M. Acosta, G. Scott, C. Roskelley, E.E. Medrano, M. Linskens, I. Rubelj, O. Pereira-Smith, A biomarker that identifies senescent human cells in culture and in aging skin in vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 9363-9367 (1995).

Acknowledgments

General: We are grateful to D. Pellman, Z. Storchová, I. Fukunaga, A. Nishiyama, M. Shimada, T. Kiyomitsu, R. Hatakeyama, and S. Yoshida for critical reading of the manuscript; Y. Hamamura for live-imaging analysis, S. Arai, and S. Enomoto for FIB-SEM analysis; H.I. Wen, T. Inden, C. Yamada-Namikawa, K. Mori, M. Akiyama, Y. Tsukui, Y. Matsui, K. Koizumi, T. Mochizuki, S. Komoto and P. Barzaghi for technical assistance. A portion of this work was conducted in the Institute of Transformative Bio-Molecules (WPI-ITbM) at Nagoya University, supported by the Japan Advanced Plant Science Network. A portion of this work was supported by NIMS microstructural characterization platform as a program of “Nanotechnology Platform” of MEXT Japan. A portion of this work was performed with the help of OIST imaging section members.

Funding: This study was supported by MEXT/JSPS KAKENHI under Grant Numbers JP26250027, JP22118003, and JP16K15239, and by AMED under Grant Numbers JP17cm0106122, JP17fk0310111, and JP17gm5010001, as well as by Ono Medical Research Foundation, Princess Takamatsu Cancer Research Fund, and RELAY FOR LIFE JAPAN CANCER SOCIETY to M.N. This study was also supported by MEXT/JSPS Kakenhi under grant numbers 15K19012, 17H04045, 20H03440, JST-PRESTO JPMJPR1686, as well as by The Naito Foundation Subsidy for Female Researchers to K.K.

Author contributions: K.K. and M.N. planned the overall studies and interpreted the data;

K.K., and S.S. performed yeast experiments; K.K., Y.J., Y. Moriyama., K.N., Y. Masukagami, and H.B. performed human cell culture experiments; H.T. performed and interpreted yeast electron microscope experiments; Y. Moriyama performed and interpreted FIB-SEM experiments, K.K., Y.S. and T.H. performed and interpreted laser damage experiments; K.K., Y.J., Y. Moriyama., K.N. and M.N. prepared the Figures; K.K. and M.N. wrote the manuscript with editing by all authors.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All raw data are available upon request.

Figures and Tables

Fig. 1. SDS induces PMD in yeast and human cells

(A) Wild type yeast cells were cultured in YPD and then incubated with 2 ng/ml DAPI-containing YPD with or without 20 mM EGTA and 0.02% SDS for 15 min. Scale bar, 5 μ m.

(B) Quantification of (A). Data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD of 3 independent experiments.

N>300 cells/each experiment. *p*-value, by 2-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. **(C)** Wild type

yeast cells were cultured at 25°C and then incubated with 0.02% SDS for 2 hr. Cells were fixed and observed by the TEM. Yellow arrows, plasma membrane and cell wall ingression.

Scale bar, 1 μ m. **(D and E)** Wild type yeast cells were cultured in YPD and then switched to

YPD containing 0.02% SDS for 3hr. Yeast cells were treated with zymolyase in 1.2 M sorbitol

for 90 min and then stained with Annexin V-Alexa568 for 20 min. Yellow arrows, Annexin

V and calcofluor white positive spots. % of cells with Annexin V staining outside of the bud

scar was counted. Data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent experiments.

N>250 cells/each experiment. *p*-value, by 2-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. **(F)**

Representative images of DAPI penetration upon 0.008% SDS treatment for 24hrs. HeLa

cells were cultured in DAPI-containing DMEM with or without 0.008% SDS. Scale bar, 20

μ m. **(G)** Average DAPI intensity of three independent experiments as in (F). Untreated control

and 0.008% SDS treatment were significantly different (*p*<0.01) using multiple Welch's *t*-

test with Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli correction. See also Fig. S2. **(H)** Representative

images of the cells with Annexin V-positive spots upon 0.008% SDS treatment. HeLa cells

were cultured in DMEM with or without 0.008% SDS for 1 hr. Scale bar, 20 μ m. AnV-647:

Annexin V, Alexa Fluor 647 conjugate, BF: Bright Field, Merge: Merged images of Annexin

V and Bright Field. **(I)** Average numbers of Annexin V-positive spots of three independent

experiments as in (H). See also Fig. S3A.

Fig. 2 Identification of genes required for PMD response in budding yeast; PMD limits replicative lifespan in budding yeast

(A) The genes required for the growth in the presence of 0.02% SDS. **(B)** ESCRT mutants were spotted on YPD (4x dilution) with or without 0.02% SDS, incubated at 25°C for 3 days. **(C)** The enriched GO terms. See also Table S1. **(D)** Fold enrichment scores in the GO enrichment analysis were plotted. **(E)** Wild type, *snf7Δ*, *vps34Δ*, and *erg2Δ* were subjected to the replicative lifespan measurement. Mean values in parentheses. *p*-values: wild type and *snf7Δ*, *p*<0.01; wild type and *vps34Δ*, *p*<0.01; Wild type and *erg2Δ*, *p*<0.01 by 2-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. **(F)** Wild type cells were subjected to the replicative lifespan measurement with or without 0.02% SDS. Mean values are shown in parentheses. *p*<0.01 by 2-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. **(G)** Wild type or *VPS4*-overexpressing yeast cells were subjected to the replicative lifespan measurement. Mean values in parentheses. *p* value: 1-25 division, *p*=0.39; 26-50 division, *p*<0.05, by 2-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test.

Fig. 3. Transient PMD induces premature senescence in normal human fibroblasts

(A and B) Human normal fibroblasts (A: BJ, B: WI-38) were cultured with or without SDS (0.006-0.01%; indicated on the right). Cell numbers are a relative value. **(C)** HCA-2 cells were incubated with medium with (SDS) or without (-) 0.008% SDS for 24 hr, washed, and released into fresh medium. Data are presented as the mean±SD of 3 biological replicates. **(D and E)** HCA-2 cells were treated with 0.01% SDS for 24 hr, washed, and released into fresh medium. SA-β-gal-positive cells were detected using the cells 6 days after SDS wash away. Scale bar, 50 μm. Graphs show quantification of SA-β-gal-positive cells (n>100). Data are presented as the mean±SD of >3 independent experiments. *p*-value, by 2-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. **(F and G)** Cell lysates of HCA-2 cells treated with 0.01% SDS for 24 hr or 10 Gy IR (control) at the indicated times after SDS wash away or IR treatment, respectively,

were subjected to immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated.

Fig. 4. PMD-dependent premature senescence requires p53

(A) HCA-2 cells at 24 hr after SDS wash away (SDS), cells at 4 hr after IR treatment (IR), and untreated cells (-) were stained with γ H2AX (red) and with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 50 μ m. **(B and C)** HCA-2 cells were treated as in Fig. 3F with or without (B) ATM inhibitor KU-55944 (10 μ M) or (C) DNA-PK inhibitor (10 μ M). **(D)** Cells expressing Dox-inducible control shRNA (shControl) or p53 shRNA (shp53) with doxycycline (1 μ g/ml) were treated with 0.01% SDS for 24 hr. After SDS wash away, cells lysates prepared at the indicated times were subjected to immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated. **(E)** SA- β -gal-positive cells were counted on 6 days after SDS wash away. *p*-value, by 2-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test. **(F)** Summary of the PMD-dependent cellular senescence mechanism.

Fig. 5. Local PS externalization is associated with PMD-dependent and replicative senescence

(A) SA- β -gal-positive (green) and Annexin V-Alexa 488-positive (red) HCA-2 cells were identified using the cells 6 days after SDS wash away (SDS), replicative senescence (RS), or the cells 6 days after irradiation (IR). Scale bar, 50 μ m. White arrows, an Annexin V-positive projection. **(B)** Replicative senescent WI-38 cells were incubated with Annexin V-Alexa Fluor 647 conjugate, fixed, and stained with CHMP4A antibody. The white rectangle region in left panels is enlarged in right panels. Green: CHMP4A, Red: Annexin V, Alexa Fluor 647 conjugate, BF: Bright Field. White arrows indicate Annexin V and CHMP4A colocalization. Scale bars, left; 10 μ m, right; 2 μ m. **(C)** HCA-2 cells were treated with 0.01% SDS for 24 hr, washed, and released into fresh medium. The cells were fixed after 6 days. SDS-treated (SDS, Senescent) and untreated (Control, Young) cells are analyzed by FIB-SEM. Scale bar,

1 1 μm .

3 **Fig. S1. SDS induces local plasma membrane and cell wall damage in budding yeast**

4 **(A)** Wild type yeast cells were cultured in YPD incubated with or without 0.02% SDS for 3
5 hr, and then stained with 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ calcofluor white for 5 min. The values under the images
6 are % cells with spot signals. The data are presented as the mean $\pm$ S.D. of four independent
7 experiments. $N>100$ cells/each experiment. $p<0.001$ by 2-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test.
8 Scale bar, 5 μm . **(B)** Wild type yeast cells were cultured at 25°C under the microscope with
9 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ calcofluor white and then laser damage was induced (yellow stars). Yellow arrows,
10 calcofluor white signal accumulation. The numbers at the upper-left corner indicate time
11 (min). Images were taken at 30 sec intervals. Scale bar, 2 μm . **(C)** Wild type yeast cells
12 expressing Pkc1-GFP or Myo2-GFP were incubated with YPD containing 0.02% SDS for 30
13 min. The numbers at the lower-left corners indicate % of cells with a polarized GFP signal
14 at the tip of daughter cells. $N>200$.

16 **Fig. S2. SDS induces PMD in human cells.**

17 **(A)** Representative images of DAPI penetration upon 0.008% SDS treatment over time. HeLa
18 cells were cultured in DAPI-containing DMEM with or without 0.008% SDS. Scale bar, 20
19 μm . **(B)** A representative data set of DAPI influx assay. DAPI-positive cells were counted at
20 indicated time points. $N>200$. Error bars represents SD. **(C)** Average DAPI intensity of three
21 independent experiments as in (B). Untreated control and 0.008% SDS treatment were
22 significantly different ($p<0.01$) using multiple Welch's *t*-test with Benjamini, Krieger and
23 Yekutieli correction. **(D)** Live-cell imaging was performed using HeLa cells cultured in FM1-
24 43-containing DMEM (no FBS) with or without 0.002% SDS. The cytosolic FM1-43 signals
25 were quantified (8 cells per each group). Error bars represents SD.

Fig. S3. SDS induces local PS externalization on the plasma membrane in human cells; the PS-externalizing spots/blebs colocalize with CHMP4A

(A) A representative data set of DAPI influx assay. The number of Annexin V-positive spots per cell was counted. N=60. Error bars represents SD. **(B)** WI-38 cells were cultured in the medium with 0.008% SDS and pSIVA under the fluorescent microscope. pSIVA binds to externalized PS. Arrow heads, pSIVA positive spots. Yellow arrows, pSIVA positive blebs. The white rectangle region is enlarged in right panels. Scale bar, 20 μ m (left), 5 μ m (right). Merged images of pSIVA and Bright Field. **(C)** HeLa cells were treated with 0.008% SDS for 1 hr. The cells were incubated with Annexin V-Alexa Fluor 647 conjugate, fixed, and stained with CHMP4A antibody. The white rectangle region in upper panels is enlarged in lower panels. Green: CHMP4A, Red: Annexin V, Alexa Fluor 647 conjugate, BF: Bright Field. White arrows indicate the colocalization of green and red signals. Scale bars, 10 μ m (upper), 2 μ m (lower).

Fig. S4. Summary of the screening method

(A) Schematic drawing of the screening method. Blue circle, primary hits (249 mutants); green circle, secondary hits (109 mutants); red circle, confirmed hits (48 mutants). **(B)** Example images of the plates used in the screening.

Table S1 Summary of GO enrichment analysis results.

Table S2 Summary of *modPhEA* analysis results.

Table S3. Yeast strains used in this study.

Table S4. Antibodies used in this study.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

A

Gene	Systematic Name	Function
<i>DID4</i>	YKL002W	ESCRT
<i>SNF7</i>	YLR025W	
<i>STP22</i>	YCL008C	
<i>VPS20</i>	YMR077C	
<i>VPS24</i>	YKL041W	
<i>VPS25</i>	YJR102C	
<i>VPS36</i>	YLR417W	
<i>VPS4</i>	YPR173C	V-ATPase
<i>VMA1</i>	YDL185W	
<i>VMA13</i>	YPR036W	
<i>VMA21</i>	YGR105W	
<i>VMA5</i>	YKL080W	
<i>VMA9</i>	YCL005W-A	Amino acid biosynthesis/sensor/metabolism
<i>VPH2</i>	YKL119C	
<i>AAT2</i>	YLR027C	
<i>ARO1</i>	YDR127W	
<i>ARO2</i>	YGL148W	
<i>ARO7</i>	YPR060C	DNA replication/damage/repair
<i>TRP4</i>	YDR354W	
<i>TRP5</i>	YGL026C	
<i>DEF1</i>	YKL054C	Lipid
<i>FYV6</i>	YNL133C	
<i>RFA2</i>	YNL312W	
<i>SEN1</i>	YLR430W	RNA helicase/polymerase
<i>ACB1</i>	YGR037C	
<i>CHO1</i>	YER026C	
<i>ERG2</i>	YMR202W	Mitochondria
<i>ERG3</i>	YLR056W	
<i>RPB3</i>	YIL021W	
<i>PRP22</i>	YER013W	SNARE/HOPS
<i>PRP43</i>	YGL120C	
<i>ISA1</i>	YLL027W	
<i>ISA2</i>	YPR067W	Ribosome
<i>MRPL51</i>	YPR100w	
<i>VPS16</i>	YPL045W	
<i>PEP3</i>	YLR148W	CDK
<i>SGD1</i>	YLR336C	
<i>UTP5</i>	YDR398W	
<i>PHO85</i>	YPL031C	Cell wall
<i>GAS1</i>	YMR307W	
<i>STH1</i>	YIL126W	
<i>SWA2</i>	YDR320C	Chromatin remodeling
<i>VPS34</i>	YLR240W	
<i>TPD3</i>	YAL016W	
<i>PTC1</i>	YDL006W	PP2A
<i>ARF1</i>	YDL192W	
<i>CCR4</i>	YAL021C	
<i>KCS1</i>	YDR017C	Ras GTPase
		Transcription
		Inositol/Vacuole

B

C

GO Term
intraluminal vesicle formation (GO:0070676)
chorismate metabolic process (GO:0046417)
ATP export (GO:1904669)
endosome organization (GO:0007032)
aromatic amino acid family biosynthetic process (GO:0009073)
reticulophagy (GO:0061709)
protein retention in Golgi apparatus (GO:0045053)
vesicle budding from membrane (GO:0006900)
vacuolar acidification (GO:0007035)
intracellular pH reduction (GO:0051452)
pH reduction (GO:0045851)

D

E

F

G

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. S1

Fig. S2

Fig. S3

Fig. S4

Table S1

Analysis Type:	PANTHER Overrepresentation Test (Released 20200728)						
Annotation Version and Release Date:	GO Ontology database DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4081749 Released 2020-10-09						
Analyzed List:	Screening Hits (Saccharomyces cerevisiae)						
Reference List:	Saccharomyces cerevisiae (all genes in database)						
Test Type:	FISHER						
Correction:	FDR						
GO biological process complete	Saccharomyces cerevisiae - REFLIST (6049)	Number	expected	over/under	fold Enrichment	raw P-value	FDR
intraluminal vesicle formation (GO:0070676)		7	5	0.05 +	96.02	1.33E-08	2.33E-05
chorismate metabolic process (GO:0046417)		5	3	0.04 +	80.65	2.05E-05	3.37E-03
ATP export (GO:1904669)		17	7	0.13 +	55.35	2.32E-10	6.09E-07
endosome organization (GO:0007032)		21	6	0.16 +	38.41	3.03E-08	3.98E-05
aromatic amino acid family biosynthetic process (GO:0009073)		24	5	0.18 +	28	1.82E-06	6.37E-04
reticulophagy (GO:0061709)		15	3	0.11 +	26.88	2.84E-04	3.56E-02
protein retention in Golgi apparatus (GO:0045053)		15	3	0.11 +	26.88	2.84E-04	3.47E-02
vesicle budding from membrane (GO:0006900)		25	5	0.19 +	26.88	2.17E-06	7.13E-04
vacuolar acidification (GO:0007035)		27	5	0.2 +	24.89	3.03E-06	8.39E-04
intracellular pH reduction (GO:0051452)		27	5	0.2 +	24.89	3.03E-06	7.97E-04
pH reduction (GO:0045851)		27	5	0.2 +	24.89	3.03E-06	7.59E-04
ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process via the multivesicular body sorting pathway (GO:0015867)		39	7	0.29 +	24.13	3.18E-08	3.34E-05
ATP transport (GO:0015867)		41	7	0.31 +	22.95	4.32E-08	3.79E-05
purine ribonucleotide transport (GO:0015868)		43	7	0.32 +	21.88	5.80E-08	4.36E-05
adenine nucleotide transport (GO:0051503)		43	7	0.32 +	21.88	5.80E-08	3.81E-05
regulation of cellular pH (GO:0030641)		31	5	0.23 +	21.68	5.56E-06	1.27E-03
regulation of intracellular pH (GO:0051453)		31	5	0.23 +	21.68	5.56E-06	1.22E-03
purine nucleotide transport (GO:0015865)		44	7	0.33 +	21.39	6.69E-08	3.91E-05
regulation of pH (GO:0006885)		32	5	0.24 +	21	6.39E-06	1.34E-03
late endosome to vacuole transport (GO:0045324)		64	10	0.48 +	21	8.47E-11	4.45E-07
nucleotide transport (GO:0006862)		47	7	0.35 +	20.02	1.01E-07	5.29E-05
aromatic amino acid family metabolic process (GO:0009072)		37	5	0.28 +	18.17	1.21E-05	2.28E-03
cellular monovalent inorganic cation homeostasis (GO:0030004)		40	5	0.3 +	16.8	1.71E-05	2.91E-03
endosome transport via multivesicular body sorting pathway (GO:0032509)		58	7	0.43 +	16.22	3.72E-07	1.78E-04
multivesicular body sorting pathway (GO:0071985)		58	7	0.43 +	16.22	3.72E-07	1.63E-04
monovalent inorganic cation homeostasis (GO:0055067)		42	5	0.31 +	16	2.13E-05	3.39E-03
carbohydrate derivative transport (GO:1901264)		59	7	0.44 +	15.95	4.14E-07	1.68E-04
late endosome to vacuole transport via multivesicular body sorting pathway (GO:0015867)		52	5	0.39 +	12.93	5.50E-05	8.26E-03
organophosphate ester transport (GO:0015748)		79	7	0.59 +	11.91	2.56E-06	7.92E-04
endomembrane system organization (GO:0010256)		96	7	0.71 +	9.8	8.60E-06	1.74E-03
endosomal transport (GO:0016197)		117	8	0.87 +	9.19	2.86E-06	8.34E-04
vesicle organization (GO:0016050)		91	6	0.68 +	8.86	6.87E-05	1.00E-02
macroautophagy (GO:0016236)		105	6	0.78 +	7.68	1.46E-04	1.91E-02
vacuolar transport (GO:0007034)		184	10	1.37 +	7.31	1.02E-06	3.85E-04
organic acid biosynthetic process (GO:0016053)		193	9	1.44 +	6.27	1.27E-05	2.30E-03
carboxylic acid biosynthetic process (GO:0046394)		193	9	1.44 +	6.27	1.27E-05	2.23E-03
organic anion transport (GO:0015711)		166	7	1.23 +	5.67	2.40E-04	3.08E-02
small molecule biosynthetic process (GO:0044283)		336	12	2.5 +	4.8	5.16E-06	1.23E-03
vesicle-mediated transport (GO:0016192)		426	13	3.17 +	4.1	1.02E-05	1.98E-03
ion transport (GO:0006811)		390	11	2.9 +	3.79	1.15E-04	1.59E-02
organic cyclic compound biosynthetic process (GO:1901362)		611	15	4.55 +	3.3	2.31E-05	3.57E-03
primary metabolic process (GO:0044238)		3065	36	22.8 +	1.58	6.91E-05	9.82E-03
cellular metabolic process (GO:0044237)		3298	37	24.53 +	1.51	1.32E-04	1.78E-02
organic substance metabolic process (GO:0071704)		3254	36	24.21 +	1.49	4.25E-04	4.96E-02
cellular process (GO:0009987)		5030	45	37.42 +	1.2	4.23E-04	5.06E-02

Table S2

Phenotype Name	Phenotype ID	% of genes with t%	% of genes with the term	Fisher's Exact Test P-value	BH FDR corrected P-value	Bonferroni corrected P-value
■ development	AP0:0000023	78.72% (37/47)	49.88% (3071/6157)	0.00009303	0.0004956	0.00055818
└ lifespan	AP0:0000030	57.45% (27/47)	25.5% (1570/6157)	0.00004522	0.00001306	0.000117572
└└ chronological lifespan	AP0:0000316	53.19% (25/47)	19.96% (1229/6157)	5.081E-07	0.000003133	1.87997E-05
└└└ replicative lifespan	AP0:0000317	23.4% (11/47)	7.76% (478/6157)	0.0008334	0.002	0.0308358
└ sexual cycle	AP0:0000031	44.68% (21/47)	19.78% (1218/6157)	0.0001293	0.0003362	0.0033618
└└ sporulation	AP0:0000041	42.55% (20/47)	16.49% (1015/6157)	0.00002422	0.00008961	0.00089614
└└└ sporulation efficiency	AP0:0000044	25.53% (12/47)	6.74% (415/6157)	0.00005523	0.0001305	0.00143598
└└ budding	AP0:0000024	19.15% (9/47)	6.45% (397/6157)	0.003	0.006	0.078
└└└ budding pattern	AP0:0000200	17.02% (8/47)	2.94% (181/6157)	0.00007258	0.0002066	0.00268546
■ metabolism and growth	AP0:0000094	100.0% (47/47)	81.65% (5027/6157)	0.0001652	0.0004956	0.0009912
└ protein/peptide distribution	AP0:0000209	51.06% (24/47)	13.11% (807/6157)	6.181E-10	1.607E-08	1.60706E-08
└ vegetative growth	AP0:0000106	89.36% (42/47)	50.64% (3118/6157)	2.747E-08	2.381E-07	7.1422E-07
└ nutrient utilization	AP0:0000096	82.98% (39/47)	43.07% (2652/6157)	4.026E-08	2.772E-07	1.10864E-06
└└ utilization of carbon source	AP0:0000098	74.47% (35/47)	27.04% (1665/6157)	2.209E-11	3.348E-10	8.1733E-10
└└└ respiratory metabolism	AP0:0000102	65.96% (31/47)	19.94% (1228/6157)	1.229E-11	4.565E-11	3.1954E-10
└└└ respiratory growth	AP0:0000309	61.7% (29/47)	18.26% (1124/6157)	6.732E-11	2.356E-10	4.7124E-10
└└ auxotrophy	AP0:0000097	46.81% (22/47)	9.11% (561/6157)	2.715E-11	3.348E-10	1.00455E-09
└└ utilization of nitrogen source	AP0:0000099	46.81% (22/47)	21.68% (1335/6157)	0.0001328	0.000351	0.0049136
└└ utilization of iron source	AP0:0000157	6.38% (3/47)	0.58% (36/6157)	0.003	0.005	0.111
└ chemical compound accumulation	AP0:0000095	78.72% (37/47)	41.74% (2570/6157)	3.361E-07	0.000001748	8.7386E-06
└ chemical compound excretion	AP0:0000222	34.04% (16/47)	8.07% (497/6157)	5.085E-07	0.000001962	0.000013221
└ protein/peptide modification	AP0:0000131	34.04% (16/47)	8.87% (546/6157)	0.000001743	0.000005665	0.000045318
└ protein/peptide accumulation	AP0:0000149	36.17% (17/47)	15.53% (956/6157)	0.0007856	0.002	0.0204256
└ RNA accumulation	AP0:0000224	21.28% (10/47)	7.78% (479/6157)	0.003	0.006	0.078
■ morphology	AP0:0000049	80.85% (38/47)	64.17% (3951/6157)	0.021	0.042	0.126
└ cellular morphology	AP0:0000050	76.6% (36/47)	56.46% (3476/6157)	0.005	0.009	0.13
└└ cell shape	AP0:0000051	14.89% (7/47)	2.6% (160/6157)	0.0002291	0.0005298	0.0084767
└└ subcellular morphology	AP0:0000226	72.34% (34/47)	51.53% (3173/6157)	0.005	0.008	0.185
└└└ lipid particle morphology	AP0:0000242	14.89% (7/47)	2.86% (176/6157)	0.0004013	0.0008026	0.0104338
└└└ endomembrane system morphology	AP0:0000303	68.09% (32/47)	45.18% (2782/6157)	0.002	0.004	0.052
└└└ vacuolar morphology	AP0:0000059	68.09% (32/47)	42.63% (2625/6157)	0.0005526	0.001	0.0038682
└└ cell size	AP0:0000052	19.15% (9/47)	9.79% (603/6157)	0.045	0.067	1
└ culture appearance	AP0:0000158	31.91% (15/47)	20.09% (1237/6157)	0.065	0.099	1
└└ biofilm formation	AP0:0000159	25.53% (12/47)	7.84% (483/6157)	0.0002286	0.0005298	0.0084582
■ cellular processes	AP0:0000066	100.0% (47/47)	94.1% (5794/6157)	0.113	0.17	0.678
└ intracellular transport	AP0:0000073	53.19% (25/47)	17.04% (1049/6157)	2.132E-08	2.381E-07	5.5432E-07
└└ endocytosis	AP0:0000075	34.04% (16/47)	7.13% (439/6157)	9.69E-08	7.171E-07	3.5853E-06
└└ protein transport	AP0:0000129	29.79% (14/47)	6.74% (415/6157)	0.000001907	0.00001008	0.000070559
└└ vacuolar transport	AP0:0000079	23.4% (11/47)	1.06% (65/6157)	5.41E-12	2.344E-11	1.4066E-10
└└ protein secretion	AP0:0000078	10.64% (5/47)	2.27% (140/6157)	0.005	0.009	0.13
└└ autophagy	AP0:0000074	19.15% (9/47)	3.77% (232/6157)	0.00006487	0.0002	0.00240019
└└ mitophagy	AP0:0000240	14.89% (7/47)	2.55% (157/6157)	0.0002048	0.0004437	0.0053248
└ chromosome/plasmid maintenance	AP0:0000143	63.83% (30/47)	27.97% (1722/6157)	5.282E-07	0.000001962	1.37332E-05
└ telomere length	AP0:0000144	36.17% (17/47)	5.7% (351/6157)	5.145E-10	4.759E-09	1.90365E-08
└ transposable element transposition	AP0:0000047	23.4% (11/47)	7.42% (457/6157)	0.0005755	0.001	0.0212935
└ stress resistance	AP0:0000080	100.0% (47/47)	91.9% (5658/6157)	0.03	0.052	0.78
└ temperature sensitive growth	AP0:0000092	80.85% (38/47)	30.13% (1855/6157)	1.192E-12	4.41E-11	4.4104E-11
└└ heat sensitivity	AP0:0000147	80.85% (38/47)	28.75% (1770/6157)	2.421E-13	1.574E-12	6.2946E-12
└└ toxin resistance	AP0:0000215	57.45% (27/47)	25.55% (1573/6157)	0.000004646	0.00002149	0.000171902
└└ killer toxin resistance	AP0:0000081	19.15% (9/47)	9.24% (569/6157)	0.037	0.057	0.962
└ resistance to enzymatic treatment	AP0:0000193	25.53% (12/47)	5.73% (353/6157)	0.00001148	0.0000472	0.00042476
└ thermotolerance	AP0:0000093	40.43% (19/47)	16.05% (988/6157)	0.00006129	0.0002	0.00226773
└└ innate thermotolerance	AP0:0000334	40.43% (19/47)	15.67% (965/6157)	0.00004414	0.0001148	0.00114764
└ freeze-thaw resistance	AP0:0000241	10.64% (5/47)	1.25% (77/6157)	0.0003541	0.0007707	0.0131017
└ desiccation resistance	AP0:0000326	36.17% (17/47)	16.0% (985/6157)	0.000908	0.002	0.033596
└ radiation resistance	AP0:0000084	19.15% (9/47)	6.33% (390/6157)	0.003	0.005	0.111
└└ UV resistance	AP0:0000085	14.89% (7/47)	5.64% (347/6157)	0.016	0.026	0.416
└ resistance to chemicals	AP0:0000087	100.0% (47/47)	87.07% (5361/6157)	0.003	0.005	0.111
└ alkaline pH resistance	AP0:0000202	42.55% (20/47)	2.6% (160/6157)	3.062E-19	7.961E-18	7.9612E-18
└ metal resistance	AP0:0000090	61.7% (29/47)	12.05% (742/6157)	1.692E-15	2.2E-14	4.3992E-14
└ ionic stress resistance	AP0:0000205	34.04% (16/47)	2.66% (164/6157)	8.88E-14	7.696E-13	2.3088E-12
└ acid pH resistance	AP0:0000201	42.55% (20/47)	6.4% (394/6157)	3.572E-12	1.857E-11	9.2872E-11
└ osmotic stress resistance	AP0:0000082	42.55% (20/47)	7.71% (475/6157)	9.6E-11	3.12E-10	2.496E-09
└└ hyperosmotic stress resistance	AP0:0000204	42.55% (20/47)	7.28% (448/6157)	3.448E-11	2.356E-10	2.4136E-10
└ oxidative stress resistance	AP0:0000083	46.81% (22/47)	18.55% (1142/6157)	0.00001025	0.00002961	0.0002665

Table S3

Strain Name	Alias	MAT	Genotype	Source
YKK1471	BY23849	a	<i>his3, leu2, met15, ura3</i>	National Bio-Resource Project (NBRP) of the MEXT, Japan
YKK107	PY6767	a	<i>PKC1::GFP::HIS3</i>	Kono <i>et al.</i> , 2012
YKK2969		a	<i>MYO2::GFP::HIS3</i>	Yeast GFP clone collection (ThermoFisher)
YKK2407		a	<i>Km::pTEF1::VPS4</i>	This study
Yeast deletion collection (Winzeler et al., 1999)				
Yeast DAmP library (Breslow et al., 2008)				

Table S4

Antibodies	Catalog No	Species	Source
Anti-CHMP4A	HPA06873	Rabbit	Sigma-Aldrich (Merck), St. Luis, MO
Anti-p53 [DO-1]	sc-126	Mouse	Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA
Anti-p21 Waf1/Cip1 [F-5]	sc-6246	Mouse	Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA
Anti-p16 (JC8)	sc-56330	Mouse	Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA
Anti-Phospho-ATM (S1981)	AAF1655	Rabbit	R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN
Anti-ATM [2C1]	sc-23921	Mouse	Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA
Anti-Phospho-H2A.X(Ser139) [JBW301]	05-636	Mouse	Sigma-Aldrich (Merck), St. Luis, MO
Anti-H2A.X	ab11175	Rabbit	Abcam, Cambridge, UK
Anti-Phospho-Chk1(Ser345) [133D3]	#2348	Rabbit	Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, MA
Anti-Chk1 [DCS310]	C9358	Mouse	Sigma-Aldrich (Merck), St. Luis, MO
Anti-beta Actin antibody [AC-15]	ab6276	Mouse	Abcam, Cambridge, UK